

Big data meet deep data: Characterizing spatial navigation in hippocampal amnesia

Sara Pishdadian, Antoine Coutrot, Michael Hornberger, Hugo Spiers, Shayna Rosenbaum

▶ To cite this version:

Sara Pishdadian, Antoine Coutrot, Michael Hornberger, Hugo Spiers, Shayna Rosenbaum. Big data meet deep data: Characterizing spatial navigation in hippocampal amnesia. Cognitive Neuroscience Society, Mar 2021, Virtual Meeting, Canada. CNS 2021 Abstract Book. hal-03268852

HAL Id: hal-03268852

https://hal.science/hal-03268852

Submitted on 23 Jun 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Big data meet deep data: Characterizing spatial navigation in hippocampal amnesia

VISION: SCIENCE TO APPLICATIONS YORK UNIVERSITY

Baycrest Rotman Research Institute

Sara Pishdadian^{1,2,3}, Antoine Coutrot⁴, Michael Hornberger⁵, Hugo Spiers⁶, R. Shayna Rosenbaum ^{1,2,3}

Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), University of Nantes, Natanes, France

5 Norwich Medical School, University of East Angtia, Norwich, United Kingdom

6 University College London, London, England

Background

New Spatial Learning & Hippocampal Damage

- The hippocampus is hypothesized to be critical to episodic memory and new spatial learning ¹
- Individuals with hippocampal damage are generally impaired in new spatial learning ^{2,3,7}
- Allocentric spatial navigation: navigation with a cognitive map of the environment in mind, hippocampally reliant ¹
- Allocentric spatial navigation performance in hippocampal amnesia can be variable and it is possible patients with similar lesion profiles may be impaired for different reasons
- Path integration: referring to one's starting location after navigating
- Combines both allocentric and egocentric (person-based) aspects of navigation.
- It has been shown to require the hippocampus but also heavily involves other brain regions^{6,7}
- Given variability in spatial ability performance, research on these processes would be enhanced by large control samples and dynamic tests

Study Question

 What is the role of the hippocampus in new spatial learning and does episodic memory impairment correspond with task performance?

Participants

Patient BL

IQ: High Average

Patient DA


atient	Age	YOE	Etiology	WIIL Damage	Beyond WIIL Damage	Clinical Profile
BL	59	13	Anoxia after electrical accident	bilateral loss of the DG/ CA3 in hippocampus	left hemisphere volume loss in the superior parietal lobule right hemisphere loss in the precuneus	Mild anterograde amnesia, weaknesses in complex attention and inhibition IQ: Average
DA	68	17	Herpes	Bilateral MTL damage, more	left hemisphere damage with volume reduction in the posterior temporal, ventral frontal occinital regions, anterior cingulate	Graded retrograde amnesia, severe anterograde amnesia

and posterior thalamus.


Method


Sea Hero Quest (SHQ)

- SHQ is a mobile video game played by over 3 million worldwide⁴ and involves navigating a boat with both wayfinding & path integration tasks
- Task performance predicts both realworld wayfinding and is sensitive to country of origin ^{4,5}



- Patient testing was completed with research assistant available for technical assistance on 2 separate testing days
- Controls matched to patients by country of origin, sex, education & age (+/- 3 years) and similar practice trial performance (N = 7000-10,000)





Results


Patient BL

Map Levels


- Overall trial performance for distance traveled and time taken was below controls
- Trials with best performance (3) were closed and without decision point
- Poor wayfinding performance (e.g. level 56, 11), was marked by a pattern of repeated travel down an incorrect path, including backtracking to starting location

	Percentile Distance	Percentile Duration	Number of Trials
All Map Trials	0.23 (0.68), Median = 0.13	0.19 (0.72), Median = 0.04	15
Level 11	0.01	0.01	
Level 56	0.01	0.01	

Level 56



Level 11



Patient DA

DA's severe anterograde amnesia resulted in more difficulty using technology

Map Levels

• Overall performance across trials is poor compared to peers, with longer duration and distance, with patterns of backtracking or continually staying in one area

	Percentile Distance	Percentile Duration	Number of Trials	
All Map Trials	0.37 (0.31), Median = 0.43	0.12 (0.81), Median = 0.02	14	
Level 11	0.05	0.01		
Level 16	0.01	0.01		Note. Mean (SD)
				_




Flare Levels



Missed 4-5/7 of the flare trials administered (29-43% overall accuracy)

Control performance across trials is 51.49% (SD = 0.05)



Level 16

- Patient-Lesion Approach
- Findings suggest patients with hippocampal amnesia have below-average, but broadly normal, path integration performance on SHQ consistent with findings that path integration involves multiple brain regions, including outside the medial temporal lobe and despite the task length ^{6,7}
- Patients had significant difficulty with select wayfinding trials but also show ability to perform well
- Explanations include poor environment recognition, and/or forgetting areas traveled and/or not forming a cognitive map
- Performance broadly corresponds to cognitive profiles
- Further work will look at qualitative metrics of performance

References

- Burgess, Maguire, O'Keefe, 2002; Neuron
- ² Rosenbaum, Gilboa & Moscovitch., 2014; Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences
- Rosenbaum et al., 2000 ; Science
- Coutrot et al., 2018 Current Biology
- ⁵ Coutrot et al., 2019, PloS One
- ⁶ Coughlan et al., 2018; Nature Reviews Neurology
- ⁷ Sapiurka, Squire & Clark, 2016; *Hippocampus*

Contact: sarapish@yorku.ca

Flare Levels

in performance across testing days

Missed 4-5/7 of trials (29-43% overall accuracy), with variability

• Control performance across trials is 58.46% (SD = 0.07)