



HAL
open science

Immobilization of molybdenum by alternative cementitious binders and synthetic C-S-H: An experimental and numerical study

Laura Diaz Caselles, Cédric Roosz, Julie Hot, Simon Blotevogel, Martin Cyr

► To cite this version:

Laura Diaz Caselles, Cédric Roosz, Julie Hot, Simon Blotevogel, Martin Cyr. Immobilization of molybdenum by alternative cementitious binders and synthetic C-S-H: An experimental and numerical study. *Science of the Total Environment*, 2021, 789, pp.148069. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148069 . hal-03265252

HAL Id: hal-03265252

<https://hal.science/hal-03265252>

Submitted on 13 Jun 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 **Immobilization of molybdenum by alternative cementitious binders and synthetic C-S-**
2 **H: an experimental and numerical study**

3 Laura Diaz Caselles*, Cédric Roosz, Julie Hot, Simon Blotevogel, Martin Cyr

4 LMDC, INSA/UPS Génie Civil, 135 Avenue de Rangueil, 31077 Toulouse cedex 04 France.

5 *Corresponding author: diazcase@insa-toulouse.fr

6

7 **Abstract**

8 Excavation operations during construction produce millions of tons of soil sometimes with
9 high leachable molybdenum (Mo) contents, that can lead to risks for both human health and
10 the environment. It is therefore necessary to immobilize the Mo in excavated soils to reduce
11 pollution and lower the costs of soil disposal. This paper studies the immobilization of Mo by
12 three cementitious binders. To this end, one Ordinary Portland cement (OPC), one binder
13 composed of 90% ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS) and 10% OPC, and one
14 supersulfated GGBS binder were spiked with sodium molybdate at six different Mo
15 concentrations from 0.005 wt% to 10 wt% before curing. In addition, to gain mechanistic
16 insights, the capacity of synthetic calcium silicate hydrates (C-S-H) to immobilize Mo was
17 studied. This study was completed by thermodynamic modeling to predict the immobilization
18 of Mo at low Mo concentrations (<0.005 wt%). Paste leaching tests results showed that more
19 than 74% of the initial Mo spike was immobilized by the three binders. The supersulfated
20 GGBS binder consistently showed the highest retention levels (92.0 to 99.7%). The
21 precipitation of powellite (CaMoO_4) was the dominant mechanism of Mo retention in all
22 binders and most leaching solutions were oversaturated with respect to powellite. Also, in C-
23 S-H syntheses, Mo was largely immobilized (>95%) by the coprecipitation of powellite.
24 Thermodynamic modeling was in good agreement with measured values when the

25 equilibrium constant of powellite was modified to $\text{Log}K=-7.2$. This suggested that powellite is
26 less stable in cementitious environments than would be expected from thermodynamic
27 databases. Moreover, modeling showed that, for a solution at equilibrium with portlandite or
28 C-S-H, the Mo concentration is limited to 1.7 mg/L by powellite precipitation. In contrast, for
29 a solution saturated with respect to ettringite, the threshold concentration for powellite
30 precipitation is 6.5 mg/L.

31

32

33 **Keywords:** Stabilization mechanisms; leaching; thermodynamic modeling; ground
34 granulated blast furnace slag; powellite; contaminated soils

35

36 1. Introduction

37 Molybdenum (Mo) is an essential nutriment for plants and animals but it becomes toxic at
38 high exposure levels (Erdman et al., 1978; Gupta, 1997; Van Gestel et al., 2012; Wenzel
39 and Alloway, 2013). In France, excavated soils are classified into three categories following
40 the European definitions (Directive 2008/98/EC, decision 2000/532/EC and decision
41 2001/573/EC) adopted by the French Ministerial decree on waste classification for disposal
42 (Legifrance, 2014): (i) inert and non-hazardous, (ii) non-inert and non-hazardous or (iii)
43 hazardous waste, depending on their leachable Mo concentrations (0.5, 10 and 30 mg_{Mo}/kg
44 of dry soil, respectively). Excavation operations during construction of the subway project
45 “Grand Paris Express” will produce millions of tons of excavated soil. Standardized leaching
46 tests have shown that leachable Mo concentrations are higher than 3 mg_{Mo}/kg of dry soil
47 (Cabrerizo et al., 2020), which is 6 times higher than the “inert and non-hazardous waste”
48 limit set by the French Ministerial decree. Thus, it is desirable to immobilize Mo in excavated
49 soils in order to reduce the amount of hazardous waste and lower the costs of soil disposal.

50 In soils, Mo is found as molybdate (MoO_4^{2-}) with the oxidation state +6 (Basta et al., 2005;
51 Bourg and Loch, 1995; Plant et al., 2001). Bedrock of all types contains Mo in the mg/kg
52 range, and some Mo based minerals have been identified (Smedley and Kinniburgh, 2017).
53 The most common mineral is molybdenite (MoS_2), which is found in granite (Gupta, 1997).
54 Mo can also be present in the environment as a result of anthropogenic activities (e.g.
55 production of alloys in metallurgy, oil operations, industrial agriculture). For example, mineral
56 sources of Mo such as ammonium molybdate ($(\text{NH}_4)_6\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}\cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$), sodium molybdate
57 dihydrate ($\text{Na}_2\text{MoO}_4\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$) and sodium molybdate (Na_2MoO_4) are used as fertilizers to
58 ensure against Mo deficiency (Wenzel and Alloway, 2013). During mineral weathering, Mo is
59 released into the soil solution, where its mobility is controlled by adsorption on mineral and
60 organic surfaces (Goldberg et al., 1996; Gustafsson and Tiberg, 2015; Marks et al., 2015;
61 Wichard et al., 2009; Yang and Wang, 2021). Under certain conditions, this mobile Mo pool

62 is readily leached from soils and legal limits of Mo leaching are sometimes exceeded even in
63 unpolluted natural soils.

64 A common treatment of soils containing mobile heavy metals is the stabilization/solidification
65 (S/S) with ordinary Portland cement (OPC) and with other alternative cementitious binders
66 such as slag cements, pozzolanic cements and calcium aluminate cements (Chen et al.,
67 2021, 2009; Guo et al., 2017; Li et al., 2021; Spence and Shi, 2004; Wang et al., 2019,
68 2020). Kogbara and Al-Tabbaa, 2011 showed that leachable concentrations of several
69 heavy metals (Cd, Ni, Zn, Cu et Pb) decreased in contaminated soil after treatment with
70 slag-based binders. Wang et al., 2019 investigated the S/S of As and Pb in contaminated
71 soil by using calcinated clays. This study demonstrated that heavy metals leachability from
72 this soil was decreased by 96-98% after the reaction of calcined clays. Chen et al., 2021
73 showed that the S/S of a municipal waste containing predominantly mobile Pb was efficient
74 (about 89% of retention) by its treatment with calcium aluminate cement containing
75 phosphate additives.

76 Several mechanisms of heavy metal immobilization in cement-based materials have been
77 reported, including (i) redox reactions (Batchelor, 2006; Mancini et al., 2020), (ii)
78 precipitation and co-precipitation of poorly soluble species (Chen et al., 2021, 2009; Hills et
79 al., 1994), (iii) adsorption onto the surface of hydration products (Basta et al., 2005;
80 Grambow et al., 2020; Lange et al., 2020), and (iv) substitution and inclusion in the reaction
81 products (Berger, 2009; Chrysochoou and Dermatas, 2006; Goetz-Neunhoeffer and
82 Neubauer, 2006; Wang et al., 2020). Several studies have reported that powellite (CaMoO_4)
83 precipitation controls Mo solubility in Portland cement binders (Glasser, 1997; Kindness et
84 al., 1994; Minocha and Goyal, 2013). Other investigations have suggested a partial
85 immobilization of Mo during the formation of synthetic ettringite and monosulfoaluminate
86 (AFm) through the substitution of sulfate (SO_4^{2-}) by molybdate (MoO_4^{2-}) (Grambow et al.,
87 2020; Lange et al., 2020; Vollpracht and Brameshuber, 2016; Zhang and Reardon, 2003).
88 The similar tetrahedral structure of the sulfate and molybdate anions allows this substitution

89 even though molybdate (MoO_4^{2-}) is larger than the sulfate ion. Another study showed that
90 MoO_4^{2-} can also replace Cl^- in AFm interlayers (Marty et al., 2018). At low Mo concentration
91 levels, the adsorption onto the surface of amorphous hydration products such as calcium
92 silicate hydrate (C-S-H) is a possible immobilization mechanism (Chen et al., 2009;
93 Grambow et al., 2020; Lange et al., 2020; Park, 2000; Spence and Shi, 2004).

94 Some studies have addressed the mechanisms of Mo immobilization in OPC binders
95 (Glasser, 1997; Kindness et al., 1994; Minocha and Goyal, 2013). However, there is no data
96 available on the immobilization of Mo by alternative and more “environmentally friendly”
97 binders and, thus, there is a need to better understand the interaction of Mo with
98 cementitious binders in order to envisage treatment of Mo-contaminated soils. Therefore, the
99 present study aims to (i) compare the capacity of alternative binders to immobilize Mo, (ii)
100 study the immobilization of Mo by C-S-H, and (iii) contribute to the understanding of the
101 mechanisms leading to Mo stabilization by comparing experimental data with
102 thermodynamic models.

103 One OPC (referred to as CEM I) was used as the reference material, one binder composed
104 of 90% ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS) and 10% OPC (referred to as 90-10)
105 and one supersulfated GGBS cement (referred to as SSC), were selected so that their ability
106 to chemically immobilize Mo could be studied. Before hydration, the binders were spiked
107 with sodium molybdate at six different Mo concentration levels varying from 0.005 to 10 wt%
108 (% by weight of anhydrous binder). After hydration, the mobility of Mo was evaluated by a
109 leaching test. In a second step, C-S-H phases were synthesized in the presence of Mo in
110 order to evaluate their contribution to the immobilization of Mo. To identify Mo stabilization
111 mechanisms, all solid samples were analyzed using mineralogical and microscopic
112 techniques. Mo and major element concentrations in leachates and in solutions after binder
113 paste leaching tests and C-S-H syntheses were experimentally analyzed and

114 thermodynamically modeled to extrapolate experimental results to lower Mo concentrations
115 (<8 mg/L).

116 **2. Materials and methods**

117 **2.1. Materials**

118 *2.1.1. Molybdenum source*

119 Sodium molybdate (Na_2MoO_4) purchased from Alfa Aesar (sodium molybdate anhydrous -
120 Mo 46.2 wt%, purity >99.9%) was used to spike three different cementitious binders and for
121 C-S-H syntheses with Mo. Using Na_2MoO_4 as a spike has the advantage of high water
122 solubility (84 g Na_2MoO_4 /100 ml at 25°C) and contains Mo in the most common oxidation
123 state, +6 (Vollpracht and Brameshuber, 2016).

124 *2.1.2. Binders*

125 The chemical and mineralogical compositions of the three anhydrous binders are listed in
126 Table 1. The binders tested were:

127 - CEM I: Ordinary Portland cement, CEM I 52.5 N CE CP2 NF, referred to as “CEM I”. The
128 main hydration products of this cement are portlandite (CH), calcium silicate hydrate (C-
129 S-H) and ettringite (AFt).

130 - 90-10: Experimental binder composed of 90% ground granulated blast furnace slag
131 (GGBS) and 10% OPC (the OPC designation refers to CEM I 52.5 N R CE CP2 NF),
132 referred to as “90-10”. The addition of Portland cement to the slag is required to provide
133 the necessary alkalinity to start the reaction of GGBS (Hewlett and Liska, 2019). This
134 binder has been used in previous studies and showed good performance for
135 immobilization of other anions such as sulfate (Diaz Caselles et al., 2020). The main
136 hydration products in slag blended with clinker cements include C-(A)-S-H (with alumina
137 replacing some silica), hydrotalcite (M_5AH_{13}) and other phases such as C_4AH_{13} (Chen

138 and Brouwers, 2007; Kolani et al., 2012) and AFt to a minor extent (Blotevogel et al.,
 139 2020).

140 - SSC: Supersulfated GGBS cement, in conformance with EN 15743. This cement is
 141 composed of about 70-90% GGBS, 10-20% calcium sulfate and 1-3% OPC. The main
 142 hydration products of this binder are AFt and C-(A)-S-H. Other phases such as M_5AH_{13}
 143 may also precipitate (Matschei et al., 2005).

144 **Table 1** – Chemical and mineralogical compositions of anhydrous binders (% by weight). The
 145 GGBS(1) and OPC binders were used to fabricate the binder B2 (90-10). The GGBS(2) was used in
 146 the SSC cement. No crystallized phases were detected in GGBS due to their glassy structure.

		90-10			
		CEM I	GGBS(1)	OPC	GGBS(2)
Oxide composition	CaO	64.5	43.8	64.1	43.0
	SiO ₂	20.2	37.4	21.9	36.0
	Al ₂ O ₃	5.2	10.2	3.96	11.9
	Fe ₂ O ₃	3.3	0.60	3.1	0.47
	MgO	0.80	6.4	1.3	7.0
	Mn ₂ O ₃	ND	0.20	0.03	0.17
	Na ₂ O	0.28	0.21	0.15	0.34
	K ₂ O	0.76	0.28	0.74	0.45
	SO ₃	3.0	0.10	3.4	0.10
	Cl ⁻	0.07	ND	ND	ND
Clinker composition	C ₃ A	8.6	-	8.0	-
	C ₃ S	60.3	-	53.7	-
	C ₂ S	12.6	-	22.4	-
	C ₄ AF	10.1	-	9.4	-
	C \bar{S}	5.1	-	5.8	-
LOI		1.8	0	1.26	0

147 *ND: Not determined*

148 *LOI: Loss on ignition, T=1000°C*

149 *2.1.3. Sample preparation and curing*

150 Seven different pastes were cast for each binder type (a reference binder without Mo and six
151 mixtures containing different initial Mo concentrations). All binder pastes were fabricated
152 keeping a liquid to binder (L/B) ratio of 0.4 and following the recommendations of the
153 European Standard EN 196-3. For spike binders, Na₂MoO₄ was first dissolved in the mixing
154 water by adding six different levels of Mo: 0.005, 0.05, 0.1, 1, 5 and 10 wt% Mo, (% were
155 calculated by weight of anhydrous binder) (50, 500, 1000, 10000, 50000 and
156 100000 mg_{Mo}/kg_{binder}, respectively). These concentrations were chosen to study the capacity
157 of binders to immobilize Mo at different concentrations and to guarantee experimental Mo
158 detection. Second, the binder was added to the Mo-solution. All pastes were cast into
159 6x12 cm hermetic cylinder recipients and the fresh mixture was vibrated for 30 s on a
160 vibrating table in order to expel air bubbles. All samples were kept in the hermetic recipients
161 and cured for 28 days at 20 °C in order to maintain a constant water content.

162 **2.2. Methods**

163 *2.2.1. Leaching tests*

164 Mo immobilization was evaluated by carrying out leaching tests in accordance with the
165 European Standard NF EN 12457-2 as required by the French Ministerial decree on waste
166 classification for disposal (Legifrance, 2014). This method evaluates leachable Mo and other
167 leachable constituents in waste materials by a single-step batch procedure using distilled
168 water (Buj et al., 2010; Diaz Caselles et al., 2020; Diotti et al., 2020; Helser and Cappuyns,
169 2021; Nikravan et al., 2020). Hardened binder samples were crushed to a particle size below
170 4 mm and placed in 1 L polypropylene bottles containing distilled water with a solid to liquid
171 ratio (S/L) of 100 g/L. All bottles were shaken for 24 h in an end-over-end tumbler at 7 rpm.
172 The solid residue was separated by vacuum filtration using a 0.45 µm filter membrane. After

173 filtration, pH was measured in the solutions, which were then acidified to 2 v% nitric acid
174 (HNO_3). All solutions were stored at 4 °C until chemical analyses were performed. Mo and
175 major element concentrations were measured in the leachate solutions using Inductively
176 Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectrometry (ICP-OES) (Optima 7000 DV, by Perkin
177 Elmer). Additionally, leachable sulfate concentrations were determined by ion
178 chromatography (Dionex Ion Chromatography System ICS-3000). For this, the solutions
179 were diluted by a factor of 100 using ultrapure water (18.2 M Ω .cm). Two solution samples
180 were analyzed per formulation and arithmetic mean values are presented with a confidence
181 interval of 95%. It should be noted that, in the first part, leached concentrations are
182 expressed in $\text{mg}_{\text{element}}/\text{kg}_{\text{binder}}$ in order to compare leachable Mo concentrations with the
183 thresholds presented by the French Ministerial decree on waste classification for disposal. In
184 the second part, when experimental data and Mo stabilization mechanisms are discussed,
185 the leached concentrations are presented in mg/L of eluates, because the solubility is
186 controlled by solution concentration and not by the amount of solid.

187 *2.2.2. Syntheses of C-S-H phases with Mo*

188 All synthetic C-S-H phases were prepared under a nitrogen atmosphere using a glovebox in
189 order to minimize carbonation. Synthetic C-S-H phases were prepared in accordance with
190 the protocol presented by Roosz et al., 2016 by using lime (CaO), amorphous silica (SiO_2), a
191 water to solid (W/S) ratio of 50 and a stoichiometric Ca/Si ratio of 1.6. W/S was set at 50 to
192 ensure an amount of water in excess, which leads to a rapid dissolution of lime and silica in
193 solution and thus, a rapid synthesis of C-S-H (Hewlett and Liska, 2019; Taylor, 1997). On
194 the other hand, high Ca/Si ratio increases the positive surface charges of C-S-H, which
195 increases the potential to immobilize anions (Grambow et al., 2020; Lange et al., 2020).

196 First, CaO was calcined in a furnace at 1000 °C for 8 h in order to ensure the absence of
197 portlandite ($\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$). Second, Na_2MoO_4 was dissolved in ultrapure water (18.2 M Ω .cm) in
198 order to obtain five different Mo concentrations: 0, 0.25, 1, 2.5 and 5 wt% (% by weight of

199 CaO+SiO₂). These concentrations were chosen to study the capacity of C-S-H to immobilize
200 Mo at different concentrations and to guarantee experimental Mo detection. Then, 11.98 g of
201 CaO and 8.02 g of SiO₂ were added to the Mo-solution. Finally, all solutions were stored in
202 250 ml polypropylene bottles and shaken in an end-over-end tumbler at 7 rpm for 30 days.
203 The solid precipitate was separated by centrifugation and filtration using a vacuum device
204 and 0.22 μm membrane filters. C-S-H samples were stored under vacuum to prevent
205 carbonation. In the supernatant, pH was measured and then the solutions were acidified to
206 2 v% HNO₃ to analyze remaining element concentrations by ICP-OES.

207 2.2.3. XRD analyses

208 In order to identify Mo-bearing phases, mineralogical analyses were carried out on Mo-
209 spiked binder samples and in synthetic C-S-H phases using XRD analyses. All the samples
210 were crushed to a particle size below 80 μm. A Bruker D8 diffractometer in Bragg-Brentano
211 configuration with a copper radiation source (Cu Kα, λ=1.54 Å) was used. The anode voltage
212 was 40 kV, the electric current intensity was 40 mA. Angles from 2θ 5° to 70° were scanned
213 with a step size of 2θ 0.0203° and a total scan duration of 30 min. Rutile (TiO₂) was used as
214 an internal standard to normalize the peak intensities.

215 2.2.4. Thermogravimetric and FT-IR analyses

216 TGA was performed using two different devices: a Netzsch STA449-F3 and a TG2 by
217 Mettler Toledo. The heating rate was 10 °C/min over the temperature range 40-1000 °C.
218 Before TGA measurements, the synthetic C-S-H samples were humidified for two days in a
219 controlled nitrogen atmosphere using potassium sulfate (K₂SO₄). Then, humidified synthetic
220 C-S-H samples were dried in an oven at 40 °C in order to remove the bulk water (Gaboreau
221 et al., 2020) and TGA was performed immediately after drying. On the same samples, FT-IR
222 was used to detect structural modifications on the Si-O bonds present in C-S-H samples,
223 using a Perkin Elmer UATR1 device with a diamond crystal. Data were collected over the
224 wavenumber range of 4000-600 cm⁻¹.

225 2.2.5. Scanning electron microscope observations and EDS analyses (SEM/EDS)

226 SEM observations were carried out on carbon-coated polished sections of hydrated binders
227 previously mounted in resin. The synthetic C-S-H phases were observed in powder form.
228 Two different scanning electron microscopes were used, a JEOL JSM-6380LV and a
229 JEOL JSM 7800, equipped with Rontec XFLASH 3001 and SDD X-Max 80 mm² Electron
230 dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) detectors, respectively. Images were obtained in both
231 secondary electron (SE) and backscattered electron (BSE) configuration, applying
232 magnifications between 100x and 10000x. EDS mapping and EDS punctual analyses were
233 also carried out to identify correlations between major elements and Mo.

234 2.3. Thermodynamic modeling

235 Mineral-solution equilibria were calculated using the PHREEQC code version 3.0 (Parkhurst
236 and Appelo, 2013). In this code, equilibria are modeled considering the extended Debye-
237 Hückel activity coefficient model and using the Debye-Hückel B-dot relation (Helgeson,
238 1969). Modeling provides information about the equilibrium state of the solution
239 (concentrations and activities of ionic and molecular species as well as the Saturation
240 Indices (SI) of reactants and products. This implies that kinetics of the chemical reactions
241 were not taken into account by this model.

242 In this study, thermodynamic calculations with PHREEQC were used to (i) calculate the
243 saturation index of powellite in the leachate solutions obtained from binder leaching tests,
244 and (ii) create a model of C-S-H syntheses in order to predict the precipitation of powellite at
245 low Mo concentrations (<8 mg/L), that were not accessible experimentally. In regard to the
246 saturation index (SI), SI>0 indicates that powellite can precipitate (oversaturation of solution
247 with respect to powellite), when SI<0 powellite dissolves (undersaturation of solution with
248 respect to powellite), and S=0 means equilibrium between powellite and the solution
249 (Goldberg, 2006; Zhu and Anderson, 2002). In the C-S-H model, three main constituents
250 were introduced into the model: (i) amorphous silica (SiO₂), (ii) lime (CaO) and (iii) sodium

251 molybdate (Na_2MoO_4). SiO_2 and CaO were introduced into the model at fixed concentrations
 252 used for the experimental syntheses, while Na_2MoO_4 was introduced into the model at
 253 different concentrations. Experimental and numerical data were compared in order to
 254 validate the model. Then, the precipitation of powellite was modeled at lower Mo
 255 concentrations (<8 mg/L) to establish threshold concentrations for powellite precipitation.
 256 This model was run taking three different conditions into account: (i) a solution saturated in
 257 C-S-H, (ii) a solution saturated in ettringite (AFt), (iii) a solution saturated in portlandite (CH).
 258 It should be noted that the phenomenon of adsorption of Mo onto the surface of C-S-H was
 259 not modeled.

260 The thermodynamic properties used in this work came from the Thermoddem database
 261 (version 1.10) (Blanc et al., 2012). The equilibrium constants (Log K) of powellite reported in
 262 literature are evolving from -7.02 to -8.51 (Essington, 1990), and values used in this work
 263 came from Essington, 1990, and Felmy et al., 1992 calculations. The dissolution reactions
 264 used in this model are presented in Table 2.

265 **Table 2** – Dissolution reactions and related equilibrium constants (Log K) used for the thermodynamic
 266 modeling from Thermoddem database (Blanc et al., 2012). Data for powellite came from Essington,
 267 1990¹, and Felmy et al., 1992².

Solid Phases	Dissolution reactions used to calculate solubility products	Log K
$\text{SiO}_2(\text{am})$	$\text{SiO}_2 + 2.0\text{H}_2\text{O} = 1.0\text{H}_4\text{SiO}_4$	-2.70
C0.7SH	$\text{Ca}_{1.4}\text{Si}_2\text{O}_{5.9496}\text{H}_{1.0992}:1.378\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2.8\text{H}^+ + 0.6724\text{H}_2\text{O} = 1.4\text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{H}_4\text{SiO}_4$	17.73
C0.8SH	$\text{Ca}_{1.6}\text{Si}_2\text{O}_{6.1698}\text{H}_{1.1396}:1.6122\text{H}_2\text{O} + 3.2\text{H}^+ + 0.218\text{H}_2\text{O} = 1.6\text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{H}_4\text{SiO}_4$	21.80
C0.9SH	$\text{Ca}_{1.8}\text{Si}_2\text{O}_{6.4048}\text{H}_{1.2096}:1.7014\text{H}_2\text{O} + 3.6\text{H}^+ = 1.8\text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{H}_4\text{SiO}_4 + 0.1062\text{H}_2\text{O}$	25.45
C1.0SH	$\text{Ca}_{2.0}\text{Si}_2\text{O}_{6.6436}\text{H}_{1.2872}:1.7542\text{H}_2\text{O} + 4.0\text{H}^+ = 2.0\text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{H}_4\text{SiO}_4 + 0.3978\text{H}_2\text{O}$	29.464
C1.1SH	$\text{Ca}_{2.2}\text{Si}_2\text{O}_{6.8821}\text{H}_{1.3642}:1.867\text{H}_2\text{O} + 4.4\text{H}^+ = 2.2\text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{H}_4\text{SiO}_4 + 0.7491\text{H}_2\text{O}$	33.727


C1.2SH	$\text{Ca}_{2.4}\text{Si}_2\text{O}_{7.1203}\text{H}_{1.4406} \cdot 2.0692\text{H}_2\text{O} + 4.8\text{H}^+ = 2.400\text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{H}_4\text{SiO}_4 + 1.1895\text{H}_2\text{O}$	37.938
C1.3SH	$\text{Ca}_{2.6}\text{Si}_2\text{O}_{7.3957}\text{H}_{1.5914} \cdot 2.1702\text{H}_2\text{O} + 5.2\text{H}^+ = 2.6\text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{H}_4\text{SiO}_4 + 1.5659\text{H}_2\text{O}$	42.40
C1.4SH	$\text{Ca}_{2.8}\text{Si}_2\text{O}_{7.687}\text{H}_{1.774} \cdot 2.2274\text{H}_2\text{O} + 5.6\text{H}^+ = 2.8\text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{H}_4\text{SiO}_4 + 1.9144\text{H}_2\text{O}$	46.93
C1.5SH	$\text{Ca}_{3.0}\text{Si}_2\text{O}_{7.9783}\text{H}_{1.9566} \cdot 2.2848\text{H}_2\text{O} + 6.0\text{H}^+ = 3.0\text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{H}_4\text{SiO}_4 + 2.2631\text{H}_2\text{O}$	51.45
C1.6SH	$\text{Ca}_{3.2}\text{Si}_2\text{O}_{8.2682}\text{H}_{2.1364} \cdot 2.3446\text{H}_2\text{O} + 6.4\text{H}^+ = 3.2\text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{H}_4\text{SiO}_4 + 2.6128\text{H}_2\text{O}$	55.94
Portlandite	$\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2 + 2\text{H}^+ = 1\text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$	22.81
Powellite ¹	$\text{CaMoO}_4 = \text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{MoO}_4^{2-}$	-8.05
Powellite ²	$\text{CaMoO}_4 = \text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{MoO}_4^{2-}$	-7.92

268

269 3. Results

270 3.1. Leaching tests in Mo-spiked binders

271 Figure 1 presents the concentrations of Mo, Ca, sulfate and Na measured in eluates after
 272 paste leaching tests. Figure 1 shows the leached concentrations plotted against the
 273 concentration of the initial Mo spike using a linear scale. Figure 1(a) and (b) presents the
 274 same information on a logarithmic scale in order to emphasize the behavior of the elements
 275 at low initial Mo concentrations (<1 wt%). It should be noted that leached concentrations are
 276 expressed in both $\text{mg}_{\text{element}}/\text{kg}_{\text{binder}}$ and mg/L of eluates in order to compare leachable Mo
 277 concentrations with the French Ministerial decree (Legifrance, 2014) and for discussion of
 278 Mo stabilization mechanisms (solubility is controlled by solution concentration and not by the
 279 amount of solid). In addition, Table 3 displays the pH values of the eluates after paste
 280 leaching tests, the percentage of Mo retention calculated for each formulation and the
 281 calculated powellite Saturation Index (SI).



282

283 **Figure 1** – Experimental leached element concentrations (expressed in mg/L on the y-axis at left and
 284 in $\text{mg}_{\text{Mo}}/\text{kg}_{\text{binder}}$ on the y-axis at right) plotted as a function of the initial Mo spike in the binders
 285 expressed in $\text{mg}_{\text{Mo}}/\text{kg}_{\text{binder}}$. All values are related by lines for ease of reading and are presented in a
 286 linear scale. Mo and Ca data are also plotted in logarithmic scale to analyze trends at low Mo
 287 concentrations. **(a)** Molybdenum, **(b)** calcium, **(c)** sulfates, **(d)** sodium. Two solution samples were
 288 analyzed per formulation and arithmetic mean values are presented with a confidence interval of 95%.

289 **Table 3** – Mo retention as a percentage in the three binders (B1, B2 and B3), pH values of eluates
 290 after paste leaching of Mo-spiked binders and calculated powellite Saturation Index (SI). Each point is
 291 the arithmetic average of two values.

Initial Mo concentration		B1 (CEM I)			B2 (90-10)			B3 (SSC)		
wt%	$\text{mg}_{\text{Mo}}/\text{kg}$	pH	Mo		pH	Mo		pH	Mo	
	binder		retention (%)	SI		retention (%)	SI		retention (%)	SI


0	0	13.1	-	-	12.60	-	-	12.02	-	-
0.005	50	13.0	74.0	0.26	12.52	32.0	0.62	11.78	92.0	-0.34
0.05	500	13.1	98.4	-0.03	12.61	87.4	0.83	11.84	94.0	0.50
0.1	1,000	13.0	97.8	0.48	12.55	85.0	1.18	11.82	95.5	0.67
1	10,000	13.0	98.5	1.28	12.58	94.4	1.62	11.95	98.8	1.02
5	50,000	13.1	99.0	1.39	12.94	97.0	1.17	12.26	99.7	0.74
10	100,000	13.2	97.9	1.62	13.02	93.5	1.36	12.40	99.6	0.71

292

293 As presented in Figure 1, Mo concentrations in eluates increased with increasing initial Mo
294 concentrations in all three binders. However, the concentrations in eluates were much lower
295 than the initial Mo concentrations. Table 3 shows that, at high Mo concentrations (>0.1 wt%),
296 more than 85% of Mo was immobilized by all binders. At the highest initial Mo concentration
297 (10 wt%), leached Mo concentrations were 2070, 6550 and 390 mg_{Mo}/kg_{binder} for B1 (CEM I),
298 B2 (90-10) and B3 (SSC), respectively. This corresponds to a Mo retention of 97.9, 93.5 and
299 99.6%. At low Mo concentrations (<0.1 wt%), relative Mo retentions were lower. For the
300 lowest Mo concentration (0.005 wt%), leached Mo concentrations were 13, 34 and
301 4 mg_{Mo}/kg_{binder} for B1 (CEM I), B2 (90-10) and B3 (SSC), respectively. This corresponds to a
302 Mo retention of 74, 32 and 92%. Thermodynamic calculations of the Saturation Index (SI) of
303 powellite showed that almost all solutions were oversaturated with powellite except for the
304 0.05 wt% Mo solution in B1 (CEM I) and the 0.005 wt% Mo solution in B3 (SSC) (cf. Table
305 3). Oversaturation with powellite was generally higher in solutions with higher initial Mo
306 concentrations. Also, oversaturation with powellite was slightly lower in B3 (SSC) (SI
307 between 0.50 and 1.02) than in B1 (CEM I) and B2 (90-10) (SI between 0.26 and 1.62). Only
308 two leaching solutions were not oversaturated with powellite: for B3 (SSC), the solution with
309 the lowest Mo spike was undersaturated (SI=-0.34), and for B1 (CEM I), the solution with
310 0.05 wt% Mo spike was close to equilibrium (SI=-0.03).

311 *3.2. XRD characterization of Mo-spiked binders*

312 Figure 3 presents the XRD patterns of all the Mo-spiked binders, which are plotted for two
 313 angular ranges (2θ 17.5°-19° and 27°-30°) in order to zoom on the main powellite peaks (2θ
 314 18°-19° and 2θ 28°-29°). Besides rutile (2θ 27°-28°), which was used as an internal
 315 standard, ettringite (2θ 17.5°-18°), portlandite (2θ 28°-29°), gypsum (2θ 29°-29.5°) and
 316 calcite (2θ 29°-30°) were identified. Powellite was detected in all binders that contained 5
 317 and 10 wt% Mo. For binder B3 (SSC), a modification of the diffraction pattern in the range of
 318 powellite was observed at 1 wt% Mo, which is likely due to the minor presence of powellite.
 319 At Mo concentrations lower than 1 wt%, powellite was not detected.




320

321 **Figure 2** – XRD patterns obtained from Mo-spiked binders at 28 days of curing using rutile (TiO₂) as
 322 internal standard and plotted in the selected ranges 2θ 17.5°-19° (left), and 2θ 27°-30° (right). (a) and

323 (b) B1 (CEM I), (c) and (d) B2 (90-10), (e) and (f) B3 (SSC). Legend: **P**: Portlandite, **R**: Rutile, **C**:
 324 Calcite, **E**: Ettringite, **G**: Gypsum.

325 Figure 3 presents XRD patterns of all binders in the ranges corresponding to main ettringite
 326 peaks (2θ 8.8°-9.5° and 2θ 15°-17°). Ettringite was present in binders B1 (CEM I) and B3
 327 (SSC) without Mo (0% Mo). Meanwhile, ettringite was not detected in the formulation B2 (90-
 328 10). It should be noted that no AFm phases were detected in the different samples. In
 329 formulation B1 (CEM I), no ettringite peaks were detected when the binder was spiked with
 330 Mo concentrations equal to or higher than 5 wt%. Meanwhile, in formulation B3 (SSC),
 331 ettringite peaks shifted toward lower angles with increasing spike Mo concentrations. Further
 332 details of this phenomenon will be addressed in the discussion section (cf. section 4.2).

333




334

335 **Figure 3** – Superposed XRD patterns obtained from Mo-spiked binders at 28 days of curing using
336 rutile (TiO₂) as internal standard and plotted in the selected ranges 2θ 8.8°-9.5° (**left**), and 2θ 15°-17°
337 (**right**). **(a)** and **(b)** B1 (CEM I), **(c)** and **(d)** B2 (90-10), **(e)** and **(f)** B3 (SSC).

338 3.3. *Characterization of synthetic C-S-H phases in presence of Mo*

339 3.3.1. *XRD analyses of synthetic C-S-H phases*

340 Figure 4(a) presents the XRD patterns of all synthetic C-S-H samples in the range 2θ 5°-70°.
341 All patterns showed several characteristic peaks of rutile (TiO₂), which was used as an
342 internal standard. The C-S-H peak between 2θ 5° and 10° shifted slightly in position for the
343 C-S-H phase with 0.25 wt% Mo. Otherwise, the C-S-H peaks had identical position and
344 relative intensity for all samples. Moreover, powellite (CaMoO₄) was identified in all Mo-
345 spiked synthetic C-S-H samples and the relative intensity of powellite peaks increased with
346 increasing Mo concentrations (for ease of identification, color was added to powellite peaks).
347 Figure 4(b) and Figure 4(c) present zooms on the powellite peaks in the ranges 2θ 27°-32°
348 and 2θ 57°-60°, respectively. All diffraction patterns were normalized to the rutile peak at 2θ
349 27.4°.



350

351 **Figure 4** – XRD patterns of synthetic C-S-H with and without Mo using 10 wt% rutile (TiO₂) as internal
 352 standard. **(a)** range 2θ 5°-70°, **(b)** superposed patterns in 2θ 27°-32°, **(c)** superposed patterns in 2θ
 353 57°-60°. Percentages in (b) and (c) refer to initial Mo concentrations given in % by weight (wt%).
 354 *Legend: P: Portlandite, R: Rutile.*

355 **3.3.2. TGA analyses of synthetic C-S-H phases**

356 Figure 5(a) and (b) present the TGA analyses carried out on synthetic C-S-H samples. In
 357 Figure 5(a), the weight loss between 100 °C and 200 °C was attributed to the dehydration of
 358 C-S-H (Scrivener et al., 2015). The second temperature range of weight loss between


359 350 °C and 500 °C was attributed to the dehydration of portlandite (CH), which was likely
360 present due to the hydration of CaO. A third weight loss range between 600 °C and 800 °C
361 was mainly associated with the presence of carbonates (mono- or hemicarbonates and
362 calcite) (Scrivener et al., 2015). This reflects the slight carbonation of synthetic C-S-H
363 phases during their preparation. Figure 5(b) shows that the overall weight loss of synthetic
364 C-S-H phases tended to decrease with increasing Mo concentration used in the syntheses
365 of C-S-H. The total weight losses calculated from Figure 5(b) were: 21.3%, 21.5%, 19.5%,
366 19.8% and 18.6% for C-S-H with 0% Mo, 0.25 wt% Mo, 1 wt% Mo, 2.5 wt% Mo and 5 wt%
367 Mo, respectively. This indicates a difference of loss of about 2.7% between the sample
368 without Mo and the sample with the highest Mo concentration. However, no significant shifts
369 in the temperature range of C-S-H dehydration were observed.

370 *3.3.3 FT-IR analyses of synthetic C-S-H phases*

371 Figure 5(c) and (d) show FT-IR spectra of the C-S-H phases. All spectra were normalized to
372 the minimum value of each spectrum. In Figure 5(d), the spectra of sodium molybdate
373 (Na_2MoO_4) and powellite (CaMoO_4) were also plotted in order to compare the position of the
374 bands corresponding to the Mo-O group. The powellite spectrum was taken from the RRUFF
375 ID R050355.2 database (Ponta et al., 2015).

376 As observed in Figure 5(c), FT-IR spectra showed the same absorption bands with some
377 differences in their relative intensities. The main absorption bands and corresponding
378 assignments were: 3600-3100 cm^{-1} (OH stretching), 1700-1600 cm^{-1} and 1600-1100 cm^{-1}
379 (C-O stretching and O-H deformation), 1090-1050 cm^{-1} (asymmetric vibration of Si-O),
380 900 cm^{-1} (asymmetric vibration of Si-OH), and 795 cm^{-1} (symmetric vibration of Si-O)
381 (Beganskienė et al., 2004). Similar positions and shapes of the main Si-O vibrations and the
382 absence of supplementary bands indicate that the structure of the C-S-H phases was not
383 modified by the presence of Mo.

384



385

386


387 **Figure 5** – Thermogravimetric (TGA) and FT-IR analyses of synthetic C-S-H phases. **(a)** Differential
 388 thermogravimetry (DTG) data of weight loss given in wt%/°C, **(b)** weight loss given in percentage. All
 389 data were plotted as a function of temperature from 0 °C to 1000 °C (heating rate 10°C/min), **(c)** FT-IR
 390 spectra were plotted in the 4000-1100 cm⁻¹ range, and **(d)** in the 1100-600 cm⁻¹ range.

391 3.3.3. SEM/EDS observations on synthetic C-S-H phases

392 Figure 6 shows the SE images obtained from synthetic C-S-H phases and the corresponding
 393 distribution of Ca/Si atomic ratios determined by EDS punctual analyses. The SEM images
 394 show the presence of several bright spots on the C-S-H surface when Mo was added to the
 395 solution. The amount and intensity of the bright spots increased with increasing
 396 concentration of Mo and EDS spectra on these spots confirmed the presence of Mo (Figure
 397 6 middle). In the synthetic C-S-H with no Mo, EDS punctual analyses show that the average

398 value of Ca/Si atomic ratio was 1.62 (cf. Figure 6(a) at right). Figure 6(b) to Figure 6(e) show
399 the same data for the synthetic C-S-H phases containing Mo from 0.25 to 5 wt% Mo. It can
400 be observed that the average value of Ca/Si atomic ratio increased from 1.62 to about 1.68
401 when Mo was added to the solution (except for 2.5 wt% Mo, where the Ca/Si ratio was about
402 1.71). This was mostly attributed to the coprecipitation of powellite, which increased the
403 amount of Ca in the solid.

404




405

406 **Figure 6** - SEM/EDS analyses of synthetic C-S-H phases. **Left:** SE images, **Middle:** EDS spectra,
 407 **Right:** Histograms of the Ca/Si ratios obtained from EDS punctual analyses. **(a)** 0 wt% Mo, **(b)**
 408 0.25 wt% Mo, **(c)** 1 wt% Mo, **(d)** 2.5 wt% Mo, and **(e)** 5 wt% Mo.

409 Figure 7 presents mixing diagrams established from EDS analyses. Figure 7(f) shows the
 410 expected regions for the different endmembers (C-S-H and Powellite). For the synthetic C-S-

411 H phase without Mo, the Si/Ca ratio varied from 0.5 to 0.7 (Ca/Si ratio from 2 to 1.4,
 412 respectively (cf. Figure 7(a)). In Figure 7 (b-e), representing the C-S-H sample containing
 413 Mo, the Si/Ca atomic ratios were similar to those of the sample without Mo addition.
 414 However, with increasing Mo content, an increasing number of measurements fall onto the
 415 mixing line of C-S-H and powellite.
 416



417
 418 **Figure 7** – Mixing diagram of Si/Ca vs. Mo/Ca atomic ratios from EDS punctual analyses for all
 419 synthetic C-S-H phases. The dotted line corresponds to the mixing line between C-S-H and powellite.

420 Each diagram presents results for one initial Mo concentration **(a)** 0% Mo, **(b)** 0.25% Mo, **(c)** 1% Mo,
421 **(d)** 2.5% Mo, **(e)** 5% Mo. (Percentages by weight), and **(f)** interpretation of the chart, in which the
422 green zone is placed only as a guide.

423 3.3.4. *Mo concentration solution after C-S-H filtration: experimental and modeled element* 424 *concentration remaining in solution*


425 Figure 8 compares the modeled and experimental Mo, Si Ca, and Na concentrations in
426 solution together with pH values obtained after C-S-H syntheses. Concentrations presented
427 on the y-axis are given in mg/L and they are plotted against the initial Mo concentration used
428 in syntheses expressed in % by weight of initial solid (initial solid = 20 g of CaO+SiO₂, Ca/Si
429 of 1.6 and with a water to solid (W/S) ratio of 50). Experimental data are represented by
430 circles while modeled values are given by lines.

431 Mo concentrations measured in solution decreased by more than one order of magnitude
432 after the C-S-H syntheses. This corresponds to a Mo retention in the solid phase of 95 to
433 99%. Na concentrations increased linearly with increasing initial Mo concentrations, due to
434 the use of sodium molybdate (Na₂MoO₄) as Mo source (cf. Figure 8(c)). In solutions with no
435 added Mo, the remaining Ca (984 mg/L) and Si (about 12.5 mg/L) were the highest. In
436 solutions with Mo, Ca concentrations decreased with the addition of Mo and reached
437 579 mg/L at the highest Mo concentration (5 wt%) (cf. Figure 8(b)), In contrast, Si
438 concentrations remained relatively constant with Mo addition, close to 1 mg/L (cf. Figure
439 8(b)). In addition, pH values of these samples remained relatively stable between 12.5 and
440 12.8 (cf. Figure 8(e)).

441 Overall, modeled solution concentrations were close to experimental data. In particular, Ca
442 and Si concentrations in equilibrated solutions were well represented. In a first model using
443 the thermodynamic data presented in Table 2, it was observed that, even though variations
444 in Mo concentrations were well reproduced, the calculated concentrations were 10 times
445 lower than the experimental values (dotted line in Figure 8(a)). A second model was created

446 by varying the equilibrium constant of powellite in an attempt to approach measured Mo
447 concentrations (solid line in Figure 8(a)). This modification showed no impact on the other
448 calculated element concentrations. The Mo concentrations in solution were well represented
449 when an equilibrium constant of $\text{Log}K = -7.2$ was used for the formation of powellite.
450 Modeled powellite precipitation evolved linearly from 0 (in the Mo-free sample) to 416.5 mg
451 (in the sample with the highest initial Mo concentration of 5 wt%). The amount of precipitated
452 powellite in the most Mo spiked sample decreased from 416.5 mg to 415.0 mg, which can
453 be considered as negligible. The calculated pH values were slightly lower than experimental
454 values. The quantity of C-S-H in equilibrium with calculated solutions remained stable
455 around 4.4 g for all equilibrated samples (200 mL of initial solution).

456



457

458

459 **Figure 8** – Experimental and numerical data of element concentrations and pH values from the
 460 filtered solution after the syntheses of C-S-H with Mo. Concentrations are given in mg/L on the y-axis
 461 and plotted against initial Mo concentrations in % by weight of solid. Solid =20 g of CaO+SiO₂.

462 **Circles:** experimental data. **Lines:** modeling. **(a)** molybdenum, **(b)** calcium, **(c)** sodium, **(d)** silicon,
 463 and **(e)** pH values.

464 4. Discussion

465 4.1. Capacity of alternative binders to immobilize Mo

466 Overall, all three binders showed the ability to immobilize Mo (cf. Table 3). Among the three
467 binders, the supersulfated GGBS binder B3 (SSC) consistently showed the highest relative
468 retention levels of Mo (from 92.0 to 99.6% of Mo retention) over the whole range of Mo
469 concentrations tested. It was followed by the ordinary Portland cement B1 (CEM I) with Mo
470 retention levels from 74 to 98% and the binder B2 (90-10) composed of 90% GGBS and
471 10% OPC with Mo retention levels from 32 to 94%. For the lowest spike Mo concentration
472 (50 mg_{Mo}/kg_{binder}), binders B1 (CEM I) and B3 (SSC) presented leachable Mo concentrations
473 of 13 and 4 mg_{Mo}/kg_{binder}, respectively. This reduction in leachable Mo would allow the
474 transformation of an initially “hazardous waste” to “non-inert and non-hazardous waste”.
475 These binders present potential to efficiently immobilize Mo. Since this study was limited to
476 model systems, further data on more complex real-world applications are needed (e.g. Mo-
477 contaminated soils or waste material). Under these conditions, there might be additional
478 effects due to the presence of organic matter, ion competition and other reactive surfaces.

479 Among the three binders studied, only the OPC has already been reported to immobilize Mo.
480 Kindness et al., 1994 studied the immobilization of Mo in an Mo-spiked OPC and showed
481 that leachable Mo concentration was 40 times lower than initial Mo concentration after 12
482 days of curing. Similarly, Minocha and Goyal, 2013, studied the immobilization of Mo in an
483 OPC spiked with different Na₂MoO₄ concentrations. They showed that samples spiked with
484 Mo at 1000, 1500 and 2000 ppm (mg/kg_{solution}) retained about 99% of Mo, in agreement with
485 the retention values obtained in the present study.

486 4.2. *Mechanisms of Mo retention in alternative binders*

487 XRD analyses performed in the Mo spiked binders showed the presence of powellite at Mo
488 concentrations ≥ 5 wt% (cf. Figure 2). This suggests that precipitation of powellite controls
489 the Mo solubility at high initial Mo concentrations, in all three binders. The immobilization of
490 Mo by OPC has already been reported and was explained by the precipitation of powellite

491 and the precipitation of an Mo-AFm phase (Kindness et al., 1994; Minocha and Goyal,
492 2013).

493 At lower Mo concentrations (≤ 1 wt%), powellite was not identified - probably because the
494 detection limits of the XRD analyses are relatively high. However, almost all solutions were
495 slightly oversaturated with powellite. This suggests that powellite is the controlling phase of
496 Mo mobility, even in samples where no powellite was detected by XRD. Powellite
497 precipitation was predominantly controlled by Ca availability. pH values may have an indirect
498 effect by modifying the stability of other Ca-bearing phases (e.g. C-S-H, ettringite,
499 portlandite). Furthermore, the slight oversaturation indicates that the stability of powellite in
500 cementitious systems is not exactly represented by the equilibrium equation. In fact, it
501 appears to be somewhat less stable, which was confirmed by the modeling of C-S-H and will
502 be discussed in section 4.4.2. The reduced leachate concentrations of Ca in the presence of
503 Mo (cf. Figure 1) further support powellite precipitation. In binder B2 (90-10), with the lowest
504 leached Ca concentrations, the deficit of available Ca probably limited powellite precipitation,
505 thus explaining the lower Mo retention rates. Similar oversaturation of leachates from B1
506 (CEM I) and B2 (90-10) support this mechanism. This illustrates the need for a sufficient Ca
507 supply if Mo is to be immobilized efficiently.

508 In binder B3 (SSC) the powellite SI values calculated in solution were systematically lower at
509 equal spike concentration than in B1 (CEM I) and B2 (90-10) (cf. Table 3). At the lowest
510 spiked Mo concentration, the solution was even undersaturated with powellite. This suggests
511 that the reaction controlling Mo mobility in B3 is slightly different from that in B1 and B2. This
512 may be due to a supplementary Mo immobilization mechanism such as adsorption,
513 substitution and inclusion, on which pH probably has a more important effect than in
514 powellite precipitation (Lange et al., 2020; Mancini et al., 2020; Minocha and Goyal, 2013;
515 Vollpracht and Brameshuber, 2016; Zhang and Reardon, 2003). Several studies have
516 suggested that Mo could be partially immobilized by sulfate-bearing phases, such as
517 ettringite. For example, Vollpracht and Brameshuber, 2016, studied the leaching of Mo in

518 several Portland cements during hydration. After pore solution extractions, they found that
519 molybdate concentrations followed a trend opposite to that of ettringite formation. Therefore,
520 the authors pointed out that molybdate may have partially replaced sulfate in ettringite.
521 Similarly, Zhang and Reardon, 2003, studied the immobilization of Mo, Cr, B and Se by
522 incorporation into ettringite. They showed that Mo was partially immobilized during ettringite
523 precipitation as Mo concentrations decreased from 10 ppm to 4 ppm.

524 Ettringite was present in B1 (CEM I) and B3 (SSC) binder samples. In both systems, sulfate
525 concentrations increased in solution with increasing Mo-spike concentrations. This would be
526 in line with a partial substitution of sulfate by molybdate in ettringite. In fact, XRD analyses
527 obtained from the binder B3 (SSC) showed that ettringite (a major hydrate of this binder)
528 was structurally modified at high Mo levels ($\geq 1 \text{ wt}\% \sim 10000 \text{ mg}_{\text{Mo}}/\text{kg}_{\text{binder}}$). The peak of
529 ettringite situated between 2θ 9° and 9.5° shifted toward lower angles, which implies an
530 increase in the d-spacing. According to the literature, the bond length of Mo-O is about
531 1.76 \AA , which is around 1.2 times that of sulfate ($\sim 1.49 \text{ \AA}$) (Vollpracht and Brameshuber,
532 2016; Zhang and Reardon, 2003). These observations are in line with a possible substitution
533 of sulfate by molybdate. As shifts in ettringite diffraction patterns were only observed in
534 binder B3 (SSC), this supplementary mechanism might explain the slight undersaturation of
535 the solution with respect to powellite at the lowest Mo spike.

536 For B1 (CEM I), the peaks of ettringite were identified in all the patterns except for the two
537 highest concentrations of Mo, in which the peaks of ettringite disappeared, probably
538 because of the high amount of Na in the solution. Na concentrations in solution mainly came
539 from the use of Na-molybdate as a spike. Several studies have shown that the presence of
540 high Na or K content inhibits the formation of ettringite (Brown and Bothe, 1993; Glasser,
541 2004), which may favor the precipitation of other phases, such as powellite. This
542 destabilization of ettringite likely explains the increased presence of sulfate in the eluates of
543 B1 (CEM I) at the highest initial Mo spike concentration.

544 *4.3. Syntheses of C-S-H in the presence of Mo: coprecipitation of powellite*

545 Calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H) is the main hydration product of cementitious materials. In
546 fact, all of the three binders studied in this paper produce C-S-H in different proportions
547 during hydration. According to the literature, C-S-H allows the physical adsorption of heavy
548 metals onto their surface (Glasser, 1997; Grambow et al., 2020; Lange et al., 2020; Park,
549 2000). In addition, C-S-H may compete with powellite for Ca ions in solution, so the
550 immobilization of Mo during C-S-H formation was studied to advance the understanding of
551 Mo retention mechanisms.

552 In all C-S-H syntheses, Mo was largely immobilized (>95%) over the whole range of Mo
553 concentrations studied (0.25, 1, 2.5 and 5 wt% Mo). Again, the main immobilization
554 mechanism appeared to be the precipitation of powellite, which was detected by XRD.
555 SEM/EDS analyses showed the mixing of C-S-H and powellite with increasing initial Mo
556 concentrations. The presence of powellite in C-S-H syntheses was in line with the slight
557 decrease in Ca concentration in the filtered solution after C-S-H syntheses, while Mo
558 concentration in the solid fraction increased. Moreover, all XRD patterns showed the
559 presence of portlandite in all C-S-H samples, indicating that Ca was available in excess.

560 On the other hand, the structure of the C-S-H phases was not modified by the presence of
561 Mo. In fact, XRD patterns were almost identical for all the synthetic phases. In addition, FT-
562 IR spectra showed that the main Si-O vibrations were comparable in wavenumber and
563 intensity for all the C-S-H phases. However, the band between 850 and 750 cm^{-1} increased
564 in relative intensity with increasing Mo concentration. As no further Si-O bonds were present
565 with increasing Mo concentration, this was likely due to the appearance of the Mo-O band
566 associated with the precipitation of powellite (cf. Figure 5) (Ponta et al., 2015). Besides, the
567 temperature range for dehydration during TGA was identical in all the C-S-H samples for all
568 Mo concentrations. The small decrease in weight loss for samples with high initial Mo
569 content was likely due to the dilution for C-S-H with powellite. These observations suggest
570 that Mo was not included into the C-S-H structure and that there was no significant
571 competition for Ca between powellite and C-S-H.

572 4.4. *Modeling of the precipitation of powellite*

573

574 4.4.1. *Experimental vs modeling of C-S-H in contact with Mo*

575 Predicted phase precipitation and solution concentrations values were well in line with
576 solution chemistry and mineralogical analyses. This implies that, by taking only the
577 precipitation of C-S-H and powellite into account, the main processes are represented. Other
578 processes, such as the adsorption of Mo onto the surface of C-S-H, cannot be excluded but
579 were not significant in this study. In fact, Lange et al., 2020 and Grambow et al., 2020
580 studied the adsorption of Mo by C-S-H phases. Both studies showed a strong retention of
581 Mo (in the form of molybdate) by synthetic C-S-H phases having Ca/Si ratios between 0.9
582 and 1.4. Lange et al., 2020, indicated that molybdate uptake by C-S-H can be explained by
583 the electrostatic sorption of Mo. However, both studies used much smaller Mo contents in
584 solution in order to avoid supersaturation of the solution with respect to powellite (initial
585 molybdate concentrations between 5×10^{-6} and 1×10^{-7} mol/L).

586 Mo concentrations in solution were correctly modeled only if the equilibrium constant (Log K)
587 was modified to -7.2 (-8.05 by Essington, 1990 and -7.92 by Felmy et al., 1992), bringing the
588 leaching solutions closer to equilibrium. This suggests that, in a cementitious environment,
589 powellite is less stable than predicted from the equation in Table 2. This is supported by the
590 oversaturation of solution leached from binders in Table 3. The proposed modification of the
591 equilibrium constant (Log K) was in the highly variable range of published values (-7.02 to -
592 8.51). This variability was previously attributed to the high dependence of experimental
593 values on the stability of Mo-rich aqueous species (Essington, 1990). In cementitious system
594 with many dissolved ions, the formation of aqueous Mo species other than MoO_4^{2-} (that are
595 not contained in the database) is possible.


596 4.4.2. *Modeling of the precipitation of powellite at low Mo concentrations*

597 Simulations were also carried out using Mo concentrations lower than 8 mg/L in order to
598 establish threshold values for the precipitation of powellite at low Mo concentrations that
599 were not tested experimentally (the equilibrium constant used for powellite was $\text{Log } K = -7.2$).
600 Because the stability of powellite depends only on the activity of Ca and Mo in solution (cf.
601 Table 2), the Ca activity and the pH in solution were fixed by the equilibrium with C-S-H,
602 ettringite (AFt) or portlandite (CH). Thus, this model gives the maximum values of dissolved
603 Mo in cementitious environments, controlled by these three phases.

604 Figure 9 presents the Mo concentrations and the evolution of the precipitation of powellite
605 calculated for the three different solutions. All data are expressed in mg/L and were plotted
606 as a function of the initial Mo concentration. As shown in Figure 9, Mo concentrations in
607 solution increased progressively up to the Mo concentration of 1.7 mg/L (1.7×10^{-5} mol/L) and
608 1.8 mg/L (1.9×10^{-5} mol/L) for a solution saturated with respect to C-S-H and CH. From these
609 concentrations, powellite started to precipitate. Addition of Mo beyond these points only
610 increased the powellite formation, not Mo concentration in solution. This Mo threshold
611 concentration was below 2 mg/L, which, considering a solid to liquid (S/L) ratio of 1/10 in
612 accordance with the European Standard NF EN 12457-2, would translate into leached Mo
613 concentrations of 20 mg/kg of dry mass, which is below the legal threshold of 30 mg/kg of
614 dry mass established for the “hazardous” waste category. Any Mo concentration can be
615 immobilized to this solution concentration by powellite precipitation in solutions that are
616 controlled by CH or C-S-H. However, these values are still well above the limit drinking
617 water concentration presented by the WHO (0.07 mg/L) (World Health Organization, 2004).
618 To reach even lower leachable Mo concentrations, the solution concentration of Ca would
619 need to be further increased (e.g. by adding an even more soluble Ca mineral). These
620 results show that any amount of Mo can be immobilized below legal limits by cementitious
621 binders, if the Ca availability is properly managed.

622 On the other hand, when the solution was saturated with respect to AFt, Mo concentrations
623 in solution increased, up to 6.5 mg/L (7×10^{-5} mol/L), where powellite precipitation started.

624 When the Mo was added into solution beyond this point, the Mo concentration still slightly
 625 increased. This is likely due to an increase in pH due to the addition of Na_2MoO_4 to the
 626 model. In fact, AFt becomes more stable at higher pH values, and therefore, the Ca
 627 concentration in solution decreases, which increases the threshold Mo concentration for
 628 powellite precipitation. Therefore, the Mo retention by powellite precipitation is not sufficient
 629 to reach legal limits in solutions that are controlled by AFt. Nevertheless, the binder B3
 630 (SSC) with the lowest pH and highest AFt contents showed the best Mo retention. This
 631 underlines that, in binder B3 (SSC), retention mechanisms other than powellite precipitation
 632 were at play at least at low Mo concentrations.



633

634 **Figure 9** – Modeling of Mo concentrations in solution and the evolution of the precipitation of powellite
 635 at low initial Mo concentrations. All concentrations are given in mg/L of solution and plotted as
 636 function of the added Mo concentration.

637 5. Conclusion

638 The aims of this study were to: (i) compare the capacity of three cementitious binders to
639 immobilize Mo in a single-step batch procedure, (ii) study the immobilization of Mo by the
640 calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H) phase, and (iii) contribute to the understanding of the
641 mechanisms leading to Mo stabilization by comparing experimental data with
642 thermodynamic models. To this end, three different binders were studied: one Ordinary
643 Portland cement (OPC), one experimental binder composed of 90% ground granulated blast
644 furnace slag (GGBS) and 10% OPC (90-10), and one supersulfated GGBS cement (SSC).
645 All the binders were spiked with sodium molybdate using different Mo concentrations from
646 0.005 wt% to 10 wt% (% by weight of anhydrous binder) (50 to 10000 mg_{Mo}/kg_{binder}). In a
647 second step, the syntheses of C-S-H in contact with Mo was also studied for Mo
648 concentrations from 0.25 wt% to 5 wt%.

649 The main conclusions drawn from this study are:

- 650 1. Mo was strongly immobilized by the three binders studied (>74%). Supersulfated
651 GGBS binder (SSC) showed the highest capacity to immobilize Mo over the range of
652 Mo concentrations studied. The OPC and SSC binders allowed for the transition of
653 Mo-spiked binders from “hazardous” to “non-inert and non-hazardous” waste
654 category.
- 655 2. Powellite (CaMoO₄) precipitation was identified as the main mechanism of Mo
656 stabilization. Powellite was detected by XRD analyses at high Mo concentrations,
657 and thermodynamic calculations showed that all leaching solutions were slightly
658 oversaturated with powellite.
- 659 3. In synthetic C-S-H, Mo stabilization was mainly controlled by the coprecipitation of
660 powellite, and it was observed that the structure of C-S-H was not modified by the
661 presence of Mo, so Mo uptake into the mineral lattice was excluded. However, the

662 stabilization of Mo at low concentrations by adsorption onto the C-S-H surface
663 cannot be rejected.

664 4. Thermodynamic modeling showed that powellite formed at Mo concentrations higher
665 than 1.7 mg/L (1.7×10^{-5} mol/L) when the solution was saturated with respect to C-S-H
666 or portlandite. In contrast, when the solution was saturated with respect to ettringite,
667 powellite precipitated at Mo concentrations higher than 6.5 mg/L (7×10^{-5} mol/L). At
668 lower Mo concentrations, especially in ettringite containing binders, other stabilization
669 mechanisms, such as substitution and adsorption, might be relevant.

670 The present study highlights the potential of alternative cementitious binders to efficiently
671 immobilize Mo. However, the study was limited to model systems so that there is a need for
672 further data on more complex real-world applications (e.g. Mo-contaminated soils or waste
673 material). Under these conditions, there might be additional effects due to the presence of
674 organic matter, ion competition and other reactive surfaces.

675

676 **Credit authorship contribution statement**

677 **Laura Diaz Caselles:** Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Original Draft, Project
678 administration. **Cédric Roosz:** Software, Methodology, Writing – review and editing. **Julie**
679 **Hot:** Supervision, Writing – review and editing. **Simon Blotevogel:** Conceptualization,
680 Validation, Writing – review and editing. **Martin Cyr:** Conceptualization, Writing – review and
681 editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Project administration.

682 **Declaration of competing interest**

683 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

684 **Acknowledgments**

685 This work was financially supported by the French Ministry of Higher Education and
686 Research and the National Association for Research and Technology (ANRT) in the
687 framework of French Cifre fellowships. Simon Blotevogel would received funding from the
688 EU by the Research Fund for Coal and Steel under grant agreement No 749809.

689 **References**

690 Basta, N.T., Ryan, J.A., Chaney, R.L., 2005. Trace element chemistry in residual-treated
691 soil: Key concepts and metal bioavailability. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 34, 49–
692 63. <https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2005.0049dup>

693 Batchelor, B., 2006. Overview of waste stabilization with cement. *Waste Management* 26,
694 689–698. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2006.01.020>

695 Beganskienė, A., Sirutkaitis, V., Kurtinaitienė, M., Juškėnas, R., Kareiva, A., 2004. FTIR,
696 TEM and NMR investigations of Stöber silica nanoparticles. *Mater Sci (Medžiagotyra)*
697 10, 287–290.

698 Berger, S., 2009. Etude des potentialités des ciments sulfo-alumineux bélitique pour le
699 conditionnement du zinc : de l'hydratation à la durabilité. Université de Lille 1.

700 Blanc, P., Lassin, A., Piantone, P., Azaroual, M., Jacquemet, N., Fabbri, A., Gaucher, E.C.,
701 2012. Thermoddem: A geochemical database focused on low temperature water/rock
702 interactions and waste materials. *Applied Geochemistry* 27, 2107–2116.
703 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2012.06.002>

704 Blotevogel, S., Ehrenberg, A., Steger, L., Doussang, L., Kaknics, J., Patapy, C., Cyr, M.,
705 2020. Ability of the R3 test to evaluate differences in early age reactivity of 16 industrial
706 ground granulated blast furnace slags (GGBS). *Cement and Concrete Research* 130,
707 105998. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2020.105998>

708 Bourg, A.C.M., Loch, J.P.G., 1995. Mobilization of Heavy Metals as Affected by pH and

709 Redox Conditions, in: Biogeodynamics of Pollutants in Soils and Sediments. Springer
710 Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 87–102. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-79418-6_4)
711 [79418-6_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-79418-6_4)

712 Brown, P.W., Bothe, J. V., 1993. The stability of ettringite. *Advances in Cement Research* 5,
713 47–63. <https://doi.org/10.1680/adcr.1993.5.18.47>

714 Buj, I., Torras, J., Rovira, M., de Pablo, J., 2010. Leaching behaviour of magnesium
715 phosphate cements containing high quantities of heavy metals. *Journal of Hazardous*
716 *Materials* 175, 789–794. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.10.077>

717 Cabrerizo, A., Bulteel, D., Waligora, J., Landrot, G., Fonda, E., Olard, F., 2020. Chemical,
718 mineralogical, and environmental characterization of tunnel boring muds for their
719 valorization in road construction: a focus on molybdenum characterization.
720 *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-09969-6)
721 [09969-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-09969-6)

722 Chen, L., Wang, Y.-S., Wang, L., Zhang, Y., Li, J., Tong, L., Hu, Q., Dai, J.-G., Tsang,
723 D.C.W., 2021. Stabilisation/solidification of municipal solid waste incineration fly ash by
724 phosphate-enhanced calcium aluminate cement. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 408,
725 124404. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.124404>

726 Chen, Q.Y., Tyrer, M., Hills, C.D., Yang, X.M., Carey, P., 2009. Immobilisation of heavy
727 metal in cement-based solidification/stabilisation: A review. *Waste Management* 29,
728 390–403. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2008.01.019>

729 Chen, W., Brouwers, H.J.H., 2007. The hydration of slag, part 2: Reaction models for
730 blended cement. *Journal of Materials Science* 42, 444–464.
731 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-006-0874-1>

732 Chrysochoou, M., Dermatas, D., 2006. Evaluation of ettringite and hydrocalumite formation

733 for heavy metal immobilization: Literature review and experimental study. *Journal of*
734 *Hazardous Materials* 136, 20–33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.11.008>

735 Diaz Caselles, L., Hot, J., Roosz, C., Cyr, M., 2020. Stabilization of soils containing sulfates
736 by using alternative hydraulic binders. *Applied Geochemistry* 113, 104494.
737 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2019.104494>

738 Diotti, A., Perèz Galvin, A., Piccinali, A., Plizzari, G., Sorlini, S., 2020. Chemical and
739 Leaching Behavior of Construction and Demolition Wastes and Recycled Aggregates.
740 *Sustainability* 12, 10326. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su122410326>

741 Erdman, J.A., Ebens, R.J., Case, A.A., 1978. Molybdenosis: A Potential Problem in
742 Ruminants Grazing on Coal Mine Spoils. *Journal of Range Management* 31, 34.
743 <https://doi.org/10.2307/3897628>

744 Essington, M.E., 1990. Calcium molybdate solubility in spent oil shale and a preliminary
745 evaluation of the association constants for the formation of $\text{CaMoO}_4(\text{aq})$, $\text{KMoO}_4(\text{aq})$,
746 and $\text{NaMoO}_4(\text{aq})$. *Environmental Science & Technology* 24, 214–220.
747 <https://doi.org/10.1021/es00072a010>

748 Felmy, A.R., Rai, D., Mason, M.J., 1992. The solubility of $\text{CaMoO}_4(\text{c})$ and an aqueous
749 thermodynamic model for Ca^{2+} - MoO_4^{2-} -ion-interactions. *Journal of Solution*
750 *Chemistry* 21, 525–532. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00649561>

751 Gaboreau, S., Grangeon, S., Claret, F., Ihiwakrim, D., Ersen, O., Montouillout, V., Maubec,
752 N., Roosz, C., Henocq, P., Carteret, C., 2020. Hydration Properties and Interlayer
753 Organization in Synthetic C-S-H. *Langmuir* 36, 9449–9464.
754 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.0c01335>

755 Glasser, F.P., 2004. The stability of ettringite, in: *International RILEM Workshop on Internal*
756 *Sulfate Attack and Delayed Ettringite Formation*. RILEM Publications SARL, pp. 43–64.

757 <https://doi.org/10.1617/2912143802.004>

758 Glasser, F.P., 1997. Fundamental aspects of cement solidification and stabilisation. *Journal*
759 *of Hazardous Materials* 52, 151–170. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3894\(96\)01805-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3894(96)01805-5)

760 Goetz-Neunhoeffler, F., Neubauer, J., 2006. Refined ettringite (Ca₆Al₂(SO₄)₃(OH)₁₂
761 ·26H₂O) structure for quantitative X-ray diffraction analysis. *Powder Diffraction* 21, 4–
762 11. <https://doi.org/10.1154/1.2146207>

763 Goldberg, S., 2006. Geochemistry, Groundwater and Pollution. *Vadose Zone Journal* 5,
764 510–510. <https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2005.1110br>

765 Goldberg, S., Forster, H.S., Godfrey, C.L., 1996. Molybdenum Adsorption on Oxides, Clay
766 Minerals, and Soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 60, 425–432.
767 <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1996.03615995006000020013x>

768 Grambow, B., López-García, M., Olmeda, J., Grivé, M., Marty, N.C.M., Grangeon, S., Claret,
769 F., Lange, S., Deissmann, G., Klinkenberg, M., Bosbach, D., Bucur, C., Florea, I.,
770 Dobrin, R., Isaacs, M., Read, D., Kittnerová, J., Drtinová, B., Vopálka, D., Cevirim-
771 Papaioannou, N., Ait-Mouheb, N., Gaona, X., Altmaier, M., Nedyalkova, L.,
772 Lothenbach, B., Tits, J., Landesman, C., Rasamimanana, S., Ribet, S., 2020. Retention
773 and diffusion of radioactive and toxic species on cementitious systems: Main outcome
774 of the CEBAMA project. *Applied Geochemistry* 112.
775 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2019.104480>

776 Guo, B., Liu, B., Yang, J., Zhang, S., 2017. The mechanisms of heavy metal immobilization
777 by cementitious material treatments and thermal treatments: A review. *Journal of*
778 *Environmental Management* 193, 410–422.
779 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.02.026>

780 Gupta, U.C., 1997. Molybdenum in agriculture, *Choice Reviews Online*. Cambridge

781 University Press. <https://doi.org/10.5860/CHOICE.35-0287>

782 Gustafsson, J.P., Tiberg, C., 2015. Molybdenum binding to soil constituents in acid soils: An
783 XAS and modelling study. *Chemical Geology* 417, 279–288.
784 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2015.10.016>

785 Helgeson, H.C., 1969. Thermodynamics of hydrothermal systems at elevated temperatures
786 and pressures. *American Journal of Science* 267, 729–804.
787 <https://doi.org/10.2475/ajs.267.7.729>

788 Helser, J., Cappuyns, V., 2021. Trace elements leaching from Pb[*sbn*]Zn mine waste
789 (Plombières, Belgium) and environmental implications. *Journal of Geochemical*
790 *Exploration* 220. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2020.106659>

791 Hewlett, P.C., Liska, M. (Eds.), 2019. *Lea's Chemistry of Cement and Concrete, Science.*
792 Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/C2013-0-19325-7>

793 Hills, C.D., Sollars, C.J., Perry, R., 1994. A calorimetric and microstructural study of
794 solidified toxic wastes -Part 2: A model for poisoning of OPC hydration. *Waste*
795 *Management* 14, 601–612. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0956-053X\(94\)90032-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0956-053X(94)90032-9)

796 Kindness, A., Lachowski, E.E., Minocha, A.K., Glasser, F.P., 1994. Immobilisation and
797 fixation of molybdenum (VI) by Portland cement. *Waste Management* 14, 97–102.
798 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0956-053X\(94\)90002-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0956-053X(94)90002-7)

799 Kogbara, R.B., Al-Tabbaa, A., 2011. Mechanical and leaching behaviour of slag-cement and
800 lime-activated slag stabilised/solidified contaminated soil. *Science of the Total*
801 *Environment* 409, 2325–2335. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.02.037>

802 Kolani, B., Buffo-Lacarrière, L., Sellier, A., Escadeillas, G., Boutillon, L., Linger, L., 2012.
803 Hydration of slag-blended cements. *Cement and Concrete Composites* 34, 1009–1018.
804 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2012.05.007>

805 Lange, S., Klinkenberg, M., Barthel, J., Bosbach, D., Deissmann, G., 2020. Uptake and
806 retention of molybdenum in cementitious systems. *Applied Geochemistry* 119, 104630.
807 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2020.104630>

808 Legifrance, 2014. Arrêté du 12 décembre 2014 relatif aux conditions d'admission des
809 déchets inertes dans les installations relevant des rubriques 2515, 2516, 2517 et dans
810 les installations de stockage de déchets inertes relevant de la rubrique 2760 de la
811 nomenclature des i. France.

812 Li, J., Chen, L., Zhan, B., Wang, L., Poon, C.S., Tsang, D.C.W., 2021. Sustainable
813 stabilization/solidification of arsenic-containing soil by blast slag and cement blends.
814 *Chemosphere* 271, 129868. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.129868>

815 Mancini, G., Palmeri, F., Luciano, A., Viotti, P., Fino, D., 2020. Partial Stabilization of Mo-
816 Containing Hazardous Wastes Using a Ferrous Sulfate-Based Additive as a Redox
817 Agent. *Waste and Biomass Valorization*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-020-01095-1>

818 Marks, J.A., Perakis, S.S., King, E.K., Pett-Ridge, J., 2015. Soil organic matter regulates
819 molybdenum storage and mobility in forests. *Biogeochemistry* 125, 167–183.
820 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-015-0121-4>

821 Marty, N.C.M., Grangeon, S., Elkaïm, E., Tournassat, C., Fauchet, C., Claret, F., 2018.
822 Thermodynamic and crystallographic model for anion uptake by hydrated calcium
823 aluminate (AFm): An example of molybdenum. *Scientific Reports* 8, 1–13.
824 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-26211-z>

825 Matschei, T., Bellmann, F., Stark, J., 2005. Hydration behaviour of sulphate-activated slag
826 cements. *Advances in Cement Research* 17, 167–178.
827 <https://doi.org/10.1680/adcr.2005.17.4.167>

828 Minocha, A., Goyal, M.H., 2013. Immobilization of Molybdenum in Ordinary Portland

829 Cement. *Journal of Chemical Engineering & Process Technology* 04, 5–10.
830 <https://doi.org/10.4172/2157-7048.1000162>

831 Nikravan, M., Ramezani-pour, A.A., Maknoon, R., 2020. Study on physiochemical
832 properties and leaching behavior of residual ash fractions from a municipal solid waste
833 incinerator (MSWI) plant. *Journal of Environmental Management* 260, 110042.
834 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.110042>

835 Park, C.K., 2000. Hydration and solidification of hazardous wastes containing heavy metals
836 using modified cementitious materials. *Cement and Concrete Research* 30, 429–435.
837 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0008-8846\(99\)00272-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0008-8846(99)00272-0)

838 Parkhurst, D.L., Appelo, C.A.J., 2013. PHREEQC (Version 3)-A Computer Program for
839 Speciation, Batch-Reaction, One-Dimensional Transport, and Inverse Geochemical
840 Calculations, Modeling Techniques, book 6. <https://doi.org/Rep.99-4259>

841 Plant, J., Smith, D., Smith, B., Williams, L., 2001. Environmental geochemistry at the global
842 scale. *Applied Geochemistry* 16, 1291–1308. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(01)00036-1)
843 [2927\(01\)00036-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(01)00036-1)

844 Ponta, O., Ciceo-Lucacel, R., Vulpoi, A., Radu, T., Simon, V., Simon, S., 2015. Synthesis
845 and characterisation of nanostructured silica-powellite-HAP composites. *Journal of*
846 *Materials Science* 50, 577–586. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-014-8615-3>

847 Roosz, C., Gaboreau, S., Grangeon, S., Prêt, D., Montouillout, V., Maubec, N., Ory, S.,
848 Blanc, P., Vieillard, P., Henocq, P., 2016. Distribution of Water in Synthetic Calcium
849 Silicate Hydrates. *Langmuir* 32, 6794–6805.
850 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.6b00878>

851 Scrivener, K., Snellings, R., Lothenbach, B., 2015. *A Practical Guide to Microstructural*
852 *Analysis of Cementitious Materials*.

- 853 Smedley, P.L., Kinniburgh, D.G., 2017. Molybdenum in natural waters: A review of
854 occurrence, distributions and controls. *Applied Geochemistry* 84, 387–432.
855 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2017.05.008>
- 856 Spence, R.D., Shi, C., 2004. Stabilization and solidification of hazardous, radioactive, and
857 mixed wastes, *Stabilization and Solidification of Hazardous, Radioactive, and Mixed*
858 *Wastes*. CRC Press. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0956-053x\(97\)88232-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0956-053x(97)88232-3)
- 859 Taylor, H.F.W., 1997. *Cement chemistry*, 2nd editio. ed. Thomas Telford Publishing.
860 <https://doi.org/10.1680/cc.25929>
- 861 Van Gestel, C.A.M., McGrath, S.P., Smolders, E., Ortiz, M.D., Borgman, E., Verweij, R.A.,
862 Buekers, J., Oorts, K., 2012. Effect of long-term equilibration on the toxicity of
863 molybdenum to soil organisms. *Environmental Pollution* 162, 1–7.
864 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2011.10.013>
- 865 Vollpracht, A., Brameshuber, W., 2016. Binding and leaching of trace elements in Portland
866 cement pastes. *Cement and Concrete Research* 79, 76–92.
867 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2015.08.002>
- 868 Wang, L., Cho, D.-W., Tsang, D.C.W., Cao, X., Hou, D., Shen, Z., Alessi, D.S., Ok, Y.S.,
869 Poon, C.S., 2019. Green remediation of As and Pb contaminated soil using cement-free
870 clay-based stabilization/solidification. *Environment International* 126, 336–345.
871 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.02.057>
- 872 Wang, L., Geddes, D.A., Walkley, B., Provis, J.L., Mechtcherine, V., Tsang, D.C.W., 2020.
873 The role of zinc in metakaolin-based geopolymers. *Cement and Concrete Research*
874 136, 106194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2020.106194>
- 875 Wenzel, W.W., Alloway, B.J., 2013. *Heavy Metals in Soils*, 3rd ed, *Heavy metals in Soils*,
876 *Environmental Pollution*. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978->

877 94-007-4470-7

878 Wichard, T., Mishra, B., Myneni, S.C.B., Bellenger, J.-P., Kraepiel, A.M.L., 2009. Storage
879 and bioavailability of molybdenum in soils increased by organic matter complexation.
880 Nature Geoscience 2, 625–629. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo589>

881 World Health Organization, 2004. Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality. Geneva.
882 [https://doi.org/ISBN 92 4 154638 7](https://doi.org/ISBN%2092%204%20154638%207)



883 Yang, P.-T., Wang, S.-L., 2021. Sorption and speciation of molybdate in soils: Implications
884 for molybdenum mobility and availability. Journal of Hazardous Materials 408, 124934.
885 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.124934>

886 Zhang, M., Reardon, E.J., 2003. Removal of B, Cr, Mo, and Se from Wastewater by
887 Incorporation into Hydrocalumite and Ettringite. Environmental Science & Technology
888 37, 2947–2952. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es020969i>

889 Zhu, C., Anderson, G., 2002. Environmental Applications of Geochemical Modeling.
890 Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511606274>

891

Initial Mo concentrations (ppm)




Stabilization/solidification using cementitious binders and synthetic C-S-H




Mo immobilization by powellite (CaMoO_4) precipitation

Final leached Mo concentrations (ppm)



Binder	Mo retention in solid
B1: Ordinary Portland Cement	74 – 98%
B2: 90% GGBS and 10% OPC	32 – 94%
B3: Supersulfated GGBS cement	92 – 99%

C-S-H
Hazardous*
Non-inert and non-hazardous*
Inert and non-hazardous*



- Concentrations used for binder spike
- △ Concentrations used for C-S-H syntheses

* Waste category: following the European definitions (Directive 2008/98/EC, decision 2000/532/EC and decision 2001/573/EC) adopted by the French Ministerial decree on waste classification for disposal.