

Belowground effects of deer in a temperate forest are time-dependent

M. Maillard, Jean-Louis Martin, Simon Chollet, C. Catomeris, Léna Simon,

S.J. Grayston

▶ To cite this version:

M. Maillard, Jean-Louis Martin, Simon Chollet, C. Catomeris, Léna Simon, et al.. Belowground effects of deer in a temperate forest are time-dependent. Forest Ecology and Management, 2021, 493, pp.119228. 10.1016/j.foreco.2021.119228. hal-03248480

HAL Id: hal-03248480 https://hal.science/hal-03248480v1

Submitted on 9 May 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Belowground effects of deer in a temperate forest are time dependent

- 3
- 4
- 5 Morgane Maillard^{a,b}, Jean-Louis Martin^a, Simon Chollet^c, Catriona Catomeris^b, Léna Simon^a, Sue
- 6 J. Grayston^b
- 7
- ⁸ ^a Centre d'Écologie Fonctionnelle et Évolutive, UMR 5175, Université de Montpellier CNRS –
- 9 EPHE IRD Université Paul Valéry, 1919 route de Mende, 34293 Montpellier, France.
- 10 morgane.maillard@free.fr
- 11 jean-louis.martin@cefe.cnrs.fr
- ^b Faculty of Forestry, University of British Columbia, 2424 Main Mall, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4,
- 13 British Columbia, Canada
- 14 sue.grayston@ubc.ca
- 15 c.catomeris@alumni.ubc.ca
- ^c Rennes 1 University, ECOBIO UMR CNRS 6553,2 rue du Thabor, 35065 Rennes, France
- 17 simon.chollet@univ-rennes1.fr
- 18
- 19 **Corresponding author:** Morgane Maillard, morgane.maillard@free.fr, Centre d'Écologie
- 20 Fonctionnelle et Évolutive, 1919 route de Mende, 34293 Montpellier, France.

Belowground effects of deer in a temperate forest are time dependent

3

4 Abstract

5 The past century witnessed a dramatic increase in deer abundance in North America, Western Europe, and Japan, that triggered profound changes in the vegetation structure of temperate 6 7 forests. Considering the effects large herbivores can have on soil properties and organisms, it is likely that such increased deer abundance will have consequences belowground. Current 8 9 studies in temperate forests, however, found inconsistent results regarding the effect of deer on soils within, and across, ecosystems. These inconsistencies may be the result of a time-10 dependent response of the soil to deer presence. Short-term belowground modifications may 11 12 reflect the direct interactions of deer on soil (i.e. trampling and waste deposition), while long-13 term belowground modifications may reflect both direct and indirect effects of deer on soil (e.g. through vegetation shifts). To test these ideas, we measured the effects of deer on soil 14 15 properties and prokaryotic communities in the temperate forests of Haida Gwaii, Canada. We compared three complementary systems varying in duration of deer presence or exclusion, so 16 17 as to be able to assess the short- (before and after a deer cull), intermediate- (inside vs. outside 18 deer exclosures) and long- (comparing islands with and without deer) term effects of deer, 19 respectively. We found no change in soil physical and chemical properties and in prokaryotic community structure after one year of deer removal. Twenty years of deer exclusion 20 significantly reduced soil compaction but had no effect on soil prokaryotic community 21 22 structure. Over 70 years of deer presence significantly correlated with: increased soil

23	compaction, reduced total soil phosphorus content and soil prokaryotic diversity, and modified
24	soil prokaryotic community structure and composition. Such effects of deer on the soil may
25	have consequences for nutrient cycling. Revealing the belowground effects of deer in
26	temperate forests, therefore, requires long-term studies, longer than most of those currently
27	available in the literature.
28	
29	Keywords: above-belowground interactions, soil properties, prokaryotic communities,
30	trampling, aboveground herbivores, vegetation shift.
31	

1. Introduction

51

34 Large herbivores can influence belowground soil properties and communities directly through trampling and waste deposition, and indirectly through plant removal (Bardgett and Wardle, 35 36 2003; Schrama et al., 2013). To date, interactions between large herbivores and soil have been highlighted for a broad range of ecosystems and herbivores, from sheep-grazed pastures to 37 moose-browsed old-growth boreal forests (Andriuzzi and Wall, 2017; Bardgett et al., 1997; 38 39 Pastor et al., 1993). The effects of large herbivores on soils depend on ecosystem characteristics 40 such as ecosystem type, climate, herbivore size, and soil properties (Andriuzzi and Wall, 2017; 41 Bardgett and Wardle, 2003; Schrama et al., 2013). Soil properties and organisms are central to 42 carbon and nutrient recycling (Wardle et al. 2004). As a result, belowground modifications caused by large herbivores can have major feedbacks on ecosystem functioning and on 43 44 aboveground organisms through the acceleration or the deceleration of these biogeochemical cycles (Bardgett and Wardle, 2003; Wardle et al., 2004). 45 The past century witnessed a dramatic increase in deer abundance at continental scales in 46 47 temperate forests of North America, Western Europe, and Japan (Côté et al., 2004; Fuller and 48 Gill, 2001; Takatsuki, 2009). This massive increase has triggered major changes in the structure of temperate forests including the prevention of tree regeneration, a reduction in understory 49 biomass, the modification of understory plant composition, and negative reverberating effects 50

52 et al., 2004; Martin et al., 2010; Nuttle et al., 2011; Ramirez et al., 2018; Takada et al., 2008)].

on other trophic layers such as birds and insects [see among others (Cardinal et al., 2012; Côté

53 Considering the interactions between large herbivores and soil described above, increased deer

54 abundance in temperate forests may have significant consequences belowground. In forest 55 ecosystems, the effects of large herbivores on soil have been predicted to be driven mainly by the reduction of litter quantity and quality. Such reduction is a consequence of the promotion 56 57 of less palatable plant species due to selective browsing, that surmounts the effects of nutrient input from dung and urine deposition (Bardgett and Wardle, 2003; Chollet et al., 2020). As a 58 59 result, a negative effect of deer on nutrient availability and biological activity is expected in 60 forest ecosystems (Bardgett and Wardle, 2003). Current studies on the belowground effects of deer in temperate forests, however, found inconsistent results within, and across, systems 61 (Bardgett et al., 1998; Bardgett and Wardle, 2003; Harrison and Bardgett, 2008). For example, 62 the effects of deer on soil properties were found to be significant (e.g. Bressette et al., 2012; 63 64 Gass and Binkley, 2011; Niwa et al., 2011), neutral (Relva et al. 2014), or idiosyncratic (Wardle 65 et al., 2001; Harrison and Bardgett, 2004). In light of the profound aboveground modification of forest ecosystems by persistent abundant deer populations, understanding the interactions 66 between deer and soil, and being able to predict their effects on edaphic properties and 67 68 processes, is a forest management and conservation necessity. It is also essential for a comprehensive understanding of ecological processes in temperate forests. 69

We hypothesised that some of the discrepancies currently observed across and within belowground studies in temperate forests may result from the approaches and methodologies used. Particularly, the length of the study could act as a key confounding factor. To date, the method of choice to study deer effects on ecosystems has been by excluding deer from fenced areas known as exclosures. The comparison of ecosystem characteristics inside and outside of

75 exclosures over time provides information on the ecosystem's resilience following deer exclusion and, therefore, on the effects deer have exerted on the ecosystem. The duration of 76 77 exclusion varies widely across studies. Exclusion usually lasts in the range of a decade 78 (Andriuzzi and Wall, 2017); however, the mechanisms through which deer interact with soil are 79 not all operating at the same temporal and spatial scale. Changes in the plant community could 80 take decades and operate at the ecosystem scale, while the deposition of dung or urine, or its 81 cessation through deer exclusion or severe cull, are local and near instantaneous processes. Time since deer exclusion must, therefore, play a key role in the patterns revealed by exclosure 82 studies. 83

To test the hypothesis of the importance of study length, we compared the effect of different 84 85 deer browsing histories on soil ecosystem properties and soil prokaryotic communities in a 86 temperate forest. We used three complementary systems varying in length of deer presence or exclusion to assess the short-, intermediate- and long-term effects of deer. We conducted our 87 88 study on the Canadian archipelago of Haida Gwaii (B.C., Canada), where Sitka black-tailed deer (Odocoileus hemionus sitkensis), introduced over 100 years ago, inhabit all but a few islands. 89 90 We first followed the short-term effects of deer in response to a recent deer cull on Ramsay Island (Haida Gwaii). In this system, we assessed the rapid (one month after the cull) to short-91 term (one year after the cull) responses of the vegetation and soil to deer removal. We then 92 93 studied a set of 20-year old deer exclosures distributed on Graham Island, the largest of the 94 archipelago's islands, where deer have been present since the late 1800s to early 1900s. This 95 deer exclosure system enabled us to compare the medium-term (20 years) effects of total deer

96 exclusion to the effects of a century-long presence of an abundant deer population. Finally, we
97 took advantage of a unique situation on Haida Gwaii where deer colonisation of the archipelago
98 resulted in the presence, in close proximity, of a small number of islands that had never been
99 colonised by deer, and islands that had been colonised for more than 70 years at the time of
100 this study (Vila et al., 2004). In this third system, the comparison of the deer-colonised islands
101 to the un-colonised islands allowed us to study the long-term effects of deer colonisation on
102 the soil.

103 We predicted that the short-term modifications of the belowground subsystem, investigated using our recent deer cull study system, would be driven by the direct interaction of deer with 104 105 edaphic properties through trampling and/or waste deposition. The local-scale nature of waste 106 deposition by deer and the soil-type specific response to compaction may, therefore, explain part of the idiosyncrasies observed within and among short studies (Murray et al., 2013; 107 108 Schrama et al., 2013). Conversely, the indirect effects of large herbivores via changes in the 109 vegetation composition and structure should be longer-term processes acting at the ecosystem 110 scale. Revealing their consequences belowground will, therefore, require lengthier studies (Bardgett et al., 2005). In this respect, we predicted that such indirect effects of deer would 111 drive the differences belowground in our deer exclusion study system and in our deer 112 113 colonisation study system.

- 114 **2. Materials and Methods**
- 115 2.1. Study sites and sampling

116 Haida Gwaii is an archipelago located off the west coast of British Columbia, Canada (latitude 117 53.255, longitude -132.087). The climate is cool, temperate and oceanic. Mean annual 118 temperature and precipitation are 7.6°C and 1349 mm, respectively (Meidenger and Pojar, 119 1991). At low altitude, Haida Gwaii is covered with a coastal temperate rainforest that is 120 dominated by western hemlock (Tsuga heterophylla), western redcedar (Thuja plicata), and 121 Sitka spruce (Picea sitchensis). Soil bedrock geology is volcanic and sedimentary, together with 122 intrusions of sedimentary rocks with basalt (Sutherland Brown, 1968). Soil types range from organic soils that are classified as Folisols, to podzols, brunisols and gleysols (The Canadian 123 System of Soil Classification, 3rd ed.). 124

Sitka black-tailed deer, native to the adjacent mainland, were first introduced to these islands
in 1878 by Europeans for hunting. In the absence of natural predators, deer populations
increased rapidly, modifying the aboveground forest ecosystem (Allombert et al., 2005a, 2005b;
Martin et al., 2010; Stockton et al., 2005). The presence of islands varying in browsing histories
offered a remarkable context for the long-term accumulation of empirical and experimental
data on these aboveground consequences. The 30 year-long accumulation of data provided an
ideal situation to study the impact of deer belowground.

A challenge in using island comparisons is that they may not be subject to the same climate and natural disturbances, which will influence plant community composition and succession, or parent geology which will influence soil type. For this study on the impact of deer belowground we selected islands and study sites in ways to ensure their comparability in the other aspects than deer histories. Within each of our study systems we selected islands in close physical

137 proximity along the east coast of Haida Gwaii with similar annual rainfall (1250 mm) and sites 138 with identical or, at least similar underlying geology (see Table1). Lost, Low, Louise, and Graham 139 Island are formed from rocks from the Yakoun formation (andesite, lapilli tuff, sandstone, shale, 140 coal); Ramsey, Lyell and Tar Island are composed solely of rocks from the Masset formation (basalt flows and breccias, rhyolite ash flows and dacite). All sampling sites within each system 141 142 were similar in altitudinal ranges (50 - 300 m)(coastal and forest interior conditions at similar 143 distance from the shoreline on the island systems, and, for the exclosure system, sites all situated on the Skidegate plateau on Graham Island). We only selected sites situated in mature 144 145 old growth forests that had not been affected by industrial forestry or other recent human 146 land-use. Within each study system these mature primary forest sites belonged to the 147 submontane wet hypermaritime subzone of the Coastal Western Hemlock biogeoclimatic zone 148 (CWHwh1) (Meidenger and Pojar, 1991). Soils on all islands had characteristic organic forest 149 floors, with a carbon content greater than 40% and a F-layer deeper than 10cm. 150 Recent deer cull system: In response to the recognized negative effects of deer on plants, 151 invertebrates, and songbird communities (Martin et al., 2010), and the documented evidence 152 of a potential for recovery (Chollet et al. 2016), Parks Canada launched "The Llgaay gwii sdiihlda: Restoring Balance project" in 2017. The aim of this project was to remove deer 153 154 completely from several islands in order to restore the ecosystems of this protected area. We 155 took advantage of this initiative to study the short-term response of the ecosystem after the 156 very severe deer cull, estimated to have removed more than 80% of the initial deer population. 157 We sampled the vegetation and soil prior to (summer 2016), a month after (summer 2017), and

one year after (summer 2018) the cull on Ramsay Island (Table 1). As controls, we used Tar
Island that had never been colonised by deer, and Lyell Island that had been colonised for over
70 years. We established plots randomly on each island with a minimum distance of 100 m
from the shoreline and between plots (Table 1). Each plot was 20 m x 20 m in size. We surveyed
the vegetation cover in each plot as described below. We sampled the forest floor layer of soil
using a 2.5 cm diameter x 30 cm long soil core. We sampled approximately 100 cores within
each plot and composited them to cover plot heterogeneity.

165 Deer exclosure system: In 1997, 20 years prior to this study, the Research Group on Introduced Species (RGIS) built twenty deer exclosures distributed in pairs at 10 sites across Graham Island 166 167 (Table 1), in the northern half of the archipelago. Deer densities on Graham Island have been 168 estimated at 13 deer/km² (Engelstoft, 2001). Each exclosure was 5 m x 5 m in size and consisted of a 2.4 m high, large-mesh wire fence that prevented deer access. We used this experimental 169 set-up to study the resilience of the vegetation and soil after 20 years of deer exclusion. For this 170 171 system, we sampled vegetation and soil during the summer of 2017. We defined two plots per exclosure - one placed inside and one outside - to compare the vegetation and soil 172 173 characteristics with and without deer exclusion. We set the size of the plots to 4 m x 4 m to account for edge effects in the exclosure. We surveyed the vegetation cover in each plot as 174 175 described below. We sampled and composited into one sample the soil from five small pits 176 randomly dug inside the plot. One exclosure had been destroyed by a fallen tree a few weeks 177 before this study, leaving 19 exclosures to be sampled.

Deer colonisation system: We selected five islands all covered by mature forests - Low, Lost, 178 179 Tar, Louise and Lyell Islands – that differed in deer presence (Table 1). Low, Lost and Tar Islands had never been colonized by deer due to their distance from the coast and difficulty of access. 180 Louise and Lyell Islands have a long colonisation history, with deer being present for more than 181 182 70 years (Vila et al., 2004) at the time of study. Deer density on these islands was estimated to 183 range between 21 and 37 deer/km² (Stockton et al., 2005). We compared these two sets of 184 islands to study the long-term response of the ecosystem to deer presence. For this study system, we sampled the vegetation and soil during the summer of 2017. We established 20 m x 185 20 m plots, surveyed their vegetation (see below) and sampled the soil following the same 186 protocol as for the recent deer cull system. 187

System	Island	Island size	sland size Deer presence		Parent material	
		(ha)				
	Low	9.6	Never colonised	3	Yakoun formation,	
_					porphyritic andesite	
	Lost	7.3	Never colonised	5	Yakoun formation,	
Deer					porphyritic andesite	
colonisation	Tar	6	Never colonised	6	Masset formation,	
coronisation					basalt, rhyolite	
_	Louise	35,000	Colonisation > 70 yrs	4	Yakoun formation,	
_					porphyritic andesite	
	Lyell	> 17,300	Colonisation > 70 yrs	6	Masset formation	
					basalt, rhyolite	
		636,100		19 exclos.	Yakoun formation,	
Deer exclosures	Graham		Inside/Outside.		porphyritic andesite (1	
					exclosures) and	
					Quartenary sediments (18	
					exclosures)	
	Tar	6	No Deer	6	Masset formation, basalt,	
Recent deer cull					rhyolite	
	Lyell	> 17,300	Deer > 70 yrs	6	Masset formation, basalt,	
-					rhyolite	
	Ramsay	1,622.8	Culled	13	Masset formation, basalt,	
					rhyolite	
101						

190	Table 1 – Sampling	locations and	details for the	three study	systems.
-----	--------------------	---------------	-----------------	-------------	----------

2.2. Vegetation survey

For each of the three study systems, we surveyed vascular plant cover in every plot using a
modified Braun-Blanket scale (Braun-Blanquet, 1932) (Table A1). We surveyed bryophyte plant
cover by randomly placing a quadrat on the forest floor twenty times in each plot and recording
bryophyte species presence in each iteration. We used a 5 x 5 cm quadrat for the bryophyte
survey in the deer exclosure system and a 20 x 20 cm quadrat in the other two study systems

(i.e. recent deer cull and deer colonisation system). We estimated the percent cover of each
bryophyte species as the number of occurrences of the species divided by 20 and multiplied by
the total bryophyte cover on the plot. We assigned a percent cover value of 0.01 % to the
bryophytes that were present in the plot but absent from the quadrat survey.

204 **2.3.** Soil physical and chemical properties

We sampled all the soil samples exclusively from the F layer of the forest floor according to the Canadian system of soil classification (The Canadian System of Soil Classification, 3rd ed.), which is biologically the most active soil horizon. Soil samples were kept cool at 4°C for transport back to the laboratory within one month. Soil samples were then sieved to ensure homogenization and kept frozen at -20°C prior to chemical analyses.

210 We measured soil penetration resistance, as a proxy for soil compaction, using a hand-held penetrometer (Gilson HM-500 pocket penetrometer, Lewis, OH, US). We recorded 50 211 212 penetration resistance measurements per plot to account for soil heterogeneity. A logistical mishap prevented us from assessing soil penetration resistance the first year of the recent deer 213 214 cull study system (i.e. 2016, one year before the cull). Soil water content was measured by 215 drying the fresh soil at 105° until constant weight was achieved (~48 hours) and subtracting the 216 dry weight from the fresh weight. We measured soil pH in duplicate on air-dried soil in a 0.01M 217 CaCl₂ solution using a 1:10 (air dry soil : solution) ratio. We measured total soil carbon and nitrogen content (g / g dry soil) on 3.5 mg of freeze-dried soil using an Elementar Vario El Cube 218 219 Analyzer (Elementar, Langenselbold, Germany). We measured total soil phosphorus content (µg /g dry soil of P) in 0.1 g of freeze-dried soil using the sodium hypobromite alkaline oxidation 220

method (Dick and Tabatabai, 1977) followed by the colorimetric method developed by Murphy
and Riley (1962) and modified by Watanabe and Olsen (1965). We extracted soil ammonium
(NH₄) and nitrate (NO₃) (µg / g dry soil of N) in a 2M KCl solution using a 1:10 ratio (fresh soil :
solution). We shook the solution for one hour and filtered through a fiberglass G6 microfilter.
We further analysed the extracts by colorimetry with the phenol-hypochlorite reaction method
for NH₄ quantification (Weatherburn, 1967) and the VCl₃ reduction method for NO₃
quantification (Hood-Nowotny et al., 2010).

228 2.4. Molecular analyses

We extracted soil DNA from 0.05 g of freeze-dried soil using the DNeasy PowerSoil Kit from 229 230 Qiagen (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands). We controlled DNA purity and quantity using both a 231 quantus fluorometer (Promega corporation, Madison, WI, USA) and a nanodrop 232 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Wilmington, DE, USA). We measured soil 233 bacterial abundance by qPCR using a set of general bacterial primers targeting the 16S RNA gene. We used the forward primer U16SRT-F (ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGT) and the reverse 234 235 primer U16SRT-R (TATTACCGCGGCTGCTGGC) designed by Clifford et al. (2012). Reactions were 236 10 μ L with 500 nM of primers, 0.5 μ L of DNA template, 3 μ L of H₂O and 5 μ L of PowerUpTM SYBRTM Green Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc). The conditions of the reactions were 2 237 238 min at 50 °C and 2 min at 95 °C, followed by 40 cycles of 15 s at 95 °C and 1 min at 60 °C. We produced the standard curves using E. coli DNA extracted from DH5 alpha cells (Thermo Fisher 239 240 Scientific Inc., Wilmington, DE, USA). Standard curves were made with seven dilutions starting from 3.025 x 10⁸ copy numbers and with a 1:4 dilution factor. Mean R² and efficiency of the 241

242 reactions were 0.998 and 91.12 % respectively. All the measurements were made in triplicate. 243 Illumina sequencing of the 16S RNA gene took place at the Integrated Microbiome Resource 244 platform in Halifax (NS, Canada) using the primer pair 515F (Parada) – 806R (Apprill) (Apprill et 245 al., 2015; Parada et al., 2016). We used the pipeline DADA2 with the package dada2 and the 246 software R to analyse these sequences (Callahan et al., 2016; R Core Team, 2018). We filtered 247 and trimmed reads using the function *filterAndTrim*. We used the standard filtering parameters 248 of the function and trimmed the reads after the 250 and the 200 nucleotides for the forward 249 and reverse reads, respectively. Error rates were calculated for both forward and reverse reads 250 using the function *learnErrors* and, were used to calculate the number of true sequence 251 variants using the sample inference algorithm of DADA2. The denoised forward and reverse 252 reads were then merged using the function mergePairs. Chimeras were removed using the 253 function removeBimeraDenovo with the method "consensus". At the end of the reads cleaning, 254 we retained a total of 16603, 5939, 8628 Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) for the recent 255 deer cull, deer exclosure, and deer colonisation systems, respectively. Rarefaction curves are 256 given on Figure A1. One sample ("OB1OUT") from the deer exclosure system had a low 257 sequencing depth; we therefore removed this exclosure from the analysis (Figure A1). We 258 rarefied samples to the minimum read count in each system using the function 259 rarefy_even_depth from the package phyloseq in R. Rarefaction did not change the results of 260 the analysis. We assigned taxonomy with the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) database to 261 genus level (Maidak et al., 1996).

262 2.5. Data analyses

263 We calculated vascular plant, bryophyte, and prokaryotic alpha diversities using the Shannon 264 index. We used Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to visualise the effect of deer on the 265 environmental factors measured (plant and soil characteristics) for the three systems. We 266 performed PCA on normalised data using the function *prcomp* from the package stats on R (R 267 Core Team, 2018). We assessed differences in aboveground properties, belowground 268 properties and prokaryotic abundance and diversities between treatments with the nparLD 269 function with a F1-LD-F1 design for the recent deer cull system (Noguchi et al., 2012), a paired 270 Wilcoxon test for the deer exclosures system, and a Wilcoxon test for the deer colonisation 271 system. The *nparLD* method applied with a F1-LD-F1 design is suitable for nonparametric 272 analysis of paired data in factorial experiments with one whole-plot factor and one sub-plot 273 factor design (Brunner et al., 2001).

274 We Hellinger-transformed OTUs prior to any further analyses of the microbial community 275 structure. We assessed the significance of the difference in microbial community structure 276 among treatments with a PERMANOVA using the function *adonis* from the package vegan in R 277 (Oksanen et al., 2019). We calculated the β diversity of the prokaryotic community in each 278 treatment with the function betadisper of the package vegan, using the group centroid analysis 279 (Oksanen et al., 2019) and the Bray Curtis distance. We used a Redundancy Analysis (RDA) to 280 investigate the correlation between the plant and the soil data and the soil prokaryotic 281 community. We performed the RDA using the function *rda* from the package vegan in R. We 282 first realised the RDA using the first axes of the PCA realised on the plant and soil data as 283 explanatory variables. For the deer colonisation system, we also realised a RDA using the 284 vegetation and soil variables, that we selected by forward selection. Prior to the variable

selection, we verified the significance of the model resulting from the RDA on all explanatory
variables using an ANOVA with 999 permutations, as recommended by Blanchet et al. (2008).
We ran the forward selection on all the explanatory variables using the function *forward.sel*from the package adespatial on R (Dray et al., 2019). We corrected p-values for multiple testing
using the function *p.adjust* from the package stats, and with the method 'holm'. We calculated
the percent variation of the soil prokaryotic community explained by the explanatory variables
with the function *varpart* from the package vegan.

292 **3. Results**

293 **3.1.** Deer affected understory vegetation in a consistent way across the three study 294 systems

In the recent deer cull system, the first axis of the PCA discriminated vegetation from the plots 295 296 on the islands that have or had deer present ('present' and 'culled' treatments) from plots on 297 islands without deer ('absent' treatment) (Figure 1A). The second PCA axis discriminated 298 between years of sampling (Figure 1A). Interaction between treatments and year of sampling 299 was significant for the vascular plant and bryophyte diversities, and the bryophyte and forb 300 cover (Table A2 and Figure A2). Among these variables, bryophyte diversity decreased the year 301 after the cull, while vascular plant diversity and forb cover increased the year after the cull 302 (Table A2 and Figure A2). Concerning bryophyte cover we found a change through time on both 303 culled and control islands, indicating that these change cannot be attributed to the deer cull 304 treatment (Figure A2).

In the deer exclosure system, the first axis of the PCA fully discriminated the vegetation data
according to deer presence or absence (Figure 1B). Vascular plant diversity, shrub cover and
forb cover were significantly higher inside the 20-year-old deer exclosures (Table A2 and Figure
A3). Conversely, bryophyte cover was significantly lower with deer exclusion (Table A2 and
Figure A3).

310 In the deer colonisation system, we found a pattern of deer effect on the plant community 311 structure similar to the one we observed in the deer exclosure system (Figure 1B). Vascular 312 plant diversity, shrub cover, and pteridophyte cover were lower on the islands colonised by deer for over 70 years when compared to the islands without deer (Table A2 and Figure A4). 313 314 Conversely, bryophyte diversity and cover, graminoid cover, and conifer cover were higher on 315 islands colonised by deer for over 70 years when compared to islands without deer (Figure 1B, Table A2, and Figure A4). On the first axis of the PCA, vegetation plots from the exclosure 316 317 system had coordinates intermediate between those from islands without deer and those from long-term colonised islands (Figure 1B). 318



Figure 1 – PCA showing discrimination of the plant community structure in A) the recent deer cull system and B) the deer exclosures and the deer colonisation systems. Plant community structure includes the percent cover of the different guilds and the vascular and bryophyte diversity. The symbols *, $^{\Delta}$ and $^{\diamond}$ indicate the variable significantly different between treatments in the recent deer cull, the deer exclosure, and the deer colonisation system respectively. t₋₁, t₀ and t₊₁ correspond to the year before, the month after and the year after the cull respectively. IN = plots inside deer exclosure, OUT = plots outside deer exclosure.

319

328 **3.2.** Soil physical and chemical properties responded differently to deer presence in the

329

three study systems

In the recent deer cull system, soil properties from the island without deer and those from the island with deer discriminated along the first axis of the PCA (Figure 2A). The second axis of the PCA discriminated soils between years of sampling, with lower scores observed for the sampling done the year before and the month after the cull, and higher scores observed for the sampling done the year after the cull. The interaction between year of sampling and treatment was significant for soil pH and total phosphorus (Table A2), but was not correlated to the cull

336	(Figure A2). The interaction was marginally significant for soil ammonium, and corresponded to
337	a decrease in soil ammonium the month following the cull (Figure A2, $W = 70$, p-value = 0.08).
338	In the deer exclosure system, soils taken from inside and outside exclosures were segregated by
339	the PCA axes (Figure 2B) as a result of a significantly higher soil penetration resistance outside
340	of the exclosures (Table A2 and Figure A3, W = 0, p-value < 0.001). The other soil properties did
341	not differ significantly between the inside and outside of the exclosures (Table A2).
342	In the deer colonisation system, soil properties discriminated plots across treatments on the
343	second axis of the PCA (Figure 2B). Samples from islands with long-term deer presence had a
344	significantly higher water content, lower pH and lower total phosphorus (Table A2 and Figure
345	A4, W = 5, p-value < 0.001; W = 152, p-value < 0.001and W = 118, p-value = 0.02, respectively).
346	Soil penetration resistance was three times higher on islands with long-term deer presence
347	(Table A2 and Figure A4, W = 0, p-value < 0.001).



Figure 2 – PCA showing discrimination of the soil physical and chemical properties in A) the 351 recent deer cull system and B) the deer exclosures and the deer colonisation systems. Soil 352 properties include the following variables: SWC = Soil Water Content, P = total phosphorus 353 content, N = percent nitrogen content, C = percent carbon content, C:N = ratio carbon to 354 nitrogen, NH4 = ammonium, NO3 = nitrate, and soil penetration resistance. The symbols $*, \Delta$ 355 and [•] indicate the variable significantly different between treatments in the recent deer cull, 356 the deer exclosure, and the deer colonisation system respectively. t_{-1} , t_0 and t_{+1} correspond to 357 the year before, the month after and the year after the cull respectively. IN = plots inside deer 358 359 exclosures, OUT = plots outside deer exclosure.

350

361

363

362 **3.3**.

in the deer colonisation system

364 We retained a total of 18,542 unique Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) after filtering and

Soil prokaryotic community structure was significantly modified by deer, but only

- rarefaction across the three systems, with 82.8 % of the total OTUs shared among the three
- 366 study systems. On average, 99.5 % of the OTUS belonged to the Bacterial kingdom. They were
- 367 classified into 608 genera from 229 families, 71 classes and 32 phyla. 0.5 % of the OTUs

368 belonged to the Archaeal kingdom. The archaeal family *Nitrososphaera* from the

369 Thaumarchaeota phylum largely dominated the archaeal population with an average

- 370 representation of 86.7 % across treatments and systems. The ten most important prokaryotic
- 371 genera across treatments and systems were *Mycobacterium*, *Conexibacter*, *Aquisphaera*,

Bradyrhizobium, Actinoallomurus, Roseiarcus, Singulisphaera, Burkholderia, Povalibacter and
Gaiella.

374 In the recent deer cull system, although soil prokaryotic abundance and α diversity increased 375 significantly with the year of sampling (Figure 3A, F = 59.1, p-value < 0.001; and Figure 3D, F =8.81, p-value < 0.001 respectively), the interaction between treatments and the year of 376 377 sampling was not significant, indicating that the cull did not drive soil prokaryotic abundance 378 and diversity (Figure 3A, F = 0.37, p-value = 0.74; and Figure 3D, F = 1.83, p-value = 0.15 respectively). Similarly, the interaction between year and treatment was not significant for the 379 380 β diversity of the soil prokaryotic community (Figure 3G, F = 1.70, p-value = 0.16). The 381 PERMANOVA showed significant differences in the soil prokaryotic community composition 382 both between treatments and years of sampling (F = 10.45, p-value = 0.001 and F = 4.24, p-383 value = 0.001 respectively). Differences in soil prokaryotic community composition between treatments were correlated with the first axes of the PCA realised on the vegetation and soil 384 variables, which accounted for 4.0 % and 9.2 % of the variation, respectively (Figure 4A). 385 386 However, the interaction between treatment and year of sampling was not significant (F = 0.84, 387 p-value = 0.831), indicating that the change over time was the same for the three treatments 388 and could not be attributed to the deer cull.



389

Figure 3 – Soil prokaryotic community structure. Soil microbial abundance in **A**) the recent deer cull system, **B**) the deer exclosures system and **C**) the deer colonisation system. Soil prokaryotic a diversity in **D**) the recent deer cull system, **E**) the deer exclosures system and **F**) the deer colonisation system. Soil prokaryotic β diversity within each treatment in **G**) the recent deer cull system, **H**) the deer exclosures system and **I**) the deer colonisation system. t-1, t₀ and t+1 correspond to the year before, the month after and the year after the cull respectively. IN = plots inside deer exclosures, OUT = plots outside deer exclosure.

398

399 α diversity and β diversity after 20 years of deer exclusion (Figure 3B, W = 103, p-value = 0.768; 400 Figure 3E, W = 89, p-value = 0.899; and Figure 3H, W = 92, p-value = 0.80 respectively). 401 Similarly, we found no significant difference in the soil prokaryotic community composition 402 after 20 years of deer exclusion, as evidenced by the overlap of communities in the plots from 403 inside and outside deer exclosures in Figure 4B (F = 0.781, p-value = 0.297). 404 In the deer colonisation system, the difference in soil prokaryotic abundance was marginally 405 significant between islands without deer and islands with deer for over 70 years (Figure 3C, W = 406 44, p-value = 0.08), with a higher bacterial abundance in soil samples from the islands without 407 deer. Prokaryotic α diversity was significantly higher in soils from islands without deer than on 408 the islands with deer present for over 70 years (Figure 3F, W = 41, p-value = 0.05). The β 409 diversity of the soil prokaryotic community was not significantly different between the islands without deer and the islands colonised for more than 70 years (Figure 3I, W = 104, p-value = 410 411 0.15). Soil prokaryotic community composition was significantly different between the islands 412 colonised for more than 70 years and the islands without deer (Figure 4B, F = 7.21, p-value = 413 0.001). The difference in soil prokaryotic community composition was correlated with the first 414 and second axes of the PCA based on the soil variables, and the first axis of the PCA based on the plant variables (Figure 4B). Mainly, the RDA analysis revealed that differences in soil 415 416 prokaryotic community structure between islands colonised and un-colonised by deer were 417 mainly correlated with soil pH and soil penetration resistance (Figure A5). Soil penetration

In the deer exclosure system, we found no significant differences in soil prokaryotic abundance,

resistance accounted for 7 % of the variation in soil prokaryotic community structure, with high
scores being associated with soil samples from islands colonised by deer for more than 70 years
(Figure A5). Soil pH accounted for 5 % of the variation in soil prokaryotic community structure,
with high scores being associated with soil from islands that have never been colonised by deer
(Figure A5).



423

Figure 4 – Redundancy Analysis (RDA) on the OTUs and the axes of the PCA realised on the plant and the soil data for A) the recent deer cull system and B) the deer exclosures and the deer colonisation systems. Percent values correspond to the variation in soil prokaryotic community explained by the PCA axes, and calculated by variation partitioning.

- 428
- 429

430 Discussion

431 Current studies investigating the belowground effects of deer in temperate forests have found

432 inconsistent results within, and across, systems (Bardgett et al., 1998; Bardgett and Wardle,

2003; Harrison and Bardgett, 2008). In this study, we compared three different approaches
varying in length of deer presence and exclusion to investigate the effects of deer belowground.
While the effects of deer on the vegetation were consistent among the three study systems, we
found that the response of the soil properties and organisms to deer pressure depended on the
approach used (see Figure 5 for a synthesis).

438

EFFECTS OF DEER ABOVEGROUND



439

440

Figure 5 – Effects of deer above- and belowground as concluded from the three different study methods. Boxes in red, blue and green represent the recent deer cull, the deer exclosure and the deer colonisation systems respectively. Plant guilds included in the more-palatable plants are: shrubs, forbs and pteridophytes (Stockton et al., 2005). Plant guilds included in the lesspalatable plants are: bryophytes, conifers and graminoids (Chollet et al., 2013b; Stockton et al., 2005).

449

450

451 3.4. Different study methods lead to different conclusions on the effects of deer 452 belowground

453 Aboveground, we found across the three study systems that deer presence significantly 454 reduced vascular plant abundance and diversity, and significantly promoted the dominance of less-palatable conifers and unpalatable bryophytes. Such modification of the plant community 455 456 composition is in agreement with previous studies on the same islands (Chollet et al., 2016, 457 2013b, 2013a; Stockton et al., 2005) and in other temperate forests of the world (e.g. Horsley et 458 al. 2003, Côté et al. 2004, Boulanger et al. 2018). The longer deer were present, the stronger the modifications, and the more plant guilds involved (Figure 1, Table A2). The differences in 459 vegetation structure we documented across the three systems, therefore, reflect different 460 stages of a consistent response of the vegetation to deer presence (Figure 5). 461 462 Belowground, however, the response of soil physical and chemical properties to deer presence and removal differed among our three study systems. In the recent deer cull system, soil 463 ammonium was the only edaphic variable that changed following deer removal. The marginal 464 465 decrease in soil ammonium concentration in the month following the deer cull could be explained by the sudden cessation of urine input, which constitutes a source of ammonium to 466 467 the soil. However, the moderate decrease in ammonium did not persist to the year following 468 the cull, nor was there a change in nitrate or total N, indicating a transitory process. Soil

469 penetration resistance, a proxy for soil compaction, was found to be higher with deer presence 470 in both the deer exclosures and the deer colonisation system. The high foot pressure of 471 ungulates can indeed induce physical compaction of the soil (Duncan and Holdaway, 1989). Soil 472 compaction values inside the deer exclosures were similar to those observed on islands never 473 colonised by deer, indicating that twenty years of deer exclusion were sufficient to restore 474 initial soil bulk density (Figures S3 and S4). This reversion of soil compaction following deer exclusion was not correlated with other changes in edaphic properties in the deer exclosure 475 476 system. Higher soil water retention has been documented previously as a direct consequence 477 of soil compaction (Cambi et al., 2015). We did not observe such differences in soil water 478 content between the less-compacted soils sampled inside, and the more-compacted soils 479 sampled outside deer exclosures. However, in the deer colonisation system, soil water content 480 was significantly higher after 70 years of deer presence (Table A2, Figure A4). An explanation 481 for this discrepancy between the two systems could come from the heavy rains that occurred 482 during the soil sampling in the exclosures, which might have brought the soil samples close to 483 their water holding capacity (average soil water content was 601 % ± 183 % and 311 % ± 85 % for the deer exclosures and the deer colonisation system respectively). We further found that 484 485 total phosphorus and soil pH were significantly altered after 70 years of deer presence (Supplementary material Appendix, Table A2, Figure A4). The lower levels of soil phosphorus 486 487 observed on islands colonised by deer may be the consequence of the higher cover of 488 bryophytes. Mosses have been shown to sequester large quantities of phosphorus in coniferous 489 forests (Chapin et al., 1987). The acidification of the soil after long-term deer presence may be 490 explained by the higher relative abundance of both conifers and moss, whose litters have been 27 shown to be acidic (Cornelissen et al., 2006; Finzi et al., 1998). Long-term urine deposition by
deer might also explain this acidification, as ammonia input to soil may stimulate nitrification
with consequent production of H⁺ ions (Ball et al., 1979; Black, 1992).

494 Contrary to what we might have expected in response to the replacement of palatable plants (nutrient-rich) by unpalatable plants (nutrient-poor) (Pastor et al., 1993), we did not observe 495 496 changes in the soil C:N in any of the three study systems. This result is consistent with the fact 497 that litter C:N was not modified by deer despite the drastic modification of the plant community composition on these islands (Chollet et al., 2020). This result is also consistent with 498 499 the results of the exclosure study by Binkley et al. (2003), who found no change in soil C:N after 500 35 years of elk exclusion in the Rocky Mountain National Park (United States). Similarly, deer 501 presence or absence for more than one month did not affect the concentration of soil inorganic 502 nor total nitrogen in our study, which suggests a resilience of the soil to the local addition or 503 removal of dung and urine inputs. Indeed, dung deposition did not influence carbon or nitrogen 504 decomposition at the ecosystem level on these same islands (Chollet et al., 2020).

505 We found that the soil prokaryotic community structure was significantly affected by deer, but 506 only in the deer colonisation study system. In both the recent deer cull and the deer exclosure 507 system, soil prokaryotic abundance, α and β diversities and composition were indeed not 508 affected after one month, one year, nor twenty years of deer removal or exclusion. This lack of 509 influence of deer on the soil prokaryotic community structure in the short-term or medium-510 term is consistent with previous results found in western North American, Patagonian, and New 511 Zealand temperate forests (Gass and Binkley, 2011; Relva et al., 2014; Wardle et al., 2001).

512 In the deer colonisation system, we found that soil microbial biomass tended to decrease after 513 70 years of deer colonisation, which is consistent with the results found in boreal and Japanese 514 temperate forests (Niwa et al., 2011; Pastor et al., 1988). In Australian woodlands, Eldridge et 515 al. (2017) found that grazing by domestic and wild herbivores increased bacterial diversity 516 through the exclusion of Actinobacteria, the competitive microbial phylum, due to a reduction 517 in soil carbon content. In contrast, we observed a reduction in prokaryotic diversity, which was 518 driven by a shift in composition rather than a modification of taxa abundance, in response to 70 years of deer presence. The lower prokaryotic α diversity in soils from islands colonised by deer 519 520 could result from the simplification of the vegetation observed aboveground. Low belowground diversity may, indeed, be linked to low aboveground diversity as a consequence of reduced 521 522 litter and root exudate diversity (Haichar et al., 2008; Wardle et al., 2004). Conversely, β 523 diversity of the soil prokaryotic communities was not modified by deer, suggesting that the 524 simplification of the vegetation by deer does not lead to a homogenisation of the soil 525 prokaryotic community in our system. Deer colonisation was an important factor structuring 526 the soil prokaryotic community (Figure 4B and S5). The differences in soil prokaryotic 527 community structure were partly due to the significantly lower soil pH on islands colonised by 528 deer. This result is not surprising considering that soil pH has been shown to be one of the 529 major edaphic properties structuring soil microbial communities (Fierer and Jackson, 2006). Soil 530 penetration resistance, which was significantly higher on islands with deer, also explained part 531 of the variation in soil prokaryote communities between islands colonised and un-colonised by deer. Soil compaction has been linked to a reduction in microbial abundance and the 532 533 modification of microbial composition towards microbes adapted to low oxygen availability

534 (Hartmann et al., 2014). Similarly, simulated trampling has been shown to decrease soil 535 microbial biomass in sub-arctic grasslands (Sørensen et al., 2009). The lack of difference in the 536 soil prokaryotic community structure observed in the deer exclosure system, where soil 537 penetration resistance was strongly alleviated by deer exclusion, is therefore surprising (Figure 4 and Figure A3). This result suggests that it is not only the level of compaction, but also the 538 539 duration, that plays a role in restructuring the soil microbial community. The absence of 540 variation in the soil microbial communities of elk grazed and un-grazed temperate forests after 15 years of elk exclusion, despite significant reduction in soil compaction by elk exclusion, 541 542 supports this hypothesis (Gass and Binkley, 2011). Previous studies found a top-down regulation of the microbial community structure by wild 543 544 ungulates in a sagebrush steppe (Cline et al., 2017; Peschel et al., 2015), in an alpine grassland 545 (Yang 2013) and in Australian woodlands (Eldridge et al., 2017). Our results show that such top-546 down regulation also operates in temperate forests. However, this modification was only 547 observed after more than 70 years of deer presence, suggesting that regulation of the soil

548 prokaryotic communities by deer is a slow process in such ecosystems.

549 **3.5.** Effects of deer belowground: the importance of study duration

550 Our three study approaches led to diverse results when investigating the effects of deer 551 belowground. The comparison of the results found among these approaches suggests that the 552 modifications of the ecosystem components by deer are time dependant (Figure 5).

553 Aboveground, changes in the plant community in response to deer presence or removal were

relatively fast and consistent, because they are primarily the result of direct negative impacts of

browsing and trampling. Belowground, changes in edaphic properties varied according to the length of deer presence or exclusion. Consistent with our prediction, short- and intermediateterm effects of deer belowground were probably the result of the direct interactions of deer on the soil (i.e. dung and urine deposition and trampling). Long-term effects of deer belowground appeared to be the result of both direct interaction, due to trampling, and indirect interaction due to a vegetation shift.

561 Deer density has previously been shown to play a significant role in the extent of the belowground response to deer in temperate forests (Ramirez et al., 2018). Our results highlight 562 563 that difference in study duration among studies can be another confounding factor when comparing findings on the effects of deer belowground. Currently, the method of choice to 564 565 study the impact of large herbivores, exclosures, generally last in the range of a decade 566 (Andriuzzi and Wall, 2017). The longest period of deer exclusion in temperate forests has been 567 investigated by Wardle et al. (2001) in New Zealand. The authors found idiosyncratic effects of 568 20 to 50 years of deer exclusion on soil properties and communities, with responses to deer 569 exclusions varying from site to site without apparent consistency among sites. In our study, the 570 effects of deer on soil chemistry (pH and total phosphorus) and soil prokaryotes were 571 detectable after 70 years of deer colonisation. This suggests that several decades are necessary 572 to observe non-idiosyncratic effects of deer belowground. 573 The effect of deer on soil compaction particularly illustrates the time-dependence of different 574 soil responses observed among studies. Indeed, previous studies in temperate forests did not

575 find an impact of deer on soil compaction for deer exclusion that lasted less than 15 years

576 (Burke et al., 2019; Furusawa et al., 2016; Relva et al., 2014; Suzuki and Ito, 2014). However,

577 consistent positive effects of deer on soil compaction were observed for studies lasting over 15 578 years (Gass and Binkley, 2011; lida et al., 2018; Kumbasli et al., 2010; Sabo et al., 2017). This is 579 consistent with our study, where one year of deer exclusion after a deer cull did not change soil 580 penetration resistance, whereas, twenty years of deer exclusion and 70 years of deer presence 581 significantly decreased or increased compaction, respectively (Table A2).

582 In their meta-analysis on the effect of the exclusion of wild herbivores on the soil, Andriuzzi and 583 Wall (2017) found that time since herbivore exclusion, which was ranging from less than 5 years to more than 50 years, was the weakest predictor of soil microbial community structure. 584 585 However, their analysis combined results of exclosure studies from various biomes and herbivore sizes, both of which have been shown to strongly influence herbivore effects 586 587 belowground (Andriuzzi and Wall, 2017). It is likely that the time-dependence of the soil 588 response to herbivores depends on both the biome and the herbivore size, which could explain 589 the absence of a general pattern in their study. For example, effects of deer on the soil via vegetation replacement may be expected to be faster in grassland ecosystems, where plant 590 591 tolerance to herbivores is higher, than in forest ecosystems where tree and shrub tolerance to herbivores is lower and plant regrowth slower (Augustine and McNaughton, 1998). 592

593 **4.** Conclusions

We found that aboveground effects of deer were consistent among the three study systems, reflecting a temporal shift in the vegetation in response to deer presence that was consistent with plant growth patterns and requirements. The effects of deer on soil properties and organisms were time-dependent. The belowground response to deer was driven by waste

deposition and trampling in the short-term and by trampling and vegetation shift in the longterm. Long-term changes in soil compaction and pH by deer contributed to a modification of
soil prokaryotic community structure and composition. Detection of changes in soil chemical
and biological properties by deer in temperate forests, therefore, requires long-term studies
which are currently scarce in the literature.

5. Acknowledgements

This research was financially supported by the France Canada Research Fund (FCRF), the "The
Llgaay gwii sdiihlda: Restoring Balance project" from Parks Canada, the Mitacs Globalink
Research Award, NSERC Discovery Grant Funding, UBC Forestry IMAJO Award and the funding
'Equipe de Recherche Junior' from the LabEx CeMEB. It also received in kind and funds from
RGIS, and critical local supports including help from the Laskeek Bay Conservation Society. We
would like to thank Maria Continentino, Yonadav Anbar, Dylan Mendenhall, Max Bullock, Paul
Rosang and Arnaud Capron for their support in the field and in the laboratory.

614 **6. References**

615 Allombert, S., Gaston, A.J., Martin, J.-L., 2005a. A natural experiment on the impact of overabundant 616 deer on songbird populations. Biol. Conserv. 126, 1–13. 617 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2005.04.001 618 Allombert, S., Stockton, S., Martin, J.-L., 2005b. A Natural Experiment on the Impact of Overabundant 619 Deer on Forest Invertebrates. Conserv. Biol. 19, 1917–1929. 620 Andriuzzi, W.S., Wall, D.H., 2017. Responses of belowground communities to large aboveground 621 herbivores: Meta-analysis reveals biome-dependent patterns and critical research gaps. Glob. 622 Change Biol. 23, 3857–3868. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13675 623 Apprill, A., McNally, S., Parsons, R., Weber, L., 2015. Minor revision to V4 region SSU rRNA 806R gene 624 primer greatly increases detection of SAR11 bacterioplankton. Aquat. Microb. Ecol. 75, 129–137. 625 https://doi.org/10.3354/ame01753 626 Aßhauer, K.P., Wemheuer, B., Daniel, R., Meinicke, P., 2015. Tax4Fun: predicting functional profiles from 627 metagenomic 16S rRNA data. Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl. 31, 2882–2884. 628 https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv287 Augustine, D.J., McNaughton, S.J., 1998. Ungulate Effects on the Functional Species Composition of Plant 629 630 Communities: Herbivore Selectivity and Plant Tolerance. J. Wildl. Manag. 62, 1165. 631 https://doi.org/10.2307/3801981 632 Ball, R., Keeney, D.R., Thoebald, P.W., Nes, P., 1979. Nitrogen Balance in Urine-affected Areas of a New 633 Zealand Pasture 1. Agron. J. 71, 309–314. https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj1979.00021962007100020022x 634 Bardgett, R.D., Bowman, W.D., Kaufmann, R., Schmidt, S.K., 2005. A temporal approach to linking 635 aboveground and belowground ecology. Trends Ecol. Evol. 20, 634–641. 636 637 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2005.08.005 638 Bardgett, R.D., Keiller, S., Cook, R., Gilburn, A.S., 1998. Dynamic interactions between soil animals and 639 microorganisms in upland grassland soils amended with sheep dung: a microcosm experiment. 640 Soil Biol. Biochem. 30, 531-539. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(97)00146-6 Bardgett, R.D., Leemans, D.K., Cook, R., Hobbs, P.J., 1997. Seasonality of the soil biota of grazed and 641 ungrazed hill grasslands. Soil Biol. Biochem. 29, 1285–1294. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-642 643 0717(97)00019-9 644 Bardgett, R.D., Wardle, D.A., 2003. Herbivore-Mediated Linkages between Aboveground and 645 Belowground Communities. Ecology 84, 2258–2268. 646 Binkley, D., Singer, F., Kaye, M., Rochelle, R., 2003. Influence of elk grazing on soil properties in Rocky 647 Mountain National Park. For. Ecol. Manag. 185, 239–247. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-648 1127(03)00162-2 649 Black, A.S. (Charles S.U., 1992. Soil acidification in urine- and urea-affected soil. Aust. J. Soil Res. Aust. 650 Blanchet, F.G., Legendre, P., Borcard, D., 2008. Forward Selection of Explanatory Variables. Ecology 89, 651 2623-2632. https://doi.org/10.1890/07-0986.1 652 Braun-Blanquet, J., 1932. Plant sociology. The study of plant communities. First ed. Plant Sociol. Study 653 Plant Communities First Ed. 654 Bressette, J.W., Beck, H., Beauchamp, V.B., 2012. Beyond the browse line: complex cascade effects 655 mediated by white-tailed deer. Oikos 121, 1749–1760. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-656 0706.2011.20305.x 657 Brunner, E., Domhof, S., Langer, F., 2001. Nonparametric Analysis of Longitudinal Data in Factorial 658 Experiments, 1 edition. ed. Wiley-Interscience, New York, NY.

- Burke, D.J., Carrino-Kyker, S.R., Hoke, A., Cassidy, S., Bialic-Murphy, L., Kalisz, S., 2019. Deer and invasive
 plant removal alters mycorrhizal fungal communities and soil chemistry: Evidence from a long term field experiment. Soil Biol. Biochem. 128, 13–21.
- 662 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.09.031
- Callahan, B.J., McMurdie, P.J., Rosen, M.J., Han, A.W., Johnson, A.J.A., Holmes, S.P., 2016. DADA2: Highresolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat. Methods 13, 581–583.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869
- Cambi, M., Certini, G., Neri, F., Marchi, E., 2015. The impact of heavy traffic on forest soils: A review. For.
 Ecol. Manag. 338, 124–138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2014.11.022
- Cardinal, E., Martin, J.-L., Côté, S.D., 2012. Large herbivore effects on songbirds in boreal forests: lessons
 from deer introduction on Anticosti Island. Écoscience 19, 38–47. https://doi.org/10.2980/19-1 3441
- 671 Chapin, F.S., Oechel, W.C., Van Cleve, K., Lawrence, W., 1987. The role of mosses in the phosphorus
 672 cycling of an Alaskan black spruce forest. Oecologia 74, 310–315.
 673 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00379375
- 674 Chollet, S., Baltzinger, C., Ostermann, L., Saint-André, F., Martin, J.-L., 2013a. Importance for forest plant
 675 communities of refuges protecting from deer browsing. For. Ecol. Manag. 289, 470–477.
 676 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2012.10.043
- 677 Chollet, S., Baltzinger, C., Saout, S.L., Martin, J.-L., 2013b. A better world for bryophytes? A rare and
 678 overlooked case of positive community-wide effects of browsing by overabundant deer.
 679 Ecoscience 20, 352–360.
- Chollet, S., Maillard, M., Schörghuber, J., Grayston, S.J., Martin, J.-L., 2020. Deer slow down litter
 decomposition by reducing litter quality in a temperate forest. Ecology 0, e03235.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.3235
- Chollet, S., Padié, S., Stockton, S., Allombert, S., Gaston, A.J., Martin, J.-L., 2016. Positive plant and bird
 diversity response to experimental deer population reduction after decades of uncontrolled
 browsing. Divers. Distrib. 22, 274–287. https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12393
- Clifford, R.J., Milillo, M., Prestwood, J., Quintero, R., Zurawski, D.V., Kwak, Y.I., Waterman, P.E., Lesho,
 E.P., Gann, P.M., 2012. Detection of Bacterial 16S rRNA and Identification of Four Clinically
 Important Bacteria by Real-Time PCR. PLOS ONE 7, e48558.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0048558
- 690 Cline, L.C., Zak, D.R., Upchurch, R.A., Freedman, Z.B., Peschel, A.R., 2017. Soil microbial communities and
 691 elk foraging intensity: implications for soil biogeochemical cycling in the sagebrush steppe. Ecol.
 692 Lett. 20, 202–211. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12722
- Cornelissen, J.H.C., Quested, H.M., van Logtestijn, R.S.P., Pérez-Harguindeguy, N., Gwynn-Jones, D., Díaz,
 S., Callaghan, T.V., Press, M.C., Aerts, R., 2006. Foliar Ph as a New Plant Trait: Can It Explain
 Variation in Foliar Chemistry and Carbon Cycling Processes among Subarctic Plant Species and
 Types? Oecologia 147, 315–326.
- Côté, S.D., Rooney, T.P., Tremblay, J.-P., Dussault, C., Waller, D.M., 2004. Ecological Impacts of Deer
 Overabundance. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 35, 113–147.
- 699 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.35.021103.105725
- Dick, W.A., Tabatabai, M.A., 1977. An Alkaline Oxidation Method for Determination of Total Phosphorus
 in Soils1. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 41, 511–514.
- 702 https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1977.03615995004100030015x
- Dray, S., Bauman, D., Blanchet, G., Borcard, D., Clappe, S., Guenard, G., Jombart, T., Larocque, G.,
 Legendre, P., Madi, N., Wagner, H., 2019. adespatial: Multivariate Multiscale Spatial Analysis.

705 Duncan, K., Holdaway, R., 1989. Footprint pressures and locomotion of moas and ungulates and their 706 effects on the new zealand indigenous biota through trampling. N. Z. J. Ecol. 12, 97–101. 707 Eldridge, D.J., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Travers, S.K., Val, J., Oliver, I., Hamonts, K., Singh, B.K., 2017. 708 Competition drives the response of soil microbial diversity to increased grazing by vertebrate 709 herbivores. Ecology 98, 1922–1931. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.1879 710 Engelstoft, C., 2001. Effects of Sitka Black-tailed Deer (Odocoileus hemionus sitkensis) on Understorey in 711 Old-growth forest on Haida Gwaii (Queen Charlotte Islands). University of Victoria. 712 Fierer, N., Jackson, R.B., 2006. The diversity and biogeography of soil bacterial communities. Proc. Natl. 713 Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 103, 626-631. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0507535103 714 Finzi, A.C., Canham, C.D., van Breemen, N., 1998. Canopy Tree-Soil Interactions within Temperate 715 Forests: Species Effects on pH and Cations. Ecol. Appl. 8, 447–454. 716 https://doi.org/10.2307/2641084 717 Fuller, R.J., Gill, R.M.A., 2001. Ecological impacts of increasing numbers of deer in British woodland. For. 718 Int. J. For. Res. 74, 193–199. https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/74.3.193 719 Furusawa, H., Hino, T., Takahashi, H., Kaneko, S., 2016. Nitrogen leaching from surface soil in a 720 temperate mixed forest subject to intensive deer grazing. Landsc. Ecol. Eng. 12, 223–230. 721 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11355-016-0296-4 722 Gass, T.M., Binkley, D., 2011. Soil nutrient losses in an altered ecosystem are associated with native 723 ungulate grazing. J. Appl. Ecol. 48, 952–960. 724 Gill, R.M.A., 1992. A Review of Damage by Mammals in North Temperate Forests: 3. Impact on Trees 725 and Forests. For. Int. J. For. Res. 65, 363-388. https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/65.4.363-a 726 Haichar, F. el Z., Marol, C., Berge, O., Rangel-Castro, J.I., Prosser, J.I., Balesdent, J., Heulin, T., Achouak, 727 W., 2008. Plant host habitat and root exudates shape soil bacterial community structure. ISME J. 728 2, 1221–1230. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2008.80 729 Harrison, K.A., Bardgett, R.D., 2008. Impacts of Grazing and Browsing by Large Herbivores on Soils and 730 Soil Biological Properties, in: Gordon, I.J., Prins, H.H.T. (Eds.), The Ecology of Browsing and 731 Grazing. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 201–216. 732 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-72422-3 8 733 Hartmann, M., Niklaus, P.A., Zimmermann, S., Schmutz, S., Kremer, J., Abarenkov, K., Lüscher, P., 734 Widmer, F., Frey, B., 2014. Resistance and resilience of the forest soil microbiome to logging-735 associated compaction. ISME J. 8, 226–244. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2013.141 736 Hood-Nowotny, R., Umana, N.H.-N., Inselbacher, E., Lachouani, P.O.-, Wanek, W., 2010. Alternative 737 Methods for Measuring Inorganic, Organic, and Total Dissolved Nitrogen in Soil. Soil Sci. Soc. 738 Am. J. 74, 1018. 739 lida, T., Soga, M., Koike, S., 2018. Large herbivores affect forest ecosystem functions by altering the 740 structure of dung beetle communities. Acta Oecologica 88, 65–70. 741 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actao.2018.03.003 742 Kumbasli, M., Makineci, E., Cakir, M., 2010. Long term effects of red deer (Cervus elaphus) grazing on 743 soil in a breeding area. J. Environ. Biol. 185–188. 744 Maidak, B.L., Olsen, G.J., Larsen, N., Overbeek, R., McCaughey, M.J., Woese, C.R., 1996. The Ribosomal 745 Database Project (RDP). Nucleic Acids Res. 24, 82–85. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/24.1.82 746 Martin, J.-L., Stockton, S.A., Allombert, S., Gaston, A.J., 2010. Top-down and bottom-up consequences of 747 unchecked ungulate browsing on plant and animal diversity in temperate forests: lessons from a 748 deer introduction. Biol. Invasions 12, 353-371. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-009-9628-8 749 Meidenger, D.V., Pojar, J., 1991. Ecosystems of British Columbia [WWW Document]. URL 750 https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/pubs/Docs/Srs/Srs06.htm (accessed 8.13.19).

Murphy, J., Riley, J.P., 1962. A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in 751 752 natural waters. Anal. Chim. Acta 27, 31–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670(00)88444-5 753 Murray, B.D., Webster, C.R., Bump, J.K., 2013. Broadening the ecological context of ungulate— 754 ecosystem interactions: the importance of space, seasonality, and nitrogen. Ecology 94, 1317-755 1326. 756 Niwa, S., Mariani, L., Kaneko, N., Okada, H., Sakamoto, K., 2011. Early-stage impacts of sika deer on 757 structure and function of the soil microbial food webs in a temperate forest: A large-scale 758 experiment. For. Ecol. Manag. 261, 391–399. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2010.10.024 759 Noguchi, K., Gel, Y.R., Brunner, E., Konietschke, F., 2012. nparLD: An R Software Package for the 760 Nonparametric Analysis of Longitudinal Data in Factorial Experiments. J. Stat. Softw. 50, 1–23. 761 https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v050.i12 762 Nuttle, T., Yerger, E.H., Stoleson, S.H., Ristau, T.E., 2011. Legacy of top-down herbivore pressure 763 ricochets back up multiple trophic levels in forest canopies over 30 years. Ecosphere 2, 1–11. 764 https://doi.org/10.1890/ES10-00108.1 765 Oksanen, J., Blanchet, G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., Minchin, P., O'Hara, R., 766 Simpson, G., Solymos, P., Stevens, H., Szoecs, E., Wagner, H., 2019. vegan: Community Ecology 767 Package. 768 Parada, A.E., Needham, D.M., Fuhrman, J.A., 2016. Every base matters: assessing small subunit rRNA 769 primers for marine microbiomes with mock communities, time series and global field samples. 770 Environ. Microbiol. 18, 1403–1414. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13023 771 Pastor, J., Dewey, B., Naiman, R., McInnes, P., Cohen, Y., 1993. Moose browsing and soil fertility in the 772 boreal forests of Isle Royale National Park. Ecology 74, 467-480. 773 Pastor, J., Naiman, R.J., Dewey, B., McInnes, P., 1988. Moose, Microbes, and the Boreal Forest. 774 BioScience 38, 770–777. https://doi.org/10.2307/1310786 775 Peschel, A.R., Zak, D.R., Cline, L.C., Freedman, Z., 2015. Elk, sagebrush, and saprotrophs: indirect top-776 down control on microbial community composition and function. Ecology 96, 2383–2393. 777 https://doi.org/10.1890/15-0164.1 778 Ramirez, J.I., Jansen, P.A., Poorter, L., 2018. Effects of wild ungulates on the regeneration, structure and 779 functioning of temperate forests: A semi-quantitative review. For. Ecol. Manag. 424, 406–419. 780 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.05.016 Relva, M.A., Castán, E., Mazzarino, M.J., 2014. Litter and soil properties are not altered by invasive deer 781 782 browsing in forests of NW Patagonia. Acta Oecologica, Ecosystem Impacts of Invasive Species 783 54, 45-50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actao.2012.12.006 784 Sabo, A.E., Frerker, K.L., Waller, D.M., Kruger, E.L., 2017. Deer-mediated changes in environment 785 compound the direct impacts of herbivory on understorey plant communities. J. Ecol. 105, 786 1386-1398. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12748 787 Schrama, M., Veen, G.F. (Ciska), Bakker, E.S. (Liesbeth), Ruifrok, J.L., Bakker, J.P., Olff, H., 2013. An 788 integrated perspective to explain nitrogen mineralization in grazed ecosystems. Perspect. Plant 789 Ecol. Evol. Syst. 15, 32-44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2012.12.001 790 Sørensen, L.H., Mikola, J., Kytöviita, M.-M., Olofsson, J., 2009. Trampling and Spatial Heterogeneity 791 Explain Decomposer Abundances in a Sub-Arctic Grassland Subjected to Simulated Reindeer 792 Grazing. Ecosystems 12, 830–842. 793 Stockton, S.A., Allombert, S., Gaston, A.J., Martin, J.-L., 2005. A natural experiment on the effects of high 794 deer densities on the native flora of coastal temperate rain forests. Biol. Conserv. 126, 118–128. 795 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2005.06.006 796 Sutherland Brown, A., 1968. Geology of the Queen Charlotte Islands, British Columbia, B.C. Ministry of 797 Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources Bulletin 54. ed.

- Suzuki, M., Ito, E., 2014. Combined effects of gap creation and deer exclusion on restoration of 798 799 belowground systems of secondary woodlands: A field experiment in warm-temperate monsoon 800 Asia. For. Ecol. Manag. 329, 227–236. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2014.06.028 801 Takada, M., Baba, Y.G., Yanagi, Y., Terada, S., Miyashita, T., 2008. Contrasting Responses of Web-802 Building Spiders to Deer Browsing Among Habitats and Feeding Guilds. Environ. Entomol. 37, 803 938–946. https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/37.4.938 804 Takatsuki, S., 2009. Effects of sika deer on vegetation in Japan: A review. Biol. Conserv., The 805 Conservation and Management of Biodiversity in Japan 142, 1922–1929. 806 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2009.02.011 807 Vila, B., Torre, F., Guibal, F., Martin, J.-L., 2004. Can we reconstruct browsing history and how far back? 808 Lessons from Vaccinium parvifolium Smith in Rees. For. Ecol. Manag. 201, 171–185. 809 Wardle, D.A., Bardgett, R.D., Klironomos, J.N., Setälä, H., van der Putten, W.H., Wall, D.H., 2004. 810 Ecological Linkages between Aboveground and Belowground Biota. Science 304, 1629–1633. 811 Wardle, D.A., Barker, G.M., Yeates, G.W., Bonner, K.I., Ghani, A., 2001. Introduced Browsing Mammals in 812 New Zealand Natural Forests: Aboveground and Belowground Consequences. Ecol. Monogr. 71, 813 587-614. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9615(2001)071[0587:IBMINZ]2.0.CO;2 814 Watanabe, F.S., Olsen, S.R., 1965. Test of an Ascorbic Acid Method for Determining Phosphorus in Water 815 and NaHCO3 Extracts from Soil1. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 29, 677. 816 https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1965.03615995002900060025x
- Weatherburn, M.W., 1967. Phenol-hypochlorite reaction for determination of ammonia. Anal. Chem.
 39, 971–974. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac60252a045
- 819
- 820

7. Appendices

Table A1 – Modified Braun-Blanket scale used for estimating plant species cover in the
 vegetation surveys.

Cover class	Α	В	С	D	Ε	F	G	Н	Ι	J
% cover range	<0.25	0.25-0.5	0.5-1	1-5	5-15	15-25	25-50	50-75	75-95	95-100
Midpoint (%)	0.125	0.375	0.75	3	10	20	37.5	62.5	85	97.5
825										



Figure A1 – Rarefaction curves in A) the recent deer cull system, B) the deer exclosure system and C) the deer colonisation system. The dashed line indicates the reads number value at which data were rarefied in each system.

827

Table A2 – Results of the statistical tests in each system and for each variable. Cull = recent deer cull system, Exc. = deer exclosure system and Col. = deer colonisation system. F1-LD-F1 nparLD test, paired Wilcoxon test and Wilcoxon test were used for the three systems respectively. Statistical values given for the recent deer cull system correspond to the interaction between treatments and year of sampling. Values in bold and underlined correspond to significant p-value < 0.05 that were attributed to a deer effect. Values in bold correspond to significant p-value < 0.05, but that were not attributed to any deer effect. Values in bold and italic correspond to marginally significant p-value < 0.1 that were attributed to a deer effect.

842			Statistic			p-value	
843	Variables	1/Cull	2/Exc.	3/Col.	1/Cull	2/Exc.	3/Col.
844							
845	Vegetation						
846	Shannon vasc.	3.78	183	114	<u>0.0097</u>	<u>7e⁻⁰⁵</u>	<u>0.04</u>
847	Conifer	-	117.5	29.5	-	0.38	<u>0.01</u>
848	Forb	6.30	145.5	78	<u>0.0043</u>	<u>0.001</u>	0.98
849	Graminoid	1.32	11.5	17.5	0.27	0.74	<u>5.5e⁻⁰⁴</u>
850	Pteridophyte	1.34	62	147	0.26	0.08	<u>1.3e⁻⁰⁴</u>
851	Shrub	2.26	179	154	0.11	<u>2.1e⁻⁰⁴</u>	<u>3e</u> -05
051	Shanon bryo.	4.42	114	30	<u>0.0035</u>	0.47	<u>0.01</u>
852	Bryophyte	5.20	13.5	9.5	0.0049	<u>0.002</u>	<u>2.3e⁻⁰⁴</u>
853							
854	Soil						
855	Penetrometer	0.45	0	0	0.59	<u>1.4e⁻⁰⁴</u>	<u>3e</u> -05
856	SWC	0.15	127	5	0.91	0.21	<u>1e</u> -05
857	рН	4.39	107	152	0.0067	0.64	<u>5e</u> -05
858	%C	1.02	51	50	0.39	0.08	0.15
859	%N	1.87	73	83	0.12	0.4	0.77
860	C:N	0.91	95	56	0.44	1	0.27
861	Total P	2.46	127	118	0.057	0.21	<u>0.02</u>
862	NH4	2.32	70	70	0.077	0.33	0.73
002	NO3	1.65	37	61.5	0.19	0.58	0.30
803							



870TimeTime871Figure A2 – Relative Treatment Effect (RTE) in the recent deer cull system for plant and soil872variables showing a significant interaction between the treatment and the year of the cull. The873RTE is the probability that a value randomly sampled in the entire dataset is lower than the874value randomly sampled in a sub-dataset (Noguchi et al., 2012). It represents the interaction875between two factors, here 'Time and 'Treatment'. Bars correspond to the 95% confidence876intervals.



Figure A3 – Variables found to be significantly different between inside (IN) and outside (OUT)
 exclosures in the deer exclosure system. Vascular plant diversity is represented with the
 Shannon index. Plant covers are expressed in %. Penetration resistance is expressed in kg/cm².



Figure A4 – Plant and soil variables that differed significantly between un-colonised islands and
 islands colonised by deer for more than 70 years in the deer colonisation system. Plant
 diversities are represented with the Shannon index. Plant covers are expressed in %.
 Penetration resistance is expressed in kg/cm². Soil Water Content (SWC) is expressed in
 percent. Total phosphorus (P) is expressed in µg P/g dry soil.



Figure A5 – Redundancy Analysis (RDA) on the OTUs and the environmental variables selected by forward selection for the deer colonisation systems. Percent values correspond to the variation in soil prokaryotic community explained by the PCA axes, and calculated by variation partitioning.

897

898

899