

The role of lateral branches on effective stiffness and local overstresses in dentin

Elsa Vennat, Asef Hemmati, Nicolas Schmitt, D. Aubry

▶ To cite this version:

Elsa Vennat, Asef Hemmati, Nicolas Schmitt, D. Aubry. The role of lateral branches on effective stiffness and local overstresses in dentin. Journal of the mechanical behavior of biomedical materials, 2021, 116, pp.104329. 10.1016/j.jmbbm.2021.104329. hal-03249482

HAL Id: hal-03249482 https://hal.science/hal-03249482

Submitted on 13 Feb 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

The role of lateral branches on effective stiffness and local overstresses in dentin Elsa Vennat¹, Asef Hemmati^{1,2}, Nicolas Schmitt^{2,3}, Denis Aubry¹ ¹ Université Paris-Saclay, CentraleSupélec, CNRS, Laboratoire de Mécanique des Sols, Structures et Matériaux, 91190, Gif-sur-Yvette, France. ² Université Paris-Saclay, ENS Paris-Saclay, CNRS, Laboratoire de Mécanique et de Technologie,

91190, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.

8

9

7

³ Université Paris-Est Créteil, Inspé, 93203 Saint-Denis, France

Abstract

10 The 3D microstructure of dentinal tissue, the main tissue of the tooth, is the subject of 11 an increasingly comprehensive body of knowledge. The relationship between this 12 microstructure and the mechanical behaviour of dentinal tissue remains, nonetheless, under 13 question. This article proposes an original SEM analysis of dentin microstructure, accounting 14 for lateral branches, and a mechanical model based on these findings. An interesting 15 observation is that lateral branches have a dense collar, as do tubules. The diameter of these 16 branches as well as a percentage area are quantified all along the depth of a dentin sample. 17 We use these unprecedented data to build an orthotropic homogenized model of dentin. 18 The heterogeneities of microstructure are taken into account using level-set functions. The 19 results reveal that the lateral branches slightly influence the global homogenized elastic 20 behavior of the dentin tissue, albeit creating stress concentration areas that are highly 21 influenced by the inclination of the traction with respect to the tubule and branches.

22

1. Introduction

The tooth is a sensitive biomechanical structure, which must provide sufficient stiffness and strength, simultaneously, to fragment hard food with the crown at one end without compromising support to the gingiva at the other end. The tooth's stiffness must thus vary gradually from enamel to pulp cavity. This stiffness acts as a sensor through the nerve, informing the mandibular muscle to control pressure levels in order to break down food. The living cushion which provides said stiffness gradient is known as the dentin.

Dentin material is thus a key tissue inside the tooth; the main tissue in terms of volume and the tissue on which most dental restorations are bonded. The restorative materials have to replace it as durably as possible. Given that most of the world's population experiences dental problems at least once a lifetime, deeper knowledge of dentinal tissue is crucial. The scientific challenge of deepening this knowledge is geared towards linking both, knowledge of its microstructure and knowledge of its mechanical properties.

Dentin is a complex porous medium with microstructural gradients in depth (millimetric length scale). Most of the time, however, dentin is simplified being indeed alluded to as a triphasic structure (micrometric length scale) with tubules (cylindrical voids called lumens (L), encircled by a peritubular collar called peritubular dentin, (PTD) and intertubular dentin (ITD), Figure 1) (*Kinney et al.* 2003).





 $41 \\ 42$

Figure 1 : Classical representation of a tooth and of the dentin microstructure

Dentin's contribution to the total porosity of channels other than tubules are nonnegligible, as recently demonstrated. Such channels also greatly contribute to the maximum stress in the tissue (Vennat et al. 2017). The auxiliary channel network, classified by Mjor and Nordahl (1996), is made up of different types of lateral branches (LB) near the enamel:

47 • major branches (0.5-1μm diameter) fused to become tubules when moving towards
48 the middle dentin;

49 50

 fine branches (0.3-0.7μm diameter), quantified in Vennat et al. 2017, form an angle of roughly 45° with the tubules

51 In middle dentin, microbranches (0.025-0.2µm diameter) are observed and rise at right 52 angle with the tubules. To our knowledge, however, no information is available on their 53 volume ratios or size distribution. We may wonder if a highly mineralized collar is 54 surrounding these lateral branches.

55 We first investigate the dentinal tissue by SEM (Scanning Electron Microscopy). This 56 investigation quantifies the variation of characteristics in sub-structures (L, ITD, PTD, LBC and 57 LBL, LBL or lateral branch lumen) within the tissue (from pulp to enamel), highlighting 58 morphological features potentially influencing the global and local mechanical properties of 59 dentin. We then build a mechanical model of the dentinal tissue in light of our findings. A 60 periodic model of dentin is presented considering the LB (LBL and LBC), observed by SEM. 61 The model's uniqueness is that the geometrical entities are not meshed explicitly, allowing 62 for the direct use of images to quantify the dentin mechanical behavior. The model leads to 63 an assessment of the equivalent stiffness tensor, together with a micromechanical failure 64 criterion.

65

67

2. Previous theoretical and numerical models of dentin

In previous numerical or theoretical studies of the dentinal tissue, only results and the tubular structure accounting for dentin porosity (Kinney et al. 1999, Qin & Swain 2004, Bar-On & Wagner 2012, Yoon et al. 2013, Wang et al. 2017 and Arson et al. 2019) were presented in terms of effective properties.

72 Kinney et al. (1999) proposed an initial micromechanical model considering ITD, PTD 73 and L and claimed that the matrix (ITD) dominates the elastic mechanical behavior of bulk 74 dentin. Qin & Swain (2004) also developed a model considering dentin as an assembly of 75 ITD, PTD and L with different volume ratios and local elastic modulus. An interesting finding 76 is that the modulus parallel to the tubules is always higher that the modulus perpendicular 77 to the tubules; both decrease with distance to pulp. Bar-On & Wagner (2012) were the first 78 to model the scale of the entanglement between collagen fibrils and the aforementioned 79 hydroxyapatite crystals for ITD, as a staggered platelet micro-structure. The tissue consisting 80 of ITD, PTD and L were then studied, revealing that the modulus parallel to the tubules can 81 be lower than the modulus perpendicular to the tubules, showing the impact of the lower 82 scale structure. They further discovered that a transition from isotropy to anisotropy arises 83 depending on location in the dentin. Yoon et al. (2013) proposed two geometrical scales of 84 homogenization: at the lowest scale, ITD is considered as a mixture of collagen fibrils, 85 hydroxyapatite crystals (which are considered as plate-shaped or needle-shaped) and water, 86 and at the highest scale, dentin is considered as a periodic composite made of ITD, PTD and 87 L. More recently, Seyedkavoosi & Sevostianov (2019) attempted to study the anisotropy of 88 hydroxyapatite crystals and assessed its influence on effective mechanical properties.

In terms of geometric modeling, Wang et al. (2017) and Arson et al. (2019) are of particular interest in that SEM images are used to better take into account the real microstructure of dentin (L, PTD and ITD) whereas the other authors are using data from the literature.

93 In these previous studies, to the best of our knowledge, the effect of LB was not 94 investigated. We claim that these branches and their possible mineralized collar (known as 95 the lateral branch collar, LBC) have a significant role in the mechanical behavior of dentin. 96 Analysis of the local stress field is also crucial towards deducing the location of crack 97 initiation, for example, which is often overlooked in the literature.

98 As quantitative experimental data on LB is lacking, we propose an experimental 99 protocol to assess the sizes and surface ratios of the dentinal microstructure features 100 including the LB. Using this protocol, we estimate the ratio of PTD, ITD, L and LBC in three 101 locations of a dentin-sample.

Apart from geometry, other input data for the model are the local mechanical properties. At the submicron scale, the properties of individual collagen fibrils and hydroxyapatite crystals are not accurately known. As crucial interface properties remain unknown and the mixture organization remains under debate, this scale is not taken into account. In the discussion, we explain how the lower scale can be included in this model in future studies.

At the scale of tissue features, more data are available. The PTD elastic stiffness appears isotropic (Ziskind et al. 2011 with an elastic modulus usually set between 20 and 40GPa in the mechanical models. Kinney et al. (1996) estimate 29.8GPa as its lower limit. The ITD is slightly anisotropic and its elastic modulus varies between 17.7 and 21.1GPa depending on the location in the tissue (Kinney et al. 1996). Little information is available in

113 the literature concerning the possible presence of collar of lateral branches and their 114 stiffness. Only Zanette et al. (2015) mentioned a dense collar surrounding LB. As we want to 115 focus on the LB impact in this study, only the tissue scale will be investigated numerically.

116 Dentin strength and toughness are also influenced by the hierarchical structure of this 117 material (Iwamoto & Ruse 2003, Nalla et al. 2003, Arola et al. 2005, Han et al. 2012, Eltit et 118 al. 2017, Lu et al. 2018 and Lu et al. 2019). The tubule orientation and the ITD organization 119 impact are discussed in the literature although the LB impact is not discussed. The models of 120 crack propagation proposed by An and Wagner (2016) and An et al. (2017) take into account 121 only the tubules in a 2D model. The different authors agree that voids corresponding to 122 tubule lumens and the PTD are important microstructural entities whose properties and 123 ratios influence failure. LB and their collars play a defining role in dentin failure.

124

3. Image analysis protocol

125

3.1. Images data

A sound human third molar of a young adult (obtained with donor consent) was embedded in epoxy resin and imaged using microcomputed tomography (Quantum FX Perkin Elmer, with a pixel size of 50μ m). The embedded tooth was then cut (ISOMET Low Speed Saw, Buehler) in order to reveal a coronal surface (Figure 1), where the dentin showed an interface both with enamel and pulp cavity. The surface was polished with polishing disks of decreasing grid size (LaboPol-5, Struers) and the final polishing was achieved using a polishing cloth and a 1 μ m diamond suspension (TegraPol-15, Struers).



- 133 Between each polishing step, the sample was cleaned in an ultrasonic bath.
- 134

135Figure 2 : 3D visualization of the selected tooth (reconstructed from microCT images) before (A) and after polishing (B). SEM136image locations are indicated in B (scale bar: 1 mm).

137 **3.2. SEM imaging**

138For scanning electron microscopy, the sample was dehydrated using ethanol bath with139an increasing concentration. The microstructure of dentin was imaged using140Helios Nanolab 660 (FEI). Backscattered electrons (BSE) detector was used in order to reveal

the chemical contrast between the highly mineralized peritubular dentin and the lessmineralized inter-tubular dentin.

143To study the local variation of the morphological properties, one image was acquired144close to the enamel (E), one in the middle dentin (M) and one close to the pulp (P) at145distances of 250, 1000 and 1850 μm from dentino-enamel junction (DEJ) respectively (Figure1461).

Some interesting areas at higher magnifications have also been imaged using a FEG SEM (Zeiss Supra 55 VP) in BSE mode on the same polished surface.

149

3.3. Image treatment and analysis

The software "FIJI" (Schneider et al. 2012 and Schindelin et al. 2012) was used for image analysis. First, brightness and contrast of the images were selfadjusted. Then, Weka plugin (Arganda-Carreras et al. 2017) of FIJI was used to segment the images (Figure 2).

The image was segmented into three classes: 1) lumen L inside tubules -in black- 2) bright and highly mineralized parts PTD and LBC -shown in white- 3) intertubular dentin ITD in grey-. Once the image was segmented, it was manually edited and median filtered to remove the noise. Finally, the segmented images, were turned into three binary images using "adjust threshold". Each binary image represents one of the classes. From these images, each constituent surface ratio is assessed.

- 160
- 161
- 162 FI 163

Figure 3 : The image processing protocol illustrated for a part of the image M (scale bar: 5 μm). The constituents of dentin are marked: Lumen (L), peritubular dentin (PTD), intertubular dentin (ITD) and lateral branch collars (LBC).

164 It should be noted that this highly mineral phase consists in PTD and LBC. The protocol 165 for quantifying the highly mineralized phase is shown in Figure 3. The dimensions of these 166 parts are measured using the "Analyze Particles" tool in FIJI.

- 167
- 168
- 169 170

Figure 4 : The protocol for studying the highly mineralized parts of dentin from the treated image

171 The "Analyze particles" enables to assess the number of particles (here tubules and 172 LB), from which the density can be calculated and the diameters of the considered structural 173 entities (Figure 4).

174

4. Periodic homogenization and numerical method

175 Our aim here is to account for a truly 3D micro geometry with transverse open and 176 reinforced channels.

The homogenization approach has been more than extensively used to predict equivalent material properties at a large scale from heterogeneities at a smaller scale avoiding thus multi-scale strong coupling. The different assumptions may roughly be grouped according to the Elementary Volume Approach (Christensen 2005) where either macro-strains or stresses are given as boundary conditions and equivalent stiffness or compliance tensors are derived by averaging, or the Multiple Scale Method with periodicity assumptions (Sanchez-Palencia 1980, Cioranescu & Donato 1999)

184 We are particularly interested by the case of thin channels and refer to different 185 studies by Cioranescu & Donato (1999) for reticulated structures where not only the 186 reference volume is small with respect to the structural scale but furthermore the 187 constituents are very thin inside this reference volume. Taking into account a 3D geometry 188 like the one extracted from the images presented in the first section of this work requires 189 usually to use a numerical approach because exact solutions are available only for simple 190 geometries like embedded spheres or cylinders with moreover the assumptions of dilute 191 concentration.

192 The typical geometry that is considered here is a periodic brick with a cylindrical tubule 193 along one axis and four cylindrical lateral branches along the other two perpendicular axis 194 (Figure 5).



Figure 5 : The considered periodic cell

197

195 196

4.1. The rapidly oscillating elasticity tensor

198 The 4th order elasticity tensors of the different materials are given by C_n with n=m for the matrix (ITD), *n=c* for the collar materials (PTD and LBC) and *n=v* for the empty channels (L 199 200 and LBL). The representation of these tensors may be given with the help of their characteristic functions. Calling $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ and r_e and r_i respectively the outer and inner 201 collar radii resting in the plane x-y with z-axis, the characteristic function of this x-y tubular collar is: $\chi_z(X) = H(-|r - \frac{r_e + r_i}{2}| + \frac{r_e - r_i}{2})$ with H the classical Heaviside, or unit step, 202 203 204 function and $X = \{x, y, z\}$. Similar characteristic functions are built for the other collars 205 including the void inside the tubules. From the latter, it is possible to build the characteristic 206 functions for the Boolean intersection and union of different parts such as the ensemble of 207 all the collars and the ensemble of the whole empty zone inside the channels. For example, the characteristic function of the union of all the collars is obtained by using the maximum of 208 209 these functions and the intersection the minimum. Finally, the elasticity tensor of the 210 heterogeneous dentin material may be represented by:

211

$$C(X) = \chi_m(X)C_m + \chi_c(X)C_c + \chi_v(X)C_v$$

212 With χ_{m} , χ_{c} and χ_{v} the characteristic functions of the ITD, PTD+LBC and void. Now let 213 $L \cong 2mm$, be a characteristic size of the dentin domain and $l \cong 10\mu m$ the length of the 214 periodic cell. It is interesting to define the scale parameter $\xi = l/L$ and to parametrize the 215 geometry of the cell with respect to it. We can define \bar{r}_{i} and \bar{r}_{e} so that: $r_{e} = \xi \bar{r}_{e}$ and $r_{i} = \xi \bar{r}_{i}$ 216 such that the characteristic function χ_{z} may be equivalently be written:

217
$$\chi_{z}(\frac{X}{\xi}) = H(-\left|\frac{r}{\xi} - \frac{\bar{r}_{e} + \bar{r}_{i}}{2}\right| + \frac{\bar{r}_{e} - \bar{r}_{i}}{2})$$

218 This scale parametrization leads to the following expression for the elasticity tensors:

219
$$C\left(\frac{X}{\xi}\right) = \chi_m\left(\frac{X}{\xi}\right)C_m + \chi_c\left(\frac{X}{\xi}\right)C_{Pc} + \chi_v\left(\frac{X}{\xi}\right)C_v$$

with *C*, a periodic function of $\frac{x}{\xi}$. A 2D illustration of the characteristic function of the heterogeneous material is given Figure 6 for different values of the period *l*.





223 224

Figure 6 : 2D illustration of different microstructures with decreasing I and ξ (the cell Y is underlined in blue) also corresponding to the values of the C function (the darker area corresponds to C_v and the lighter to C_c)

Now when the whole tooth undergoes a chewing loading, the dentin material itself Ω_d is submitted to a macroscopic load from the enamel and the anchorage tissue. Ignoring body forces, the corresponding elasticity equations read where, for notation simplification purposes, *X* is replaced by *x*:

$$Div \ C(rac{x}{\xi}): oldsymbol{arepsilon}(u) = 0 \quad ext{in } \Omega_d$$

 $\begin{cases} C(\frac{x}{\xi}): \varepsilon(u).n = f_s & \text{ on the loaded boundaries} \\ C(\frac{x}{\xi}): \varepsilon(u).n = 0 & \text{ at the boundary with the tubule lumen} \end{cases}$

With Div the classical divergence operator for the stresses, u the displacements, \mathcal{E} the small strain tensor, f_S is the surface force applied on the enamel by the tooth in contact and n the unit outwards normal to the dentin boundary. It is assumed here that the channels are not filled with any interstitial fluid although this hypothesis can be easily taken into account by an additional term which would lead to poroelastic properties.

236

4.2. The two-scales series expansion

Due to the sizes of the peritubular and lateral branches heterogeneities are small with respect to the size of the whole dentin domain, this problem cannot be solved numerically economically (Sanchez-Palencia 1980). The classical *ansatz* provided by the multiple scale approach is to solve this problem approximately by looking for a two scales series expansion

241 $u_m(x, \frac{x}{\xi})$ of the displacement, each term being periodic with respect to the second variable.

Calling $y = \frac{x}{\xi}$, the second independent variable, then computing the total derivatives of the 242 243 strains-displacements and equilibrium equations, make the scale ξ explicitly appear, which is 244 a key point:

245

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon(u) = \varepsilon_x(u) + \frac{1}{\xi}\varepsilon_y(u) \\ Div\sigma = Div_x\sigma + \frac{1}{\xi}Div_y\sigma \end{cases}$$

By plugging the series into the elasticity problem above, different powers of ξ appear 246 247 so that by cancelling each coefficient, successive elasticity problems need to be solved. Considering only the first three terms is enough for the homogenization process. Indeed, the 248 first equation shows that u_0 does not depend on y. The second one gives u_1 as the periodic 249 250

microscopic solution of a macroscopic initial strain - $\mathcal{E}_{_{T}}(u_{_{0}})$ problem:

251
$$Div_y C : (\varepsilon_y(u_1) + \varepsilon_x(u_0)) = 0$$

252 Because of the linearity this equation shows that there exists a fourth-order tensor A, 253 usually called the strain localization tensor such that:

254
$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{u}_1) = A(\boldsymbol{y}) : (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{u}_0))$$

At last, the third equation, integrated over the cell Y (indicated on the 2D 255 256 microstructures in Figure 6), taking into account the periodic boundary conditions at the 257 boundary of the cell Y (Sanchez Palencia 1980, Cioranescu & Donato 1999), eliminates u₂ and 258 gives the macroscopic problem that u_0 has to satisfy:

259
$$Div_x < C(I+A) >: \varepsilon_x(u_0) = 0$$

260 Where <.> stands for the average over the microscopic cell Y. The last equation allows

to identify the macroscopic stiffness tensor C. We define it implicitly by its action on a 261 262 uniform macro-strain *E* from which its components may then be easily recovered:

263

$$\tilde{C}: E = \frac{1}{vol(Y)} \int_{Y} C: ((I+A):E) dV_{y}$$

264 265

266

- A virtual work formulation leads classically to the usual major and minor symmetries of
- C. 267

268

4.3. The orthotropy of the homogenized elasticity tensor

As mentioned above, the elastic problems which provides A satisfies the following 269 equilibrium equation with initial uniform strain - E: 270

271 $Div_{u}C:(\varepsilon_{u}(E)+E)=0$

272 Periodic boundary conditions (and also vanishing average displacements to avoid rigid 273 body translations), have to be considered. However, when the stiffness tensor and the 274 geometry of the periodic cell satisfy given symmetry conditions, the corresponding solution 275 inherits itself some symmetry which can sometimes implies periodicity. Here we assume 276 that the constituents materials are elastic isotropic so that the geometry of the cell is

- 277 endowed with three orthogonal planes of symmetry from which it can be concluded that $\,C\,$
- 278 satisfies orthotropic symmetries.
- 279 Going one step further, the geometric symmetry allows to decrease the size of the
- 280 elementary cell to one eighth with specific boundary conditions. For an extensional



- initial strain, thanks to both periodicity and mirror symmetries along the middle symmetry
 planes, the normal displacement and the shear stress must vanish. Along the outer
 boundary of the periodic cell, the same boundary conditions prevail (Figure 7).
- 284
- 285

Figure 7: Boundary conditions for the three extensions



Figure 8 : the three shear boundary conditions

The boundary conditions for an initial shear strain along the symmetry planes are different: the perpendicular displacement to the macroscopic shear plane and the corresponding shear stress must vanish and along the boundary of the periodic cell, the same boundary conditions prevail (

Figure 8). Because of these symmetries, the average displacement in each case vanishes so that all the equations of the periodic problem inside and at the boundary of the whole cell are satisfied.

From \tilde{C} , the orthotropic homogenized compliance $\tilde{S} = \tilde{C}^{-1}$ is finally obtained from which engineering coefficients like Young's moduli and Poisson ratios are easily recovered:

297

298
$$\begin{cases} \tilde{S}_{xxxx} = \frac{1}{E_x}, \tilde{S}_{xxyy} = -\frac{v_{xy}}{E_x}, \tilde{S}_{xxzz} = -\frac{v_{xz}}{E_x}\\ \tilde{S}_{xyxy} = \frac{1}{2G_{xy}} \end{cases}$$

299

With obvious notations for the other components.

300 301

4.4. Microscopic maximal traction under given macroscopic oriented uniaxial traction

302 As a final step of the model development, we want to show how the approach allows 303 also to exhibit a failure criterion under macroscopic tension. The failure criterion of the 304 homogenized dentin tissue comes from local failure criterion at the scale of the periodic cell 305 Y. Following Christensen (2013) the local maximum traction is considered since the mineral 306 collars are particularly sensitive to tension. Other microscopic criteria can be considered as 307 well following the same arguments. If we look back at the above development of the two-308 scale approach the local micro stress tensor is given from a product of a strain concentration 309 tensor A and the macroscopic strain $\varepsilon_{x0} = \varepsilon_x(u_0)$:

310
$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{y} = C : (A : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{x0} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{x0})$$

Let $\sigma_{_0}e_{_0}\otimes e_{_0}$ be an applied macroscopic uniaxial tension with amplitude $\sigma_{_0}$ along the unit 311 axis e_o defined by two angles $(m{ heta}_0,m{arphi}_0)$. Then the macroscopic strain $m{arepsilon}_{x0}$ is given by 312 $\pmb{\varepsilon}_{x0}=\pmb{\sigma}_{_0}S(e_{_0}\otimes e_{_0})$ and the local micro-stress by: 313

314

315

$$\sigma_{\nu} = \sigma_0 \mathcal{L}: (I + A): \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(e_0 \otimes e_0) = \sigma_0 \Sigma_{\nu}(\theta_0, \varphi_0)$$

$$\begin{split} \sigma_y &= \sigma_0 C \colon (I+A) \colon \tilde{S}(e_0 \otimes e_0) = \sigma_0 \Sigma_y(\theta_0, \varphi_0) \\ \text{With} \quad \Sigma_y(\theta_0, \varphi_0) \quad \text{representing} \quad \text{the} \quad \text{factor} \quad \text{of} \\ \sigma_0 \text{ in the second right-hand side of the above equation. Now, if we define the following} \end{split}$$
316 317 invariants of σ_{y} and its deviatoric part σ_{y}^{D} : 318 210

319
319

$$\begin{cases}
I_1 = Tr(\sigma_y) \\
J_2 = \frac{1}{2}Tr(\sigma_y^{D\ 2}) \\
J_3 = \frac{1}{3}Tr(\sigma_y^{D\ 3}) \\
\psi = \frac{1}{3}arcsin(-\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}\frac{J_3}{J_2^{\frac{3}{2}}})
\end{cases}$$

321 The major principal micro-stress is given by (see e.g. Zienkiewicz et al. 1999):

322

323
$$\sigma_{max} = \frac{I_1}{3} + \frac{2\sqrt{J_2}}{\sqrt{3}}\sin(\psi + \frac{2\pi}{3})$$



Figure 9 : Illustration in 2D of the considered loading



Figure 10 : The considered coordinate system

Using the preceding formulas, it is easy to demonstrate that σ_{max} is a linear function of the amplitude of the applied macro-stress σ_0 and an algebraic function Σ_m of the two angles (θ_0, φ_0) (Figure 10):

$$\sigma_{max} = \sigma_0 \Sigma_m(\theta_0, \varphi_0)$$

When the maximal traction of the collar material σ_T is reached usually along the boundary with the lumen, the corresponding maximal value of σ_0 , the amplitude of the macro-stress is obtained:

335

$$\sigma_0 \leq \frac{\sigma_1}{\Sigma_m(\theta_0, \varphi_0)}$$

 σ_{m}

336 However, we show in the paragraph dedicated to the results that, depending on the 337 orientation of the macroscopic traction, the maximum principal stress may be located in 338 different areas. This leads us to consider several inequalities and criteria of the above type, 339 correspondingly. Thus, the macroscopic failure criterion depends on the orientation of the 340 network with respect to the applied macro-stress while this orientation varies inside the 341 dentin from the pulp to the enamel. At local scale, a crack may eventually open 342 perpendicular to the hole boundary. This macroscopic failure criterion shares a certain 343 similarity with the classical Schmid's law although issued from different microscopic reasons. 344 Here a simple macro-tension has been considered but the case of a full macro-stress is easily 345 designed along the same lines.

346

349

4.5. Numerical method

347 The above considerations show that two computations must be handled:

348

(2) the local stress concentration of the maximum principal stress.

Both computations are implemented by the Finite Element Method. But rather than building a mesh from the geometry of the periodic cell, it is preferred to use a Level Set approach mixed with a mesh adaptation with a quantity of interest (Bangerth & Rannacher 2003) so that only one eighth part of the Y-cell is loosely meshed and heterogeneous zones are identified by their smoothed characteristic functions χ_n^s with $n = \{m|c|v\}$ defined by:

(1) the average of the micro-stress inside the cell Y to get the equivalent macro-moduli

355
$$\chi_n^s(x,\delta) = \begin{cases} 0 \ if \ x < -\delta \\ \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{3}{2}(\frac{x}{\delta}) - \frac{1}{2}(\frac{x}{\delta})^3 \\ 1 \ if \ x > \delta \end{cases}$$

The smoothness of the function and implicitly the thickness of the transition zones between 356 357 the matrix and the collars, or the collars and the void are controlled by the length scale δ . 358 This is of interest from a physical point of view because they are not as abrupt as in 359 conventional engineering structural parts. The stiffness of the void material (inside the 360 lumen) has been chosen as 1% of the stiffness of the collar material and the length scale δ as 361 one half of the size of an element. Several verifications have been carried out to assess the 362 accuracy of the approach by comparison with the classical one (explicit meshing of the 363 structures) and have shown that the strain concentration tensor A is well adapted. 364

Then the accuracy of the computation of the equivalent moduli is controlled by an adaptive meshing based on the minimization of the error on the following quantity of interest for each given macroscopic strain *E*:

$$J(u_1) = \int_Y C: (\varepsilon_y(u_1) + E)$$

The so-called a-posteriori error estimation is driven by the Bangerth & Rannacher (2003) approach for this quantity which uses a Lagrangian formulation with an adjoint state. As explained there the error boils down to two residuals: one is associated with the equilibrium at the interface between the elements, and another one with the adjoint equilibrium. In general, a different refined mesh is produced for each quantity of interest. The figure below shows the obtained meshes after refinement for two different macroextensions.

376

368



377

Figure 11, Left to right: Initial mesh used for equivalent moduli, Level 4 mesh for macro-extension along z-axis, Level 4
 mesh for extension along x-axis

The computation of the maximum principal stress is much more stringent. The chosenquantity of interest is:

 $J(u_1) = \int_{Y_c} \sigma_{max}$

Again, the same approach proposed by Bangerth & Rannacher (2003), is used now with a quantity of interest that is no more linear with respect to the displacement. Nevertheless, the theory may be extended correspondingly.

With this particular choice the refinement process is most adequately operated inside the collars and more precisely at the interface collars / void and close to the intersection PTD / LBC. The Figure 12 shows an illustration of the proposed technique with 4 levels of refinement.



391 Figure 12 : Examples of meshes obtained for failure investigation with the following parameters $\theta_0 = 0, \varphi_0 = \frac{\pi}{4}$ (left) and $\theta_0 = \frac{\pi}{2}, \varphi_0 = \frac{\pi}{4}$ (right)

The technique has been validated by comparison with an analytical solution e.g. cylindrical hole in a plate and has shown to provide the stress concentration factor with a relative error of less than 0.1%.

396

403

390

4.6. Investigated geometries and local properties

Our study is in two steps. A first step is dedicated to the validation of the implicit
 modeling we propose and to a first assessment of the impact of the LB and their collars.
 Here, the considered geometries are defined using data from the literature.

The second step consists in defining new geometries from our image analysis results
 and assess the impact of LB and their collars on the stress concentrations depending on the
 location in dentin and on the stress orientation.

- 404 In the first step, three geometries (G_0 , G_1 and G_1^*) are considered (Figure 13), mainly 405 to validate the implicit modeling:
- G₀ is constituted of a tubule (L+PTD) surrounded by an ITD matrix
- G₁ is constituted of G0 where four lateral branches (LBL for lateral branch lumen+ LBC)
 with a collar are added
- G₁* is constituted of G0 where four lateral branches without collar are added





Figure 13 : The first three considered geometries and their geometrical parameters

- 412 Those geometries are illustrated in Figure 13 and their geometrical parameters values are
- 413 presented Table 1. They are defined using the data from the literature (Kinney *et al.* 1996).
- 414 The LB characteristics have been chosen in accordance to Mjör and Nordahl (1996). They
- 415 indeed estimated LB diameter to vary from 25nm to 700nm away from mantle dentin. As
- 416 there is no data available concerning the LBC, we hypothesized a ratio $\mu_{LBL} = \frac{D_{LBL}}{D_{LBC}}$ of 0.5.

	L (lumen)	PTD	LBL	LBC	ITD
Diameter (µm)	1	3	0.2	0.4	-
E (GPa)	-	30	-	30	20

417 Table 1 : Geometrical and local mechanical parameters for the three considered geometries (for a cubic cell of 5μm side).

For those three geometries the ratio of highly mineralized collars (LBC and PTD), intertubular dentine (ITD) and porosity (LBL and L) are roughly the same: 25%, 72% and 3% representing an area in middle dentin. The G₁ geometry has been used to validate our implicit modeling by comparing our results in terms of effective properties and stress concentration factor with a more classical explicit approach (i.e. where the geometrical entities are meshed). Then the role of the branches and their collars on the equivalent moduli and stress concentration have been investigated.

Then, in the second step three other geometries with LBC are proposed mimicking the three areas observed and quantified by SEM. And finally, the effect of the microstructure orientation on stress concentration is assessed.

428

5. Results and discussion

429 This section is divided in two subsections, the first one dedicated to the preliminary 430 geometries (G_0 , G_1 and G_1^*) and the second one to the image-based simplified geometries.

431 432

5.1. Preliminary study of the impact of LB on the mechanical behavior of dentin (first step)

433 Implicit modeling validation

434 The use of the implicit modeling described in 4.5 is validated through the estimation of 435 the equivalent moduli for G_1 with implicit modeling and explicit modeling (i.e. where the 436 microstructures are all meshed initially). The differences in equivalent elastic moduli, shear 437 moduli and Poisson ratios are less than 0.51%. The implicit modeling is thus validated for 438 those estimations.

439 A more sensitive assessment is the stress concentration. In order to validate the use of 440 the implicit modeling to probe stress concentration, we compare the first principal stress on 441 lines where the stress is intense in tension when the structure is loaded in z direction. G_1 442 configuration with E_{PTD} =30GPa under tension (constrained displacement of 1% in z direction 443 on the upper face (Figure 14) is considered with the two types of modelling (explicit or 444 implicit).



lines where the first principal stress is assessed

Figure 14 : Boundary conditions and location of lines where the first principal stress is assessed in the case of the proposed
 tensile test in direction z (for comparison Implicit/Explicit modeling)

448 The first principal stress along chosen lines cutting the interface between LBL and LBC 449 at right angle is assessed in both cases (implicit and explicit modeling) and the maximum 450 stress in the whole volume is recorded. The two modeling approaches provide very similar 451 first principal stress values (Figure 15) with a higher estimation of the maximal first principal 452 stress value found in the explicit modeling approach (0.90 MPa on line 3). The maximum first 453 principal stress within the solid part of dentin (i.e. either in PTD or in ITD) is 0.94 MPa and 454 0.90 MPa respectively in explicit and implicit modeling. We argue that the implicit modeling 455 results are satisfactory and in the next sections, the implicit modeling approach is used. 456





Figure 15 : First principal stress assessment along the chosen lines with both modeling approach.

Furthermore, from a physical standpoint, the explicit computation enhances corner and interface singularities with artificial curvature discontinuities issued from the Computer Aided Design (CAD) output and zero thickness interface while they are much smoother in reality. For all these reasons the implicit computation is preferred and used in the sequel.

463 Impact of LB on homogeneised modulii

464 The three geometries presented in Figure 13 are investigated. The results in terms of 465 equivalent moduli are presented in Table 2.

- 466
- 467
- 468

16 of 31

	G₀	G1	G1*
Ex (GPa)	20.5	20.6	20.5
Ez (GPa)	21.8	21.7	21.7
ν _{xy} (-)	0.30	0.30	0.30
v _{xz} (-)	0.28	0.28	0.28
G _{xy} (GPa)	15.7	15.7	15.7
G _{xz} (GPa)	16.1	16.1	16.0

Table 2 : Equivalent stiffness matrix parameters for G_0 , G_1 and G_1^* configurations (E_{PTD} =30GPa)

As reported by Qin and Swain 2004, the modulus parallel to the tubule (here, Ez equal 470 471 to roughly 21.7 GPa) is higher than the modulus perpendicular to the tubule (here, E_x equal 472 to roughly 20.5GPa). Bar-on et al. 2012 found the opposite which can be explained by the 473 fact that the anisotropic fibrillar structure of ITD has been taken into account in their study. 474

475 We can define and estimate E_{VOIGT} , the upper limit of the equivalent moduli:

476
$$E_{VOIGT} = c_{PTD}E_{PTD} + c_{ITD}E_{ITD} + c_{L}E$$
477
$$E_{VOIGT} = 21.9$$
GPa

 $E_{VOIGT} = 21.9$ GPa

With c_{PTD} , c_{ITD} , c_L the volume ratios of PTD, ITD and L and E_{PTD} , E_{ITD} and E_L their 478 local modulus ($E_L = 0GPa$) 479

 E_{VOIGT} is roughly the same for the 3 configurations (G₀, G₁ and G₁*) since the ratios 480 differs only slightly. E_{VOIGT} is known to be a good approximation in the direction parallel to 481 482 the major axis of inclusions so it can be compared to E_z (in the direction parallel to the 483 tubule) and we remark that E_z is very close to E_{VOIGT} , although it remains lower.

485 We can also define and assess E_{REUSS} :

486

484

$$E_{REUSS} = \frac{1}{\frac{C_{PTD}}{E_{PTD}} + \frac{C_{ITD}}{E_{ITD}} + \frac{C_L}{E_L}}$$

487 E_{REUSS} is known to be a good approximation in the direction perpendicular to the 488 major axis of inclusions so it can be compared to E_x (in the direction parallel to the tubule). But E_{REUSS} almost vanishes here because of the modulus $E_L = 10^{-2} GPa$. However, to get 489 490 non-vanishing modulus, we choose to define:

491
$$E_{REUSS}^* = \frac{1}{\frac{C_{PTD} + C_L}{C_{PTD}E_{PTD}} + \frac{C_{ITD}}{E_{ITD}}}$$

$$E_{PTD}^{*} = 13.62 \text{GPa}$$

As for E_{VOIGT} , E_{REUSS}^{*} is roughly the same for the three configurations. The value found 493 494 is not corresponding to Ex so Reuss estimation does no manage to model the behavior of the 495 material in the direction parallel to the tubule.

496 Poisson's ratios are roughly the same and close to 0.3. Nevertheless, the value of v_{xz} is 497 sligthly lower than v_{xy} . This can be explained by the fact the stiffer tubule is affecting 498 (lowering) the expansion parallel to it (direction z) when a loading is applied perpendicular 499 to the tubule (direction x). The values of v_{zx} are not affected.

500 The shear moduli G_{xz} are slightly higher than the shear moduli G_{xy} (for all the 501 configurations), the impact of the rigid tubule is indeed more important with a xz (or yz) 502 shear. Even though it is difficult to compare so close values, we can notice that the shear

⁴⁶⁹

503 modulus G_{xy} is higher when lateral branches with a mineralized collar are present and the 504 lowest value is obtained when there are lateral branches with no collar.

505 The experimental data are giving a wide range of values for dentin Young's modulus as 506 it was pointed out by Kinney et al. [Kinney2003]. With the proposed calculations, we 507 investigate an intermediate scale not probed by experiments (experiments are mainly on 508 millimetric samples or are probing local properties with nano-indentation) so it is difficult to 509 compare our results with finesse to experimental results. However, we can see that the 510 variation with respect to the orientation of tubule is in accordance with Lees and Rollins 511 [Lees&Rollins1972] but in contradiction with Kinney et al. [Kinney2002]. The organization at 512 the scale below has to be taken into account to further investigate this point

513 The main finding of this preliminary study is that the equivalent moduli seems only 514 slightly impacted by the presence of LB.

515 First insight on the impact of LB on stress concentration

516 We loaded the three configurations (G_0 , G_1 and G_1^*) as illustrated Figure 14. So here, only 517 one cell is considered contrarily to the next image-based study where a cell is considered 518 among an infinity of other cells (following the concept of periodic cell).

alloing all infinity of other cens (following the concept of periodic cen).

519 In this preliminary study of the LB impact, we found that the impact of LB is non negligeable,

520 the maximum principal stress rises to reach 3 in G_1 and G_1^* whereas there is no stress 521 concentration in G_0 in this mechanical load configuration (directed along the tubule axis). 522 This shows that the impact of LB is to be taken into account.

523 In the second step of our study, we propose to do so using periodic cells mimicking three 524 areas in dentin. Those three areas will be defined using the results of our image analysis 525 protocol on dentin SEM images at different locations. The periodicity will allow to consider 526 that the cell is surrounded by other identical cells, enabling to take into account cell 527 interactions. The load will also be considered with different directions because as the tubule 528 orientation is varying in dentin, the periodic cell is in reality loaded not only along the tubule 529 axis.

530 531

5.2. Stress concentration in the tissue with a geometry deduced from SEM image analysis

532 SEM Image Analysis

533 An illustrative SEM image showing the investigated geometrical entities is presented in 534 Figure 16. On this SEM image, we can clearly observe a quasi linear dense area prolongating 535 the PTD of the second tubule. We can assume that the corresponding lateral branch is 536 composed of a highly mineralized collar (LBC) and a lumen (LBL), the lumen is probably 537 located below or above the cutting plan. As the LBC is statistically not cut in its middle plan, 538 the LBC diameter measurement on that type of 2D image provides an underestimated value 539 for its diameter. In our model (detailed in section 4), the same stiffness is affected to the 540 dense areas corresponding to LBC and PTD, an hypothesis supported by the finding of 541 Zanette et al. (2015). The texture of ITD is due to its mineral/organic composite composition 542 at the nanoscale.



Figure 16 : Example of a dense lateral collar perpendicular to a tubule observed by SEM in BSE mode. L=tubule lumen; PTD=Peritubular dentin LBC=Lateral branch collar. Scale bar : 2μm.



Figure 17: Examples of LB observed by SEM in BSE mode. Scale bar: 10µm

548 On a second SEM image, different configurations of LB arising from tubules are 549 highlighted (Figure 17). Using this image, a first approximation of the ratio μ_{LBL} is calculated:

550
$$\mu_{LBL} = \frac{D_{LBL}}{D_{LBC}} \cong 0.3$$

551 This ratio will be used to deduce D_{LBL} from the lower resolution image used for the 552 analysis. Lower resolution images have been used in order to have wider and so more 553 representative areas to analyze.

554 Depending on the location, the density and diameter of LBC (and so LB) vary. So, after 555 the qualitative analysis of a few high-resolution SEM images, a quantitative analysis is led on 556 the dentin constituents. The results concerning L, PTD and ITD ratios, dimensions and tubule 557 density (equivalent to L density) are summarized in Table 2.

Location	L (%area)	Mineralized part (%area)	ITD (%area)	L diameter D _L (µm) (SD)	PTD diameter D _{PTD} (μm) (SD)	L density (/mm²)
E	0.9	8.5	90.6	0.82 (0.16)	1.82 (0.27)	17685

19	of	31

М	4.7	13.9	81.4	1.38 (0.20)	2.30 (0.33)	30229
Р	12.5	29.0	58.5	1.52 (0.19)	2.21 (0.32)	69168

Table 3 : Distribution of area fraction, diameter and density of the dentin constituents

559 The detected porosity is only due to tubules' lumen L. Indeed, the LB are mostly cut 560 parallel to their axis making it more likely to reveal their collar (LBC). The porosity values 561 between 0.9 and 12.5 % are in the range of the values found in the literature: a global 562 porosity of 4% (mean value over massive samples obtained by mercury intrusion 563 porosimetry) was reported in Vennat et al. (2009) and a local porosity near DEJ of 1.2% was 564 reported by Vennat et al. (2017).

565 The lumen diameter increases continuously from DEJ to deep dentin, whereas the size 566 of the peritubular collar first increases and then remains quasi-constant from M (middle 567 dentin) to P (deep dentin). The tubule density (L density) is within the range found in the 568 literature (Garberoglio & Brännström 1976, Pashley et al. 1989). The mineralized part takes 569 into account both PTD and LBC. A special attention is paid to their diameter distribution 570 (Figure 18). The count (%) corresponds to the number of entities which have this range of 571 diameter over the total number of entities. This does not take into account the surface ratio 572 of the entities. The distribution is clearly bimodal as it is for the porosity (Vennat et al. 2017).



573 574 575

576

Figure 18: Mineralized entities diameter depending on location. White scale bar: 1mm. Black scale bars : 15 μ m

The mean values of LBC ratio (% area) and diameter are summarized in Table 4.

Location	%area of LBC (%)	D _{LBC} (μm)(SD)
Ε	2.65	0.61 (0.18)

20	of	31	
20	01	0,	

М	0.33	0.57 (0.15)
Р	0.13	0.58 (0.14)

Table 4. Quantification of lateral branches collars LBC

578 There is no significant difference between lateral branch collar diameter data from 579 deep, middle and superficial dentin. Mjör & Nordahl (1996) have described the LB 580 morphologies in dentin but their possible mineralized collar has never been discussed. So 581 our quantification is used as a basis for defining the geometry of dentin microstructure in 582 the proposed model. Three areas (E, M and P) are defined with the following parameters to 583 mimic the constituents ratios, diameters and μ_{LBL} (Table 5):

584

Area	E	M	Р
D L (μm)	0.52	1.22	2
D _{PTD} (μm)	1.04	2.38	3.6
D _{LBL} (μm)	0.20	0.20	0.20
D _{LBC} (μm)	0.60	0.60	0.60
L _z (μm)	2.40	15.07	22.26
L _x =L _y (μm)	4.94	4.43	2.65

585 586

Table 5 : The geometrical parameters of the three image driven periodic cell geometries. L_z and $L_x=L_y$ are the lengths of the cell along the tubule and perpendicular to the tubule respectively.

587 The three periodic cells corresponding to the three considered areas are represented 588 Figure 19.



589 590

Figure 19: the three image-based configurations (E, M and P)

591 Here, the SEM image analysis results constitute a first set of data that is used as an 592 input for the proposed model. Further studies should be led to confirm this study and enrich 593 it with data on other types of teeth or donor age.

195 It with data on other types of teeth or donor age.

594 Variations of the equivalent stiffness from pulp to enamel

595 To be the closest to a complex real microstructure, the implicit modeling presented in 596 section 4 is chosen because it allows to do "image-driven" modeling easily. As imaging 597 techniques are more and more powerful, it is attractive to design a modeling method that 598 can be applied to those images quasi-directly. In our study, the 2D SEM images constitute a 599 basis to define a simplified geometry but further study could use 3D images stack directly.

600 With the parameters corresponding to the three areas defined in Table 5, we evaluate the 601 orthotropic parameters of dentin depending on the location (Table 6).

602

	E	М	Р
Ez	20.4	19.8	17.7
E _x =E _y	19.7	17.5	12.4
ν_{xy}	0.30	0.29	0.22
ν_{xz}	0.29	0.27	0.21
G _{xy}	15.1	13.0	6.6
G _{xz}	15.3	14.1	10.5

603

Table 6 : Equivalent stiffness matrix parameters identified for E, M and P configurations

604 The modulus in the tubule direction, E_z, does not vary drastically within depth: the void 605 of the lumen is balancing the rigidity of the collar. The modulus in the direction 606 perpendicular to the tubule, E_x, is lower than E_z for the three locations which is in 607 accordance with Qin & Swain (2004). When taking into account the lower scale organization, 608 Bar-On *et al.* (2012) showed that it is possible to have E_z smaller or equal to E_x , highlighting 609 the role of the lower scale in the global mechanical behavior of dentin but the impact of LB 610 was not taken into account.

611 We find out that near enamel (configuration E) the behavior is quasi-isotropic. 612 However, this effect could be counterbalanced by the collagen fibril orientation effect which 613 is not taken into account in the proposed study. Further study could also investigate the role 614 of the angle with which the LB is rising from the tubule.

615 From E to P, the anisotropy is enhanced to reach the P configuration which can be 616 compared to a unidirectional fiber composite with E_z, the modulus along the fibers, very 617 close to Voigt modulus E_{VOIGT} and E_x , the modulus perpendicular to the fibers, very close to 618 Reuss modulus E_{REUSS}^* . The same trend is observed for the shear modulii.

619 The poisson's ratios v_{xy} and v_{xz} decrease from E to P in accordance with the increase 620 in terms of porosity.

621 Failure criterion

622 In this last section, a micromechanical failure criterion in the dentin cell microstructure 623 is presented. It is well known that in composite materials, apart from simple cases, the 624 failure criterion cannot be extracted solely from the macro-stress calculated from the 625 homogenized moduli (Christensen 2013).

626 Typically, in our case, the failure initiation may result from overstress in the collars 627 either at the interface with the lumen or at the intersection of the collars or at the interface 628 between the matrix and the collar, inside the matrix.

629 We show underneath that depending on the stress state these stress concentrations 630 may justly occur at these different locations. This means from a macroscopic viewpoint, the 631 failure criterion may be considered as a multi-mechanism, i.e. with a set of inequalities, in 632 the sense referenced by Mandel (1965).

633 For illustration purpose, here, we limit ourselves to the special case of a uniform 634 uniaxial macroscopic traction applied on the orthotropic homogenized material in a 635 direction which is not necessarily the one of the orthotropic axes. This direction is defined by 636 two angles: θ_0 the angle of the traction with the tubular channel axis, and φ_0 the angle with 637 the lateral branch x-axis (Figure 20). It is important to consider this general case because

638 during the chewing process the orientation of the principal stress with respect to the 639 channels orientation inside the dentin material varies a lot from the pulp to the enamel. It 640 has been underlined in the literature (Pilkey & Pilkey, 2008) that finite width, material 641 orthotropy, multiple holes, hole intersections are parameters increasing the concentration 642 factors, so that we want to explore these features in our particular case. Stress repartition in 643 geometries made of tubes with cylindrical holes have been investigated by various authors 644 (Pilkey & Pilkey 2008, Wu 2003) but the loading is in most cases an internal pressure and the 645 tube is very thin in coherence with the dedicated applications (pipes with some fluid inside). 646 In Wu (2003), a tube with a cylindrical hole is considered and a tensile loading is considered. 647 A stress concentration factor of 3 to 8 is found depending on the geometry. It is not possible 648 to directly compare those value to our results since the considered geometry is not the 649 same, but we can notice that the stress concentration factor can be sensibly higher than 3.

650 In the next paragraphs, we simply comment a few particular cases of macro-traction 651 underlining the micro-mechanism sources of failure (Figure 20) in three geometrical 652 configurations (E, M and P).



653 654

Figure 20 The considered loading cases of macro-traction

655 The case ($\theta_0 = 0, \varphi_0 = 0$)

The macro-traction is along the tubular channel. If no LB is considered, the stress is quasi-uniform in each geometrical entity and K is roughly 1. Whereas in the configurations where the LB are taken into account this overstress ratio grows to 3 to 4 in the E, M or P configurations (Figure 21).





Figure 21 The considered cases with LB for $\theta_0 = 0$, $\varphi_0 = 0$ and the corresponding stress concentration location (blue arrow heads) and overstress ratio.

664 The maximum stress concentration occurs in a mild singular location with respect to 665 the tubule-branches intersection. Its value of roughly 3 in M and P configurations 666 corresponds the classical value obtained for a plate with a hole loaded with an axial traction 667 far from the hole.

668 With the higher density of LB (configuration E), the overstress ratio reaches a factor of 4.6, 669 which is the order of magnitude found due to orthotropy (Pilkey & Pilkey, 2008). In E 670 configuration, due to cell periodicity, LB are the closest so the stress concentration may rise 671 in between those holes (Pilkey, 2005).

In all the considered configurations, we see here that the main contribution to the failure is a hoop stress increase in the tubule collar PTD at the boundary with the lateral branch lumen. As seen in section 5.1, the stress concentration reaches the same level in a configuration without or with LBC. But the collars (PTD and LBC), when they are present, seem to withstand the highest levels of stress (figure 22) thus acting as a helmet for ITD. Those collars may thus crack but we can infer that the mineralization process due to aging (PTD is growing through life) is in fact a repair process.

680 For M and P configurations, the generated level of overstress can be obtained with a 681 tubule only loaded perpendicular to its axis (classical overstress ratio of 3). In the next 682 paragraph, a more harmful case is considered where the stress concentrations of the two 683 perpendicular arrays of channels are interacting.

684

 $661 \\ 662$

663

- 685
- 686

687 The case ($\theta_0 = \frac{\pi}{2}, \varphi_0 = 0$)

688 The macro-traction is along the lateral branch oriented in the x-direction.

689 If only the tubule is present, the overstress is occurring all along the boundary of the 690 tubule with its lumen and the overstress ratio is 3. If only the lateral branches are present, 691 the overstress may occur all along a line at the boundary of the branch oriented in the y-692 direction and its lumen and the overstress ratio is 3. In the case where both are present, the 693 overstress appears along a line at the intersection between the tubule lumen and the LBL 694 which provides a corner singularity at a highly loaded location (Figure 22). Consequently, the 695 concentration factor is very localized and much higher than in the case ($\theta_0 = 0$, $\varphi_0 = 0$) to 696 reach a maximal value of K between 7 and 8 in the different configurations. The highest 697 value of K is obtained in P configuration which may be due to the interaction between 698 neighboring cells as the maximum stresses are obtained at the interface with the lumen and 699 because the tubules are, in this area, close to each other. Due account between adjacent 700 cells, is an interesting ability of the periodic assumptions.

We argue that this case is the most harmful case because it is arising from the interaction of the stress concentration of the two types of channels (that can be seen as a constructive interference). The drastic rise of overstress (superior to 7) due to the presence of LB is indicating that the impact of LB has to be taken into account when considering dentin failure.

 $\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ &$



706

709 The case ($\theta_0 = \frac{\pi}{4}, \varphi_0 = 0$)

This is still a more complex state of stress which is interesting to decompose along the symmetry axes for comparison purpose with the two preceding cases:

712
$$\sigma = \frac{\sigma_0}{2} (i_x \otimes i_x + i_z \otimes i_z + i_x \otimes i_z + i_z \otimes i_x)$$

This is a combination of the first two states of stress, case ($\theta_0 = \frac{\pi}{2}$, $\varphi_0 = 0$) and case 714 715 $(\theta_0 = 0, \varphi_0 = 0)$, augmented by a transverse shear which should transfer some additional 716 stress to the tubule interface with the lumen.

717 Because of the xx-component of the stress field, the overstress occurs all along the 718 boundary of the tubule with its lumen. The zz-component of the stress field, which should 719 imply a stress concentration at the interface between the LB and its lumen at the bottom of 720 the considered cube, seems to have a less harmful effect. The lines corresponding to the 721 maximum stresses due to zz-component and the xx-component of the stress field have, in 722 this case, no intersection in the solid. Nevertheless, this is a branch-tubule intersection (a 723 geometrical singularity) that provides the maximum overstress localization with a factor 724 varying from 3.5 to 5 in the different configurations.

725 The computed maximum overstress is one more time located at the intersection of the 726 tubule lumen and the lateral branch lumen while the overstress spreads along a line at the 727 intersection of the tubule with its lumen.

- $(\theta_0 = 0, \varphi_0 = 0)$ $(\theta_0 = \frac{\pi}{2}, \varphi_0 = 0)$ $(\theta_0 = \frac{\pi}{4}, \varphi_0 = 0)$ Κ 4.0 7 4 Ε Μ 3.3 6.9 5.2 Ρ 3.4 5.2 7.2 Table 7
- 729

728

730 Other cases of (θ_0, φ_0) couples have been considered but the overstress was lower 731 than in the cases just presented above. Table 7 sums up the overstress ratio variation within 732 depth and depending on the load orientation for the proposed cases.

733

734 In brief, the maximum overstress ratio reaches 7 when the periodic cell is loaded in xx 735 or yy-direction i.e. along a LB. It leads indeed to constructive interaction of stress 736 concentration due to the yy (or xx-LB respectively) and due to tubule.

737 Periodicity enhances stress concentration. When the location of stress concentration 738 is close to the cell boundary, we find a higher value of K :

739

• for E when loaded in zz-direction (interaction due to LB)

740

• for P when loaded in xx or yy-direction (interaction due to tubules)

741 The collars (PTD and LBC) seem to concentrate the higher stress levels acting as a 742 protective shield for the ITD. The mineralization process of the dentin (PTD layer is growing 743 during life) would hence be the repair process of the dentin if a crack has been formed.

745 In summary, the study of a macroscopic traction applied to the material with various 746 orientations with respect to the symmetry axes has shown several features. An overstress of 747 about 4 is obtained when the traction is aligned with the tubule but may grow to twice this 748 ratio when the traction is aligned with one of the lateral branches which differs with the 749 classical plate with a hole where the size of the hole does not matter. The highest factor is 750 located at the intersection between the branches and the tubule with the constructive 751 interference of both lumens-induced stress concentration. If we assume only a slight 752 heterogeneity (EPTD=30GPa and EITD=20GPa), a simple macroscopic failure criterion may be 753 proposed when either of the two following thresholds is violated, e_{LB} , e_T and σ_{tmax} 754 respectively the unit vectors giving the direction of the lateral branches and tubules and the 755 collar material strength under traction:

756

757

$$\begin{cases} (\sigma(e_{LB}), e_{LB}) \leq \frac{\sigma_{tmax}}{K_{LB}} \\ (\sigma(e_T), e_T) \leq \frac{\sigma_{tmax}}{K_T} \end{cases}$$

758

With σ_{tmax} maximum tensile stress, K_{LB} and K_T the concentration factors described 759 above, i.e. of the order of 8 and 4 to give an order of magnitude. Because of the special 760 761 arrangement of the dentin microstructures, two physical different failure mechanisms 762 according to whether the traction direction is close to either to the tubule or lateral 763 branches directions exist and are taken into account in the proposed criterion. A safe and 764 simplified approach could be to account only for the maximum of both criteria but could 765 lead to a kind of conservatism design because during chewing the principal stress direction 766 with respect to tubule and lateral branches directions varies a lot. We think that the two 767 proposed inequalities embody and quantify rather well the two discovered overstress 768 mechanisms rather well.

769 Obviously additional inequalities could be introduced but it is believed that these two 770 criteria summarize in a simplified manner the investigations above. Post failure 771 considerations can obviously be proposed but are clearly outside of the scope of the present 772 study.

773 Here a SEM image analysis has been carried out and has led us to design simplified 774 geometrical configurations. Based on these configurations, a mechanical model has been 775 build and the behavior of the tissue has been studied depending on the modeled area (near 776 enamel, in middle dentin or near the pulp). The assumption of a periodic microstructure has 777 been made. Even if the dentin microstructure is a biological microstructure (so it is not 778 perfectly periodic as assumed here), we argue that this model is relevant as pointed out by 779 Kinney et al. [Kinney2001] in their study about spatial arrangement of tubules. Another 780 simplification has been made concerning the LB: the LB angle with tubule has been fixed to 781 90° but in reality it can be smaller in some areas. Further study could investigate inclined LB. 782 The PTD Young's modulus that has been fixed to 30GPa and this value is perhaps an 783 underestimation so further study could investigate the effect of a higher modulus.

Further studies could be conducted using directly 3D images acquired by 3D imaging techniques such as plasma FIB-SEM for example. This is made possible by the use of the implicit modeling proposed in this article. These studies would allow to take into account the truly complex morphology of the dentin microstructure and, for example, to probe the

microstructure gradients within the depth of dentin, the non-alignment of the lumen and the peritubular collar axis, the S-curvature of tubules, the arched shape of LB, the collagen/minerals entanglement (that can be given by TEM imaging). It would also be interesting to quantify the impact of aging on LB microstructure for collar size should increase with age, as the tubule collar does. In dental practice, knowledge of potential fracture locations in dentin allows for adaptation to the potential restoration geometry/properties and a reinforcement of the tissue to prevent tooth failure if needed.

795 Moreover, using this implicit modeling, any other phase (a fluid infiltrating or flowing in the 796 pores, a resin that reinforces the structure...) can be easily added. So, this model can be 797 easily enriched to become a poromechanics model that can be helpful in understanding the 798 mechanical behavior of tooth but also the impact of flow or temperature changes within the 799 tubules. Moreover, using this implicit modeling, any other phase (a fluid infiltrating or 800 flowing in the pores, a resin that reinforces the structure...) can be easily added. So, this 801 model can be easily enriched to become a poromechanics model that can be helpful in 802 understanding the mechanical behavior of tooth but also the impact of flow or temperature 803 changes within the tubules.

A global picture of the mechanical behavior of the tooth can also be forseen. Too few studies are trying to understand how damage interacts with the intact tooth. The philosophy of the proposed tissue-scale study can be enlarged to a whole tooth where the dental tissues morphologies and properties gradient are taken into account.

808

809

6. Conclusion

810 In this paper, we focus on the impact of lateral branches on the equivalent stiffness 811 and failure initiation in dentin. An implicit finite element analysis is proposed, where the 812 geometry is not explicitly meshed but taken into account using level set functions and 813 adaptative meshing.

814 We first validate this implicit modeling by comparing our results with a more classical 815 explicit approach. We then show, on a cell corresponding to middle dentin, defined from the 816 data available in the literature, that the lateral branches present in dentin do not influence 817 the effective stiffness of the tissue. The lateral branches do, however, play a crucial role in 818 stress concentration which will further initiate failure. Data on local characteristics are 819 needed to investigate the role of these lateral branches depending on their location in 820 dentin.

As a second step, SEM imaging is performed to quantify the lateral branches' characteristics (surface ratio, lumen and collar diameter) for different locations in dentin. An image-based simplified model is then built for three locations: near enamel (E), in middle dentin (M) and near the pulp (P). The effective stiffness is assessed and varies slightly from enamel to the pulp but the presence of LB is not an influencing factor as it was suggested by our preliminary results.

An original mechanical study is finally led on three defined configurations. A uniform uniaxial macroscopic traction is applied on the orthotropic homogenized tissue oriented in different directions. The stress concentration due to the presence of lateral branches can interact with stress concentration due to the presence of tubules leading to dramatic overstress ratios that would further initiate material failure. It is also shown that depending on the location those ratios are modulated: in E configuration, the impact of LB is higher

whereas in P configuration the impact of tubule lumens is higher due to the cell geometryand the periodicity.

As we know that not only the microstructure but also the nanostructure of dentin has a role to play in the mechanical behavior of dentin, an interesting perspective of this work is to add information concerning the nanoscale in the model. The "image-driven" model concept with the same implicit modeling approach could indeed be used with TEM imaging for example for modeling the entanglement of collagen fibrils and hydroxyapatite crystals in the tissue.

841 842

7. Graphical abstract



- 843
- 844

845 Acknowlegment

This work benefited from the financial support of the LabeX LaSIPS (ANR-10-LABX-0040-LaSIPS) managed by the French National Research Agency under the "Investissements d'avenir" program (ANR-11-IDEX-0003-02). The authors would like to thank Francois Brisset (ICMMO) and Thomas Reiss for technical assistance for SEM imaging.

- 851 References
- 852

- An, B., & Wagner, H. D. (2016). Role of microstructure on fracture of dentin. *Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials*, 59, 527-537.
- 855
- An, B., Xu, Y., & Zhang, D. (2017). Crack initiation and propagation in composite microstructure of dentin. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, *110*, 36-43.
- 858
- Arganda-Carreras, I., Kaynig, V., Rueden, C., Eliceiri, K. W., Schindelin, J., Cardona, A., ... Seung, H. S.
- 860 (2017). Trainable Weka Segmentation: A machine learning tool for microscopy pixel classification.
- 861 *Bioinformatics*, 33(15), 2424–2426. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx180
- Arola, D., & Reprogel, R. K. (2005). Effects of aging on the mechanical behavior of human dentin.
 Biomaterials, 26(18), 4051–4061. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2004.10.029
- Arson, C., Yasothan, Y., Jeanneret, R., Benoit, A., Roubier, N., & Vennat, E. (2020). An alternative to

- periodic homogenization for dentin elastic stiffness. *Journal of Mechanics in Medicine and Biology*. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219519419500817
- 867 Bangerth W., Rannacher R. (2003). Adaptive Finite Element Methods for Solving Differential 868 Equations, Birkhäuser.
- 869

- Bar-On, B., & Daniel Wagner, H. (2012). Enamel and dentin as multi-scale bio-composites. *Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials*.
- 872 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2012.03.007
- 873 Christensen, R.M. (2005). Mechanics of composite materials, Dover.
- 874 Christensen, R. M. (2013). The theory of material failure, Oxford University Press.
- 875 Cioranescu, D., & Donato, P. (1999). An Introduction to Homogenization, Oxford Lecture Series in
 876 Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 17, Oxford University Press.
- Garberoglio, R., & Brännström, M. (1976). Scanning electron microscopic investigation of human
 dentinal tubules. *Archives of Oral Biology*. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-9969(76)80003-9
- Han, C. F., Wu, B. H., Chung, C. J., Chuang, S. F., Li, W. L., & Lin, J. F. (2012). Stress–strain analysis
 for evaluating the effect of the orientation of dentin tubules on their mechanical properties and
 defermation behavior *lournal of the Machanical Rehavior of Biomedical Materials*, 12, 1, 8
- deformation behavior. Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials, 12, 1-8.
 882
- Iwamoto, N., & Ruse, N. D. (2003). Fracture toughness of human dentin. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part A*. https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm.a.10005
- Kinney, J. H., Balooch, M., Marshall, G. W., & Marshall, S. J. (1999). A micromechanics model of the
 elastic properties of human dentine. *Archives of Oral Biology*, 44(10), 813–822.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-9969(99)00080-1
- Kinney, J. H., Balooch, M., Marshall, S. J., Marshall, G. W., & Weihs, T. P. (1996). Hardness and
 young's modulus of human peritubular and intertubular dentine. *Archives of Oral Biology*,
 41(1), 9–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-9969(95)00109-3
- Kinney, J. H., Oliveira, J., Haupt, D. L., Marshall, G. W., & Marshall, S. J. (2001). The spatial
 arrangement of tubules in human dentin. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine*, *12*(8),
 743-751.
- Kinney, J. H., Marshall, S. J., & Marshall, G. W. (2003). The mechanical properties of human dentin: a
 critical review and re-evaluation of the dental literature. *Critical Reviews in Oral Biology & Medicine*, 14(1), 13-29.
- Kinney, J. H., Gladden, J. R., Marshall, G. E. A., Marshall, S. J., So, J. H., & Maynard, J. D. (2004).
 Resonant ultrasound spectroscopy measurements of the elastic constants of human
 dentin. *Journal of biomechanics*, *37*(4), 437-441.
- Lees, S., & Rollins Jr, F. R. (1972). Anisotropy in hard dental tissues. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 5(6),
 557-566.
- Lu, X., Fernández, M. P., Bradley, R. S., Rawson, S. D., O'Brien, M., Hornberger, B., ... Withers, P. J.
 (2019). Anisotropic crack propagation and deformation in dentin observed by four-dimensional
 X-ray nano-computed tomography. *Acta Biomaterialia*.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2019.06.042
- Lu, X., Rawson, S. D., & Withers, P. J. (2018). Effect of hydration and crack orientation on crack-tip
 strain, crack opening displacement and crack-tip shielding in elephant dentin. *Dental Materials*.

- 909 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2018.04.002
- Mandel, J. (1965). Generalisation de la theorie de plasticite de W. T. Koiter. International Journal of
 Solids and Structures. https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-7683(65)90034-X
- Mjör, I. A., & Nordahl, I. (1996). The density and branching of dentinal tubules in human teeth.
 Archives of Oral Biology. https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-9969(96)00008-8
- 914 Pashley, D. H. (1989). Dentin: A dynamic substrate A review. *Scanning Microscopy*.
- 915 Pilkey, W. D.(2005). Formulas for Stress, Strain, and Structural Matrices Formulas for Stress, Strain,
 916 and Structural Matrices .2nd Edition John Wiley & Sons
- 917 Pilkey, W. D., & Pilkey, D. F. (2008). Peterson's Stress Concentration Factors, Third Edition. In
 918 Peterson's Stress Concentration Factors, Third Edition. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470211106
- 919Qin, Q. H., & Swain, M. V. (2004). A micro-mechanics model of dentin mechanical properties.920Biomaterials, 25(20), 5081–5090. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2003.12.042
- 921 Sanchez-Palencia, E. (1980), Non-homogeneous media and vibration theory, Springer.
- Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., ... Cardona, A. (2012).
 Fiji: An open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature Methods*.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019
- Schneider, C. A., Rasband, W. S., & Eliceiri, K. W. (2012). NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image
 analysis. *Nature Methods*. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089
- Seyedkavoosi, S., & Sevostianov, I. (2019). Multiscale micromechanical modeling of the elastic
 properties of dentin. *Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials*.
- Vennat, E., Bogicevic, C., Fleureau, J. M., & Degrange, M. (2009). Demineralized dentin 3D porosity
 and pore size distribution using mercury porosimetry. *Dental Materials*.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2008.12.002
- Vennat, E., Wang, W., Genthial, R., David, B., Dursun, E., & Gourrier, A. (2017). Mesoscale porosity at
 the dentin-enamel junction could affect the biomechanical properties of teeth. *Acta Biomaterialia*, *51*, 418–432. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2017.01.052
- Wang, R., Niu, L., Li, Q., Liu, Q., & Zuo, H. (2017). The peritubular reinforcement effect of porous
 dentine microstructure. *PLoS ONE*. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0183982
- Wu H.-W., Mu B., (2003), On stress concentrations for isotropic/orthotropic plates and cylinders with
 a circular holes, Composites, B, 34, 127-134
- Yoon, Y. J. (2013). Estimation of the elastic constants of dentin. *International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12541-013-0043-9
- 241 Zanette, I., Enders, B., Dierolf, M., Thibault, P., Gradl, R., Diaz, A., ... & Zaslansky, P. (2015).
- 942 Ptychographic X-ray nanotomography quantifies mineral distributions in human dentine. *Scientific* 943 *Reports*, *5*, 9210.
- 944
- 21
 22
 22
 23
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 24
 2
- Ziskind, D., Hasday, M., Cohen, S. R., & Wagner, H. D. (2011). Young's modulus of peritubular and
 intertubular human dentin by nano-indentation tests. *Journal of Structural Biology*, 174(1), 23–

949 30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2010.09.010