

Modelling glacier-bed overdeepenings as sites for possible future lakes in deglaciating landscapes of the French Alps

Maëva Cathala, Florence Magnin, Andreas Linsbauer, Wilfried Haeberli

▶ To cite this version:

Maëva Cathala, Florence Magnin, Andreas Linsbauer, Wilfried Haeberli. Modelling glacier-bed overdeepenings as sites for possible future lakes in deglaciating landscapes of the French Alps. Géomorphologie: relief, processus, environnement, 2021, 27 (1), pp.19-36. 10.4000/geomorphologie.15255. hal-03024111

HAL Id: hal-03024111

https://hal.science/hal-03024111

Submitted on 25 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Copyright

1 Modelling glacier-bed overdeepenings as sites for possible future lakes in deglaciating 2 landscapes of the French Alps 3 Modéliser les surcreusements sous glaciaires pour déterminer les futurs lacs potentiels dans 4 les Alpes françaises Authors: M. Cathala*1, F. Magnin1, A. Linsbauer 2,3, W. Haeberli2 5 6 ¹ Edytem laboratory – UMR 5204 CNRS – Savoie Mont Blanc University – 73376 Le Bourget du Lac 7 - France 8 ² Geography Department – University of Zurich – 8057 Zurich – Switzerland 9 ³ Department of Geosciences – University of Fribourg – 1700 Fribourg – Switzerland 10 11 maeva.cathala@yahoo.fr (Maëva Cathala) 12 florence.magnin@univ-smb.fr (Florence Magnin) 13 andreas.linsbauer@geo.uzh.ch (Andreas Linsbauer) 14 wilfried.haeberli@geo.uzh.ch (Wilfried Haeberli) 15 16 *Maëva Cathala: Tel: +33 (0)x xx xx xx xx 17 18 **Abstract** 19 Glacier retreat results in major landscape changes including the formation of new lakes in 20 Glacier-Bed Overdeepenings (GBOs) that can provoke catastrophic Glacial Lake Outburst 21 Flood (GLOF) hazards, but could also provide economic opportunities. This study aimed to 22 identify and characterize the location of potential GBOs in the French Alps as possible sites for 23 future lakes. We first ran GlabTop (Glacier-bed Topography) and GlabTop 2 models, two GIS 24 schemes calculating glacier ice thickness and mapping potential GBOs. Their level of 25 confidence is estimated using morphological analysis based on slope angle, crevasse fields and 26 lateral narrowing at bedrock thresholds. 139 GBOs (> 0.01 km²) were predicted among which 27 59 have medium to very high confidence. 19 lakes are already forming at the snout of retreating 28 glaciers, including 2 lakes at predicted GBOs < 0,01km². The Vanoise massif hosts 43% of the 29 predicted GBOs but the Mont Blanc massif gathers larger, deeper and more voluminous ones. 30 Most of the predicted GBOs are below 3500 m a.s.l. and is related to that of glaciers. In the 31 Vanoise massif, many predicted GBOs have rather low level of confidence because of the extent 32 of ice-cap like glaciers for which the shear stress approach used by GlabTop becomes

questionable. Furthermore, 58 potential GBOs were detected by visual analysis of glacier morphologies. The study highlights the relevance of combining various methods to determine GBOs and is a first step towards the anticipation of future risks and opportunities related to the formation of new lakes in the French Alps.

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

33

34

35

36

Résumé

Dans le contexte actuel de retrait glaciaire, les paysages laissent parfois place à la formation de nouveaux lacs dans les surcreusements des lits glaciaires. Ces lacs peuvent constituer ou amplifier des aléas naturels (e.g. vidange brutale d'un lac) ou être source d'opportunités économiques. Cette étude vise à identifier et caractériser les surcreusements sous glaciaires pour déterminer les futurs lacs potentiels dans les Alpes françaises. La topographie sous glaciaire est modélisée grâce aux modèles GlabTop (Glacier-bed Topography) et GlabTop 2 qui calculent l'épaisseur des glaciers et cartographient les surcreusements potentiels. Le niveau de confiance des surcreusements est estimé en analysant la morphologie des glaciers (i.e. angle de pente, crevasses, présence/absence de verrou rocheux). 139 GBO (> 0,01 km²) ont été détectés, dont 59 qui ont un niveau de confiance moyen à très élevé. L'approche utilisée n'est pas adaptée aux calottes glaciaires, ce qui explique certains faibles niveaux de confiance en Vanoise. 19 lacs se forment déjà au front de certains glaciers, dont 2 dans des surcreusements < 0,01km². 43% des surcreusements détectés sont en Vanoise, mais le massif du Mont Blanc rassemble les plus grands, les plus profonds et les plus volumineux. La majorité des surcreusements sont en dessous de 3500 m d'altitude. 58 surcreusements potentiels ont également été détectés en analysant visuellement la morphologie des glaciers. L'étude souligne la pertinence de combiner différentes méthodes pour détecter les surcreusements et constitue une étape clé vers l'anticipation des risques et opportunités liés à la formation de nouveaux lacs dans les Alpes françaises.

58

59

Keywords

- 60 Deglaciating landscapes, Glacier Bed Overdeepenings, potential future lakes, French Alps,
- 61 hazards anticipation

62

63

Mots clés

- 64 Déglaciation, surcreusements glaciaires, futurs lacs potentiels, Alpes françaises, anticipation
- 65 des aléas naturels.

1. Introduction

66

96

97

98

67 Global warming is particularly pronounced in high mountain environments and has accelerated 68 in the past decades (IPCC, 2019). In the French Alps, air temperature has increased by +1.5 °C 69 to +2.1 °C since 1950 (Einhorn et al., 2015). This has strong impacts on the Alpine cryosphere, 70 such as rising of the rain-snow limit by about 400 m since the 1980s (Böhm et al., 2010), 71 permafrost degradation (Magnin et al., 2017; PERMOS, 2019) and glacier retreat (Zemp et al., 72 2015). In the European Alps, glacier surface area has decreased by 50% and lost 200 km³ 73 between the end of the Little Ice Age (LIA, 1850) and 2000 (Zemp et al., 2006). This trend has 74 clearly accelerated in the recent decades (Huss, 2012; Zekollari et al., 2019). 75 The ongoing deglaciation results in an extension of periglacial environments and sometimes of 76 new lakes forming in glacier bed overdeepenings (GBO). These lakes are by essence located in 77 unstable and transient environments. They could be a source of natural hazards related to 78 Glacial Lake Outburst Floods (GLOF) that could be provoked by sudden dam break or by 79 displacement waves triggered by mass movements (Allen et al., 2016b; Ashraf et al., 2012; 80 Clague and Evans, 2000; Emmer, 2017; Hubbard et al., 2005). Glacial lakes are sometimes 81 located near potentially unstable slopes subject to debuttressing and/or permafrost degradation 82 and can amplify gravitational hazards such as rock avalanches (Haeberli et al., 2017) provoking 83 chains of processes that can travel over long distances and reach valley floors (Byers et al., 84 2018; Walter et al., 2020). When such far-reaching cascading processes happen in densely 85 populated valleys, the socio-economic impacts can be dramatic (Carey, 2005, 2012). 86 Beyond the threat, those new water bodies can also be an opportunity for hydroelectricity 87 production with the creation of hydraulic dams (Haeberli et al., 2016), or through their 88 attractiveness for tourists (Purdie et al., 2013). 89 Nevertheless, GBOs not always turn into perennial lakes as they are sometimes filled with 90 sediments, or they can drain when lakes form in permeable morainic material (Haeberli et al., 91 2001). In the French Alps, this was for example the case at the front of the emblematic Mer de 92 Glace (Mont Blanc massif) where a lake was present in the 1990s but was progressively filled 93 with sediments (Deline et al. 2012; Magnin et al., 2020) or at the snout of Pèlerins glacier where 94 a lake formed in 2015 but drained in 2016 (Magnin et al., 2020). 95 Recently developed models, based on variable physical simplifications and input data are able

to calculate spatially distributed ice thicknesses (Farinotti et al., 2009) and the resulting sub-

glacial topography, revealing the location of potential GBOs in which lakes could form

(Linsbauer et al., 2009, 2012; Paul and Linsbauer, 2012). Such studies have already been

conducted in various mountain ranges such as, for instance, the Himalayas (Linsbauer et al., 2016), in Peruvian Andes (Colonia et al. 2015; Drenkhan et al., 2018), Central Asia (Kapitsa et al., 2017) and in Switzerland (Linsbauer et al., 2012). They are a necessary first step towards assessing GLOFs hazards and their impacts (GAPHAZ, 2017) and integrative modelling of future landscapes in glacial and periglacial areas (Haeberli, 2017). In the French Alps, potential future lakes have so far been investigated in the Mont Blanc massif (Magnin et al., 2020). This work aims at extending the latter study to the entire French Alps in order to provide relevant baseline to anticipate risks and opportunities associated with future lake formation in presently still glacier-covered areas. To do so, we ran GlabTop (Glacier-bed Topography) and GlabTop 2 models, two GIS schemes calculating ice thicknesses from surface slope via basal shear stresses, with a different level of automation, and which both provide a map and morphometrics of potential GBOs. This method is combined with a visual analysis based on morphological criteria proposed by Frey et al. (2010), which helps in determining the level of confidence for each modelled GBO. In addition, the results of the simulations are evaluated by comparing predicted GBOs with lakes that newly formed or did not form in recently deglaciated areas. The results finally point to potential "hot spots" that could be more closely investigated in the future in order to assess related hazards, risks and opportunities.

2. The French Alps, a deglaciating mountain range

The French Alps are the western edge of the European Alpine arc and extend from the Mont Blanc massif in the north to the Mercantour adjoining the Mediterranean Sea in the south. With the Pyrenees, it is one of the two, but also the most glacierized mountain range in the mainland of France. According to the inventory by Gardent et al., 581 glaciers were counted in 2006/2009, covering 275,4 km² The smallest is a glacieret in the Ecrins massif (Bans glacier – 0.0015 km²) and the largest is the Mer de Glace in the Mont Blanc massif (30.5 km²). They are mostly located in the three highest-elevated massifs: Mont Blanc (83 glaciers), Vanoise (174) and Ecrins massifs (282). Some small glaciers also exist in the Belledonnes, Grandes Rousses and Ubaye massifs (fig. 1), while only glacierets persist in the Mercantour.

The mean altitude at which glaciers terminate in the French Alps is 2840 m a.s.l. The lowest altitudes are reached by glaciers of the Mont Blanc massif: the Bossons glacier (1429 m a.s.l.), the Mer de Glace (1531 m a.s.l.) and the Argentière glacier (1590 m a.s.l.). In the Ecrins and Vanoise massifs, the lowest frontal altitudes are reached by debris-covered glaciers:

respectively the Noir glacier (2174 m a.s.l.) and the Pramort glacier (2325 m a.s.l.).

132 According to Gardent et al. (2014), glaciers have lost about half of their surface area between 133 the end of the LIA and the 2006/2009 period in the Ecrins, Vanoise and Mont Blanc massifs. 134 These authors also explain that glacier recession was 2.5 times faster between the 1967-1971 135 and 2006-2009 periods than between the end of the LIA and 1967-1971, with a decrease in 136 glacier surface area of about 25% over the more recent period. Due to glacier retreat, Gardent 137 (2014) inventoried 443 new ponds and lakes in proglacial areas since the LIA, of which 227 are 138 over 500 m². Of these larger water bodies, 14 are located behind potentially unstable dams 139

140

141 Fig. 1 – Location map: The French Alps and their main ice-covered massifs. (Coordinate system :

consisting of non-consolidated morainic material).

- 142
- 143 Fig. 1 - Carte de localisation: Les Alpes françaises et leur massifs englacés. (Système de
- 144 coordonnées: WGS84).

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

By the end of the 21st century, Alpine glaciers are expected to lose about 75% to 95% of their volume, depending on greenhouse gas emissions scenarios (Zekollari et al., 2019). In France, detailed projections have been proposed for only two of the largest glaciers: the Argentière and the Mer de Glace in the Mont Blanc massif (Vincent et al., 2019). This study suggests that these glaciers are likely to almost completely disappear before 2100 in the case of the most pessimistic scenario (RCP 8.5), and that the latter would be reduced to about 20% of its current surface area in a more optimistic scenario (RCP4.5). No detailed glacier retreat projection has so far been conducted at the scale of the entire French Alps. However, the glacier inventory provided by Gardent et al. (2014) offers the opportunity to predict possible locations of potential GBOs with the GlabTop model (Linsbauer et al., 2012).

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

3. Methods and data

The approach for determining GBOs as possible future lake locations in the French Alps is conducted by first running GlabTop and GlabTop 2 models, which use the same basic assumptions but differ by their level of automation. Then, after comparing and compiling results of both models, a morphological analysis is conducted on each predicted GBO to evaluate the level of confidence attributed to the prediction. We also evaluate the predictions by comparing recently formed water bodies and locations of simulated GBOs. Finally, we complete our investigation with a visual analysis of potential GBOs that would possibly have been overlooked by GlabTop approaches.

166

167

168

169

170

171172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

164

165

3.1. Modelling GBOs with GlabTop and GlabTop 2

The original GlabTop model is a GIS scheme which determines glacier bed topography using glacier outlines, a Digital Elevation Model (DEM) and manually drawn glacier branch lines (Linsbauer et al., 2009, Paul and Linsbauer, 2012). It calculates the ice thickness from surface slope at points sampled from the digitalized branch lines, assuming a relation between the basal shear stress and glacier elevation range as a governing factor of mass turn-over. Then, the ice thickness is subtracted from the original DEM to product a new DEM without glaciers. On this latter DEM, GBOs are delineated by GlabTop and can be interpreted as places for potential futures lakes. GlabTop 2 runs on the same principles but is more appropriate to be applied over large areas as it is fully automatized and does not require manually digitized branch lines on each glacier. Thus, ice thickness is not derived along branch lines but is estimated by the average slope of all gridcells within a certain buffer on each glacier (Frey and al., 2014, Linsbauer and al., 2016). GlabTop2 GBOs are delineated based on modelled ice thickness according to the same procedure as with GlabTop. We run GlabTop and GlabTOP 2 with the 25 m resolution DEM of the French Institute for Geography (IGN), the data basis of which was acquired between 2000 and 2010. As GlabTop2 performs reliable on a DEM resolution between 50 to 100 m, we resampled the input DEM to 50 m resolution. Glacier outlines were the ones from Gardent et al. (2014) drawn from orthorectified photographs taken between 2004 and 2009. Those are not the most updated contour lines, but they have the advantage to cover recently deglaciated forefield areas where lakes may, or may not, have formed and could be compared with predicted GBOs (sect. 3.2). Furthermore, the outlines (2004-2009) fit with the DEM (2000-2010), which is also important point for GlabTop modelling. To run GlabTop, branch lines were manually digitized on each glacier following advices from Paul and Linsbauer (2012), that is from bottom to top and perpendicularly to the contour lines of surface elevation. Each branch line ends about 100 m from the glacier outline and branch lines have a width interval of 200 m to 400 m. To analyse the model results, the outputs of GlabTop and GlabTop 2 are combined. Where

GBOs are predicted with both models, those from GlabTop 2 are removed. The morphometric

characterization of predicted GBOs is thus mostly based on those predicted with GlabTop, but in most cases, the location is confirmed with GlabTop2.

3.2. Evaluation of GlabTop results

An evaluation of the results was conducted by comparing predicted GBOs with the presence or absence of lakes in most recently deglaciated areas. As GlabTop was applied to glacier outlines collected between 2004 and 2009, it is now possible to use more recent images available on Google Earth to determine whether lakes have formed or not where GBOS had been predicted. Magnin et al. (2020) already carried out such an analysis for the Mont Blanc massif, showing that water bodies have formed in 5 out of the 8 predicted GBOs at retreating glacier margins. In this study, we extend these results to the entire French Alps.

3.3. Determining the level of confidence for the predicted GBOs

To determine the level of confidence for each predicted GBO, a morphological analysis based on four criteria defined by Frey et al. (2010) is conducted. This analysis uses Google Earth images and considers (i) the slope angle at predicted GBO, (ii) the presence/absence of a break in slope angle downstream of the predicted GBO, (iii) the presence/absence of a bedrock threshold and/or a glacier narrowing at the predicted GBO outlet, and (iv) the presence/absence of a transition between a crevasse-free area and a crevasse field which indicates a transition from compressing to extending ice flow downstream of the predicted GBOs. For each criterion, a value ranging from 0 to 5 is attributed according to its obviousness (tab. 1). The sum of each criterion value is then calculated for each GBO, which can reach a maximum of 20 (four times 5), similar to Magnin et al. (2020).

- Table 1 Morphological analysis criteria used to determine the level of confidence for the predicted GBOs, following the approach proposed by Magnin et al. (2020).
- Table 1 Les critères de l'analyse morphologique utilisée pour déterminer le niveau de confiance des
 surcreusements glaciaires prédits, d'après l'approche proposée par Magnin et al. (2020).

- When one of the criteria cannot be analysed (such as the transition between crevasse-free area and crevasse field in the case of debris covered glaciers) the total value cannot exceed 15. Then, a percentage of cumulated values relative to the maximum total value is calculated as follow:
- 228 $(\sum 3 \text{ criteria})/15 \times 100$

- 229 $(\sum 4 \text{ criteria})/20 \times 100$
- The third and final step consists in determining a level of confidence from 0 to 5 for each GBO
- which was defined according to the percentage of cumulated values as follow:
- \sim < 10% = extremly low confidence (0/5)
- 233 \geq 10% < 30% = very low confidence (1/5)
- -234 ≥ 30% < 50% = low confidence (2/5)
- \sim 235 ≥ 50% < 70 % = medium confidence (3/5)
- 236 ≥ 70% < 90% = high confidence (4/5)
- \sim 237 ≥ 90% = very high confidence (5/5)
- 238 This classification is largely based on subjective and qualitative assertions but involves
- 239 integrative expert judgements related to the basic physics of glacier flow through overdeepened
- parts of their beds. It represents a strong and independent possibility to assess the degree of
- 241 uncertainty and confidence with respect to GBOs predicted using numerical model calculations.
- 242
- 3.4. Visual identification of other potentials GBOs.
- Some potential GBOs are not detected by the models, possibly due to the lack of accuracy or
- 245 precision of the GlabTop input data, notably the DEM, which can smooth the topography and
- prevent the model from detecting possible breaks in slope angle (Magnin et al., 2020).
- Furthermore, the GlabTop model does not consider erosion-resistant bedrock ridges on lateral
- slopes and corresponding width-reduction of glacier flow, or spatial patterns of crevasse
- occurrences which are all important criteria defining potential GBOs. Glacier tongues can also
- be a limit in GBO-prediction where glacier outlines and DEM do not correspond in detail.
- In order to complete the results of GlabTop and GlabTop 2 and to compensate the model limits,
- an additional analysis was performed by visually detecting potential GBOs based on transition
- 253 from flat to steep glacier surface (criteria (i) and (ii)). In the same way as the predicted GBOs,
- 254 the levels of confidence of those visually detected have also been determined by considering
- 255 the other criteria. More specifically, a potential GBO is first detected at places where the criteria
- related to slope angles (i) and (ii) are ≥ 3 as proposed in Magnin et al. (2020), and then the
- presence/absence of crevasse fields and bedrock threshold is also analysed to classify the GBOs
- visually detected according to their level of confidence.
- 259

4. Results

- Here we present the GBOs predicted by GlabTop, their main characteristics (localisation,
- surface area, depth, volumes, altitude) and the classification of GBOs likelihood by
- 263 morphological analysis. We also evaluate the predicted GBOs comparing GlabTop outputs with
- water bodies in recently deglaciated ice-marginal areas. Finally, we complete the GlabTop
- result detecting other potential GBOs by a glacier morphological analysis.

- 4.1. Characteristics of the predicted GBOs
- 268 *4.1.1. General features and data sorting*
- 269 Predicted GBOs with GlabTop and GlabTop2 have similar locations (fig. 2).

270

- Fig. 1 GBOs predicted with GlabTop (yellow lines) and GlabTop 2 (orange lines).
- 272 Fig. 2 Surcreusements sous glaciaires prédits par GlabTop (lignes jaune) et GlabTop 2 (lignes
- 273 orange).
- A: Mont Blanc massif; B: Ecrins massif; C: Vanoise massif; D: Grandes Rousses massif; E: Ubaye
- 275 massif. (Coordinate system: Lambert 93 / RGF 93).
- 276 A: massif du Mont Blanc; B: massif des Ecrins; C: massif de la Vanoise; D: massif des Grandes
- 277 Rousses ; E : massif de l'Ubaye. (Système de coordonnées : Lambert 93 / RGF 93).
- 278 (MdG: Mer de Glace; Pel.: Pèlerins glacier; L.: Leschaux glacier; Bos.: Bossons glacier; Tac.: Taconnaz
- 279 glacier; Bio.: Bionnassay glacier; T.T.: Tré la Tête Glacier; Inv.: Invernet glacier; l'Ar.: l'Argentière
- 280 glacier; Gur.: Gurraz glacier; Fd.: Fond glacier; Sas.: Sassière glacier; R.G.: Rhêmes Golette glacier;
- Pra.: Pramort glacier; GM.: Grande Motte glacier; Ros.: Rosolin glacier; Ep.: Epéna glacier; Arc.:
- Arcelin glacier; Mar.: Grand Marchet glacier; Geb.: Gebroulaz glacier; Chav.: Chavière glacier; Pis.:
- 283 Pisaillas glacier; Mo.: Montet glacier; Gef.: Géfret glacier; M.M.: Méan Martin glacier; Vlnt.: Vallonnet
- 284 glacier; Va.I.: Vallonnet Inférieur glacier; Va.S.: Vallonnet Supérieur glacier; SdA.: Sources de l'Arc
- glacier; Mul.: Mulinet glacier; G.M.: Grand Méan glacier; Son.: Sonailles; Evet.: Evettes glacier; G.F.:
- 286 Grand Fond glacier; Mic.: Roche Michel glacier; Bao.: Baounet glacier; Mel.: Rochemelon glacier;
- Som.: Sommellier glacier; Mt.L.: Mont de Lans glacier; Gir.: Girose glacier; Rat.: Rateau glacier; PdA.:
- Plate des Agneaux glacier; B.P.: Bonne Pierre glacier; VdE: Vallon des Etages glacier; Char.: Chardon
- glacier; S.W.: Sellettes glacier West; S.E.: Sellettes glacier East; Pil.: Pilatte glacier; Cond.: Condamines
- 290 glacier; Bar.: Barbarate glacier).

291

- 292 However, GlabTop 2 predicts more GBOs than GlabTop, notably at the snout of narrow glacier
- 293 tongues (fig 3). This is most probably an effect of digitized branch lines in GlabTop.

- 295 Fig. 3 Example where only GlabTop 2 predicts GBOs at the snout of narrow glacier tongues).
- 296 (The red lines are the flowlines used for GlabTop among which ice thickness is calculated. The orange
- polygons are the predicted GBOs with GlabTop 2).
- 298 Fig. 3 Exemple de lieux où seulement GlabTop 2 prédit un surcreusement sous glaciaire au front
- 299 d'une langue glaciaire. (Les lignes rouges sont les lignes de flux utilisées par GlabTop pour calculer
- 300 l'épaisseur de Glace. Les polygones orange sont les surcreusements sous glaciaires prédits par
- 301 *GlabTop 2*).
- 302 A: Chauvet glacier (Ubaye massif); B: Vallon des Etages glacier (Ecrins massif).
- 303 A: glacier de Chauvet (massif de l'Ubaye); B: glacier du Vallon des Etages (massif des Ecrins).
- 304
- Part of the GBOs predicted with GlabTop2 have higher values for surface area and mean depth,
- resulting in correspondingly larger volumes (fig. 4; tab. 2). The third quartile of the surface area
- is at 0.11 km² with GlabTop and at 0.14 km² with GlabTop 2, and the third quartile of the mean
- depth is at 18 m with GlabTop and at 18.5 m with GlabTop 2. This explains the higher volumes
- with GlabTop 2 with a third quartile at 3.3 Mm³ compared to GlabTop which the third quartile
- 310 is at 1.7 Mm³. However, the median values and most extreme outliers of these variables are
- 311 slightly more important with GlabTop. The median value of the surface area is at 0.04 km² with
- GlabTop and 0.035 km² with GlabTop 2 while the ones of the maximum depth is 25 m with the
- former and 17.5 m with the latter. GlabTop also predicts greater maximum depth for more than
- 314 half of the predicted GBOs, but the most extreme outliers of this variable are found with
- GlabTop2. Such differences are discussed in more details below (section 5.1).

- Fig. 4 Comparison of the surface area, max depth, mean depth, and volume of the GBOs between
- 318 GlabTop and GlabTop 2.
- 319 Fig. 4 Comparaison de la superficie, de la profondeur maximum et du volume des surcreusements
- 320 sous glaciaires entre GlabTop et GlabTop 2

- 322 Predicted GBOs are most abundant in the Vanoise massif but the most extensive and most
- voluminous ones are found in the Mont Blanc massif (tab. 2). Maximum depths differ between
- 324 the two GlabTop versions and from massif to massif. A maximum-depth value exceeding 100
- m is produced by both model versions in the Mont Blanc massif, while in the Vanoise and
- Ecrins massifs it only results from GlabTop 2.
- When analysing predicted GBO morphometrics in more detail, it has to be kept in mind that
- depths and volumes of GBOs tend to be generally underestimated (Magnin et al., 2020).

329 Nevertheless, those morphometrics can be used to compare GBOs with each other. In order to 330 compare general GBO characteristics for different mountain ranges, we combine the outputs of 331 GlabTop and GlabTop 2 and suppress duplicated GBOs at individual sites and keep only one 332 per location. We thereby gave priority to the GlabTop output. A total of 139 GBOs (> 0.01 km²) 333 was finally found for the entire French Alps, including 40 GBOs in the Mont Blanc massif, 60 334 in the Vanoise, 30 in the Ecrins, 6 in the Grandes Rousses, 2 in the Ubaye and 1 in 335 the Ruans massifs. 336 In the Mont Blanc massif, it is necessary to specify that when comparing our GlabTop outputs 337 with those of Magnin et al., (2020), 6 GBOs were not identified by Magnin et al. (under the 338 Tour, Bossons, Mer de glace, Géant and Bionassay glaciers), while 5 others had been predicted 339 contrary to our previous results (under the Géant, Mer de Glace, Bossons and Bionassay 340 glaciers). This could be explained by the different DEM and branch lines sets used in the two 341 studies. 342 343 Table 2 – Comparison of the predicted GBOs characteristics with GlabTop (GT) and GlabTop 2 344 (GT2) for each massif of the French Alps. 345 Table 2 – Comparaison des surcreusements glaciaires prédits avec GlabTop (GT) et GlabTop 2 (GT2) 346 pour chaque massif des Alpes françaises. 347 348 4.1.2. Surface areas of predicted GBOs 349 The predicted GBOs represent a total surface area of 9.48 km², about 3.5% of the glacier surface 350 area. Half of it is in the Mont Blanc massif (4.81 km², 4.7% of the glacier surface area in the 351 Mont Blanc massif), about 29% in the Vanoise massif (2.74 km², 3% of the glacier surface area 352 in Vanoise massif) and 16% in the Ecrins massif (1.56 km², 2.3% of the glacier surface area in 353 Ecrins massif; tab. 3). 354 355 Table 3 – Number and morphological characteristics of the predicted GBOs in each massifs of the 356 French Alps when combining GlabTop and GlabTop 2 results and supressing the duplicated 357 GBOs. 358 Table 3 – Nombre et caractéristiques morphologiques des surcreusements glaciaires prédits dans 359 chaque massif des Alpes françaises, en combinant les résultats de GlabTop et GlabTop 2 et en

360

361

supprimant les surcreusements en double.

The largest calculated GBOs, that are in the Mont Blanc massif (fig. 5), would be at the confluence of the Leschaux and Tacul glacier (top of the Mer de Glace), and at the Tré la Tête and Talèfre glaciers, with an area of 0.6 km², 0.52 km² and 0.47 km² respectively. In the Vanoise massif, the two GBOS that would have the largest surface area are under the Arcelin glacier (0.25 km²) and the Evettes glacier (0.21 km²). In the Ecrins massif, the two largest GBOs are both found under the Blanc glacier with a surface area of 0.23 km² and 0.22 km² respectively. Finally, another relatively large GBOs was predicted under the Saint Sorlin glacier with a

370

369

- Fig. 5 Comparison of the surface, volume and depth of the GBOs predicted by GlabTop between
- the Mont Blanc massif (MBM), the Vanoise massif and the Ecrins massif.

surface area of 0.25 km² in the Grandes Rousses massif.

- 373 Fig. 5 Comparaison de la superficie, du volume et de la profondeur des surcreusements sous
- 374 glaciaires prédits par GlabTop entre le massif du Mont Blanc (MBM), la Vanoise et les Ecrins.

375

376

- 4.1.3. Depth of predicted GBOs
- On average, the calculated GBOs are deeper in the Mont Blanc massif (fig. 5), and the deepest
- ones reach 146 m and 117 m respectively, and are both under the Argentière glacier. Another
- deep GBOs is found under Tré la Tête glacier (107 m).
- 380 In the Vanoise massif, the deepest GBOs are under Evettes glacier (75 m) and Rosolin glacier
- 381 (65.5 m). In the Ecrins massif, the deepest GBOs are under the Noir glacier (47 m) and the
- 382 Blanc glacier (45 m). Lastly, the GBO under the Saint Sorlin Glacier in the Grandes Rousses
- massif is deeper than the ones in the Ecrins an Vanoise with 81 m.

384

- 4.1.4. Volume of predicted GBOs
- The total volume of the predicted GBOs is 217.4 Mm³, 67% of which (145.5 Mm³) is in the
- Mont Blanc massif, 19% (41.3 Mm³) in the Vanoise massif and 9% (20.6 Mm³) in the Ecrins
- massif (tab. 3). The GBOs with the higher volumes are mostly found in the Mont Blanc massif
- 389 (fig. 5) such as those under the Talèfre glacier (24.8 Mm³), the Tré la Tête glacier (22.4 Mm³)
- and the Argentière glacier (20.7 Mm³). In the same way as the surface area, the most
- 391 voluminous GBOs in the Vanoise are those located under the Arcelin and Evette glacier (4.6
- 392 Mm³ and 4.5 Mm³ respectively). In the Ecrins massif, the most voluminous GBOs are under
- 393 the Glacier Blanc (4 Mm³) and the Arsine Glacier (3.3 Mm³), and are both less voluminous than
- the one under the Saint Sorlin glacier (Grandes Rousses massif, 9 Mm³).

4.1.5. Altitude of the predicted GBOs

The GBOs of the Vanoise massif are generally located at a higher altitude than in the other massifs, with a median value at 3000 m a.s.l., and many predicted GBOs are in the upper part of ice-cap shaped glaciers, such as the Pelve and the Arpon glaciers which have two GBOs at an altitude of 3491 m a.s.l. and 3475 m a.s.l. respectively. In the Ecrins massifs, GBOs are generally predicted at altitudes lower by 500 m, with a median value at 2500 m a.s.l. Half of the 30 GBOs predicted in this massif are thus gathered between 2200 and 2500 m a.s.l., half of the 40 GBOs predicted in the Mont Blanc massif are spread between 1750 and 2750 m a.s.l., and half of the 60 GBOs predicted in the Vanoise massif are found between 2500 and 3000. The least and most elevated GBOs are found in the Mont Blanc massif at respectively 1570 m a.s.l. (Mer de Glace) and 4507 m a.s.l. (Bossons glacier), in coherence with glacier distributions.

4.2. Morphological analysis and level of confidence of GBOs

Among the 139 predicted GBOs, 4 cumulate all criteria favouring their existence (see sect. 3.3) and are thus characterized by a very high confidence (100% of criteria are fulfilled). In addition, 28 GBOs have a high confidence and 27 have a medium confidence. Finally, 47 have a low confidence, 31 a very low confidence, and only 2 predicted GBOs are not plausible with an extremely low confidence (fig. 6). No statistical relationship was found between the level of confidence and GBOs morphometrics but none of the larger or deeper GBO has low level of confidence. The deepest GBO (Argentière glacier) and the most voluminous one (Talèfre glacier) are both characterised by high confidence.

- 418 Fig. 6 Level of confidence of the GBOs predicted by GlabTop and GlabTop 2, GBOs visually
- detected and presence/absence of water body at predicted GBOs.
- 420 Fig. 6 Niveaux de confiance des surcreusements sous glaciaires prédits par GlabTop et GlabTop 2,
- 421 surcreusements détectés visuellement et présence/absence de surcreusements dans les
- 422 surcreusements détectés.
- 423 A: Mont Blanc massif; B: Ecrins massif; C: Vanoise massif; D: Grandes Rousses massif; E: Ubaye
- 424 massif. (Coordinate system: Lambert 93 / RGF 93).
- 425 A: massif du Mont Blanc; B: massif des Ecrins; C: massif de la Vanoise; D: massif des Grandes
- 426 Rousses ; E : massif de l'Ubaye. (Système de coordonnées : Lambert 93 / RGF 93).

- 1. GBO predicted by GlabTop; 2. GBO visually detected; 3. Absence of water body at predicted GBO;
- 428 4. Water body at predicted GBO < 0.01 km²; 5. Water body at predicted GBO in recently deglaciated
- area; 6. Extremely low confidence; 7. Very low confidence; 8. Low confidence; 9. Medium confidence;
- 430 10. High confidence; 11. Very high confidence
- 431 1. Surcreusement prédit par GlabTop; 2. Surcreusement détecté visuellement; 3. Absence de plan d'eau
- dans le surcreusement prédit GBO; 4. Présence de lac ou plan d'eau dans un surcreusement prédit par
- 433 GlabTop < 0.01 km²; 5. Présence de lac ou plan d'eau dans les secteurs récemment désenglacés; 6.
- Niveau de confiance extrêmement bas ; 7. Niveau de confiance très bas ; 8. Niveau de confiance bas ;
- 9. Niveau de confiance moyen ; 10. Niveau de confiance élevé ; 11. Niveau de confiance très élevé

- The Mont Blanc massif gathers 16 of the 32 GBOs with a high and very high confidence.
- Among the least likely GBOs (low and very low confidence), 73% are located in the Vanoise
- 439 massif.
- In the Mont Blanc massif, the GBOs most likely to exist (very high confidence) are under the
- Talèfre, Tré la Tête and Bionnassay glaciers, and some GBOs under the Mer de Glace, Miage,
- 442 Argentière and Tour glaciers have also a high confidence. In the Vanoise massif, the GBOs
- with the higher level of confidence are located under the Evettes and the Rosolin glaciers (high
- 444 confidence). The GBOs under the Pramort, the Epéna, and the Gébroulaz glaciers have a
- medium confidence. In the Ecrins massif, the most plausible GBOs are under the Selette, the
- 446 Condamines and the Blanc glaciers (high level of confidence).
- In the Grandes Rousses massif, the most voluminous GBO under the Saint Sorlin glacier (9
- 448 Mm³) has a low level of confidence. Indications from direct field measurements (geophysics,
- boreholes) of a possibly overdeepened trough as compiled by Le Meur and Vincent (2003)
- show that ice thickness reached about 110 m in 1998 at this location and that another GBO (not
- predicted by GlabTop model runs) may actually exist farther upstream. For the one predicted
- with GlabTop beneath the present snout of the Saint Sorlin glacier, the absence of a clear
- bedrock threshold and a marked break in slope explains its rather low level of confidence. The
- already existing small water bodies in front of the present ice margin had developed during the
- past decades above a rather weakly marked bedrock threshold and corresponding somewhat
- smooth break in slope.

areas.

- 4.3. Evaluation of predicted GBOs with water bodies in recently deglaciated ice-marginal
- 459

GBOs were predicted using glacier outlines drawn from glacier inventory data acquired between 2004 and 2009 and a corresponding DEM acquired between 2000 and 2010. Since that time, glacier retreat has continued at a fast rate and thereby exposed areas where GBOs had been predicted within but close to the former ice margin. This development makes it possible to investigate sites of predicted GBOs with respect to the questions whether (a) the specific overdeepened topography has been realistically predicted and (b) water bodies indeed developed or not (fig. 7-9). As mentioned before, the second question involves aspects (drainage, permeability, sediment input) beyond general topographic conditions. It must be kept in mind that the applied slope averaging near flat glacier margins is delicate as it involves topographic information from slightly outside the ice margin. Moreover, the assumption of a constant basal shear stress along flow breaks down for retreating and decaying glacier with wedge-like marginal ice geometries causing basal shear stresses to decease towards zero. This effect leads to a systematic overestimation of ice thicknesses towards the ice margin of retreating glaciers and, hence, tends to produce artefacts of adverse slopes. In fact, some of the perennial surface ice bodies from the used glacier inventory are, or have become, extremely small, often disintegrating and collapsing ice remains (e.g. Evettes, Méan Martin) at the very limits of the term and concept "glacier" (fig. 9D). A good number of GBOs are still only partially exposed (e.g. Grande Motte, Grand Méan, Rhême Golette, Tré la Tête). With few exceptions (for instance, Blanc Glacier), the predicted GBOs indeed indicate flat to overdeepened parts of formerly ice-covered topography. In cases, the location of the predicted GBO seems to be small or vague (e.g. Mulinet), spatially dislocated (e.g. Malatres) or to have a rough surface in bedrock (e.g. Arpon, Pelve) or coarse debris (e.g. Mer de Glace, Tré la Tête) with sometimes small ponds existing in correspondingly small topographic depressions (e.g. Arpon, Pelve, Méan Martin, Patinoire, Fond). Small to medium-size water bodies and lakes have continued to form (e.g., Grand Méan, Montet) or newly started to form (e.g., Rhême Golette) at 17 sites (fig. 6-7). They can be found at or below the snout of the Bionassay, Tré la Tête and Pèlerins glaciers in the Mont Blanc massif, downstream of the Selle glacier and the Fond glacier in the Ecrins massif and 3 others in the Grandes Rousses massif downstream of the Rousses glacier and Sarenne glacier. In the Vanoise massif, 8 lakes are already visible at the front of the Montet, the Grand Méan and the

491

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

Patinoire glaciers.

- 492 Fig. 7 Visible new water bodies in recently deglaciated areas where GlabTop and GlabTop 2
- 493 **predicted a GBO.** (red areas : slope $> 30^{\circ}$; blue areas : permafrost favourability index > 0.5 (Marcer
- et al., 2017) for the slopes <35° and permafrost favourability index > 0.5 (Boeckli et al. 2012) for the
- slopes >35°; black lines: glacier contour 2004-2009 (Gardent et al., 2014); white lines: visible lake or
- water bodies limits; Google Earth images).
- 497 Fig. 7 Lacs ou plans d'eau visibles dans les secteurs récemment désenglacés où GlabTop et GlabTop
- 498 **2 ont prédit des surcreusements sous glaciaires.** (Zones rouges : pente > 30°; zones bleues : indice de
- 499 permafrost > 0.5 (Marcer et al., 2017) pour les pentes $<35^{\circ}$ indice de permafrost > 0.5 (Boeckli et al.
- 500 2012) pour les pentes >35°; lignes noires : contours des glaciers 2004-2009 (Gardent et al., 2014);
- lignes blanches: limites des lacs et plans d'eau; images de Google Earth).
- A-C: the Mont Blanc massif; D-K: the Vanoise massif; L-N: the Grandes Rousses massif; O-P: the
- 503 Ecrins massif.

- 504 A-C: le massif du Mont Blanc; D-K: la Vanoise; L-N: les Grandes Rousses; O-P: les Ecrins.
- Among these 17 water bodies, 11 have medium, high and very high confidence ($\geq 3/5$), such as
- 507 the one the Fond glacier in the Ecrins massif for example (fig. 7P). The others have a low
- 508 confidence (≤ 2) notably because criteria (ii) and (iii) (break in slope and bedrock threshold)
- are not fulfilled. In these cases, water bodies are rather small and shallow, if not almost
- 510 inexistent as this is the case on the Baounet glacier margin (fig. 7I), where only small water
- 511 bodies are formed in bedrock hollows, but not as voluminous as the GBOs predicted by
- 512 GlabTop. Furthermore, some lakes are formed in morainic material such as at l'Argentière
- 513 glacier (Vanoise massif; fig. 7D) where the newly formed water body in a local depression of
- a gently inclined debris slope is displaced with respect to the predicted GBO. It may not turn
- into a perennial lake, as it looks very shallow, and may drain with changes in the bottom and
- front material permeability (cf. Haeberli et al., 2001). This is also the case for the Pèlerins
- glaciers (Fig. 7A) as already discussed by Magnin et al. (2020).
- In the Grandes Rousses massif, 3 lakes have already started to form in 6 of the predicted GBOs
- (fig. 7. L, M, N), including 2 on the Rousses glacier that is on the west side of the massif where
- 520 the staircase-like counter slopes form permanent dams that have favoured lake formation (Fig.
- 521 7L, M).
- It has to be noted that only the predicted GBOs \geq 0.01 km² are analysed here. However, recently
- formed pounds or water bodies are also observed in predicted GBOs < 0.01 km² such as at the
- snouts of the Sources de l'Arc, the Grand Méan and the Ouille Mouta glaciers (fig 6, 8). While
- 525 those at the Grand Méan glacier are < 0.01 km², the one at Sources de l'Arc glacier, which
- 526 currently reaches 0,005 km², is partly covered by the glacier and may grow in the future. The

one at the snout of the debris-covered Ouille Mouta glacier which is already $> 0.02 \text{ km}^2$ and

may also grow more.

529

- 530 Fig. 8 Visible new water bodies in recently deglaciated areas where GlabTop and GlabTop 2
- predicted a GBO ≤ 0.01 km². (Black lines: glacier contour 2004-2009 (Gardent et al., 2014); white
- 532 lines visible lake or water bodies limits; Google Earth images).
- 533 Fig. 8 Lacs ou plans d'eau visibles dans les secteurs récemment désenglacés où GlabTop et GlabTop
- 2 ont prédit des surcreusements sous glaciaires GBO ≤ 0.01 km². (Lignes noires : contours des
- 535 glaciers 2004-2009 (Gardent et al., 2014); lignes blanches: limites des lacs et plans d'eau; images de
- 536 Google Earth).
- A: Sources de l'Arc glacier; B: Grand Méan glacier; C: Ouille Mouta glacier; D: Grand Méan glacier.
- 538 A: Glacier des Sources de l'Arc; B: Glacier du Grand Méan; C: Glacier d'Ouille Mouta; D:
- 539 Glacier du Grand Méan.

540

- In 15 recently deglaciated GBOs no lake formation is observed (fig. 9A-O). One of them is in
- 542 the Mont Blanc massif, 11 are found in the Vanoise massif, 2 in the Ecrins massif and 1 exists
- 543 in the Grandes Rousses massif. In most cases, the absence of water bodies can be explained by
- a lack of a marked bedrock threshold downstream of the predicted GBO, by a slope that is too
- steep or by sediment infilling. A large majority (12) of these 15 GBOs have a low and very low
- level of confidence. Two of them have a medium confidence are at the front of the Pramort (fig.
- 9K) and Pilatte (fig. 9O) glaciers. For both cases, surface slope is relatively steep and, thus, not
- 548 favourable for water retention. At the Pilatte glacier, a water stream washes out the supplied
- sediments and uncovers the bedrock below, showing that there is no overdeepening. At the
- Pramort glacier, despite the presence of a bedrock threshold downstream, no lake has formed
- and the glacier forefield is a sediment filled floodplain. At the front of the Grande Motte (fig.
- 9H) glacier, the GBO has a high confidence as it fills criteria (ii) and (iii), but similarly to the
- Pilatte glacier, the slope angle >10° did not favour water or sediment retention.
- Sometimes, water bodies are observed but could not become perennial lakes such as in front of
- Vallonnet Inférieur glacier (fig. 9F), where water is accumulating superficially in morainic
- material at predicted GBO in the same way as the Pramort glacier, the forefield of the debris-
- 557 covered Vallonet Inferieur glacier is turning into a sediment-filled floodplain.

- 559 Fig. 9 Absence of water bodies in recently deglaciated areas where GlabTop and GlabTop 2
- predicted a GBO. (Red areas : slope $> 30^{\circ}$; blue areas : permafrost favourability index > 0.5 (Marcer

- et al., 2017) for the slopes <35° and permafrost favourability index > 0.5 (Boeckli et al. 2012) for the
- slopes >35°; black lines : glacier contour 2004-2009 (Gardent et al., 2014); Google Earth images).
- 563 Fig. 9 Absence de lacs ou de plan d'eau dans les secteurs récemment désenglacés où GlabTop et
- 564 GlabTop 2 ont prédit des surcreusements sous glaciaires. (Zones rouges : pente > 30°; zones bleues :
- indice de permafrost > 0.5 (Marcer et al., 2017) pour les pentes $<35^{\circ}$ indice de permafrost > 0.5
- 566 (Boeckli et al. 2012) pour les pentes >35°; lignes noires : contours des glaciers 2004-2009 (Gardent
- 567 et al., 2014); images de Google Earth).
- A: the Mont Blanc massif; B-L: the Vanoise massif; M: the Grandes Rousses massif; N-O the Ecrins
- 569 massif.
- 570 A: le massif du Mont Blanc; B-L: la Vanoise; M: les Grandes Rousses; N-O: les Ecrins.

- 572 This preliminary analysis indicates that predicted GBOs are realistic in most cases with higher
- 573 confidence levels from morphological criteria but that failures also exist, especially in cases
- with lower confidence levels. Such failures primarily relate to specific geometries (wedge type
- 575 ice margins) of extremely small, disintegrating and collapsing remains of surface ice and to
- 576 heavily debris-covered parts of glaciers with their specific imbalance and sediment input. A
- 577 good number smaller to larger water bodies have indeed formed or continued to form in
- 578 realistically predicted GBOs. Only smallest water bodies seem to have come into existence
- where no GBOs were predicted, however, the case of the Source de l'Arc and more specifically
- 580 the Ouille Mouta glaciers show that large water bodies may form where only insignificant
- GBOs are predicted. Drainage of exposed GBOs through deep-cut gorges was not evident in
- any case and seems to be an exception rather than a rule.

583

- 4.4. Potential GBOs visually detected
- In addition to potential GBOs automatically detected with GlabTop and GlabTop2, 58 GBOs
- have been visually detected by analysing glacier morphologies with the four criteria used to
- assess predicted GBOs plausibility. 30 of them have been found in the Vanoise massif, 15 in
- 588 the Mont Blanc massif, 12 others in the Ecrins massif and 1 in the Grandes Rousses massif (fig.
- 589 6). One GBO only visually detected by Magnin et al. (2020) on the Bionnassay glacier (Mont
- Blanc massif) was eventually also predicted by GlabTop 2 in this study.
- According to the morphological analysis, one of these visually detected GBOs in the Ecrins
- massif has a very high confidence. In addition, 13 of them have high confidence and 33 have a
- 593 medium confidence, and they are all in the Ecrins, Grandes Rousses, Vanoise or Mont Blanc
- massifs. Finally, 11 GBOs visually detected have a low confidence.

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

5. Discussions

5.1. Strengths and limitations in the method

Previous work conducted on the Mont Blanc massif compared 20 profiles of Ice Penetrating Radar (IPR) measurements (Rabatel and al., 2018) and seismic measurements (Vincent & Moreau, 2016) acquired on the Argentière glacier to GlabTop results (Magnin et al., 2020). This comparison confirmed that – despite large uncertainties in absolute values of estimated ice thicknesses – the locations of predicted GBOs as topological units defined by spatial patterns rather than absolute values of ice thickness – were generally robust. The morphological analysis, however, remains necessary to assess the level of plausibility of the numerical predictions. Our study confirms these results (sect. 4.5) but also points out the relevance of combining various methods, including fully or semi-automated detection approaches such as with GlabTop 2 and GlabTop, morphological analysis and visual detection. All these approaches have their respective advantages and drawbacks and can, therefore, provide different but also complementary results. Differences in the GBO morphometrics produced by GlabTop and GlabTop 2 can sometimes be considerable, and can be explained by the fact that GlabTop 2 calculates ice thickness at random points and then interpolates predicted ice thickness at those points and the margins where it is set to 0 (Frey et al., 2014), while such calculation is based on branchlines with GlabTop. Another difference is the DEM resolution (50 m versus 25 m) but a second run of GlabTop with the same 50 m resolution DEM as the one used for GlabTop2 did not explain differences in the results. Additionally, the study from Magnin et al. (2020), which also used GlabTop but with three different DEMs and other sets of branchlines, resulted in variable morphometrics from one DEM to another, but also different in places from those predicted in our study. Furthermore, the latter study shows that depths and volumes of predicted GBOs tend to be general underestimated, with differences up to 50%. This is more than the average 30% uncertainty found in a comparative study by Farinotti and al. (2017) concerning absolute values of estimated ice thicknesses. While GlabTop and GlabTop 2 have both been extensively used, no scientific comparison of the predicted GBOs between the two approaches and their implications for results interpretation have been performed yet. The use of morphological indications related to glacier flow through predicted GBOs is primarily of use for relative comparison. Application of the obtained results for, for instance, modelling of GLOFs from potential future lakes in specific cases requires detailed

627 field investigation to assess GBOs depths, volumes and extent such as IPR measurements or 628 drillings (e.g. Le Meur and Vincent 2003, Rabatel et al., 2018). 629 Furthermore, classification of GBOs according to their levels of confidence is based on more 630 or less subjective determination of criteria fulfilment, such as the slope angle threshold at which 631 a GBO is susceptible to exist or not, or the obviousness of a bedrock threshold. A similar 632 research conducted by another research team could lead to somewhat different appreciation. 633 Implication for the interpretation of results can be minimized by using the confidence level 634 classes instead of the absolute values related to individual criteria or their simple sum. This 635 classification allows to point out GBOs that are obvious and to define the variability in 636 uncertainty which reflects the transitional character in nature of simply flat to markedly 637 overdeepened bed parts. 638 The constant shear-stress approach used by both GlabTop models becomes especially 639 problematic at firn divides where surface slope and, hence, basal shear stress approaches zero 640 (Paul and Linsbauer, 2012: Guardamino et al. 2019). Estimating glacier-bed topography at firm 641 dived still remains a problem to be solved (ITMIX; Farinotti et al., 2017). In this respect, the 642 15 GBOs detected in the Vanoise massif on the top of the ice caps should be interpreted with 643 caution and other modelling approaches could be used for such terrain. 644 Another limitation of our approach is related to debris-covered glaciers because GlabTop 645 assumptions about near-equilibrium conditions may be unrealistic in such cases. Such glaciers 646 are becoming more and more extensive in the French Alps, notably in areas exposed to frequent 647 rockfalls or rock avalanches. 30 GBOs are in this situation in the Mont Blanc massif, 7 in the 648 Vanoise massif, 18 in the Ecrins massifs, 1 in the Grandes Rousses Massif and 2 in the Ubaye 649 massif. In addition, the Ouille Mouta debris-covered glacier recently uncovered a rather large 650 lake where only an insignificant GBO was predicted. Large amounts of debris supplied to 651 glaciers and draining systems could also result in GBOs filling with sediments, hindering the 652 formation of lakes or rapidly terminating their existence. This was the case at the snout of the 653 Mer de Glace where a small lake formed in the 1990s and was soon filled by sediments (Deline 654 et al., 2012; Magnin et al., 2020). In addition, lake formation in highly permeably non-655 consolidated material may only be temporary. Modelling sediment transport remains a 656 challenge but could help to refine the assessment of future lake formation plausibility. Zemp et 657 al. (2005) have developed a method that converts the sediment balance of a glacier into an 658 erosion-sedimentation index to identify glacier beds consisting of bedrock, sediments, or a mixture of both. This GIS method is automated and could help with estimating sediment input into ice-free GBOs and the potential lifetime of lakes (cf. Linsbauer et al., 2016).

Finally, not all potential GBOs are predicted because of the input data (DEM at 25 and 50 m) which can smooth the topography and the GlabTop model which does not consider glacier width reduction or crevasses (sect. 4.5). Therefore, GlabTop and GlabTop 2 have the main advantage to automatically detect GBOs and to provide basic morphological characteristics at least reliable to compare predicted GBOs to each other, but a visual analysis remains highly relevant for completing potential GBOs detection, even though it does not guarantee an exhaustive estimation of potential GBOs. For example, the study from Le Meur et Vincent (2003) shows a GBO on the upper part of the Saint Sorlin glacier based on interpolation of variable field measurements, upstream of the one which is predicted in this study, but it is not revealed by strikingly obvious morphological criteria.

5.2. GBOs characteristics in the French Alps and implication for hazard assessments

GBOs are on average two times larger in the Mont Blanc massif than in the Ecrins massif and the Vanoise massif (tab. 3) and have the greater ratio of surface area to glacier extent. This may be put in relation with glacier sizes. In the Mont Blanc massif, glaciers $> 2.5 \text{ km}^2$ represent 86% of the massif's glaciated surface area, on the contrary, 75% of the glaciated surface area of the Vanoise and 72% of the Ecrins are covered by glacier $< 2.5 \text{ km}^2$ (Gardent, 2014).

While predicted GBOs remain rather small compared to other high alpine areas in the world such as the Himalaya where they frequently exceed 10⁶ m³ (Linsbauer et al., 2016), their relevance in terms of hazards sources is high because downstream slopes are generally steep adjacent valley floors often are densely occupied in the French Alps. Settlements are quite often right below glacier areas, and mountain flanks are equipped with numerous infrastructures to host a variety of leisure and tourism activities. Mountain communities may thus be affected by possible dangerous high-magnitude and cascading processes associated with recently formed or potential future lakes that can develop in GBOs. Rock/ice avalanches can impact such lakes or morainic dams can suddenly breach (Allen et al., 2016b, 2016a; Ashraf et al., 2012; Clague and Evans, 2000; Emmer, 2017; Hubbard et al., 2005; Schaub et al., 2016; Schneider et al., 2014; Somos-Valenzuela et al., 2016; Worni et al., 2012). A recent example of high-magnitude chain-reaction hazards in the European Alps was associated to the Piz Cengalo rock avalanche in 2017 (3 Mm³, southern Swiss Alps), which provoked a debris flow that killed 8 people on its way and damaged about 100 infrastructures in the village of Bondo. This hazards chain did not

692 involve any lake but was certainly favoured by the water retained in glacial sediments (Walter 693 et al., 2020), and thus highlights how damaging such hazards could be, notably if the mass 694 movement hits an open water body. Possible hazard issues also have to be analysed in the light 695 of recent studies demonstrating an accentuated glacial retreat in response to summer heat waves 696 (Rabatel et al., 2013), which also provoke permafrost degradation and enhanced rockfall 697 activity (Ravanel and Deline, 2011; Ravanel et al., 2010; 2017; Walter et al., 2020). Therefore, 698 glacial lakes located at the foot of high mountain rock walls subject to debuttressing and/or 699 permafrost degradation can become evident "hot spots". 700 In this respect, the Mont Blanc massif appears as the most exposed one with 50% of the most 701 plausible GBOs, the most voluminous ones (under the Talèfre, Tré la Tête glacier and 702 Argentière glaciers). Given that the Chamonix valley is densely populated with 8 759 703 inhabitants (INSEE, 2016) with an high tourism frequentation (12 304 700 overnight stays in 704 2016 in the Pays du Mont Blanc; Savoie Mont Blanc Tourisme, 2017), that the mountain flanks 705 are roamed by mountaineers, hikers and contemplative tourists (1,619,426 tickets for the 706 Montenvers train and the Aiguille du Midi cable car; Savoie Mont Blanc Tourisme, 2017), risks 707 associated to sudden lake draining are exacerbated. Magnin et al. (2020) have already pointed 708 out potential future lakes located right below high-elevated permafrost rockwalls in the Mont 709 Blanc massif (the Pélerins or Miage glacier for example) but also suggested possible lakes that 710 could become future opportunities for water supply (e.g. Tour glacier). Hazard potentials would 711 have to be carefully assessed (GAPHAZ, 2017) in such cases. In addition, these results also 712 raise questions in terms of attractiveness for tourism related to the perceptions of glacial and 713 periglacial landscapes (Salim et al., 2019). 714 In the Vanoise massif, despite many predicted GBOs have a rather low level of confidence, 715 they might be considered as there are also many touristic infrastructures, like mountain huts or 716 ski resorts. When considering levels of confidence, potential volumes, the presence of steep 717 slopes and permafrost upstream, and possible vulnerabilities, the Evettes glacier, the Rosolin 718 glacier and the Epéna glacier (fig. 10) could become hot spots as they are located right below 719 the 700-m-high north face of the Grande Casse (3855 m a.s.l.), which is still affected by 720 permafrost according to the map from Boeckli et al. (2012) and feed the Doron de Champagny, 721 that reach the touristic village of Champagny-en-Vanoise about 10 km below. Historically, 722 Champagny-en-Vanoise has already known major GLOF events, notably in 1818 when the 723 Glière lake became obstructed by the l'Epéna glacier tongue and suddenly discharged 3.7 Mm³ 724 in the Doron de Champagny and caused severe damages (Mougin, 2001). More recently, the

- lake that formed at the snout of the Patinoire glacier (fig. 7K) already outburst in 1964 because
- of the impact of an ice fall from the Vallonet glacier, causing an impact wave which triggered
- breaching of its morainic dam. The village of Pralognan-la-Vanoise was partly flooded and
- bridges and cars were swept up. At present, the Vallonet glacier has vanished but this lake
- remains a possible hot spot as it is surrounded by steep slopes.

- Fig. 10 Example of possible hot spots in the Vanoise massif: The Epena and the Rosolin Glacier.
- (Red areas: slope $> 30^{\circ}$; blue areas: permafrost favourability index > 0.5 (Marcer et al., 2017) for the
- slopes $<35^{\circ}$ and permafrost favourability index > 0.5 (Boeckli et al. 2012) for the slopes $>35^{\circ}$; Google
- Earth image).
- 735 Fig. 10 Exemple de hot spot possible dans le massif de la Vanoise : le glacier de l'Epena et le glacier
- 736 **de Rosolin.** (Zones rouges : pente $> 30^{\circ}$; zones bleues : indice de permafrost > 0.5 (Marcer et al.,
- 737 2017) pour les pentes <35° indice de permafrost > 0.5 (Boeckli et al. 2012) pour les pentes >35°;
- 738 image de Google Earth).
- 1. GBO predicted with GlabTop; 2. GBO visually detected; 3. High confidence; 4. Medium confidence;
- 5. Absence of water body.
- 741 1. Surcreusement prédit par GlabTop; 2. Surcreusement détecté visuellement; 3. Confiance élevée;
- 742 4. Confiance moyenne; 5. Absence de lac ou de plan d'eau.

743

- In the Ecrins massif, such hot spots would be at the Fond glacier, the Sellettes glacier, the
- Condamines glacier, the Vallon des Etages glacier and the Blanc glacier. There, the predicted
- GBOs are located directly upstream from mountain huts like the Lavey refuge (under the Fond
- and Sellettes glaciers, fig.11) and the Gioberney Chalet-Hotel (downstream from the
- 748 Condamines glacier) and right below steep slopes.
- 749 It is nevertheless necessary to keep in mind that those potential lakes will form at different
- 750 times. The 19 water bodies or lakes identified in recently deglaciated areas (sect.4.3), may be
- considered as "in formation" (e.g. Grand Méan glacier) or fully formed (e.g. Rousses glaciers)
- and other lakes predicted with GlabTop could form imminently (e.g. Talèfre glacier; Sellettes
- glacier) considering their low altitude and the current high glacier retreat rate. However, the
- lakes predicted in GBOs at high altitudes such as the ones possible underneath the ice cap (e.g.
- under the Pelve, Arpon and Arcelin glaciers) or upstream of glaciers (e.g. Géant glacier; top of
- 756 the Blanc glacier) could form later in the century.

- 758 Fig. 11 Example of possible hot spots in the Ecrins massif: The Fond and the Sellettes Glacier.
- (Red areas: slope $> 30^{\circ}$; blue areas: permafrost favourability index > 0.5 (Marcer et al., 2017) for the
- slopes <35° and permafrost favourability index > 0.5 (Boeckli et al. 2012) for the slopes >35 Google
- 761 Earth image).
- 762 Fig. 11 Exemple de hot spot possible dans le massif des Ecrins : le glacier du Fond et le glacier des
- 763 **Sellettes.** (Zones rouges: pente $> 30^{\circ}$; zones bleues: indice de permafrost > 0.5 (Marcer et al., 2017)
- 764 pour les pentes <35° indice de permafrost > 0.5 (Boeckli et al. 2012) pour les pentes >35° ; image de
- 765 Google Earth).
- 1. GBO predicted with GlabTop; 2. Observed water body in recently deglaciated forefields; 3. High
- 767 confidence.

- 768 1. Surcreusement prédit par GlabTop; 2. Lacs déjà formés dans les sur les fronts récemment
- 769 désenglacés ; 3. Confiance élevée.

771 **6. Conclusions et perspectives**

- 772 This study aimed to identify GBOs as possible locations for future lakes, by modelling glacier
- bed topography with GlabTop and GlabTop 2 in the French Alps. The predicted GBOs were
- 774 classified according to their level of confidence which was established based on a
- morphological analysis accounting for slope angle at and downstream of the predicted GBOs,
- the presence/absence of bedrock threshold and the presence/absence of a crevasse field
- downstream. The main outcomes are the following:
- The predicted GBOs quite reliably indicate flat to markedly overdeepened parts of glacier beds, the latter especially but not exclusively where morphological indications are strong. Artefacts and failures must be expected at wedge-type ice margins of rapidly retreating to even decaying small glaciers and at heavily debris covered glacier tongues where sediment input is high. However, no significant water
- body was found were no GBO was predicted.
- 139 GBOs are predicted (after sorting out GlabTop and GlabTop 2 output to avoid
- duplicated GBOs) and represent a total surface area of 9.48 km² (3.5% of the glacier
- surface area), about which 50% are in the Mont Blanc massif (40 GBOs), 30% in the
- Vanoise massif (60 GBOs) and 16% in the Ecrins massif (30 GBOs). They also
- represent a total volume of 217.4 Mm³, 67% of which is in the Mont Blanc massif, 19%
- in the Vanoise massif and 9% in the Ecrins massif.
- Their altitudinal distribution is directly related to the one of the related glaciers with the least elevated GBOs predicted in the Mont Blanc massif were glaciers reach the lowest

elevation. However, the Ecrins massif gathers half of its predicted GBOs between 2200 and 2500 m a.s.l., while this lower half is more stretched for the other massifs (2500 – 3000 m a.s.l. for the Vanoise and 1750-2750 for the Mont Blanc massif). Only one single GBO is predicted > 3500 m a.s.l.

- Among the 139 predicted GBOs, 59 have a medium, high and very high confidence (≥ 3/5), which means that they are they are likely to exist, and 50 % of them are in the Mont Blanc massif. However, 73 % of GBOs with a low and very low confidence (≤ 1/5) are in the Vanoise massif which hosts many ice-cap like glaciers with firn divides which are not easily treated with the here-applied approaches. Larger and deeper GBOs all have a medium to high level of confidence.
- The larger, deeper and most voluminous GBOs are predicted in the Mont Blanc massif, the deepest one being at the Argentière glacier (146 m) and the most voluminous one at the Talèfre glacier (24.8 Mm³), both characterised by high levels of confidence.
- 17 predicted GBOs (> 0.01 km²) at recently deglaciated forefields have already yielded a water body. The confidence levels of the predictions were generally high for these cases. In 15 cases of GBO predictions at generally low confidence levels, dry conditions are combined with the absence of marked bedrock threshold, relatively steep slopes > 10-15°, or dynamic sediment infilling. Additionally, 2 lakes already > 0,01 km² or that could reach that size in the future have started to form where GBOs < 0,01 km were predicted.
- In addition to the GlabTop results, 58 GBOs have been visually detected by analysing glacier morphologies, among which 30 are in the Vanoise massif, 15 in the Mont Blanc massif, 12 in the Ecrins massif and 1 in the Grandes Rousses massif. 4 of them are > 3500 m a.s.l.

Our study provides key-information about rapidly emerging high-mountain landscapes as a consequence of glacier retreat to even vanishing. It represents an essential first step for potential future research, which will constitute a basis of reflection for decision-makers in long-term planning. Although the predicted depths and volumes of GBOs remain uncertain, their location and level of confidence are relevant to anticipate potential future lake formation and to highlight possible hot spots in terms of GLOF hazards, but also to recognize opportunities related to water resources, hydropower production and tourism in the French Alps. The resulting inventory of potential future lakes especially indicates where more detailed investigation should be conducted in areas of interest. This could involve modelling of glacier retreat to assess the time

- range under which potential lakes could form, geophysical soundings to assess GBOs
- 826 morphometrics, or numerical model calculations of potentially involved process chains
- following rock/ice avalanches and related displacement/flood waves or debris flows to assess
- possible impacts, hazards and risks to humans and their infrastructure.

830

- Acknowledgment
- 831 The authors acknowledge Horst Machguth (Department of Geosciences, University of
- Fribourg, Switzerland) for modelling glacier ice thickness distribution with GlabTop2. The
- study received no financial support for this research.

- 835 **References**:
- Allen, S.K., Linsbauer, A., Randhawa, S.S., Huggel, C., Rana, P. and Kumari, A. (2016a)
- 837 Glacial lake outburst flood risk in Himachal Pradesh, India: an integrative and anticipatory
- approach considering current and future threats, Nat Hazards, 84(3), 1741–1763.
- 839 DOI:10.1007/s11069-016-2511-x
- Allen, S.K., Rastner, P., Arora, M., Huggel, C. and Stoffel, M. (2016b) Lake outburst and
- debris flow disaster at Kedarnath, June 2013: hydrometeorological triggering and topographic
- 842 predisposition, Landslides, 13(6), 1479–1491.
- 843 DOI:10.1007/s10346-015-0584-3
- Ashraf, A., Naz, R. and Roohi, R. (2012): Glacial lake outburst flood hazards in Hindukush,
- 845 Karakoram and Himalayan Ranges of Pakistan: implications and risk analysis, Geomatics,
- 846 Natural Hazards and Risk, 3(2), 113–132.
- 847 DOI:10.1080/19475705.2011.615344
- 848 Byers, A. C., Rounce, D. R., Shugar, D. H., Lala, J. M., Byers, E. A., & Regmi, D. (2018)
- 849 A rockfall-induced glacial lake outburst flood, Upper Barun Valley, Nepal. Landslides.
- 850 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-018-1079-9
- 851 Carey, M. (2005) Living and dying with glaciers: people's historical vulnerability to
- avalanches and outburst floods in Peru, Global and Planetary Change, 47(2), 122–134.
- 853 DOI: 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2004.10.007
- 854 Carey, M., Huggel, C., Bury, J., Portocarrero, C. and Haeberli, W. (2012) An integrated
- socio-environmental framework for glacier hazard management and climate change adaptation:
- lessons from Lake 513, Cordillera Blanca, Peru. Climatic Change 112, 3, 733–767.
- 857 DOI:10.1007/s10584-011-0249-8

- 858 Clague, J.J. and Evans, S.G. (2000) A review of catastrophic drainage of moraine-dammed
- lakes in British Columbia, Quaternary Science Reviews, 19(17), 1763–1783.
- 860 DOI: 10.1016/S0277-3791(00)00090-1
- 861 Colonia, D., Torres, J., Haeberli, W., Schauwecker, S., Braendle, E., Giraldez, C., &
- 862 **Cochachin, A. (2017)** Compiling an Inventory of Glacier-Bed Overdeepenings and Potential
- New Lakes in De-Glaciating Areas of the Peruvian Andes: Approach, First Results, and
- Perspectives for Adaptation to Climate Change. Water, 9(5), 336.
- 865 DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/w9050336
- 866 Deline, P., Gardent, M., Magnin, F., & Ravanel, L. (2012) The morphodynamics of the
- mont blanc massif in a changing cryosphere: A comprehensive review. Geografiska Annaler:
- 868 Series A, Physical Geography, 94(2), 265-283.
- 869 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0459.2012.00467.x
- 870 **Drenkhan, F., Guardamino, L., Huggel, C. and Frey, H. (2018)** Current and future glacier
- and lake assessment in the deglaciating Vilcanota-Urubamba basin, Peruvian Andes, Global
- and Planetary Change, 169, 105–118.
- 873 DOI: 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2018.07.005
- 874 Einhorn, B., Eckert, N., Chaix, C., Ravanel, L., Deline, P., Gardent, M., Boudières, V.,
- 875 Richard, D., Vengeon, J.-M., Giraud, G., & Schoeneich, P. (2015) Changements
- 876 climatiques et risques naturels dans les Alpes. Impacts observés et potentiels sur les systèmes
- 877 physiques et socio-économiques. Journal of Alpine Research | Revue de géographie alpine,
- 878 103-2.
- 879 DOI: https://doi.org/10.4000/rga.2829
- 880 Emmer, A. (2017) Glacier Retreat and Glacial Lake Outburst Floods (GLOFs), Oxford
- 881 Research Encyclopedia of Natural Hazard Science.
- 882 DOI: 10.1093/acrefore/9780199389407.013.275
- Farinotti, D., Brinkerhoff, D.J., Clarke, G.K.C., Fürst, J.J., Frey, H., Gantayat, P., Gillet-
- Chaulet, F., Girard, C., Huss, M., Leclercq, P.W., Linsbauer, A., Machguth, H., Martin,
- 885 C., Maussion, F., Morlighem, M., Mosbeux, C., Pandit, A., Portmann, A., Rabatel, A.,
- Ramsankaran, R., Reerink, T.J., Sanchez, O., Stentoft, P.A., Singh Kumari, S., Pvan Pelt,
- W.J.J., Anderson, B., Benham, T., Binder, D., Dowdeswell, J.A., Fischer, A.Helfricht, K.,
- Kutuzov, S., Lavrentiev, I., McNabb, R., Gudmundsson, G.H., Li, H., Andreassen, L.M.
- 889 (2017) How accurate are estimates of glacier ice thickness? Results from ITMIX, the Ice
- Thickness Models Intercomparison experiment. The Cryosphere, 11(2), 949-970.
- 891 DOI: https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-949-2017

- 892 Farinotti, D., Huss, M., Bauder, A., & Funk, M. (2009) An estimate of the glacier ice
- volume in the Swiss Alps. Global and Planetary Change, 68(3), 225-231.
- 894 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2009.05.004
- 895 Farinotti, D., Huss, M., Fürst, J. J., Landmann, J., Machguth, H., Maussion, F., & Pandit,
- 896 A. (2019) A consensus estimate for the ice thickness distribution of all glaciers on Earth.
- 897 Nature Geoscience, 12(3), 168-173.
- 898 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0300-3
- 899 Frey, H., Haeberli, W., Linsbauer, A., Huggel, C., & Paul, F. (2010) A multi-level strategy
- 900 for anticipating future glacier lake formation and associated hazard potentials. Natural Hazards
- 901 and Earth System Sciences, 10(2), 339-352.
- 902 DOI: https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-10-339-2010
- 903 Frey, H., Machguth, H., Huss, M., Huggel, C., Bajracharya, S., Bolch, T., Kulkarni, A.,
- 904 Linsbauer, A., Salzmann, N., & Stoffel, M. (2014) Estimating the volume of glaciers in the
- 905 Himalayan–Karakoram region using different methods. The Cryosphere, 8(6).
- 906 DOI: https://doc.rero.ch/record/234306
- 907 GAPHAZ. (2017) Assessment of Glacier and Permafrost Hzards in Mountain Regions -
- 908 Technical Guidance Document, International Association of Cryospheric Sciences (IASC) and
- 909 the International Prmafrost Association (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland / Lima, Peru.
- 910 Gardent, M. (2014) Inventaire et retrait des glaciers dans les alpes françaises depuis la fin
- 911 du Petit Age Glaciaire. Thèse de doctorat, Université Savoie Mont-Blanc, 455 p.
- 912 Gardent, M., Rabatel, A., Dedieu, J.-P., & Deline, P. (2014) Multitemporal glacier
- 913 inventory of the French Alps from the late 1960s to the late 2000s. Global and Planetary
- 914 Change, 120, 24-37.
- 915 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2014.05.004
- 916 Guardamino, L., Haeberli, W., Muñoz, R., Drenkhan, F., Tacsi, A. and Cochaching, A.
- 917 (2019) Proyección de lagunas Futuras en las Cordilleras Glaciares del Perú. Ministra de
- 918 Agricultura y Riego, Autoridad Nacional del Agua, Dirección de Calidad y Evaluación de
- 919 Calidad de Recursos Hídricos, Área de Evaluación de Glaciares y Lagunas.
- 920 http://repositorio.ana.gob.pe/handle/ANA/3597
- 921 **Haeberli, W. (2017)** Integrative modelling and managing new landscapes and environments
- 922 in de-glaciating mountain ranges: An emerging trans-disciplinary research field, Forestry
- 923 Research and Engineering: International Journal, 1(1).
- 924 DOI: 10.15406/freij.2017.01.00005
- 925 Haeberli, W., Buetler, M., Huggel, C., Lehmann Friedli, T., Schaub, Y., & Schleiss, A.

- 926 (2016) New lakes in deglaciating high-mountain regions opportunities and risks.
- 927 ResearchGate, 2(139), 201-214.
- 928 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10584-016-1771-5
- 929 Haeberli, W., Kääb, A., Mühll, D. V., & Teysseire, P. (2001) Prevention of outburst floods
- 930 from periglacial lakes at Grubengletscher, Valais, Swiss Alps. Journal of Glaciology, 47(156),
- 931 111-122.
- 932 DOI: https://doi.org/10.3189/172756501781832575
- 933 Hubbard, B., Heald, A., Reynolds, J. M., Quincey, D., Richardson, S. D., Luyo, M. Z.,
- Portilla, N. S. and Hambrey, M. J. (2005) Impact of a rock avalanche on a moraine-dammed
- 935 proglacial lake: Laguna Safuna Alta, Cordillera Blanca, Peru, Earth Surface Processes and
- 936 Landforms, 30(10), 1251–1264.
- 937 DOI: 10.1002/esp.1198
- 938 Huss, M. (2012) Extrapolating glacier mass balance to the mountain range scale: The
- 939 European Alps 1900–2100. The Cryosphere Discuss., 6, 1117-1156.
- 940 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/tcd-6-1117-2012
- 941 **INSEE.** (2019) Comparateur de territoire Commune de Chamonix-Mont-Blanc (74056)
- 942 Insee. Comparateur de territoire.
- DOI: https://www.insee.fr/fr/statistiques/1405599?geo=COM-74056
- 944 **IPCC.** (2019) Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate. In:
- 945 Chapter 2: High Mountain Areas, In press
- 946 Kapitsa, V., Shahgedanova, M., Machguth, H., Severskiy, I., & Medeu, A. (2017) –
- Assessment of evolution and risks of glacier lake outbursts in the Djungarskiy Alatau, Central
- Asia, using Landsat imagery and glacier bed topography modelling. Natural Hazards and Earth
- 949 System Sciences, 17(10), 1837-1856.
- 950 DOI: https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-17-1837-2017
- 951 Le Meur, E. L. and Vincent, C. (2003) A two-dimensional shallow ice-flow model of
- 952 Glacier de Saint-Sorlin, France, Journal of Glaciology, 49(167), 527–538.
- 953 DOI: 10.3189/172756503781830421
- 954 Linsbauer, A., Frey, H., Haeberli, W., Machguth, H., Azam, M. F., & Allen, S. (2016) –
- 955 Modelling glacier-bed overdeepenings and possible future lakes for the glaciers in the Himalaya
- 956 Karakoram region. Annals of Glaciology, 57(71), 119-130.
- 957 DOI: https://doi.org/10.3189/2016AoG71A627
- 958 Linsbauer, A., Paul, F, Hoelzle, M., Frey, H. and Haeberli, W. (2009) The Swiss Alps
- 959 without glaciers a GIS-based modelling approach for reconstruction of glacier beds.

- 960 Proceedings of Geomorphometry 2009. Zurich, Switzerland, 243-247
- 961 DOI: 10.5167/uzh-27834
- 262 Linsbauer, A., Paul, F., & Haeberli, W. (2012) Modelling glacier thickness distribution and
- bed topography over entire mountain ranges with GlabTop: Application of a fast and robust
- approach. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 117(F3), 17.
- 965 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JF002313
- 966 Magnin, F., Josnin, J.-Y., Ravanel, L., Pergaud, J., Pohl, B. and Deline, P. (2017) –
- Modelling rock wall permafrost degradation in the Mont Blanc massif from the LIA to the end
- 968 of the 21st century, The Cryosphere, 11(4), 1813–1834.
- 969 DOI: https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-1813-2017
- 970 Magnin, F., Haeberli, W., Linsbauer, A., Deline, P. and Ravanel, L. (2020) Estimating
- 971 glacier-bed overdeepenings as possible sites of future lakes in the de-glaciating Mont Blanc
- 972 massif (Western European Alps), Geomorphology, 350, 106913.
- 973 DOI: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2019.106913,
- 974 Marcer, M., Bodin, X., Brenning, A., Schoeneich, P., Charvet, R., & Gottardi, F. (2017) –
- 975 Permafrost Favorability Index: Spatial Modeling in the French Alps Using a Rock Glacier
- 976 Inventory. Frontiers in Earth Science, 5.
- 977 DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2017.00105
- 978 **Mougin, P. (2001)** Les torrents de la Savoie, Eds. La Fontaine de Siloé, 1251 pp
- Paul, F., & Linsbauer, A. (2012) Modeling of glacier bed topography from glacier outlines,
- 980 central branch lines, and a DEM. International Journal of Geographical Information Science,
- 981 26(7), 1173-1190.
- 982 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/13658816.2011.627859
- 983 **PERMOS**. (2019) Permafrost in Switzerland, 2014/2015 to 2017/2018, Commission of the
- 984 Swiss Academy of Sciences. [online].
- 985 DOI: 10.13093/permos-rep-2019-16-19
- 986 **Purdie, H.** (2013) Glacier Retreat and Tourism: Insights from New Zealand. Mountain
- 987 Research and Development, 33(4), 463-472.
- 988 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1659/MRD-JOURNAL-D-12-00073.1
- 989 Rabatel, A., Letréguilly, A., Dedieu, J. P., & Eckert, N. (2013) Changes in glacier
- equilibrium-line altitude in the western Alps from 1984 to 2010 : Evaluation by remote sensing
- and modeling of the morpho-topographic and climate controls. The Cryosphere, 7(5),
- 992 1455-1471.
- 993 DOI: https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-7-1455-2013

- 994 Rabatel, Antoine, Sanchez, O., Vincent, C., & Six, D. (2018) Estimation of Glacier
- 795 Thickness From Surface Mass Balance and Ice Flow Velocities: A Case Study on Argentière
- 996 Glacier, France. Frontiers in Earth Science, 6.
- 997 DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2018.00112
- 998 Ravanel, L., Magnin, F., & Deline, P. (2017) Impacts of the 2003 and 2015 summer
- heatwaves on permafrost-affected rock-walls in the Mont Blanc massif. Science of The Total
- 1000 Environment, 609, 132-143.
- 1001 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.07.055
- 1002 Ravanel, Ludovic, Allignol, F., Deline, P., Gruber, S., & Ravello, M. (2010) Rock falls in
- 1003 the Mont Blanc Massif in 2007 and 2008. Landslides, 7(4), 493-501.
- 1004 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-010-0206-z
- 1005 **Ravanel, Ludovic, & Deline, P. (2011)** Climate influence on rockfalls in high-Alpine steep
- 1006 rockwalls: The north side of the Aiguilles de Chamonix (Mont Blanc massif) since the end of
- 1007 the 'Little Ice Age'. The Holocene, 21(2), 357-365.
- 1008 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/0959683610374887
- Salim, E., Mourey, J., Ravanel, L., Picco, P., & Gauchon, C. (2019) Mountain guides
- facing the effects of climate change. What perceptions and adaptation strategies at the foot of
- 1011 Mont Blanc? Journal of Alpine Research | Revue de Géographie Alpine, 107-4, Article 107-4.
- 1012 DOI: https://doi.org/10.4000/rga.5865
- 1013 **Savoie Mont Blanc Tourisme.** (2017) Chiffres 2017 Savoie Mont Blanc Tourisme (p. 8)
- 1014 [Zoom territoire]. Pays du Mont Blanc (non publié)
- DOI: https://www.ccpmb.fr/uploads/2017/07/Chiffres-2017 savoie-mont-blanc-tourisme.pdf
- 1016 Schaub, Y., Huggel, C. and Cochachin, A. (2016) Ice-avalanche scenario elaboration and
- uncertainty propagation in numerical simulation of rock-/ice-avalanche-induced impact waves
- at Mount Hualcán and Lake 513, Peru, Landslides, 13(6), 1445–1459.
- 1019 DOI: 10.1007/s10346-015-0658-2
- 1020 Schneider, D., Huggel, C., Cochachin, A., Guillén, S. and García, J. (2014) Mapping
- hazards from glacier lake outburst floods based on modelling of process cascades at Lake 513,
- 1022 Carhuaz, Peru, Adv. Geosci., 35, 145–155.
- 1023 DOI: 10.5194/adgeo-35-145-2014
- 1024 Somos-Valenzuela, M. A., Chisolm, R. E., Rivas, D. S., Portocarrero, C. and McKinney,
- 1025 **D. C.** (2016) Modelling a glacial lake outburst flood process chain: the case of Lake
- Palcacocha and Huaraz, Peru, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 20(6), 2519–2543.
- 1027 DOI: https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-20-2519-2016

- Vincent, C., Peyaud, V., Laarman, O., Six, D., Gilbert, A., Gillet-Chaulet, F., Berthier, É.,
- 1029 Morin, S., Verfaillie, D., Rabatel, A., Jourdain, B., & Bolibar, J. (2019) Déclin des deux
- plus grands glaciers des Alpes françaises au cours du XXIe siècle : Argentière et Mer de Glace.
- 1031 La Météorologie, 106, 49.
- 1032 DOI: https://doi.org/10.4267/2042/70369
- Walter, F., Amann, F., Kos, A., Kenner, R., Phillips, M., de Preux, A., Huss, M., Tognacca,
- 1034 C., Clinton, J., Diehl, T. and Bonanomi, Y. (2020) Direct observations of a three million
- 1035 cubic meter rock-slope collapse with almost immediate initiation of ensuing debris flows,
- 1036 Geomorphology, 351, 106933.
- 1037 DOI: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2019.106933
- 1038 Worni, R., Stoffel, M., Huggel, C., Volz, C., Casteller, A. and Luckman, B. (2012) –
- 1039 Analysis and dynamic modeling of a moraine failure and glacier lake outburst flood at
- 1040 Ventisquero Negro, Patagonian Andes (Argentina), Journal of Hydrology, 444–445, 134–145.
- 1041 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.04.013
- **Zekollari, H., Huss, M., & Farinotti, D. (2019)** Modelling the future evolution of glaciers
- in the European Alps under the EURO-CORDEX RCM ensemble. The Cryosphere, 13(4),
- 1044 1125-1146.
- 1045 DOI: https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-1125-2019
- 1046 **Zemp, M., Haeberli, W., Hoelzle, M., & Paul, F. (2006)** Alpine glaciers to disappear within
- decades? Geophysical Research Letters, 33(13).
- 1048 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL026319
- 1049 **Zemp, M., Kääb, A., Hoelzle, M., & Haeberli, W. (2005)** GIS-based modelling of glacial
- sediment balance. 18.
- 1051 DOI: https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-40580
- Zemp, M., Frey, H., Gärtner-Roer, I., Nussbaumer, S. U., Hoelzle, M., Paul, F., Haeberli,
- 1053 W., Denzinger, F., Ahlstrøm, A. P., Anderson, B., Bajracharya, S., Baroni, C., Braun, L.
- N., Cáceres, B. E., Casassa, G., Cobos, G., Dávila, L. R., Granados, H. D., Demuth, M. N.,
- Espizua, L., Fischer, A., Fujita, K., Gadek, B., Ghazanfar, A., Hagen, J. O., Holmlund, P.,
- 1056 Karimi, N., Li, Z., Pelto, M., Pitte, P., Popovnin, V. V., Portocarrero, C. A., Prinz, R.,
- Sangewar, C. V., Severskiy, I., Sigurdsson, O., Soruco, A., Usubaliev, R. and Vincent, C.
- 1058 (2015) Historically unprecedented global glacier decline in the early 21st century, Journal of
- 1059 Glaciology, 61(228), 745–762.
- 1060 DOI: 10.3189/2015JoG15J017