



HAL
open science

A microfluidic device to statistically determine the distribution of sickle red cell subpopulations using bioimpedance

Tieying Xu, Maria A Lizarralde-Iragorri, Jean Roman, Emile Martincic, Valentine Brousse, Olivier Français, Wassim El Nemer, Bruno Le Pioufle

► To cite this version:

Tieying Xu, Maria A Lizarralde-Iragorri, Jean Roman, Emile Martincic, Valentine Brousse, et al.. A microfluidic device to statistically determine the distribution of sickle red cell subpopulations using bioimpedance. *Transducers*, 2021, on-line, France. hal-03260796

HAL Id: hal-03260796

<https://hal.science/hal-03260796>

Submitted on 15 Jun 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A MICROFLUIDIC DEVICE TO STATISTICALLY DETERMINE THE DISTRIBUTION OF SICKLE RED CELL SUBPOPULATIONS USING BIOIMPEDANCE

Tieying Xu¹, Maria A. Lizarralde-Iragorri², Jean Roman¹, Emile Martincic³, Valentine Brousse², Olivier Français⁴, Wassim El Nemer², and Bruno Le Pioufle^{5,*}

¹Université Paris-Saclay, ENS Paris-Saclay, CNRS, Institut d'Alembert, Gif sur Yvette, FRANCE

²Université de Paris, UMR_S1134, BIGR, Inserm, Paris, FRANCE

³C2N, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, Palaiseau, FRANCE

⁴ESYCOM, Université Gustave Eiffel, CNRS, ESIEE Paris, Marne-la-Vallée, FRANCE and

⁵Université Paris-Saclay, ENS Paris-Saclay, CNRS, Institut d'Alembert, LUMIN, Gif sur Yvette, FRANCE

ABSTRACT

Bioimpedance measurements using microfluidic systems play an increasingly important role in cell analysis. In this paper, a microfluidic device mimicking the vasculature, in particular blood microcapillaries, and equipped with electrodes for bioimpedance sensing is described. Red blood cells, from patients suffering from sickle cell disease, were analyzed and discriminated in this device according to the physical parameters. The statistical position of different subpopulations on the Gaussian distribution of the whole sickle cell population was analyzed. A discrimination between sickle cell subpopulations, related to different density states, was achieved.

KEYWORDS

Sickle cell subpopulations, Bioimpedance, Microfluidic, Statistical single cell analysis

INTRODUCTION

Thanks to their capability to deform, red blood cells (RBCs, diameter of 8µm, thickness of 2µm) circulate in the blood microcapillaries network and play a crucial role in the exchange of oxygen and carbon dioxide [1], which maintains the function of human organs.

However, several genetic disorders may affect the RBC functions. Sickle cell disease (SCD) is characterized by the expression of a mutated hemoglobin that polymerizes under hypoxic conditions driving RBC sickling [2]. RBC shape changes from biconcave to sickle shape, which induces vaso-occlusive crises and decreases the level of oxygen supplied to the organs, leading to a loss of organ function. This disease touches millions of people in the world.

SCD RBCs are very heterogeneous in shape and morphology because of differential sickling and hydration state. To analyze the SCD RBC subpopulations, a microfluidic device is developed which reproduces the blood flow network. It is equipped with electrodes for electrical recording and bioimpedance analysis. Thanks to the electrical monitoring, physical parameters like the transit time and the blockade amplitude in microfluidic restrictions mimicking the blood microcapillary could be extracted and statistically analyzed.

SCD RBC subpopulations were discriminated by the analysis of the data associated to transit electrical flow profile (transit time and blockade amplitude). That system might be a promising approach to determine the

distribution of RBCs in patients and potentially predict disease severity or impact of treatments.

THEORY

Bioimpedance is a *non-invasive* method for analyzing cellular electrical parameters without molecular labeling and does not require to optically visualize the cell.

The bioimpedance relies on the dielectric properties of the cells and of the medium, and expresses as follows, using the Maxwell's heterogeneous model [3]:

$$Z_{mix}(\omega) = \frac{D}{S} \frac{1}{\sigma_{mix} + i\omega\epsilon_{mix}} = \frac{D}{S} \frac{1}{i\omega\epsilon_{mix}^*}$$

Where D represents the equivalent distance between the electrodes (face to face), S represents their surface, σ_{mix} corresponds to the conductivity of the mixture (between medium and cells) and ϵ_{mix} is its permittivity. $\epsilon_{mix}^* = \epsilon_{mix} - i \frac{\sigma_{mix}}{\omega}$ represents the complex permittivity of the system.

In case of a cell, the real part represents the cellular dielectric polarization (the cell permittivity ϵ_{cell}) and the imaginary part is related to the conduction losses (σ_{cell}). The cell being a heterogeneous living object, its complex permittivity depends on the cytoplasmic membrane and cell content. The permittivity of a spherical cell could be approximated by the single shell model [4]. The cell content dielectric properties are represented by σ_{in} and ϵ_{in} . And those of the membrane are represented by σ_{memb} and ϵ_{memb} . We have:

$$\epsilon_{cell}^* = \epsilon_{memb}^* \left[\left(\frac{R}{R-e} \right)^3 + 2 \left(\frac{\epsilon_{in}^* - \epsilon_{memb}^*}{\epsilon_{in}^* + 2\epsilon_{memb}^*} \right) \right] - \left(\frac{R}{R-e} \right)^3 \left(\frac{\epsilon_{in}^* - \epsilon_{memb}^*}{\epsilon_{in}^* + 2\epsilon_{memb}^*} \right)$$

Where R represents the radius of the cell and e the thickness of the membrane.

At low frequencies, the cell membrane is predominant. The permittivity of the cell can be approximated to: $\epsilon_{cell}^* = \frac{R}{e} \epsilon_{memb}^*$.

The complex permittivity of the mixture between the electrodes expresses:

$$\epsilon_{mix}^* = \epsilon_m^* \frac{1 + 2\Phi f_{CM}}{1 - 2\Phi f_{CM}}$$

Where Φ is the volume fraction between the cell and the medium. f_{CM} represents the Clausius-Mossotti factor:

$$f_{CM} = \frac{\varepsilon_{cell}^* - \varepsilon_m^*}{\varepsilon_{cell}^* + 2\varepsilon_m^*}$$

Depending on the frequency range of the excitation signal, the impedance spectrum of the miniaturized system filled by cells suspension can be obtained. The sensitivity of this method necessarily depends on the distance D between the electrodes as well as on the volume fraction Φ .

The effect of the double layer capacitance at the interface between the electrodes and the medium need also to be taken into account. This layer can vary from a few nanometers to ten nanometers [5]. Its capacitance expresses $C_{DL} = C_s S$ (with C_s the surface capacity of the double layer effect and S the surface of the considered electrode). This adds to the system an impedance:

$$Z_{DL}(\omega) = \frac{1}{j\omega C_{DL}}$$

Black platinum electrodes present a double layer surface capacitance C_s , advantageously high, as $0.5 \text{ F}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ [6]. This value can be increased by increasing the roughness of the electrode in order to decrease the associated impedance and make its effect negligible.

Finally, optimized frequency was chosen in the frequency range where these two cases could be distinguished by the difference of the impedance modulus: with and without RBC in the microcapillary. Under such frequency, impedance measurement in real time could be achieved (See Figure 1.A. and B.).

EXPERIMENTAL

The microfluidic device, dedicated to the sickle cell analysis, was built in two parts: the microfluidic capillaries network part and the bioimpedance monitoring part.

A Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) chip containing microfluidic network was manufactured by soft lithography method. The microcapillary has a symmetric structure: a $30\mu\text{m}$ long thin capillary with rectangular section (width= $5\mu\text{m}$, thickness= $2\mu\text{m}$), followed by a slightly wider section channel (width= $10\mu\text{m}$, same thickness) and connected to a wide channel (width= $20\mu\text{m}$, same thickness).

The PDMS subpart was placed manually above the quartz substrate containing gold electrodes (Cr 20nm/Au 200nm). The sickle red blood cells suspension sample (2% hematocrit) was inserted at the inlet of the microcapillary network. The PDMS subpart was maintained on the substrate thanks to the depressurization (-250mbar) applied during the experiment at the outlet of the microcapillaries network, inducing the RBC circulation within the microchannels.

To realize the electrical detection, a portable Analog Discovery multifonction hardware was used to generate the electrical excitation and record the feedback signal. The sinusoidal voltage signal was applied to the microcapillary via gold electrodes and the detected current in the biological sample was converted to a voltage thanks to an AD847J based transimpedance amplifier. Full signal was digitalized (sampling frequency 500kHz). Data processing based on numerical synchronous detection with a dedicated algorithm (Igor Pro) is then achieved to extract physical parameters (transit time, blockade amplitude).

For calibration, imaging of the red blood cell transit in the microcapillary was simultaneously performed thanks to a high-speed Phantom MIRO M 3205 camera mounted on an inverted microscope (Zeiss AxioObserver Z1 microscope).

Thanks to a Percoll fraction (See Figure 2.A.) [7], sickle cells were classified into 3 types (or subpopulations): cells of low density (LD SCD RBCs), of medium density (D SCD RBCs) and of high density (HD SCD RBCs). RBCs were conserved in Cellstab solution and diluted into the conductive solution (1.26S/m) to obtain 2% RBCs suspension for the bioimpedance monitoring.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

First, the control sample (healthy red blood cells) was introduced in the device. With our conditions, the electrical recording of 1696 RBCs showed a single mean transit time equal to 7.13ms and a single mean blockade amplitude equal to $1.76 \times 10^{-3}\text{V}$. For SCD RBCs, multiple peaks appeared on the histogram of the transit time (See Figure 2.A., 7.54ms and 13.26ms , for 1298 RBCs) and the blockade amplitude (See Figure 2.B., $1.12 \times 10^{-3}\text{V}$, $1.99 \times 10^{-3}\text{V}$ and $2.09 \times 10^{-3}\text{V}$, for 1298 cells) [8]. To understand this phenomenon, an analysis of isolated subpopulations was established.

For LD, D and HD SCD RBC subpopulations, decreasing values of the mean transit time were obtained (Figure 3.A., B. and C., LD SCD RBCs: 8.3ms , D SCD RBCs: 8.2ms , HD SCD RBCs: 7.7ms), indicating that LD SCD RBCs take longer time to pass through the microcapillary restriction.

Our hypothesis is that HD RBCs have a smaller contact surface with the wall of the microcapillary than LD RBCs, leading to a shorter transit time. Even though the cell rigidity increases from LD to D and to HD SCD RBCs, the effect of the contact surface is preponderant.

Considering the blockade amplitude, a decrease was also observed from LD to D and to HD SCD RBCs (See Figure 4.A., B. and C., LD SCD RBCs: $1.9 \times 10^{-3}\text{V}$, D SCD RBCs: $1.77 \times 10^{-3}\text{V}$, HD SCD RBCs: $1.65 \times 10^{-3}\text{V}$).

SCD RBC subpopulations are identified with this hypothesis on the histogram of the blockade amplitude (See Figure 2.B.):

- i) HD SCD RBCs have low blockade amplitude due to their sickle shape, which results in a small contact surface.
- ii) LD SCD RBCs have high blockade amplitude because of the appearance of the reticulocytes, which are large and light, bring a large contact surface.
- iii) D SCD RBCs have a blockade amplitude close to the control RBCs behavior.

At the same time, thanks to the transparency of the microfluidic device, the imaging of the cell transit was possible during the experiment. The cell shape corresponding to each SCD subpopulation is shown in Figure 2.B.

CONCLUSION

The cell bioimpedance monitoring within biomimicking microcapillary network brings the new possibility to achieve a statistical analysis of the distribution of SCD RBCs, which may offer new tools in

patients management.

Higher density of sickle cells leads to lower transit time within the microcapillary restriction, as well as a decreasing blockade amplitude.

This approach is very promising for future microfluidic systems for the follow-up of SCD patients over time and during treatments. Further analysis, including the scatter plot of physical parameters, is possible. Such approach characterizing cellular abnormalities based on bioimpedance might be useful for future biomedical applications.




Figure 1: A. Red blood cell transit in the third restriction of the external branch of the matrix (24×10); B. Impedance modulus time dependence, due to the red blood cell transit in the restriction.





Figure 2: A. Gaussian distribution fitted with histogram of the transit time for normal RBCs (1696 cells) and whole SCD RBCs (1298 cells) populations. Percoll fraction result is at the right side of the Figure A; B. Gaussian distribution fitted with histogram of the blockade amplitude for normal RBCs (1696 cells) and whole SCD RBCs (1298 cells) populations. The position of LD, D and HD SCD subpopulations is included. Corresponding cell shapes are shown at the right side of the Figure B.






Figure 3: Gaussian distribution fitted with histogram of the transit time. A. Mean transit time and its variation for LD SCD RBCs subpopulation (cell number=312); B. Mean transit time and its variation for D SCD RBCs subpopulation (cell number=259); C. Mean transit time and its variation for HD SCD RBCs subpopulation (cell number=248).




Figure 4: Gaussian distribution fitted with histogram of the blockade amplitude. A. Mean blockade amplitude and its variation for LD SCD RBCs subpopulation (cell number=312); B. Mean blockade amplitude and its variation for D SCD RBCs subpopulation (cell number=259); C. Mean blockade amplitude and its variation for HD SCD RBCs subpopulation (cell

number=248).

Table 1: Mean value of the transit time and the blockade amplitude for each SCD subpopulation.

Type	Transit time (ms)	Blockade amplitude ($10^{-3}V$)
LD	8.3 ± 0.17	1.9 ± 0.03
D	8.2 ± 0.1	1.77 ± 0.07
HD	7.7 ± 0.09	1.65 ± 0.06

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge the Labex LaSIPS (ANR-10-LABX-0040-Lasips), ANR Epsilomics (ANR17-CE09-0044-02), and the “Ecole Doctorale EOBÉ” of Université Paris-Saclay, the “Institut d’Alembert” and the “CNRS” for project fundings.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. D. E. Verdier, “2,3-Diphosphoglycerate and respiratory function of hemoglobin,” pp. 613–617, 1972.
- [2] L. Pauling, H. A. Itano, S. J. Singer, and I. C. Wells, “Sickle Cell Anemia, a Molecular Disease,” *Science* (80-.), vol. 110, no. 2865, pp. 543–548, Nov. 1949.
- [3] A. Valero, T. Braschler, and P. Renaud, “A unified approach to dielectric single cell analysis: Impedance and dielectrophoretic force spectroscopy,” *Lab Chip*, vol. 10, no. 17, p. 2216, 2010.
- [4] Y. Huang, R. Holzel, R. Pethig, and X.-B. Wang, “Differences in the AC electrodynamics of viable and non-viable yeast cells determined through combined dielectrophoresis and electrorotation studies,” *Phys. Med. Biol.*, vol. 37, no. 7, pp. 1499–1517, Jul. 1992.
- [5] J. N. Israelachvili and G. E. Adams, “Measurement of forces between two mica surfaces in aqueous electrolyte solutions in the range 0-100 nm,” *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1 Phys. Chem. Condens. Phases*, vol. 74, pp. 975–1001, 1978.
- [6] S. Grimnes and Ø. G. Martinsen, “GEOMETRICAL ANALYSIS,” in *Bioimpedance and Bioelectricity Basics*, Elsevier, 2008, pp. 161–204.
- [7] M. Fabry *et al.*, “Dense cells in sickle cell anemia: the effects of gene interaction,” *Blood*, vol. 64, no. 5, pp. 1042–1046, Nov. 1984.
- [8] T. Xu *et al.*, “Characterization of red blood cell microcirculatory parameters using a bioimpedance microfluidic device,” *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 9869, Dec. 2020.

CONTACT

* B. Le Pioufle: bruno.le-pioufle@ens-paris-saclay.fr