

Inside out: microbiota dynamics during host-plant adaptation of whiteflies

Diego Santos-Garcia, Natividad Mestre-Rincon, Einat Zchori-Fein, Shai Morin

► To cite this version:

Diego Santos-Garcia, Natividad Mestre-Rincon, Einat Zchori-Fein, Shai Morin. Inside out: microbiota dynamics during host-plant adaptation of whiteflies. The International Society of Microbiologial Ecology Journal, 2020, 14 (3), pp.847-856. 10.1038/s41396-019-0576-8. hal-03270228

HAL Id: hal-03270228 https://hal.science/hal-03270228v1

Submitted on 24 Jun 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ARTICLE





Inside out: microbiota dynamics during host-plant adaptation of whiteflies

Diego Santos-Garcia ¹ · Natividad Mestre-Rincon¹ · Einat Zchori-Fein² · Shai Morin¹

Received: 1 June 2019 / Accepted: 17 December 2019 © The Author(s) 2020. This article is published with open access

Abstract

While most insect herbivores are selective feeders, a small proportion of them feed on a wide range of plants. This polyphagous habit requires overcoming a remarkable array of defenses, which often necessitates an adaptation period. Efforts for understanding the mechanisms involved mostly focus on the insect's phenotypic plasticity. Here, we hypothesized that the adaptation process might partially rely on transient associations with bacteria. To test this, we followed in a field-like experiment, the adaptation process of *Bemisia tabaci*, a generalist sap feeder, to pepper (a less-suitable host), after switching from watermelon (a suitable host). Amplicon sequencing of 16S rRNA transcripts from hundreds of dissected guts revealed the presence of active "core" and "transient" bacterial communities, dominated by the phyla Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, and Firmicutes, and increasing differences between populations grown on watermelon and pepper. Insects grown on pepper for over two generations presented a significant increase in specific genera, mainly *Mycobacterium*, with a predicted enrichment in degradative pathways of xenobiotics and secondary metabolites. This result correlated with a significant increase in the insect's survival on pepper. Taken together, our findings suggest that gut-associated bacteria can provide an additional flexible metabolic "tool-box" to generalist sap feeders for facilitating a quick host switching process.

Introduction

Generalist insects are extraordinary in their ability to perceive diverse olfactory and gustatory cues, digest complex nitrogen and carbon compounds, and detoxify different secondary defense metabolites [1, 2]. Because in every host switch these insects are required to establish new temporal interactions and to overcome a new array of plant defenses in a short time, their adaptation to a new host is believed not to involve genotypic selection, but the production of different phenotypes with one given genotype [3]. One way this can be achieved is by phenotypic plasticity, a process

Supplementary information The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-019-0576-8) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Diego Santos-Garcia diego.santos@mail.huji.ac.il that allows the production of different physiological, morphological, or behavioral phenotypes using the same genome [4]. For example, the well documented extensive rearrangement of the expression pattern of detoxification genes in generalist insect species that occurs shortly after host shifts, which likely induces the required physiological plasticity for mitigating the new host defensive chemistry [5]. Alternatively, insects are known for being able to establish a diverse array of symbiotic interactions with bacteria. Transient ones, especially those involving bacteria found on the insect's surface or the gut lumen (termed ectosymbionts), can facilitate rapid transitions between phenotypes, which might be more adapted to new environmental conditions [6, 7].

The diversity of bacteria inhabiting the gut lumen of insects is determined by different factors including diet, environmental habitat, developmental stage, social behavior, and phylogeny of the host [8–10]. These bacteria are predicted to play a major role in insects host-plant adaptation, mainly because they are present in the organ where food is initially processed, and therefore, can respond relatively fast to environmental changes, such as the presence of phytotoxins in the insect diet. Also, gut-associated bacteria are in contact with free-living external bacteria and

¹ Department of Entomology, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, P.O. Box 12, 76100 Rehovot, Israel

² Department of Entomology, Newe-Ya'ar Research Center, ARO, Ramat-Yishai, Israel

can acquire new genetic material, including detoxifying genes, or can even be replaced by new bacteria with different metabolic potential [7]. Our study focused on the possible contribution of gut-associated bacteria to the ability of the whitefly Bemisia tabaci (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha: Aleyrodoidea) to switch between host plants. B. tabaci is a phloem-feeding insect pest characterized by high fecundity, short developmental time, significant dispersal capability, and ability to use multiple plants as hosts [11]. Previous culture-independent approaches have reported that B. tabaci, as other sternorrhynchan insects (psyllids and aphids), presents low (if any) variety of gut-associated ectosymbionts [10, 12, 13]. This low diversity was mainly attributed to the sternorrhynchan simple gut morphology, the nearly microbial-free diet (phloem), the ability of the insects to digest the food quickly, and the presence of intracellular bacteria, also called endosymbionts, that might compete for the host resources [6, 12, 13]. All whiteflies, including B. tabaci, harbor an obligatory endosymbiont named Portiera aleyrodidarum and different facultative endosymbionts, including the genera Hamiltonella, Arsenophonus, Rickettsia, Wolbachia, and Cardinium [11, 14]. Portiera and Hamiltonella/Arsenophonus are always harbored inside specialized host cells (bacteriocytes) and complement their host's diet [15, 16]. Cardinium, Wolbachia, and Rickettsia have different tissue tropisms [17], not including the gut lumen [18], and likely do not contribute to the diet complementation of their host [16, 19]. In addition, a meta-analysis of B. tabaci endosymbiotic communities and their host plants concluded that whiteflies' endosymbionts seem not to be involved in plant adaptation [14]. In contrast to culture-independent approaches, several studies that used culture-dependent methods have clearly indicated that surface-sterilized whiteflies and their feces ("honeydew") present a diverse array of bacterial species, suggesting the presence of gut microbiota [20, 21]. Such bacteria might be actively or passively acquired from microbial communities inhabiting plant surfaces or inner tissues [7]. Taking into account the transient and unpredictable nature of this environmentally-acquired gut microbiota, we hypothesized that under some temporal and spatial conditions (including bacterial community interactions), the gut-microbiota dynamics might contribute to the ability of B. tabaci to successfully switch between host plants, including cases in which the new host can be considered as a challenging, less-suitable one. To test this hypothesis, we conducted a multigeneration adaptation experiment, under field-like conditions, using suitable (watermelon) and less-suitable (pepper) host plants. Each generation, we monitored the active gut-associated bacterial communities by extracting total RNA from dissected gut samples. To reduce the number of 16S rRNA endosymbiotic sequences, and ameliorate their masking effect, we applied a PCR protocol that depleted these targets before conducting the final amplicon sequencing. In parallel, performance assays on the two host plants were conducted.

Materials and methods

A detailed version of Materials and Methods, including its associated Figs. S8–S10 and Tables S2–S4, is available at the Supplementary Information.

Insects, plants, and field experiment

Few thousands Bemisia tabaci adults were collected from two watermelon fields and transferred to rearing chambers, containing the same watermelon cultivar. The population was identified as the Middle East Asia Minor 1 species of B. tabaci and harbored the endosymbionts Portiera, Hamiltonella, and Rickettsia. The field-like experiment was conducted in two neighboring plots at the Hebrew University Experimental Farm. Pepper, a less-suitable host plant [22-24], was used as the "treatment" diet. Watermelon, a suitable host plant [25-27] and the original host of the collected population, was used as the "control" diet. The boosted B. tabaci field-derived colony was used as the starting population. Single watermelon/pepper plants were planted in buckets filled with in-site soil. Single plants were placed inside insect rearing tents. Every new generation, adults were collected, tents were cleaned, and new plants, with in-site soil, were placed in each tent before establishing the new generation. The tents allowed biotic (mainly microorganisms) and abiotic factors to pass freely from the surrounding environment to the inner plants. Each generation, newly emerged adults were transferred from the field experiment to a controlled greenhouse. Adult couples were left to oviposit on new watermelon or pepper plants, according to their field host plant (three leaves per plant, two plants), and egg-to-adult survival was recorded.

Gut-enriched RNA samples, sequencing, and amplicons analysis

Because sequencing of total DNA extraction cannot distinguish between live (transcriptionally active) and quiescent or dead bacteria (nontranscriptionally active) composing the gut microbiota [28], total RNA instead of DNA was extracted from the gut samples. For each RNA sample in each generation, 12 females per tent were collected and surface sterilized. Then, their guts were dissected and pooled, homogenized with a bead-beater, and total RNA was extracted and reverse-transcribed to cDNA. Three specific blocking dual priming oligonucleotides (bDPOs) were designed in order to reduce *Portiera*, *Hamiltonella*, and *Rickettsia 16S* rRNAs PCR amplification. Amplicons were obtained using the 27F (V1) and 515R (V3) universal primers and the three bDPOs. Amplicons from cDNA samples, a mock community, and a negative control were sequenced by a MiSeq system (V3 chemistry, 2×300 base paired-reads) at the DNA Services Facility (University of Illinois, Chicago).

RAW reads were quality filtered, assembled, and clustered using USEARCH. Taxonomic classification of zeroradius operational taxonomic units (ZOTUs) was performed with mothur. Data preprocessing, library-size normalization, alpha and beta diversity, and differential abundance were conducted with phyloseq among other R packages. Core (ZOTUs present in all experimental groups), shared (ZOTUs consistently shared between experimental groups), and specific (ZOTUs consistently present in a single experimental group) were computed by averaging the abundance of the corresponding ZOTU and discarding any ZOTU that did not appear in at least two samples from the same experimental group under analysis (host plant and/or generation). Microbiomes metabolic potential were predicted with PICRUSt and HUManN. LEfSe was used to screen for significant differences in the inferred metabolic pathways.

Results

Sequencing results

From the 40 sequenced B. tabaci gut-enriched samples, 34 passed all quality checks (Table S1). The average RAW library size after the pair-ended assembly was $148,734 \pm$ 72,014 SD (18,455-346,968). After the ZOTUs clustering, the average mapping percentage was $93\% \pm 11\%$ SD, corresponding to an average mapped library size of $141,644 \pm$ 70,126 SD (7651-331,540). Subsequent discarding of all three endosymbionts' reads (Portiera, Hamiltonella, and *Rickettsia*) reduced the average library size to $20,659 \pm$ 28,655 (3201-111,066). This gave a bDPOs average blocking efficiency of $18\% \pm 23\%$ SD (2–100%). After discarding all potential contaminants (kits, environment, PCR, etc.), the average analyzed library size was further reduced to $15,070 \pm 21,201$ SD (1863–91,377). No significant correlations (confounding effects) were found between the cDNA amounts used for the PCRs, bDPOs blocking efficiency, library size, and diversity indices (Table S1). When only putative gut-associated bacteria were considered, the average number of ZOTUs per library was 133 ± 66.9 SD (48–320) with Shannon and Simpson richness indices averaging 3.32 ± 0.42 SD and 0.92 ± 0.03 SD, respectively. Samples with library sizes close to, or larger than, 5000 reads showed convergence in their sampling-



Fig. 1 The alpha diversity of *B. tabaci* **gut-enriched samples.** The bar plot shows the relative abundance of the bacterial ZOTUs, collapsed at the phylum level. Samples starting by P and W denote samples from whiteflies reared on pepper or watermelon, respectively. Numbers denote the generation. The starting population samples (W0) are highlighted in purple.

effort curves, while those with smaller library sizes did not reach a plateau, indicating that not all the diversity was sampled (Fig. S1).

B. tabaci's gut-associated bacteria α diversity

general, gut-associated bacterial communities in In B. tabaci were mainly composed of three phyla: Proteo- $(50.4\% \pm 7.6 \text{ SD}),$ Actinobacteria bacteria $(27.8\% \pm$ 7.8 SD), and Firmicutes $(15.3\% \pm 6.8 \text{ SD})$ (Fig. 1). Cyanobacteria $(2.5\% \pm 1.4 \text{ SD})$, Planctomycetes $(1.3\% \pm 2.1 \text{ SD})$, and Acidobacteria $(1.2\% \pm 1.2 \text{ SD})$ phyla were found in low amounts, mainly in gut samples collected from adults feeding on pepper. Pepper samples from the second generation showed a specific increase in the Planctomycetes $(5.6\% \pm 2.1 \text{ SD})$, Chloroflexi $(4.1 \pm 2.2 \text{ SD})$, and Armanitomodales $(2.9\% \pm 1.1 \text{ SD})$ phyla. Next, we calculated the averaged content of the core, shared, and specific sets of ZOTUs (see definition in "Materials and methods" section) among the three main groups of samples: the starting population (W0), and the two field populations that developed for four generations on pepper (P1-P4) or watermelon (W1-W4) plants (Fig. 2). These analyses produced three main insights: (i) a core set of bacterial taxa, shared by the starting population and the two field populations was identified. It was found to include 104 ZOTUs from five phyla (Actinobacteria, Acidobacteria, Cyanobacteria, Firmicutes, and Proteobacteria), which grouped into 50 genera (Fig. 2 and Fig. S2). This core was not equally distributed (one way ANOVA, p value < 0.01) among insects growing on pepper $(0.45\% \pm 0.17 \text{ SD core mean})$ when compared with those growing on watermelon $(0.59 \pm 0.12 \text{ SD core})$ mean). Specifically, the Proteobacteria, Firmicutes, and Actinobacteria phyla had lower representation in insects growing on pepper (one way ANOVA, p value < 0.05).



Fig. 2 Relative abundance of ZOTUs, collapsed at the genus level (delimited by black lines), and classified as core, pepper field specific, watermelon field specific, starting population specific (Watermelon_0), and genera shared between pairwise combinations according to their presence among B. tabaci gut-enriched samples. ZOTUs were classified as core, shared, or specific and were considered part of a certain group only if they were present in at least two samples of each of the subgroups of the main presented biological group. For example, the pepper field-specific main group included four subgroups (generations 1-4). Note that ZOTUs associated with the same group (e.g., pepper field-specific) are coded by the same color (e.g., blue), and may also appear, in a nonconsistent matter, in samples associated with a different biological group. Therefore, although the "blue" labeling appears in all samples, it stands, in each of the nonpepper samples, for different ZOTUs. Summed relative abundance does not reach 1 in some samples as sample-specific ZOTUs were excluded.

Genera with uneven distribution included: Pseudomonas and Enhydrobacter (Proteobacteria), Streptococcus and Paenibacillus (Firmicutes), and Marmoricola (Actinobacteria). In contrast, genera with even distribution included: Acinetobacter, Pelomonas, and Sphingomonas (Proteobacteria) and Blastococcus, Micrococcus, and Nocardioides (Actinobacteria). (ii) Insects reared under field conditions were found to harbor a large number of ZOTUs that were not present in the starting population. Those reared on pepper had the largest number (145) of unique ZOTUs that grouped into 47 genera, while in those reared on watermelon, 13 unique ZOTUs belonging to three genera were identified. Both field populations shared 55 ZOTUs that grouped into 26 genera. The starting population was found to harbor 24 unique ZOTUs that grouped into nine genera, and shared 38 ZOTUs (12 genera) and six ZOTUs (three genera) with the pepper and watermelon field populations, respectively (Fig. S2). (iii) A nonsignificant trend in diversity indices was detected, as samples from the pepper field population presented, in general, higher diversity indices than both the starting population and the watermelon field population. However, the first generation on pepper presented the lowest diversity (Table S1).



Fig. 3 Partitioning around medoids (PAM) coarse level clustering showing the watermelon (cW) and the pepper (cP) enriched clusters of *B. tabaci* gut microbiomes.

B. tabaci's gut-associated bacteria β diversity

Ordination/clustering analysis indicated that host plant and generation time affected the samples grouping, the latter being more a characteristic of the pepper field-population samples (Fig. S3A). The major ZOTUs that drove the separation of the different clusters belonged to the families Mycobacteriaceae, Pseudomonadaceae, Moraxellaceae, Comamonadaceae, and Staphylococcaceae. Pepper samples from the second, third, and fourth generations showed a characteristic presence of Mycobacteriaceae and Staphylococcaceae. The first and second generations on watermelon and the first generation on pepper were defined by the presence of Comamonadaceae and Micrococcaceae (Fig. S3B). Next, we checked for the presence of statistically supported groups at a coarse level, using the majority rule strategy and three clustering algorithms. Two supported clusters were recovered (homogeneity of dispersion or betadisper p value > 0.1, permanova p value < 0.001, Fig. 3). One cluster was significantly enriched in samples from watermelon, while the other was significantly enriched in samples from pepper. Two exceptions were identified: samples from the first generation on pepper clustered within the watermelon group, and three samples from the watermelon population (W02, W33, and W34) clustered within the pepper group. At higher resolution, four clusters were identified (Fig. S4). While the watermelon samples maintained their clustering singularity, the pepper samples separated into three groups corresponding to generations second to fourth (betadisper p value > 0.2, permanova p value < 0.001, Fig. S4). When only the generation variable was tested, supported clustering was found in both the watermelon and pepper samples (betadisper p value > 0.5, permanova p value < 0.001).

At the qualitative level, the second to fourth pepper field generations presented an increase in unique ZOTUs when compared with the starting population, strengthening the generational effect in these samples (Fig. S5A). In contrast, the first generation on pepper and the watermelon field generations, with the exception of two samples in the third generation, presented few, if any, unique ZOTUs and their microbiomes were mainly composed by ZOTUs shared with the starting population (Fig. S5A). At the quantitative level, differentially abundant ZOTUs were assessed. Both watermelon and pepper field populations experienced a significant decrease in bacterial abundance, with the major losses happening in core genera/ZOTUs from the Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria, and Firmicutes phyla, when compared with the starting population (Fig. S5B). At the same time, an increase in several genera/ZOTUs was detected mainly in the second to fourth pepper field generations and the third generation on watermelon: Craurococcus, Chroococcidiopsis, Hypomicrobium, Methylobacterium, Mycobacterium, Pseudonocardia, and Rhizobium. Some of these genera/ZOTUs were previously identified as major drivers of the separation between the watermelon and pepper-associated clusters (Fig. 3).

Host plant effect on *B. tabaci's* gut-associated bacteria

To identify specific ZOTUs that showed differential abundance in the watermelon and pepper clusters, we took into account only ZOTUs that were independently detected by both the DESeq2 and random forest classifier. Nine ZOTUs were found to be, at least, 16 times more abundant in the pepper cluster than in the watermelon cluster (Fig. 4a). From them, six were classified as part of the Mycobacterium genus (Actinobacteria phylum), representing $\sim 5-10\%$ of the total diversity of the gut-associated bacteria in the second, third, and fourth generations on pepper. Phylogenetic analysis indicated that five of the Mycobacterium ZOTUs belong to one clade and cluster together with M. fortuitum, while the sixth, ZOTU60, grouped in a different clade together with M. peregrinum (Fig. S6). The rest of differentially abundant ZOTUs were assigned to the genera Craurococcus and Hyphomicrobium (Alphaproteobacteria), Obscuribacterales (Cyanobacteria), and Rubrivivax (Betaproteobacteria) (Fig. 4a). To further explore the observed differences in the gut-associated bacterial communities, we inferred their metabolic potential and the relative abundance of the different metabolic pathways using PICRUST/ HUManN. Then, we used LEfSe to predict metabolic pathways that may vary between the pepper and watermelon samples (discriminative features with LDA > 2.5 and 1000 bootstraps, Fig. 4b). Insects developing on pepper harbored microbiomes with a significant enrichment in various xenobiotics degradation pathways (e.g., bisphenol, limonene, and geraniol), while insects developing on watermelon harbored microbiomes that were enriched in biosynthetic pathways (e.g., vitamins, amino acids, and precursors) (Fig. 4b). These pathways successfully clustered the samples according to their host plant, with few exceptions: samples of the first generation on pepper, P33 and P44 that clustered with the watermelon samples, and watermelon samples W33, W34, and W42 that clustered with the pepper samples.

Survival of *B. tabaci* on watermelon and pepper plants along four generations

Every generation, adult insects from the watermelon and pepper field populations were collected and transferred to a controlled greenhouse for testing their progeny egg-to-adult survival on their respective host plant (Fig. 5). Three significant patterns (two-way ANOVAs, *p* value < 0.05) were observed (Fig. 5). First, the insects' survival on watermelon was stable over the generations ($80.5\% \pm 10.35$ SD). Second, the performance of the watermelon field population on watermelon was always higher than the performance of the pepper field population on pepper. Third, after displaying high mortality levels on pepper during the first generation (G0–1, 16.44% ± 10.25 SD), the survival increased and kept stable over subsequent generations (51.43% ± 13.92 SD) (Fig. 5).

Discussion

Culture-independent analyses have previously suggested that sternorrhynchan insects present low levels and variability of gut-associated bacterial communities [10, 12, 13]. In contrast, our strategy of combining endosymbiotic sequences depletion by bDPO and deep sequencing of gut RNA samples, brings clear evidence that B. tabaci holds a significant, dynamic, and active gut-associated bacterial community. The whitefly's gut was dominated by the phyla Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, and Firmicutes, resembling previously reported insect' gut microbiomes, which are mainly dominated by Proteobacteria (57-65%) and Firmicutes (7-22%), but with variable amounts of Actinobacteria (5-8%) and Bacteroidetes (6–15%) [8–10]. The occurrence in the gut microbiome of the genera reported here (e.g., Acinetobacter, Bacillus, Micrococcus, Moraxella, Mycobacterium, Pseudomonas, Staphylococcus, and Sphingomonas), including those classified as part of the core set, is in agreement with previous culture-dependent and -independent analyses of B. tabaci [20, 21, 29, 30] and other sternorrhyncha species



Fig. 4 Differentially abundant ZOTUs and metabolic potential of *B. tabaci* gut-associated bacteria. a Differentially abundant ZOTUS between pepper and watermelon. ZOTUs were independently detected by both the DESeq2 and random forest classifiers. Positive log2Fold values indicate greater abundance in the pepper group compared with the watermelon group. Dots with the same color represent different ZOTUs within the same genus. b The inferred metabolic potential of gut-associated bacterial communities of *B. tabaci* growing on pepper and watermelon plants. Only LEfSe discriminative pathways are

[31, 32] microbiota (Fig. S7). Taken together, these findings raise the possibility that some environmental bacteria are capable of establishing recurrent associations with sternor-rhyncha insects, including whiteflies, and can be considered as consistent gut residents.

The next question that arises is how these gut bacteria are acquired? As already indicated, most of the aforementioned gut-associated microbial communities of *B. tabaci* were classified as environmental or plant-associated bacteria, suggesting their acquisition during the landing, evaluation, and feeding steps on the host plant [11]. Furthermore, it has

shown. The dendrogram with turquoise and orange columns highlights the pepper cluster, while the purple and pink columns dendrogram highlights the watermelon cluster. Similarly, the dendrogram with gray colored rows highlights pathways associated with the pepper cluster, while the brown, yellow, and green rows dendrogram highlights pathways associated with the watermelon cluster. *Z*-Score (standardized score) for each pathway was calculated by subtracting the mean of all samples from the value of each specific sample, and dividing the obtained difference by the standard deviation of the mean.

been previously shown that whiteflies can acquire bacteria by feeding on artificial diets containing isolated gutassociated bacteria [21]. Similarly, acquisition from plant tissues can occur during the stylet movement through the apoplast, toward the phloem sieve element [21, 33, 34]. In addition, whiteflies and aphids occasionally feed on the xylem sap [35, 36], a behavior that has been linked with the maintenance of water balance or with the need to counterbalance the high osmotic pressure of the phloem [36, 37]. Moreover, when encountering a less-suitable host plant, whiteflies seem to feed for longer periods on the xylem, and



Fig. 5 Performance (egg-to-adult survival) of the field populations on their respective host plant, under controlled environment, along four generations. Data from two (G1–2 to G4–5) and five (G0–1 as performance baseline, recorded each generation) independent experiments are represented as violin plots, with each dot representing whiteflies' performance on single leaves (three leaves in average per plant). Average survival and standard deviation are denoted by filled black dots and whiskers, respectively. Letter/s above each violin plot indicate/s the significance of the differences after conducting a Tukey's HSD test. The G0–1 assay, which is the first time the starting population was transferred to the field plots, correlated with the first generation that have developed in the field (P1 or W1). Similarly, the G1–2 assay correlated with the second generation that have developed in the field (P2 or W2).

reduce their phloem ingestion [38]. The accumulated xylem feeding periods can lead to the acquisition of a more diverse bacterial community than that gained when feeding mainly on the phloem [39, 40]. In this respect, it is important to note that some matters that were not addressed here require investigation in future studies. These include mainly the comparison between the host-plant microbiome and the insect microbiome. This can lead, for example, to the identification of bacteria capable of colonizing both plants and insects. These bacteria, which may have different roles in the two "host environments", are likely to be of special interest both from the entomological and botanical point of views and may also have biotechnological potential. Moreover, it can lead to the identification of certain bacteria that can be acquired by the insect only from a specific host plant. This can expand our understanding of how some environmental bacteria are capable of establishing recurrent associations with sternorrhyncha insects. Also, it will help to determine the mode of transmission of these bacteria when the insect switches to alternative plant hosts.

Although specific behaviors that can ensure parent-tooffspring transmission of gut-associated bacteria have not been recorded in sternorrhynchan insects, putative mechanisms such as honeydew and co-feeding may serve as bacteria inocula [41]. Honeydew is a sugar-rich medium that can support the growth of a starting bacterial inoculum, allowing the resident gut-associated bacteria to colonize the leaf surface (epiphyte). In addition, some insect endosymbionts and pathogenic endophytes (e.g. *Liberibacter*) can be transferred to and from plants. Hence, it is possible that some environmental bacteria can be transmitted from parents to offspring by feeding on the same host plants. For example, the deposition on the leaf surface of a pathogenic *Pseudomonas syringae* strain, capable of colonizing the pea aphid gut, together with honeydew, enabled its transmission to the aphid offspring [33]. In the wheat aphid, nymphs cofeeding with their mother presented similar microbiomes while isolated nymphs presented much lower bacterial loads and diversity [42]. Other studies also brought evidence for plant-mediated transmission of gut-associated bacteria in aphids (*Serratia symbiotica*) [43] and endosymbiotic bacteria in whiteflies (*Rickettsia*) [44].

Our data indicated that the acquisition of gutassociated bacteria by B. tabaci is strongly affected by the identity of the plant host, as already proposed in other insect systems [8–10]. B. tabaci populations that developed on pepper for more than one generation harbored a higher microbial diversity than the populations developing on watermelon, and presented a large fraction of pepper-specific bacteria, likely in the expense of a quantitative reduction of core bacteria. As we observed not only a significant change in the gut-associated bacteria between the first generation and the subsequent ones on pepper, but also among the successive generations, the following scenario can be suggested (Fig. 6): the transfer from laboratory to field-like conditions produces a disruption of the initial gut-associated bacterial communities, as both the insect host and its gut-associated bacteria are facing a new environment. Subsequently, the insect and its gut-associated bacteria go through a multigenerational adaptation period to the new host plant and/ or environment which continuously modifies the assemblage of the bacterial communities (e.g., enrichment/ acquisition of pepper-specific bacteria) [45], largely due to direct effects of available nutrients and/or secondary metabolites. These include, among others, flavonoids, phenols, and capsaicinoids [46]. Previous studies have indicated cases in which gut microbiota were shown to be capable of detoxifying poisonous plant compounds including flavonoids, alkaloids, terpenes, and isothiocyanates [47–49]. As long as the selective pressure is maintained (e.g., nutritional limitations and/or the presence of phytotoxins in the diet), the microbiome is predicted to move toward a local performance optimum. This means that new bacterial populations can be acquired and previous ones can be lost due to competition, lack of transmission capabilities, or random events. Under this scenario, stability is predicted to be more likely achieved at the community and/or metabolic functional levels rather than the taxonomic level [50, 51].

In our study, a significant enrichment of the microbiome with *Mycobacterium* ZOTUs was observed in advanced generations on pepper, as well as a predicted higher metabolic potential, enriched in xenobiotics and secondary



Fig. 6 A hypothetical scenario for sap-feeding insect gut-associated bacteria acquisition during switching from a suitable (blue encircled leaf) to a less-suitable (red encircled leaf) host plant. Each generation (bottom circles), the insect (upper yellow halve) acquires new bacteria (colored bacilli) from the host plant (bottom green halve) or by indirect parent-to-offspring transmission mechanisms

(represented by the same bacilli over generations), which modify its gut microbiota community. This process can last until a community providing a local optimal performance is assembled. Smileys represent insects' performance on the host plant, ranging from low (red) to high (blue) performance. The dynamics of both plant and insect microbiomes is represented by gain/loss of bacilli at each generation.

metabolites degradative pathways, which correlated with a significant increase in survival on pepper plants from the second generation onward. The genus Mycobacterium is divided into fast- and slow-growing bacteria. Fast-growing species are mainly considered environmental-related bacteria, although some species can be opportunistic parasites. In contrast, slow-growing Mycobacterium are usually related to a parasitic lifestyle [52]. Several fast-growing Mycobacterium species have been described as plantassociated epi/endophytes [40], while others were reported as gut-associated bacteria of Lepidoptera and aphid species [23, 53]. Mycobacterium are known to produce biofilms, allowing them to adhere to different surfaces, but also to overcome unfavorable abiotic and biotic environments [54]. These capabilities ensure both colonization and survival in the adverse conditions present in the insects' gut following acquisition from the plant/environment [6]. In addition, some Mycobacteriums show incredible bioremediation capabilities, by being able to degrade complex compounds, such as isoprenoids or alkanes [55]. It is therefore possible that some endophitic *Mycobacterium* might have the ability to counteract, by degradation and/or assimilation, different toxic secondary metabolites present in pepper plants, for ensuring their own survival [56].

Although technical limitations currently precludes it's testing (it is impossible to infect or cure a population with a specific environmental bacterium), the following possibility is suggested: in the insect's gut, the presence/ acquisition of bacteria with predicted metabolic capability/s to degrade/assimilate secondary toxic metabolites has the potential of providing a significant benefit to the host [57, 58]. As long as the selective pressure is maintained, the offspring seem to be capable of acquiring their parents' microbiome, either by co-feeding or indirectly from the honeydew, allowing the overgenerational maintenance of putative beneficial bacteria in the local population (Fig. 6). This might synergize or complement insect-specific short adaptation mechanisms to lesssuitable host plants that are well documented and largely involve metabolic adaptation by the overexpression of genes coding for detoxification enzymes and xenobiotic transporters [2, 59]. Further analyses are required in order to determine the exact contribution of each component to the ability of the population to adapt relatively quickly (second to third generations) to lesssuitable host plants.

Data availability

RAW sequences from the field experiment have been deposited in the Sequence Read Archive under the Bio-Project accession number PRJNA525688. All RAW sequences (including the trial experiment—see Supplementary Information), relevant code, metadata, and statistical analysis can be found at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9. figshare.5955880.

Acknowledgements This research was supported by the Israel Science Foundation grant Nos. 1039/12 to SM and 484/17 to EZ-F. DS-G was a recipient of the Golda Meir Postdoctoral Fellowship from the Hebrew University of Jerusalem. We wish to acknowledge Dr Stefan Green and Dr Dror Minz for their advice on the experimental design, Dr Alejandro Manzano-Marin and three anonymous reviewers for their excellent comments and suggestions on early versions of the manuscript, Mirit Assaf for the graphics of Fig. 6, and BioBee Biological Systems Ltd. and Genesis Seeds Ltd. for providing *Phytoseiulus persimilis* (for red spider mite biological control) and watermelon/pepper seeds, respectively.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Koenig C, Bretschneider A, Heckel DG, Grosse-Wilde E, Hansson BS, Vogel H. The plastic response of *Manduca sexta* to host and non-host plants. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2015;63:72–85.
- Malka O, Santos-Garcia D, Feldmesser E, Sharon E, Krause-Sakate R, Delatte H, et al. Species-complex diversification and host-plant associations in *Bemisia tabaci*: a plant-defence, detoxification perspective revealed by RNA-seq analyses. Mol Ecol. 2018;27: 4241–56.
- Agrawal AA. Phenotypic plasticity in the interactions and evolution of species. Science. 2001;294:321–6.
- Schneider RF, Meyer A. How plasticity, genetic assimilation and cryptic genetic variation may contribute to adaptive radiations. Mol Ecol. 2017;26:330–50.
- 5. Vogel H, Musser RO, de la Paz Celorio-Mancera M. Transcriptome responses in herbivorous insects towards host plant and

toxin feeding. In: Voelckel F, Jander G, editors. Annual plant reviews: insect-plant interactions, volume 47. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, UK, 2014; p. 197–233.

- Engel P, Moran NA. The gut microbiota of insects diversity in structure and function. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2013;37:699–735.
- Hansen AK, Moran NA. The impact of microbial symbionts on host plant utilization by herbivorous insects. Mol Ecol. 2014;23: 1473–96.
- Colman DR, Toolson EC, Takacs-Vesbach CD. Do diet and taxonomy influence insect gut bacterial communities? Mol Ecol. 2012;21:5124–37.
- Jones RT, Sanchez LG, Fierer N. A cross-taxon analysis of insectassociated bacterial diversity. PLoS One. 2013;8:e61218.
- Yun J-H, Roh SW, Whon TW, Jung M-J, Kim M-S, Park D-S, et al. Insect gut bacterial diversity determined by environmental habitat, diet, developmental stage, and phylogeny of host. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2014;80:5254–64.
- Stansly PA, Naranjo SE. *Bemisia*: bionomics and management of a global pest. 1st ed. Netherlands: Springer; 2010.
- Jing X, Wong AC-N, Chaston JM, Colvin J, McKenzie CL, Douglas AE. The bacterial communities in plant phloem-sapfeeding insects. Mol Ecol. 2014;23:1433–44.
- Overholt WA, Diaz R, Rosskopf E, Green SJ, Overholt WA. Deep characterization of the microbiomes of *Calophya* spp. (Hemiptera: Calophyidae) gall-inducing psyllids reveals the absence of plant pathogenic bacteria and three dominant endosymbionts. PLoS One. 2015;10:e0132248.
- Zchori-Fein E, Lahav T, Freilich S. Variations in the identity and complexity of endosymbiont combinations in whitefly hosts. Front Microbiol. 2014;5:310.
- Santos-Garcia D, Vargas-Chavez C, Moya A, Latorre A, Silva FJ. Genome evolution in the primary endosymbiont of whiteflies sheds light on their divergence. Genome Biol Evol. 2015;7: 873–88.
- Santos-Garcia D, Juravel K, Freilich S, Zchori-Fein E, Latorre A, Moya A, et al. To B or not to B: comparative genomics suggests *Arsenophonus* as a source of B vitamins in whiteflies. Front Microbiol. 2018;9:2254.
- Gottlieb Y, Ghanim M, Gueguen G, Kontsedalov S, Vavre F, Fleury F, et al. Inherited intracellular ecosystem: symbiotic bacteria share bacteriocytes in whiteflies. FASEB J. 2008;22:2591–9.
- Brumin M, Levy M, Ghanim M. Transovarial transmission of *Rickettsia* spp. and organ-specific infection of the whitefly *Bemisia tabaci*. Appl Env Microbiol. 2012;78:5565–74.
- Opatovsky I, Santos-Garcia D, Ruan Z, Lahav T, Ofaim S, Mouton L, et al. Modeling trophic dependencies and exchanges among insects' bacterial symbionts in a host-simulated environment. BMC Genomics. 2018;19:402.
- Davidson EW, Segura BJ, Steele T, Hendrix DL. Microorganisms influence the composition of honeydew produced by the silverleaf whitefly, *Bemisia argentifolii*. J Insect Physiol. 1994;40:1069–76.
- 21. Davidson EW, Rosell RC, Hendrix DL. Culturable bacteria associated with the whitefly, *Bemisia argentifolii* (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae). Fla Entomol. 2000;83:159–71.
- Iida H, Kitamura T, Honda K. Comparison of egg-hatching rate, survival rate and development time of the immature stage between B- and Q-biotypes of *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) on various agricultural crops. Appl Entomol Zool. 2009;44:267–73.
- Tsueda H, Tsuchida K. Reproductive differences between Q and B whiteflies, *Bemisia tabaci*, on three host plants and negative interactions in mixed cohorts. Entomol Exp Appl. 2011;141: 197–207.
- 24. Jiao X, Xie W, Guo L, Liu B, Wang S, Wu Q, et al. Differing effects of cabbage and pepper on B and Q putative species of *Bemisia tabaci*. J Pest Sci. 2014;87:629–37.

- 25. Simmons AM, Levi A. Sources of whitefly (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) resistance in *Citrullus* for the improvement of cultivated watermelon. HortScience. 2002;37:581–4.
- Ogbuji K, McCutcheon GS, Simmons AM, Snook ME, Harrison HF, Levi A. Partial leaf chemical profiles of a desert watermelon species. HortScience. 2012;47:580–4.
- Coffey JL, Simmons AM, Merle Shepard B, Tadmor Y, Levi A. Potential sources of whitefly (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) resistance in desert water melon (*Citrullus colocynthis*) germplasm. HortScience. 2015;50:13–7.
- Peris-Bondia F, Latorre A, Artacho A, Moya A, D'Auria G. The active human gut microbiota differs from the total microbiota. PLoS One. 2011;6:e22448.
- Ateyyat M, Shatnawi M, Al-Mazra'awi M. Isolation and identification of culturable forms of bacteria from the sweet potato whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* Genn. (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) in Jordan. Turk J Agric. 2010;34:225–34.
- 30. Indiragandhi P, Yoon C, Oh Yang J, Cho S, Min Sa T, Kim GH. Microbial communities in the developmental stages of B and Q Biotypes of sweetpotato whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae). J Korean Soc Appl Biol Chem. 2010;53:605–17.
- Hail D, Dowd SE, Bextine B. Identification and location of symbionts associated with potato psyllid (*Bactericera cockerelli*) lifestages. Env Entomol. 2012;41:98–107.
- 32. Grigorescu AS, Renoz F, Sabri A, Foray V, Hance T, Thonart P. Accessing the hidden microbial diversity of aphids: an illustration of how culture-dependent methods can be used to decipher the insect microbiota. Micro Ecol. 2018;75:1035–48.
- Stavrinides J, McCloskey JK, Ochman H. Pea aphid as both host and vector for the phytopathogenic bacterium *Pseudomonas syringae*. Appl Env Microbiol. 2009;75:2230–5.
- Gonella E, Pajoro M, Marzorati M, Crotti E, Mandrioli M, Pontini M, et al. Plant-mediated interspecific horizontal transmission of an intracellular symbiont in insects. Sci Rep. 2015;5:15811.
- Pollard DG. Feeding habits of the cotton whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* Genn. (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae). Ann Appl Biol. 1955;43:664–71.
- Spiller NJ, Koenders L, Tjallingii WF. Xylem ingestion by aphids

 a strategy for maintaining water balance. Entomol Exp Appl. 1990;55:101–4.
- 37. Pompon J, Quiring D, Goyer C, Giordanengo P, Pelletier Y. A phloem-sap feeder mixes phloem and xylem sap to regulate osmotic potential. J Insect Physiol. 2011;57:1317–22.
- Milenovic M, Wosula EN, Rapisarda C, Legg JP. Impact of host plant species and whitefly species on feeding behavior of *Bemisia tabaci*. Front Plant Sci. 2019;10:1.
- 39. Partida-Martínez LP, Heil M. The microbe-free plant: fact or artifact? Front Plant Sci. 2011;2:100.
- 40. Hardoim PR, van Overbeek LS, Berg G, Pirttilä AM, Compant S, Campisano A, et al. The hidden world within plants: ecological and evolutionary considerations for defining functioning of microbial endophytes. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2015;79:293–320.
- Nadarasah G, Stavrinides J. Insects as alternative hosts for phytopathogenic bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2011;35:555–75.
- 42. Luna E, van Eck L, Campillo T, Weinroth M, Metcalf J, Perez-Quintero AL, et al. Bacteria associated with russian wheat aphid (*Diuraphis noxia*) enhance aphid virulence to wheat. Phytobiomes J. 2018;2:151–64.
- 43. Skaljac M, Vogel H, Wielsch N, Mihajlovic S, Vilcinskas A. Transmission of a protease-secreting bacterial symbiont among pea aphids via host plants. Front Physiol. 2019;10:438.

- Caspi-Fluger A, Inbar M, Mozes-Daube N, Katzir N, Portnoy V, Belausov E, et al. Horizontal transmission of the insect symbiont *Rickettsia* is plant-mediated. Proc Biol Sci. 2012;279: 1791–6.
- 45. Mason CJ, Raffa KF. Acquisition and structuring of midgut bacterial communities in gypsy moth (Lepidoptera: Erebidae) larvae. Env Entomol. 2014;43:595–604.
- 46. Mokhtar M, Soukup J, Donato P, Cacciola F, Dugo P, Riazi A, et al. Determination of the polyphenolic content of a *Capsicum annuum* L. extract by liquid chromatography coupled to photodiode array and mass spectrometry detection and evaluation of its biological activity. J Sep Sci. 2015;38:171–8.
- 47. Adams AS, Aylward FO, Adams SM, Erbilgin N, Aukema BH, Currie CR, et al. Mountain pine beetles colonizing historical and naive host trees are associated with a bacterial community highly enriched in genes contributing to terpene metabolism. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2013;79:3468–75.
- Vilanova C, Baixeras J, Latorre A, Porcar M. The generalist inside the specialist: Gut bacterial communities of two insect species feeding on toxic plants are dominated by *Enterococcus* sp. Front Microbiol. 2016;7:1–8.
- 49. Welte CU, de Graaf RM, van den Bosch TJM, Op den Camp HJM, van Dam NM, Jetten MSM. Plasmids from the gut microbiome of cabbage root fly larvae encode SaxA that catalyses the conversion of the plant toxin 2-phenylethyl isothiocyanate. Environ Microbiol. 2016;18:1379–90.
- Burke C, Steinberg P, Rusch D, Kjelleberg S, Thomas T. Bacterial community assembly based on functional genes rather than species. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2011;108:14288–93.
- Moya A, Ferrer M. Functional redundancy-induced stability of gut microbiota subjected to disturbance. Trends Microbiol. 2016;24: 402–13.
- 52. Rosenblueth M, Martinez-Romero JC, Reyes-Prieto M, Rogel MA, Martinez-Romero E. Environmental mycobacteria: a threat to human health? DNA Cell Biol. 2011;30:633–40.
- 53. Staudacher H, Kaltenpoth M, Breeuwer JAJ, Menken SBJ, Heckel DG, Groot AT. Variability of bacterial communities in the moth *Heliothis virescens* indicates transient association with the host. PLoS One. 2016;11:e0154514.
- 54. Esteban J, García-Coca M. *Mycobacterium* biofilms. Front Microbiol. 2017;8:2651.
- 55. Berekaa MM, Steinbüchel A. Microbial degradation of the multiply branched alkane 2,6,10,15,19, 23-hexamethyltetracosane (Squalane) by *Mycobacterium fortuitum* and *Mycobacterium ratisbonense*. Appl Env Microbiol. 2000;66:4462–7.
- 56. Mitter B, Petric A, Shin MW, Chain PSG, Hauberg-Lotte L, Reinhold-Hurek B, et al. Comparative genome analysis of *Bur-kholderia* phytofirmans PsJN reveals a wide spectrum of endophytic lifestyles based on interaction strategies with host plants. Front Plant Sci. 2013;4:120.
- Hammer TJ, Bowers MD. Gut microbes may facilitate insect herbivory of chemically defended plants. Oecologia. 2015;179: 1–14.
- Shikano I, Rosa C, Tan C-W, Felton GW. Tritrophic interactions: microbe-mediated plant effects on insect herbivores. Annu Rev Phytopathol. 2017;55:313–31.
- Wybouw N, Zhurov V, Martel C, Bruinsma KA, Hendrickx F, Grbic V, et al. Adaptation of a polyphagous herbivore to a novel host plant extensively shapes the transcriptome of herbivore and host. Mol Ecol. 2015;24:4647–63.