

# Sacrificial mold-assisted 3D printing of stable biocompatible gelatin scaffolds

Sakthivel Nagarajan, Habib Belaid, Socrates Radhakrishnan, Catherine Teyssier, Sebastien Balme, Philippe Miele, David Cornu, Narayana Kalkura Subbaraya, Vincent Cavaillès, Mikhael Bechelany

# ► To cite this version:

Sakthivel Nagarajan, Habib Belaid, Socrates Radhakrishnan, Catherine Teyssier, Sebastien Balme, et al.. Sacrificial mold-assisted 3D printing of stable biocompatible gelatin scaffolds. Bioprinting , 2021, 10.1016/j.bprint.2021.e00140 . hal-03236601

# HAL Id: hal-03236601 https://hal.science/hal-03236601v1

Submitted on 26 May 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# 1 Sacrificial Mold-Assisted 3D Printing of Stable

# 2 **Biocompatible Gelatin Scaffolds**

3 Sakthivel Nagarajan <sup>1</sup>, Habib Belaid <sup>1,3</sup>, Socrates Radhakrishnan <sup>2</sup>, Catherine Teyssier <sup>3</sup>, 4 Sébastien Balme<sup>1</sup>, Philippe Miele<sup>1</sup>, David Cornu<sup>1</sup>, Narayana Kalkura Subbaraya<sup>2</sup>, 5 Vincent Cavaillès <sup>3</sup> and Mikhael Bechelany <sup>1,\*</sup> 6 <sup>1</sup> Institut Européen des Membranes, IEM, UMR 5635, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, ENSCM, Place Eugene 7 Bataillon, F-34095 Montpellier cedex 5, France ; nagarajan.sakthivel@outlook.com (N.S), 8 habib.belaid@umontpellier.fr (H.B), sebastien.balme@umontpellier.fr (S.B), philippe.miele@umontpellier.fr 9 (P.M), david.cornu@enscm.fr (D.C) 10 <sup>2</sup> Crystal Growth Centre, Anna University, Chennai, India, 600025; <u>biot.socrates@gmail;com</u> (S.R), 11 kalkurasn@annauniv.edu (S.N.K) 12 <sup>3</sup> IRCM, Institut de Recherche en Cancérologie de Montpellier, INSERM U1194, Université Montpellier, 13 Montpellier F-34298, France ; catherine.tevssier@inserm.fr (C.T), vincent.cavailles@inserm.fr (V.C) 14 \* Correspondence: mikhael.bechelany@umontpellier.fr, Tel: +33-46-714-9167; Fax: +334-67-149-119

15

16 Abstract: Gelatin is a fascinating biomaterial due to its biocompatibility, biodegradability and 17 chemical composition. The engineering of gelatin scaffolds with the most appropriate architecture 18 is crucial for tissue engineering applications. Currently available porogen assisted scaffold 19 fabrication technique are limited for the fabrication of repeatable and customized scaffolds. Three 20 dimensional printing is an appropriate technique to prepare customized structure. In this report, 21 customized gelatin scaffolds were fabricated using 3D printed polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) sacrificial 22 molds. Gelatin was stabilized through crosslinking and the PVA was solubilized to obtain 3D 23 customized gelatin scaffolds. The gelatin scaffolds displayed tunable pore size from 350 to 1200 µm. 24 The influence of PVA infill density on the gelatin scaffold porous structure and interconnectivity 25 was also analyzed. Furthermore, the gelatin scaffold stability in phosphate buffered saline solution 26 and their swelling capacity demonstrated that they were effectively crosslinked. The scaffold 27 cytocompatibility and the good cell attachment and proliferation on these scaffolds showed that the 28 sacrificial mold-assisted 3D printing is suitable for producing customizable gelatin scaffolds for 29 biomedical applications.

- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33

# 34 Graphical Abstract





37 Keywords: 3D printing; fused deposition modeling; gelatin; sacrificial mold; polyvinyl alcohol

38

36

39

### 40 **1. Introduction**

The major shortcoming of two-dimensional (2D) cell culture models is their inability to emulate the 3D cell environment of tissues [1, 2]. Conversely, 3D cell culture approaches almost recapitulates the complex mechanical and chemical environment of tissues, and this is crucial for drug screening and tissue engineering [3]. Polymer-based scaffolds also mimic the 3D cell culture environment. For instance, scaffolds fabricated with synthetic or bio-based polymers are often used as templates for tissue regeneration. However, 3D engineering methods can be advantageously employed to fabricate scaffolds that allow cell infiltration and nutrient transport.

48 Scaffolds have been fabricated using various techniques, such as particulate leaching, freeze-49 drying, phase separation, gas foaming and electrospinning [4], that permit the formation of 50 interconnected porous structures and tunable pore size. However, it is difficult to fabricate 51 reproducible structures and to control the interconnected porosity [4]. This issue could be solved by 52 selecting 3D printing technologies [5, 6], representing advantageous tools for the production of 53 reproducible and controlled structures. 3D printed sacrificial molds or sacrificial mold-assisted 54 techniques have been widely used for manufacturing microfluidic channels, polymeric scaffolds, 55 inorganic 3D matrix materials, and microneedles [7-10].

56 Gelatin and collagen are highly attractive biopolymers for scaffold production because they are 57 inherently biocompatible and biodegradable. They also contain the Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) motif and 58 matrix metallo protease degradable molecule that can facilitate the cell-scaffold interaction. 3D 59 printing of gelatin using the fused deposition modeling (FDM) technique is arduous due to the lack 60 of sharp melting temperature of gelatin. Gelatin has been employed for ink-based 3D printing [11], 61 which requires surface modification of gelatin [12]. Unmodified gelatin is printable below ambient 62 temperature but additional crosslinking after the printing is mandatory to stabilize the 3D scaffolds. 63 However, the porous structure is obtained only through the inter filament gap in extrusion 3D 64 printing. Interestingly, dissolution of the sacrificial mold results in interconnected porous gelatin 65 scaffolds by connecting multiple layers through hollow tubes.

66 On the other hand, gelatin scaffolds could be easily fabricated using polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) 67 sacrificial molds printed using the FDM technique. PVA is a water-soluble thermoplastic suitable for 68 the 3D printing of complex structures with high degree of control using the thermal extrusion method 69 [8]. It has been widely used to produce sacrificial molds for the fabrication of poly(dimethyl siloxane) 70 scaffolds [7, 8, 13], but not of 3D biopolymer scaffolds. Li et al. attempted to embed 3D-printed PVA 71 filaments in a gelatin matrix to obtain perfusable vascular-like structures upon PVA dissolution [14]. 72 However, fabrication of self-standing interconnected porous gelatin scaffolds with controlled 73 architecture has not been reported previously. In a recent work, Jung et al. described photocurable 74 and alkaline soluble sacrificial molds for the fabrication of gelatin/polycaprolactone 3D scaffolds.

75 They used sodium hydroxide for the dissolution of the sacrificial mold, and they often observed 76 permanent deformation of the scaffolds. Moreover, the fabrication of gelatin scaffolds was difficult 77 [15]. Therefore, developing an appropriate method to fabricate customized gelatin scaffolds is crucial.

78 Besides PVA, various other materials are employed for sacrificial mold fabrication. For example, 79 perfusable complex vascular architectures were 3D printed using water-soluble sugar glass as 80 sacrificial mold and gelled polydimethylsiloxane. Dissolution of the sacrificial mold led to a self-81 standing structure that could be perfused [16]. Similarly, monolithic microfluidic channels were 82 fabricated using 3D printed water-soluble sugar-based sacrificial molds [17]. However, these studies 83 did not investigate the fabrication of biopolymer-based interconnected porous scaffolds. Thermo-84 responsive polymers also have been used as sacrificial molds. For instance, poloxamer 407 (Pluronic 85 F127) displays characteristic properties of gel to sol transformation upon cooling that favor the easy 86 removal of the sacrificial mold [18].

In this report, a sacrificial mold-assisted 3D printing method was used to fabricate self-standing water-insoluble gelatin scaffolds with tunable pore size and porosity. The PVA-based sacrificial molds were 3D printed using the FDM technique and different polymer infill densities. The influence of PVA infill density on the gelatin scaffold pore size was evaluated, followed by analysis of the gelatin scaffold swelling capacity in phosphate buffered saline (PBS). In addition, the gelatin scaffold biocompatibility and cell attachment were studied using cell staining and scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

94

#### 95 2. Materials and Methods

Gelatin (9000-70-8), glutaraldehyde (25%, 111-30-8), absolute ethanol, glacial acetic acid (100%,
64-19-7), hexamethyldisilazane (≥99%, 999-97-3), PBS, DMSO and calcein-AM solution were
purchased from Merck and used as received. The cell culture reagents were MEM alpha medium,
penicillin/streptomycin and trypsin-EDTA (all from Gibco) and fetal bovine serum (Eurobio). PVA
filament was from Makershop.

101 2.1. 3D printing of sacrificial PVA molds

Sacrificial PVA molds were fabricated using PVA filament and a Prusa Research MK2S 3D
printer (see Table 1 for the 3D printing parameters). Computer-aided design software (Design Spark
Mechanical) was used for drawing the PVA molds and the STL file was generated using the
Prusa3Dslicer software. The final dimensions of the PVA sacrificial mold are 10 mm length, 10 mm
depth and 6 mm height. Each batch of PVA molds requires 8 minutes to be printed.

Table 1. 3D printing parameters.

107

Value
0.1 mm
0.2 mm
1
0 & 5
40% and 55%
3
45°
60 °C
215 °C
210 °C

## 108 2.2. Fabrication of 3D gelatin scaffolds

109 The gelatin solution (10% w/v) was prepared at 60 °C using glacial acetic acid as solvent. The 3D 110 PVA molds fabricated with 40% and 55% of PVA infill density were denoted as PVA40 and PVA55, 111 respectively. Then, the gelatin solution (100µL/PVA mold) was poured and let to fill the PVA molds. 112 PVA molds filled with the gelatin solution were dried at room temperature for 24 hours, and then 113 crosslinked using 0.5% glutaraldehyde solution (3 mL/4 molds) for 24 hours. The glutaraldehyde 114 solution was prepared from 25% (v/v) glutaraldehyde stock solution using absolute ethanol. Finally, 115 the crosslinked gelatin-filled PVA molds were immersed in water at 50°C, and this step was repeated 116 five times to completely dissolve the mold. PVA dissolution resulted in water-insoluble gelatin 117 scaffolds. Gelatin scaffolds fabricated using PVA40 and PVA55 sacrificial molds were denoted as G40 118 and G55, respectively (Figure 1).







Figure 1. Schematic representation of gelatin scaffold fabrication.



- The morphology of the PVA sacrificial molds and gelatin scaffolds were analyzed by SEM (HITACHI S4800) after coating with platinum using an ion sputter coater. The 3D architecture of the scaffolds was analyzed using EasyTom XL 150.The gelatin scaffold swelling ratio was calculated by equilibrating pre-weighed gelatin scaffolds in 0.1 M PBS at pH 7.4 at 37 °C. At different time points, equilibrated samples were removed and the weight of the scaffolds was recorded. The scaffolds were
- 127 carefully put back in PBS after weight recording.
- 128 The swelling ratio was calculated with the following equation (1):

Swelling Ratio = 
$$\frac{Wt - W0}{W0} \times 100$$
 (1)

129 where Wt is the gelatin scaffold weight at the 't' time, and Wo is the gelatin scaffold initial weight.

130 Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) spectra of gelatin scaffolds were recorded at 600

131 to 4000 cm<sup>-1</sup> frequency and 4 cm<sup>-1</sup> resolution using a NEXUS instrument, equipped with an attenuated

132 total reflection accessory.

## 133 2.4. Cell viability assays

134 MG63 osteosarcoma cell line (obtained from ATCC) were cultured in MEM alpha medium 135 supplemented with 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco 15140-122) and 10% fetal bovine serum 136 (Eurobio CVFSVF00-01) at 37 °C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Cells were maintained in 10 cm diameter dishes and 137 0.05% trypsin-EDTA (Gibco 25300-054) was used for passaging. Gelatin scaffolds were sterilized with 138 60% ethanol (w/v) and rinsed in PBS. For cell viability assays, 1.5x10<sup>3</sup> MG63 cells/well were plated in 139 96-well plates and let to attach for 24 hours. Then, the sterilized gelatin scaffold was put on top of the 140 cell layer and cell viability was assessed with the MTT ((3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl 141 tetrazolium bromide) assay at 1, 4 and 8 days (n=3). Briefly, cells were incubated with 0.05 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> 142 of MTT solution (100  $\mu$ L/well) for 2h to allow the formation of purple-colored formazan crystals. 143 Then, formazan crystals were dissolved by adding 100  $\mu$ L/well of dimethyl sulfoxide (BDH Prolab 144 23486.297), and absorbance was recorded at 560 nm using a Multiskan plate reader (Thermo 145 Scientific, USA).

### 146 2.5. Calcein staining to monitor cell attachment and proliferation

147 MG63 cells (5x10<sup>3</sup> cells/scaffold) were cultured on gelatin scaffolds for 4 days. Then, they were 148 incubated with the calcein-AM solution (maker) at 37 °C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 30 minutes and monitored by 149 epifluorescence microscopy (DM6000 Leica). SEM was also used to characterize the cells attached on 150 the scaffolds. Briefly, cells cultured on scaffolds were fixed with 2% glutaraldehyde solution for 1 151 hour and then scaffolds were washed with PBS. Cells attached to the scaffolds were incubated with increasing ethanol concentrations (10%, 20%, 40%, 70% and 90% (v/v)), followed by drying using
hexamethyldisilazane.

154

### 155 3. Results and Discussion

156 Gelatin scaffolds were 3D printed using PVA sacrificial molds with a porous structure that were 157 then dissolved in water. Designing scaffolds with appropriate pore size and properties allowing cell 158 adhesion and proliferation is essential for their use in tissue engineering. For instance, Murphy et al. 159 reported that high pore size (> 300 µm) facilitates cell infiltration and proliferation, vascularization 160 and bone ingrowth [19]. Therefore, to obtain tunable pore size, two different PVA molds with 161 different polymer infill density (40% and 55%) were used (PVA40 and PVA55, respectively). The 162 morphology of the PVA molds (Figure 2a and d) and gelatin scaffolds (Figure 2b and e) was analyzed 163 by SEM. The gelatin scaffolds displayed a tunable pore size ranging from 350 to 1200 µm (Figure 2c 164 and f) which shows that the gelatin scaffolds are suitable to facilitate cell infiltration. Although gelatin 165 is highly water soluble, the crosslinked gelatin scaffolds maintained the architecture and porous 166 morphology even after dissolution of the PVA sacrificial molds, highlighting their stability in 167 aqueous solution. Sacrificial PVA molds generate the porous structure to the gelatin scaffolds in three 168 ways: I. dissolution of sacrificial mold filament leads to the hollow filament of gelatin, II. Inter gelatin 169 filament gap and III. Dissolution of sacrificial filament junction supports to connect adjacent layers 170 of gelatin filaments. Such interconnectivities are crucial for cell migration and infiltration into 171 multiple layers of scaffolds.

The architecture of the gelatin scaffolds was the replica of the PVA sacrificial molds and the number of pores per unit area in each scaffold (G40 and G55) correlated with their number in the corresponding sacrificial mold (PVA40 and PVA55). However, the pore number in any given area was higher in the gelatin scaffolds than in the PVA sacrificial molds, due to the shrinkage of the gelatin scaffold during drying (Figure 2a to f).



177

Figure 2. SEM images of PVA40 (a), G40 (b,g,i), PVA55 (d), G55 (e,h), and pore size distribution in sacrificial
PVA molds and gelatin scaffolds (c and f).

180 Analysis of the 3D architecture of the G40 and G55 scaffolds by X-ray microtomography (Figure 181 3) showed that both gelatin scaffold types had a porous structure imparted by the porous structure 182 of the PVA sacrificial molds (see PVA40 and PVA55 images in Figure 2a and 2d). This type of pore is 183 indicated by black squares in Figures 2 and 3a. Moreover, a second pore type was formed after PVA 184 dissolution (black circles in Figure 2 and 3a). Indeed, the gelatin deposited and crosslinked around 185 the PVA matrix during scaffold synthesis formed gelatin tubes after PVA dissolution (Figures 2g to 186 i). The microtomography images of G40 and G55 scaffolds showed that gelatin uniformly diffused in 187 the two types of sacrificial molds (Figure 3). It shows that preparation of gelatin solution in glacial 188 acetic acid prevented the dissolution of sacrificial mold before crosslinking of gelatin. Further, high 189 solubility of PVA in water favors the gelatin scaffolds formation. As observed also on the SEM 190 images, the gelatin scaffold pores were strongly influenced by the PVA sacrificial mold porosity 191 (compare Figure 3a and c). The two pore types (inter- and intra-gelatin tubes, indicated by the square 192 and circle, respectively, in Figure 3) were clearly larger in G40 than in G55 scaffolds.

193 Moreover, the two scaffolds presented a 3D interconnected porous structure (Figure 3b and d). The

194 tubes formed after dissolution of the PVA sacrificial molds were observed along the rows and

195 columns and introduced a high interconnectivity within the scaffolds. The PVA sacrificial mold

- were 3D printed in such a way that the two adjacent layers were separated by a specific distance.This helped to interconnect the porous structures formed between gelatin tubes. Presence of these
- 198 interconnected porous structures is crucial to facilitate cell infiltration.



199

Figure 3. X-ray microtomography images of gelatin scaffolds (scale bar is 2 mm). The cross-sectional
area is delineated by the red lines; arrows indicates the porous structure.

202 FTIR spectra of the G40 and G55 gelatin scaffolds (Figure 4a) showed, as expected [20], the 203 presence of peaks characteristic of gelatin (amide I and amide II at 1650 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1539 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 204 respectively). C-H stretching vibration of the alkyl group of PVA was also observed at 2921 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 205 2851 cm<sup>-1</sup> [21]. Although SEM images (Figure 2) clearly confirmed PVA dissolution and the formation 206 of gelatin tubes, FTIR indicated that some PVA molecules were still attached to the gelatin scaffolds. 207 The primary amine groups in gelatin are responsible for the crosslinking. Conversely, the hydroxyl 208 groups of PVA react less with glutaraldehyde compared with the gelatin amine groups. Many studies 209 reported that PVA crosslinking is an acid-catalyzed reaction and that it requires high glutaraldehyde 210 concentrations [22, 23]. Here, crosslinking was performed with a high concentration of 211 glutaraldehyde (0.5% solution), which might explain the fact that traces of PVA are covalently

attached to gelatin. Decreasing the glutaraldehyde concentration could diminish the PVA attachmenton the gelatin scaffolds.

214 Analysis of the gelatin scaffold swelling capacity showed that G40 scaffolds reached the swelling 215 equilibrium after 1 day in PBS (Figure 4b). By contrast, the G55 scaffold exhibited a significantly lower 216 swelling ratio (approximately 2-fold less as compared to the G40 scaffold) after 1 day in PBS (Figure 217 4b). Interestingly, the swelling capacity of the G55 scaffold increased upon time (increase of the 218 swelling ratio up to 12 days in PBS). This delay in the swelling capacity of the G55 scaffold can be 219 explained due to the increased degree of crosslinking compare to G40. Of note, both the G40 and G55 220 scaffolds did not exhibit significant weight loss even after 12 days in PBS and remained easily 221 manipulable (Figure 4b), thus indicating that they are insoluble and validating their stability in 222 solution.







**Figure 4.** FTIR spectra (a) and swelling analysis (b) of the indicated 3D gelatin scaffolds (\*p<0.05).

As glutaraldehyde crosslinking might alter the cytocompatibility of gelatin scaffolds, the effect of the scaffold on MG63 cell viability was analyzed with the MTT assay (Figure 5a). The viability of MG63 cells grown in the presence of the G40 scaffold for up to 8 days was comparable to that of cells cultured in the absence of scaffold (control). This proved that glutaraldehyde crosslinking did not affect significantly cell viability.

Moreover, epifluorescence microscopy and SEM analysis after calcein staining showed that MG63 cells could attach and proliferate on the G40 scaffold (Figure 5b and c). These findings confirm the cytocompatibility of the G40 scaffold. In general, scaffolds are functionalized with cell adhesion molecules to facilitate cell attachment. As gelatin contains the RGD (Arg-Gly-Asp) binding motif for

- 242 integrin [24, 25], no additional functionalization of these gelatin scaffolds is required for cell
- attachment.



Figure 5. Cell viability and attachment on 3D gelatin scaffolds (G40) analyzed with the MTT assay (a),
and by calcein staining: epifluorescence microscopy image (b) and SEM image showing cells attached
on the G40 scaffold (c), ns=no significance (statistical analysis was performed using student t test).

#### 248 4. Conclusions

244

The engineering of the scaffolds with an interconnected pore network and appropriate pore size is essential to facilitate cell infiltration, and the transport of nutrients and wastes. Many studies reported that scaffolds with a pore size higher than ~300 µm are optimal for bone tissue engineering because they significantly reduce cell aggregation and facilitate cell proliferation and vascularization [19, 26-29].

The work presented here shows that the cautious engineering of sacrificial molds allows the fabrication of gelatin scaffolds with tunable pore size and architecture suitable for tissue engineering applications. The synthesized gelatin scaffolds displayed interconnected pores with a pore size between 400 and 1200 µm, and were stable in PBS as assessed by swelling assay. Assays using MG63 osteoblastic cells showed that such scaffolds are biocompatible, and allow cell attachment and proliferation without addition of any cell adhesion molecule. In conclusion, this study provides a method to develop engineered stable interconnected porous gelatin scaffolds using sacrificial mold261 assisted 3D printing. Further, this approach could be extended for the fabrication of customized 262 scaffolds using various other biopolymers or synthetic polymers. Human organs display multiple 263 biopolymeric composition as well as gradient composition in their scaffold matrix [30]. Synthesis of 264 such complex scaffolds could be attempted using sacrificial mold technique. Electrical simulation 265 found to improve the nerve tissue regeneration and conducting polymer-based particles are highly 266 biocompatible [31-34]. Such particles could be mixed with biopolymers for the conductive scaffolds 267 fabrication using sacrificial mold assisted technique. These scaffolds could be loaded with therapeutic 268 molecules and employed for wound healing and skin tissue engineering applications.

269

Acknowledgment: The authors acknowledge the financial support by the Indo-French Centre for the promotion
of advanced research-Cefipra (Project 5608-1). One of the authors (NKS) thank the University Grants
Commission, India for the award of UGC-BSR Faculty Fellowship. The University of Montpellier (MUSE
"3DTraitCancer") also supported this study. We acknowledge the members of the MRI facility of the national
infrastructure France-BioImaging supported by the French National Research Agency (ANR-10-INBS-04,
«Investments for the future»), the Labex CEMEB (ANR-10-LABX-0004) and NUMEV (ANR-10-LABX-0020).

276

- 277 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.
- 278

### 279 References

- Edmondson, R.; Broglie, J.J.; Adcock, A.F.; Yang, L. Three-Dimensional Cell Culture Systems and Their
   Applications in Drug Discovery and Cell-Based Biosensors. ASSAY and Drug Development Technologies
   2014, 12, 207-218, doi:10.1089/adt.2014.573.
- Mirbagheri, M.; Adibnia, V.; Hughes, B.R.; Waldman, S.D.; Banquy, X.; Hwang, D.K. Advanced cell culture
   platforms: a growing quest for emulating natural tissues. Materials Horizons 2019, 6, 45-71,
   doi:10.1039/C8MH00803E.
- Fang, Y.; Eglen, R.M. Three-Dimensional Cell Cultures in Drug Discovery and Development. SLAS
   DISCOVERY: Advancing Life Sciences R&D 2017, 22, 456-472, doi:10.1177/1087057117696795.
- Deb, P.; Deoghare, A.B.; Borah, A.; Barua, E.; Das Lala, S. Scaffold Development Using Biomaterials: A
   Review. Materials Today: Proceedings 2018, 5, 12909-12919, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2018.02.276.
- Belaid, H.; Nagarajan, S.; Teyssier, C.; Barou, C.; Barés, J.; Balme, S.; Garay, H.; Huon, V.; Cornu, D.;
   Cavaillès, V., et al. Development of new biocompatible 3D printed graphene oxide-based scaffolds.
   Materials Science and Engineering: C 2020, 110, 110595, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2019.110595.
- 293 6. Belaid, H.; Nagarajan, S.; Barou, C.; Huon, V.; Bares, J.; Balme, S.; Miele, P.; Cornu, D.; Cavaillès, V.;
- 294 Teyssier, C., et al. Boron Nitride Based Nanobiocomposites: Design by 3D Printing for Bone Tissue
- 295 Engineering. ACS Applied Bio Materials 2020, 3, 1865-1874, doi:10.1021/acsabm.9b00965.

- 296 7. Goh, W.H.; Hashimoto, M. Fabrication of 3D Microfluidic Channels and In-Channel Features Using 3D
   297 Printed, Water-Soluble Sacrificial Mold. Macromolecular Materials and Engineering 2018, 303, 1700484,
   298 doi:10.1002/mame.201700484.
- 299 Mohanty, S.; Larsen, L.B.; Trifol, J.; Szabo, P.; Burri, H.V.R.; Canali, C.; Dufva, M.; Emnéus, J.; Wolff, A. 8. 300 Fabrication of scalable and structured tissue engineering scaffolds using water dissolvable sacrificial 3D 301 printed moulds. Materials Science Engineering: 2015, 55, 569-578, and С 302 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2015.06.002.
- 303 9. Lu, R.; Chandrasekaran, S.; Du Frane, W.L.; Landingham, R.L.; Worsley, M.A.; Kuntz, J.D. Complex shaped
  304 boron carbides from negative additive manufacturing. Materials & Design 2018, 148, 8-16,
  305 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2018.03.026.
- 306 10. Nejad, H.R.; Sadeqi, A.; Kiaee, G.; Sonkusale, S. Low-cost and cleanroom-free fabrication of microneedles.
- 307 Microsystems & Amp; Nanoengineering 2018, 4, 17073, doi:10.1038/micronano.2017.73
- 308 11. https://www.nature.com/articles/micronano201773#supplementary-information.
- 309 12. Yin, J.; Yan, M.; Wang, Y.; Fu, J.; Suo, H. 3D Bioprinting of Low-Concentration Cell-Laden Gelatin
  310 Methacrylate (GelMA) Bioinks with a Two-Step Cross-linking Strategy. ACS Applied Materials &
  311 Interfaces 2018, 10, 6849-6857, doi:10.1021/acsami.7b16059.
- Xiao, S.; Zhao, T.; Wang, J.; Wang, C.; Du, J.; Ying, L.; Lin, J.; Zhang, C.; Hu, W.; Wang, L., et al. Gelatin
  Methacrylate (GelMA)-Based Hydrogels for Cell Transplantation: an Effective Strategy for Tissue
  Engineering. Stem Cell Reviews and Reports 2019, 15, 664-679, doi:10.1007/s12015-019-09893-4.
- 315 14. Mohanty, S.; Sanger, K.; Heiskanen, A.; Trifol, J.; Szabo, P.; Dufva, M.; Emnéus, J.; Wolff, A. Fabrication of 316 scalable tissue engineering scaffolds with dual-pore microarchitecture by combining 3D printing and 317 particle leaching. Materials Science and Engineering: С 2016, 61, 180-189, 318 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2015.12.032.
- Li, S.; Liu, Y.-Y.; Liu, L.-J.; Hu, Q.-X. A Versatile Method for Fabricating Tissue Engineering Scaffolds with
  a Three-Dimensional Channel for Prevasculature Networks. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces 2016, 8,
  25096-25103, doi:10.1021/acsami.6b07725.
- Jung, J.W.; Lee, H.; Hong, J.M.; Park, J.H.; Shim, J.H.; Choi, T.H.; Cho, D.-W. A new method of fabricating
  a blend scaffold using an indirect three-dimensional printing technique. Biofabrication 2015, 7, 045003,
  doi:10.1088/1758-5090/7/4/045003.
- Bégin-Drolet, A.; Dussault, M.-A.; Fernandez, S.A.; Larose-Dutil, J.; Leask, R.L.; Hoesli, C.A.; Ruel, J. Design
  of a 3D printer head for additive manufacturing of sugar glass for tissue engineering applications. Additive
  Manufacturing 2017, 15, 29-39, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2017.03.006.
- 328 18. Gelber, M.K.; Hurst, G.; Comi, T.J.; Bhargava, R. Model-guided design and characterization of a high329 precision 3D printing process for carbohydrate glass. Additive Manufacturing 2018, 22, 38-50,
  330 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2018.04.026.
- Au Müller, M.; Au Becher, J.; Au Schnabelrauch, M.; Au Zenobi-Wong, M. Printing Thermoresponsive
  Reverse Molds for the Creation of Patterned Two-component Hydrogels for 3D Cell Culture. JoVE 2013,
  doi:10.3791/50632, e50632, doi:doi:10.3791/50632.

- Murphy, C.M.; Haugh, M.G.; O'Brien, F.J. The effect of mean pore size on cell attachment, proliferation and
   migration in collagen–glycosaminoglycan scaffolds for bone tissue engineering. Biomaterials 2010, 31, 461 466, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2009.09.063.
- 337 21. Muyonga, J.H.; Cole, C.G.B.; Duodu, K.G. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopic study of acid
  338 soluble collagen and gelatin from skins and bones of young and adult Nile perch (Lates niloticus). Food
  339 Chemistry 2004, 86, 325-332, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2003.09.038.
- Mansur, H.S.; Sadahira, C.M.; Souza, A.N.; Mansur, A.A.P. FTIR spectroscopy characterization of poly
   (vinyl alcohol) hydrogel with different hydrolysis degree and chemically crosslinked with glutaraldehyde.
- 342 Materials Science and Engineering: C 2008, 28, 539-548, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2007.10.088.
- Rudra, R.; Kumar, V.; Kundu, P.P. Acid catalysed cross-linking of poly vinyl alcohol (PVA) by
  glutaraldehyde: effect of crosslink density on the characteristics of PVA membranes used in single
  chambered microbial fuel cells. RSC Advances 2015, 5, 83436-83447, doi:10.1039/C5RA16068E.
- 346 24. Gonzalez-Ortiz, D.; Pochat-Bohatier, C.; Gassara, S.; Cambedouzou, J.; Bechelany, M.; Miele, P.
  347 Development of novel h-BNNS/PVA porous membranes via Pickering emulsion templating. Green
  348 Chemistry 2018, 20, 4319-4329, doi:10.1039/C8GC01983E.
- Shen, H.; Hu, X.; Yang, F.; Bei, J.; Wang, S. Combining oxygen plasma treatment with anchorage of
  cationized gelatin for enhancing cell affinity of poly(lactide-co-glycolide). Biomaterials 2007, 28, 4219-4230,
  doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2007.06.004.
- Shu, X.Z.; Liu, Y.; Palumbo, F.; Prestwich, G.D. Disulfide-crosslinked hyaluronan-gelatin hydrogel films: a
  covalent mimic of the extracellular matrix for in vitro cell growth. Biomaterials 2003, 24, 3825-3834,
  doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-9612(03)00267-9.
- Karageorgiou, V.; Kaplan, D. Porosity of 3D biomaterial scaffolds and osteogenesis. Biomaterials 2005, 26,
   5474-5491, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2005.02.002.
- 28. Di Luca, A.; Ostrowska, B.; Lorenzo-Moldero, I.; Lepedda, A.; Swieszkowski, W.; Van Blitterswijk, C.;
  Moroni, L. Gradients in pore size enhance the osteogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stromal
  cells in three-dimensional scaffolds. Scientific Reports 2016, 6, 22898, doi:10.1038/srep22898.
- Qazi, T.H.; Tytgat, L.; Dubruel, P.; Duda, G.N.; Van Vlierberghe, S.; Geissler, S. Extrusion Printed Scaffolds
   with Varying Pore Size As Modulators of MSC Angiogenic Paracrine Effects. ACS Biomaterials Science &
   Engineering 2019, 5, 5348-5358, doi:10.1021/acsbiomaterials.9b00843.
- 363 30. Jallerat, Q.; Feinberg, A.W. Extracellular Matrix Structure and Composition in the Early Four-Chambered
   364 Embryonic Heart. 2020, 9, 285, doi: 10.3390/cells9020285
- 365 31. Vaitkuviene, A.; Kaseta, V.; Voronovic, J.; Ramanauskaite, G.; Biziuleviciene, G.; Ramanaviciene, A.; 366 Ramanavicius, A. Evaluation of cytotoxicity of polypyrrole nanoparticles synthesized by oxidative 367 polymerization. Journal of Hazardous Materials 2013, 250-251, 167-174. 368 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2013.01.038.
- 369 32. Ramanaviciene, A.; Kausaite, A.; Tautkus, S.; Ramanavicius, A. Biocompatibility of polypyrrole particles:
  370 an in-vivo study in mice. 2007, 59, 311-315, doi:https://doi.org/10.1211/jpp.59.2.0017.
- 371 33. Vaitkuviene, A.; Ratautaite, V.; Mikoliunaite, L.; Kaseta, V.; Ramanauskaite, G.; Biziuleviciene, G.;
  372 Ramanaviciene, A.; Ramanavicius, A. Some biocompatibility aspects of conducting polymer polypyrrole

- 373 evaluated with bone marrow-derived stem cells. Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering
- 374 Aspects 2014, 442, 152-156, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2013.06.030.
- 375 34. Chen, C.; Bai, X.; Ding, Y.; Lee, I.-S. Electrical stimulation as a novel tool for regulating cell behavior in
  376 tissue engineering. *Biomaterials Research* 2019, *23*, 25, doi:10.1186/s40824-019-0176-8.

377