

Relevance of using the non-reactive geochemical signature in sediment core to estimate historical tributary contributions

Céline Bégorre, Aymeric Dabrin, Amandine Morereau, Hugo Lepage, Brice Mourier, Matthieu Masson, Frédérique Eyrolle, Marina Coquery

▶ To cite this version:

Céline Bégorre, Aymeric Dabrin, Amandine Morereau, Hugo Lepage, Brice Mourier, et al.. Relevance of using the non-reactive geochemical signature in sediment core to estimate historical tributary contributions. Journal of Environmental Management, 2021, 292, pp.112775. 10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.112775. hal-03256295

HAL Id: hal-03256295 https://hal.science/hal-03256295v1

Submitted on 31 Aug 2021 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

Relevance of using the non-reactive geochemical signature in sediment core to estimate historical tributary contributions

Céline Bégorre^a, Aymeric Dabrin^a, Amandine Morereau^b, Hugo Lepage^b, Brice Mourier^c, Matthieu Masson^a, Frédérique Eyrolle^b, Marina Coquery^a

^aINRAE, UR RiverLy, 5 rue de la Doua, CS 20244, 69625 Villeurbanne Cedex, France

^bInstitut de Radioprotection et de Sureté Nucléaire (IRSN), PSE-ENV, SRTE/LRTA, BP 3, 13115 Saint-Paul-lez-Durance, France

^cUniversité de Lyon, UMR5023 LEHNA, Université Lyon 1, ENTPE, CNRS, 3 rue Maurice Audin, 69518 Vaulx-en-Velin, France

Key words: geochemical fingerprinting, sediment core, non-reactive fraction of metals, suspended particulate matter (SPM)

Highlights:

Assessment of historical sediment sources with an original fingerprinting approach Apportionment modelling using total and residual concentrations of inorganic elements More tracers available with non-reactive fraction to discern historical SPM sources Total concentrations of tracers lead to inaccurate historical sources apportionment More reliable SPM contribution from Upper Rhône tributaries with residual fraction

1. Introduction

1

2 Suspended particulate matter (SPM) is important for biogeochemical cycling and aquatic ecosystem 3 functioning, but it can also have negative impacts on water quality, ecosystems, and the economy (i.e. causing dysfunctions in power plants that use river water, filling reservoirs) (Navratil et al., 2012; 4 5 Torres-Astorga et al., 2018). Identifying SPM sources can help improve river management to reduce the sediment and associated contaminants load in rivers by implementing remediation actions in 6 7 source areas. In general, sediment source fingerprinting studies focus on estimating spatial sources of SPM in hydrosystems or on methodological aspects (Walling, 2005; Collins et al., 2017). 8 Fingerprinting methods for tracing sources of SPM or sediment, which has evolved considerably since 9 10 the 1970s, employ tracers (Walling, 2013; Collins et al., 2017). Tracer science has also evolved with 11 analytical techniques developed to greatly increase the number of physical, biological, geochemical 12 and other properties that can serve for source tracing (Walling, 2013; Collins et al., 2020). A majority 13 of fingerprinting studies have used geochemical properties as tracers (e.g. trace metals/metalloids, 14 major elements; Collins et al., 2020), which is explained by the inclusion of these properties in the 15 composition of soils and SPM that are typical of each watershed. Thus, these properties provide an effective tool to discriminate the potential sources identified. 16 17 As signalled in the review of D'Haen et al. (2012), few studies have focussed on the application of 18 source tracing to sediment cores. However, the historical inputs of SPM from different sources can 19 provide retrospective information on environmental events (floods) or modifications of SPM fluxes,

20 such as dam construction, bank stabilization or revegetation (Collins et al., 1997; Navratil et al., 2012).

- 21 Dhivert et al. (2016) showed that past anthropogenic inputs were higher for several metals/metalloids
- 22 (e.g. Bi, Cd, Hg, As, Pb), leading to increased concentrations of some properties in the deepest layers
- of the core. We therefore deduce that there are differences in concentrations between the sources and
- the deepest layers of the sediment core, which means that the elements concerned are reactive because
- the geochemical signature of the deposited SPM has changed over time. Moreover, source
- 26 fingerprinting in sediment cores does carry some limitations, since total metal concentrations that
- 27 include anthropogenic inputs and the use of contemporary SPM are commonly used in literature to
- trace historical sources.
- 29 In order to account for the conservatism of tracers in the total fraction, fingerprinting studies generally
- 30 apply a mass conservation test called the range test (Collins et al., 2017). However, Owens et al.
- 31 (2016) showed that the range test lacks reliability as some tracers could pass the test yet still have non-
- 32 conservative behaviour. Moreover, a conservative property could be out of the range of the considered
- 33 sources, as there might be one unidentified source. Given the challenge of establishing tracer
- 34 conservativism, using the total concentration of tracers may add uncertainties on the model results due
- to the reactivity of some of the metals (i.e. including metals and metalloids in the following text). To
- address this issue, Dabrin et al. (2014) tested the use of trace elements analyzed in the non-reactive

- 37 fraction to trace qualitatively the origin of contemporary SPM from two sources (tributaries) in the
- 38 highly reactive Gironde estuary. More recently, a similar approach proved useful to trace the SPM
- 39 contributions of five tributaries on the Upper Rhône River (Dabrin et al., 2021). Furthermore, several

40 studies show that total metal concentrations in sediment have widely varied over the past decades (e.g.

41 Audry et al., 2004; Dhivert et al., 2016), and may be modified as a result of diagenetic processes that

42 occur in the sediment column (D'Haen et al., 2012; Collins et al., 2017). It is therefore essential to

43 ensure the conservativism of properties for tracing SPM sources over a large time-scale (i.e. using

sediment cores). Thus, the use of the non-reactive fraction could be advantageous for the application

45 of fingerprinting methods in a multitude of environments (i.e. estuaries, delta, acid mine drainage)

46 and, then, implement management actions aimed at reducing major sediment inputs to rivers.

47 There are still only few studies focusing on the potential reactivity of metals in the total fraction

48 (Ankers et al., 2003; Carter et al., 2006; Pulley et al., 2015). Pulley et al. (2015) applied the range test

49 to investigate tracer conservatism (i.e. magnetic, radionuclide, and geochemical tracers) in layers of

50 six sediment cores in the Nene River watershed (UK). If some properties failed the range test for some

51 core layers, then the corresponding layers were removed from further analysis (Pulley et al., 2015).

52 This method, advocated by Collins et al (2017), results in the loss of a large amount of information on

sources over time, information which may be essential for this type of study. Another limitation in the

54 identification of historical inputs of SPM is the difference in grain size between contemporary SPM

sources and sediment core layers. As many metals (i.e. As, Cu, Cd, Ni, Co, Zn, Pb) have a strong

affinity with fine particles (Horowitz, 1991; Koiter et al., 2015), SPM can have higher concentrations

of some metals than the coarser particles deposited in sediment cores. To homogenize the particle size

58 distribution between sediment core layers and source samples (SPM from tributaries or surface soil

samples), some studies include a particle size correction of the geochemical concentrations (metals,

60 rare earths and major cations; Manjoro et al., 2017).

61 Given the methodological limitations to ensuring tracer conservatism, here we set out to: (1)

62 investigate the relevance of using the non-reactive fraction of metals in fingerprinting approaches

63 applied on a sediment core, and (2) assess the historical contributions of SPM inputs in a sediment

64 core collected on the Upper Rhône River, downstream of three major tributaries, using the total and

65 non-reactive fractions. For that purpose, we focussed on historical SPM inputs in the Rhône River

basin, which is one of the largest watersheds and the main contributor of SPM to the Mediterranean

67 Sea (Launay, 2014; Zebracki et al., 2015; Delile et al., 2020).

68

69 **2.** Materials and Methods

70 2.1. Study area

71 The Rhône River is one of Europe's major rivers and the biggest sediment input to the Mediterranean

72 Sea, with an inter-annual mean of 324 t.km⁻².year⁻¹ for the 1994–1995 period (Launay, 2014;

73 UNEP/MAP, 2003). Its watershed covers an area of 95,600 km² and a wide diversity of geological and

climatic conditions (Zebracki et al., 2015; Delile et al., 2020). Since 2010, concentrations and fluxes

of SPM and associated contaminants of the Rhône River and its tributaries are monitored and managed

vinder the Rhône Sediment Observatory (OSR) program. A monitoring network up and running since

2009 collects a large set of SPM samples and data, which are freely available from the online database

of the OSR (Thollet et al., 2018). Our study focussed on a simplified system on the upper part of the

79 Rhône River catchment (\approx 7000 km²). This area includes three main tributaries located upstream of the

sediment core location (Fig. 1), i.e. the Arve, the Fier, and the Guiers Rivers, which have catchment

areas of 2164 km², 700 km² and 614 km², respectively. The Lake Geneva outlet, located upstream of

82 the Arve River, is considered a negligible source of SPM to the Rhône River, in line with Launay

83 (2014) who reported that Lake Geneva acts as a reservoir for deposited SPM and that the quantities of

84 SPM discharged at its outlet into the Rhône River are negligible. In terms of contributions of each

tributary in terms of average SPM fluxes, the Arve, Fier and Guiers Rivers brought 0.49, 0.18 and 0.07

- 86 Mt.year⁻¹ respectively, for the period 2001–2011 (Launay, 2014).
- 87 2.2. Sampling strategy

88 2.2.1. Sources sampling

The SPM samples were collected on the three main tributaries of the Upper Rhône River, the Arve, 89 90 Fier and Guiers Rivers, which are the potential SPM sources identified in this study (Fig. 1). Each 91 station is located a few kilometres upstream from the confluence with the Rhône River (Thollet et al., 2018). The SPM were collected using particles traps deployed throughout the year and retrieved every 92 month. For the Guiers River, due to repeated vandalism, we had to carry out spot sampling using a 93 94 continuous centrifuge (Westfalia KA 2-86-76) or by manually sampling large volumes of water. A more detailed description of the sampling methods can be found in Masson et al. (2018). Overall, 22 95 96 SPM samples (n = 7 [flood: 3, base water flow: 4] for the Arve River, n = 15 [flood: 8, base water 97 flow: 7] for the Fier River, n = 6 in flood condition for the Guiers River) were collected between 2012 and 2019, and were used to identify the SPM signature of each tributary. 98

99 2.2.2. Targeted sediments: sediment core sampling

100 A 101 cm-long sediment core was sampled from a flat-bottom boat with Uwitec gravity corer

101 (including hammer accessory) equipped with a transparent PVC liner (diameter, 90 mm). This core

102 was collected in a secondary channel of the Rhône River (close to the sediment core sampled in 2008

by Desmet et al. (2012)) at a point located downstream of the confluence of the Guiers River

- 104 (45.7034806 N, 5.555225 E), during a low-water period in September 2018 (Fig. 1). This site is
- always submerged with inflows from the Rhône River downstream and from La Morte River upstream

- 106 (see Morereau et al. (2020) for a detailed description of the sampling area). An apparent 31%
- 107 compaction of the sediment core was observed. We identified 25 roughly 4 cm-thick layers and each
- 108 layer was sub-sampled using a ceramic knife in order to collect from 32.7 to 133.8 g of wet sediment.
- 109 A subsample of fresh sediment was stored for particle size analysis. Other sediment subsamples were
- 110 freeze-dried, grinded and stored in plastic bags until further analytical steps.
- 2.3. Analysis of trace and major elements in the non-reactive fraction and sediment coredating
- 113 The analysis of geochemical properties in SPM and sediment samples involved the quantification of
- 114 20 trace and major elements in the total and reactive fraction. The total fraction was determined after
- triacid mineralization (SI.1). The reactive fraction was obtained by a soft extraction using hydrochloric
- acid (1 M) at room temperature (Dabrin et al., 2014). The difference between the concentrations of the
- 117 two fractions gives a so-called 'non-reactive fraction'. Metals were analyzed in both fractions by
- 118 inductively-coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy or triple quadrupole inductively-coupled
- 119 plasma mass spectrometry. Furthermore, samples were analyzed for particle size distribution,
- according to the method described by Masson et al. (2018), in order to correct the metal concentrations
- when necessary. More details on analytical methods can be found in the Supplementary material SI 1
- 122 and in Dabrin et al. (2014; and 2021).
- 123 The sediment core dating using 210 Pb in excess) and 137 Cs concentrations is detailed in the 124 Supplementary material SI 1 (see Morereau et al. (2020) for additional information).
- 125
- 2.4. Statistical analysis and mixing model
- 126 2.4.1. Particle size correction of metal concentration data

Statistical tests (Student's *t*-test or Wilcoxon test) were used to compare SPM particle size distribution between tributaries. As sediment core samples have coarser particles than SPM samples (D'Haen et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2019), it is essential to correct the metal concentration data. Here, despite ongoing debate surrounding this methodological aspect, we applied the particle size correction implemented by Gellis and Noe (2013), which is based on examining the relationship between tracer (metal) concentrations and particle size distribution. If this relation was significant (Pearson's test, p

- 133 (0.05), a correction was applied according to equation 1:
- 134 $C_n = C_{is} [(D_{50(S)} D_{50(Sed)}) \times p]$ Eq. 1

where C_n is corrected concentration of tracer i, C_{is} is initial concentration of tracer i in source s, $D_{50(S)}$ is median particle size value of source s, $D_{50(Sed)}$ is average D_{50} value for all target sediment samples, and p is slope of the regression line.

138 2.4.2. Selection of tracers to discriminate the SPM sources

- 139 To discriminate the three potential SPM sources (the Arve, Fier and Guiers Rivers), we selected
- 140 tracers via a two-step procedure that included two statistical tests: a Kruskal-Wallis test and a
- 141 discriminant factor analysis (DFA) (Collins et al., 1997). The range test was performed to test tracer
- 142 conservatism before the tracer selection step. For this test, tracer concentration in the target sediment
- 143 (layers of the sediment core) must be within the range of tracer concentrations in the three potential
- sources (Manjoro et al., 2017; Pulley et al., 2018). We defined the range test from the minimum and
- maximum values of the concentrations of each source (C_{is}) and the standard deviation (SD) (Eq. 2). To
- 146 fit the range test, the concentrations in each sediment core layer (C_i) must be within the defined limits
- 147 (Sanisaca et al., 2017).
- 148 $[\min(C_{is})]_{mean} SD \times [\min(C_{is})]_{mean} < C_i < [\max(C_{is})]_{mean} SD \times [\max(C_{is})]_{mean}$ Eq. 2

149 This test eliminates properties for which the concentration in sediment samples is outside the range of the SPM source concentrations. To discriminate the three identified potential sources, we first selected 150 151 tracers based on the range test using a Kruskal-Wallis test to highlight redundant elements between 152 sources (Collins et al., 2010). Then, the fingerprint properties that showed a significant difference between the three sources (p < 0.05) were tested by DFA to ensure an optimum combination of tracers. 153 154 Finally, DFA was used to determine the percentage of correctly classified SPM source samples 155 (ideally, 100% for each source) and the discriminatory power of each tracer. The results are 156 summarized in Table 1.

157 2.4.3. Estimation of the source contributions and associated uncertainties

There are several models for estimating source contributions, but the mixing model combined with
Monte Carlo simulation remains the most widely used method in the literature. Here we employed this
model to quantify the SPM source contributions, as detailed in Eq. 3:

- 161 $C_i = \sum (P_s \times C_{is})/1000$ Eq. 3
- 162 where P_s is percentage contribution from the SPM tributary s, C_{is} is concentration of tracer i in the
- tributary s, n is number of tributaries, and C_i is tracer concentration in the target sediment samples
- 164 (Hughes et al., 2009; Haddadchi et al., 2013). Note that this model is based on two conditions: the
- source contributions should be between 0 and 1, and the sum of the contributions is equal to 1 (Hughes
- 166 et al., 2009; Navratil et al., 2012; Collins et al., 2017).
- 167 To estimate the uncertainties associated with modelled contributions, the mixing model was combined
- 168 with a Monte Carlo analysis. For each target sediment sample (i.e. layers of the sediment core), this
- analysis provided 1000 model iterations that represent the optimized number of replicates most
- 170 widely-used in the literature. These iterations are used to determine the mean of the contributions from
- each source and the associated uncertainty in the form of standard deviation (SD) and 95% confidence

- intervals (CI95). Some studies also use an estimator of model precision, the mean absolute error
 (MAE), calculated according to Eq. 4 (Huang et al., 2019):
- 174 MAE = {1-($\sum |[C_i-(\sum P_s \times C_{is})]/C_i|/m$ }} Eq. 4

175 where m is number of properties. If the MAF is greater than 0.85, the model results are reliable.

176

177

7 **3.** Results and Discussion

178 3.1. Geochemical signature of total and non-reactive fractions of tributary SPM

179Geochemical composition of SPM in each source (tributaries) collected between 2012 and 2019 are

- reported in Fig. 2. The description and comparison of concentrations between tributaries are presentedin Supplementary material 3 (SI.3).
- 182 Based on the relative proportion of the metals in the non-reactive fraction compared to the total
- 183 fraction, we determined three mains groups: group 1 (Fig. 2 a) gathers low-reactivity properties (83%–
- 184 99% of total fraction, Al, Ba, Cr, Li, Ti and V), group 2 (Fig. 2 b) gathers moderate-reactivity
- properties (58%–78% of total fraction, As, Co, Fe, Mg and Ni) and group 3 (Fig. 2 c) gathers high-
- 186 reactivity properties (17%–46% of total fraction, Cd, Cu, Zn, Mn, Sr and Pb). Thus, the use of Cd, Cu,
- 187 Zn, Mn, Sr and Pb, which are highly reactive elements, should clearly be avoided for fingerprinting
- studies as they can decrease the reliability and accuracy of the estimation of source contributions by
- the models (Laceby et al., 2017; Collins et al., 2017).
- 190 First, Al, Ba, Cr, Li, Ti and V (group 1, Fig. 2a) showed only minor differences in concentrations
- between total and non-reactive fractions. Nonetheless, the reactivity of the properties differs somewhat
- according to tributary. In the case of Al, Cr and Ti, concentrations did not differ significantly
- 193 (Student's *t*-test and Wilcoxon tests; see SI.2) between the total and non-reactive fractions for the
- 194 Arve, Fier and Guiers Rivers. Indeed, the differences between the total and non-reactive Al
- 195 concentrations were lower than 5% for the three tributaries. For Cr, the total fraction was characterized
- by approximately 10% higher concentration values than in the non-reactive fraction for all tributaries.
- 197 For Ti, calculations showed little difference in concentrations between the two fractions (lower than
- 198 2% for the three tributaries). For Ba, Li and V, the differences between the two fractions were
- 199 significant, but total fraction concentrations were less than 20% higher than the non-reactive fraction
- 200 concentrations for all tributaries. These six metals (Al, Ba, Cr, Li, Ti, V) generally had low reactivity
- 201 in the Rhône tributaries, which is consistent with the literature. For example, aluminium can be used
- as a reference for calculating the enrichment factor in sediment samples (Ollivier et al., 2011). The
- 203 enrichment factor reflects the anthropogenic inputs of a given metal in a river system. Given that these
- anthropogenic inputs have a high reactivity, the enrichment factor could serve as an effective tool for
- representing the reactive fraction of the SPM. We thus conclude that total Al concentrations can be

- 206 used in fingerprinting studies in practically any river system. For Ba and V, Ollivier et al. (2011) 207 calculated an enrichment factor of 1.02 ± 0.16 and 1.15 ± 0.12 , respectively, in Rhône River SPM, 208 which means that Ba and V have low reactivity.
- 209

228

210 Second, concentrations of As, Co, Fe, Mg and Ni (group 2, Fig. 2b) were, in general, significantly

higher in the total fraction than in the non-reactive fraction, with differences ranging from 20% to 45% 211

depending on focal metal and tributary. This is consistent with results obtained by Ollivier et al. 212

213 (2011) for Ni analysed in SPM from the Rhône River. Indeed, this study supports its classification as

214 moderate reactivity property (proportion of 70% of the non-reactive fraction) with an enrichment

215 factor determined as 1.47 ± 0.3 .

Finally, concentrations of group-3 metals (Cd, Cu, Mn, Pb, Sr and Zn; Fig. 2c) were significantly 216

higher in the total fraction than in the non-reactive fraction. The difference ranged from 50% to 80% 217

depending on metal and tributary considered. Ollivier et al. (2011) also studied the reactivity of Pb, 218

among other properties, in the SPM collected at the Arles station, located at the outlet of the Rhône 219

220 River watershed. The results obtained from the calculation of Pb enrichment factor (3.89 ± 1.03)

221 showed that Pb is influenced by anthropogenic inputs (Ollivier et al., 2011). We therefore conclude

222 that Pb is not conservative in the total fraction. These studies showed the same trends for Cd and Zn.

Ollivier et al. (2011) calculated enrichment factors of 2.24 ± 1.08 for Cd and 3.32 ± 0.92 for Zn, 223

224 meaning that these two metals are influenced by anthropogenic inputs. Cd and Zn are therefore

225 considered non-conservative properties.

226

3.2. Historical contamination trends and influence on the non-reactive fraction

Figure 3 shows the metal concentrations in the two studied fractions as a function of time (from 1984 227

to 2018) in the sediment core sampled in the Upper Rhône River. Overall, similar sedimentary profiles

229 are observed for metals of group 1 and 2, for both fractions, with more differences in concentration

levels between the two fractions for group 2 (from 37 % to 50%) compared to group 1 (from 2% to 230

231 20%). Properties of group 3 differ from group 1 and group 2 by different temporal trends. Indeed,

232 there are peaks that appear at the top or bottom of the core for the total fraction for metals of group 3

233 (e.g. Cd, Cu and Zn). In general, in the total fraction of metals from group 1 and 2, concentrations of

Al (mean of 47.4 ± 1.7 g kg⁻¹), Ba (249 ± 10 mg kg⁻¹), Li (47.1 ± 2.1 mg kg⁻¹), V (73.1 ± 3.1 mg kg⁻¹), 234

As $(10.7 \pm 1.3 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$, Co $(12.6 \pm 0.6 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$, Fe $(27.9 \pm 1.5 \text{ g kg}^{-1})$ and Mg $(10.9 \pm 0.8 \text{ g kg}^{-1})$ did 235

236 not show any general decreasing or increasing temporal trend over the study period (1984–2018). For

237 the non-reactive fraction, concentrations of Al, Ti, Ba, Cr, Li and V (group 1) and As, Co, Fe, Mg and

238 Ni (group 2) followed a similar trend to total fraction concentrations. On the contrary, Cd, Cu, Pb, Sr

239 and Zn (group 3) did not show temporal trends, except for Cd that tended to decrease from the bottom

to the top of the core, but not as much as in the total fraction. 240

241 Another distinctive feature observed on the sedimentary profiles of the total and non-reactive fractions 242 is the presence of a peak of concentration in 1994 for metals of the group-1 and 2 (Fig. 3a and 3b) that is not present for the group-3. These higher concentrations in 1994 may be explained by a generalized 243 flood on the Upper Rhône tributaries in October 1993 and January 1994 (DREAL, 2011). Some of the 244 metals of group 3, such as Cu and Zn, showed a significant increase in total concentrations in the 245 deepest layers (192 mg kg⁻¹ and 258 mg kg⁻¹, respectively, in 1987). Cadmium showed three peaks in 246 1988 (1.13 mg kg⁻¹), 1997 (0.81 mg kg⁻¹) and 2008 (0.64 mg kg⁻¹). These concentrations for Cu, Zn 247 248 and Cd are higher than the geochemical background values (in the order of $0.51-28.8 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$, 11.7-116.7 mg kg⁻¹ and 0.05-0.30 mg kg⁻¹, respectively) reported by Dendeviel et al. (2020) for the Rhône 249 250 River. Concentrations of Mn and Sr showed an increasing trend from 1984 to 2018 with a peak in 2014 and 2016 for Mn (1173 mg kg⁻¹ and 1204 mg kg⁻¹, respectively) and in 2011 for Sr 251 (434 mg kg⁻¹). Lead concentrations remained relatively stable, varying by 10% ($25.1 \pm 2.5 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) 252 253 over time with no marked peaks. These values are similar to the Pb concentrations measured in a 254 sediment core (1965-2007) collected from the same site in 2008 (concentrations between 16 and 28 255 mg kg⁻¹; Dendeviel et al., 2020). In contrast, the non-reactive concentrations of Cd (0.044 ± 0.033 mg kg⁻¹), Cu (8.45 ± 4.28 mg kg⁻¹), Mn (102 ± 27 mg kg⁻¹), Sr (54.7 ± 13.4 mg kg⁻¹) and Zn (38.8 ± 5.1 256 mg kg⁻¹) were low and did not show any peaks (Fig.3c). The non-reactive concentrations were more 257 258 stable over time compared to total concentrations due to the exclusion of the reactive part of the SPM 259 by HCl extraction. The notion of conservatism is more important when tracing historical inputs than contemporary SPM sources. A higher reactive fraction proportion of As (50%), Cd (93%), Cu (86%), 260 Fe (48%), Pb (86%) and Zn (69%) was found in sediment core samples than in SPM samples (24%, 261 262 60%, 69%, 28%, 69% and 55% for As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb and Zn, respectively). This is consistent with 263 the literature, which highlights that metals in the sediment core are subject to temporal changes driven 264 by diagenesis and the evolution of contaminants inputs, resulting in peaks in metal concentrations over 265 time (D'Haen et al., 2012; Collins et al., 2017).

266 Despite this shift of reactivity between SPM and sediment core samples, metals follow the same

- 267 pattern and agree with the proposed classification according to their low, moderate or high reactivity.
- 268 For example, for group 1 (Fig. 3a), taking into account all layers of the core, the Al and Ti
- concentrations of the non-reactive fraction accounted on average for 92% and 98%, respectively, of
- 270 the total fraction. Similarly, the average proportions of the non-reactive fraction in relation to the total
- fraction for Ba, Cr, Li and V range from 80 to 98% according to metal, and are of the same order of
- 272 magnitude as what we found in SPM samples. This is consistent with the work of Dendeviel et al.
- 273 (2020), who determined the natural geochemical background concentrations of some metals in the
- 274 Upper Rhône River by collecting a sediment core from the pre-industrial period. The measured
- reference value for Cr, for example, was 63.8 mg kg⁻¹ using total extraction (Dendeviel et al., 2020).
- 276 Here, the Cr values calculated in the non-reactive fraction were relatively close to the reference value,

with an average of $63.7 \pm 5.3 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$. We thus consider that total Cr concentrations do not undergo significant anthropogenic inputs.

279 For As, Co, Fe, Mg and Ni (Group 2), the average proportion of the non-reactive concentration ranged 280 from 50% to 60%. These values are similar to those reported in SPM, except for As and Fe that 281 showed higher values in the sediment core. For Fe, this proportion averaged 48% in the sediment core 282 vs $28 \pm 3\%$ in SPM for all tributaries. For As, the proportion of the non-reactive fraction for the sediment core samples averaged around 50% vs 70%–80% for the SPM samples, which suggests that 283 284 As is more reactive in the sediment core than in SPM. This is corroborated by studies from Hung et al. 285 (2009) and Tessier (2015) who highlighted the high reactivity of As under diagenetic conditions. In 286 fact, Smedley and Kinniburg (2002) notably showed that As is associated with Fe and Mn oxides, which are dissolved under reducing conditions releasing As into the interstitial water of the sediment. 287 Finally, the highly reactive metals such as Cd, Cu, Mn, Pb, Sr and Zn (group 3) showed concentration 288 289 differences of 70%–95% on average between the total fraction and non-reactive fractions 290 concentrations in the sediment core, following a similar pattern to SPM. In contrast to the observations 291 made for both other groups, not all these metals show the same temporal trend. For example, in the 292 total fraction, Cd, Cu and Zn concentrations increased strongly in the deepest layers (from 1985 to 293 1988) whereas Mn and Sr concentrations were higher in the more recent layers of the core. 294 Conversely, in the non-reactive fraction, all these properties showed a relatively stable evolution of 295 concentrations along the sedimentary profile. Thus, in the total fraction, the significant differences in 296 concentrations of Cd, Cu, Mn, Sr and Zn between the bottom and top of the sediment core suggest

297 metal inputs due to the various anthropogenic pressures over time, whereas the non-reactive fraction298 helps to reduce the impact of metal reactivity on estimations of source contributions.

299

3.3. Relevance of the non-reactive fraction to optimize tracer selection

In order to obtain reliable results on SPM source contributions in the Rhône River using the total fraction, it is essential to take into account the conservatism of the tracers and to identify and exclude non-conservative properties from the procedure. This is carried out using the range test (Fig. 4) and can be illustrated by Fig. 2. The results of statistical tests are given in Supplementary Material SI.2, (Table SI.2).

- In the total fraction, half of the properties (Li, V, Co, Fe, Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn) had significantly higher concentrations in sediment core than in SPM samples. This suggests that these metals are more reactive in the sediment core than in SPM samples. Note too that anthropogenic inputs were not the same 40 years ago as in contemporary SPM, which may explain the difference between sources and sediment core for some of these properties (Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn). In contrast, in the non-reactive
- 310 fraction, only Co, Li and V still had significantly higher concentrations in the sediment core than in
- 311 SPM samples. In the non-reactive fraction, As had significantly higher concentrations in the SPM of

the Arve River than in the sediment core, whereas there were no differences between the Fier and
Guiers Rivers and sediment core samples. Consequently, the non-reactive fraction increased the
number of tracers available for the application of the fingerprinting procedure. These observations can
be confirmed by the results of the range test.

The range test was performed for all studied elements in the total and non-reactive fractions by 316 317 comparing concentrations in the three main SPM sources with concentrations in each of the sediment 318 core layers (see Eq. 2). According to each sediment core layer (Fig. 4), more elements do not satisfy 319 the range test in the total fraction (from 2 to 7) than in the non-reactive fraction (from 0 to 3), 320 especially in the deepest sediment core layers. All these properties are therefore considered non-321 conservative and were removed for further analysis. In the total fraction, concentrations of Co, Cd, Li and V were outside the range of the source values for most of the sediment core layers, which is 322 323 consistent with results illustrated in Figure 2. For Cu, Pb and Zn, only the deepest layers (63-100 cm) 324 did not meet the range test. These results are consistent with the trends illustrated in Fig. 3 showing an increase in Cu and Zn concentrations in the deepest layers. Concentrations of Pb prior to 1997 did not 325 326 increase as strongly as for Cu and Zn but were nevertheless higher than the range of the source values. 327 For Fe and Mn, the superficial layers (first 20 cm) of the sediment core had total concentrations 328 outside the range test reflecting the concentration increases seen in recent years (2011–2018 for Fe and 2014–2016 for Mn) (Fig. 3). Finally, as shown in Fig. 3, the 1994 layer features a concentration peak 329 330 for almost all the metals studied, which can explain why Al, Ba, Cd, Co, Cu, Fe, Li, Mg, Pb, Ti and V 331 did not pass the range test for the total fraction. In the non-reactive fraction, the number of metals that do not satisfy the range test was significantly lower (Fig. 4). For the deepest layers of the sediment 332 333 core, there are less metals that are out of the range test for the non-reactive fraction than for the total 334 fraction (Fig. 4). Moreover, for the 1994 layer, there were fewer metals outside the range test with the 335 non-reactive fraction (7) than the total fraction (11). Nonetheless, as suggested in the literature (Collins et al., 2017), we decided to remove the 1994 layer from further methodological steps in order 336 to keep enough tracers for the mixing model. The higher metal concentrations (Al, Ti, Ba, Cr, Li, V, 337 338 As, Co, Fe, Mg and Ni) in this 1994 layer of the sediment core may be explained by the widespread flooding of all three tributaries on the Upper Rhône River. Owens et al. (1999) and Manjoro et al. 339 340 (2017) signalled that using recent SPM to trace source histories likely introduced uncertainties. During 341 such major flood events, the concentrations of some properties can be much higher than those 342 measured in recent SPM (Fig. 2), so the SPM sources in 1994 are not readily associated with the 2012–2019 SPM used (Owens et al., 1999; Manjoro et al., 2017). Using the non-reactive fraction 343 344 helped to overcome the issue of the source sampling period in general, but was not sufficient to 345 compensate for such extreme past events.

Hence, considering the total fraction, many properties were removed for the analysis of sedimentsources, and some of the selected properties are potentially reactive. There were twice as many

- 348 properties out of the range test for the total fraction compared to the non-reactive fraction (Fig.4). For
- As, Cu, Pb, Mn and Zn, the non-reactive fraction was respectively about 49%, 14%, 14%, 16% and
- 28%, respectively, of the total fraction in the sediment core. These metals thus have high reactivity in
- the total fraction but can still be integrated into the model because they pass the range test. Owens et
- al. (2016) also highlighted that a non-conservative property could still pass the range test. Based on
- 353 our range test results, the non-reactive fraction presents a great advantage by increasing the number of
- tracers available for the study and, thus, reducing the potential reactivity of the tracers.
- The total fraction includes geological signature and anthropogenic inputs of the tributaries, while the non-reactive fraction reflects only their geological signature and geochemical background. According
- to the number of metals available following the range test, using the non-reactive concentrations of
- 358 Cu, Fe, Mn, Pb, V and Zn is more relevant for source fingerprinting in sediment core than total metal
- 359 concentrations.
- 360 3.4. Historical SPM source inputs on the Upper Rhône River

The mixing model results are presented in Fig. 5 showing the tributary source contributions as a function of time along the sediment core (from 1984 to 2018) derived from concentrations of metals in the total and non-reactive fractions. For the layers from 2012 to 2018, the source contributions of each tributary did not differ between the total and non-reactive fractions. For the layers from 1984 to 2004, source contributions were significantly different between total and non-reactive fractions used in the mixing model, which is explained by the use of more reactive metals for total fraction due to higher anthropogenic inputs (Fig. 4) (Dendeviel et al., 2020).

- 368 Regarding the uncertainties on the source contributions, the 95% confidence intervals (CI95; SI.3)
- 369 were low for both fractions and all tributaries. Another way of presenting the uncertainty associated
- 370 with these results is to calculate the mean absolute error (MAE), as used by Huang et al. (2019). The
- 371 MAE values associated to source contributions ranged from 0.88 to 0.95 for total fraction and from
- 372 0.90 to 0.99 for the non-reactive fraction for the 1984-2018 period. A Student's *t*-test concluded that
- the MAE values were significantly higher, meaning that estimations are more reliable, for the non-
- 374 reactive fraction than for the total fraction.
- 375 Our results show that the Arve River was the main SPM source contributor to the Rhône River over
- the past decade for total fraction for the 2001–2018 period (mean of $52.9 \pm 7.2\%$) and from 1984 to
- 377 2018 for the non-reactive fraction (mean of $61.6 \pm 8.5\%$). Results using the two fractions showed
- 378 significant differences, i.e. the Fier River contributed significantly more and the Guiers River
- 379 significantly less for the total fraction compared to the non-reactive fraction. The Fier River had a
- mean contribution of $45.3 \pm 10.3\%$ for the total fraction against $22.6 \pm 6.1\%$ for the non-reactive
- fraction for the 1984–2018 period, while the Guiers River had a mean contribution of $7.3 \pm 4.8\%$ for
- the total fraction against $15.8 \pm 7.4\%$ for the non-reactive fraction for the same period. The higher

- contributions of the Fier River compared to the Guiers River for the 2016–2020 and 2012 periods
- 384 reflects the much higher SPM fluxes of the Fier River than the Guiers River (average annual water
- flow of 0.18 Mt.year⁻¹ and 0.07 Mt.year⁻¹, respectively, for the 2001–2011 period; Launay, 2014). Due
- to these differences in model results between the two metal fractions, we further investigated the
- 387 relevance of our mixing model by comparing the estimated contributions of tributary sources using
- 388 water flow, flood events (2013–2019) and SPM flux data (2012–2019) depending on the data available
- in the OSR network database (Thollet et al., 2018) (Fig.5).
- 390 Several major hydrological events occurred during the study period that may explain the observed
- 391 variations in source contributions. For the 1987 period, the estimations via our mixing model using the
- non-reactive fraction clearly show a main contribution of the Arve River $(60 \pm 16 \%)$ and a lower
- contribution from the Fier River $(25.5 \pm 17.9\%)$ for this period. In contrast, the total fraction equally
- distributed the SPM inputs between the Arve and Fier Rivers ($46 \pm 21\%$ and $51 \pm 20\%$, respectively).
- Knowing that a major 100-year flood that occurred in 1987 on the Arve watershed (Grand Bornan),
- with a water flow of 150 m³ s⁻¹ causing intense erosion of the banks and thus a significant sediment
- load to the river (DREAL, 2011), this suggests that the contributions of the Arve River were more
- 398 relevant with the non-reactive fraction.
- 399 For the 1990 period, as illustrated in Fig. 5, in the total fraction, the Arve and Fier Rivers displayed
- 400 similar SPM inputs ($49 \pm 23\%$ and $47 \pm 21\%$, respectively) and the Guiers River had a smaller
- 401 contribution $(3.3 \pm 12.4\%)$. In the non-reactive fraction, the Arve River contributed to $75 \pm 18\%$ of
- 402 SPM inputs, and the Fier and Guiers Rivers contributed far less $(16 \pm 17\% \text{ and } 7.9 \pm 14.3\%)$,
- 403 respectively). This 1990 period recorded a ten-year flood on the three tributaries, with water flows of
- 404 643 m³ s⁻¹ for the Arve River, 700 m³ s⁻¹ for the Fier River, and 403 m³ s⁻¹ for the Guiers River
- 405 (DREAL, 2011; Thollet et al., 2018). Using the available SPM flux data (2015–2019), it is assumed
- that the average interannual contributions in terms of SPM flux are higher for the Arve River (relative
- 407 contribution of 65%) than the Fier River (26%), which corroborates that the estimates of contributions
- 408 using the non-reactive fraction is more relevant than the total fraction.
- 409 For the Arve River, the contribution peaks observed in the non-reactive fraction in 2003, 2005, 2011
- 410 and 2012 can be explained by dam flushing operations that occurred downstream in 2003 and 2012
- 411 (Lepage et al., 2020). For 2005 and 2011, represented by major SPM inputs from the Arve River, a
- 412 major flood in 2005 with a water flow of 361 $m^3 s^{-1}$ and two floods in 2011 with discharges of 435 and
- 413 395 $m^3 s^{-1}$ (Thollet et al., 2018), explain the larger contribution of the Arve River.
- 414 For the 2010 layer, the main source of SPM was the Arve River, which contributed 45 ± 22 % and
- 415 $46 \pm 21\%$ respectively for the total and non-reactive fractions. This higher contribution from the Arve
- 416 River, compared with the Fier (39% and 18% respectively for the total and non-reactive fraction) and
- 417 Guiers (17% and 36% respectively for the total and non-reactive fraction) contributions was consistent

419 represent relative contributions of 65%, 26% and 9% of SPM respectively for the Arve, Fier and Guiers Rivers for the 2001–2011 period. In 2010, for the non-reactive fraction, there was also a higher 420 contribution of the Guiers River ($36 \pm 21\%$) than the Fier River ($18 \pm 16\%$). For this period, a 10-year 421 422 flood occurred on the Guiers River with a maximum water flow of 157 m³ s⁻¹. The Fier River was also subject to a 100-year flood (505 m³ s⁻¹). Given that coarser particles deposit faster, we suppose that 423 following the Guiers flood, a majority of these coarse particles would have settled and been deposited 424 425 downstream. Furthermore, the Guiers River has a particle size distribution substantially closer to the 426 grain size of the sediment core, unlike the Fier River, which could further explain the greater 427 contribution of the Guiers River. For the non-reactive fraction, during the period from 2014 to 2016, 428 there was another peak in the contributions of the Guiers River that could be explained by numerous large floods (about 14 floods with a water flow exceeding 100 m³ s⁻¹) that occurred during this period. 429 430 Using the total fraction, our mixing model results show lower contributions for the Arve River than for the non-reactive fraction. According to the hydrological data, our results suggest that the use of the 431 432 non-reactive fraction is more relevant to estimate source contributions compared to the total fraction. Thus, the use of this non-reactive fraction holds promising potential for the application of sediment 433 434 fingerprinting. Indeed, using tracers of the total fraction, the tracer selection step can lead to a set of properties that are reactive or characterized by different concentrations between the deepest layers of 435 436 the core and the contemporary SPM. Arsenic is the most illustrative example, since non-reactive 437 concentrations in the sediment core represent only 49% of total concentrations, compared to 76% for 438 contemporary SPM.

with the water flow data (with a maximum of 504 m³ s⁻¹) and SPM fluxes. The annual SPM fluxes

439 **4.** Conclusion

418

440 In this study, we used the non-reactive concentrations of metal implemented in a mixing model with a Monte Carlo simulation to estimate historical source contributions in the Upper Rhône River. The 441 442 results showed that the non-reactive fraction of metals has clear advantages over the total fraction in 443 tracing historical SPM sources through our model. First, for the range test, the non-reactive fraction 444 allows to obtain a greater number of available metals before Kruskal-Wallis test and DFA, and ensures 445 that concentrations of the most reactive metals (i.e. Cd, Cu, Mn, Pb, Sr and Zn) are conservative (i.e. 446 relatively stable and homogeneous concentrations along the sediment profile). Historical SPM 447 contributions modelling showed that using tracers in the non-reactive fraction was more consistent 448 with historical floods and flow data, and with higher MAE (mean absolute error) values than the total 449 fraction, meaning that uncertainties associated with source contributions for the non-reactive fraction 450 were lower and therefore the results were more reliable. In addition, the use of total concentrations of 451 reactive metals could induce unreliable results. Indeed, some of the selected tracers according to the range test were showed to be highly reactive. According to the results of the non-reactive fraction, the 452

453 SPM from the Arve River mainly constituted the SPM deposited in the Upper Rhône River from 1984 to 2018, which was relevant to hydrological data. Thus, our study demonstrated that the non-reactive 454 fraction of metal tracers used in fingerprinting studies can be used in a variety of environmental 455 conditions and at various spatial and temporal scales to provide a robust quantification of sediment 456 457 sources. Moreover, we believe that the non-reactive fraction might also be more effective than the total fraction in tracing the historical SPM sources in a sediment core collected at the outlet of the Rhône 458 River watershed as undertaken in further studies. Since deposited sediments were subject to changes in 459 460 physicochemical conditions caused by the salinity gradient and to diagenetic processes, which may 461 alter total metal concentrations, the non-reactive fraction may be a more effective tool in fingerprinting 462 studies than the total fraction.

463

465

464 Acknowledgements

466 program partly funded by the 'Plan Rhône' and by the European Regional Development Fund

467 (ERDF). We thank the partner organizations that provided data to the OSR database especially for this

This study was supported by the Rhône Sediment Observatory (OSR), a multi-partner research

468 study: CNR (Compagnie Nationale du Rhône), FOEN (Federal Office of the Environment,

469 Switzerland), Grand Lyon city council, Veolia, DREAL (the French hydrological agency), and EDF

470 (Electricité de France). This study is also part of the ArcheoRhône project, which was funded by the

471 Water Agency Rhone Mediterranean and Corsica / ZABR (Zone Atelier du Basin du Rhône). We

472 thank our INRAE colleagues Lysiane Dherret, Ghislaine Grisot, Alexandra Gruat, Loïc Richard,

473 Mickaël Lagouy, and Fabien Thollet for their invaluable assistance with SPM sampling, field

474 campaigns, sample treatment and analyses, and Yoann Copard (Université de Rouen) for his help with

475 COP analysis on the sediment core.

476

477 **References**

- Ankers, C., Walling, D.E., Smith, R.P., 2003. The influence of catchment characteristics on suspended
 sediment properties. Hydrobiologia 494, 159–167. DOI: 10.1023/A:1025458114068
- 480 Appleby, P.G., 1998. Dating recent sediments by ²¹⁰Pb: problems and solutions. Stuk A-145, 7–24
- 481 Audry, S., Schäfer, J., Blanc, G., Jouanneau, J.M., 2004. Fifty-year sedimentary record of heavy metal
- 482 pollution (Cd, Zn, Cu, Pb) in the Lot River reservoirs (France). Environmental Pollution 132, 413-426.
- 483 DOI:10.1016/j.envpol.2004.05.025

- 484 BDOH. Thollet, F., Le Bescond, C., Lagouy, M., Gruat A., Grisot, G., Le Coz, J., Coquery, M.,
- 485 Lepage, H., Gairoard, S., Gattacceca, J.C., Ambrosi, J.-P., Radakovitch, O., 2018. Observatoire des
- 486 Sédiments du Rhône, INRAE. https://dx.doi.org/10.17180/OBS.OSR
- 487 Carter, J., Walling, D.E., Owens, P.N., Leeks, G.J.L., 2006. Spatial and temporal variability in the
- 488 concentration and speciation of metals in suspended sediment transported by the River Aire,
- 489 Yorkshire, UK. Hydrological Processes 20, 3007–3027. DOI: 10.1002/hyp.6156
- 490 Collins, A.L., Walling, D.E., Leeks, G.J.L., 1997. Use of the geochemical record preserved in
- 491 floodplain deposits to reconstruct recent changes in river basin sediment sources. Geomorphology 19,
- 492 151–167. DOI: 10.1016/S0169-555X(96)00044-X
- 493 Collins, A.L., Zhang, Y., Walling, D.E., Grenfell, S.E., Smith, P., 2010. Tracing sediment loss from
- 494 eroding farm tracks using a geochemical fingerprinting procedure combining local and genetic
- algorithm optimisation. Science of the Total Environment 408, 5461–5471. DOI:
- 496 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.07.066
- 497 Collins, A.L., Pulley, S., Foster, I.D.L., Gellis, A., Porto, P., Horowitz, A.J., 2017. Sediment source
- 498 fingerprinting as an aid to catchment management: A review of the current state of knowledge and a
- 499 methodological decision-tree for end-users. Journal of Environmental Management 194, 86–108.
- 500 DOI: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.09.075
- 501 Collins, A.L., Blackwell, M., Boeckx, P., Chivers, C.A., Emelko, M., Evrard, O., Foster, I., Gellis, A.,
- 502 Gholami; H., Granger, S., Harris, P., Horowitz, A.J., Laceby, J.P., Martinez-Carreras, N., Minella, J.,
- 503 Mol, L., Nosrati, K., Pulley, S., Silins, U., da Silva, Y.J., Stone, M., Tiecher, T., Upadhayay, H.R.,
- 504 Yusheng Zhang, Y., 2020. Sediment source fingerprinting: benchmarking recent outputs, remaining
- 505 challenges and emerging themes. Journal of Soils and Sediments. DOI: 10.1007/s11368-020-02755-4
- 506 Copard, Y., Eyrolle, F., Radakovitch, O., Poirel, A., Raimbault, P., Gairoard, S., Di-Giovanni, C.,
- 507 2018. Badlands as a hot spot of petrogenic contribution to riverine particulate organic carbon to the
- 508 Gulf of Lion (NW Mediterranean Sea). Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 43, 2495–2509. DOI:
- 509 10.1002/esp.4409
- 510 Dabrin, A., Schäfer, J., Bertrand, O., Masson, M., Blanc, G., 2014. Origin of suspended matter and
- sediment inferred from the residual metal fraction: Application to the Marennes Oleron Bay, France.
- 512 Continental Shelf Research 72, 119–130. DOI: 10.1016/j.csr.2013.07.008
- 513 Delile, H., Masson, M., Miège, C., Le Coz, J., Poulier, G., Le Bescond, C., Radakovitch, O., Coquery,
- 514 M., 2020. Hydro-climatic drivers of land-based organic and inorganic micropollutant fluxes: the
- regime of the largest river water inflow of the Mediterranean Sea. Water Research 185, 116067. DOI:
- 516 10.1016/j.watres.2020.116067

- 517 Dendeviel, A.M., Mourier, B., Dabrin, A., Delile, H., Coynel, A., Gosset, A., Liber, Y., Berger, J.F.,
- 518 Bedell, J.P., 2020. Metal pollution trajectories and mixture risk assessment along a major European
- river since the 1960s (Rhône River, France). Environment International 144, 106032.
- 520 DOI:10.1016/j.envint.2020.106032
- 521 Desmet, M., Mourier, B., Mahler, B., Van Metre, P.C., Roux, G., Persat, H., Lefèvre, I., Peretti, A.,
- 522 Chapron, E., Simonneau, A., Miège, C., Babut, M., 2012. Spatial and temporal trends in PCBs in
- sediment along the lower Rhône River, France. Science of the Total Environment 433, 189-197.
- 524 DOI:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.06.044
- 525 D'Haen, K., Verstraeten, G., Degryse, P., 2012. Fingerprinting historical fluvial sediment fluxes.
- 526 Progress in Physical Geography 36 (2), 154–186. DOI: 10.1177/0309133311432581
- 527 Dhivert, E., Grosbois, C., Courtin-Nomade, A., Bourrain, X., Desmet, M., 2016. Dynamics of metallic
- 528 contaminants at a basin scale Spatial and temporal reconstruction from four sediment cores (Loire
- fluvial system, France). Science of the Total Environment 541, 1504–1515. DOI:
- 530 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.09.146
- 531 DREAL, 2011. Evaluation préliminaire des risques d'inondation sur le bassin Rhône-Méditerranée-
- 532 Partie IV: Unité de présentation "Haut-Rhône". 42 p. (In French).
- 533 Gellis, A.C., Noe, G.B., 2013. Sediment source analysis in the Linganore Creek watershed, Maryland,
- USA, using the sediment fingerprinting approach: 2008 to 2010. Journal of Soils and Sediments 13,
- 535 1735–1753. DOI: 10.1007/s11368-013-0771-6
- Haddadchi, A., Ryder, D.S., Evrard, O., Olley, J., 2013. Sediment fingerprinting in fluvial systems:
- 537 review of tracers, sediment sources and mixing models. International Journal of Sediment Research
- 538 28, 560–578. DOI: 10.1016/S1001-6279(14)60013-5
- 539 Horowitz, A.J., 1991. A primer on Sediment-Trace Element Chemistry, 2nd Edition. United States
- 540 Geological Survey 91-76. 142. DOI: 10.3133/ofr9176
- Huang, D., Du, P., Walling, D.E., Ning, D., Wei, X., Liu, B., Wang, J., 2019. Using reservoir deposits
- 542 to reconstruct the impact of recent changes in land management on sediment yield and sediment
- sources for a small catchment in the Black Soil region of Northeast China. Geoderma 343, 139–154.
- 544 DOI: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.02.014
- 545 Hughes, A.O., Olley, J.M., Croke, J.C., McKergow, L.A., 2009. Sediment source changes over the last
- 546 250 years in a dry-tropical catchment, central Queensland, Australia. Geomorphology 104, 262–275.
- 547 DOI:10.1016/j.geomorph.2008.09.003

- 548 Hung, J.J., Lu, C.C., Huh, C.A., Liu, J.T., 2009. Geochemical controls on distributions and speciation
- of As and Hg in sediments along the Gaoping (Kaoping) Estuary–Canyon system off southwestern
- 550 Taiwan. Journal of Marine Systems 76, 479–495. DOI: 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2008.03.022
- ISO, 1995. ISO 10694, Soil quality Determination of organic and total carbon after dry combustion
 (elementary analysis).
- ISO, 2009. ISO 13320, Particle size analysis Laser diffraction methods.
- 554 Koiter, A.J., Owens, P.N., Petticrew, E.L., Lobb, D.A., 2015. The role of gravel channel beds on the
- particle size and organic matter selectivity of transported fine-grained sediment: implications for
- sediment fingerprinting and biogeochemical flux research. Journal of Soils and Sediments 15, 2174-
- 557 2188. DOI: 10.1007/s11368-015-1203-6
- Laceby, J.P., Evrard, O., Smith, H.G., Blake, W.H., Olley, J.M., Minella, J.P.G., Owens, P.N., 2017.
- 559 The challenges and opportunities of addressing particle size effects in sediment source fingerprinting:
- 560 A review. Earth-Science Reviews 169, 85–103. DOI: 10.1016/j.earscirev.2017.04.009
- Launay, M., 2014. Flux de matières en suspension, de mercure et de PCB particulaires dans le Rhône,
- 562 du Léman à la Méditerranée. (Doctoral dissertation), Université Claude Bernard Lyon I. (In French).
- 563 Lepage, H., Launay, M., Le Coz, J., Angot, H., Miège, C., Gairoard, S., Radakovitch, O., Coquery,
- 564 M., 2020. Impact of dam flushing operations on sediment dynamics and quality in the upper Rhône
- 565 River, France. Journal of Environmental Management 255, 109886. DOI:
- 566 10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.109886
- 567 Manjoro, M., Rowntree, K., Kakembo, V., Foster, I., Collins, A.L., 2017. Use of sediment source
- 568 fingerprinting to assess the role of subsurface erosion in the supply of fine sediment in a degraded
- 569 catchment in the Eastern Cape, South Africa. Journal of Environmental Management 194, 27–41.
- 570 DOI: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.07.019
- 571 Masson, M., Angot, H., Le Bescond, C., Launay, M., Dabrin, A., Miège, C., Le Coz, J., Coquery, M.,
- 572 2018. Sampling of suspended particulate matter using particle traps in the Rhône River: Relevance and
- 573 representativeness for the monitoring of contaminants. Science of the Total Environment 637–638,
- 574 538–549. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.343
- 575 Morereau, A., Lepage, H., Claval, D., Cossonnet, C., Ambrosi, J.P., Mourier, B., Winiarski, T.,
- 576 Copard, Y., Eyrolle, F., 2020. Trajectories of technogenic tritium in the Rhône River (France). Journal
- 577 of Environmental Radioactivity 223–224, 106370. DOI: 10.1016/j.jenvrad.2020.106370
- 578 Navratil, O., Evrard, O., Esteves, M., Ayrault, S., Lefèvre, I., Legout, C., Reyss, J.L., Gratiot, N.,
- 579 Nemery, J., Mathys, N., Poirel, A., Bonté, P., 2012. Core-derived historical records of suspended

- 580 sediment origin in a mesoscale mountainous catchment: the River Bléone, French Alps. Journal of
- 581 Soils and Sediments 12, 1463–1478. DOI: 10.1007/s11368-012-0565-2
- 582 Ollivier, P., Radakovitch, O., Hamelin, B., 2011. Major and trace element partition and fluxes in the
- 583 Rhône River. Chemical Geology 285, 15-31. DOI:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2011.02.011
- 584 Owens, P.N., Walling, D.E., Leeks, G.J.L., 1999. Use of floodplain sediment cores to investigate
- recent historical changes in overbank sedimentation rates and sediment sources in the catchment of the
- 586 River Ouse, Yorkshire, UK. CATENA 36, 21–47. DOI: 10.1016/S0341-8162(99)00010-7
- 587 Owens, P.N., Blake, W.H., Gaspar, L., Gateuille, D., Koiter, A.J., Lobb, D.A., Petticrew, E.L.,
- 588 Reiffarth, D.G., Smith, H.G., Woodward, J.C., 2016. Fingerprinting and tracing the sources of soils
- and sediments: Earth and ocean science, geoarchaeological, forensic, and human health applications.
- 590 Earth-Science Reviews 162, 1–23. DOI: 10.1016/j.earscirev.2016.08.012.
- 591 Pulley, S., Foster, I., Antunes, P., 2015. The application of sediment fingerprinting to floodplain and
- 592 lake sediment cores: assumptions and uncertainties evaluated through case studies in the Nene Basin,
- 593 UK. Journal of Soils and Sediments 15, 2132-2154. DOI: 10.1007/s11368-015-1136-0
- ⁵⁹⁴ Pulley, S., Van der Waal, B., Rowntree, K., Collins, A.L. 2018. Colour as reliable tracer to identify the
- sources of historically deposited flood bench sediment in the Transkei, South Africa: A comparison
- 596 with mineral magnetic tracers before and after hydrogen peroxide pre-treatment. CATENA 160, 242–
- 597 251. DOI: 10.1016/j.catena.2017.09.018
- 598 Sanisaca, L.E.G., Gellis, A.C., Lorenz, D.L., 2017. Determining the sources of fine-grained sediment
- using the Sediment Source Assessment Tool (Sed-SAT). U. S. Geological Survey 2017-1062, 116.
 DOI: 10.3133/ofr20171062
- 601 Smedley, P.L., Kinniburgh, D.G., 2002. A review of the source, behaviour and distribution of arsenic
- 602 in natural waters. Applied Geochemistry 17, 517-568. DOI: 10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00018-5
- Tessier, E., 2015. Diagnostic de la contamination sédimentaire par les métaux/métalloïdes dans la rade
 de Toulon et mécanismes contrôlant leur mobilité. (Doctoral dissertation), Université de Toulon. (In
 French).
- 606 Torres Astorga, R., de los Santos Villalobos, S., Velasco, H., Domínguez-Quintero, O., Pereira
- 607 Cardoso, R., Meigikos dos Anjos, R., Diawara, Y., Dercon, G., Mabit, L., 2018. Exploring innovative
- techniques for identifying geochemical elements as fingerprints of sediment sources in an agricultural
- 609 catchment of Argentina affected by soil erosion. Environmental Science and Pollution Research 25,
- 610 20868–20879. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-2154-4
- 611 UNEP/MAP., 2003. Riverine transport of water, sediments and pollutants to the Mediterranean Sea.
- 612 MAP Technical Reports Series 141, 121 p.

- 613 Walling, D.E., 2005. Tracing suspended sediment sources in catchments and river systems. Science of
- 614 the Total Environment 344, 159–184. DOI:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2005.02.011
- 615 Walling, D.E., 2013. The evolution of sediment source fingerprinting investigations in fluvial systems.
- 616 Journal of Soils and Sediments 13, 1658–1675. DOI: 10.1007/s11368-013-0767-2
- 617 Zebracki, M., Eyrolle-Boyer, F., Evrard, O., Claval, D., Mourier, B., Gairoard, S., Cagnat, X.,
- 618 Antonelli, C., 2015. Tracing the origin of suspended sediment in a large Mediterranean river by
- 619 combining continuous river monitoring and measurement of artificial and natural radionuclides.
- 620 Science of the Total Environment 502, 122–132. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.08.082

Figures

Figure 1: Location of the Rhône River watershed in Europe and France (43.3302-4.8455). Map of the suspended particulate matter (SPM) stations of the Rhône Sediment Observatory (OSR) network (squares) and zoom on the study area of the Upper Rhône River and locations of SPM and sediment core sampling sites.



Figure 2: Metal concentrations (particle-size corrected data) in suspended particulate matter of the three tributaries of the Rhône River (Arve, Fier and Guiers Rivers) and sediment core sampled in the Rhône at La Morte site. The three identified reactivity groups represent properties that are not reactive (a), moderately reactive (b), and highly reactive (c). Concentrations in the total (blue dots) and residual (red dots) fractions.







Figure 3: Metal concentrations in the sediment core sampled in the Rhône at La Morte site. The three identified reactivity groups represent properties that are not reactive (a), moderately reactive (b) and highly reactive (c). Example of three behaviours of metals along the sediment core by comparing concentrations in the total (blue line) and residual (red line) fractions.



Residual fraction
 Total fraction





Figure 4: Geochemical properties excluded after the range test for the concentrations of metals in the total (a) and the residual (b) fractions of each layer of the sediment core according to the age of each sediment strata.

a 🧉			b		
201	3	Co, Fe, Li, V	2018		As, Cd
201	6	Fe, Mn, V	2016		
2014	1	Co, Fe, Li, Mn, V	2014		
201	2	Cd, Co, Fe, Li, V	2012		Со
201	1	Cd, Co, Fe, Li, V	2011		Li
201	0	Cd, V	2010		As, Cd
2009	9	Cd, Co, Li, V	2009		
200	8	Cd, Co, Li, V	2008		
200	5	Cd, Co, Li, V	2006		As, Cd
200	5	Cd, Co, V	2005	۲	As
2004	1	Co, Li, V	2004	٠	As
200	2	Co, Li, V	2002	ullet	Cd
200	1	Cd, Co, V	2001	ullet	
200	0	Cd, Co, V	2000	٠	
1999	9	Cd, Co, V	1999	ullet	
199	7	Cd, Co, Cu, Fe, Li, Pb, V	1997	۲	Cd
1994	1	Al, Ba, Cd, Co, Cu, Fe, Li, Mg, Pb, Ti, V	1994		Al, Ba, Co, Li, Mg, Ti, V
199	2	Cd, Co, Cu, Li, V	1992		Cd, Li
1993	1	Cd, Co, Cu, Li, Pb, V	1991	٠	Co, Li
199	0	Cd, Co, Cu, Li, Pb, V	1990	•	Со
1988	3	Cd, Co, Cu, Li, Pb, V, Zn	1988		As, Cd, Co
198	7	Cd, Co, Cu, Li, Pb, V, Zn	1987	•	Li
198	5	Cd, Cu, Pb, Zn	1986	•	As
198	5	Cd, Cu, V, Zn	1985		As, Cd
1984	1	Cd, Co, Cu, Pb, V, Zn	1984		





Tables

Table 1: Statistical results (Range test, Kruskal Wallis test and Discriminant Factor Analysis) for the tracer selection procedure for total and residual fractions of SPM sources. The green "V" to show the geochemical tracers that are retained at each step and red "X" for those that fail. For DFA, the red "x" shows the elements removed from the procedure while the elements retained are characterised by a value representing the discriminatory power of elements.

Properties	Total fraction			Residual fraction		
(corrected concentrations)	Range test	KW test	DFA	Range test	KW test	DFA
Al	V	V	100	V	V	Х
As	V	V	100	Х	Х	Х
Ва	V	V	78.6	V	V	78.6
Cd	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Со	X	Х	Х	X	Х	Х
Cr	V	V	Х	V	V	Х
Cu	X	Х	Х	V	Х	Х
Fe	X	Х	Х	V	Х	Х
Li	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Mg	V	V	100	V	V	Х
Mn	Х	Х	Х	V	Х	Х
Ni	V	V	96.4	V	V	96.4
Pb	X	Х	Х	V	V	Х
Sr	V	Х	Х	V	Х	Х
Ti	V	V	X	V	V	Х
V	X	Х	X	V	Х	Х
Zn	Х	Х	Х	V	Х	Х

Supplementary Material 1: Analytical procedures for suspended particulate matter (SPM) and sediment samples

Particle size distribution was analyzed on fresh SPM samples using a Cilas 1190 particle size analyzer, according to ISO standard 13320 (ISO, 2009) under ultrasound and sample agitation. For the sediment core samples, grain size analysis was performed on a Mastersizer 2000[®] (Malvern Panalytical, Instruments Ltd., Malvern, UK) with a hydro SM small volume wet dispersion unit.

The analysis of geochemical properties involved the quantification of 20 trace and major elements in two fractions: total fraction and reactive fraction. The total fraction was determined after triacid mineralization (nitric acid, hydrochloric acid and hydrofluoric acid, proportioned 1.5 mL HCl 12M, 0.5 mL HNO₃ 14M, 2 mL HF 22M on a heating plate. The reactive fraction was obtained by a soft extraction using hydrochloric acid (1 M) at room temperature (Dabrin et al., 2014). Metals were analyzed in both fractions by inductively-coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES, Agilent 720-ES, Agilent Technologies) or triple quadrupole inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometry (TQ-ICP-MS, Thermo iCAP-TQ, Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to their limit of quantification (Table S1) and the concentration in the samples. Aluminium (Al), barium (Ba), cobalt (Co), chromium (Cr), copper (Cu), iron (Fe), magnesium (Mg), manganese (Mn), strontium (Sr), titanium (Ti), vanadium (V) and zinc (Zn) were analyzed by ICP-OES. Arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd), lithium (Li), nickel (Ni) and lead (Pb) concentrations were determined by TQ-ICP-MS. To control the accuracy of the results, certified reference materials (IAEA-158, marine sediment for total extraction, and LGC-6187, river sediment) were analyzed in triplicate for each analytical series. Coefficient of variation was lower than 11% for each element analyzed (Table S2). In addition, blanks were systematically included in mineralization and analytical series to confirm that the samples were not contaminated during the analytical process.

A Student's *t*-test or Wilcoxon test was used to compare tracer concentrations between total and nonreactive fractions of SPM, concentrations of metals between tributaries, and concentrations of metals between the top and the bottom of the sediment core. Level of significance was 0.05.

		ICP-0	DES	ICP-MS			
Metals	LQ_total extraction		LQ_HCl extraction		LQ_total extraction	LQ_HCl extraction	
	g kg ⁻¹	mg kg ⁻¹	g kg ⁻¹	mg kg ⁻	mg kg ⁻¹	mg kg ⁻¹	
Ag					0.025	0.0063	
Al	2.5		0.63				
As					0.025	0.0063	
Ba		2.5		0.63			
Cd					0.025	0.0063	
Со		2.5		0.63			
Cr		5.0		1.25			
Cu		5.0		0.63			
Fe	2.5		0.63				
Li					0.025	0.0063	
Mg	2.5		0.63				
Mn		2.5		0.63			
Ni					0.05	0.0125	
Pb					0.025	0.0125	
Sr		2.5		0.63			
Ti		2.5		0.63			
V		2.5		0.63			
Zn		2.5		0.63			

Table S1: Limits of quantification of trace (expressed in mg kg⁻¹) and major (expressed in g kg⁻¹) metals according to the analytical technique used in this study (ICP-OES and ICP-MS).

	Coefficient	of variation	Coefficient of variation TQ-ICP-MS (%)			
Metals	ICP-OI	ES (%)				
	Total extraction	HCl extraction	Total extraction	HCl extraction		
Al	8.3	8.9	-	-		
As	-		9.3	4.2		
Ba	7.7	4.1	-	-		
Cd	-		9.3	7.9		
Со	8.2	7.9	-	-		
Cr	9.4	10.0	-	-		
Cu	9.1	10.9	-	-		
Fe	7.0	8.8	-	-		
Li	-	-	6.3	9.5		
Mg	8.1	6.7	-	-		
Mn	9.4	5.4	-	-		
Ni	-	-	7.3	4.9		
Pb	-	-	4.5	5.1		
Sr	5.4	4.0	-	-		
Ti	9.0	8.6	-	-		
V	6.6	5.8	-	-		
Zn	9.2	8.0	-	-		

Table S2: Coefficient of variation (%) associated with metals and analytical techniques (ICP-OES and TQ-ICP-MS).

The sediment core was dated using ${}^{210}Pb_{xs}$ (${}^{210}Pb$ in excess) and ${}^{137}Cs$ concentrations, which are widely used to date contemporaneous (<100 years) sediment cores (Appleby, 1998). Dry samples of sediment were conditioned in 17 or 60 mL boxing depending on the quantity of sediment available. Each box was placed in vacuum-sealed packages and stored for at least one month before measurement with a germanium detector to ensure the secular equilibrium of the ${}^{210}Pb$ necessary to determine the concentration of ${}^{210}Pb_{xs}$ (Morereau et al., 2020).

A peak of ¹³⁷Cs, related to the atmospheric fallout from Chernobyl in 1986, was observed at a depth of 90 cm and used as a proxy to date the sediment core. According to 210 Pb_{xs}, sedimentation rate was estimated to be 2.9 cm year⁻¹ factoring in the 31% compaction. Dating was confirmed using additional information input such as flood events (Morereau et al., 2020).

Supplementary Material 2: Results of statistical tests (t test or Wilcoxon test) comparing the concentrations of metals (1) for each tributary (Arve, Fier and Guiers Rivers) and for the sediment core between both analytical fractions and (2) between each tributary and the sediment core for both fractions.

Table SI.1: The green "V" show the significant differences between the concentrations of metal measured in the total and residual fractions (p < 0.05) and the red "X" for those that show no significant differences.

Metals	Arve River	Fier River	Guiers River	Sediment core
Al	X	Х	Х	V
As	X	V	V	V
Ba	V	V	Х	V
Cd	V	V	V	V
Со	V	V	Х	V
Cr	Χ	Χ	Х	V
Cu	V	V	V	V
Fe	V	V	Х	V
Li	X	V	Х	V
Mg	V	V	V	V
Mn	V	V	V	V
Ni	V	V	V	V
Pb	V	V	V	V
Sr	V	V	V	V
Ti	X	Х	Х	V
V	X	V	X	V
Zn	V	V	V	V

Table SI.2: Results of statistical tests (t test or Wilcoxon test) comparing D50 and concentrations (particle size corrected) of properties in the three sources (Arve, Fier and Guiers Rivers) and the sediment core. The green "V" to show the significant differences between samples (p < 0.05) and red "X" for those that show no significant differences.

Droportion	Total fraction			Residual fraction			
Properties	Arve vs Core	Fier vs Core	Guiers vs Core	Arve vs Core	Fier vs Core	Guiers vs Core	
D50	X	V	X	Х	V	Х	
Al	X	V	V	Х	V	V	
As	X	V	V	V	Х	Х	
Ba	V	V	V	V	V	V	
Cd	V	V	V	V	V	Х	
Со	V	V	V	V	V	V	
Cr	V	Х	V	V	Х	V	
Cu	V	V	V	X	X	V	
Fe	V	V	V	X	X	V	
Li	V	V	V	V	V	V	
Mg	X	V	V	V	X	V	
Mn	X	V	V	Х	Х	Х	
Ni	X	Х	V	Х	V	V	
Pb	V	V	V	V	V	Х	
Sr	X	Х	X	X	X	Х	
Ti	X	V	V	X	V	V	
V	V	V	V	V	V	V	
Zn	V	V	V	X	V	X	

Supplementary Material 3: Results of statistical tests comparing the concentrations of metals between tributaries.

		Total fraction		Non-reactive fraction			
Elements	Arve vs	Arve vs Guiers	Fier vs Guiers	Arve vs Fier	Arve <i>vs</i>	Fier vs Guiers	
	Fier River	River	River	River	Guiers River	River	
Al	V	V	X	V	V	X	
As	V	V	V	X	X	X	
Ва	V	V	Х	V	V	X	
Cd	Х	V	V	X	V	V	
Со	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	X	
Cr	V	Х	V	V	Х	V	
Cu	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	X	
Fe	Х	X	X	X	X	V	
Li	Х	V	V	X	V	V	
Mg	Х	V	V	X	V	V	
Mn	Х	Х	V	X	X	X	
Ni	V	V	V	V	V	V	
Pb	Х	Х	Х	X	V	V	
Sr	Х	X	X	X	Х	X	
Ti	Х	V	V	X	V	V	
V	Х	X	V	X	X	V	
Zn	X	X	X	X	X	X	

The green "V" show the significant differences between the concentrations of metal measured in the total and residual fractions (p < 0.05) and the red "X" for those that show no significant differences.

Generally, among the three sources, the lowest concentrations in the total fraction for most of the metals, such as Al, Ba, Cd, Cr, Li, Mg, Ni and Ti, were measured in Guiers samples. The highest mean concentrations were found in the Arve River for Al ($47.5 \pm 4.5 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$), As ($15.4 \pm 6.7 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) and Ba ($276 \pm 14 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), and in the Fier River for Cr and Ni concentrations ($72.5 \pm 17.2 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ and $43.1 \pm 4.5 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$, respectively). Total concentrations for Cd, Li, Mg and Ti are significantly different between the Guiers and Arve and between the Guiers and Fier but not significantly different between the Arve and Fier Rivers. Concerning the other metals (Co, Cu, Fe, Mn, Pb, Sr, V and Zn), the total concentrations do not display significant differences between the three tributaries.

In the non-reactive fraction, the Guiers River had the lowest concentrations for the same metals (Al, Ba, Cd, Cr, Li, Mg, Ni and Ti) as for the total fraction, except for Pb. Concentrations of Pb in the Guiers River were significantly lower from the concentrations in the other two tributaries. The highest mean concentrations in the non-reactive fraction were found in the Arve River for Al (45.0 ± 3.8 g kg-¹), As ($12.2 \pm 7.2 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) and Ba ($224 \pm 10 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) and in the Fier River for Cr ($65.2 \pm 17.1 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) and Ni ($31.5 \pm 3.7 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), this following the same pattern as for the total fraction. For Cd, Cu, Fe, Li, Mg, Pb, Ti and V, the concentrations in the non-reactive fraction were significantly different between the Guiers and Arve Rivers and between the Guiers and Fier Rivers but not

significantly different between the Arve and Fier Rivers. There were no significant differences in concentrations of Co, Mn, Sr and Zn between the three tributaries.

Matrix	Elements	EF	SD	River systems	References
		(study period)			
	Ва	1.02	0.16		
	V	1.15	0.12		
CDM	Ni	1.47	0.3	Rhône River	Olliviar at al (2011)
SPIVI	Pb	3.89	1.03		
	Cd	2.24	1.08		
	Zn	3.32	0.92		

Supplementary Material 4: The enrichment factor (EF) values and standard deviation (SD) associated obtained for selected trace and major elements in SPM of the Rhône River.

The EF was calculated according to following equation (according to Ollivier et al. (2011)):

$EF = (C_{ie}/[AI]_e)/(C_{ir}/[AI]_r)$

where C_{ie} is the concentration of element i in SPM/sediment samples, $[AI]_e$ is the aluminium (Al) concentration in SPM samples, C_{ir} is the concentration of element i in a reference sample and $[AI]_r$ is the Al concentration in a reference sample. Concentrations of Al are used as reference since they are not influenced by anthropogenic inputs (except for sites with bauxite extraction). In addition, aluminium is used as a particle size proxy, which allows the values to be compared without introducing the bias of grain size variation.

Supplementary Material 5: Comparison of uncertainties (expressed as 95% confidence interval: CI95%) associated with the mixing model output for the geochemical tracers selected in the total fraction with uncertainties calculated for the geochemical tracers selected in the residual fraction for SPM from the three tributaries (Arve, Fier and Guiers Rivers).

