

Understanding and minimizing resonance frequency deviations on a 4-in. kilo-pixel kinetic inductance detector array

S. Shu, M. Calvo, J. Goupy, S. Leclercq, A. Catalano, A. Bideaud, A. Monfardini, E.F.C. Driessen

► To cite this version:

S. Shu, M. Calvo, J. Goupy, S. Leclercq, A. Catalano, et al.. Understanding and minimizing resonance frequency deviations on a 4-in. kilo-pixel kinetic inductance detector array. Applied Physics Letters, 2021, 119 (9), pp.092601. 10.1063/5.0058335 . hal-03262633

HAL Id: hal-03262633 https://hal.science/hal-03262633v1

Submitted on 23 Aug 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Export Citatio

Understanding and minimizing resonance frequency deviations on a 4-in. kilo-pixel kinetic inductance detector array

Cite as: Appl. Phys. Lett. **119**, 092601 (2021); doi: 10.1063/5.0058335 Submitted: 28 May 2021 · Accepted: 18 August 2021 · Published Online: 30 August 2021

S. Shu,^{1,a)} (D M. Calvo,² J. Goupy,^{1,2} S. Leclercq,¹ A. Catalano,^{2,3} (D A. Bideaud,² A. Monfardini,² (D and E. F. C. Driessen¹ (D

AFFILIATIONS

¹Institut de RadioAstronomie Millimétrique, 38406 Saint Martin d'Hères, France ²Institut Néel, CNRS and Université Grenoble Alpes, 38042 Grenoble, France ³LPSC, CNRS and Université Grenoble Alpes, 38026 Grenoble, France

^{a)}Present address: California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, USA. Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: shiboshu@caltech.edu

ABSTRACT

One of the advantages of kinetic inductance detectors is their intrinsic frequency domain multiplexing capability. However, fabrication imperfections usually give rise to resonance frequency deviations, which create frequency collision and limit the array yield. Here, we study the resonance frequency deviation of a 4-in. kilo-pixel lumped-element kinetic inductance detector (LEKID) array using optical mapping. Using the measured resonator dimensions and film thickness, the fractional deviation can be explained within $\pm 25 \times 10^{-3}$, whereas the residual deviation is due to variation of electric film properties. Using the capacitor trimming technique, the fractional deviation is decreased by a factor of 14. The yield of the trimming process is found to be 97%. The mapping yield, measured under a 110 K background, is improved from 69% to 76%, which can be further improved to 81% after updating our readout system. With the improvement in yield, the capacitor trimming technique may benefit future large-format LEKID arrays.

Published under an exclusive license by AIP Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0058335

Lumped element kinetic inductance detectors (LEKIDs) have been widely developed for astronomical observations¹⁻⁷ in the last decade. Their intrinsic frequency multiplexing property makes LEKIDs suitable for large detector arrays. In practice, the multiplexing factor per readout line depends on the readout bandwidth, resonance frequency spacing, and resonance width, which is usually limited by background radiation for ground-based observations. As the number of pixels per feedline increases, resonance frequency collision between adjacent resonators becomes problematic. When a collision happens, the readout tone may pick up a signal from a nearby resonance. This affects the number of pixels that are useful for astronomical observations. Frequency collision is usually caused by the variation of material parameters, such as the film thickness, the superconducting transition temperature, and the resonator dimensions across the wafer. Aluminum is commonly used in LEKID design for its easy fabrication, low gap frequency, and long quasiparticle lifetime.⁸ Its low kinetic inductance and resistivity, however, impose thin films (<80 nm) and narrow linewidths ($<4 \mu m$), in order to optimize sensitivity and

optical coupling. For example, the NIKA2 260 GHz array uses a 18 nm thick Al film with a 4 μ m inductor width.³ Intrinsic variation in these parameters gives rise to a large, uncontrolled deviation of the resonance frequency from the design values, leading to many frequency collisions. This causes the number of functional pixels of the NIKA2 260 GHz array to decrease from 84% to 70% under sky background illumination.³

Recently, a corrective technique has been developed, in which scatter in the resonance frequencies is mitigated by a second lithography step adapting the resonator capacitor.^{9,10} This technique has been deployed on LEKID arrays consisting of ~100 resonators. It has been shown that the deviation from design resonance frequency can be improved by a factor 10, going down from the few percent level to <1%. Array yield has been shown to go up to 97% on a 1-in. 112-pixel array.¹⁰

In this Letter, we take this technique one step further, to a telescope-class, 4-in. kilo-pixel LEKID array. This array is designed for the 260 GHz band of the NIKA2 instrument with 2392 pixels,

23 August 2023 09:11:59

designed to have their resonance frequencies spaced by only 1.6 MHz. We have analyzed the causes for resonance frequency deviation for 920 pixels from this array and have applied our corrective technique to these pixels. We show that \sim 90% of the initial frequency deviation can be explained by geometric variations of the individual pixels and that the technique is ready to be deployed on actual telescope-class arrays.

The detector array design in this study is based on the current NIKA2 260 GHz array.¹¹ To optimally take advantage of the angular resolution possible at the IRAM 30-m telescope, the meandering inductor is reduced to a surface area of $1 \times 1 \text{ mm}^{2.12}$ To fully cover the 80 mm diameter focal plane, the total number of pixels is increased to 2392, distributed over 8 feedlines. The resonators are made of t = 18 nm thick Al on a 250 μ m-thick high-resistivity silicon substrate. In our design and calculations, we assumed a surface resistance $R_s = 1.6 \,\Omega/\Box$ and a surface inductance $L_s = 2 \,\mathrm{pH}/\Box$. A 200 nm thick Al film is deposited on the back side of the wafer, serving as the ground of the microstrip feedline and the backshort for optical coupling. The inductor is shaped in a third-order Hilbert curve with a width of 2.5 μ m. An 8-finger interdigitated capacitor (IDC) is used to tune the resonance frequencies from 1.85 to 2.35 GHz, by decreasing the IDC finger lengths pair by pair. The geometry detail is shown in the supplementary material. On different feedlines, the number of resonators varies from 276 to 332 and the designed frequency spacing ranges from 1.510 1.82 MHz. The resonance frequency shift due to coupling between adjacent resonators is optimized to be <55 kHz. The maximum crosstalk between feedlines is smaller than -21 dB. The coupling quality factor Q_c is optimized to 1×10^4 to match the expected internal quality factor under a 50 K sky radiation. The array design detail is shown in the supplementary material.

After fabrication, dicing, and wire bonding, the array is cooled down to 100 mK in a dilution cryostat. Due to a limited number of connections in our test cryostat, only 4 out of 8 feedlines were connected. One feedline was found to be broken, the resulting measurements were performed on 3 feedlines with 920 designed resonators. Both S21 and mapping measurement were performed with the cryostat window open. To locate the position of the resonances on wafer, we performed an optical mapping on this array using a beam map-^{0,12} This mapper consists of a blackbody cooled down to 50 K, in per." front of which a moving 300 K metal ball acts as a source. The Teflon window of this mapper has a 20% emissivity at 300 K, which increases the total background radiation to the equivalent of a 110 K blackbody. The mapping was performed by scanning y-axis at fixed x position. The x step is 1 mm, corresponding to 0.4 mm on focal plane. We scan the metal ball at 4 mm/s, corresponding to 1.6 mm/s on the focal plane. With a readout frequency of 23 Hz, this gives a position resolution of 0.17 mm. Compared with the designed 1.4 mm pitch size between resonators, this configuration has enough accuracy to locate them individually. On each feedline, the resonators were measured simultaneously using a NIKEL readout board.¹³ Figure 1(d) shows the measured fractional resonance frequency deviation, defined as $\delta f/f = (f_{\text{meas}} - f_{\text{des}})/f_{\text{des}}$, where f_{des} is the initially designed resonance frequency and f_{meas} is the measured resonance frequency.

To understand the cause of this frequency deviation, we calculated the frequency deviation through the equation $f_{cal} = 1/(2\pi\sqrt{(L_m+L_k)C})$, where L_m is the magnetic inductance, L_k is the kinetic inductance, and *C* is the capacitance. From simulation using a commercial software package (Sonnet), we have $L_m = 10.56 (w/w_0)^{-0.16}$ nH with the designed inductor width $w_0 = 2.5 \mu m$, and $C = C_0 (w_c/w_{c0})^{0.765}$

with $C_0 = 1/(2\pi f_0)^2 L_m$, where C_0 is the capacitance for each resonator with the designed IDC finger width $w_{c0} = 10\,\mu\text{m}$ and f_0 is the resonance frequency with $L_k = 0$. The kinetic inductance is given by $L_k = L_s(l/w)$, where l = 9 mm is the inductor length. The thickness dependence of L_s is non-trivial since both critical temperature and resistivity vary significantly with film thickness in our thickness range. We use an empirical relation $L_s = 306t^{-1.67}$ pH/ \Box , with t in nanometers, which is obtained from a series of independent measurements on sputter deposited films, while noting that this relation might not be perfectly adapted for our current e-beam evaporated film.

The geometry dimensions used in the calculation are shown in Figs. 1(a)-1(c). The aluminum film thickness was measured just after deposition, using ellipsometry. The thickness varies from 18.0 nm to 19.6 nm over the wafer, in a concentric fashion that is expected from thin film deposition using e-beam evaporation. The inductor width w and IDC finger width w_c are measured for each individual pixel using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Both dimensions show a large variation, with notably a large size reduction in the center of the array. These variations are possibly due to variations in the photolithography process, like the variation in resist thickness, or variations in local wet etching speed, and are under investigation.

Using the calculation described above, we calculate the explained fractional frequency deviation, as shown in Fig. 1(e). The residual, unexplained, frequency deviation is shown in Fig. 1(f). The average residual frequency deviation is 5×10^{-3} , with a standard deviation of 10×10^{-3} (~20 MHz). This is not sufficiently precise to predict resonance frequencies without an optical measurement. We note that the residuals are most significant in a small area in the upper left region of the wafer, which region is also observed in the results after trimming. Moreover, we find a strong correlation (r = 0.8) between residual frequency deviation and aluminum oxide (AlOx) thickness [measured with ellipsometry before film processing, and shown in Fig. 1(g)] for the region where the residuals are $<15 \times 10^{-3}$, suggesting that the residuals are mainly related to L_s . We have no means of measuring L_s or other electrical film parameters locally, due to the dense packing of this telescope-class array.

In the optical mapping, the positions of 635 (69%) resonators were identified. For the unidentified resonators, we used radial basis functions to interpolate or extrapolate their resonance frequencies. We re-designed the resonance frequencies after trimming as $f_{\rm redes}$, ranging from 1.96z to 2.46 GHz for each feedline. As both $f_{\rm des}$ and $f_{\rm redes}$ use the same relation between IDC finger lengths and resonance frequencies, the corresponding trimming frequencies of the patterns on the trimming mask are given by $f_{\rm trim} = f_{\rm des} f_{\rm redes}/f_{\rm meas}$, assuming the same frequency deviation

$$\frac{\delta f}{f} = \frac{f_{\text{redes}} - f_{\text{trim}}}{f_{\text{trim}}}.$$
(1)

The final trimming mask is defined by the difference of the IDC patterns between $f_{\rm des}$ and $f_{\rm trim}$ designs.

As described before,¹⁰ the trimming process consists of a contact lithography and Al wet-etching step. As the photoresist residue in small patterns can block wet-etching, an oxygen plasma was applied to remove the residue after development. During etching process, a large



FIG. 1. (a) Al thickness map measured using ellipsometer. (b) Inductor width map measured using SEM. (c) Capacitor width map measured using SEM. (d) The measured fractional frequency deviation before trimming. (e) The calculated fractional frequency deviation. (f) The difference of $\delta f/f$ between (d) and (e). (g) AlOx thickness map measured using ellipsometer.

part of the backside 200 nm Al was etched away, so a new layer of 200 nm Al was sputtered on top of the Al residue.

After trimming, the array was re-bonded and cooled down to 100 mK using the same setup as in the first characterization. S21 and mapping measurements are applied on the same three feedlines. The resonance frequencies after trimming f_{remeas} range as the redesigned frequency f_{redes} . After trimming, the overall standard deviation of $\delta f/f$ is 1.13×10^{-3} , improved by a factor of 14. For the resonators identified beforehand, the standard deviation is $\delta f/f$ is 0.96×10^{-3} , indicating that the radial basis function used to inter/extrapolate the resonance frequencies works well on large format arrays. We also notice that trimming one or two pairs of IDC fingers give similar accuracy.

Figure 2(a) shows two groups of resonators on the array, with a similar standard deviation of $\sim 3 \times 10^{-4}$ but different mean values. One group has $\delta f/f < 0$, and its mean value of -1.7 MHz is consistent with previous trimming results,^{9,10} due to Al film aging. The other resonator group with $\delta f/f > 0$ is located at the upper region of the array, partly overlapping with where the residuals $>15 \times 10^{-3}$. From the residual map [Fig. 1(g)], we know that this region has a lower L_s compared with calculation, indicating a lower R_s . However, this should not give two groups of $\delta f/f$ if aging happens uniformly. This positive $\delta f/f$ suggests that L_s is either unchanged (no aging) or slightly increased, as L_s should not decrease. By checking previous data, we confirm that this AlOx pattern was reproducible. One possible reason is nonuniformity of the argon plasma cleaning, just before the Al deposition, which creates a varying roughness of the substrate. Larger substrate roughness would facilitate film ageing.

 Q_c and Q_i are extracted from S21 measured using VNA under a 110 K background. After trimming, $Q_c \sim 10^4$ remains the same, while Q_i is decreased by 40% from 14×10^3 to 8.4×10^3 . As using a 40 K background radiation gives 25% higher Q_i in both cases, Q_i here are limited by the 110 K background radiation. In a previous experiment, we observed an average dark Q_i of 60×10^3 after trimming, much higher than the optical Q_i here. The film aging and the trimming process may have little effect on our Q_i , which is limited by the loss of quasiparticles created by photons. To compare the sensitivity, we directly take the peak response of the mapping source, shown in Fig. 3(b), as the noise is dominated by the 1/fnoise of the background temperature variation and the readout board. The peak response of the mapping source decreases from 4.6 to 3.9 kHz after trimming (Fig. 3), consistent with the 21% decrease in Q_r from 9.3 $\times 10^3$ to 7.3 $\times 10^3$.

As the inductor geometry is untouched during trimming and the background temperature of the mapping system is stable, we can remove the influence of dimensions and incident power. The high trimming accuracy of $|\delta f/f| < 2 \times 10^{-3}$ suggests that the change in sheet resistance R_s and gap energy Δ of the Al film should also be at the same level, according to $L_s = \hbar R_s / \pi \Delta$, which cannot explain the 40% degradation of Q_i . Using Mattis–Bardeen theory,¹⁴ the equivalent temperatures corresponding to the observed Q_i are 334 and 361 mK before and after trimming, much larger than the 100 mK bath temperature, suggesting that a small change in heat sinking may give this



FIG. 2. (a) $\delta f/f$ map after trimming. (b) Histograms of $\delta f/f$ before and after trimming. (c) Comparison of the trimming results on all resonators and the resonance frequencies identified resonators. The difference suggests the inter/extrapolation gives a similar result. A two Gaussian fit of $\delta f/f$ of the identified resonators is plotted (dashed line), which gives $\mu_1 = -7.8 \times 10^{-4}$ and $\sigma_1 = 3.1 \times 10^{-4}$ and $\mu_2 = 3.9 \times 10^{-4}$ and $\sigma_2 = 3.4 \times 10^{-4}$.

degradation of Q_i . The heat sinking of this array is determined by the contact between the backshort Al film and the Al sample holder. In a similar measurement, we observed that using an Al–Au bilayer as a backshort and a copper sample holder increased the Q_i from 3×10^3 to 10×10^3 under a 300 K optical loading. Because the backside Al is re-deposited, as described above, we suggest that the decrease in Q_i is due to a decrease in heat sinking. This could be remedied by using a Ti–Au bilayer backshort, which can trap phonons,¹⁵ improve heat-sinking, and is resistant to the Al etching process.



FIG. 3. (a) Histogram of Q_i under a 110 K blackbody radiation before and after trimming. The resonances shown in the histogram as $Q_i = 0$ do not have proper fitting results possibly due to frequency collision. (b) Peak resonator responses of the 300 K source.

The yield of this array was measured under the 110 K blackbody radiation, a typical on-telescope condition. For reference, the on-telescope sky background radiation varies from 30 K to 180 K depending on the atmospheric opacity.¹⁶ After trimming, the yield is increased from 69% to 76% counted from optical mapping results. For all counted pixels, no crosstalk is observed from the two-dimensional mapping results, with the signal to noise ratio of 10. 45 resonators, are missed by the readout system due to the limited number of readout tones. Including these missed resonators, the final yield is 81%, 10% higher than the on-telescope yield of the current NIKA2 260 GHz array,³ while the initial fabrication yield is 84% for both arrays. The yield could be further increased by improving our fabrication process. 23 resonances were broken during the trimming process, giving the trimming fabrication yield of 97%.

In conclusion, we have studied and minimized the resonance frequency deviations in a 4-in. kilo-pixel LEKID array. The calculation agrees with the measurement within an accuracy of $\pm 25 \times 10^{-3}$. The decrease in the optical-loaded quality factor, after trimming, could be explained by a degradation of the heat sinking instead of a film property change. After trimming, the mapping yield, measured under a 110 K background, is improved from 69% to 76%, which can be further improved to 81% after updating our readout system. This 7%–12% improvement in yield within fixed readout bandwidth suggests that the trimming technique is capable to improve the ontelescope yield, which may benefit future large-format LEKID arrays.

See the supplementary material for the design in detail.

The authors thank D. Billon-Pierron and A. Barbier for experimental help and K. F. Schuster for useful discussions. This work has been partially funded by LabEx FOCUS ANR-1-LABX-0013.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

REFERENCES

- ¹P. K. Day, H. G. LeDuc, B. A. Mazin, A. Vayonakis, and J. Zmuidzinas, Nat. **425**, 817 (2003).
- 2S. Doyle, P. Mauskopf, J. Naylon, A. Porch, and C. Duncombe, J. Low Temp. Phys. 151, 530 (2008).
- ³R. Adam, A. Adane, P. Ade, P. André, A. Andrianasolo, H. Aussel, A. Beelen, A. Benoit, A. Bideaud, N. Billot *et al.*, Astron. Astrophys. **609**, A115 (2018).
- ⁴A. Wandui, J. J. Bock, C. Frez, M. Hollister, L. Minutolo, H. Nguyen, B. Steinbach, A. Turner, J. Zmuidzinas, and R. O'Brient, J. Appl. Phys. 128, 044508 (2020).
- ⁵J. Austermann, J. Beall, S. Bryan, B. Dober, J. Gao, G. Hilton, J. Hubmayr, P. Mauskopf, C. McKenney, S. Simon *et al.*, J. Low Temp. Phys. **193**, 120 (2018).
- ⁶J. Wheeler, S. Hailey-Dunsheath, E. Shirokoff, P. Barry, C. Bradford, S. Chapman, G. Che, S. Doyle, J. Glenn, S. Gordon *et al.*, J. Low Temp. Phys. 193, 408 (2018).
- ⁷A. B. Walter, N. Fruitwala, S. Steiger, J. I. Bailey III, N. Zobrist, N. Swimmer, I. Lipartito, J. P. Smith, S. R. Meeker, C. Bockstiegel *et al.*, Publ. Astron. Soc. Pacific 132, 125005 (2020).
- ⁸B. A. Mazin, "Superconducting materials for microwave kinetic inductance detectors," arXiv:2004.14576 (2020).
- ⁹X. Liu, W. Guo, Y. Wang, M. Dai, L. Wei, B. Dober, C. McKenney, G. Hilton, J. Hubmayr, J. Austermann *et al.*, Appl. Phys. Lett. **111**, 252601 (2017).

¹⁰S. Shu, M. Calvo, J. Goupy, S. Leclercq, A. Catalano, A. Bideaud, A. Monfardini, and E. F. Driessen, Appl. Phys. Lett. **113**, 082603 (2018).

¹¹M. Calvo, A. Benoît, A. Catalano, J. Goupy, A. Monfardini, N. Ponthieu, E. Barria, G. Bres, M. Grollier, G. Garde, J. P. Leggeri, G. Pont, S. Triqueneaux, R. Adam, O. Bourrion, J. F. Macías-Pérez, M. Rebolo, J. P. Scordilis, D. Tourres, A. Adane, G. Coiffard, S. Leclercq, F. X. Désert, S. Doyle, P. Mauskopf, C. Tucker, P. Ade, P. André, A. Beelen, B. Belier, A. Bideaud, N. Billot, B. Comis, A. D'Addabbo, C. Kramer, J. Martino, F. Mayet, F. Pajot, E. Pascale, L. Perotto, V. Revéret, A. Ritacco, L. Rodriguez, G. Savini, K. Schuster, A. Sievers, and R. Zylka, J. Low Temp. Phys. 184, 816 (2016).

- ¹²S. Shu, M. Calvo, S. Leclercq, J. Goupy, A. Monfardini, and E. Driessen, J. Low Temp. Phys. **193**, 141 (2018).
- ¹³O. Bourrion, A. Benoit, J. Bouly, J. Bouvier, G. Bosson, M. Calvo, A. Catalano, J. Goupy, C. Li, J. Macías-Pérez *et al.*, J. Instrum. 11, P11001 (2016).
- ¹⁴D. C. Mattis and J. Bardeen, Phys. Rev. 111, 412 (1958).
- ¹⁵K. Karatsu, A. Endo, J. Bueno, P. de Visser, R. Barends, D. Thoen, V. Murugesan, N. Tomita, and J. Baselmans, Appl. Phys. Lett. **114**, 032601 (2019).
- ¹⁶L. Perotto, N. Ponthieu, J. Macías-Pérez, R. Adam, P. Ade, P. André, A. Andrianasolo, H. Aussel, A. Beelen, A. Benoît *et al.*, Astron. Astrophys. 637, A71 (2020).