

Proteomic analysis reveals how pairing of a Mycorrhizal fungus with plant growth-promoting bacteria modulates growth and defense in wheat

Candida Vannini, Guido Domingo, Valentina Fiorilli, Daniel Garçia Seco, Mara Novero, Milena Marsoni, Florence Wisniewski-dye, Marcella Bracale,

Lionel Moulin, Paola Bonfante

▶ To cite this version:

Candida Vannini, Guido Domingo, Valentina Fiorilli, Daniel Garçia Seco, Mara Novero, et al.. Proteomic analysis reveals how pairing of a Mycorrhizal fungus with plant growth-promoting bacteria modulates growth and defense in wheat. Plant, Cell and Environment, 2021, 44 (6), pp.1946-1960. 10.1111/pce.14039 . hal-03238035

HAL Id: hal-03238035 https://hal.science/hal-03238035v1

Submitted on 30 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License





AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Proteomic analysis reveals how pairing of a Mycorrhizal fungus with plant growth-promoting bacteria modulates growth and defense in wheat

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1783474 since 2022-06-22T12:45:51Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1111/pce.14039

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

Proteomic analysis reveals how pairing of a Mycorrhizal Fungus with
Plant Growth-Promoting Bacteria modulates growth and defense in wheat
Running head: AMF and PGPB modulate plant growth and defense
Candida Vannini ^{1*#} ; Guido Domingo ^{1*} , Valentina Fiorilli ^{2*} ; Daniel Garçia
Seco ³ , Mara Novero ² , Milena Marsoni ¹ , Florence Wisniewski-Dyé ⁴ , Marcella
Bracale ¹ , Lionel Moulin ³ , Paola Bonfante ² .
*These authors equally contributed
Corresponding author
candida.vannini@uninsubria.it; Orcid ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5196-
6142
Contact information
¹ Dipartimento di Biotecnologie e Scienze della Vita, Università degli Studi
dell'Insubria, via J.H Dunant 3, 21100, Varese, Italy.
² DAPartment of Life Sciences and Systems Biology, Università degli Studi di
Torino, Viale P.A. Mattioli 25, 10125, Torino, Italy.
³ IRD, CIRAD, University of Montpellier, IPME, Montpellier, France.
⁴ Univ Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, INRAE, VetAgroSup,
UMR Ecologie Microbienne, F-69622, Villeurbanne, France

23

24 Funding

This work was supported by the MIC-CERES ("Microbial eco-compatible strategies for improving wheat quality traits and rhizospheric soil sustainability") Project (FC Project ID 2013–1888; AF Project ID 1301–003) jointly supported by the Agropolis Foundation (through the "Investissements d'avenir" program, with the reference number ANR-10-LABX-0001-01) and Fondazione Cariplo.

31

32 Abstract

33 Plants rely on their microbiota for improving the nutritional status and 34 environmental stress tolerance. Previous studies mainly focused 35 on bipartite interactions (a plant challenged by a single microbe), while plant responses to multiple microbes have received limited attention. Here, we 36 37 investigated local and systemic changes induced in wheat by two plant growth-38 promoting bacteria (PGPB), Azospirillum brasilense and Paraburkholderia 39 graminis, either alone or together with an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus (AMF). We conducted phenotypic, proteomic, and biochemical analyses to 40 41 investigate bipartite (wheat–PGPB) and tripartite (wheat–PGPB–AMF) 42 interactions, also upon a leaf pathogen infection. Results revealed that only AMF and A. brasilense promoted plant growth by activating photosynthesis 43 and N assimilation which led to increased glucose and amino acid content. The 44

bioprotective effect of the PGPB-AMF interactions on infected wheat 45 46 plants depended on the PGPB-AMF combinations, which caused specific 47 phenotypic and proteomic responses (elicitation of defense related proteins, 48 immune response, and jasmonic acid biosynthesis). In the whole, wheat 49 responses strongly depended on the inoculum composition (single vs. multiple 50 microbes) and the investigated organs (roots vs. leaf). Our findings showed that 51 AMF is the best-performing microbe, suggesting its presence as the crucial one 52 for synthetic microbial community development.

53

54 Keywords

55 Funneliformis mosseae, Azospirillum brasilense, Paraburkholderia graminis,

56 Xanthomonas translucens, proteome, pathogens, bi- and tripartite interaction,

57 wheat, growth and defense response.

58

59 Acknowledgments

60 V.F., D.G.S., P.B., L.M., and C.V designed the study; V.F., D.G.S., M.N., and

- 61 D.G. carried out the majority of experiments; G.D., M.M., and C.V performed
- 62 the bioinformatic analysis of proteomic data; C.V., V.F., P.B. L.M., F.W.-D.,
- 63 and M.B. interpreted the data and wrote the manuscript.
- 64
- 65
- 66

67 Proteomic analysis reveals how pairing of a Mycorrhizal Fungus with
68 Plant Growth-Promoting Bacteria modulates growth and defense in wheat
69

70 Abstract

71 Plants rely on their microbiota for improving the nutritional status and 72 environmental tolerance. Previous studies mainly stress focused 73 on bipartite interactions (a plant challenged by a single microbe), while plant 74 responses to multiple microbes have received limited attention. Here, we 75 investigated local and systemic changes induced in wheat by two plant growth-76 promoting bacteria (PGPB), Azospirillum brasilense and Paraburkholderia 77 graminis, either alone or together with an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus 78 (AMF). We conducted phenotypic, proteomic, and biochemical analyses to 79 investigate bipartite (wheat–PGPB) tripartite and (wheat–PGPB–AMF) 80 interactions, also upon a leaf pathogen infection. Results revealed that only 81 AMF and A. brasilense promoted plant growth by activating photosynthesis and N assimilation which led to increased glucose and amino acid content. The 82 83 bioprotective effect of the PGPB-AMF interactions on infected wheat 84 plants depended on the PGPB-AMF combinations, which caused specific 85 phenotypic and proteomic responses (elicitation of defense related proteins, 86 immune response, and jasmonic acid biosynthesis). In the whole, wheat 87 responses strongly depended on the inoculum composition (single vs. multiple 88 microbes) and the investigated organs (roots vs. leaf). Our findings showed that

AMF is the best-performing microbe, suggesting its presence as the crucial onefor synthetic microbial community development.

91

92 Keywords

Funneliformis mosseae, *Azospirillum brasilense*, *Paraburkholderia graminis*, *Xanthomonas translucens*, proteome, pathogens, bi- and tripartite interaction,
wheat, growth and defense response.

96

97 INTRODUCTION

98 Like humans, animals, and fungi, plants live among a variety of microbial 99 species, which together comprise the plant microbiota (Schlaeppi & Bulgarelli, 100 2015). Plant root-associated microbes have received increasing attention, 101 starting from their taxonomic description (Bulgarelli et al., 2012; Lundberg et 102 al., 2012) to their role in plant health (Müller, Vogel, Bai, & Vorholt, 2016). 103 Data generated by multiple omics approaches demonstrate that the plant 104 microbiota does not represent a random assembly of microbes living in the soil. 105 On the contrary, plant microbiota composition is determined by an active host 106 plant-driven selection process, which depends on the plant genotype, 107 environmental conditions, and microbial interactions (Durán et al., 2018; 108 Hacquard et al., 2015; Saad, Eida, & Hirt, 2020; Thiergart et al., 2019; Uroz, Courty, & Oger, 2019). The complexity of the microbial community structure 109 110 parallels the many beneficial functions currently assigned to the plant

111 microbiota: stimulation of plant growth through phytohormone production, 112 improvement of the plant nutrient status through the increased uptake of 113 nutrients such as inorganic phosphate (Pi) and nitrogen (N) and increased 114 availability of nutrients such as iron, greater tolerance to abiotic stress (e.g., 115 drought) and biotic stress, and increased activation of plant innate immunity 116 (Hacquard, Spaepen, Garrido-Oter, & Schulze-Lefert, 2017). Many of these 117 benefits have been traditionally associated with the so-called plant growth-118 promoting bacteria (PGPB), as well as with the root symbionts, such as 119 arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi and N-fixing bacteria (Lugtenberg, 120 Caradus, & Johnson, 2016). Comparison of the data generated by culture-121 independent approaches with the knowledge obtained from the investigation of 122 controlled binary interactions of bacterial and fungal isolates with their host 123 plant has led to the creation of the so-called synthetic communities (SynComs) 124 (Herrera Paredes et al., 2018; Tsolakidou et al., 2019). Simultaneous 125 inoculation of the host plant with several different beneficial microbes allows 126 the investigation of plant responses under controlled and reproducible 127 conditions. These new tools therefore form the basis of the so-called microbial 128 revolution, defined as the microbe-driven increase in crop productivity, leading 129 to higher sustainability (Baez-Rogelio, Morales-García, Quintero-Hernández, 130 & Muñoz-Rojas, 2017).

Together with rice and corn, wheat is one of the most important crops
worldwide (Fernie & Yan, 2019). Recently, many studies have been conducted

133 on wheat-associated microbes, providing a detailed list of bacteria and fungi 134 associated with wheat plants under natural conditions (Kuźniar et al., 2020; 135 Mahoney, Yin, & Hulbert, 2017; Naylor, DeGraaf, Purdom, & Coleman-Derr, 136 2017; Pagé, Tremblay, Masson, & Greer, 2019) or describing the core 137 microbiome of wheat (Simonin et al., 2020), thus revealing the ecological rules 138 that regulate microbial assembly (Hassani, Özkurt, Seybold, Dagan, & 139 Stukenbrock, 2019). Ecological studies suggest that higher soil microbial 140 diversity results in a greater resilience of the plant population (van der Heijden 141 et al., 1998). However, the assumption that a mixture of beneficial microbes 142 automatically provides greater plant protection is an oversimplification 143 (Rosier, Bishnoi, Lakshmanan, Sherrier, & Bais, 2016). In this context, the 144 responses of wheat to its microbiota are still unknown.

145 In this study, to disentangle the inherent complexity of plant-microbiota 146 interactions (Vorholt, Vogel, Carlström, & Müller, 2017), we followed a 147 reductionist approach, where we selected two PGPB species, Azospirillum 148 brasilense and Paraburkholderia graminis, which are associated with wheat 149 plants under natural conditions, as well as an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus 150 (AMF), Funneliformis mosseae. We hypothesized that targeted inoculation of 151 wheat plants with the AMF and one of the two PGPB could provide an 152 experimentally tractable system for evaluating the outcome of the interaction 153 between beneficial microbes. Previously, we demonstrated that inoculation 154 with F. mosseae improved plant growth and enhanced bioprotection in wheat

155 (Fiorilli et al., 2018). The current study aimed to investigate the long-term local 156 and systemic effects of *P. graminis* or *A. brasilense* on the wheat proteome in 157 non-mycorrhizal and mycorrhizal plants. We compared the proteomic changes 158 in wheat triggered by co-inoculation of PGPB and F. mosseae with those 159 elicited by single inoculations. We also investigated the bioprotective effects 160 of bipartite (wheat-PGPB) and tripartite (wheat-PGPB-AMF) interactions on 161 wheat plants against the leaf pathogen, Xanthomonas translucens. While A. 162 brasilense drastically altered the bioprotective effect of the AMF, P. graminis 163 did not affect AMF-induced pathogen resistance. Overall, proteomic changes 164 revealed the molecular mechanisms underlying the tripartite interaction and 165 showed that the beneficial effects of the AMF on plants are differentially 166 modulated by the plant-associated PGPB.

167

168 MATERIALS AND METHODS

169 Bacterial strains, mycorrhizal fungus and wheat genotype

170 Two plant growth promoting bacteria (PGPB), Azospirillum brasilense Sp245

171 (obtained from UMR Ecologie Microbienne, Villeurbanne) and

172 Paraburkolderia graminis C4D1M (type strain of the species, LMG collection,

- 173 Ghent, Belgium), one wheat pathogen, Xanthomonas translucens CFBP2054
- 174 (obtained from CFBP collection), and one mycorrhizal fungus, *Funneliformis*
- 175 *mosseae* (BEG.12, MycAgro Lab, France) were used in our experiments.

In detail, *A. brasilense* strain Sp245 was isolated from wheat roots and was
shown to stimulate root development and increase plant dry mass (Kapulnik,
Okon, & Henis, 1985), while the strain C4D1M of *P. graminis* was isolated
from senescent corn roots and found to positively interact with different species
of wheat (L. Moulin, personal communication).

181 Gfp-tagged derivatives were also included for cytology analyses: A. brasilense 182 Sp245 eGFP carrying the pMP2444 plasmid eGFP, GmR (Wisniewski-Dyé et 183 al., 2011) and P. graminis C4D1M eGFP, constructed by triparental mating 184 (using a Tn7 eGFP construct described in (Norris, Kang, Wilcox, & Hoang, 185 2010) with a single insertion of the Tn7 upstream of the glmS gene). A. 186 brasilense was cultivated at 28°C on LBA medium (Luria Broth low salt, agar) 187 and P. graminis in YMA medium (yeast extract, 3 g; mannitol, 10 g; KH₂PO₄, 188 0.5 g; MgSO₄, 0.2 g; NaCl, 0.1 g; agar, 18 g; distilled water, 1 L; pH 6.8) and 189 grown overnight in the same broth medium for inoculation. Strains were stored 190 at -80°c in 20% glycerol. X. translucens CFBP 2054 was grown at 28 °C on 191 Peptone sucrose agar (PSA) medium, retrieved from Petri dish with sterile 192 water to reach OD 0.5 for leaf clipping and infiltration assays.

193 The *Triticum aestivum* cv Chinese Spring was used for all experiments (seeds194 obtained from Valeria Terzi, CREA, Italy).

195

196 Plant material and plant inoculations

The methodologies have already been described in previous articles on the
wheat response to *Xanthomonas* (Garcia-Seco et al., 2017a) and to mycorrhizal
fungi (Fiorilli et al., 2018). Twelve combinations were studied: 1). Control
plants (C), 2) *A. brasilense-*inoculated plants (Az), 3) *P. graminis-*inoculated
plants (P), 4) *F. mosseae-*inoculated plants (M), 5) C+*Xanthomonas translucens* (X), 6) Az+X, 7) P+X, 8) M+X, 9) Az+M, 10) P+M, 11) Az+M+X,
P+M+X. An overview of the experiment is given in Figure S1.

204 Seeds were disinfected by immersing for 40 min in a sodium hypochlorite 205 solution and washed with sodium thiosulfate (Hurek et al., 1994) and 206 pregerminated. The seedlings were transferred to pots containing a mix of 207 sterile quartz sand + either the *F. mosseae* carrier inoculum substrate (the 208 substrate without the fungus) for control and PGPB conditions, or the F. 209 mosseae inoculum (30% v/v) for mycorrhizal and mycorrhizal+PGPB 210 conditions. PGPB were inoculated directly after seedling transfer to pots, with 211 1 ml per plant at OD 1 from an overnight broth culture washed once and diluted 212 with water.

For each inoculated condition, 10 pots containing 1 plant were used for phenotyping of root and fresh weight at 50 dpi, 5 pots were used for proteomic,

and 5 pots for leaf-clipping assays with *Xanthomonas translucens*.

All plants were maintained under glasshouse conditions under cycles of 12 h
of light at 21 °C and 50% relative humidity (RH) and 12 h of dark at 21 °C and

218 50% RH, watered twice a week with water, and once with a modified Long-

219 Ashton solution containing a low phosphorous concentration (32 μ M 220 Na₂HPO₄*12H₂O).

Spikes weight were measured separately in the mature plants at the end of their
natural cycle. The spikes were threshed and 1000-kernel weights were
determined.

224 For Xanthomonas infections, two types of inoculation were performed. A 225 phenotyping leaf-clipping assay with scissors soaked in a 0.5 OD X. 226 translucens culture was performed at 46 dpi on a first set of plants for 227 phenotyping the length of the symptoms at 4-day post-clipping (dpc; starting 228 point of the lesions) and 26 dpc. A second set of plants dedicated to proteomic 229 analyses was infiltrated at 49 dpi with a 0.5 OD X. translucens culture using a 230 microneedle, as described in Garcia-Seco et al. (Garcia-Seco et al., 2017b), and 231 sampled the following day.

232

233 Evaluation of wheat roots microbial colonization

The mycorrhizal and mycorrhizal+PGPB roots were stained with 0.1% cotton blue and the level of mycorrhizal colonization was assessed as previously described (Trouvelot, Kough, & Gianinazzi-Pearson, 1986).

For Colony Forming Unit (CFU) counting from plant roots, root fragments were weighted then pulverized with a FastPrepTM in tubes containing a ceramic bead in 500 μ L of sterile water, centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 30 s and drops of

 $240 \quad 20 \ \mu L \ of \ serial \ dilutions \ were \ plated \ on \ bacterial \ media \ and \ counted \ 24 \ h \ later.$

241

242 Proteomic analysis and data processing

243 Plant samples (root and leaves) were sampled at 50 dpi and pulverized with 244 liquid nitrogen. The used protocol for total protein extraction was based on 245 SDS and phenol extraction (Wu, Xiong, Wang, Scali, & Cresti, 2014). Then, 246 samples were digested and analysed by Liquid Chromatography-Mass 247 Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) as described previously (Garcia-Seco et al., 248 2017b). Mass spectrometer raw files were analysed by MaxQuant (version 249 1.6.2.3, default parameters) against UniProt T. aestivum (Version 2017-1, 250 150,716 entries), Uniprot Rhizophagus irregularis (Version 2015-10, 29.847 251 entries), Uniprot P. graminis (Version 2015-10, 6,732 entries) and Uniprot A. 252 brasilense (Version 2015-10, 7,636 entries). On January 2019, the UniProt T. 253 aestivum database has been updated. Therefore, we obtained the updated 254 protein IDs by BLAST search of our dataset against the Uniprot T. aestivum 255 2019 database (143,020 entries). Unknown proteins were annotated by BLAST 256 search against the Uniprot viridiplantae database (Version 2019-01, 6,913,939 257 entries), taking the first hit with a valid annotation.

All MS proteomic data have been deposited in the ProteomeXchange Consortium via PRIDE partner repository with the Username: <u>reviewer04430@ebi.ac.uk</u> and Password: 2vlyEEVZ. MaxQuant output files were processed as described earlier (Vannini et al.,
2019). Only proteins detected in at least two of the three biological replicates
(75%) sharing the same treatment and tissue were considered.

264 To compare the differences among analytical groups we performed an 265 ANOVA based multiple samples coupled with Tukey test using the *R* package 266 LIMMA. Only proteins with false discovery rate (FDR) below 0.01 were 267 considered Differentially Abundant Proteins (DAPs) within the various 268 comparisons. In order to produce a reliable and robust dataset, all proteins 269 which gave one nonzero and two zero outcomes (two-time imputation) in at 270 least one of the samples in each comparison were considered unreliable and 271 therefore eliminated.

In order to use bioinformatic tools available only for *A. thaliana*, a local BLAST of *T. aestivum* proteins against the TAIR10 database (version 2012-05-07) was performed.

275 The enrichment analysis was performed using the Gene Ontology Resource 276 (http://geneontology.org), running PANTHER algorithm with A. thaliana as 277 background and FDR < 0.05 or using the AgriGO Singular Enrichment 278 Analysis (SEA) compare tool 279 (http://bioinfo.cau.edu.cn/agriGO/analysis.php?method=compare), with A. 280 thaliana TAIR10 2017 protein database as background, default parameters 281 and a FDR threshold of 0.05 (Du, Zhou, Ling, Zhang, & Su, 2010).

282

283 Amino acid analysis

For the amino acids (AAs) extraction, 0.1 g of lyophilized samples were re-

- suspended in 10 mL of 0.1% (v/v) formic acid in water/methanol (50:50). 10
- μ L of 10 mM deuterated internal standards (L-Phenyl-d5-alanine and L-alanine
- ¹⁵N Met), were added. Free AAs were quantified by Liquid Chromatography

288 Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) as described previously (Fiorilli et

- 289 al., 2018).
- 290

291 **Total glucose and nitrogen content**

292 Soluble sugars were extracted as described by (Shi, Wang, Yang, Li, & Miao, 293 2016) with minor modifications. Briefly, 0.2 g of leaves material were boiled 294 (80°C) in ethanol 80% for 30 min. After centrifugation (13000g x 5 min) the 295 supernatant was recovered. The extraction was repeated twice and all 296 supernatants were collected. Sucrose in solution was hydrolysed with HCl (2% 297 of HCl concentrated V/V) for 5 min at 90°C. After acid neutralization by KOH 298 (5% of 5N KOH V/V) total glucose was estimated by the dinitrosalicylic (DNS) 299 method (Miller, 1959).

Wheat root N content was determined by CHNS elemental analyzer Thermo Fisher Scientific following the manufacturer's specifications. About 2–3 mg of sample for each replicate were weighed and placed in a tin capsule containing 9.5 to 10.5 mg of vanadium pentoxide. The N₂ product by sample combustion was quantitatively determined through a separation with a gas chromatograph (GC) followed by a quantification using a thermal conductivity detector. Three tests were prepared for each sample.

307

308 Statistics

309 Phenotyping data of plant weight, CFU and lesion length were analyzed,

310 depending on normality of data, by ANOVA followed by Tukey post-hoc test

311 or Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Mann–Whitney pairwise comparisons, in R

312 Environment (rstatix) and figures produced by ggplot.

313 Data from quantification of amino acid, total glucose and nitrogen were 314 subjected to statistical analysis by ANOVA and Tukey post-hoc test.

315

316 **RESULTS**

317 **PGPB and AMF impact plant growth**

318 Wheat plants were inoculated with A. brasilense Sp245, P. graminis C4D1M,

319 A. brasilense Sp245 plus F. mosseae, or P. graminis C4D1M plus F. mosseae

320 (hereafter referred to as Az, P, AzM, and PM plants, respectively) and grown

321 under controlled conditions (Figure S1). The root and shoot biomass of these

322 plants was determined at 50 days post-inoculation (dpi) and compared with that 323 of mock-inoculated control (C) and *F. mosseae* only-inoculated (M) plants. In 324 isolation, *A. brasilense* Sp245 exerted a strong positive effect on the growth of 325 roots and shoots, whereas *P. graminis* C4D1M did not induce statistically 326 significant growth of these organs (Figure 1). Monitoring these plants until 327 seed production revealed that *P. graminis* significantly increased the seed 328 yield, doubling the spike weight (Figure S2).

329 To determine whether the positive impact of the two PGPB on plant 330 growth was associated with an efficient colonization process, bacteria on the 331 root surface were counted at different time points. A. brasilense exhibited the 332 greatest colonizing potential, with the bacterial count remaining constant 333 across different time points. Colonization by P. graminis decreased with time to 1×10^2 colony forming units (CFU) at 21 dpi (Figure S3). The success of 334 335 AMF colonization was evaluated at 50 dpi by calculating the total length of 336 colonized roots (F%) and total number of arbuscules (A%) in plants inoculated with AMF alone or AMF plus PGPB (A. brasilense or P. graminis). 337 338 Colonization by the AMF resulted in abundant arbuscules in all plants. No 339 differences were detected in F% and A% among plants inoculated with AMF 340 alone or together with PGPB, indicating that the presence or absence of PGPB 341 does not affect mycorrhizal colonization (Figure S3).

The shoot weight of AzM and PM plants was significantly higher than
that of Az and P plants (Figure 1B) but comparable with that of M plants, 16

indicating that PGPB did not lead to any additional yield benefit compared withthe mycorrhizal condition.

346

347 AMF alone or in combination with a PGPB triggers different responses to

348 X. translucens infection

349 Inoculated wheat plants were assessed for protective effect to X. translucens 350 leaf infection by leaf-clipping plants with the pathogen at 46 dpi and recording 351 leaf symptoms at 4 and 26 days post-leaf clipping (dpc). Pathogen-infected 352 plants were identified as AzX, PX, MX, AzMX, and PMX and positive control 353 plants as CX. Disease symptoms were evident in CX plants at 4 and 26 dpc 354 (Figure 2). Lesion length in MX plants was significantly less than that in CX 355 plants both at 4 and 26 dpc, consistent with our previous results (Fiorilli et al., 356 2018).

Lesion length appeared extended in AzX and CX plants at both time points. AzMX plants showed reduced symptoms in comparison with AzX plants at 4 dpc but showed extended lesions compared with CX and AzX plants at 26 dpc. This result indicates that the *F. mosseae*-induced bioprotection in wheat is abrogated between 4 and 26 dpc in the presence of *A. brasilense*.

At 26 dpc, a significant reduction in symptoms was observed only in MX and PMX plants when compared with CX plants, whereas lesions were significantly increased in AzX and AzMX plants compared with PX, MX, and PMX plants. These results indicate that inoculation with *F. mosseae* alone (Fiorilli et al., 2018) or in combination with *P. graminis* increased protection
against *X. translucens*. Overall, this experiment showed that *A. brasilense*inoculation alone did not protect wheat plants against the pathogen, and rather
undermined the positive effect exerted by *F. mosseae*.

370

371 Quantitative overview of proteomics analysis

We conducted proteomic analysis of the roots (R) of Az, P, M, AzM, and PM plants (hereafter referred to as RAz, RP, RM, RAzM, and RPM samples, respectively) as well as the leaves of these plants (hereafter referred to as LAz, LP, LM, LAzM, and LPM samples, respectively). We also performed proteomic analysis of the leaves of these plants following infection with *X*. *translucens* (hereafter referred to as LAzX, LPX, LMX, LAzMX, and LPMX, respectively). Each treatment was analyzed in triplicate.

379 A total of 3,846 and 3,883 wheat proteins were identified and quantified 380 in root and leaf samples, respectively. Samples were clustered by condition 381 according to their protein expression patterns (Figure S4). Replicates within 382 each analytical group clustered together, confirming the high reproducibility of 383 biological replicates. Protein abundance was compared between samples, and 384 differentially abundant proteins (DAPs) were identified using the following 385 thresholds: false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.01 and log₂fold-change (log₂FC) >386 0.5 (Tables S1–S5 and S7–S15).

Functional characterization of DAPs was conducted with Gene Ontology
(GO) enrichment analysis to determine the main biological processes
stimulated by microbial inoculations.

In root samples, approximately 7%, 0.6%, and 0.6% of all identified proteins were assigned to AMF, *A. brasilense*, and *P. graminis* proteomes, respectively, confirming the presence of all three root-associated microbes at harvest.

394

395 Wheat response to single inoculation: an overview

396 A large number of significant DAPs (P < 0.01) were identified; 639 DAPs (386 397 in leaves and 253 in roots) in the C vs. Az comparison, and 1,085 DAPs (424 398 in leaves and 661 in roots) in the C vs. P comparison (Tables S1–S4). In leaves, 399 approximately 50% of the DAPs were common between the C vs. Az and C vs. 400 P comparisons (Figure S5A). By contrast, in roots, proteomic expression was 401 highly specific, mirroring the stronger impact on the colonized niche; only 12% 402 of the DAPs were common between the C vs. Az and C vs. P comparisons 403 (Figure S5B).

To decipher the molecular mechanisms involved in *A. brasilense*induced plant growth promotion, we performed GO enrichment analysis of LAz vs LC, LP vs LC, Raz vs RC and RP vs RC (Figure 3). In leaf samples, "photosynthesis light harvesting" and "photosynthetic electron transport chain" were the two most enriched GO terms. These data were consistent with

the higher total glucose content of LAz samples compared with LC, LP, and 409 410 LM samples (Figure 4A). Our experiments, therefore, confirmed that A. 411 brasilense exhibits a greater ability to drive an increase in the glucose content 412 of shoots than other beneficial microorganisms (F. mosseae and P. graminis). 413 Moreover, the LAz sample showed a higher abundance of sucrose transporter 414 2D (SUT2D) than the LC sample; SUT2D shows high similarity to rice SUT2 415 (OsSUT2), which is involved in sucrose mobilization to sink cells (Eom et al., 416 2011).

417 The "amino acid metabolism" GO term was highly enriched in enzymes 418 involved in the synthesis of aspartate, proline, and branched-chain amino acids. 419 The increased content of amino acids both in root and leaf samples validated 420 the proteomics data (Table S6). The presence of A. brasilense on wheat roots 421 also increased the abundance of the phosphate transporter Pht1-10, which is 422 induced by the AMF (Fiorilli et al., 2018) and enhances Pi uptake. 423 Interestingly, the abundance of Pht1-10 was lower in the RP sample vs RC 424 (Figure S6). Moreover, Pht1-10 was among the four proteins whose abundance showed opposite trends between RAz and RP samples (Table S5). Plants 425 426 assimilate and metabolize ammonium (NH_4^+) provided by diazotrophs, 427 including Azospirillum spp. (Carvalho, Balsemão-Pires, Saraiva, Ferreira, & 428 Hemerly, 2014). In LAz samples, we observed an increase in the abundance of 429 ferredoxin-glutamate dehydrogenase, 2-oxoglutarate (2-OxG)/malate430 translocator, and isocitrate dehydrogenase (ICDH), and a decrease in the 20

431 abundance of ferredoxin-nitrite reductase; both these trends are indicative of a 432 higher NH_4^+ assimilation rate in the leaves of Az plants. The increase in 433 photosynthesis could contribute to enhanced tolerance to NH_4^+ toxicity by 434 increasing NH_4^+ assimilation (Setién et al., 2013). Higher concentrations of 435 free amino acids and N in RAz samples support these proteomic results (Table 436 S6, Figure 4B).

In roots and leaves, inoculation with *A. brasilense* stimulated the
mitochondrial electron transport for ATP synthesis, even if the proteins
involved were different (Tables S1 and S2).

Proteomic data showed that *P. graminis* inoculation also had a substantial
impact on primary metabolism (glycolysis, tricarboxylic acid cycle, and
aerobic respiration) in roots and leaves (Figures 3, Figure S6, Tables S3–S5).
In the LP sample, DAPs related to photosynthesis did not showed a clear
pattern (Table S4).

Similar to Az plants, *P. graminis*-inoculated plants showed significantly higher concentrations of N and almost all amino acids than C plants, particularly in the roots (Figure 4B, Table S6). In P plants, the more efficient N uptake could be due to the increased abundance of the high-affinity nitrate transporter NAR2 and its activator NRT2. The abundance of the wheat ortholog of rice ammonium-inducible transporter 1-2 (OsAMT1-2) was also increased in RP sample (Figure S6, Table S5). 452 Overall, most of these proteomic changes affecting respiration, 453 photosynthesis, N assimilation, and mineral nutrition mirror the differential 454 growth response of wheat upon PGPB inoculation, as illustrated in Figure 1, 455 with a significant systemic effect of only *A. brasilense* on plant growth.

456

457 AMF plays a dominant role in plant roots upon binary association with458 PGPB

We previously showed that *F. mosseae* elicits a significant proteomic change in wheat roots and leaves during colonization (Fiorilli et al., 2018). Consistent with this observation, AzM and PM samples showed high numbers of DAPs; RAzM vs. RC and RP vs. RC comparisons revealed 709 and 1055 DAPs, respectively (Tables S7 and S8), whereas LAzM vs. LC and LPM vs. LC comparisons revealed 504 and 808 DAPs, respectively (Tables S9 and S10, Figure S7).

466 Venn diagrams showed a specific contribution by F. mosseae in the roots 467 of co-inoculated plants, mainly AzM plants (Figure S7B and S7D). These data 468 are consistent with our previous proteomic data showing that F. mosseae has a 469 stronger local but a weaker systemic impact on wheat (Fiorilli et al., 2018). In 470 roots, inoculation with F. mosseae alone or together with A. brasilense or P. 471 graminis led to an increased abundance of 196 proteins, several of which have 472 been previously shown to increase in abundance during mycorrhization (Fiorilli et al., 2018). In particular, we found increased levels of key enzymes 473

474 involved in glycolysis and the pentose phosphate pathway (glyceraldehyde-3-475 dehydrogenase, pyruvate kinase, and 6-phosphogluconate phosphate 476 dehydrogenase), fatty acid biosynthesis and metabolism (plastid acetyl-CoA 477 carboxylase and 3-oxoacyl-[acyl-carrier-protein] reductase, **GDSL** 478 esterase/lipase), and mineral nutrition (OsAMT3;1 homolog). We also detected 479 significant up-regulation of some proteins involved in plant defense, including 480 one acidic endochitinase protein, cysteine-rich receptor-like protein kinase 25 481 (CRK25), and some Germin-like proteins (GLPs).

Venn diagrams also showed that most DAPs were exclusively expressed in AzM and PM plants. This trend was mainly detected in leaves (Figure S7A and S7C). In fact, GO enrichment analysis showed that oxidative phosphorylation, response to oxidative stress, photosynthesis, and response to abiotic stimulus were among the up-regulated biological processes in the LAzM vs. LPM comparison. Ribosome biogenesis, translation, and gene expression were down-regulated processes (Table S11, Figure S8).

Altogether, our results revealed that organ-specific proteomic changes in AMF-inoculated wheat plants (Fiorilli et al., 2018) are mostly maintained upon binary inoculation. This suggests that the AMF plays a dominant role in the root protein profile.

493

494 Defense proteins are induced locally and systemically during bipartite and
495 tripartite interactions

496 Some rhizosphere-associated beneficial bacteria trigger a plant immunization 497 phenomenon, called induced systemic resistance (ISR), thus priming the plant 498 immune system (C. M. Pieterse et al., 2014). To verify whether A. brasilense 499 and *P. graminis* possess the tools to elicit immune system priming in wheat, 500 we first examined the enriched GO terms involved in plant-microbe 501 interactions. Whereas the "response to wounding" was one of the up-regulated 502 GO enriched categories in RAz samples, the DAPs enriched in the category 503 "response to bacterium" showed a decrease, in LAz samples (Figure 3). In 504 particular, we found reduced abundance of two chitinases, two pathogenesis-505 related (PR) proteins, and one protein (A0A3B6BXY2) highly similar to the 506 Arabidopsis heat stable 1 (HS1) protein, which exhibits antibacterial activity 507 (Park et al., 2007). This decrease in the abundance of defense-related proteins 508 in leaves could at least partly explain the susceptibility of Az plants to X. 509 *translucens* infection in leaf-clipping tests (Figure 2). The sucrose transporter 510 SUT2D specifically induced by *Azospirillum* (Table S2) in wheat leaves could 511 also play a role in the susceptibility of Az plants to pathogen infection. 512 *Xanthomonas* TAL effectors usually target SWEET family sugar transporters 513 to sustain pathogen growth (Verdier et al., 2012).

In RP samples harvested at 50 dpi, one of the enriched GO terms was "defense response/incompatible interaction" with the induction of several defense proteins (Figure 3, Table S5). Among them, the A0A3B6DGK2 protein, which is similar to RPM1-interacting protein 4 (RIN4), a major 24 518 regulator of plant defense that plays important roles in both pathogen-519 associated molecular pattern (PAMP)-triggered immunity (PTI) and effector-520 triggered immunity (ETI) (Ray, Macoy, Kim, Lee, & Kim, 2019). Additionally, 521 lipoxygenase 1 (LOX1), 12-oxophytodienoate reductase 1 (OPR1), OPR3, and 522 allene oxide cyclase 3 (AOC3) point to up-regulation of the biosynthesis of 523 jasmonic acid (JA), a plant hormone that plays a key role in the biotic stress 524 response and overall plant immunity (C. M. J. Pieterse et al., 1998). Moreover, 525 RP samples showed an increased abundance of a protein (A0A3B6KT24) that 526 is similar to the respiratory burst oxidase homolog protein D (RbohD) and is involved in the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) during 527 528 incompatible plant-pathogen interactions (Torres, Dangl, & Jones, 2002), a 529 CERK homolog (A0A3B6RF20), and proteins required for lignin biosynthesis, 530 which are activated in tomato plants associated with native microbiota (M. 531 Chialva et al., 2018). Lastly, P. graminis-inoculated plants showed an 532 increased abundance of some proteins involved in isoprene metabolism, suggesting the up-regulation of pathways involved in plant defense. These data 533 534 support the hypothesis that similar to other PGPB (C. M. J. Pieterse et al., 1998) 535 and unlike A. brasilense, P. graminis elicits an immune response in the roots 536 but does not elicit strong ISR at 50 dpi in the leaves against X. translucens 537 infection (Figure 2).

538 To describe the systemic bioprotective effect of AMF and PGPB co-539 inoculation in wheat, we performed LAzM vs. LAz and LPM vs. LP 25 540 comparisons (Tables S12 and S13). Our analysis showed an increase in several 541 DAPs, putatively involved in the biotic stress response, in both LAZM and 542 LPM samples (Figure 5A). Among these DAPs, we found proteins either 543 involved in JA biosynthesis, such as a phospholipase D, three LOXs, and an 544 AOC, or induced by JA, such as a dirigent protein, PR4 (wheat protein) 545 (Desmond et al., 2005), and OsMPK1 (Singh & Jwa, 2013). Moreover, we 546 observed an increase in the abundance of other proteins involved in signaling of 547 the plant immune response, such as the homolog of brassinosteroid (BR)-signaling 548 kinase 1 (BSK1) (Shi et al., 2013) and a calcium-transporting ATPase, whose 549 homolog (ACA8) is required for limiting the growth of virulent bacteria in 550 Arabidopsis (Frei dit Frey et al., 2012). Another protein, whose expression was 551 highly induced in LPM and LAZM samples, was manganese superoxide 552 dismutase 1 (Mn-SOD1), which belongs to the polyphyletic family of enzymes 553 and protect cells from reactive superoxide radical-induced damage, thus 554 conferring increased stress tolerance (S. Wang et al., 2017).

555 Overall, this analysis revealed some unexpected features: *A. brasilense* 556 alone down-regulates plant defense (which is consistent with the observed 557 disease susceptibility phenotype shown in Figure 2), while single inoculations 558 of *P. graminis* and *F. mosseae* trigger a similar number of proteins involved in 559 the plant immune response in an organ-dependent way. Co-inoculation of 560 wheat plants with the AMF and *A. brasilense* or *P. graminis* elicits the plant 561 immune response not only in LPM but also in LAzM samples, at least in the 562 26 short term, suggesting that both microbial pairs (AMF–*A. brasilense* and AMF–*P. graminis*) induce a priming response at least at the proteome level (Figure 5A).

565

566 Microbial pairs modulate wheat response to X. translucens by inducing 567 different proteomic changes

568 Leaf inoculation of M, Az, P, and C plants with X. translucens revealed that 569 pathogen susceptibility detected in the leaves of LAzX plants was alleviated by 570 the presence of mycorrhizal colonization at 4 dpc (based on the LAzMX vs. 571 LAzX comparison) (Figure 2A). To decipher the main proteins responsible for 572 the reduction of symptoms at 4 dpc, we analyzed the DAPs identified in the 573 LAZMX vs. LAZX and LAZMX vs. LMX comparisons (Tables S14 and S15). 574 The up-regulated proteins included those involved in JA biosynthesis and 575 response. Several LOXs and two lipases including phospholipase A1-II and 576 phospholipase D, which generate fatty acid substrates for JA biosynthesis 577 (Browse, 2009), were highly induced in the LAzMX vs. LAzX and LAzMX 578 vs. LMX comparisons (Ishiguro, Kawai-Oda, Ueda, Nishida, & Okada, 2001; 579 Lee & Park, 2019; C. Wang et al., 2000; Wasternack & Hause, 2013) (Figure 580 5A, Tables S14 and S15). In addition, two allene oxide synthase (AOS) 581 enzymes, which catalyze the first step in the JA biosynthesis pathway, and two 582 AOCs, which are committed for the second step in this pathway (Schaller & 583 Stintzi, 2009), were highly induced in LAzMX samples (Figure 5A) compared 27

with LAzX and LMX samples. We also found that two JA-induced dirigentlike proteins, which act downstream of the JA biosynthesis pathway, were induced in LAzMX samples. This increase of proteins involved in JA biosynthesis was also observed in LPMX samples (Figure 5A).

588 Our results showed that *A. brasilense*–AMF and *P. graminis*–AMF 589 interactions amplified JA signaling during pathogen attack. In addition, 590 proteins involved in biotic stress, which were induced during *A. brasilense*– 591 AMF and *P. graminis*–AMF interactions in LAZM and LPM samples, 592 respectively (Figure 5A), were also recruited during *X. translucens* infection, 593 as testified by their higher abundance in LAZMX and LPMX samples, 594 respectively.

These proteomic data correlate with the reduced lesion length observed at the early time point (4 dpc) in AzMX plants with respect to AzX plants. However, at 26 dpc, a significant reduction in lesion size resulted only in MX and PMX plants compared with CX plants (Figure 2B). These data suggest that when co-inoculated with *A. brasilense*, the bioprotective effect exerted of *F. mosseae* is transient and probably related to prompt induction of the JA response.

Further analysis is needed to clarify the molecular changes during the later stage of pathogen attack under different conditions. However, the proteomic profile of LAzMX samples was very different from that of LPMX samples (799 DAPs; Table S16). Among the most abundant proteins identified 28 606 in the LAZMX vs. LPMX comparison, we found proteins involved in the 607 response to abiotic stimulus and oxidative stress, while those implicated in 608 translation, ribosome biogenesis, gene expression were down-regulated. A 609 similar pattern was already observed in the LAzM vs. LPM comparison (Figure 610 S8).

611 Overall, these data highlight the intricate network of processes that 612 regulate wheat-PGPB-AMF-pathogen interactions (as observed in LAzMX 613 and LPMX samples). However, elicitation of defense priming in the proteome 614 of LAzM and LPM samples does not necessarily lead to better performance 615 once the plant is under pathogen attack.

616

617 DISCUSSION

618 Wheat, one of the earliest food crops to be domesticated, is currently the second 619 most widely cultivated crop in the world and one of the most important grain 620 sources for humans. Given the increasing relevance of plant microbiota, many 621 researches have described wheat-associated microbiota by considering the 622 effects in different organs as well as in grain production. The results of this 623 study illustrate how proteomic changes in wheat plants depend on the inoculum 624 composition (single or multiple microbes) and the organ under study, and lead 625 to differential growth effects and pathogen resistance. All analyses revealed 626 that the AMF was the crucial driver of plant growth and defense priming under 627 our growth conditions (low P). However, the overall changes induced by the

628 AMF–PGPB consortium can interfere with the final mycorrhizal-induced 629 resistance (MIR) outcome (Figure 6).

630

631 Effect of beneficial microbes on wheat growth is organ- and microbial 632 identity-dependent

633 In addition to their N-fixing ability, Azospirillum spp. exhibit a remarkable 634 capacity to benefit a wide range of plant species by activating multiple 635 mechanisms (Fukami, Cerezini, & Hungria, 2018); however, the available 636 omics data are limited to the effects of A. brasilense inoculation on roots 637 (Drogue et al., 2014; Spaepen, Bossuyt, Engelen, Marchal, & Vanderlevden, 638 2014). In this study, we showed that the higher root and shoot biomass of plants 639 colonized by A. brasilense is supported by the sustained activation of the main 640 metabolic processes (respiration, photosynthesis, and N assimilation), while 641 the roots act as a strong sink for nutrients, such as hexoses and amino acids. 642 These results are consistent with the findings of Zeffa and colleagues, who 643 showed that A. brasilense promotes plant growth in maize by enhancing the 644 plant photosynthetic potential or by increasing the N use efficiency (Zeffa et 645 al., 2019) On the other hand, P. graminis did not efficiently increase the root 646 and shoot biomass of wheat plants but increased the spike biomass. 647 Wheat actively responds to *P. graminis* inoculation by eliciting many

648 metabolic processes, which involve a higher number of DAPs compared with 649 those induced by *A. brasilense*. Some of these processes were, however,

650	common to the two bacterial species (e.g., processes involved in ROS
651	scavenging) as well described for many other PGPB (Fukami et al., 2018).
652	Wheat responds well to AMF, particularly F. mosseae (Fiorilli et al.,
653	2018). The wheat–PGPB–AMF tripartite interaction led to intensive proteomic
654	changes where nutrient transporters and many enzymes involved in primary
655	and secondary metabolism, protein biosynthesis, and ROS homeostasis were
656	elicited.

657 Overall, plant growth experiments, nutrient quantification, and 658 proteomic analyses demonstrated that the AMF plays a leading role in tripartite 659 interactions, particularly in the root, while PGBP (at least *Azospirillum*) affects 660 systemic growth, as evident from the leaf proteome.

661

662 The bioprotective effect of the AMF is modulated by the nature of the co 663 inoculated PGPB

664 PGPB are considered essential components of the plant microbiota because of 665 their ability to improve plant growth via multiple mechanisms, including plant 666 health protection (Berendsen, Pieterse, & Bakker, 2012; Lugtenberg et al., 667 2016). Azospirillum is not a typical biocontrol agent, despite studies showing 668 its ability to increase pathogen resistance in plants (Bashan & de-Bashan, 2002; 669 Kusajima et al., 2018; Tortora, Díaz-Ricci, & Pedraza, 2012; Yasuda, Isawa, 670 Shinozaki, Minamisawa, & Nakashita, 2009). On the other hand, some 671 Paraburkholderia taxa, such as P. phytofirmans, induce resistance against a 31

672 broad range of plant pathogens by inducing plant-mediated responses in aerial 673 organs (Miotto-Vilanova et al., 2016). Proteomic analysis of wheat plants 674 inoculated with a single microbe showed that proteins involved in plant defense 675 were down-regulated in LAz samples. Moreover, according to the "pathogen 676 starvation" model, which links plant resistance with soluble sugars (Bezrutczyk 677 et al., 2018), the high sugar and amino acid contents of LAz leaves coupled 678 with an enhanced abundance of sugar transporters could guarantee a nutrient-679 rich niche for the pathogen. Under these conditions, the plant could not activate 680 any defense mechanisms, notwithstanding a light improvement in the presence 681 of the AMF at 4 dpc.

P. graminis induced diverse proteomic changes in roots characterized by
an increase in the abundance of proteins involved in microbe-associated
molecular pattern (MAMP) perception, PTI and ETI regulation (RIN4), ROS
production and detoxification, lignin biosynthesis, and isoprene metabolism.
These findings suggest that *P. graminis* elicits an immunomodulatory
response; however, this does not lead to ISR.

The protein profiles clearly indicate the capacity of mycorrhizal plants, associated with PGPB, to increase the number of defense-related proteins in leaves in the absence of the pathogen, and an augmented capacity to express these proteins upon pathogen infection (Figure 5A). The up-regulation of JA biosynthesis proteins was a key finding because this hormone is considered the first regulator of the plant immune response (Hickman et al., 2017; C. M. J. Pieterse et al., 1998). Several studies reported that AM symbiosis protects
plants against pathogens, suggesting that JA defense mechanisms play a key
role in MIR (Jung, Martinez-Medina, Lopez-Raez, & Pozo, 2012).

697 AMF are a crucial component of the plant microbiota (Bonfante, Venice, 698 & Lanfranco, 2019) and the first inducers of plant immunity. A previous study 699 showed that co-inoculation of wheat with an AMF and Pseudomonas spp. 700 (PGPB) leads to synergistic effects, priming the host immunity through 701 chitosan-induced callose deposition (Pérez-de-Luque et al., 2017). A 702 comparable result has been described in tomato plants grown in native soil 703 containing multiple bacteria and AMF; MAMPs released by various microbes 704 enhance the plant immunity, thus activating PTI markers. When challenged by 705 pathogenic *Fusarium* spp., the tomato plants were strongly protected because 706 of the activation of specific antifungal proteins (Chialva, Zhou, Spadaro, & 707 Bonfante, 2018).

708 According to a previously proposed hypothesis (Cameron, Neal, van 709 Wees, & Ton, 2013), JA could act as a long distance signaling molecule that in 710 mycorrhizal wheat, and also in the presence of both PGPB species, activates 711 the systemic priming of plant defense. However, in our system, lesion length 712 was reduced only at the early time point (4 dpc) in AzMX plants in comparison with AzX plants. At 26 dpc, a significant reduction in lesion length was 713 714 observed only in MX and PMX plants in comparison with CX plants (Figure 715 2B). We speculate that additional determinants induced by the AMF-PGPB

716 interactions interfere with cellular processes, leading to MIR. Proteomic data 717 showed that in LAzMX samples, the abundance of proteins involved in 718 ribosome biogenesis and gene expression decreased compared with their 719 abundance in LMX and LBMX samples (Figure 5B). Ribosomal genes are 720 highly responsive to stress and signaling molecules, indicating that the encoded 721 proteins play roles in stress amelioration, besides house-keeping. The 722 instantaneous up-regulation of ribosomal genes in response to stress might 723 function as an prompt defense response (Moin et al., 2016). In addition, a 724 reduction in HMGA subfamily transcription factor and H2B and H1 histones 725 could affect the transcription of defense-related genes (Isaac, Hartney, Druffel, 726 & Hadwiger, 2009). Finally, a reduction in the abundance of two proteins 727 involved in stomata regulation, H1.3 and ubiquitin-specific protease 24 728 (Rutowicz et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2016), and some proteins involved in 729 cuticular wax production, could promote leaf pathogen invasion in AzMX 730 plants.

731

732 CONCLUSION

Plant-associated microbiota hold great promise for the development of
sustainable crop systems, and this can be guaranteed by the use of SynComs
(Kong, Hart, & Liu, 2018). However, results obtained from on-field microbiota
census and those obtained using reductionist approaches, mostly through
laboratory-based experiments, have not yet been fully integrated (Fitzpatrick

et al., 2020). Our results suggest that beneficial microbes have different impacts 738 739 on plants, at least in wheat, and the plant growth-promoting effects of beneficial 740 microbes are not always accompanied by enhanced pathogen resistance, as 741 shown by A. brasilense inoculation (Figure 6). On the other hand, a bacterium 742 that does not show strong growth-promoting effect, such as *P. graminis*, may 743 be more effective against pathogen attack, if associated with an AMF (Figure 744 6). Our data highlight the crucial role of AM fungi, which are often absent in 745 SynComs, as well as the potential contrasting effects of different AMF-PGPB 746 consortia on plant defense. In a wider context, these findings suggest that 747 SynCom efficiency should be validated by checking the outcome of the 748 interaction under different conditions (microbe-microbe interactions; 749 nutritional status, plant life cycle and biotic stress) before their exploitation for 750 crop growth.

751

752 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the MIC-CERES ("Microbial eco-compatible strategies for improving wheat quality traits and rhizospheric soil sustainability") Project (FC Project ID 2013–1888; AF Project ID 1301–003) jointly supported by the Agropolis Foundation (through the "Investissements d'avenir" program, with the reference number ANR-10-LABX-0001-01) and Fondazione Cariplo. The authors thank the Functional Genomics Center Zurich (FGCZ) for providing highly valuable technical support. 760

761	AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS
762	V.F., D.G.S., P.B., L.M., and C.V designed the study; V.F., D.G.S., M.N., and
763	D.G. carried out the majority of experiments; G.D., M.M., and C.V performed
764	the bioinformatic analysis of proteomic data; C.V., V.F., P.B. L.M., F.WD.,
765	and M.B. interpreted the data and wrote the manuscript.
766	
767	CONFLICT OF INTEREST
768	The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.
769	
770	SUPPORTING INFORMATION
771	Table S1. Differentially abundant proteins (DAPs) identified by comparative
772	proteomic analysis of the roots (R) of Azospirillum brasilense-inoculated (Az)
773	and mock-inoculated (control; C) wheat plants (RAz vs. RC comparison).
774	Table S2. DAPs identified by comparative proteomic analysis of the leaves (L)
775	of Az and C plants (LAz vs. LC comparison).
776	Table S3. DAPs identified by comparing the leaf proteome of
777	Paraburkholderia graminis-inoculated (P) and C plants (RP vs. RC
778	comparison).

- 779 Table S4. DAPs identified by comparing the leaf proteome of P and C plants
- (LP vs. LC comparison). 780

781 Table S5. Root-specific DAPs identified by comparing the root proteome of

- 782 Az or P plants with that of C plants (RAz vs. RC and RP vs. RC comparisons,
- 783 respectively).
- 784 Table S6. Amino acid content (ratio).
- 785 Table S7. DAPs identified by comparing the root proteome of wheat plants co-
- 786 inoculated with the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus (AMF), Funneliformis
- 787 *mosseae*, and *A. brasilense* (AzM) with that of C plants (RAzM vs. RC comparison).
- 789 Table S8. DAPs identified by comparing the root proteome of wheat plants co-
- inoculated with the AMF and *P. graminis* (PM) with that of C plants (RPM vs.
- 791 RC comparison).
- Table S9. DAPs identified by comparing the leaf proteomes of AzM and C
- 793 plants (LAzM vs. LC comparison).
- Table S10. DAPs identified by comparing the leaf proteomes of PM and C
- 795 plants (LPM vs. LC comparison).
- Table S11. DAPs identified by comparing the leaf proteomes of AzM and PM
- 797 plants (LAzM vs. LPM comparison).
- 798 Table S12. DAPs identified by comparing the leaf proteomes of Az plants
- treated with or without the AMF (LAzM vs. LAz comparison).
- 800 Table S13. DAPs identified by comparing the leaf proteomes of P plants treated
- 801 with or without the AMF (LPM vs. LP comparison).

802	Table S14. DAPs identified by comparing the leaf proteomes of Az plants
803	infected with or without the leaf pathogen, Xanthomonas translucens (LAzMX
804	vs. LAzX comparison).

- Table S15. DAPs identified by comparing the leaf proteomes of AzM plants
- and AMF only-inoculated (M) plants infected with X. translucens (LAzMX
- 807 vs. LMX comparison).
- 808 Table S16. DAPs identified by comparing the leaf proteomes of AzMX plants
- and PM plants infected with *X. translucens* (LAzMX vs. LPMX comparison).
- 810 Figure S1. Overview of the experimental set up.
- 811 Figure S2. Spike fresh weight.
- 812 Figure S3. Evaluation of microbial root colonization.
- 813 Figure S4. Hierarchical clustering analysis of protein intensities.
- 814 Figure S5. Overlap of differentially abundant proteins (DAPs) between Az vs.
- 815 C and P vs. C comparisons.
- 816 Figure S6. Heat map of DAPs belonging to "carbohydrate metabolism",
- 817 "photosynthesis", "ion transport", and "defense" GO terms in LAz vs. LC, LP
- 818 vs. LC, RAz vs. RC, and RP vs. RC comparisons.
- 819 Figure S7. Venn diagrams of DAPs identified by comparing the proteome of
- 820 mock-inoculated control (C) wheat plants with that of plants inoculated with
- 821 only plant-growth promoting bacteria (PGPB; single inoculation) or co-
- 822 inoculated with PGPB and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus (AMF) (double
- 823 inoculation).

824	Figure S8. Schematic representation of AgriGO SEA COMPARE function of
825	up/down-regulated in LAzM vs. LPM and LAzMX vs. LPMX comparisons.
0.00	

826

827 FIGURE LEGENDS

828 Figure 1. Effect of arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) symbiosis on the biomass of 829 different organs of wheat plants. (A) Fresh weight of roots (RFW; grams). (B) 830 Fresh weight of shoots (SFW; grams). Plants were either mock-inoculated 831 (control; C) or inoculated with different microbial combinations: Azospirillum 832 brasilense only (Az), Paraburkholderia graminis only (P), Funneliformis mosseae only (M), A. brasilense plus F. mosseae (AzM), and P. graminis plus 833 834 F. mosseae (PM). Wheat plants were harvested at 50 days post-inoculation 835 (dpi). Data represented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD; $n \ge 6$) were subjected 836 to a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Asterisks indicate significant 837 differences (P < 0.05; Tukey's test). Different lowercase letters indicate 838 significant differences.

839

Figure 2. Phenotypic evaluation of disease symptoms caused by the bacterial pathogen *Xanthomonas translucens*. Lesion length (mm) was assessed on leaves of C, Az, P, M, AzM, and PM plants at 4 (A) and 26 (B) days post-leaf clipping (dpc). Data at 4 dpc (not normally distributed) were analysed using the Kruskal-Wallis test. Asterisks indicate significant differences at the 5% 845 level using Mann–Whitney pairwise comparisons. Data at 26 dpc were 846 subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Asterisks indicate 847 significant differences (P < 0.05; Tukey's test). Different lowercase letters 848 indicate significant differences.

849

Figure 3. Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of DAPs identified by comparing Az or P vs. C roots (RAz vs. RC and RP vs. PC) and leaves (LAz vs. LC and LP vs. LC). Enriched GO terms were selected using the following thresholds: false discovery rate (FDR) \leq 0.05 and fold enrichment > 3.5. Red and blue indicate the enrichment of GO biological process terms for up-and

855 down- regulated DAPs, respectively.

856

Figure 4. Total glucose and nitrogen (N) contents of wheat leaves and roots, respectively. (A, B) Total glucose content of leaves (A) and N content of roots (B) of C, Az, P, M, AzM, and PM wheat plants harvested at 50 dpi. Data represented as mean \pm SD ($n \ge 3$) were subjected to a one-way ANOVA. Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05; Tukey's test).

863

Figure 5. Heat map of the main DAPs involved in plant defense (A) and in cell
wall production, epigenetic regulation, translation (B) found in wheat leaves
inoculated with PGPB and/or AM fungus (AMF) and treated with or without 40

867 *X. translucens.* Log₂fold-change (Log₂FC) values indicate the changes in 868 protein abundance with respect to the control. Red and blue indicate maximum 869 and minimum values, respectively. Asterisks indicate significant differences 870 (ANOVA FDR < 0.01; Tukey's test).

871

Figure 6. (A) Scheme showing the molecular and phenotypic responses of nonmycorrhizal wheat colonized by *A. brasilence* (left side) and *P. graminis* (right side). (B) Scheme showing the molecular and phenotypic responses of mycorrhizal (*F. mosseae*) wheat alone (center) or colonized by *A. brasilence* (left side) and *P. graminis* (right side). The green boxes include the effects on the leaves while the brown ones include the effects on the roots.

878

879 Figure S1. Overview of the experimental set up. Twelve treatments of two 880 different plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB) and one arbuscular 881 mycorrhizal fungus (AMF) were tested. Surface-sterilized wheat seeds were 882 pre-germinated for 4 days, transplanted into pots (see Experimental 883 procedures) at t0, and inoculated with PGPR 1 day after. The AMF was present 884 in the substrate at t0 (M treatment). The leaf-clipping assay was performed by 885 infecting plants with Xanthomonas translucens on day 46, and the length of 886 lesions was monitored at 4 and 26 days post-clipping (dpc; corresponding to 887 day 50 and 72, respectively). To perform proteomics analysis, leaves were

infiltrated with a micro needle on day 49, and the infiltrated zone was sampledon day 50 and frozen in liquid nitrogen.

890

Figure S2. Spike fresh weight of control, Az and P plants evaluated at the end of wheat natural life cycle. Data (means \pm SD, $n \ge 6$) were subjected to oneway analysis of variance (ANOVA). The asterisks indicated significant differences at the 5% level using Tukey's test.

895

896 **Figure S3**.

897 Evaluation of microbial colonization of wheat plants. (A) Quantification of 898 bacterial population (colony forming units [CFU]) per gram of wheat roots at 899 7, 14, and 21 dpi. (B, C) Frequency of mycorrhizal hyphae (F%) (B) and 900 arbuscule abundance (A%) (C) in plant samples stained with trypan blue. One 901 hundred, 1-cm root fragments were analyzed for each sample. Data represent 902 mean \pm standard error (SE) of two biological replicates per treatment. Az, 903 Azospirillum brasilense Sp245; P, Paraburkholderia graminis C4D1M; M, 904 Funelliformis mosseae; AzM, A. brasilense Sp245 plus F. mosseae; PM, P. 905 graminis C4D1M plus F. mosseae. 906

907 Figure S4. Hierarchical clustering analysis of protein intensities in different
908 organs of wheat plants. (A) Leaf dataset; (B) root dataset.

910 Figure S5. Overlap of differentially abundant proteins (DAPs) between Az vs.
911 C and P vs. C comparisons. (A, B) Venn Diagrams of DAPs in leaves (A) and
912 roots (B). Red and blue values indicate up- and down-regulated proteins,
913 respectively. (C, D) Heat map of DAPs regulated in response to both plant
914 growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB) in leaves (C) and roots (D). Red and blue
915 indicate maximum and minimum values, respectively.

916

Figure S6. Heat map of DAPs belonging to "carbohydrate metabolism",
"photosynthesis", "ion transport", and "defense" GO terms in LAz vs. LC, LP
vs. LC, RAz vs. RC, and RP vs. RC comparisons. Log₂fold-change (Log₂FC)
values are shown. Red and blue indicate maximum and minimum values,
respectively.

922

Figure S7. Venn diagrams of differentially abundant proteins (DAPs) found in all single and double inoculations vs. control (C) plants. DAPs exclusively and commonly regulated by *A. brasilense* and AMF upon single and double inoculations in leaves (A) and roots (B). DAPs exclusively and commonly regulated by *P. graminis* and AMF upon single and double inoculations in leaves (C) and roots (D).

929

Figure S8. Schematic representation of AgriGO SEA COMPARE function of
up/down-regulated in LAzM vs LPM and LAzMX vs LPMX comparisons. The

932 colored blocks represent the level of regulation of each term, where the degree

- 933 of color saturation (yellow-to-red) of the corresponding box, was determined
- 934 by the adjusted P-value of the GO term (red = more significant).
- 935

936 DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

- 937 Data available on request from the authors
- 938

939 **REFERENCES**

941	Baez-Rogelio, A., Morales-García, Y. E., Quintero-Hernández, V., & Muñoz-Rojas, J.
942	(2017). Next generation of microbial inoculants for agriculture and
943	bioremediation. <i>Microb Biotechnol, 10</i> (1), 19-21. doi:10.1111/1751-
944	7915.12448
945	Bashan, Y., & de-Bashan, L. E. (2002). Protection of Tomato Seedlings against
946	Infection by Pseudomonas syringae pv. Tomato by Using the
947	Plant Growth-Promoting Bacterium Azospirillum brasilense .
948	Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 68(6), 2637.
949	doi:10.1128/AEM.68.6.2637-2643.2002
950	Berendsen, R. L., Pieterse, C. M., & Bakker, P. A. (2012). The rhizosphere
951	microbiome and plant health. <i>Trends Plant Sci, 17</i> (8), 478-486.
952	doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2012.04.001
953	Bezrutczyk, M., Yang, J., Eom, JS., Prior, M., Sosso, D., Hartwig, T., Frommer, W.
954	B. (2018). Sugar flux and signaling in plant–microbe interactions. The Plant
955	Journal, 93(4), 675-685. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13775</u>
956	Bonfante, P., Venice, F., & Lanfranco, L. (2019). The mycobiota: fungi take their
957	place between plants and bacteria. Curr Opin Microbiol, 49, 18-25.
958	doi:10.1016/j.mib.2019.08.004
959	Browse, J. (2009). Jasmonate Passes Muster: A Receptor and Targets for the
960	Defense Hormone. Annual Review of Plant Biology, 60(1), 183-205.
961	doi:10.1146/annurev.arplant.043008.092007
962	Bulgarelli, D., Rott, M., Schlaeppi, K., Ver Loren van Themaat, E., Ahmadinejad, N.,
963	Assenza, F., Schulze-Lefert, P. (2012). Revealing structure and assembly
964	cues for Arabidopsis root-inhabiting bacterial microbiota. Nature,
965	488(7409), 91-95. doi:10.1038/nature11336

966 Cameron, D. D., Neal, A. L., van Wees, S. C., & Ton, J. (2013). Mycorrhiza-induced 967 resistance: more than the sum of its parts? Trends Plant Sci. 18(10), 539-968 545. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2013.06.004 969 Carvalho, T. L. G., Balsemão-Pires, E., Saraiva, R. M., Ferreira, P. C. G., & Hemerly, A. 970 S. (2014). Nitrogen signalling in plant interactions with associative and 971 endophytic diazotrophic bacteria. Journal of Experimental Botany, 65(19), 972 5631-5642. doi:10.1093/jxb/eru319 973 Chialva, M., Salvioli di Fossalunga, A., Daghino, S., Ghignone, S., Bagnaresi, P., 974 Chiapello, M., . . . Bonfante, P. (2018). Native soils with their microbiotas 975 elicit a state of alert in tomato plants. New Phytol, 220(4), 1296-1308. 976 doi:10.1111/nph.15014 977 Chialva, M., Zhou, Y., Spadaro, D., & Bonfante, P. (2018). Not only priming: Soil 978 microbiota may protect tomato from root pathogens. Plant signaling & 979 behavior, 13(8), e1464855-e1464855. doi:10.1080/15592324.2018.1464855 980 Desmond, O. J., Edgar, C. I., Manners, J. M., Maclean, D. J., Schenk, P. M., & Kazan, 981 K. (2005). Methyl jasmonate induced gene expression in wheat delays 982 symptom development by the crown rot pathogen Fusarium 983 pseudograminearum. Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology, 67(3), 984 171-179. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmpp.2005.12.007 985 Drogue, B., Sanguin, H., Chamam, A., Mozar, M., Llauro, C., Panaud, O., . . . 986 Wisniewski-Dyé, F. (2014). Plant root transcriptome profiling reveals a 987 strain-dependent response during Azospirillum-rice cooperation. Front 988 Plant Sci, 5, 607. doi:10.3389/fpls.2014.00607 989 Du, Z., Zhou, X., Ling, Y., Zhang, Z., & Su, Z. (2010). agriGO: a GO analysis toolkit for 990 the agricultural community. Nucleic Acids Res, 38(Web Server issue), W64-991 70. doi:10.1093/nar/gkq310 992 Durán, P., Thiergart, T., Garrido-Oter, R., Agler, M., Kemen, E., Schulze-Lefert, P., & 993 Hacquard, S. (2018). Microbial Interkingdom Interactions in Roots Promote 994 Arabidopsis Survival. Cell, 175(4), 973-983.e914. 995 doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.10.020 996 Eom, J. S., Cho, J. I., Reinders, A., Lee, S. W., Yoo, Y., Tuan, P. Q., . . . Jeon, J. S. 997 (2011). Impaired function of the tonoplast-localized sucrose transporter in 998 rice, OsSUT2, limits the transport of vacuolar reserve sucrose and affects 999 plant growth. *Plant Physiol*, 157(1), 109-119. doi:10.1104/pp.111.176982 1000 Fernie, A. R., & Yan, J. (2019). De Novo Domestication: An Alternative Route toward 1001 New Crops for the Future. *Mol Plant, 12*(5), 615-631. 1002 doi:10.1016/j.molp.2019.03.016 1003 Fiorilli, V., Vannini, C., Ortolani, F., Garcia-Seco, D., Chiapello, M., Novero, M., . . . 1004 Bonfante, P. (2018). Omics approaches revealed how arbuscular 1005 mycorrhizal symbiosis enhances yield and resistance to leaf pathogen in 1006 wheat. Sci Rep, 8(1), 9625. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-27622-8 1007 Fitzpatrick, C. R., Salas-González, I., Conway, J. M., Finkel, O. M., Gilbert, S., Russ, D., 1008 ... Dangl, J. L. (2020). The Plant Microbiome: From Ecology to

1009	Reductionism and Beyond. <i>Annual Review of Microbiology, 74</i> (1), 81-100.
1010	Eroi dit Eroy N. Moonguo M. Kwaaitaal M. Nitsch I. Altonbach D. Häweker H.
1012	Robatzek S (2012) Plasma membrane calcium ATPaces are important
1012	components of recentor-mediated signaling in plant immune responses and
1013	development Plant Physiol 150(2) 708-800 doi:10.1104/pp.111.102575
1014	Eukami I. Cerezini P. & Hungria M. (2018) Azosnirillum: benefits that go far
1015	hereond biological nitrogen fivation AMB Express 8(1) 73
1017	doi:10 1186/c13568-018-0608-1
1017	Garcia-Seco D. Chiapello M. Bracale M. Pesce C. Bagnaresi P. Dubois F.
1010	Koehnik B (2017a) Transcriptome and proteome analysis reveal new
1020	insight into proximal and distal responses of wheat to foliar infection by
1020	Xanthomonas translucens <i>Scientific Reports</i> 7(1) 10157
1021	doi:10.1038/s41598-017-10568-8
1022	Garcia-Seco D Chiapello M Bracale M Pesce C Bagnaresi P Dubois F
1023	Koehnik B (2017b) Transcriptome and proteome analysis reveal new
1025	insight into proximal and distal responses of wheat to foliar infection by
1026	Xanthomonas translucens Sci Ren 7(1) 10157 doi:10.1038/s41598-017-
1027	10568-8
1028	Hacquard, S., Garrido-Oter, R., González, A., Spaepen, S., Ackermann, G., Lebeis, S.,
1029	Schulze-Lefert, P. (2015). Microbiota and Host Nutrition across Plant
1030	and Animal Kingdoms, <i>Cell Host Microbe</i> , 17(5), 603-616.
1031	doi:10.1016/i.chom.2015.04.009
1032	Hacquard, S., Spaepen, S., Garrido-Oter, R., & Schulze-Lefert, P. (2017). Interplay
1033	Between Innate Immunity and the Plant Microbiota. Annu Rev Phytopathol.
1034	55, 565-589. doi:10.1146/annurev-phyto-080516-035623
1035	Hassani, M. A., Özkurt, E., Seybold, H., Dagan, T., & Stukenbrock, E. H. (2019).
1036	Interactions and Coadaptation in Plant Metaorganisms. Annu Rev
1037	<i>Phytopathol, 57</i> , 483-503. doi:10.1146/annurev-phyto-082718-100008
1038	Herrera Paredes, S., Gao, T., Law, T. F., Finkel, O. M., Mucyn, T., Teixeira, P. J. P. L.,
1039	Castrillo, G. (2018). Design of synthetic bacterial communities for
1040	predictable plant phenotypes. <i>PLoS Biol, 16</i> (2), e2003962.
1041	doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.2003962
1042	Hickman, R., Van Verk, M. C., Van Dijken, A. J. H., Mendes, M. P., Vroegop-Vos, I. A.,
1043	Caarls, L., Van Wees, S. C. M. (2017). Architecture and Dynamics of the
1044	Jasmonic Acid Gene Regulatory Network. Plant Cell, 29(9), 2086-2105.
1045	doi:10.1105/tpc.16.00958
1046	Isaac, J., Hartney, S. L., Druffel, K., & Hadwiger, L. A. (2009). The non-host disease
1047	resistance response in peas; alterations in phosphorylation and
1048	ubiquitination of HMG A and histones H2A/H2B. Plant Science, 177(5), 439-
1049	449. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2009.07.007</u>
1050	Ishiguro, S., Kawai-Oda, A., Ueda, J., Nishida, I., & Okada, K. (2001). The DEFECTIVE
1051	IN ANTHER DEHISCIENCE gene encodes a novel phospholipase A1 catalyzing
1052	the initial step of jasmonic acid biosynthesis, which synchronizes pollen

1053 maturation, anther dehiscence, and flower opening in Arabidopsis. Plant 1054 *Cell*, *13*(10), 2191-2209. doi:10.1105/tpc.010192 1055 Jung, S. C., Martinez-Medina, A., Lopez-Raez, J. A., & Pozo, M. J. (2012). Mycorrhiza-1056 induced resistance and priming of plant defenses. J Chem Ecol, 38(6), 651-1057 664. doi:10.1007/s10886-012-0134-6 1058 Kapulnik, Y., Okon, Y., & Henis, Y. (1985). Changes in root morphology of wheat 1059 caused by Azospirillum inoculation. Canadian Journal of Microbiology, 1060 31(10), 881-887. doi:10.1139/m85-165 1061 Kong, Z., Hart, M., & Liu, H. (2018). Paving the Way From the Lab to the Field: Using 1062 Synthetic Microbial Consortia to Produce High-Quality Crops. Front Plant 1063 Sci, 9, 1467. doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.01467 1064 Kusajima, M., Shima, S., Fujita, M., Minamisawa, K., Che, F.-S., Yamakawa, H., & 1065 Nakashita, H. (2018). Involvement of ethylene signaling in Azospirillum sp. 1066 B510-induced disease resistance in rice. Bioscience, Biotechnology, and 1067 Biochemistry, 82(9), 1522-1526. doi:10.1080/09168451.2018.1480350 1068 Kuźniar, A., Włodarczyk, K., Grządziel, J., Goraj, W., Gałązka, A., & Wolińska, A. 1069 (2020). Culture-independent analysis of an endophytic core microbiome in 1070 two species of wheat: Triticum aestivum L. (cv. 'Hondia') and the first report 1071 of microbiota in Triticum spelta L. (cv. 'Rokosz'). Syst Appl Microbiol, 43(1), 1072 126025. doi:10.1016/j.syapm.2019.126025 1073 Lee, H. J., & Park, O. K. (2019). Lipases associated with plant defense against 1074 pathogens. Plant Sci, 279, 51-58. doi:10.1016/j.plantsci.2018.07.003 1075 Lugtenberg, B. J., Caradus, J. R., & Johnson, L. J. (2016). Fungal endophytes for 1076 sustainable crop production. FEMS Microbiol Ecol, 92(12). 1077 doi:10.1093/femsec/fiw194 1078 Lundberg, D. S., Lebeis, S. L., Paredes, S. H., Yourstone, S., Gehring, J., Malfatti, S., . . 1079 . Dangl, J. L. (2012). Defining the core Arabidopsis thaliana root 1080 microbiome. Nature, 488(7409), 86-90. doi:10.1038/nature11237 1081 Mahoney, A. K., Yin, C., & Hulbert, S. H. (2017). Community Structure, Species 1082 Variation, and Potential Functions of Rhizosphere-Associated Bacteria of 1083 Different Winter Wheat (Front Plant Sci, 8, 132. 1084 doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.00132 1085 Miller, G. L. (1959). Use of Dinitrosalicylic Acid Reagent for Determination of 1086 Reducing Sugar. Analytical Chemistry, 31(3), 426-428. 1087 doi:10.1021/ac60147a030 1088 Miotto-Vilanova, L., Jacquard, C., Courteaux, B., Wortham, L., Michel, J., Clément, 1089 C., . . . Sanchez, L. (2016). Burkholderia phytofirmans PsJN Confers 1090 Grapevine Resistance against Botrytis cinerea via a Direct Antimicrobial 1091 Effect Combined with a Better Resource Mobilization. Front Plant Sci, 7, 1092 1236. doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.01236 1093 Moin, M., Bakshi, A., Saha, A., Dutta, M., Madhav, S. M., & Kirti, P. B. (2016). Rice 1094 Ribosomal Protein Large Subunit Genes and Their Spatio-temporal and 1095 Stress Regulation. Front Plant Sci, 7, 1284. doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.01284

1096	Müller, D. B., Vogel, C., Bai, Y., & Vorholt, J. A. (2016). The Plant Microbiota:
1097	Systems-Level Insights and Perspectives. Annu Rev Genet, 50, 211-234.
1098	doi:10.1146/annurev-genet-120215-034952
1099	Naylor, D., DeGraaf, S., Purdom, E., & Coleman-Derr, D. (2017). Drought and host
1100	selection influence bacterial community dynamics in the grass root
1101	microbiome. <i>ISME J, 11</i> (12), 2691-2704. doi:10.1038/ismej.2017.118
1102	Norris, M. H., Kang, Y., Wilcox, B., & Hoang, T. T. (2010). Stable, Site-Specific
1103	Fluorescent Tagging Constructs Optimized for Burkholderia
1104	Species. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 76(22), 7635.
1105	doi:10.1128/AEM.01188-10
1106	Pagé, A. P., Tremblay, J., Masson, L., & Greer, C. W. (2019). Nitrogen- and
1107	phosphorus-starved Triticum aestivum show distinct belowground
1108	microbiome profiles. <i>PLoS One,</i> 14(2), e0210538.
1109	doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0210538
1110	Park, S. C., Lee, J. R., Shin, S. O., Park, Y., Lee, S. Y., & Hahm, K. S. (2007).
1111	Characterization of a heat-stable protein with antimicrobial activity from
1112	Arabidopsis thaliana. Biochem Biophys Res Commun, 362(3), 562-567.
1113	doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2007.07.188
1114	Pieterse, C. M., Zamioudis, C., Berendsen, R. L., Weller, D. M., Van Wees, S. C., &
1115	Bakker, P. A. (2014). Induced systemic resistance by beneficial microbes.
1116	Annu Rev Phytopathol, 52, 347-375. doi:10.1146/annurev-phyto-082712-
1117	102340
1118	Pieterse, C. M. J., van Wees, S. C. M., van Pelt, J. A., Knoester, M., Laan, R., Gerrits,
1119	H., van Loon, L. C. (1998). A Novel Signaling Pathway Controlling
1120	Induced Systemic Resistance in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell, 10(9), 1571.
1121	doi:10.1105/tpc.10.9.1571
1122	Pérez-de-Luque, A., Tille, S., Johnson, I., Pascual-Pardo, D., Ton, J., & Cameron, D. D.
1123	(2017). The interactive effects of arbuscular mycorrhiza and plant growth-
1124	promoting rhizobacteria synergistically enhance host plant defences against
1125	pathogens. <i>Sci Rep, 7</i> (1), 16409. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-16697-4
1126	Ray, S. K., Macoy, D. M., Kim, W. Y., Lee, S. Y., & Kim, M. G. (2019). Role of RIN4 in
1127	Regulating PAMP-Triggered Immunity and Effector-Triggered Immunity:
1128	Current Status and Future Perspectives. <i>Mol Cells, 42</i> (7), 503-511.
1129	doi:10.14348/molcells.2019.2433
1130	Rosier, A., Bishnoi, U., Lakshmanan, V., Sherrier, D. J., & Bais, H. P. (2016). A
1131	perspective on inter-kingdom signaling in plant-beneficial microbe
1132	interactions. <i>Plant Mol Biol, 90</i> (6), 537-548. doi:10.1007/s11103-016-0433-
1133	3
1134	Rutowicz, K., Puzio, M., Halibart-Puzio, J., Lirski, M., Kotliński, M., Kroteń, M. A.,
1135	Jerzmanowski, A. (2015). A Specialized Histone H1 Variant Is Required for
1136	Adaptive Responses to Complex Abiotic Stress and Related DNA
1137	Methylation in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol, 169(3), 2080-2101.
1138	doi:10.1104/pp.15.00493

1139	Saad, M. M., Eida, A. A., & Hirt, H. (2020). Tailoring plant-associated microbial
1140	inoculants in agriculture: a roadmap for successful application. Journal of
1141	Experimental Botany, 71(13), 3878-3901. doi:10.1093/jxb/eraa111
1142	Schaller, A., & Stintzi, A. (2009). Enzymes in jasmonate biosynthesis - structure,
1143	function, regulation. <i>Phytochemistry</i> , 70(13-14), 1532-1538.
1144	doi:10.1016/j.phytochem.2009.07.032
1145	Schlaeppi, K., & Bulgarelli, D. (2015). The plant microbiome at work. <i>Mol Plant</i>
1146	<i>Microbe Interact. 28</i> (3). 212-217. doi:10.1094/MPMI-10-14-0334-FI
1147	Setién, I., Fuertes-Mendizabal, T., González, A., Aparicio-Teio, P. M., González-
1148	Murua, C., González-Moro, M. B., & Estavillo, J. M. (2013). High irradiance
1149	improves ammonium tolerance in wheat plants by increasing N
1150	assimilation. Journal of Plant Physiology. 170(8), 758-771.
1151	doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/i.jplph.2012.12.015
1152	Shi, H., Shen, Q., Qi, Y., Yan, H., Nie, H., Chen, Y., Tang, D. (2013). BR-SIGNALING
1153	KINASE1 physically associates with FLAGELLIN SENSING2 and regulates
1154	plant innate immunity in Arabidopsis. <i>Plant Cell.</i> 25(3), 1143-1157.
1155	doi:10.1105/tpc.112.107904
1156	Shi, H., Wang, B., Yang, P., Li, Y., & Miao, F. (2016). Differences in Sugar
1157	Accumulation and Mobilization between Sequential and Non-Sequential
1158	Senescence Wheat Cultivars under Natural and Drought Conditions. PLoS
1159	<i>One, 11</i> (11), e0166155. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0166155
1160	Simonin, M., Dasilva, C., Terzi, V., Ngonkeu, E. L. M., Diouf, D., Kane, A., Moulin,
1161	L. (2020). Influence of plant genotype and soil on the wheat rhizosphere
1162	microbiome: evidences for a core microbiome across eight African and
1163	European soils. FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 96(6).
1164	doi:10.1093/femsec/fiaa067
1165	Singh, R., & Jwa, N. S. (2013). The rice MAPKK-MAPK interactome: the biological
1166	significance of MAPK components in hormone signal transduction. <i>Plant</i>
1167	Cell Rep, 32(6), 923-931. doi:10.1007/s00299-013-1437-y
1168	Spaepen, S., Bossuyt, S., Engelen, K., Marchal, K., & Vanderleyden, J. (2014).
1169	Phenotypical and molecular responses of Arabidopsis thaliana roots as a
1170	result of inoculation with the auxin-producing bacterium Azospirillum
1171	brasilense. New Phytol, 201(3), 850-861. doi:10.1111/nph.12590
1172	Thiergart, T., Zgadzaj, R., Bozsóki, Z., Garrido-Oter, R., Radutoiu, S., & Schulze-
1173	Lefert, P. (2019). Symbiosis Genes Impact Microbial Interactions between
1174	Symbionts and Multikingdom Commensal Communities. <i>mBio</i> , 10(5).
1175	doi:10.1128/mBio.01833-19
1176	Torres, M. A., Dangl, J. L., & Jones, J. D. G. (2002). Arabidopsis
1177	gp91 ^{phox} homologues AtrbohD and
1178	AtrbohF are required for accumulation of reactive oxygen
1179	intermediates in the plant defense response. Proceedings of the National
1180	Academy of Sciences, 99(1), 517. doi:10.1073/pnas.012452499
1181	Tortora, M. L., Díaz-Ricci, J. C., & Pedraza, R. O. (2012). Protection of strawberry
1182	plants (Fragaria ananassa Duch.) against anthracnose disease induced by

1183	Azospirillum brasilense. <i>Plant and Soil, 356</i> (1), 279-290.
1184	doi:10.1007/s11104-011-0916-6
1185	Trouvelot, A., Kough, J. L., & Gianinazzi-Pearson, V. (1986). Mesure du taux de
1186	mycorhization VA d'un systeme radiculaire. Recherche de methods
1187	d'estimation ayant une signification fonctionnelle. In GP. V. & S. and
1188	Gianinazzi (Eds.), Physiological and Genetical Aspects of Mycorrhizae (pp.
1189	217-221). Paris: INRA.
1190	Tsolakidou, M. D., Stringlis, I. A., Fanega-Sleziak, N., Papageorgiou, S., Tsalakou, A.,
1191	& Pantelides, I. S. (2019). Rhizosphere-enriched microbes as a pool to
1192	design synthetic communities for reproducible beneficial outputs. FEMS
1193	Microbiol Ecol, 95(10). doi:10.1093/femsec/fiz138
1194	Uroz, S., Courty, P. E., & Oger, P. (2019). Plant Symbionts Are Engineers of the Plant-
1195	Associated Microbiome. Trends in Plant Science, 24(10), 905-916.
1196	doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2019.06.008
1197	van der Heijden, M. G. A., Klironomos, J. N., Ursic, M., Moutoglis, P., Streitwolf-
1198	Engel, R., Boller, T., Sanders, I. R. (1998). Mycorrhizal fungal diversity
1199	determines plant biodiversity, ecosystem variability and productivity.
1200	Nature, 396(6706), 69-72. doi:10.1038/23932
1201	Vannini, C., Marsoni, M., Scoccianti, V., Ceccarini, C., Domingo, G., Bracale, M., &
1202	Crinelli, R. (2019). Proteasome-mediated remodeling of the proteome and
1203	phosphoproteome during kiwifruit pollen germination. J Proteomics, 192,
1204	334-345. doi:10.1016/j.jprot.2018.09.014
1205	Verdier, V., Triplett, L. R., Hummel, A. W., Corral, R., Cernadas, R. A., Schmidt, C. L.,
1206	Leach, J. E. (2012). Transcription activator-like (TAL) effectors targeting
1207	OsSWEET genes enhance virulence on diverse rice (Oryza sativa) varieties
1208	when expressed individually in a TAL effector-deficient strain of
1209	Xanthomonas oryzae. New Phytologist, 196(4), 1197-1207.
1210	doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04367.x
1211	Vorholt, J. A., Vogel, C., Carlström, C. I., & Müller, D. B. (2017). Establishing
1212	Causality: Opportunities of Synthetic Communities for Plant Microbiome
1213	Research. Cell Host Microbe, 22(2), 142-155.
1214	doi:10.1016/j.chom.2017.07.004
1215	Wang, C., Zien, C. A., Afitlhile, M., Welti, R., Hildebrand, D. F., & Wang, X. (2000).
1216	Involvement of phospholipase D in wound-induced accumulation of
1217	jasmonic acid in arabidopsis. Plant Cell, 12(11), 2237-2246.
1218	doi:10.1105/tpc.12.11.2237
1219	Wang, S., Zhang, Y., Song, Q., Fang, Z., Chen, Z., Zhang, L., Zhang, G. (2017).
1220	Mitochondrial Dysfunction Causes Oxidative Stress and Tapetal Apoptosis in
1221	Chemical Hybridization Reagent-Induced Male Sterility in Wheat. Front
1222	<i>Plant Sci, 8,</i> 2217. doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.02217
1223	Wasternack, C., & Hause, B. (2013). Jasmonates: biosynthesis, perception, signal
1224	transduction and action in plant stress response, growth and development.
1225	An update to the 2007 review in Annals of Botany. Ann Bot, 111(6), 1021-
1226	1058. doi:10.1093/aob/mct067

1227 Wisniewski-Dyé, F., Borziak, K., Khalsa-Moyers, G., Alexandre, G., Sukharnikov, L. O., 1228 Wuichet, K.... Zhulin, I. B. (2011). Azospirillum genomes reveal transition 1229 of bacteria from aquatic to terrestrial environments. *PLoS genetics*, 7(12), 1230 e1002430-e1002430. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002430 1231 Wu, X., Xiong, E., Wang, W., Scali, M., & Cresti, M. (2014). Universal sample 1232 preparation method integrating trichloroacetic acid/acetone precipitation 1233 with phenol extraction for crop proteomic analysis. Nat Protoc, 9(2), 362-1234 374. doi:10.1038/nprot.2014.022 1235 Yasuda, M., Isawa, T., Shinozaki, S., Minamisawa, K., & Nakashita, H. (2009). Effects 1236 of Colonization of a Bacterial Endophyte, Azospirillum sp. B510, on Disease 1237 Resistance in Rice. Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry, 73(12), 1238 2595-2599. doi:10.1271/bbb.90402 1239 Zeffa, D. M., Perini, L. J., Silva, M. B., de Sousa, N. V., Scapim, C. A., Oliveira, A. L. M., 1240 ... Azeredo Gonçalves, L. S. (2019). Azospirillum brasilense promotes 1241 increases in growth and nitrogen use efficiency of maize genotypes. PLoS 1242 One, 14(4), e0215332. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0215332 1243 Zhao, J., Zhou, H., Zhang, M., Gao, Y., Li, L., Li, M., . . . Li, X. (2016). Ubiquitin-specific protease 24 negatively regulates abscisic acid signalling in Arabidopsis 1244 1245 thaliana. Plant Cell Environ, 39(2), 427-440. doi:10.1111/pce.12628