

Learning the Propagation Properties of Rectangular Metal Plates for Lamb Wave-based Mapping

Othmane-Latif Ouabi, Pascal Pomarede, Nico F Declercq, Neil Zeghidour,

Matthieu Geist, Cédric Pradalier

▶ To cite this version:

Othmane-Latif Ouabi, Pascal Pomarede, Nico F Declercq, Neil Zeghidour, Matthieu Geist, et al.. Learning the Propagation Properties of Rectangular Metal Plates for Lamb Wave-based Mapping. 2021. hal-03254465v1

HAL Id: hal-03254465 https://hal.science/hal-03254465v1

Preprint submitted on 8 Jun 2021 (v1), last revised 22 Feb 2022 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Learning the Propagation Properties of **Plate-like Structures for Lamb** Wave-based Mapping

Journal Title XX(X):1-16 ©The Author(s) 2016 Reprints and permission: sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/ToBeAssigned www.sagepub.com/

SAGE

Othmane-Latif Ouabi¹, Pascal Pomarede¹, Nico F. Declercg^{1, 2}, Neil Zeghidour³, Matthieu Geist³, Cédric Pradalier¹

Abstract

The inspection of sizeable plate-based metal structures such as storage tanks or marine vessel hulls is a significant stake in the industry, which necessitates reliable and time-efficient solutions. Although Lamb waves have been identified as a promising solution for long-range non-destructive testing, and despite the substantial progress made in autonomous navigation and environment sensing, a Lamb-wave-based robotic system for extensive structure monitoring is still lacking. Following previous work on ultrasonic Simultaneous Localization and Mapping (SLAM), we introduce a method to achieve plate geometry inference without prior knowledge of the material propagation properties, which may be lacking during a practical inspection task in challenging and outdoor environments. Our approach combines focalization to adjust the propagation model parameters and beamforming to infer the plate boundaries location by relying directly on acoustic measurements acquired along the mobile unit trajectory. For each candidate model, the focusing ability of the corresponding beamformer is assessed over high-pass filtered beamforming maps to further improve the robustness of the plate geometry estimates. We then recover the optimal space-domain beamformer through a simulated annealing optimization process. We evaluate our method on three sets of experimental data acquired in different conditions and show that accurate plate geometry inference can be achieved without any prior propagation model. Finally, the results show that the optimal beamformer outperforms the beamformer resulting from the predetermined propagation model in non-nominal acquisition conditions.

Keywords

Lamb waves, Acoustic mapping, Helmholtz equation, Optimal beamforming, Model learning

Introduction

During their lifetime, marine vessels are continuously deployed on the seas for goods shipping. This inexorably leads to the deterioration of the hull due, for example, to the formation of biofouling on the surface, or due to the salinity of the water that can favor the formation of defects such as cracks or corrosion patches. Other large metal structures such as storage tanks deteriorate over time due to their operational conditions, and the underpinning defects may not always be detectable with the bare eye¹. Thus, in the marine and petrochemical industries, the inspection of large-scale metal structures - which are usually made of metal panels assembled out together - must rely on efficient solutions that can seamlessly integrate into their day-to-day operation.

Standard inspection methods are time-consuming as they often require the intervention of trained operators, causing a significant financial impact. Moreover, these methods work only for inspection areas that are very localized; thus, the entire surface cannot be inspected in a reasonable amount of time. To evaluate the health of ship hulls, for example, either human operators or robots such as magnetic crawlers² can apply acoustic probes on the structure surface to perform thickness measurements and detect corrosion patches. However, only the surface directly covered by the probe is effectively controlled with a single measurement.

The inspection of structures over long ranges is being actively investigated in the literature. Lamb waves, in particular, are being integrated into modern Non Destructive Testing (NDT)-capable devices. These waves can be emitted in plate materials by piezoelectric transducers and can propagate radially over long distances in a direction parallel to the surface. What makes them appealing is that they are sensitive to material integrity. Usually, they are deployed on static networks of sensors that are permanently attached to the structure. Thus, defect detection and localization can be achieved through the triangulation of acoustic scatterers from residual signals, which are constructed by subtracting the baseline signals acquired when the material is healthy to the measurements acquired during the inspection³⁻⁷. Besides, alternative methods have been elaborated to alleviate the need for baseline signals⁸⁻¹¹. Yet, most of the developed methods are dedicated to sensors integrated into the structure. Hence, to the best of the authors' knowledge, Lamb wave-based approaches have not yet been integrated into a mobile platform to inspect large metal structures.

Corresponding author:

Othmane-Latif Ouabi, International Research Lab Georgia Tech-CNRS, Metz. France.

Email: oouabi@georgiatech-metz.fr

¹ International Research Lab Georgia Tech-CNRS, Metz, France

² Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332-0250, USA

³ Google Research, Brain Team

The interest in the development of a robotic system for long-range inspection is steadily growing, as it holds tremendous potential for industrial applications. Deploying acoustic tomography techniques on a robotic system necessitates precise localization of the transducers which is critical for accurate inspection results. Contrary to standard Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) technology where the positions of the sensors are known accurately, these positions need to be estimated in the framework of a robotic application due to the imprecise wheel displacement and rotation data provided by the wheels' encoders.

When induced by a source excitation, Lamb waves can reflect orthogonally on the metal plate boundaries, usually without mode conversion when the excitation frequency is sufficiently appropriate¹². As the resulting acoustic measurements contain such reflections, they provide rangeonly information between the source position and the plate edges which is useful to solve the localization problem accurately. This has been demonstrated for a pulse-echo setup on a rectangular and isotropic metal panel, but with prior knowledge of the structure geometry¹³. Hence, as is often the case in robotics, determining precisely the sensor position on a large structure is intrinsically connected to building a map of the environment (*i.e* mapping the environment) which can rapidly become a tedious task if not made automatically¹⁴. Furthermore, from a robotic perspective, defect detection and localization may be interpreted as a mapping problem as well. Overall, the mapping of acoustic scatterers (may be plate edges or defects) by a mobile unit is a major issue that needs to be tackled to enable the emergence of a viable robotic inspection system.

In the literature, the problem of defect detection and localization using acoustic reflections on the structure boundaries has been widely studied, but is still leveraging prior knowledge of the structure geometry^{15–17} or baseline measurements¹⁸. From the mapping perspective, approaches to detect the boundaries of a rectangular panel¹⁹ or to identify structural features such as stiffeners²⁰ have been investigated. Yet, they are deployed on static networks of sensors. Hence, only little work on Lamb wave-based mapping for structure geometry inference with a single mobile unit has been conducted. Recently, a mapping method to identify areas that are inside a rectangular metal panel along with an exploration strategy based on frontier exploration with a pair of moving sensors has been elaborated²¹. Yet, the work uses a pitch-catch configuration, the Time of Flights (ToF) readings are not done automatically, the mapping is only based on the edge echo that arrives first, and the final map may eventually be erroneous due to gridlock situations that are not predictable.

Thus, Simultaneous Localization and Mapping (SLAM) based on ultrasonic guided waves has mostly been studied our team where the geometry of the plate and the position of a co-located emitter/receiver pair of piezoelectric transducers are jointly estimated. A method based on L_1 -regularized Least-squares for echo detection and on a FastSLAM algorithm²² has been presented in first instance²³. Consequently, propagation models to account for the dispersive nature of guided waves in metal plates and space domain delay-and-sum (DAS) beamforming²⁴ have

been subsequently integrated into a FastSLAM algorithm for the exclusive mapping of rectangular shapes²⁵. Results proved to be accurate on two different metal panels. However, no solutions were proposed to limit the detrimental effect of interference on the mapping results which is a wellknown issue inherent to DAS beamforming²⁴. Besides, the approach requires prior knowledge of the propagation model.

In situations where the environment is well controlled or where sources of disturbance are limited, prior knowledge of the physical properties of isotropic metal structures may be sufficient to account for guided wave propagation and to perform acoustic localization and mapping. However, during a practical inspection task on a metal structure, the hypothesis that the propagation model is known a priori may not be realistic due to a wide variety of external perturbations that can significantly affect the acoustic signals, as well as due to a lack of knowledge of the plate physical condition (which is the reason why it is being inspected). Potential perturbations may include but may not be limited to temperature variations which are already known to affect acoustic measurements substantially²⁶, pressure due to the neighboring metal plates which are welded altogether, moisture, the effect of the coupling (water in the case of acoustic inspection with a robotic system), or the filtering effect of the transducers. Consequently, adaptive methods that automatically recover the propagation model by relying directly on data acquired on the field may be necessary to achieve accurate localization and mapping results. Such methods can be all the more beneficial as they may not require the intervention of a human expert to calibrate the propagation parameters.

Compensation methods have been investigated in the SHM literature, mostly to alleviate the predominant effect of temperature variation between baseline and current measurements. To name a few, Optimal Baseline Selection (OBS) was proposed to select the most appropriate measurement within a set of baseline signals acquired for different temperature conditions²⁷. Data-driven signal stretching methods leveraging the hypothesis of small temperature variation were investigated²⁸, as well as Dynamic Time Warping²⁹ (DTW) for non-linear temporal alignment of the signals³⁰. However, all the mentioned works rely on the baseline measurements, typical to SHM applications, which won't be available in the case of a practical robotic inspection task.

In the literature of beamforming, adaptive methods have been elaborated to compensate for inaccurate knowledge of the properties of the propagation media for underwater acoustic source localization purposes³¹, or more generally, for Matched Field Processing³². Beamforming has been combined with focalization³³, an approach that considers the propagation environment as an acoustic lens, and which seeks, through an optimization process, to adjust the propagation parameters so that the focalization capability of beamforming is maximized. It has also been studied for joint acoustic signal separation and source localization³⁴. The potential of focalization has been successfully demonstrated in simulation for underwater acoustic localization, and may provide an interesting approach for Lamb waves. Yet, this has not yet been demonstrated.

This paper proposes an approach that combines focalization and DAS beamforming to achieve Lamb wave-based mapping of a plate-like structure by a mobile unit, so that accurate mapping results can be achieved during an inspection task without prior knowledge of the propagation properties of the material. The approach combines focalization to adjust the parameters of propagation models that are derived from the Helmholtz equation, and beamforming to localize the plate edges. The focalization capability of a candidate beamformer is assessed over high-pass filtered beamforming maps so that the detrimental effects of interference and high-order reflections are lowered. A simulated annealing optimization process is then implemented so that the optimal beamformer can be recovered based directly on data. Results acquired on experimental data in three different scenarios show that the proposed approach is effective. Furthermore, mapping the plate geometry with the optimal beamformer is found to be more efficient than mapping with a predetermined propagation model in non-nominal acquisition conditions.

The outline of the present article is as follows. First, the general theory on Lamb waves propagation in metal panels is briefly presented. Next, the approach based on DAS beamforming for mapping the plate edges with a single mobile platform by leveraging the acoustic reflections is recalled. The choice of the propagation parameters to search for, namely the plate thickness, the longitudinal and transverse velocities, is then discussed, and the simulated annealing process is presented. Finally, experimental results and discussions conclude the article.

Lamb wave-based mapping

Due to the complexity of the acoustic measurements acquired by a mobile platform using pulse-echo sensing, Lamb wave-based mapping shall rely on a wave propagation theory that is representative enough so that echoes can be efficiently detected and retrieved from measurements. Also, a specific spatial inference strategy is required to fuse the information acquired at different positions so that recovering the plate geometry and the location of the sensors on the structure becomes feasible.

In what follows, we briefly recall the essential principles of the theory on Lamb waves propagation in metal plates, namely, the Rayleigh-Lamb equations, which account for the Lamb waves' dispersive nature and their multi-modal propagation. We then introduce a simple propagation model based on approximate solutions of the Helmholtz equation to account for dispersive propagation under the hypothesis of a linear model and an isotropic material. Next, we summarize the mapping strategy to estimate the location of the plate boundaries by relying on a propagation model assumed known as a priori and a space-domain Delay And Sum (DAS) beamformer²⁵. These elements will be the basis of the core contribution of this paper, which is plate mapping without prior knowledge of the propagation model.

Lamb waves propagation in a metal plate

Sound in a waveguide, such as a metal plate, is typically modelled by transverse, or shear, and longitudinal, or dilatational, plane wave expressions, representing sound bouncing up and down through the thickness while propagating in the plate's parallel direction.³. To account for the amplitude and phase of each involved waves, the boundary conditions are invoked, such as the stress-free assumption on a metal plate in a vacuum or air. The result is often referred to as the standing wave approximation and yields well-known Rayleigh-Lamb equations for Lamb waves in a perfectly isotropic and homogeneous plate:

$$\frac{\tanh qh}{\tanh ph} = -\left[\frac{4k^2qp}{(k^2 - q^2)^2}\right]^{\alpha} \tag{1}$$

where h denotes the half-thickness of the plate, $k = 2\pi/\lambda$ is the wavenumber, λ is the wavelength, and the following equations define p and q:

$$p^2 = \frac{\omega^2}{c_L^2} - k^2; \quad q^2 = \frac{\omega^2}{c_T^2} - k^2.$$

In the above equation, ω is the pulsation, and c_L and c_T are respectively the longitudinal and transverse velocities related to the material. Hence, Eq. (1) constrains the Lamb waves that can exist in the waveguide and classify them under two types. For $\alpha = 1$, the equation yields the physical properties of symmetric modes, whereas for $\alpha = -1$, the properties of anti-symmetric modes are stipulated. It is possible to solve the equation with varying pulsation values and derive a dispersion relation $k(\omega \cdot d)$, where d = 2h is the plate thickness, or $k(\omega)$ more simply in the case of a fixed thickness. Dispersion relations can be found by using dichotomy algorithms for example³. One particularity is that each equation always admits at least one solution, and the number of possible solutions increases with the frequency. Hence, at least two modes propagate within the material. These are the fundamental modes A0 (anti-symmetric) and S0 (symmetric). Higher-order modes can propagate when the frequency is increased and exceeds their respective cutoff frequency. Fig. 1 depicts dispersion curves for symmetric and anti-symmetric modes for a steel plate with respect to the product frequency \times thickness. In practice, a low excitation frequency is used to avoid multi-modal propagation, and one mode (usually A0) is often predominant over the other fundamental mode.

The second particularity is that the propagation in a waveguide is dispersive. It means that the wave velocity varies with the signal frequency³⁵. The consequence is that the wavepacket distorts and spreads in the signal when the propagation distance increases. Fig. 1 depicts the evolution of the group velocity for several symmetric and anti-symmetric modes in a steel plate. All modes are globally dispersive as the group velocities are not constant. Nevertheless, the effect of dispersion can be limited if the signal frequency bandwidth lies in a non-dispersive region.

Finite Element Methods (FEM) are often used to simulate Lamb waves propagation 36 , but their heavy computational load makes them impractical to use for acoustic localization operations. Instead, one can rely on computationally efficient and relatively accurate propagation models given by the solutions of the Helmholtz equation, for which the wavenumber abides by the dispersion relation of the mode assumed to exist in the material. When a signal *s* is used to excite Lamb waves in a metal plate, under the



Figure 1. Dispersion curves for several low order symmetric (continuous lines) and anti-symmetric (discontinuous lines) Lamb wave modes in a steel plate with respect to the product frequency \times plate thickness. The top figure depicts the group velocities while the bottom plot shows the wavenumbers.

hypothesis of linear propagation and isotropic media, the vertical component u of the displacement field abides by:

$$\nabla^2 u(r,\omega) - k(\omega) \cdot u(r,\omega) = -s(\omega)$$

where r is the propagation distance from the excitation point. It is known that the solution, i.e. the acoustic transfer function $g(r, \omega)$, can be expressed with the Hankel function of 0 order and of the first kind yielding: $u(r, \omega) = g(r, \omega) \cdot$ $s(\omega) = H_0^1(k(\omega)r) \cdot s(\omega)$. The transfer function is often simply reduced to:

$$\hat{g}(r,\omega) \approx \exp(-jk(\omega)r)/\sqrt{k(\omega)r}.$$
 (2)

The use of this acoustic model is widespread in the literature of guided waves, primarily to achieve defect detection and localization purposes ^{37,38}.

Space-domain beamforming for plate geometry inference with a single mobile unit

We are considering a mobile platform equipped with an emitter/receiver pair of piezoelectric transducers nearly colocated. At the i^{th} scanning position, the emitter excites the Lamb waves in the plate material with the pulse s(t). Simultaneously, the receiver collects the acoustic response $z_i(t)$ which contains the reflections on the plate boundaries,



Figure 2. Example of an acoustic signal acquired on an aluminum plate (top plot) and the correlation signal obtained from the measurement along with its envelope (bottom plot). The ranges relative to the first-order reflections (8, 37, 52 cm) can be successfully retrieved from the local maxima. The echo at nearly 45 cm corresponds to a higher-order reflection.

and it will be assumed that the excitation signal is chosen adequately so that only the A0 mode propagates. The objective is to infer the plate geometry and the sensors' acquisition positions in the plate frame. In robotics, this is known as a mapping problem because the displacement between each acquisition position (*i.e* odometry data) is assumed to be known flawlessly.

In this setup, the acoustic measurements essentially consist of a superposition of the acoustic reflections. Under the assumption that the material is isotropic, the propagation linear, and the reflections on the edges are orthogonal, a standard measurement model to reverberation is the image source model³⁹. It states that each reflection from the plate boundaries can be considered a signal originating from a fictional source, deducted from the actual source position and reverberant media geometry. In metal plates, the image source model can be leveraged to account for first order as well as higher-order reflections, resulting in the following measurements in the time domain:

$$z_i(t) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{x}_i)} g(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i|, t) * s(t)$$

where $\mathbf{x}_i = [x_i, y_i]$ is the position of the robot during time step *i*, $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{x}_i)$ the set of the image sources positions when the real source is in \mathbf{x}_i , $g(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i|, t)$ the acoustic transfer function associated to the A0 mode as the propagation is assumed to be uni-modal, and * denotes the convolution operation. An example of a clean acoustic measurement on acquired an aluminum plate can be seen in Fig. 2, where the transducers have been placed on a 600x450x6mm aluminium plate, and at 8cm of the two edges of a corner.

To retrieve the ranges from the sensors to the plate edges from data $z_i(t)$, we determine the correlation signal:

$$z_i'(r) = \frac{\langle z_i(t), \hat{z}(r, t) \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle z_i(t), z_i(t) \rangle \langle \hat{z}(r, t), \hat{z}(r, t) \rangle}}$$



Figure 3. Representation of lines in a 2-dimensional plane with (r, θ) coordinates.

where $\hat{z}(r,t) = \hat{g}(2r,t) * s(t)$ is the expected signal for the incident wave reflecting at a distance r from the transducers, and $\langle ., . \rangle$ denotes the scalar product in the domain of continuous signals: $\langle u(t), v(t) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} u(\tau)v(\tau)d\tau$. To alleviate the oscillations present in z'_i , we retrieve its envelope $e_i(r)$ with:

$$e_i(r) = |z'_i(r) + j\mathcal{H}(z'_i)(r)|$$

where \mathcal{H} denotes the Hilbert transform operator. Thus, the resulting signal e_i yields the distances of the transducers to the metal plate edges with the position of its local maxima. This principle is illustrated in the bottom plot of Fig. 2. Besides, it is noteworthy that a single measurement cannot provide enough information to determine an edge without ambiguity, as all the lines tangent to the circle with radius r and centered at the position of the sensor may equally account for the correlation measurement.

The plate geometry to be recovered is represented by a set of lines: $\mathbf{M} = \{r_l, \theta_l\}_{l=1...4}$ where the parameters (r_l, θ_l) define the line equation in the 2D plane with:

$$x \cdot \cos \theta_l + y \cdot \sin \theta_l - r_l = 0$$

in a non-mobile frame with respect to the plate, as illustrated in Fig. 3. The origin O of the reference frame can be taken as the initial position of the mobile unit while on the metal panel. Moreover, for convenience, the plate geometry is restricted to rectangular shapes.

Next, given a robot trajectory $\{x_i, y_i\}_{i=1...N}$ (assumed to be flawlessly provided by odometry for the mapping problem), the beamforming map \mathcal{L}_N is computed to assess the likelihood of existence of any line (r, θ) with:

$$\mathcal{L}_N(r,\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^N e_i(d_i(r,\theta))$$
(3)

where $d_i(r,\theta) = |x_i \cdot \cos \theta + y_i \cdot \sin \theta - r|$ is the distance between the robot during measurement step *i* and the hypothetical line being considered. In the equation, all the correlation values add up constructively along with all the observations if an edge is indeed present. Also, it can be noted that only first-order reflections are taken into account, as we reason on individual lines. One major advantage of this approach is that $\mathcal{L}_N(r,\theta)$ can be computed recursively when an additional measurement e_N is made available, as $\mathcal{L}_N(r,\theta) = \mathcal{L}_{N-1}(r,\theta) + e_N(|x_N \cdot \cos \theta + y_N \cdot \sin \theta - r|)$. This is beneficial for a robotic task meant to be performed in real time, as a map estimate is available at any time, and the computational load of one update is low. Finally, to infer the most plausible plate geometry from \mathcal{L}_N , we solve the following optimization problem:

$$\hat{\mathbf{M}} = \arg \max_{\mathbf{M}} \mathcal{L}_N(\mathbf{M}) = \arg \max_{\mathbf{M}} \sum_{l=1}^4 \mathcal{L}_N(r_l, \theta_l) \quad (4)$$

where \mathbf{M} is restricted to be a rectangle. It can be solved efficiently by taking that constraint into account. First, one can determine the most plausible line with:

$$(\hat{r}_1, \hat{\theta}_1) = \arg\max_{r, \theta} \mathcal{L}_N(r, \theta).$$

Next, assuming that $\hat{\theta}_1$ provides the most reliable estimation of the plate orientation w.r.t. the robot, the determination of the other lines reduces to solving independent and straightforward one-dimensional optimization problems:

$$\hat{\theta}_l = \hat{\theta}_1 + \frac{\pi(l-1)}{2}; \quad \hat{r}_l = \arg\max_r \mathcal{L}_N(r, \hat{\theta}_l)$$

for l = 2, 3, 4. Solving the plate geometry inference with this approach gives accurate results in laboratory conditions²⁵. However, this approach has some limitations. The fact that an infinity of lines can equally account for a reflection causes interference which is a standard issue encountered when relying on the standard DAS beamforming. The effect of interference is further exacerbated by the high order reflections which are not considered in (3), whereas their presence cannot be neglected as shown by Fig. 2-b). The consequence is that beamforming maps are fuzzy which can make the estimation ambiguous, as it will be illustrated later. Also, the method relies on prior knowledge of the propagation model g to obtain accurate results, whereas such a hypothesis may not be realistic for a practical inspection task in challenging outdoor environments where the structure state is truly unknown.

Optimal beamforming for model learning

In this section, we present an adaptive method to recover a metal plate geometry without the assumption of a known model. It is based on focalization in the parameter space (*i.e* the propagation model is adjusted) and beamforming for localizing the plate boundaries. First, the parametrization of propagation models using solutions of the Helmholtz equation is presented. Next, we introduce and apply a simple high-pass filter to the beamforming maps to limit the detrimental effect of interference and high-order reflections. A loss function is then designed to assess the focusing capability of a candidate beamformer which should maximize spatial coherency (i.e the energy that is focused at the geometry estimate on the beamforming map) in the case when the propagation model is appropriate. Finally, an optimizer based on simulated annealing⁴⁰ is presented to recover optimal propagation parameters by minimizing the loss in a limited number of iterations. The efficiency of such an approach has been successfully demonstrated for underwater source localization purposes^{33,34}.

Parametrization of the propagation model

With the hypothesis of linear and isotropic propagation, we aim at recovering both a propagation model $\hat{g}(r,t)$ and the plate geometry expressed as a set of lines $\hat{\mathbf{M}} = {\hat{r}_l, \hat{\theta}_l}_{l=1..4}$.

For candidate propagation models, we keep relying on the (approximate) solutions of the Helmholtz equation. Hence, estimating the propagation model reduces to the estimation of only three parameters which are the longitudinal velocity c_L , the transverse velocity c_T and the plate thickness d, and that we will gather in the variable $\Omega = \{c_L, c_T, d\}$. This choice is convenient as we constrain the propagation models to be physically plausible while limiting the search space for the propagation model parameters to a low dimension, which will facilitate the optimization process. As the complete state of the structure may be unknown during the inspection, adapting these wave parameters may be relevant. Indeed, plate thickness may not be known accurately for each surface plate as the structural integrity is unknown. Besides, the effects of variation of temperature, pressure, moisture may be interpreted as variations of the effective velocities. For more complex sources of disturbances such as the effect of coupling or structure irregularities, we are not seeking to strictly compensate for their effect with such a simple model, yet sufficiently enough to predict the plate boundaries location accurately. Next, given candidate values Ω for the model parameters, the dispersion equation for the A0 mode given in Eq. (1) is numerically solved and is used to infer the propagation model $\hat{g}_{\Omega}(r,t)$ with Eq. (2).

Design of the loss function

Compared to plate geometry reconstruction with a known propagation model, inferring the plate geometry and propagation parameters simultaneously requires the determination of a larger number of unknowns. Consequently, an appropriate loss function that ideally prevents irregular cost surfaces with many local minima is needed to facilitate the optimization process.

Here, we introduce a loss function to assess the ability of a candidate beamformer (related to candidate parameter values Ω) to focus the energy of the wave packets contained in the measurements at the plate geometry estimate so that minimizing the loss function w.r.t. the model parameter search space improves the spatial coherency achieved with the beamformer. For candidate propagation parameters, the beamforming map $\mathcal{L}_{\Omega}(r,\theta)$ is constructed as in Eq. (3), allowing a plate geometry estimate $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\Omega}$ to be retrieved from it with the same optimization process as in Eq. (4). We then evaluate the total energy focused at the estimate M_{Ω} over the beamforming map that has been high-pass filtered to limit the effect of interference and high-order reflections. The filtered map value at each line (r, θ) is simply defined by the difference between its initial energy value and the minimum of energy in its vicinity that is to be appropriately defined:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\Omega}(r,\theta) = \mathcal{L}_{\Omega}(r,\theta) - \min_{(r',\theta') \in V(r,\theta)} \mathcal{L}_{\Omega}(r',\theta').$$
(5)

where $V(r, \theta)$ refers to the set of lines in the vicinity of (r, θ) . Compared to the standard $\mathcal{L}_{\Omega}(r, \theta)$, the filtered map $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\Omega}$, while being inexpensive to compute, has the advantage to present fewer areas where the intensity is high but homogeneous (such a situation occurs due to the combination of high-order reflections and interference). Hence, it is more compatible with Eq.(4) for the determination of the location of the edges. Thus, using Eq. (5) may appropriately filter "fuzzy" areas where the energy is spread homogeneously (*i.e not focused at a single point*) due to interference on the beamforming maps, and may isolate correct intensity peaks. This will be illustrated next with experimental data.

To simultaneously recover propagation parameters and the plate geometry, performing a joint search in both the propagation model space and the geometry space would be computationally expansive. Instead, we rely on an optimal beamforming formulation: the loss is only evaluated over candidate model parameters Ω , and for the corresponding geometry estimate $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\Omega}$ retrieved *from the high-pass filtered beamforming map* $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\Omega}$ with Eq.(4). The loss value $l(\Omega)$ that we will seek to minimize is then taken as minus the sum of the intensity levels evaluated at the retrieved edges:

$$l(\Omega) = -\sum_{(r,\theta)\in\hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\Omega}} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\Omega}(r,\theta)$$
(6)

so that the energy focused at the plate geometry estimate can be maximized, while the detrimental effects of interference and high-order reflections can be minimized. The geometry estimate provided by the optimal beamformer is expected to match closely the ground truth geometry due to the maximum of spatial coherency as long as measurements have been acquired on a sufficient portion of the plate surface. Besides, to highlight the benefit of using high-pass filtered beamforming maps, propagation model selection based on the loss evaluated using the regular beamforming map $\mathcal{L}_{\Omega}(r, \theta)$ will also be carried out in the following sections, and the mapping results will be compared.

Optimization with simulated annealing

An optimization process is needed to adjust the wave propagation parameters (longitudinal and transverse velocities and the plate thickness) through the minimization of the loss function. Due to the implicit definition of the propagation models, first-order methods such as gradient descent are impractical to use for our problem.

Simulated annealing⁴⁰ is efficient for estimating a global minimum of a cost function, even when the number of unknowns is large³⁴. This metaheuristic is inspired from metallurgy where, to form a perfect crystal (which corresponds to the state of minimal energy), a pure liquid substance is slowly cooled. At each iteration of the optimization process, a random perturbation is applied to the current point value. The perturbation is systematically accepted if the energy is decreased. To escape local minima, the perturbation is accepted according to a Boltzmann probability distribution if the energy is increased. The temperature, which is a hyperparameter, is decreased slightly after each iteration so that the probability to increase the energy goes to zero. Hence, the major controllable parameters of simulated annealing are the distribution of the perturbation and the profile of the temperature cooling.

For Lamb-wave based mapping without a prior propagation model, the parameter search is reduced to the optimization over the value of Ω as the geometry is directly deduced using Eq. (4) when Ω is fixed. Our implementation of the optimizer is similar to that used in articles dealing with the underwater localization problem³³. The major difference lies in the cost function design. First, we initialize the propagation parameters with uniform distributions within predefined intervals which are plausible regarding the application:

$$\begin{split} c_L^0 \sim \mathcal{U}([c_{\min}, c_{\max}]); \quad c_T^0 \sim \mathcal{U}([c_{\min}, c_L^0 - m]) \\ d^0 \sim \mathcal{U}([d_{\min}, d_{\max}]). \end{split}$$

The value of c_T is drawn below the value of c_L , with a small margin m > 0, as it cannot physically be higher (*i.e* there are no solutions to the Rayleigh-Lamb equation).

At each iteration of the algorithm, the parameters are randomly disturbed using the following perturbations:

$$\begin{split} c_L^{t+1} &= \min \left\{ \max\{c_L^t + \Delta c \cdot \chi_0^3, c_{\min}\}, c_{\max} \right\} \\ c_T^{t+1} &= \min \left\{ \max\{c_T^t + \Delta c \cdot \chi_1^3, c_{\min}\}, c_L^{t+1} - m \right\} \\ d^{t+1} &= \min \left\{ \max\{d^t + \Delta d \cdot \chi_2^3, d_{\min}\}, d_{\max} \right\}. \end{split}$$

Using the min-max formulation enables to leverage prior information by constraining the parameter values within plausible intervals. Similarly to the initialization step, c_T^{t+1} is restricted to be lower than c_L^{t+1} with the same margin m. χ_0 , χ_1 , χ_2 are independent random values between -1 and 1, and are drawn from uniform distributions: $\chi_{1,2,3} \sim \mathcal{U}([-1,1])$. They are raised to power 3 so that small variations are more likely. Still, large variations can be possible to explore regions of the search space that are far occasionally when the values of Δc and Δd are chosen to be large enough.

Next, for the new parameter values Ω^{t+1} , the dispersion relation $k_{\Omega^{t+1}}(\omega)$ is determined by solving the Raleigh-Lamb equations. As the relation is computed numerically at each iteration of the optimization process, the computational load can be quite demanding. A trick to save computational time is to determine the wavenumber only for a restricted set of pulsation values $\omega_1, ..., \omega_K$ and to use linear interpolation to determine the wavenumber for other frequencies.

Next, the acoustic transfer function $\hat{g}_{\Omega^{t+1}}(r, \omega)$ is determined with the Helmholtz model given in Eq. (2). The beamforming map $\mathcal{L}_{\Omega^{t+1}}(r, \theta)$ is then computed and a plate geometry estimate $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\Omega^{t+1}}$ is retrieved from it, allowing the determination of the loss value $l(\Omega^{t+1})$ with Eq. (6).

The variation of energy between the previous and disturbed parameters is $\Delta E = l(\Omega^{t+1}) - l(\Omega^t)$. In the context of simulated annealing, the disturbed parameter value is not systematically kept. It is the case only when:

$$\chi < \exp\left\{-\frac{\Delta E}{\gamma T}\right\}$$

where γ is a strictly positive scaling parameter, T is the temperature at the iteration t, and χ is drawn randomly and uniformly between 0 and 1. Consequently, when the energy is decreased, the disturbed parameters are systematically kept. Otherwise, the acceptance rate is given by the Boltzmann distribution which yields lower acceptance rates for more significant increases of energy. The temperature parameter is often chosen to decrease inverse logarithmically. To enable fast convergence of simulated annealing, we will decrease the temperature

inverse linearly. Trials and errors are used to determine appropriate parameters for the optimizer: the values that empirically demonstrate a lower likelihood for the optimizer to be stuck in local maxima while maintaining a sufficient convergence speed are retained.

As it has already been highlighted, the cost function may be heavy to assess, in particular when the number of considered measurements is high. Indeed, each iteration of the optimizer requires solving the Rayleigh-Lamb equation for a set of frequency values, then computing the beamforming map using the N measurements, and recovering a plate geometry estimate with the optimization. For a robotic application, a few seconds might be needed to achieve convergence with around 100 measurements and using a linear decrease of the temperature. Thus, our method cannot be used in real time. Yet, this is not an issue, as it could be considered, during a practical robotic inspection task, to stop the robot for a few seconds to run the optimizer occasionally, and restrict the maximum number of acoustic measurements used to perform the optimization.

Experimental setup

We test our approach on experimental acoustic data that have been acquired in three different scenarios. To generate the datasets, an emitter/receiver pair of contact piezoelectric V103-RM U8403008 transducers is moved at different positions on a regular grid on the plate surface in each scenario. The transducers are placed one beside the other to approximate a pulse-echo setup. The excitation signal used to emit the waves in the material corresponds to two tone bursts of a sinusoidal wave at 100 kHz, with an amplitude of 100 Volts peak to peak. This frequency is chosen as it has been experimentally observed that the predominant propagation mode was A0 for all the scenarios, and the others modes are almost nonexistent.

Different operations are performed next on the measurements. For Scenario 1 and 2 only, 10 scans are acquired per acquisition position and are averaged to improve the signalto-noise ratio. This operation is performed although it is not critical in a laboratory environment. In addition, the high frequencies of the signals are filtered out. Also, the beginning of each measurement is smoothly removed. Indeed, it is not desirable to keep the first wave packet resulting from the direct transmission of the excitation between the emitter and the receiver, as it does not correspond to a reflection on a plate boundary. The counterpart is that transducers cannot be brought too close to an edge, otherwise, the first reflection on it would be removed as well.

For Scenario 1, the transducers are moved by hand manually on an aluminum plate of size 600x450x6 mm. In total, $N_1 = 108$ measurements are acquired, each of them containing $M_1 = 500$ samples collected at a sampling rate of 1.25 MHz. The signals are acquired with a National Instruments USB 6356 data acquisition device. For Scenario 2, the data is collected with an oscilloscope on a steel plate of dimensions 1700x1000x6 mm. With these data, we can test our approach on a different material and show that it is still applicable to a larger surface. A total of $N_2 = 117$ measurements are collected with a sampling frequency of 6 MHz. The total number of samples per measurement is



Figure 4. Pictures showing the different experimental setups for the three scenarios.

 $M_2 = 5000$. For Scenarios 1 and 2, the transducers are in contact with the surface during the acquisition. Coupling gel is placed at their interface to ensure a good coupling. For Scenario 3, the data are acquired on the same plate as the one used for Scenario 1. However, a layer of water of approximately 1 mm of thickness is put all over the plate surface, and the transducers are not placed in contact with the plate surface during the acquisition but are kept in contact only with the water. The acquisitions are performed using a customer-design five axes immersion scanner made by Inspection Technology Europe BV. It is also used to place the transducers (which still are kept one beside the other) at specific positions on the measurement grid while maintaining a constant distance between the plate surface and the transducers. For this scenario, a total of $N_3 = 103$ measurements are collected, the sampling frequency is 1.25 MHz, and the number of samples per measurement is $M_3 =$ 500. The data acquired in this setup are expected to be representative of those that would be acquired on a real structure by the robotic system where water may also be used as coupling, and where the transducers may not be directly in contact with the structure surface to avoid damaging them by surface irregularities. Furthermore, this scenario will also allow us to highlight the potential of our approach in a slightly "disturbed scenario" comparing to the nominal acquisition conditions of scenarios 1 and 2. Pictures of the experimental setups for the three scenarios are available in Fig. 4.

Results

This section illustrates the efficiency of our approach for mapping a rectangular metal panel using ultrasonic guided waves in the three aforementioned scenarios. The benefit of filtering the beamforming maps is first discussed and highlighted based on the experimental data. Next, the correlation between the designed loss function and the reconstruction error is numerically assessed for the three scenarios. The optimizer designed in the previous section is run to simultaneously infer the plate geometry and recover the propagation model while the resulting precision is compared with that obtained using a predetermined propagation model that will be used as a baseline. The overall results demonstrate that this target objective is successfully achieved with our method in nominal acquisition conditions (Scenarios 1 and 2). Furthermore, our approach is also tested in slightly disturbed conditions (Scenario 3) to illustrate that our approach remains efficient in such situations. The results are obtained using the full batch of measurements for each scenario which would amount to having the robot covering an important portion of the plate surface before calibrating the propagation model. As this may not be a representative scenario, the efficiency of our procedure is also assessed with a varying number of measurements available following a simulated lawn-mower robot trajectory.

It is to be noted that, in our experiments, two different transducers are used, whereas our method assumes a point-like and co-located emitter-receiver. This is not a significant problem as the diameter of the transducers (1 cm approximately) and the distance between their centers (around 2 cm) is not large compared to the wavelength of the wave (around 2 cm). Thus, the difference induced by this setup has little impact on the signals. Also, we are not considering any imprecision on the sensor displacements. Indeed, Simultaneous Localization and Mapping has been studied elsewhere 23,25 , and the method presented is expected to integrate these frameworks conveniently.

Mapping with a predetermined model

In Fig. 5, we show the mapping results for a lawn-mower trajectory simulated with the data from Scenario 1, and during measurement steps 1, 35 and 108. The geometry estimates are determined at each measurement step from the standard beamforming maps derived with Eq. (3), and based on a predetermined propagation model which is built from the Helmholtz equation and predetermined model parameters (which are d = 6 mm, $c_L = 6420$ m/s and $c_T = 3040$ m/s for our aluminium plate). It can be observed that, initially, only the range to the closest edge is retrieved, but the



Figure 5. Mapping results based on the standard DAS beamforming with a predetermined propagation model at different steps of a simulated lawn-mower trajectory in Scenario 1. Measurements steps 1, 35 and 108 correspond to figures a), c) and e) respectively where the geometry estimate is represented by the straight lines. The corresponding beamforming maps are given in figures b), d) and f) along with the retrieved edges indicated by the rectangles.

orientation estimate is essentially random as only a single measurement has been integrated. During Step 35, three plate edges are correctly recovered but it is not the case of the right boundary as it is further away. Eventually, the geometry is fully recovered during Step 108, when all the available measurements have been integrated. The final average reconstruction error is close to zero degrees for the orientation, and the average estimation error is 0.15 cm for the lines range parameters. Overall, these results illustrate the efficiency of space-domain delay and sum beamforming combined with our grid search method for mapping the plate geometry with a propagation model based on prior knowledge of the mechanical properties of the material.

We now perform the experiment of plate geometry estimation, but this time, directly with all the measurements acquired on the larger steel plate (Scenario 2). This time, the parameters for the propagation model are d = 6 mm, $c_L = 5880$ m/s and $c_T = 3250$ m/s. The beamforming map shown in Fig. 6-a) depicts two visible intensity peaks. The two other peaks are much less visible due to their lower intensity and can be easily mistaken with interference and high-order reflections which cause areas of homogeneous intensity. Although the estimation is correct in this case (the average range error for the line estimation is approximately 0.5 cm), it may not be robust. To illustrate this, we subsample in time the data by a rate of 4 and reconstruct the beamforming map using the same propagation model. The results can be seen on Fig. 6-b). The beamforming map is very similar. However, all but one line are still correctly recovered. The average error on the estimation of the line ranges is around 17 cm as the ambiguity is too important. Using high-pass filtered beamforming maps may increase the robustness of the estimate as described earlier. Such a map is shown Fig. 6-c) where $V(r, \theta)$ is chosen as the rectangular

area centered at (r, θ) with a size $6.5 \text{cm} \times 24^\circ$. This window is sufficiently large to encompass the intensity peaks on the beamforming map, and sufficiently limited to make the filtering effective. When relying on the filtered version of the beamforming map, the estimation is made correct (the range error is again approximately 0.5cm), even though we cannot completely alleviate the effect of interference and higher-order reflections. Hence, the filtering may provide additional robustness which is to be leveraged to calibrate the propagation model. It may be even more useful in the presence of disturbances during the acquisition, as will be illustrated in the following.

Correlation between the loss and the geometry estimation error

We assess whether the designed loss is sufficiently correlated with the reconstruction error to ensure that its minimization yields an accurate plate geometry estimation. We create a family of 40 propagation models based on the approximate solutions to the Helmholtz equation Eq. (2) with values of $\Omega = \{c_T, c_L, d\}$ uniformly distributed within the intervals $c_T, c_L \in [2500 \text{m/s}, 6500 \text{m/s}]$ and $d \in [3 \text{mm}, 7 \text{mm}]$. Then, we determine the filtered beamforming map using each model to obtain a plate geometry estimate in each of the three scenarios under consideration. The results are displayed in Fig. 7 as plots of the reconstruction error (both in range and orientation) w.r.t. the loss value. To highlight the benefit of the high-pass filter, we also display the same plots using the geometry estimates and loss values obtained without the filtering. Ideally, we would obtain errors that would monotonically decrease with the loss value.

For both Scenarios 1 and 2 in Fig. 7-a)-d), we observe that the range errors globally diminish for lower loss values. It can also be observed that models with close loss values



Figure 6. Different beamforming maps computed using the data from Scenario 2 and the retrieved edges. a) shows the standard beamforming map, which yields correct estimates. b) depicts the map obtained with the same data sub-sampled in time. One edge is not correctly estimated. c) shows the high-pass filtered beamforming map obtained from the sub-sampled data. The correct plate geometry is recovered, while the fuzzy areas due to interference and higher-order reflections have been partially filtered out.

can yield completely different reconstruction errors, or that models with high loss values can provide an accurate estimate (e.g the plot of the range error in Fig. 7-c)). Yet, these tendencies are not critical, as the major requirement for our approach to be effective is that the best estimation results are achieved for the lower losses as this is what will be minimized. The variations of the orientation error present a different aspect. There is no strict decrease in the error for lower losses. Also, the discretization of the orientation value is visible due to the resolution of the beamforming map that is limited for the sake of computational efficiency. Yet, it is still possible to achieve an accuracy in the order of one degree which is sufficient for our application. Also, the limited resolution is not a significant problem for propagation model learning, as low orientation errors are achieved for the lower loss values.

We notice a more divergent behavior for the two losses in Scenario 3, which corresponds to our slightly disturbed scenario. Regarding Fig. 7-f) where the beamforming maps are not filtered, the reconstruction error presents a tendency to decrease for lower loss values. However, the minimum reconstruction error is achieved for a loss value of approximately $l(\Omega_{\min}) = -80$ and increases if the loss is even lower. This is not the desired behavior, as it would result in poorer estimation results if the loss minimization is effective. This tendency is not visible when the loss values and the geometry estimates are obtained from the high-pass filtered beamforming maps (Fig. 7-e), where the lowest reconstruction errors are globally obtained for the

robustness due to its ability to lower the detrimental effect of high-order reflection and interference. *Model learning and plate mapping in nominal*

Model learning and plate mapping in nominal acquisition conditions

lower losses. Hence, these results support the benefit of

high-pass filtering the DAS beamforming maps for mapping

and propagation model adaptation. It provides additional

To assess the performance of our approach of optimal beamforming for Lamb wave-based mapping, we run it 10 times for Scenario 1 and 2, with random initial parameter values for each repetition. The simulated annealing is set with the following parameter values: $\Delta c = 7000$ m/s, $\Delta d = 2$ cm, $c_{\min} = 1500$ m/s, $c_{\max} =$ $8000 \text{m/s}, m = 500 \text{m/s}, \gamma = 1, T = T_0/t \text{ where } T_0 = 200,$ $d_{\min} = 3$ mm and $d_{\max} = 1$ cm. Besides, the performance of our approach is compared with the results achieved when using predetermined propagation models based on prior knowledge of the plate thickness, the longitudinal and transversal velocities in nominal conditions. These predetermined models will serve as a baseline for comparison. Fig. 8 depicts the evolution of the minimum loss value and the corresponding reconstruction errors achieved during the optimization process. The upper and lower bounds of the filled areas represent the minimum and maximum values over the 10 runs, at each iteration step while the solid blue lines account for the mean values. For comparison, the loss values and reconstruction errors achieved when using the



Figure 7. 2D plots showing the correlation and loss values achieved using each of the propagation models from the predefined model set, and for the three scenarios. On the left, the loss value and geometry estimates are determined from the high-pass filtered beamforming maps. On the right, they are determined from the standard maps without filtering.

predetermined propagation models for the two scenarios are also displayed.

In both scenarios, the achieved minimum loss decreases monotonically until it reaches a plateau. The final loss value is always lower than the loss value achieved with the predetermined model in Scenario 1 and significantly lower in Scenario 2. In terms of reconstruction error, the final average range error is comparable to that achieved with the predetermined model, which is a few millimeters for Scenario 1. It is slightly above the estimation error value obtained with the predetermined model (approx. 1 cm) for Scenario 2. Besides, the reconstruction errors rapidly decrease to zero for all the runs, achieving the same precision as that achieved with the baseline predetermined models in the two scenarios.



Figure 8. Evolution of the loss value and reconstruction errors for 10 repetitions of the optimization process with simulated annealing, and using the data from Scenario 1 (a) and Scenario 2 (b). The solid lines represent the mean values. The upper and lower bounds of the filled areas represent the minimum and maximum values respectively during each iteration. For comparison, the values achieved with the predetermined propagation model for each scenario are displayed as horizontal lines. The scale along the y-axis is logarithmic for the range error plots only.



Figure 9. Loss value computed on the high-pass filtered beamforming maps with respect to the longitudinal and transverse velocities. The data from Scenario 1 are used for the plot on a) while the data from Scenario 2 are used for the plot on b).

Altogether, these experiments demonstrate that a propagation model can be efficiently recovered to estimate a plate geometry through optimal beamforming by relying directly on data, regardless of the plate size and material, and as long as the measurements have been acquired on a sufficient portion of the surface. This is of high interest for a robotic inspection task in challenging outdoor conditions, where propagation models could be automatically calibrated without the intervention of an expert.

Model learning and plate mapping in non-nominal acquisition conditions

We perform the same experiments using the data from Scenario 3 which have been acquired in slightly disturbed conditions due to the layer of water that is placed at the interface between the transducers and the plate surface. The evolution of the loss and the reconstruction errors for 10 repetitions of the optimization process with random initial parameter values are shown in Fig. 10. It can be observed that the loss function rapidly decreases under a value lower than that achieved with the predetermined model. Regarding the reconstruction error, the average range error, after convergence, reaches a few millimeters while the error is about 2 cm when using the predetermined model for the geometry reconstruction. Furthermore, the orientation error rapidly decreases to a value close to zero.

Altogether, we ultimately achieve better geometry reconstruction with our approach which calibrates the propagation model based on data in this disturbed scenario



Figure 10. Evolution of the loss value and reconstruction errors for 10 repetitions of the optimization process with simulated annealing and using the data from Scenario 3. The blue lines represent the mean values. The upper and lower bounds of the colored areas represent the minimum and maximum values respectively during each iteration. For comparison, the values achieved with the predetermined propagation model are displayed as horizontal red lines. The scale along the y-axis is logarithmic for the range error plot only.

than when using the predetermined propagation model, which may not fit the data, as illustrated by Fig. 8. These results are promising as the propagation in the thin layer of water has not been modeled explicitly. This further shows the significant potential of our approach which adapts the propagation model to achieve accurate plate reconstruction in conditions that may drastically vary, as it is expected to happen for a robotic inspection task on large structures in challenging outdoor environments, and without the need to take into account potential sources of disturbance explicitly in the propagation models.

Non uniqueness of the optimal model parameters

Although we have shown that a propagation model can be recovered based on data to achieve precise localization by adapting the model parameters, our method cannot recover the real values of the physical model parameters. Indeed, different model parameters can yield similar loss values as depicted by Fig. 9, where we represent the variation of the loss w.r.t. the two velocity parameters for a fixed thickness (d = 6mm), and using the data acquired on the two metal plates considered in this study (Scenario 1 and 2). The more specific explanation is that different model parameters can lead to a similar dispersion relation after resolving the Rayleigh-Lamb equations. Yet, the fact that the actual model parameters cannot be recovered with our method is not a significant issue, as our primary objective is to achieve accurate mapping of the plate structure with a calibrated



Figure 11. Plate geometry reconstruction errors w.r.t. the number of measurements considered to compute the loss function using the data from Scenario 2. The error is evaluated only for the model yielding the lower loss value for the two cases (with and without filtering the beamforming maps). For comparison, the errors achieved with the predetermined model are also displayed.

model g, so that the wavepackets inside the measurements can be appropriately accounted for.

Influence of the number of measurements

During a real robotic inspection task, measurements may not have been acquired over a sufficient portion of the surface during the calibration operation to fully recover the plate geometry. To evaluate the robustness of our approach in this scenario, we assess how the number of measurements available during the optimization process affects the propagation model selection for mapping. To avoid running the optimizer every time, we consider the previous family of 40 propagation models. We evaluate, for each propagation model, the reconstruction errors obtained with and without filtering the beamforming maps and select the error relative to the propagation model, for every set of measurements, that yields the lowest loss value. We also assess the estimation errors when the predetermined propagation model is used along with high-pass filtered beamforming maps, for comparison. Results are displayed in Fig. 11 using the data acquired on the large steel plate (Scenario 2) to consider a large surface. The data are collected along a simulated lawn-mower robot path.

When a few measurements are available, the average range errors are relatively high for the three considered cases because the sensors need to pass by a border sufficiently closely to detect it. The most accurate results are achieved when all the measurements are taken into account. In between, we can see that the range error decreases faster when the beamforming maps have been filtered, which highlights again the benefits of this approach. Besides, the range error remains slightly higher than that obtained with the predetermined model for a small number of measurements. Yet, the decrease is *in fine* similar. This shows that optimizing over the propagation model parameters may yield similar performance (if not better in non-nominal acquisition conditions) than that obtained with a predetermined and appropriate propagation model, even when only a small portion of the surface has been covered by the mobile unit.

Discussions

The method presented here restricts the propagation models to be approximate solutions of the Helmholtz equation with dispersion relations derived from the Rayleigh-Lamb equation. With this approach, the actual model parameters (velocities and plate thickness) cannot be recovered, yet a propagation model \hat{g} can be determined to achieve accurate mapping results through beamforming. Whether this approach remains appropriate in more realistic conditions is still subject to investigation. It is, expected that this parametrization would be sufficient as long as the first-order wave-packets are sufficiently energetic within the measurements and that the major hypothesis on the propagation model (linear propagation, isotropic material) approximately holds.

The case of multi-modal propagation is not considered here, whereas it is likely if the frequency is not sufficiently adequate to the material in a -presumably- unknown state. Having at least A0 and S0 modes propagating simultaneously is the most frequent scenario. The algorithm could be easily extended to integrate this possibility by extending to a maximum 5 parameters for the dispersion relations of the two modes plus one tri-state unknown specifying which hypothesis (bi-modal, A0-only, or S0only propagation) is the most plausible based on data. However, integrating more complex interactions such as mode conversion, diffraction due, for example, to complex structural features such as stiffeners, holes... would be more challenging. We expect isotropic propagation to be prevalent, as these complex wave interactions may be scarce and have a sufficiently small incidence on the signals to not affect the mapping results.

Besides, our method as presented here is restricted to rectangular geometries. This hypothesis facilitates edge retrieval from the beamforming map, whereas more complex geometries shall be expected on a large and complex structure such as a ship hull. Still, we think that our approach could be extended for such situations where the model parameters that maximize the energy focused at the retrieved geometry estimate are sought after. One could also imagine adapting our approach to more conventional applications in SHM where, for example, the propagation model could be automatically calibrated by maximizing the energy at the estimated defect location on the imaging results, or by relying on the reflections on the plate edges with an approach similar to ours.

Conclusion

In this paper, we introduce a method to accurately recover the geometry of a metal plate by relying on ultrasonic measurements acquired by a mobile unit and without using a predetermined propagation model. Our approach is based on focalization in the model parameter space and beamforming for localization of the plate boundaries. We restrict the propagation model to be an approximate solution of the Helmholtz equation and parameterize it with only three physical values. We introduce a loss function assessed on the high-pass filtered beamforming maps to quantify the focusing ability of a candidate beamformer (i.e., candidate model parameters). Eventually, we find optimal model parameters with a simulated annealing optimization process.

We demonstrate the performance of our method on three sets of experimental acoustic data acquired on two metal plates of different sizes and different materials. The results first illustrate the relevance of filtering the beamforming maps to reduce the impact of interference and high-order reflections. Next, we show that a propagation model enabling accurate boundary localization can be efficiently recovered with simulated annealing, as long a sufficient portion of the surface has been covered. For the two undisturbed scenarios, the precision of the localization is found to be similar to that achieved with the model built from prior knowledge on the plate material. In contrast, the precision of the optimal beamforming is superior to that achieved with the predetermined model in non-nominal acquisition conditions. This highlights the very potential of our method for Lamb wave-based localization and mapping on a large metal structure, where the wave propagation conditions may not be known a priori. The benefit of this approach is real for practical industrial inspection tasks where the propagation models could be automatically calibrated without the intervention of an expert. Eventually, we assess the performance of our approach using the acoustic data collected on the larger plate with a varying number of measurements considered for model selection and simulating a lawn-mower path for the robot trajectory. The results show that our approach yields a similar error decrease with an increasing number of measurements than the predetermined and appropriate model. In contrast, the error is lower than that obtained when the propagation model is selected using the loss obtained with unfiltered beamforming maps. This further supports the relevance of our approach for the final application. We believe that this approach can be adapted to other and more conventional applications in SHM to calibrate the wave propagation model automatically.

In future work, we will relax the hypothesis of rectangular plate geometries and integrate more complex wave propagation conditions into our model, such as multi-modal propagation, diffraction, or mode conversion. Moreover, we will test the method in more realistic conditions, and use a real robotic platform such as a magnetic crawler to acquire the signals.

Funding

This work is part of the BugWright2 project. This project is supported by the European Commission under grant agreement 871260 - BugWright2.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

- Bugwright2, autonomous robotic inspection and maintenance on ship hulls and storage tanks, description of the innovative action (Information and communication technologies). (2020).
- 2. Roboplanet, robots for human and industrial and safety http: //www.roboplanet.fr/en/
- Su, Z., and Ye, L. (2011). Identification of Damage Using Lamb Waves. In Lecture Notes in Applied and Computational Mechanics: Vol. 56 LNACM.
- Zhao, M., Zhou, W., Huang, Y., and Li, H. (2021). Sparse Bayesian learning approach for propagation distance recognition and damage localization in plate-like structures using guided waves. Structural Health Monitoring, 20(1), 3–24. https://doi.org/10.1177/1475921720902277
- Z. Su, L. Ye, and Y. Lu, "Guided lamb waves for identification of damage in composite structures: A review, "Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 295, no. 3, pp. 753 – 780, 2006.
- Hall, J. S., McKeon, P., Satyanarayan, L., Michaels, J. E., Declercq, N. F., and Berthelot, Y. H. (2011). Minimum variance guided wave imaging in a quasi-isotropic composite plate. Smart Materials and Structures, 20(2). https://doi.org/10.1088/0964-1726/20/2/025013
- O'Donoughue, N., Harley, J. B., Liu, C., Moura, J. M. F., and Oppenheim, I. (2012). Maximum likelihood defect localization in a pipe using guided acoustic waves. Conference Record - Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems and Computers, 1863–1867. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACSSC.2012.6489360
- Anton, S. R., Inman, D. J., and Park, G. (2009). Reference-free damage detection using instantaneous baseline measurements. AIAA Journal, 47(8), 1952–1964. https://doi.org/10.2514/1.43252
- Qiu, J., Li, F., Abbas, S., and Zhu, Y. (2019). A baseline-free damage detection approach based on distance compensation of guided waves. Journal of Low Frequency Noise Vibration and Active Control, 38(3–4), 1132–1148. https://doi.org/10.1177/1461348418813699
- Lee, S. J., Gandhi, N., Hall, J. S., Michaels, J. E., Xu, B., Michaels, T. E., and Ruzzene, M. (2012). Baseline-free guided wave imaging via adaptive source removal. Structural Health Monitoring, 11(4), 472–481. https://doi.org/10.1177/1475921711435536
- Han, J. H., and Kim, Y. J. (2015). Time-frequency beamforming for nondestructive evaluations of plate using ultrasonic Lamb wave. Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, 54, 336–356. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2014.09.008
- Galán, J. M., and Abascal, R. (2002). Numerical simulation of Lamb wave scattering in semi-infinite plates. International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 53(5), 1145–1173. https://doi.org/10.1002/nme.331
- Ouabi, O., Pomarede, P., Geist, M., Declercq, N. F. and Pradalier, C. (2020). Monte-Carlo Localization on Metal Plates Based on Ultrasonic Guided Waves. The 17th International Symposium on Experimental Robotics, 345–353.
- Thrun, S., Wolfram, B., and Fox, D. (2005). Probabilistic Robotics - 2nd edition. In Intelligent Robotics and Autonomous Agents series (MIT Press).
- Xu, C., Yang, Z., and Deng, M. (2020). Weighted structured sparse reconstruction-based lamb wave imaging exploiting multipath edge reflections in an isotropic plate. Sensors (Switzerland), 20(12), 1–17.

https://doi.org/10.3390/s20123502

- Ebrahimkhanlou, A., Dubuc, B., and Salamone, S. (2016). Damage localization in metallic plate structures using edgereflected lamb waves. Smart Materials and Structures, 25(8). https://doi.org/10.1088/0964-1726/25/8/085035
- Zeng, L., Huang, L., Luo, Z., and Lin, J. (2020). Damage imaging that exploits multipath scattered Lamb waves. Structural Health Monitoring, 19(6), 1629–1644. https://doi.org/10.1177/1475921719892828
- Hall, J. S. and Michaels, J. E. (2015). Multipath ultrasonic guided wave imaging in complex structures. Structural Health Monitoring, 14(4), 345–358. https://doi.org/10.1177/1475921715578316
- Schaal, C., Brown, M., and Schulz, K. (2019). Experimental investigation of Lamb wave-based edge detection methods. 1097223(April 2019), 71. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2515452
- Hong, E., and Schaal, C. (2018). Reverse engineering stiffened plates using guided wave-based nondestructive testing methods. March 2018, 13. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2296745
- Miranda, A., Hook, J. Vander, and Schaal, C. (2021). Lamb wave-based mapping of plate structures via frontier exploration. Ultrasonics, 110(September 2020), 106282. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultras.2020.106282
- Montemerlo, M., Thrun, S., Koller, D., and Wegbreit, B. (2002). FastSLAM: A Factored Solution to the Simultaneous Localization and Mapping Problem Michael. Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence.
- Pradalier, C., Ouabi, O. L., Pomarede, P., and Steckel, J. (2020). On-plate localization and mapping for an inspection robot using ultrasonic guided waves: A proof of concept. IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, 5045–5050. https://doi.org/10.1109/IROS45743.2020.9340936
- Van Veen, B. D., and Buckley, K. M. (1988). Beamforming: A Versatile Approach to Spatial Filtering. IEEE ASSP Magazine, 5(2), 4–24. https://doi.org/10.1109/53.665
- Ouabi, O. L., Pomarede, P., Geist, M., Declercq, N. F. and Pradalier, C. (2021). A FastSLAM Approach Integrating Beamforming Maps for Ultrasound-based Robotic Inspection of Metal Structures. IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters, 6(2). https://doi.org/10.1109/LRA.2021.3062600
- S. Chaabene, F. Bouchoucha, M. N. Ichchou, and M.Haddar (2015). "Wave mode diffusion and propagation in structural wave guide under Varying Temperature". In: Applied Acoustics 108, pp. 84–91.
- Y. Lu and J.E. Michaels (2005). "A methodology for structural health monitoring with diffuse ultrasonic waves in the presence of temperature variations". In: Ultrasonics 43, pp. 717–731.
- A. J. Croxford, J. Moll, P. D. Wilcox, and J. E. Michaels (2010). "Efficient temperature compensation strategies for guided wave structural health monitoring". In: Ultrasonics 50, pp. 517–528.
- Senin, P. (2008). Dynamic Time Warping Algorithm Review. Science, 2007(December), 1–23. http://129.173.35.31/pf/Linguistique/Treillis/ReviewDTW.pdf.
- Kulakovskyi, A. (2019). Development of a SHM system by elastic guided waves applied to aeronautic structures.
- Sazontov, A. G., and Malekhanov, A. I. (2015). Matched field signal processing in underwater sound channels (Review). Acoustical Physics, 61(2), 213–230. https://doi.org/10.1134/S1063771015020128

- Baggeroer, A. B., and Kuperman, W. A. (1993). Matched Field Processing in Ocean Acoustics. Acoustic Signal Processing for Ocean Exploration, 79–114. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-1604-6_8
- 33. Collins, M. D., and Kuperrnan, W. A. (1991). Focalization: Environmental focusing and source localization. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 90(3), 1410–1422. https://doi.org/10.1121/1.401933
- Kuperman, W. A., Collins, M. D., Perkins, J. S., and Davis, N. R. (1990). Optimal time-domain beamforming with simulated annealing including application of a priori information. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 88(4), 1802–1810. https://doi.org/10.1121/1.400201
- 35. Liu, Z., and Chen, H. (2018). Application and Challenges of Signal Processing Techniques for Lamb Waves Structural Integrity Evaluation: Part A-Lamb Waves Signals Emitting and Optimization Techniques. Structural Health Monitoring from Sensing to Processing, 32(July), 137–144. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.78381.
- Galán, J. M. and Abascal, R. (2002). Numerical simulation of Lamb wave scattering in semi-infinite plates. International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 53(5), 1145–1173. https://doi.org/10.1002/nme.331
- Huthwaite, P., and Simonetti, F. (2013). High-resolution guided wave tomography. Wave Motion, 50(5), 979–993. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wavemoti.2013.04.0044
- Quaegebeur, N., Masson, P., Langlois-Demers, D., and Micheau, P. (2011). Dispersion-based imaging for structural health monitoring using sparse and compact arrays. Smart Materials and Structures, 20(2). https://doi.org/10.1088/0964-1726/20/2/025005
- 39. H. Kuttruff, Room Acoustics, fourth edition, 2000.
- Kirkpatrick, S., Gelatt, C. D., and Vecchi, M. P. (1983). Optimization by simulated annealing. Science, 220(4598), 671–680. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.220.4598.671