



**HAL**  
open science

## Belowground effects of deer in a temperate forest are time-dependent

M. Maillard, Jean-Louis Martin, Simon Chollet, C. Catomeris, Léna Simon, S.J. Grayston

► **To cite this version:**

M. Maillard, Jean-Louis Martin, Simon Chollet, C. Catomeris, Léna Simon, et al.. Belowground effects of deer in a temperate forest are time-dependent. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 2021, 493, pp.119228. 10.1016/j.foreco.2021.119228 . hal-03248480

**HAL Id: hal-03248480**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03248480>**

Submitted on 9 May 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 **Belowground effects of deer in a temperate forest are time-**  
2 **dependent**

3

4

5 Morgane Maillard<sup>a,b</sup>, Jean-Louis Martin<sup>a</sup>, Simon Chollet<sup>c</sup>, Catriona Catomeris<sup>b</sup>, Léna Simon<sup>a</sup>, Sue  
6 J. Grayston<sup>b</sup>

7

8 <sup>a</sup> Centre d'Écologie Fonctionnelle et Évolutive, UMR 5175, Université de Montpellier – CNRS –  
9 EPHE – IRD – Université Paul Valéry, 1919 route de Mende, 34293 Montpellier, France.

10 [morgane.maillard@free.fr](mailto:morgane.maillard@free.fr)

11 [jean-louis.martin@cefe.cnrs.fr](mailto:jean-louis.martin@cefe.cnrs.fr)

12 <sup>b</sup> Faculty of Forestry, University of British Columbia, 2424 Main Mall, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4,  
13 British Columbia, Canada

14 [sue.grayston@ubc.ca](mailto:sue.grayston@ubc.ca)

15 [c.catomeris@alumni.ubc.ca](mailto:c.catomeris@alumni.ubc.ca)

16 <sup>c</sup> Rennes 1 University, ECOBIO UMR CNRS 6553, 2 rue du Thabor, 35065 Rennes, France

17 [simon.chollet@univ-rennes1.fr](mailto:simon.chollet@univ-rennes1.fr)

18

19 **Corresponding author:** Morgane Maillard, [morgane.maillard@free.fr](mailto:morgane.maillard@free.fr), Centre d'Écologie  
20 Fonctionnelle et Évolutive, 1919 route de Mende, 34293 Montpellier, France.

21

# 1 **Belowground effects of deer in a temperate forest are time-** 2 **dependent**

3

## 4 **Abstract**

5 The past century witnessed a dramatic increase in deer abundance in North America, Western  
6 Europe, and Japan, that triggered profound changes in the vegetation structure of temperate  
7 forests. Considering the effects large herbivores can have on soil properties and organisms, it is  
8 likely that such increased deer abundance will have consequences belowground. Current  
9 studies in temperate forests, however, found inconsistent results regarding the effect of deer  
10 on soils within, and across, ecosystems. These inconsistencies may be the result of a time-  
11 dependent response of the soil to deer presence. Short-term belowground modifications may  
12 reflect the direct interactions of deer on soil (i.e. trampling and waste deposition), while long-  
13 term belowground modifications may reflect both direct and indirect effects of deer on soil  
14 (e.g. through vegetation shifts). To test these ideas, we measured the effects of deer on soil  
15 properties and prokaryotic communities in the temperate forests of Haida Gwaii, Canada. We  
16 compared three complementary systems varying in duration of deer presence or exclusion, so  
17 as to be able to assess the short- (before and after a deer cull), intermediate- (inside vs. outside  
18 deer exclosures) and long- (comparing islands with and without deer) term effects of deer,  
19 respectively. We found no change in soil physical and chemical properties and in prokaryotic  
20 community structure after one year of deer removal. Twenty years of deer exclusion  
21 significantly reduced soil compaction but had no effect on soil prokaryotic community  
22 structure. Over 70 years of deer presence significantly correlated with: increased soil

23 compaction, reduced total soil phosphorus content and soil prokaryotic diversity, and modified  
24 soil prokaryotic community structure and composition. Such effects of deer on the soil may  
25 have consequences for nutrient cycling. Revealing the belowground effects of deer in  
26 temperate forests, therefore, requires long-term studies, longer than most of those currently  
27 available in the literature.

28

29 **Keywords:** above-belowground interactions, soil properties, prokaryotic communities,  
30 trampling, aboveground herbivores, vegetation shift.

31

32

## 33        **1. Introduction**

34    Large herbivores can influence belowground soil properties and communities directly through  
35    trampling and waste deposition, and indirectly through plant removal (Bardgett and Wardle,  
36    2003; Schrama et al., 2013). To date, interactions between large herbivores and soil have been  
37    highlighted for a broad range of ecosystems and herbivores, from sheep-grazed pastures to  
38    moose-browsed old-growth boreal forests (Andriuzzi and Wall, 2017; Bardgett et al., 1997;  
39    Pastor et al., 1993). The effects of large herbivores on soils depend on ecosystem characteristics  
40    such as ecosystem type, climate, herbivore size, and soil properties (Andriuzzi and Wall, 2017;  
41    Bardgett and Wardle, 2003; Schrama et al., 2013). Soil properties and organisms are central to  
42    carbon and nutrient recycling (Wardle et al. 2004). As a result, belowground modifications  
43    caused by large herbivores can have major feedbacks on ecosystem functioning and on  
44    aboveground organisms through the acceleration or the deceleration of these biogeochemical  
45    cycles (Bardgett and Wardle, 2003; Wardle et al., 2004).

46    The past century witnessed a dramatic increase in deer abundance at continental scales in  
47    temperate forests of North America, Western Europe, and Japan (Côté et al., 2004; Fuller and  
48    Gill, 2001; Takatsuki, 2009). This massive increase has triggered major changes in the structure  
49    of temperate forests including the prevention of tree regeneration, a reduction in understory  
50    biomass, the modification of understory plant composition, and negative reverberating effects  
51    on other trophic layers such as birds and insects [see among others (Cardinal et al., 2012; Côté  
52    et al., 2004; Martin et al., 2010; Nuttle et al., 2011; Ramirez et al., 2018; Takada et al., 2008)].  
53    Considering the interactions between large herbivores and soil described above, increased deer

54 abundance in temperate forests may have significant consequences belowground. In forest  
55 ecosystems, the effects of large herbivores on soil have been predicted to be driven mainly by  
56 the reduction of litter quantity and quality. Such reduction is a consequence of the promotion  
57 of less palatable plant species due to selective browsing, that surmounts the effects of nutrient  
58 input from dung and urine deposition (Bardgett and Wardle, 2003; Chollet et al., 2020). As a  
59 result, a negative effect of deer on nutrient availability and biological activity is expected in  
60 forest ecosystems (Bardgett and Wardle, 2003). Current studies on the belowground effects of  
61 deer in temperate forests, however, found inconsistent results within, and across, systems  
62 (Bardgett et al., 1998; Bardgett and Wardle, 2003; Harrison and Bardgett, 2008). For example,  
63 the effects of deer on soil properties were found to be significant (e.g. Bressette et al., 2012;  
64 Gass and Binkley, 2011; Niwa et al., 2011), neutral (Relva et al. 2014), or idiosyncratic (Wardle  
65 et al., 2001; Harrison and Bardgett, 2004). In light of the profound aboveground modification of  
66 forest ecosystems by persistent abundant deer populations, understanding the interactions  
67 between deer and soil, and being able to predict their effects on edaphic properties and  
68 processes, is a forest management and conservation necessity. It is also essential for a  
69 comprehensive understanding of ecological processes in temperate forests.

70 We hypothesised that some of the discrepancies currently observed across and within  
71 belowground studies in temperate forests may result from the approaches and methodologies  
72 used. Particularly, the length of the study could act as a key confounding factor. To date, the  
73 method of choice to study deer effects on ecosystems has been by excluding deer from fenced  
74 areas known as exclosures. The comparison of ecosystem characteristics inside and outside of

75 exclusions over time provides information on the ecosystem's resilience following deer  
76 exclusion and, therefore, on the effects deer have exerted on the ecosystem. The duration of  
77 exclusion varies widely across studies. Exclusion usually lasts in the range of a decade  
78 (Andriuzzi and Wall, 2017); however, the mechanisms through which deer interact with soil are  
79 not all operating at the same temporal and spatial scale. Changes in the plant community could  
80 take decades and operate at the ecosystem scale, while the deposition of dung or urine, or its  
81 cessation through deer exclusion or severe cull, are local and near instantaneous processes.  
82 Time since deer exclusion must, therefore, play a key role in the patterns revealed by enclosure  
83 studies.

84 To test the hypothesis of the importance of study length, we compared the effect of different  
85 deer browsing histories on soil ecosystem properties and soil prokaryotic communities in a  
86 temperate forest. We used three complementary systems varying in length of deer presence or  
87 exclusion to assess the short-, intermediate- and long-term effects of deer. We conducted our  
88 study on the Canadian archipelago of Haida Gwaii (B.C., Canada), where Sitka black-tailed deer  
89 (*Odocoileus hemionus sitkensis*), introduced over 100 years ago, inhabit all but a few islands.  
90 We first followed the short-term effects of deer in response to a recent deer cull on Ramsay  
91 Island (Haida Gwaii). In this system, we assessed the rapid (one month after the cull) to short-  
92 term (one year after the cull) responses of the vegetation and soil to deer removal. We then  
93 studied a set of 20-year old deer exclosures distributed on Graham Island, the largest of the  
94 archipelago's islands, where deer have been present since the late 1800s to early 1900s. This  
95 deer exclosure system enabled us to compare the medium-term (20 years) effects of total deer

96 exclusion to the effects of a century-long presence of an abundant deer population. Finally, we  
97 took advantage of a unique situation on Haida Gwaii where deer colonisation of the archipelago  
98 resulted in the presence, in close proximity, of a small number of islands that had never been  
99 colonised by deer, and islands that had been colonised for more than 70 years at the time of  
100 this study (Vila et al., 2004). In this third system, the comparison of the deer-colonised islands  
101 to the un-colonised islands allowed us to study the long-term effects of deer colonisation on  
102 the soil.

103 We predicted that the short-term modifications of the belowground subsystem, investigated  
104 using our recent deer cull study system, would be driven by the direct interaction of deer with  
105 edaphic properties through trampling and/or waste deposition. The local-scale nature of waste  
106 deposition by deer and the soil-type specific response to compaction may, therefore, explain  
107 part of the idiosyncrasies observed within and among short studies (Murray et al., 2013;  
108 Schrama et al., 2013). Conversely, the indirect effects of large herbivores via changes in the  
109 vegetation composition and structure should be longer-term processes acting at the ecosystem  
110 scale. Revealing their consequences belowground will, therefore, require lengthier studies  
111 (Bardgett et al., 2005). In this respect, we predicted that such indirect effects of deer would  
112 drive the differences belowground in our deer exclusion study system and in our deer  
113 colonisation study system.

## 114 **2. Materials and Methods**

### 115 **2.1. Study sites and sampling**



116 Haida Gwaii is an archipelago located off the west coast of British Columbia, Canada (latitude  
117 53.255, longitude -132.087). The climate is cool, temperate and oceanic. Mean annual  
118 temperature and precipitation are 7.6°C and 1349 mm, respectively (Meidenger and Pojar,  
119 1991). At low altitude, Haida Gwaii is covered with a coastal temperate rainforest that is  
120 dominated by western hemlock (*Tsuga heterophylla*), western redcedar (*Thuja plicata*), and  
121 Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis*). Soil bedrock geology is volcanic and sedimentary, together with  
122 intrusions of sedimentary rocks with basalt (Sutherland Brown, 1968). Soil types range from  
123 organic soils that are classified as Folisols, to podzols, brunisols and gleysols (The Canadian  
124 System of Soil Classification, 3rd ed.).

125 Sitka black-tailed deer, native to the adjacent mainland, were first introduced to these islands  
126 in 1878 by Europeans for hunting. In the absence of natural predators, deer populations  
127 increased rapidly, modifying the aboveground forest ecosystem (Allombert et al., 2005a, 2005b;  
128 Martin et al., 2010; Stockton et al., 2005). The presence of islands varying in browsing histories  
129 offered a remarkable context for the long-term accumulation of empirical and experimental  
130 data on these aboveground consequences. The 30 year-long accumulation of data provided an  
131 ideal situation to study the impact of deer belowground.

132 A challenge in using island comparisons is that they may not be subject to the same climate and  
133 natural disturbances, which will influence plant community composition and succession, or  
134 parent geology which will influence soil type. For this study on the impact of deer belowground  
135 we selected islands and study sites in ways to ensure their comparability in the other aspects  
136 than deer histories. Within each of our study systems we selected islands in close physical

137 proximity along the east coast of Haida Gwaii with similar annual rainfall (1250 mm) and sites  
138 with identical or, at least similar underlying geology (see Table1). Lost, Low, Louise, and Graham  
139 Island are formed from rocks from the Yakoun formation (andesite, lapilli tuff, sandstone, shale,  
140 coal); Ramsey, Lyell and Tar Island are composed solely of rocks from the Masset formation  
141 (basalt flows and breccias, rhyolite ash flows and dacite). All sampling sites within each system  
142 were similar in altitudinal ranges (50 - 300 m)(coastal and forest interior conditions at similar  
143 distance from the shoreline on the island systems, and, for the enclosure system, sites all  
144 situated on the Skidegate plateau on Graham Island). We only selected sites situated in mature  
145 old growth forests that had not been affected by industrial forestry or other recent human  
146 land-use. Within each study system these mature primary forest sites belonged to the  
147 submontane wet hypermaritime subzone of the Coastal Western Hemlock biogeoclimatic zone  
148 (CWHwh1) (Meidenger and Pojar, 1991). Soils on all islands had characteristic organic forest  
149 floors, with a carbon content greater than 40% and a F-layer deeper than 10cm.

150 **Recent deer cull system:** In response to the recognized negative effects of deer on plants,  
151 invertebrates, and songbird communities (Martin et al., 2010), and the documented evidence  
152 of a potential for recovery (Chollet et al. 2016), Parks Canada launched “The Llgay gwii  
153 sdiihlda: Restoring Balance project” in 2017. The aim of this project was to remove deer  
154 completely from several islands in order to restore the ecosystems of this protected area. We  
155 took advantage of this initiative to study the short-term response of the ecosystem after the  
156 very severe deer cull, estimated to have removed more than 80% of the initial deer population.  
157 We sampled the vegetation and soil prior to (summer 2016), a month after (summer 2017), and

158 one year after (summer 2018) the cull on Ramsay Island (Table 1). As controls, we used Tar  
159 Island that had never been colonised by deer, and Lyell Island that had been colonised for over  
160 70 years. We established plots randomly on each island with a minimum distance of 100 m  
161 from the shoreline and between plots (Table 1). Each plot was 20 m x 20 m in size. We surveyed  
162 the vegetation cover in each plot as described below. We sampled the forest floor layer of soil  
163 using a 2.5 cm diameter x 30 cm long soil core. We sampled approximately 100 cores within  
164 each plot and composited them to cover plot heterogeneity.

165 **Deer exclosure system:** In 1997, 20 years prior to this study, the Research Group on Introduced  
166 Species (RGIS) built twenty deer exclosures distributed in pairs at 10 sites across Graham Island  
167 (Table 1), in the northern half of the archipelago. Deer densities on Graham Island have been  
168 estimated at 13 deer/km<sup>2</sup> (Engelstoft, 2001). Each exclosure was 5 m x 5 m in size and consisted  
169 of a 2.4 m high, large-mesh wire fence that prevented deer access. We used this experimental  
170 set-up to study the resilience of the vegetation and soil after 20 years of deer exclusion. For this  
171 system, we sampled vegetation and soil during the summer of 2017. We defined two plots per  
172 exclosure – one placed inside and one outside – to compare the vegetation and soil  
173 characteristics with and without deer exclusion. We set the size of the plots to 4 m x 4 m to  
174 account for edge effects in the exclosure. We surveyed the vegetation cover in each plot as  
175 described below. We sampled and composited into one sample the soil from five small pits  
176 randomly dug inside the plot. One exclosure had been destroyed by a fallen tree a few weeks  
177 before this study, leaving 19 exclosures to be sampled.

178 **Deer colonisation system:** We selected five islands all covered by mature forests – Low, Lost,  
179 Tar, Louise and Lyell Islands – that differed in deer presence (Table 1). Low, Lost and Tar Islands  
180 had never been colonized by deer due to their distance from the coast and difficulty of access.  
181 Louise and Lyell Islands have a long colonisation history, with deer being present for more than  
182 70 years (Vila et al., 2004) at the time of study. Deer density on these islands was estimated to  
183 range between 21 and 37 deer/km<sup>2</sup> (Stockton et al., 2005). We compared these two sets of  
184 islands to study the long-term response of the ecosystem to deer presence. For this study  
185 system, we sampled the vegetation and soil during the summer of 2017. We established 20 m x  
186 20 m plots, surveyed their vegetation (see below) and sampled the soil following the same  
187 protocol as for the recent deer cull system.

188

190 **Table 1** – Sampling locations and details for the three study systems.

<b>System</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Island size (ha)</b>	<b>Deer presence</b>	<b># plots</b>	<b>Parent material</b>
<b>Deer colonisation</b>	<i>Low</i>	9.6	Never colonised	3	Yakoun formation, porphyritic andesite
	<i>Lost</i>	7.3	Never colonised	5	Yakoun formation, porphyritic andesite
	<i>Tar</i>	6	Never colonised	6	Masset formation, basalt, rhyolite
	<i>Louise</i>	35,000	Colonisation > 70 yrs	4	Yakoun formation, porphyritic andesite
	<i>Lyell</i>	> 17,300	Colonisation > 70 yrs	6	Masset formation basalt, rhyolite
<b>Deer exclosures</b>	<i>Graham</i>	636,100	Inside/Outside.	19 exclos.	Yakoun formation, porphyritic andesite (1 exclosures) and Quaternary sediments (18 exclosures)
<b>Recent deer cull</b>	<i>Tar</i>	6	No Deer	6	Masset formation, basalt, rhyolite
	<i>Lyell</i>	> 17,300	Deer > 70 yrs	6	Masset formation, basalt, rhyolite
	<i>Ramsay</i>	1,622.8	Culled	13	Masset formation, basalt, rhyolite

191

192

193

194 **2.2. Vegetation survey**

195 For each of the three study systems, we surveyed vascular plant cover in every plot using a  
196 modified Braun-Blanket scale (Braun-Blanquet, 1932) (Table A1). We surveyed bryophyte plant  
197 cover by randomly placing a quadrat on the forest floor twenty times in each plot and recording  
198 bryophyte species presence in each iteration. We used a 5 x 5 cm quadrat for the bryophyte  
199 survey in the deer exclosure system and a 20 x 20 cm quadrat in the other two study systems

200 (i.e. recent deer cull and deer colonisation system). We estimated the percent cover of each  
201 bryophyte species as the number of occurrences of the species divided by 20 and multiplied by  
202 the total bryophyte cover on the plot. We assigned a percent cover value of 0.01 % to the  
203 bryophytes that were present in the plot but absent from the quadrat survey.

### 204 **2.3. Soil physical and chemical properties**

205 We sampled all the soil samples exclusively from the F layer of the forest floor according to the  
206 Canadian system of soil classification (The Canadian System of Soil Classification, 3rd ed.), which  
207 is biologically the most active soil horizon. Soil samples were kept cool at 4°C for transport back  
208 to the laboratory within one month. Soil samples were then sieved to ensure homogenization  
209 and kept frozen at -20°C prior to chemical analyses.

210 We measured soil penetration resistance, as a proxy for soil compaction, using a hand-held  
211 penetrometer (Gilson HM-500 pocket penetrometer, Lewis, OH, US). We recorded 50  
212 penetration resistance measurements per plot to account for soil heterogeneity. A logistical  
213 mishap prevented us from assessing soil penetration resistance the first year of the recent deer  
214 cull study system (i.e. 2016, one year before the cull). Soil water content was measured by  
215 drying the fresh soil at 105° until constant weight was achieved (~48 hours) and subtracting the  
216 dry weight from the fresh weight. We measured soil pH in duplicate on air-dried soil in a 0.01M  
217 CaCl<sub>2</sub> solution using a 1:10 (air dry soil : solution) ratio. We measured total soil carbon and  
218 nitrogen content (g / g dry soil) on 3.5 mg of freeze-dried soil using an Elementar Vario El Cube  
219 Analyzer (Elementar, Langenselbold, Germany). We measured total soil phosphorus content (µg  
220 / g dry soil of P) in 0.1 g of freeze-dried soil using the sodium hypobromite alkaline oxidation

221 method (Dick and Tabatabai, 1977) followed by the colorimetric method developed by Murphy  
222 and Riley (1962) and modified by Watanabe and Olsen (1965). We extracted soil ammonium  
223 ( $\text{NH}_4$ ) and nitrate ( $\text{NO}_3$ ) ( $\mu\text{g} / \text{g}$  dry soil of N) in a 2M KCl solution using a 1:10 ratio (fresh soil :  
224 solution). We shook the solution for one hour and filtered through a fiberglass G6 microfilter.  
225 We further analysed the extracts by colorimetry with the phenol-hypochlorite reaction method  
226 for  $\text{NH}_4$  quantification (Weatherburn, 1967) and the  $\text{VCl}_3$  reduction method for  $\text{NO}_3$   
227 quantification (Hood-Nowotny et al., 2010).

#### 228 **2.4. Molecular analyses**

229 We extracted soil DNA from 0.05 g of freeze-dried soil using the DNeasy PowerSoil Kit from  
230 Qiagen (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands). We controlled DNA purity and quantity using both a  
231 quantus fluorometer (Promega corporation, Madison, WI, USA) and a nanodrop  
232 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Wilmington, DE, USA). We measured soil  
233 bacterial abundance by qPCR using a set of general bacterial primers targeting the 16S RNA  
234 gene. We used the forward primer U16SRT-F (ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGT) and the reverse  
235 primer U16SRT-R (TATTACCGCGGCTGCTGGC) designed by Clifford et al. (2012). Reactions were  
236 10  $\mu\text{L}$  with 500 nM of primers, 0.5  $\mu\text{L}$  of DNA template, 3  $\mu\text{L}$  of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and 5  $\mu\text{L}$  of PowerUp™  
237 SYBR™ Green Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc). The conditions of the reactions were 2  
238 min at 50 °C and 2 min at 95 °C, followed by 40 cycles of 15 s at 95 °C and 1 min at 60 °C. We  
239 produced the standard curves using *E. coli* DNA extracted from DH5 alpha cells (Thermo Fisher  
240 Scientific Inc., Wilmington, DE, USA). Standard curves were made with seven dilutions starting  
241 from  $3.025 \times 10^8$  copy numbers and with a 1:4 dilution factor. Mean  $R^2$  and efficiency of the

242 reactions were 0.998 and 91.12 % respectively. All the measurements were made in triplicate.  
243 Illumina sequencing of the 16S RNA gene took place at the Integrated Microbiome Resource  
244 platform in Halifax (NS, Canada) using the primer pair 515F (Parada) – 806R (Apprill) (Apprill et  
245 al., 2015; Parada et al., 2016). We used the pipeline DADA2 with the package *dada2* and the  
246 software R to analyse these sequences (Callahan et al., 2016; R Core Team, 2018). We filtered  
247 and trimmed reads using the function *filterAndTrim*. We used the standard filtering parameters  
248 of the function and trimmed the reads after the 250 and the 200 nucleotides for the forward  
249 and reverse reads, respectively. Error rates were calculated for both forward and reverse reads  
250 using the function *learnErrors* and, were used to calculate the number of true sequence  
251 variants using the sample inference algorithm of DADA2. The denoised forward and reverse  
252 reads were then merged using the function *mergePairs*. Chimeras were removed using the  
253 function *removeBimeraDenovo* with the method "consensus". At the end of the reads cleaning,  
254 we retained a total of 16603, 5939, 8628 Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) for the recent  
255 deer cull, deer enclosure, and deer colonisation systems, respectively. Rarefaction curves are  
256 given on Figure A1. One sample ("OB1OUT") from the deer enclosure system had a low  
257 sequencing depth; we therefore removed this enclosure from the analysis (Figure A1). We  
258 rarefied samples to the minimum read count in each system using the function  
259 *rarefy\_even\_depth* from the package *phyloseq* in R. Rarefaction did not change the results of  
260 the analysis. We assigned taxonomy with the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) database to  
261 genus level (Maidak et al., 1996).

## 262 **2.5. Data analyses**



263 We calculated vascular plant, bryophyte, and prokaryotic alpha diversities using the Shannon  
264 index. We used Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to visualise the effect of deer on the  
265 environmental factors measured (plant and soil characteristics) for the three systems. We  
266 performed PCA on normalised data using the function *prcomp* from the package *stats* on R (R  
267 Core Team, 2018). We assessed differences in aboveground properties, belowground  
268 properties and prokaryotic abundance and diversities between treatments with the *nparLD*  
269 function with a F1-LD-F1 design for the recent deer cull system (Noguchi et al., 2012), a paired  
270 Wilcoxon test for the deer exclosures system, and a Wilcoxon test for the deer colonisation  
271 system. The *nparLD* method applied with a F1-LD-F1 design is suitable for nonparametric  
272 analysis of paired data in factorial experiments with one whole-plot factor and one sub-plot  
273 factor design (Brunner et al., 2001).

274 We Hellinger-transformed OTUs prior to any further analyses of the microbial community  
275 structure. We assessed the significance of the difference in microbial community structure  
276 among treatments with a PERMANOVA using the function *adonis* from the package *vegan* in R  
277 (Oksanen et al., 2019). We calculated the  $\beta$  diversity of the prokaryotic community in each  
278 treatment with the function *betadisper* of the package *vegan*, using the group centroid analysis  
279 (Oksanen et al., 2019) and the Bray Curtis distance. We used a Redundancy Analysis (RDA) to  
280 investigate the correlation between the plant and the soil data and the soil prokaryotic  
281 community. We performed the RDA using the function *rda* from the package *vegan* in R. We  
282 first realised the RDA using the first axes of the PCA realised on the plant and soil data as  
283 explanatory variables. For the deer colonisation system, we also realised a RDA using the  
284 vegetation and soil variables, that we selected by forward selection. Prior to the variable

285 selection, we verified the significance of the model resulting from the RDA on all explanatory  
286 variables using an ANOVA with 999 permutations, as recommended by Blanchet et al. (2008).  
287 We ran the forward selection on all the explanatory variables using the function *forward.sel*  
288 from the package *adespatial* on R (Dray et al., 2019). We corrected p-values for multiple testing  
289 using the function *p.adjust* from the package *stats*, and with the method 'holm'. We calculated  
290 the percent variation of the soil prokaryotic community explained by the explanatory variables  
291 with the function *varpart* from the package *vegan*.


## 292 **3. Results**

### 293 **3.1. Deer affected understory vegetation in a consistent way across the three study** 294 **systems**

295 In the recent deer cull system, the first axis of the PCA discriminated vegetation from the plots  
296 on the islands that have or had deer present ('present' and 'culled' treatments) from plots on  
297 islands without deer ('absent' treatment) (Figure 1A). The second PCA axis discriminated  
298 between years of sampling (Figure 1A). Interaction between treatments and year of sampling  
299 was significant for the vascular plant and bryophyte diversities, and the bryophyte and forb  
300 cover (Table A2 and Figure A2). Among these variables, bryophyte diversity decreased the year  
301 after the cull, while vascular plant diversity and forb cover increased the year after the cull  
302 (Table A2 and Figure A2). Concerning bryophyte cover we found a change through time on both  
303 culled and control islands, indicating that these change cannot be attributed to the deer cull  
304 treatment (Figure A2).

305 In the deer enclosure system, the first axis of the PCA fully discriminated the vegetation data  
306 according to deer presence or absence (Figure 1B). Vascular plant diversity, shrub cover and  
307 forb cover were significantly higher inside the 20-year-old deer enclosures (Table A2 and Figure  
308 A3). Conversely, bryophyte cover was significantly lower with deer exclusion (Table A2 and  
309 Figure A3).

310 In the deer colonisation system, we found a pattern of deer effect on the plant community  
311 structure similar to the one we observed in the deer enclosure system (Figure 1B). Vascular  
312 plant diversity, shrub cover, and pteridophyte cover were lower on the islands colonised by  
313 deer for over 70 years when compared to the islands without deer (Table A2 and Figure A4).  
314 Conversely, bryophyte diversity and cover, graminoid cover, and conifer cover were higher on  
315 islands colonised by deer for over 70 years when compared to islands without deer (Figure 1B,  
316 Table A2, and Figure A4). On the first axis of the PCA, vegetation plots from the enclosure  
317 system had coordinates intermediate between those from islands without deer and those from  
318 long-term colonised islands (Figure 1B).



319  
 320 **Figure 1** – PCA showing discrimination of the plant community structure in **A)** the recent deer  
 321 cull system and **B)** the deer exclusions and the deer colonisation systems. Plant community  
 322 structure includes the percent cover of the different guilds and the vascular and bryophyte  
 323 diversity. The symbols \*,  $\Delta$  and  $\diamond$  indicate the variable significantly different between treatments  
 324 in the recent deer cull, the deer exclusion, and the deer colonisation system respectively. t<sub>-1</sub>, t<sub>0</sub>  
 325 and t<sub>+1</sub> correspond to the year before, the month after and the year after the cull respectively.  
 326 IN = plots inside deer enclosure, OUT = plots outside deer enclosure.

327


328 **3.2. Soil physical and chemical properties responded differently to deer presence in the**  
 329 **three study systems**

330 In the recent deer cull system, soil properties from the island without deer and those from the  
 331 island with deer discriminated along the first axis of the PCA (Figure 2A). The second axis of the  
 332 PCA discriminated soils between years of sampling, with lower scores observed for the  
 333 sampling done the year before and the month after the cull, and higher scores observed for the  
 334 sampling done the year after the cull. The interaction between year of sampling and treatment  
 335 was significant for soil pH and total phosphorus (Table A2), but was not correlated to the cull

336 (Figure A2). The interaction was marginally significant for soil ammonium, and corresponded to  
337 a decrease in soil ammonium the month following the cull (Figure A2,  $W = 70$ ,  $p\text{-value} = 0.08$ ).  
338 In the deer exclosure system, soils taken from inside and outside exclosures were segregated by  
339 the PCA axes (Figure 2B) as a result of a significantly higher soil penetration resistance outside  
340 of the exclosures (Table A2 and Figure A3,  $W = 0$ ,  $p\text{-value} < 0.001$ ). The other soil properties did  
341 not differ significantly between the inside and outside of the exclosures (Table A2).  
342 In the deer colonisation system, soil properties discriminated plots across treatments on the  
343 second axis of the PCA (Figure 2B). Samples from islands with long-term deer presence had a  
344 significantly higher water content, lower pH and lower total phosphorus (Table A2 and Figure  
345 A4,  $W = 5$ ,  $p\text{-value} < 0.001$ ;  $W = 152$ ,  $p\text{-value} < 0.001$  and  $W = 118$ ,  $p\text{-value} = 0.02$ , respectively).  
346 Soil penetration resistance was three times higher on islands with long-term deer presence  
347 (Table A2 and Figure A4,  $W = 0$ ,  $p\text{-value} < 0.001$ ).

348

349



350  
 351 **Figure 2** – PCA showing discrimination of the soil physical and chemical properties in **A)** the  
 352 recent deer cull system and **B)** the deer enclosures and the deer colonisation systems. Soil  
 353 properties include the following variables: SWC = Soil Water Content, P = total phosphorus  
 354 content, N = percent nitrogen content, C = percent carbon content, C:N = ratio carbon to  
 355 nitrogen, NH4 = ammonium, NO3 = nitrate, and soil penetration resistance. The symbols \*,  $\Delta$   
 356 and  $\diamond$  indicate the variable significantly different between treatments in the recent deer cull,  
 357 the deer enclosure, and the deer colonisation system respectively. t<sub>-1</sub>, t<sub>0</sub> and t<sub>+1</sub> correspond to  
 358 the year before, the month after and the year after the cull respectively. IN = plots inside deer  
 359 enclosures, OUT = plots outside deer enclosure.

360


361

362 **3.3. Soil prokaryotic community structure was significantly modified by deer, but only**  
 363 **in the deer colonisation system**

364 We retained a total of 18,542 unique Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) after filtering and  
 365 rarefaction across the three systems, with 82.8 % of the total OTUs shared among the three  
 366 study systems. On average, 99.5 % of the OTUS belonged to the Bacterial kingdom. They were  
 367 classified into 608 genera from 229 families, 71 classes and 32 phyla. 0.5 % of the OTUs

368 belonged to the Archaeal kingdom. The archaeal family *Nitrososphaera* from the  
369 Thaumarchaeota phylum largely dominated the archaeal population with an average  
370 representation of 86.7 % across treatments and systems. The ten most important prokaryotic  
371 genera across treatments and systems were *Mycobacterium*, *Conexibacter*, *Aquisphaera*,  
372 *Bradyrhizobium*, *Actinoallomurus*, *Roseiarcus*, *Singulisphaera*, *Burkholderia*, *Povalibacter* and  
373 *Gaiella*.

374 In the recent deer cull system, although soil prokaryotic abundance and  $\alpha$  diversity increased  
375 significantly with the year of sampling (Figure 3A,  $F = 59.1$ ,  $p$ -value  $< 0.001$ ; and Figure 3D,  $F =$   
376  $8.81$ ,  $p$ -value  $< 0.001$  respectively), the interaction between treatments and the year of  
377 sampling was not significant, indicating that the cull did not drive soil prokaryotic abundance  
378 and diversity (Figure 3A,  $F = 0.37$ ,  $p$ -value =  $0.74$ ; and Figure 3D,  $F = 1.83$ ,  $p$ -value =  $0.15$   
379 respectively). Similarly, the interaction between year and treatment was not significant for the  
380  $\beta$  diversity of the soil prokaryotic community (Figure 3G,  $F = 1.70$ ,  $p$ -value =  $0.16$ ). The  
381 PERMANOVA showed significant differences in the soil prokaryotic community composition  
382 both between treatments and years of sampling ( $F = 10.45$ ,  $p$ -value =  $0.001$  and  $F = 4.24$ ,  $p$ -  
383 value =  $0.001$  respectively). Differences in soil prokaryotic community composition between  
384 treatments were correlated with the first axes of the PCA realised on the vegetation and soil  
385 variables, which accounted for 4.0 % and 9.2 % of the variation, respectively (Figure 4A).  
386 However, the interaction between treatment and year of sampling was not significant ( $F = 0.84$ ,  
387  $p$ -value =  $0.831$ ), indicating that the change over time was the same for the three treatments  
388 and could not be attributed to the deer cull.



389

390 **Figure 3** – Soil prokaryotic community structure. Soil microbial abundance in **A)** the recent deer  
 391 cull system, **B)** the deer exclosures system and **C)** the deer colonisation system. Soil prokaryotic  
 392  $\alpha$  diversity in **D)** the recent deer cull system, **E)** the deer exclosures system and **F)** the deer  
 393 colonisation system. Soil prokaryotic  $\beta$  diversity within each treatment in **G)** the recent deer cull  
 394 system, **H)** the deer exclosures system and **I)** the deer colonisation system.  $t_{-1}$ ,  $t_0$  and  $t_{+1}$   
 395 correspond to the year before, the month after and the year after the cull respectively. IN =  
 396 plots inside deer exclosures, OUT = plots outside deer exclosure.




397

398 In the deer exclosure system, we found no significant differences in soil prokaryotic abundance,  
399  $\alpha$  diversity and  $\beta$  diversity after 20 years of deer exclusion (Figure 3B,  $W = 103$ ,  $p$ -value = 0.768;  
400 Figure 3E,  $W = 89$ ,  $p$ -value = 0.899; and Figure 3H,  $W = 92$ ,  $p$ -value = 0.80 respectively).

401 Similarly, we found no significant difference in the soil prokaryotic community composition  
402 after 20 years of deer exclusion, as evidenced by the overlap of communities in the plots from  
403 inside and outside deer exclosures in Figure 4B ( $F = 0.781$ ,  $p$ -value = 0.297).

404 In the deer colonisation system, the difference in soil prokaryotic abundance was marginally  
405 significant between islands without deer and islands with deer for over 70 years (Figure 3C,  $W =$   
406  $44$ ,  $p$ -value = 0.08), with a higher bacterial abundance in soil samples from the islands without  
407 deer. Prokaryotic  $\alpha$  diversity was significantly higher in soils from islands without deer than on  
408 the islands with deer present for over 70 years (Figure 3F,  $W = 41$ ,  $p$ -value = 0.05). The  $\beta$   
409 diversity of the soil prokaryotic community was not significantly different between the islands  
410 without deer and the islands colonised for more than 70 years (Figure 3I,  $W = 104$ ,  $p$ -value =  
411 0.15). Soil prokaryotic community composition was significantly different between the islands  
412 colonised for more than 70 years and the islands without deer (Figure 4B,  $F = 7.21$ ,  $p$ -value =  
413 0.001). The difference in soil prokaryotic community composition was correlated with the first  
414 and second axes of the PCA based on the soil variables, and the first axis of the PCA based on  
415 the plant variables (Figure 4B). Mainly, the RDA analysis revealed that differences in soil  
416 prokaryotic community structure between islands colonised and un-colonised by deer were  
417 mainly correlated with soil pH and soil penetration resistance (Figure A5). Soil penetration

418 resistance accounted for 7 % of the variation in soil prokaryotic community structure, with high  
 419 scores being associated with soil samples from islands colonised by deer for more than 70 years  
 420 (Figure A5). Soil pH accounted for 5 % of the variation in soil prokaryotic community structure,  
 421 with high scores being associated with soil from islands that have never been colonised by deer  
 422 (Figure A5).



423  
 424 **Figure 4** – Redundancy Analysis (RDA) on the OTUs and the axes of the PCA realised on the  
 425 plant and the soil data for **A)** the recent deer cull system and **B)** the deer exclosures and the  
 426 deer colonisation systems. Percent values correspond to the variation in soil prokaryotic  
 427 community explained by the PCA axes, and calculated by variation partitioning.


428

429

430 **Discussion**

431 Current studies investigating the belowground effects of deer in temperate forests have found  
 432 inconsistent results within, and across, systems (Bardgett et al., 1998; Bardgett and Wardle,

433 2003; Harrison and Bardgett, 2008). In this study, we compared three different approaches  
 434 varying in length of deer presence and exclusion to investigate the effects of deer belowground.  
 435 While the effects of deer on the vegetation were consistent among the three study systems, we  
 436 found that the response of the soil properties and organisms to deer pressure depended on the  
 437 approach used (see Figure 5 for a synthesis).  
 438



439  
 440  
 441 **Figure 5** – Effects of deer above- and belowground as concluded from the three different study  
 442 methods. Boxes in red, blue and green represent the recent deer cull, the deer exclusion and  
 443 the deer colonisation systems respectively. Plant guilds included in the more-palatable plants  
 444 are: shrubs, forbs and pteridophytes (Stockton et al., 2005). Plant guilds included in the less-  
 445 palatable plants are: bryophytes, conifers and graminoids (Chollet et al., 2013b; Stockton et al.,  
 446 2005).  
 447

448

449

450

451 **3.4. Different study methods lead to different conclusions on the effects of deer**

452 **belowground**

453 Aboveground, we found across the three study systems that deer presence significantly  
454 reduced vascular plant abundance and diversity, and significantly promoted the dominance of  
455 less-palatable conifers and unpalatable bryophytes. Such modification of the plant community  
456 composition is in agreement with previous studies on the same islands (Chollet et al., 2016,  
457 2013b, 2013a; Stockton et al., 2005) and in other temperate forests of the world (e.g. Horsley et  
458 al. 2003, Côté et al. 2004, Boulanger et al. 2018). The longer deer were present, the stronger  
459 the modifications, and the more plant guilds involved (Figure 1, Table A2). The differences in  
460 vegetation structure we documented across the three systems, therefore, reflect different  
461 stages of a consistent response of the vegetation to deer presence (Figure 5).

462 Belowground, however, the response of soil physical and chemical properties to deer presence  
463 and removal differed among our three study systems. In the recent deer cull system, soil  
464 ammonium was the only edaphic variable that changed following deer removal. The marginal  
465 decrease in soil ammonium concentration in the month following the deer cull could be  
466 explained by the sudden cessation of urine input, which constitutes a source of ammonium to  
467 the soil. However, the moderate decrease in ammonium did not persist to the year following  
468 the cull, nor was there a change in nitrate or total N, indicating a transitory process. Soil

469 penetration resistance, a proxy for soil compaction, was found to be higher with deer presence  
470 in both the deer exclosures and the deer colonisation system. The high foot pressure of  
471 ungulates can indeed induce physical compaction of the soil (Duncan and Holdaway, 1989). Soil  
472 compaction values inside the deer exclosures were similar to those observed on islands never  
473 colonised by deer, indicating that twenty years of deer exclusion were sufficient to restore  
474 initial soil bulk density (Figures S3 and S4). This reversion of soil compaction following deer  
475 exclusion was not correlated with other changes in edaphic properties in the deer exclosure  
476 system. Higher soil water retention has been documented previously as a direct consequence  
477 of soil compaction (Cambi et al., 2015). We did not observe such differences in soil water  
478 content between the less-compacted soils sampled inside, and the more-compacted soils  
479 sampled outside deer exclosures. However, in the deer colonisation system, soil water content  
480 was significantly higher after 70 years of deer presence (Table A2, Figure A4). An explanation  
481 for this discrepancy between the two systems could come from the heavy rains that occurred  
482 during the soil sampling in the exclosures, which might have brought the soil samples close to  
483 their water holding capacity (average soil water content was  $601 \% \pm 183 \%$  and  $311 \% \pm 85 \%$   
484 for the deer exclosures and the deer colonisation system respectively). We further found that  
485 total phosphorus and soil pH were significantly altered after 70 years of deer presence  
486 (Supplementary material Appendix, Table A2, Figure A4). The lower levels of soil phosphorus  
487 observed on islands colonised by deer may be the consequence of the higher cover of  
488 bryophytes. Mosses have been shown to sequester large quantities of phosphorus in coniferous  
489 forests (Chapin et al., 1987). The acidification of the soil after long-term deer presence may be  
490 explained by the higher relative abundance of both conifers and moss, whose litters have been

491 shown to be acidic (Cornelissen et al., 2006; Finzi et al., 1998). Long-term urine deposition by  
492 deer might also explain this acidification, as ammonia input to soil may stimulate nitrification  
493 with consequent production of H<sup>+</sup> ions (Ball et al., 1979; Black, 1992).

494 Contrary to what we might have expected in response to the replacement of palatable plants  
495 (nutrient-rich) by unpalatable plants (nutrient-poor) (Pastor et al., 1993), we did not observe  
496 changes in the soil C:N in any of the three study systems. This result is consistent with the fact  
497 that litter C:N was not modified by deer despite the drastic modification of the plant  
498 community composition on these islands (Chollet et al., 2020). This result is also consistent with  
499 the results of the exclosure study by Binkley et al. (2003), who found no change in soil C:N after  
500 35 years of elk exclusion in the Rocky Mountain National Park (United States). Similarly, deer  
501 presence or absence for more than one month did not affect the concentration of soil inorganic  
502 nor total nitrogen in our study, which suggests a resilience of the soil to the local addition or  
503 removal of dung and urine inputs. Indeed, dung deposition did not influence carbon or nitrogen  
504 decomposition at the ecosystem level on these same islands (Chollet et al., 2020).

505 We found that the soil prokaryotic community structure was significantly affected by deer, but  
506 only in the deer colonisation study system. In both the recent deer cull and the deer exclosure  
507 system, soil prokaryotic abundance,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  diversities and composition were indeed not  
508 affected after one month, one year, nor twenty years of deer removal or exclusion. This lack of  
509 influence of deer on the soil prokaryotic community structure in the short-term or medium-  
510 term is consistent with previous results found in western North American, Patagonian, and New  
511 Zealand temperate forests (Gass and Binkley, 2011; Relva et al., 2014; Wardle et al., 2001).

512 In the deer colonisation system, we found that soil microbial biomass tended to decrease after  
513 70 years of deer colonisation, which is consistent with the results found in boreal and Japanese  
514 temperate forests (Niwa et al., 2011; Pastor et al., 1988). In Australian woodlands, Eldridge et  
515 al. (2017) found that grazing by domestic and wild herbivores increased bacterial diversity  
516 through the exclusion of Actinobacteria, the competitive microbial phylum, due to a reduction  
517 in soil carbon content. In contrast, we observed a reduction in prokaryotic diversity, which was  
518 driven by a shift in composition rather than a modification of taxa abundance, in response to 70  
519 years of deer presence. The lower prokaryotic  $\alpha$  diversity in soils from islands colonised by deer  
520 could result from the simplification of the vegetation observed aboveground. Low belowground  
521 diversity may, indeed, be linked to low aboveground diversity as a consequence of reduced  
522 litter and root exudate diversity (Haichar et al., 2008; Wardle et al., 2004). Conversely,  $\beta$   
523 diversity of the soil prokaryotic communities was not modified by deer, suggesting that the  
524 simplification of the vegetation by deer does not lead to a homogenisation of the soil  
525 prokaryotic community in our system. Deer colonisation was an important factor structuring  
526 the soil prokaryotic community (Figure 4B and S5). The differences in soil prokaryotic  
527 community structure were partly due to the significantly lower soil pH on islands colonised by  
528 deer. This result is not surprising considering that soil pH has been shown to be one of the  
529 major edaphic properties structuring soil microbial communities (Fierer and Jackson, 2006). Soil  
530 penetration resistance, which was significantly higher on islands with deer, also explained part  
531 of the variation in soil prokaryote communities between islands colonised and un-colonised by  
532 deer. Soil compaction has been linked to a reduction in microbial abundance and the  
533 modification of microbial composition towards microbes adapted to low oxygen availability

534 (Hartmann et al., 2014). Similarly, simulated trampling has been shown to decrease soil  
535 microbial biomass in sub-arctic grasslands (Sørensen et al., 2009). The lack of difference in the  
536 soil prokaryotic community structure observed in the deer enclosure system, where soil  
537 penetration resistance was strongly alleviated by deer exclusion, is therefore surprising (Figure  
538 4 and Figure A3). This result suggests that it is not only the level of compaction, but also the  
539 duration, that plays a role in restructuring the soil microbial community. The absence of  
540 variation in the soil microbial communities of elk grazed and un-grazed temperate forests after  
541 15 years of elk exclusion, despite significant reduction in soil compaction by elk exclusion,  
542 supports this hypothesis (Gass and Binkley, 2011).

543 Previous studies found a top-down regulation of the microbial community structure by wild  
544 ungulates in a sagebrush steppe (Cline et al., 2017; Peschel et al., 2015), in an alpine grassland  
545 (Yang 2013) and in Australian woodlands (Eldridge et al., 2017). Our results show that such top-  
546 down regulation also operates in temperate forests. However, this modification was only  
547 observed after more than 70 years of deer presence, suggesting that regulation of the soil  
548 prokaryotic communities by deer is a slow process in such ecosystems.

### 549 **3.5. Effects of deer belowground: the importance of study duration**

550 Our three study approaches led to diverse results when investigating the effects of deer  
551 belowground. The comparison of the results found among these approaches suggests that the  
552 modifications of the ecosystem components by deer are time dependant (Figure 5).

553 Aboveground, changes in the plant community in response to deer presence or removal were  
554 relatively fast and consistent, because they are primarily the result of direct negative impacts of



555 browsing and trampling. Belowground, changes in edaphic properties varied according to the  
556 length of deer presence or exclusion. Consistent with our prediction, short- and intermediate-  
557 term effects of deer belowground were probably the result of the direct interactions of deer on  
558 the soil (i.e. dung and urine deposition and trampling). Long-term effects of deer belowground  
559 appeared to be the result of both direct interaction, due to trampling, and indirect interaction  
560 due to a vegetation shift.

561 Deer density has previously been shown to play a significant role in the extent of the  
562 belowground response to deer in temperate forests (Ramirez et al., 2018). Our results highlight  
563 that difference in study duration among studies can be another confounding factor when  
564 comparing findings on the effects of deer belowground. Currently, the method of choice to  
565 study the impact of large herbivores, exclosures, generally last in the range of a decade  
566 (Andriuzzi and Wall, 2017). The longest period of deer exclusion in temperate forests has been  
567 investigated by Wardle et al. (2001) in New Zealand. The authors found idiosyncratic effects of  
568 20 to 50 years of deer exclusion on soil properties and communities, with responses to deer  
569 exclusions varying from site to site without apparent consistency among sites. In our study, the  
570 effects of deer on soil chemistry (pH and total phosphorus) and soil prokaryotes were  
571 detectable after 70 years of deer colonisation. This suggests that several decades are necessary  
572 to observe non-idiosyncratic effects of deer belowground.

573 The effect of deer on soil compaction particularly illustrates the time-dependence of different  
574 soil responses observed among studies. Indeed, previous studies in temperate forests did not  
575 find an impact of deer on soil compaction for deer exclusion that lasted less than 15 years  
576 (Burke et al., 2019; Furusawa et al., 2016; Relva et al., 2014; Suzuki and Ito, 2014). However,

577 consistent positive effects of deer on soil compaction were observed for studies lasting over 15  
578 years (Gass and Binkley, 2011; Iida et al., 2018; Kumbasli et al., 2010; Sabo et al., 2017). This is  
579 consistent with our study, where one year of deer exclusion after a deer cull did not change soil  
580 penetration resistance, whereas, twenty years of deer exclusion and 70 years of deer presence  
581 significantly decreased or increased compaction, respectively (Table A2).

582 In their meta-analysis on the effect of the exclusion of wild herbivores on the soil, Andriuzzi and  
583 Wall (2017) found that time since herbivore exclusion, which was ranging from less than 5 years  
584 to more than 50 years, was the weakest predictor of soil microbial community structure.  
585 However, their analysis combined results of enclosure studies from various biomes and  
586 herbivore sizes, both of which have been shown to strongly influence herbivore effects  
587 belowground (Andriuzzi and Wall, 2017). It is likely that the time-dependence of the soil  
588 response to herbivores depends on both the biome and the herbivore size, which could explain  
589 the absence of a general pattern in their study. For example, effects of deer on the soil via  
590 vegetation replacement may be expected to be faster in grassland ecosystems, where plant  
591 tolerance to herbivores is higher, than in forest ecosystems where tree and shrub tolerance to  
592 herbivores is lower and plant regrowth slower (Augustine and McNaughton, 1998).

#### 593 **4. Conclusions**

594 We found that aboveground effects of deer were consistent among the three study systems,  
595 reflecting a temporal shift in the vegetation in response to deer presence that was consistent  
596 with plant growth patterns and requirements. The effects of deer on soil properties and  
597 organisms were time-dependent. The belowground response to deer was driven by waste

598 deposition and trampling in the short-term and by trampling and vegetation shift in the long-  
599 term. Long-term changes in soil compaction and pH by deer contributed to a modification of  
600 soil prokaryotic community structure and composition. Detection of changes in soil chemical  
601 and biological properties by deer in temperate forests, therefore, requires long-term studies  
602 which are currently scarce in the literature.

603

604 **5. Acknowledgements**

605 This research was financially supported by the France Canada Research Fund (FCRF), the “The  
606 Llgay gwii sdiihlda: Restoring Balance project” from Parks Canada, the Mitacs Globalink  
607 Research Award, NSERC Discovery Grant Funding, UBC Forestry IMAJO Award and the funding  
608 ‘Equipe de Recherche Junior’ from the LabEx CeMEB. It also received in kind and funds from  
609 RGIS, and critical local supports including help from the Laskeek Bay Conservation Society. We  
610 would like to thank Maria Continentino, Yonadav Anbar, Dylan Mendenhall, Max Bullock, Paul  
611 Rosang and Arnaud Capron for their support in the field and in the laboratory.

612

613

## 6. References

- 615 Allombert, S., Gaston, A.J., Martin, J.-L., 2005a. A natural experiment on the impact of overabundant  
 616 deer on songbird populations. *Biol. Conserv.* 126, 1–13.  
 617 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2005.04.001>
- 618 Allombert, S., Stockton, S., Martin, J.-L., 2005b. A Natural Experiment on the Impact of Overabundant  
 619 Deer on Forest Invertebrates. *Conserv. Biol.* 19, 1917–1929.
- 620 Andriuzzi, W.S., Wall, D.H., 2017. Responses of belowground communities to large aboveground  
 621 herbivores: Meta-analysis reveals biome-dependent patterns and critical research gaps. *Glob.*  
 622 *Change Biol.* 23, 3857–3868. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13675>
- 623 Apprill, A., McNally, S., Parsons, R., Weber, L., 2015. Minor revision to V4 region SSU rRNA 806R gene  
 624 primer greatly increases detection of SAR11 bacterioplankton. *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.* 75, 129–137.  
 625 <https://doi.org/10.3354/ame01753>
- 626 Aßhauer, K.P., Wemheuer, B., Daniel, R., Meinicke, P., 2015. Tax4Fun: predicting functional profiles from  
 627 metagenomic 16S rRNA data. *Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl.* 31, 2882–2884.  
 628 <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv287>
- 629 Augustine, D.J., McNaughton, S.J., 1998. Ungulate Effects on the Functional Species Composition of Plant  
 630 Communities: Herbivore Selectivity and Plant Tolerance. *J. Wildl. Manag.* 62, 1165.  
 631 <https://doi.org/10.2307/3801981>
- 632 Ball, R., Keeney, D.R., Thoebald, P.W., Nes, P., 1979. Nitrogen Balance in Urine-affected Areas of a New  
 633 Zealand Pasture 1. *Agron. J.* 71, 309–314.  
 634 <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj1979.00021962007100020022x>
- 635 Bardgett, R.D., Bowman, W.D., Kaufmann, R., Schmidt, S.K., 2005. A temporal approach to linking  
 636 aboveground and belowground ecology. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 20, 634–641.  
 637 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2005.08.005>
- 638 Bardgett, R.D., Keiller, S., Cook, R., Gilburn, A.S., 1998. Dynamic interactions between soil animals and  
 639 microorganisms in upland grassland soils amended with sheep dung: a microcosm experiment.  
 640 *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 30, 531–539. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717\(97\)00146-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(97)00146-6)
- 641 Bardgett, R.D., Leemans, D.K., Cook, R., Hobbs, P.J., 1997. Seasonality of the soil biota of grazed and  
 642 ungrazed hill grasslands. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 29, 1285–1294. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717\(97\)00019-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(97)00019-9)
- 643
- 644 Bardgett, R.D., Wardle, D.A., 2003. Herbivore-Mediated Linkages between Aboveground and  
 645 Belowground Communities. *Ecology* 84, 2258–2268.
- 646 Binkley, D., Singer, F., Kaye, M., Rochelle, R., 2003. Influence of elk grazing on soil properties in Rocky  
 647 Mountain National Park. *For. Ecol. Manag.* 185, 239–247. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127\(03\)00162-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(03)00162-2)
- 648
- 649 Black, A.S. (Charles S.U., 1992. Soil acidification in urine- and urea-affected soil. *Aust. J. Soil Res. Aust.*
- 650 Blanchet, F.G., Legendre, P., Borcard, D., 2008. Forward Selection of Explanatory Variables. *Ecology* 89,  
 651 2623–2632. <https://doi.org/10.1890/07-0986.1>
- 652 Braun-Blanquet, J., 1932. Plant sociology. The study of plant communities. First ed. *Plant Sociol. Study*  
 653 *Plant Communities First Ed.*
- 654 Bressette, J.W., Beck, H., Beauchamp, V.B., 2012. Beyond the browse line: complex cascade effects  
 655 mediated by white-tailed deer. *Oikos* 121, 1749–1760. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2011.20305.x>
- 656
- 657 Brunner, E., Domhof, S., Langer, F., 2001. Nonparametric Analysis of Longitudinal Data in Factorial  
 658 Experiments, 1 edition. ed. Wiley-Interscience, New York, NY.

659 Burke, D.J., Carrino-Kyker, S.R., Hoke, A., Cassidy, S., Bialic-Murphy, L., Kalisz, S., 2019. Deer and invasive  
660 plant removal alters mycorrhizal fungal communities and soil chemistry: Evidence from a long-  
661 term field experiment. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 128, 13–21.  
662 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.09.031>

663 Callahan, B.J., McMurdie, P.J., Rosen, M.J., Han, A.W., Johnson, A.J.A., Holmes, S.P., 2016. DADA2: High-  
664 resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat. Methods* 13, 581–583.  
665 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869>

666 Cambi, M., Certini, G., Neri, F., Marchi, E., 2015. The impact of heavy traffic on forest soils: A review. *For.*  
667 *Ecol. Manag.* 338, 124–138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2014.11.022>

668 Cardinal, E., Martin, J.-L., Côté, S.D., 2012. Large herbivore effects on songbirds in boreal forests: lessons  
669 from deer introduction on Anticosti Island. *Écoscience* 19, 38–47. <https://doi.org/10.2980/19-1-3441>

670

671 Chapin, F.S., Oechel, W.C., Van Cleve, K., Lawrence, W., 1987. The role of mosses in the phosphorus  
672 cycling of an Alaskan black spruce forest. *Oecologia* 74, 310–315.  
673 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00379375>

674 Chollet, S., Baltzinger, C., Ostermann, L., Saint-André, F., Martin, J.-L., 2013a. Importance for forest plant  
675 communities of refuges protecting from deer browsing. *For. Ecol. Manag.* 289, 470–477.  
676 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2012.10.043>

677 Chollet, S., Baltzinger, C., Saout, S.L., Martin, J.-L., 2013b. A better world for bryophytes? A rare and  
678 overlooked case of positive community-wide effects of browsing by overabundant deer.  
679 *Ecoscience* 20, 352–360.

680 Chollet, S., Maillard, M., Schörghuber, J., Grayston, S.J., Martin, J.-L., 2020. Deer slow down litter  
681 decomposition by reducing litter quality in a temperate forest. *Ecology* 0, e03235.  
682 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.3235>

683 Chollet, S., Padié, S., Stockton, S., Allombert, S., Gaston, A.J., Martin, J.-L., 2016. Positive plant and bird  
684 diversity response to experimental deer population reduction after decades of uncontrolled  
685 browsing. *Divers. Distrib.* 22, 274–287. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12393>

686 Clifford, R.J., Milillo, M., Prestwood, J., Quintero, R., Zurawski, D.V., Kwak, Y.I., Waterman, P.E., Lesho,  
687 E.P., Gann, P.M., 2012. Detection of Bacterial 16S rRNA and Identification of Four Clinically  
688 Important Bacteria by Real-Time PCR. *PLOS ONE* 7, e48558.  
689 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0048558>

690 Cline, L.C., Zak, D.R., Upchurch, R.A., Freedman, Z.B., Peschel, A.R., 2017. Soil microbial communities and  
691 elk foraging intensity: implications for soil biogeochemical cycling in the sagebrush steppe. *Ecol.*  
692 *Lett.* 20, 202–211. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12722>

693 Cornelissen, J.H.C., Quested, H.M., van Logtestijn, R.S.P., Pérez-Harguindeguy, N., Gwynn-Jones, D., Díaz,  
694 S., Callaghan, T.V., Press, M.C., Aerts, R., 2006. Foliar Ph as a New Plant Trait: Can It Explain  
695 Variation in Foliar Chemistry and Carbon Cycling Processes among Subarctic Plant Species and  
696 Types? *Oecologia* 147, 315–326.

697 Côté, S.D., Rooney, T.P., Tremblay, J.-P., Dussault, C., Waller, D.M., 2004. Ecological Impacts of Deer  
698 Overabundance. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 35, 113–147.  
699 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.35.021103.105725>

700 Dick, W.A., Tabatabai, M.A., 1977. An Alkaline Oxidation Method for Determination of Total Phosphorus  
701 in Soils1. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 41, 511–514.  
702 <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1977.03615995004100030015x>

703 Dray, S., Bauman, D., Blanchet, G., Borcard, D., Clappe, S., Guenard, G., Jombart, T., Larocque, G.,  
704 Legendre, P., Madi, N., Wagner, H., 2019. *adespatial: Multivariate Multiscale Spatial Analysis.*

705 Duncan, K., Holdaway, R., 1989. Footprint pressures and locomotion of moas and ungulates and their  
706 effects on the new zealand indigenous biota through trampling. *N. Z. J. Ecol.* 12, 97–101.

707 Eldridge, D.J., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Travers, S.K., Val, J., Oliver, I., Hamonts, K., Singh, B.K., 2017.  
708 Competition drives the response of soil microbial diversity to increased grazing by vertebrate  
709 herbivores. *Ecology* 98, 1922–1931. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.1879>

710 Engelstoft, C., 2001. Effects of Sitka Black-tailed Deer (*Odocoileus hemionus sitkensis*) on Understorey in  
711 Old-growth forest on Haida Gwaii (Queen Charlotte Islands). University of Victoria.

712 Fierer, N., Jackson, R.B., 2006. The diversity and biogeography of soil bacterial communities. *Proc. Natl.*  
713 *Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 103, 626–631. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0507535103>

714 Finzi, A.C., Canham, C.D., van Breemen, N., 1998. Canopy Tree-Soil Interactions within Temperate  
715 Forests: Species Effects on pH and Cations. *Ecol. Appl.* 8, 447–454.  
716 <https://doi.org/10.2307/2641084>

717 Fuller, R.J., Gill, R.M.A., 2001. Ecological impacts of increasing numbers of deer in British woodland. *For.*  
718 *Int. J. For. Res.* 74, 193–199. <https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/74.3.193>

719 Furusawa, H., Hino, T., Takahashi, H., Kaneko, S., 2016. Nitrogen leaching from surface soil in a  
720 temperate mixed forest subject to intensive deer grazing. *Landsc. Ecol. Eng.* 12, 223–230.  
721 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11355-016-0296-4>

722 Gass, T.M., Binkley, D., 2011. Soil nutrient losses in an altered ecosystem are associated with native  
723 ungulate grazing. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 48, 952–960.

724 Gill, R.M.A., 1992. A Review of Damage by Mammals in North Temperate Forests: 3. Impact on Trees  
725 and Forests. *For. Int. J. For. Res.* 65, 363–388. <https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/65.4.363-a>

726 Haichar, F. el Z., Marol, C., Berge, O., Rangel-Castro, J.I., Prosser, J.I., Balesdent, J., Heulin, T., Achouak,  
727 W., 2008. Plant host habitat and root exudates shape soil bacterial community structure. *ISME J.*  
728 2, 1221–1230. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2008.80>

729 Harrison, K.A., Bardgett, R.D., 2008. Impacts of Grazing and Browsing by Large Herbivores on Soils and  
730 Soil Biological Properties, in: Gordon, I.J., Prins, H.H.T. (Eds.), *The Ecology of Browsing and*  
731 *Grazing*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 201–216.  
732 [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-72422-3\\_8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-72422-3_8)

733 Hartmann, M., Niklaus, P.A., Zimmermann, S., Schmutz, S., Kremer, J., Abarenkov, K., Lüscher, P.,  
734 Widmer, F., Frey, B., 2014. Resistance and resilience of the forest soil microbiome to logging-  
735 associated compaction. *ISME J.* 8, 226–244. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2013.141>

736 Hood-Nowotny, R., Umana, N.H.-N., Inselbacher, E., Lachouani, P.O., Wanek, W., 2010. Alternative  
737 Methods for Measuring Inorganic, Organic, and Total Dissolved Nitrogen in Soil. *Soil Sci. Soc.*  
738 *Am. J.* 74, 1018.

739 Iida, T., Soga, M., Koike, S., 2018. Large herbivores affect forest ecosystem functions by altering the  
740 structure of dung beetle communities. *Acta Oecologica* 88, 65–70.  
741 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actao.2018.03.003>

742 Kumbasli, M., Makineci, E., Cakir, M., 2010. Long term effects of red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) grazing on  
743 soil in a breeding area. *J. Environ. Biol.* 185–188.

744 Maidak, B.L., Olsen, G.J., Larsen, N., Overbeek, R., McCaughey, M.J., Woese, C.R., 1996. The Ribosomal  
745 Database Project (RDP). *Nucleic Acids Res.* 24, 82–85. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/24.1.82>

746 Martin, J.-L., Stockton, S.A., Allombert, S., Gaston, A.J., 2010. Top-down and bottom-up consequences of  
747 unchecked ungulate browsing on plant and animal diversity in temperate forests: lessons from a  
748 deer introduction. *Biol. Invasions* 12, 353–371. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-009-9628-8>

749 Meidenger, D.V., Pojar, J., 1991. *Ecosystems of British Columbia* [WWW Document]. URL  
750 <https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/pubs/Docs/Srs/Srs06.htm> (accessed 8.13.19).

751 Murphy, J., Riley, J.P., 1962. A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in  
752 natural waters. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 27, 31–36. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670\(00\)88444-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670(00)88444-5)

753 Murray, B.D., Webster, C.R., Bump, J.K., 2013. Broadening the ecological context of ungulate—  
754 ecosystem interactions: the importance of space, seasonality, and nitrogen. *Ecology* 94, 1317–  
755 1326.

756 Niwa, S., Mariani, L., Kaneko, N., Okada, H., Sakamoto, K., 2011. Early-stage impacts of sika deer on  
757 structure and function of the soil microbial food webs in a temperate forest: A large-scale  
758 experiment. *For. Ecol. Manag.* 261, 391–399. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2010.10.024>

759 Noguchi, K., Gel, Y.R., Brunner, E., Konietzschke, F., 2012. nparLD: An R Software Package for the  
760 Nonparametric Analysis of Longitudinal Data in Factorial Experiments. *J. Stat. Softw.* 50, 1–23.  
761 <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v050.i12>

762 Nuttle, T., Yerger, E.H., Stoleson, S.H., Ristau, T.E., 2011. Legacy of top-down herbivore pressure  
763 ricochets back up multiple trophic levels in forest canopies over 30 years. *Ecosphere* 2, 1–11.  
764 <https://doi.org/10.1890/ES10-00108.1>

765 Oksanen, J., Blanchet, G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., Minchin, P., O’Hara, R.,  
766 Simpson, G., Solymos, P., Stevens, H., Szoecs, E., Wagner, H., 2019. *vegan: Community Ecology*  
767 *Package*.

768 Parada, A.E., Needham, D.M., Fuhrman, J.A., 2016. Every base matters: assessing small subunit rRNA  
769 primers for marine microbiomes with mock communities, time series and global field samples.  
770 *Environ. Microbiol.* 18, 1403–1414. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13023>

771 Pastor, J., Dewey, B., Naiman, R., McInnes, P., Cohen, Y., 1993. Moose browsing and soil fertility in the  
772 boreal forests of Isle Royale National Park. *Ecology* 74, 467–480.

773 Pastor, J., Naiman, R.J., Dewey, B., McInnes, P., 1988. Moose, Microbes, and the Boreal Forest.  
774 *BioScience* 38, 770–777. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1310786>

775 Peschel, A.R., Zak, D.R., Cline, L.C., Freedman, Z., 2015. Elk, sagebrush, and saprotrophs: indirect top-  
776 down control on microbial community composition and function. *Ecology* 96, 2383–2393.  
777 <https://doi.org/10.1890/15-0164.1>

778 Ramirez, J.I., Jansen, P.A., Poorter, L., 2018. Effects of wild ungulates on the regeneration, structure and  
779 functioning of temperate forests: A semi-quantitative review. *For. Ecol. Manag.* 424, 406–419.  
780 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.05.016>

781 Relva, M.A., Castán, E., Mazzarino, M.J., 2014. Litter and soil properties are not altered by invasive deer  
782 browsing in forests of NW Patagonia. *Acta Oecologica, Ecosystem Impacts of Invasive Species*  
783 54, 45–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actao.2012.12.006>

784 Sabo, A.E., Frerker, K.L., Waller, D.M., Kruger, E.L., 2017. Deer-mediated changes in environment  
785 compound the direct impacts of herbivory on understory plant communities. *J. Ecol.* 105,  
786 1386–1398. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12748>

787 Schrama, M., Veen, G.F. (Ciska), Bakker, E.S. (Liesbeth), Ruifrok, J.L., Bakker, J.P., Olf, H., 2013. An  
788 integrated perspective to explain nitrogen mineralization in grazed ecosystems. *Perspect. Plant*  
789 *Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 15, 32–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2012.12.001>

790 Sørensen, L.H., Mikola, J., Kytöviita, M.-M., Olofsson, J., 2009. Trampling and Spatial Heterogeneity  
791 Explain Decomposer Abundances in a Sub-Arctic Grassland Subjected to Simulated Reindeer  
792 Grazing. *Ecosystems* 12, 830–842.

793 Stockton, S.A., Allombert, S., Gaston, A.J., Martin, J.-L., 2005. A natural experiment on the effects of high  
794 deer densities on the native flora of coastal temperate rain forests. *Biol. Conserv.* 126, 118–128.  
795 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2005.06.006>

796 Sutherland Brown, A., 1968. *Geology of the Queen Charlotte Islands, British Columbia*, B.C. Ministry of  
797 Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources Bulletin 54. ed.



- 798 Suzuki, M., Ito, E., 2014. Combined effects of gap creation and deer exclusion on restoration of  
799 belowground systems of secondary woodlands: A field experiment in warm-temperate monsoon  
800 Asia. *For. Ecol. Manag.* 329, 227–236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2014.06.028>
- 801 Takada, M., Baba, Y.G., Yanagi, Y., Terada, S., Miyashita, T., 2008. Contrasting Responses of Web-  
802 Building Spiders to Deer Browsing Among Habitats and Feeding Guilds. *Environ. Entomol.* 37,  
803 938–946. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/37.4.938>
- 804 Takatsuki, S., 2009. Effects of sika deer on vegetation in Japan: A review. *Biol. Conserv., The*  
805 *Conservation and Management of Biodiversity in Japan* 142, 1922–1929.  
806 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2009.02.011>
- 807 Vila, B., Torre, F., Guibal, F., Martin, J.-L., 2004. Can we reconstruct browsing history and how far back?  
808 Lessons from *Vaccinium parvifolium* Smith in Rees. *For. Ecol. Manag.* 201, 171–185.
- 809 Wardle, D.A., Bardgett, R.D., Klironomos, J.N., Setälä, H., van der Putten, W.H., Wall, D.H., 2004.  
810 Ecological Linkages between Aboveground and Belowground Biota. *Science* 304, 1629–1633.
- 811 Wardle, D.A., Barker, G.M., Yeates, G.W., Bonner, K.I., Ghani, A., 2001. Introduced Browsing Mammals in  
812 New Zealand Natural Forests: Aboveground and Belowground Consequences. *Ecol. Monogr.* 71,  
813 587–614. [https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9615\(2001\)071\[0587:IBMINZ\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9615(2001)071[0587:IBMINZ]2.0.CO;2)
- 814 Watanabe, F.S., Olsen, S.R., 1965. Test of an Ascorbic Acid Method for Determining Phosphorus in Water  
815 and NaHCO<sub>3</sub> Extracts from Soil. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 29, 677.  
816 <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1965.03615995002900060025x>
- 817 Weatherburn, M.W., 1967. Phenol-hypochlorite reaction for determination of ammonia. *Anal. Chem.*  
818 39, 971–974. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac60252a045>

819

820

821


822 **7. Appendices**

823 **Table A1** – Modified Braun-Blanket scale used for estimating plant species cover in the  
824 vegetation surveys.

<b>Cover class</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>G</b>	<b>H</b>	<b>I</b>	<b>J</b>
<b>% cover range</b>	<0.25	0.25-0.5	0.5-1	1-5	5-15	15-25	25-50	50-75	75-95	95-100
<b>Midpoint (%)</b>	0.125	0.375	0.75	3	10	20	37.5	62.5	85	97.5

825

826




827  
 828 **Figure A1** – Rarefaction curves in **A)** the recent deer cull system, **B)** the deer enclosure system  
 829 and **C)** the deer colonisation system. The dashed line indicates the reads number value at which  
 830 data were rarefied in each system.

831  
 832


833 **Table A2** – Results of the statistical tests in each system and for each variable. Cull = recent  
834 deer cull system, Exc. = deer enclosure system and Col. = deer colonisation system. F1-LD-F1  
835 nparLD test, paired Wilcoxon test and Wilcoxon test were used for the three systems  
836 respectively. Statistical values given for the recent deer cull system correspond to the  
837 interaction between treatments and year of sampling. Values in bold and underlined  
838 correspond to significant p-value < 0.05 that were attributed to a deer effect. Values in bold  
839 correspond to significant p-value < 0.05, but that were not attributed to any deer effect. Values  
840 in bold and italic correspond to marginally significant p-value < 0.1 that were attributed to a  
841 deer effect.

Variables	Statistic			p-value		
	1/Cull	2/Exc.	3/Col.	1/Cull	2/Exc.	3/Col.
<i>Vegetation</i>						
Shannon vasc.	3.78	183	114	<b><u>0.0097</u></b>	<b><u>7e<sup>-05</sup></u></b>	<b><u>0.04</u></b>
Conifer	-	117.5	29.5	-	0.38	<b><u>0.01</u></b>
Forb	6.30	145.5	78	<b><u>0.0043</u></b>	<b><u>0.001</u></b>	0.98
Graminoid	1.32	11.5	17.5	0.27	0.74	<b><u>5.5e<sup>-04</sup></u></b>
Pteridophyte	1.34	62	147	0.26	0.08	<b><u>1.3e<sup>-04</sup></u></b>
Shrub	2.26	179	154	0.11	<b><u>2.1e<sup>-04</sup></u></b>	<b><u>3e<sup>-05</sup></u></b>
Shanon bryo.	4.42	114	30	<b><u>0.0035</u></b>	0.47	<b><u>0.01</u></b>
Bryophyte	5.20	13.5	9.5	<b><u>0.0049</u></b>	<b><u>0.002</u></b>	<b><u>2.3e<sup>-04</sup></u></b>
<i>Soil</i>						
Penetrometer	0.45	0	0	0.59	<b><u>1.4e<sup>-04</sup></u></b>	<b><u>3e<sup>-05</sup></u></b>
SWC	0.15	127	5	0.91	0.21	<b><u>1e<sup>-05</sup></u></b>
pH	4.39	107	152	<b><u>0.0067</u></b>	0.64	<b><u>5e<sup>-05</sup></u></b>
%C	1.02	51	50	0.39	<b><u>0.08</u></b>	0.15
%N	1.87	73	83	0.12	0.4	0.77
C:N	0.91	95	56	0.44	1	0.27
Total P	2.46	127	118	<b><u>0.057</u></b>	0.21	<b><u>0.02</u></b>
NH4	2.32	70	70	<b><u>0.077</u></b>	0.33	0.73
NO3	1.65	37	61.5	0.19	0.58	0.30



870  
 871 **Figure A2** – Relative Treatment Effect (RTE) in the recent deer cull system for plant and soil  
 872 variables showing a significant interaction between the treatment and the year of the cull. The  
 873 RTE is the probability that a value randomly sampled in the entire dataset is lower than the  
 874 value randomly sampled in a sub-dataset (Noguchi et al., 2012). It represents the interaction  
 875 between two factors, here ‘Time and ‘Treatment’. Bars correspond to the 95% confidence  
 876 intervals.

877




878

879 **Figure A3** – Variables found to be significantly different between inside (IN) and outside (OUT)  
 880 enclosures in the deer enclosure system. Vascular plant diversity is represented with the  
 881 Shannon index. Plant covers are expressed in %. Penetration resistance is expressed in kg/cm<sup>2</sup>.

882

883




884

885 **Figure A4** – Plant and soil variables that differed significantly between un-colonised islands and  
 886 islands colonised by deer for more than 70 years in the deer colonisation system. Plant  
 887 diversities are represented with the Shannon index. Plant covers are expressed in %.  
 888 Penetration resistance is expressed in kg/cm<sup>2</sup>. Soil Water Content (SWC) is expressed in  
 889 percent. Total phosphorus (P) is expressed in µg P/g dry soil.

890

891



892

893 **Figure A5** – Redundancy Analysis (RDA) on the OTUs and the environmental variables selected  
 894 by forward selection for the deer colonisation systems. Percent values correspond to the  
 895 variation in soil prokaryotic community explained by the PCA axes, and calculated by variation  
 896 partitioning.

897

898

899

900