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Abstract

Objective: To obtain a community perspective on key nutrition-specific problems and solutions for mothers and children.

Design: A qualitative study comprising nine focus group discussions (FGD) following a semi-structured interview guide.

Setting: The township of Soweto in South Africa with a rising prevalence of double burden of malnutrition.

Participants: Men and women aged ≥18 years (n 66). Three FGD held with men, six with women.

Results: Despite participants perceived healthy diet to be important, they felt their ability to maintain a healthy diet was limited. Inexpensive, unhealthy food was easier to access in Soweto than healthier alternatives. Factors such as land use, hygiene and low income played a fundamental role in shaping access to foods and decisions about what to eat. Participants suggested four broad areas for change: health sector, social protection, the food system and food environment. Their solutions ranged from improved nutrition education for women at clinic visits, communal vegetable gardens and government provision of food parcels to regulatory measures to improve the healthiness of their food environment.

Conclusions: South Africa’s current nutrition policy environment does not adequately address community-level needs that are often linked to structural factors beyond the health sector. Our findings suggest that to successfully address the double burden of malnutrition among women and children, a multifaceted approach is needed combining action on the ground with coherent policies that address upstream factors, including poverty. Further, there is a need for public engagement and integration of community perspectives and priorities in developing and implementing double-duty actions to improve nutrition.
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South Africa, a middle-income country, is undergoing a socioeconomic transition that has triggered a rapid change in dietary habits towards consumption of less nutritious, more processed food, high in sugar, fat, salt and low in fibre(1,2). This dietary shift results in a double burden of malnutrition – the coexistence of under and over nutrition – that manifests both at the population and individual levels(3–4). At the population level, a national study found that in 2016, 13% of children under 5 years were overweight or obese, while the prevalence of stunting was 27%(5). Studies conducted in communities in a rural health and demographic surveillance site showed the coexistence of early stunting and adolescent overweight/obesity followed by an elevated risk for metabolic disease in adolescent girls(6), and high overweight/obesity rates among women of reproductive age(7). In individuals, the
co-prevalence of stunting and overweight in 3-year-old children was an estimated 19% (4). This combination of under and over nutrition has adverse effects throughout the life course, and has been described as a leading cause of death, disability and ill-health globally (9). Optimising the nutritional status of children and their mothers prior to and during pregnancy improves growth and cognitive development in children and potentially reduces their risk for non-communicable diseases (NCD) in later life (9, 10).

The imperative for action on all forms of malnutrition is reflected in South Africa’s NCD strategic plan (11), the National Development Plan 2030 (12) and in the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, particularly Goal 2 (13). The solutions are, however, less well articulated than the problem. In 2017, the WHO called for a greater prioritisation of ‘double-duty’ interventions that target common drivers of both under nutrition and overweight (14). Most of these policies are top-down, but will need to be culturally appropriate and context-relevant for successful implementation in South Africa. There is little knowledge of how nutritional issues are understood by South African communities or how they perceive solutions to these problems. Without seeking these views, we cannot fully understand the operation of these common drivers of under and over nutrition.

This qualitative research was designed to learn from the lived experiences of men and women in Soweto to identify issues that they perceived to be important in shaping maternal and child nutrition, and to obtain their suggestions for solutions to these problems. This is a novel approach to policy formulation in South Africa where community perspectives are underutilised in the design and implementation of nutrition interventions and policies.

This is a sub-study of a larger NIHR-funded international collaboration, Improved Nutrition Preconception Pregnancy Post-Delivery (INPreP). The INPreP partners are based in Burkina Faso, Ghana, South Africa and the UK (www.inprep.soton.ac.uk). Findings from qualitative sub-studies (Compaoré et al., 2020 (15), Debpuur et al., 2020 (16), Watson et al., 2020 (17)) are vital to achieving INPreP’s aim of developing supportive nutrition interventions in the ‘first 1000 d plus’ (preconception, pregnancy and first 2 years) period to simultaneously reduce the risk and burden of under and over nutrition in this population.

Methods

Study setting and population

The current study was conducted in Soweto, Johannesburg, South Africa, a rapidly transitioning urban setting with a significant and rising prevalence of double burden of malnutrition. The prevalence of stunting in this setting among 2-year-old children is >54% (18) compared with a national average of 27%. In the same population, the prevalence of overweight and obesity ranges from 19 to 36% among black women between the age of 12 and 19, compared with a range of 22–26% among their white peers (19). Women in Soweto are at a particular risk, with clustering of nutrition-related conditions including 66% overweight and obesity, 9–1% gestational diabetes mellitus and 31% anaemia (19–21).

Data collection

A qualitative approach was chosen to explore community opinions, values and key concerns about maternal and child nutrition. Focus group discussions (FGD) were selected as the most appropriate method that allowed the collection of a variety of perceptions on the research topic, arising from an interaction between participants (22). Study participants were purposively selected from the Chris Hani Baragwanath Hospital minibus Taxi Rank in Soweto, which is the main road transport hub for about 70% of Sowetans (23). Participants included men and women aged ≥18 years. Women’s FGD were held in three age groups to cover the different stages of the reproductive life course and to potentially avoid older women inhibiting younger women’s (18–25, 26–40 and >40 years) expressions.

A common semi-structured discussion guide was developed for all three sites (Soweto in South Africa, Nanoro in Burkina Faso and Navrongo in Ghana) to enable comparisons of findings between sites. Thereafter, the guide was adapted for the Soweto context. FGD took place at a research centre within the Chris Hani Baragwanath Hospital complex, of close proximity to the taxi rank, in a private room to avoid disturbance and to create a comfortable atmosphere for participants. Participants were reimbursed for transport costs on the day of FGD.

FGD were facilitated by two multilingual fieldworkers trained by the researchers (AE, SG, EC) prior to data collection. Fieldworkers read out the information sheet to participants who then provided written consent for both participating and audio-recording the interview. FGD were conducted in the vernacular language (Xhosa, Zulu or English), audio-recorded, transcribed and translated into English where necessary. Discussions were held on weekdays, during working hours between 09.00 and 16.00 and lasted approximately an hour. After the ninth focus group, no more new data were generated from the discussion with community members. Transcripts were then checked for accuracy by the lead author (AE). Observational notes were produced during the FGD by fieldworkers. Data were also collected on participants’ sociodemographic characteristics, including gravidity, parity, marital status, number of people in the household and socioeconomic status (SES) prior to the discussion.
Data analysis

Focus group transcripts were read multiple times by two researchers (AE, SG) to achieve immersion in the content and flow of the discussion. Thereafter, transcripts were coded using NVivo (version 12)(24). Deductive codes were based on key domains relating to the interview questions, such as ‘key health issues’, ‘key nutrition issues’, ‘current nutrition services’ and ‘recommended nutrition services’. Domain summaries were used in the identification of themes through a reflexive thematic analysis(25). Through the analysis, researchers developed an understanding of recurring themes across the dataset, simultaneously reflecting on the answers. Reporting of findings adheres to COREQ guidelines(26).

Results

Participant characteristics

Twenty-one men participated in three FGD, and 45 women took part in another six FGD (Table 1). Participant characteristics are presented in Table 2. Most participants were of low SES, with household incomes <ZAR 5000 (345 USD) per month. About half of respondents had completed high school (locally referred to as ‘matric’) or had completed further education; this proportion is comparable with other Sowetan men and women of the same age(27).

Findings from the focus group discussions

Results are presented thematically, and data are reported in alignment with four themes that emerged from the analysis: (1) awareness of optimal nutrition, (2) barriers to optimal nutrition in the physical environment, (3) healthy diet constrained by socioeconomic hardship, (4) optimising nutrition in the physical environment, (3) healthy diet and the avoidance of unhealthy food, and (4) optimising nutrition services such as nutritional education. Both eating healthily and avoiding unhealthy foods were concepts widely recognised by respondents as elemental in keeping mothers and children healthy.

Participants had a general understanding of the importance of diet for mothers and children and communities.

Food is a source of energy, we need food, it’s nutrition … we need to watch what we eat. We shouldn’t eat just anything, because in the end it adds on things like obesity. (Man, FGD 9)

Having a baby is a lot of work, so you need to return your strength by eating healthy food. (Woman, 26–40, FGD 2)

Participants explained that food was important to promote child development and improve school performance.

Kids at school focus more on a full tummy. If they haven’t eaten, kids suffer, they can’t focus. (Man, FGD 9)

Similarly, it was argued that unhealthy food leads to poorer cognition in addition to increased risk of weight gain. Participants emphasised the need to limit children’s consumption of unhealthy foods.

We need to pull them [children] away from eating junk … they’ve put a lot of things in there, which means it makes kids obese, it makes kids to not think properly. (Woman, 26–40, FGD 1)

Participants across all ages and both genders showed some understanding of the significance of early-life nutrition, but have attributed more to the impact of a poor diet than is likely.

Food that women consume … it affects the baby a lot when they’re still in the tummy; so they need to focus on true nutritional food and try to reduce the food that is not healthy. Just consume healthy food because in the end the child will be born with all kinds of defects. (Man, FGD 9)

For your baby you need to compromise to eat … food that has protein and vitamins … You have to eat healthy in order to produce milk and making sure that you don’t pass on funny things to the child. (Woman, 18–25, FGD 4)

Despite participants’ understanding that healthy eating should be habitual, most admitted that they did not follow a healthy diet. The food they consumed daily was perceived as unhealthy and as having an adverse effect on their health and well-being.

It’s the first time seeing this fat here, I mean what is that? It’s this fast-food and you know there’s this fat cake [deep fried doughnut] that they call ZAR 10 [0.71 USD]. We’re buying food that’s not right and … these days you can count slender kids. (Woman, 40+, FGD 8)

Table 1 Details of participants in focus group discussions (FGD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FGD no.</th>
<th>Participants (n)</th>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>26–40</td>
<td>Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>26–40</td>
<td>Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18–25</td>
<td>Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18–25</td>
<td>Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18–40</td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40–60</td>
<td>Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18–40</td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40–80</td>
<td>Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18–40</td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
So that thing in English is called fatty acids, fatty food; too much oil is killing us. (Man, FGD 9)

**Table 2** Sociodemographic characteristics of participants in focus group discussions conducted in Soweto in 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population characteristics</th>
<th>Men (n 21)</th>
<th>Women (n 45)</th>
<th>Total (n 66)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of babies and children cared for</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing data</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single, never married</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living together</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced/widowed</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing data</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Highest level of schooling</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No schooling</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some high</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 12/matric*</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some tertiary education but did not graduate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary graduate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing data</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monthly household income (ZAR)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;3000</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3001–5000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000–20 000</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;20 000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source of income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government grants</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants and employment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No source of income</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing data</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Grade 12 students are between the ages of 17 and 19.
†Percentages do not add up to one hundred due to rounding off.
‡Households were categorised as low income if monthly household income was < ZAR 5000, middle income if ZAR 5000–25 600 and upper income if > ZAR 25 601.

Barriers to optimal nutrition in the physical environment

Nearly all groups indicated that their local food environment, which they felt to be unsanitary and unhygienic, and in which they described a high number of unhealthy food service outlets, is an important determinant of nutrition.

Some attributed the frequent consumption of unhealthy items to a higher density of fast-food outlets than in times gone by and to a consequent higher exposure to cheap low-quality food, sold even in schools.

Food these days is wrong, wrong, wrong. You know back then, there used to be kota [unhealthy street food]. We’d get it from school but it was scarce. But now at every corner there are those chips for R5. (0·29 USD) Woman, 40+, FGD 8)

Community members spoke of the differences in the nature and quality of food available in local stores and how these contribute to their nutrition practices and ill health.

Our health doesn’t hold only on our hands [is beyond our control] … We must also mention that even those companies, those shops that are selling that food, others use lower grade food and that affects our health … That shop in the corner, as small as it is, it also plays a role in our health. (Man, FGD 7)

Participants reported that they relied on harmful, highly processed foods, because healthy options such as organic vegetables and olive oil were more expensive.

Men were concerned about the poor food hygiene practices of street vendors and their ignorance of food expiry dates.
Maybe the person that prepares that food hasn’t cleaned it properly first of all, those are the things that cause some illnesses. And when something is off, you can smell it when they cook it. (Man, FGD 7)

Limited space and overcrowded households arising from rapid urbanisation of the Soweto community were thought to have caused poor environmental sanitation issues, which were key health concerns for both men and women.

The streets being filthy . . . I walk out of the gate and I’m confronted by a heap of plastics. You can’t even eat when you’re trying to eat, you know [you lose your appetite]. That thing affects your health. (Woman, 40+, FGD 8)

For example, a small land holding where they plant whatever [vegetables for consumption], the very same waste is being thrown there and there’s the sewer and stuff. And it’s food that we eat! We don’t know if it’s healthy or not. (Man, FGD 7)

Healthy diet constrained by socioeconomic hardship

While participants perceived food to be important to keep mothers and children healthy, they could not prioritise buying healthy food. They described structural issues which both shaped their daily lives and often determined their food choices.

Lack of financial resources. Poverty and lack of income appeared to be the biggest barriers to individuals’ access to optimal nutrition.

We eat it [junk food] because we can afford it, but they’re not good. We don’t have choice. (Woman, 26–40, FGD 1)

I’m pregnant now. I’m unemployed. How can I eat healthy food really? I can’t even afford to buy food, exactly. (Woman, 40+, FGD 6)

Assuaging hunger alone came at a high cost for participants. For some, getting any food required strategies such as engaging in risky sexual behaviour or dropping out of school.

It comes from the fact that you’re hungry and there’s no food. Then she goes out and looks for some money somehow; so now when a guy comes along . . . He starts touching her and maybe gives her about R50 [3 USD] so she can buy food. (Man, FGD 5)

The kids come back from school and there’s no food. The kids are hungry and forced to go out into the streets and fend for themselves, and then that leaves no room for school. (Man, FGD 5)

Unstable households and lifestyles. There was a general perception that features of unstable households, such as single parenthood and co-habiting (not married) couples, had adverse effects on health and diet. Participants linked unhealthy infant feeding practices and nutritional deprivation in childhood to parental lifestyle, resources and relationship quality.

I’m a single parent. The kids need food, they need clothes. I need to take them to crèche [day care for babies and young toddlers], I take them and look for someone that can stay with them, and I can’t find a job. I go all over taking my CV but they don’t call me back. So what do I do . . . I go to town and prostitute myself so I can buy food for my kids. (Woman, 26–40, FGD 1)

Inflexible job schedules, variable work hours, working overtime and multiple jobs, lack of job security, low pay and low status jobs were all reported to make healthier choices difficult, particularly when it came to breastfeeding.

I have twins . . . I used to live with them in Soweto while attending school and I used to wake them up at 5 am. So I realised that these kids are struggling and they are losing weight even . . . so it’s not good for them. (Woman, 26–40, FGD 1)

I had to stop breastfeeding after a month because I had to go back to work. That’s when I started introducing the bottle; but with the bottle she started being very uneasy, waking up in the middle of the night. That’s when I started introducing Cerelac [instant cereal]. (Woman, 18–25, FGD 4)

Common coping strategies identified by time-pressured, low-income working mothers include consumption of takeaway food rather than home-prepared food, and shopping for convenience and snack foods. These strategies were economically efficient but often came at the cost of a healthy diet.

The kota is big and it costs about ZAR 30 [2·16 USD]. You can save by doing that instead of cooking. (Woman, 26–40, FGD 2)

Poor mental health. Most women associated poor parental mental health, including depression and substance abuse, with poor child nutrition and neglect. One young participant explained the way a woman’s mental health might affect her diet during pregnancy.

What influences what you eat during pregnancy is your mental state. Are you bonding with the child? Do you want the child to be healthy? Some people eat bad things because they don’t want the child. They don’t care whether the child dies or no. They just eat whatever. (Woman, 18–25, FGD 4)

Another spoke of how women in Soweto might feel trapped by their circumstances, affecting their mental health.

It’s because of the stress relating to seeing no way out of the situation that they’re in [women]. Most of them don’t go there saying they want to be an alcoholic, there’s no woman that wants to be an alcoholic when they have kids. (Woman, 26–40, FGD 1)

Substance abuse was an important concern affecting both mothers and their children.
Optimising maternal and child nutrition

Older women were particularly concerned about this and tended to link substance abuse to reproductive health problems, including teenage pregnancy, STI, HIV/AIDS and even rape.

These girls they are using drugs, they're pregnant, they don't go to the clinic. At the end of the day it's affecting that child, that unborn child. Your child will be born slow and at school as well, and then you will be in denial. (Woman, 40+, FGD 6)

Outside of home, other stressors such as bullying at school were given as additional factors that affected children's food intakes.

You're afraid to take your children to school because they bully them... Yes, you pack food for them and when they get to school they [the bullies] take their food and eat it. (Woman, 40+, FGD 8)

Optimising nutrition in desperate conditions

Participants were asked what nutritional support they already had and what else could be done to improve the nutritional status of mothers and children in their communities. Solutions revolved mostly around existing services and can be described under the following sub-themes: health sector, nutrition knowledge and skills, the food system and social protection.

Health sector. Participants described clinics as the first point of contact with the health sector, and for many women, this contact was largely for antenatal care. Clinics were relied on for obtaining information on good nutritional practice; however, they were perceived as malfunctioning. Overcrowded facilities, long waiting times, unmotivated, dismissive nurses were described as contributing to participants' negative experience of services.

It's the rudeness of the clinic nurses. They are so rude that people are even afraid to go and consult because of the rudeness. (Man, FGD 7)

When you go to the clinic, people queue from around 4 am and they're only going to get service at around 7 am or 8 am and they're waiting in the street. (Woman, 26–40, FGD 1)

Other existing services included rehabilitation groups for those with substance abuse; however, their lack of effectiveness was reported to be discouraging. Women across all age groups believed that they could benefit from social services such as child care to ease their burden of domestic care and work, and free up time for food preparation. Young women especially felt alone regarding child care and expressed their mistrust in institutions.

Help is very limited, ... and you go to crèche and the child is abused at crèche, the institution that's supposed to be trusted. (Woman, 18–24, FGD 4)

There was general agreement that more government health services had been available in the past through frequent home visits by health workers and home calls by nurses. Women reported that current health services are curative rather than preventative, and that they receive less information about practices on breastfeeding and less nutritional advice than in the past.

Nutrition knowledge and skills. Participants believed that current nutrition education delivered through primary care settings and the media is limited and they would benefit from improved information provision. Solutions included both nutrition counselling and knowledge translation through charts and tables with food and nutrition information.

Maybe at hospitals they need to put them at a certain place and they [women] need to be taught what to eat, what to maintain, what not to eat. (Man, FGD 7)

If there was more awareness, with advertising on TV and newspapers. There should be a section on eating healthy. I think it would go a long way. (Man, FGD 7)

Participants also suggested they needed skill building through food literacy programmes and cooking classes. These were envisioned as happening in community groups, targeted at all adults, as well as specific groups such as pregnant women and breastfeeding mothers.

If there were groups that were open ... where women know that that's where you go to learn what to eat or what not to eat. (Woman, 26–40, FGD 1)

Men also expressed willingness to improve their own knowledge, skills and behaviours.

We need to go back to knowledge. We shouldn't just eat anything, and maybe they can advise us how to eat so we can stop this. Think ... guys, we eat junk food, we eat junk food, that's why we have big bellies these days. (Man, FGD 9)

Food systems. The way to solve the problem of oversupply of unhealthy and unsafe foods was seen in better governance across the food systems and food environments. Food system refers to all elements and activities relating to growing, harvesting, processing, packaging, marketing, distributing, preparing and consuming foods. Related to this are food environments that Swinburn et al. (2013) defined as 'the collective physical, economic, policy and sociocultural surroundings, opportunities and conditions that influence people's food and beverage choices and nutritional status'.

Participants envisioned increased government control of the market and policing of regulations regarding food supply and consumption, and believed that this would improve healthy eating in their communities.

I think that government must make all food equal for everyone. I think we will all be healthy. (Man, FGD 8)
I think there’s an inspectorate body that deals with shops to say ‘is that food you’re buying healthy? We have that in government. Are they doing their work to check every shop, every wholesaler? Is that food you are buying as consumers healthy? Because coming back to what you say, our health is not holding in our hands only. (Man, FGD 7)

School- and home-based food gardens were considered as solutions to enhance the local food economy.

Coming back to the point on poverty, I think if we had money as people we’d be able to buy a piece of land and say here I’m going to uplift the community and plant, and people will know that veggies are available there. (Man, FGD 9)

However, significant barriers were mentioned that hindered participants’ capacity to grow food. Issues of poor sanitation, lack of space, time and affordability of land were key determinants. Community members indicated the importance of addressing sanitation and hygiene issues. Services such as Pick It Up, the Soweto garbage collection service, while in place, were perceived to be ineffective.

Social protection. Participants relied on social policies that either implicitly or explicitly consider nutrition. The distribution of social grants, particularly child support grants (ZAR 420 per month per child [30 USD] as of June 2019), was perceived to be instrumental in improving access to food, and participants suggested that the grants should be increased. They also believed that coupons with conditional purchase for healthy food products or regular healthy food provisioning would improve their nutrition and health status.

I would start a feeding scheme, like when they [mothers] come back from getting their social grants; they need to come back with a little package of at least healthy food. (Man, FGD 9)

I think food parcels, the ones that have veggies specifically. (Woman, 18–25, FGD 3)

The government feeding scheme at schools and food provision at crèches was perceived to be the second most important social service. The scheme influenced the nutrition of children directly through meal provisioning, as well as indirectly by providing employment opportunities for mothers at the school. Participants saw schools as a platform to improve nutrition education of children from early age.

I think the school whereby the child goes – primary school, high school – that is also one of the services that must provide information on what they should eat. (Man, FGD 7)

While food provisioning services for children are important, they differ in quality. Some reported that crèches are dangerous because they sometimes give these kids food that’s not good for them, and then you find the kids start throwing that food up’ (Woman, 26–40, FGD 2), while others shared positive experiences of schools that monitor the healthfulness of lunch packages of children.

Discussion

To the authors’ knowledge, this is the first study to generate an in-depth community perspective on maternal and child nutrition-specific matters and solutions in urban South Africa. Its value is in its ability to support ‘bottom-up’ development of double-duty interventions to address both under and over nutrition, which are acceptable to and feasible within the community. Findings of the current study demonstrate fundamental differences in what communities perceive as important nutrition issues and solutions and what policymakers prioritise. It expands our understanding of shared determinants of under and over nutrition and suggests platforms that can be used for double-duty actions to address the various forms of malnutrition in the community.

The FGD highlighted the need to simultaneously address both the motivation and capacity of communities to optimise nutrition at individual and population levels. Participants hoped for individual-oriented opportunities to help them and their children establish healthy eating. At the community level, communal gardens and food parcels were suggested as potential solutions to lack of healthy foods such as fruit and vegetables. Participants also recognised the absence of population-wide regulatory controls over the activities of both formal and informal fast-food industries, and expressed the need for increased governmental involvement in regulating the industry.

In line with the global trend towards increased availability of heavily marketed, cheap, unhealthy food products(30), the current study exposes the way the environment in Soweto makes it difficult for residents to eat healthily despite their desire to do so. Participants’ experience of widely available and affordable unhealthy foods resonates with previous work in Gauteng Province that showed fast-food outlets and unhealthy food stores outnumber healthy food retailers in poorer communities(31). The current study describes the excessive consumption of convenient, inexpensive and unhealthy foods by both children and adults. Previous research on dietary patterns of urban, black, pregnant South African women showed similar high intakes of fatty foods, and found these to be associated with greater gestational weight gain, higher rates of foetal growth, maternal and foetal complications and a higher risk of childhood and adolescent overweight and obesity in comparison with groups taking diets high in whole grains, legumes, vegetables and traditional meats(32,33). The suboptimal dietary practices of children described by men and women in this article also reflect those of the 2016 Demographic Health Survey (SADHS),
showing that only 23% of children aged 6–23 months were fed a minimum acceptable diet, with 18% of children in the same age group consuming sugary drinks(5).

Current nutrition services outlined in the Roadmap for Nutrition in South Africa: 2013–17, and the Infant and Young Child Feeding Policy(34,35) are limited to short-term strategies delivered through clinics. These focus predominantly on curative nutritional services (i.e. those for patients with gestational diabetes mellitus), providing micronutrient supplements during pregnancy and supporting breastfeeding. There is less focus on addressing obesity prevention and improving maternal dietary intake and BMI prior to conception. This raises important questions about the efficiency of our current health sector with respect to nutrition. It uses scarce resources focused mostly on the treatment of malnutrition, particularly undernutrition, but does little to support individuals being sent back to living in unhealthy environments, thus failing to perform double-duty actions.

Double-duty opportunities to improve nutrition outside the health sector through crèches, schools, workplaces, churches, home and in the broader community are relatively unexplored. Some participants felt they needed more information on what they should be eating. However, knowledge was acknowledged to be only one factor in shaping maternal and child nutrition. The community’s perception was that optimal nutrition (or eating healthily) had to compete with other priority issues such as lack of money, reproductive ill-health and substance abuse, poor sanitation and hygiene practices and the lack of availability of land to grow food, all of which were said to affect the nutritional status of mothers and their children(36,37). Participants’ choices were compromised because of these other aspects of their lives.

Our research indicates that there are shared environmental and socioeconomic factors that contribute to the double burden of malnutrition. Improving maternal and child nutrition in South Africa will require an integrated comprehensive approach, such as WHO’s double-duty approach(14), with strategies addressing both intermediate and distal causes of the double burden of malnutrition. To facilitate this, the government must ensure that coherent policies are enacted at all levels – national, provincial and local – and upstream factors, including poverty, are addressed. In fact, poverty persists as one of the major barriers to prioritising health and healthy eating. Unless these upstream factors that operate at both national and international levels are addressed, the problems will continue.

**Transitioning out of malnutrition**

Participants’ recommendations encompassed four broad areas for action, including health sector, social protection, food system and the food environment, resembling the examples of shared platforms for double-duty actions proposed by the WHO(14). Drawing on the study findings and current South African nutrition-related policies, we propose a framework that envisions a transition towards a healthier environment enabling optimal maternal and child nutrition (Fig. 1). The majority of proposed interventions are nutrition-sensitive(38) rather than nutrition-specific in that they minimise risk factors associated with nutritional status rather than directly addressing nutrition. These include improving household and community environments, increasing social safety nets and protecting individuals from the adverse consequences of unhealthy food environments(3). Population-based approaches such as health information and communication campaigns, fiscal measures such as taxes on sugar-sweetened beverages, direct restrictions and mandates, reformulation and improving the nutrient profile of food products, and eliminating marketing to children can have significant and large impacts to improve diets, enhance early childhood development and reduce the incidence of NCDs(39).

The framework outlines a set of possible double-duty actions that often occur outside of the health sector, and requires the collaboration of multiple actors, including the local authority, the Department of Trade and Industry, the Department of Basic Education and the Department of Social Development. All the interventions in this framework are based on the suggestions of the participants of FGD reported in this article. The framework also indicates the need to move away from silo approaches to intervention delivery, to a focus on integrated responses where interventions reinforce the implementation and enhance the benefits of one another. For example, providing targeted food or financial assistance to support access to healthier food might not be an optimal use of limited resources if basic needs for electricity and chilled storage facilities are not met, and misperceptions and lack of skills for food preparation not addressed. Similarly, investment in nutrition education without addressing the unhealthy food environment is unlikely to result in improved diets. Therefore, a combination of interventions needs to be considered, including policy and fiscal changes, such as extending the list of food products exempted from value added tax, designed to address malnutrition in all forms and promotion of healthier diets(3). Interventions will also require strong monitoring and evaluation to assess whether policies are successfully implemented and are having the intended effect.

Above all, any nutrition agenda should reflect health needs, economic resources and societal values of communities. Engaging the public in this agenda is, therefore, imperative. Recent innovative approaches in the field include the modification and implementation of a public engagement tool, CHAT (Choosing All Together), in a rural setting in South Africa (Tugendhaft et al. 2020(40)). Similar approaches are needed to improve current practices in priority setting for nutrition.
**Strength and limitations**

A key strength of the current study is the involvement of researchers from diverse disciplinary backgrounds with an extensive experience in qualitative research (public health, anthropology, nutrition and psychology). Furthermore, methodological rigour, including the iterative data analysis, allowed for the incorporation of the community’s voice and the avoidance of pitfalls, including taking for granted that the researcher shares the same meanings as the respondent.

Limitations of the current study include the fact that recruiting from the taxi rank meant that not all participants might have lived in Soweto as was assumed. It is possible that the perspectives of rural communities would be different to those of residents in urban Soweto. Further research would be required to understand the perceptions of rural South African communities in relation to maternal and child nutrition.

**Conclusion**

The double burden of malnutrition in South Africa poses a serious threat to the realisation of sustainable development because of its adverse long-term health and developmental effects. Findings reported in this article highlight the need for further public engagement and the integration of community perspectives and priorities in developing and adapting nutrition policies and practices. In the interim, and at a minimum, the government – both local and national – must play a bigger role in supporting healthy and nutritious choices by creating incentives, and disincentives, for purchasing specific foods; introducing easy front-of-pack labelling; limitations or standards on sugar, trans fats and salt content in processed foods; as well as setting standards for marketing, such as limiting advertising of unhealthy foods and beverages to children.

Even if these were in place, South Africa’s current nutrition policies do not adequately address community-level needs that are often linked to structural factors beyond the health sector. Efforts to address unemployment, social inequities, hygiene and sanitation, violence and crime will be key with anticipated knock-on effects for health outcomes, including sustained reductions in the rates of malnutrition across the life cycle. Harmonisation of policies and programmes across sectors is critical to strengthen the resilience of communities and to support them in attaining optimal nutrition for mothers and their children.
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