

The 2-machine robust flow shop problem under budgeted uncertainty

Mario Levorato, Rosa Figueiredo, Yuri Y. Frota, David Sotelo

▶ To cite this version:

Mario Levorato, Rosa Figueiredo, Yuri Y. Frota, David Sotelo. The 2-machine robust flow shop problem under budgeted uncertainty. 22eme Conferénce ROADEF de la société Française de Recherche Opérationnelle et d'Aide à la Décision, Apr 2021, Mulhouse, France. hal-03236596

HAL Id: hal-03236596 https://hal.science/hal-03236596v1

Submitted on 26 May 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

The 2-machine robust flow shop problem under budgeted uncertainty

Mario Levorato^{1,2,3}, Rosa Figueiredo¹, Yuri Frota², David Sotelo³

¹ Laboratoire Informatique d'Avignon, Avignon Université, Avignon, France

mario.costa-levorato-junior@alumni.univ-avignon.fr, rosa.figueiredo@univ-avignon.fr

² Instituto de Computação, Universidade Federal Fluminense, Niterói, Brasil yuri@ic.uff.br

³ Operations Research and Data Science Division, Petrobras, Brasil david@petrobras.com.br

Mots-clés : optimisation, ordonnancement, flow shop, robuste.

1 Introduction

We study scheduling problems in which associated equipment undergoes a series of maintenance operations that must have their execution order respected. In particular, we investigate a variation of the problem known as *Permutation Flow Shop*. In flow shop scheduling problems, the operations are performed in a specific sequence (serial flow). Such configuration is commonly used in assembly lines throughout several types of industry, including chemical, electronic, food and metallurgical. Even though flow shop scheduling has been widely investigated considering deterministic processing times, in real-world situations, the decision making process can be affected by uncertainties in operation processing times.

In order to deal with these uncertainties, a normal approach in the literature to tackle this problem is using Stochastic Optimization [3], i.e., assigning probabilities to different scenarios in order to generate a decision which is optimal in the long run. However, this is not an option when the probability distributions for the processing times are unknown. Instead, Robust Optimization (RO) [1] can be applied, with the objective of finding a solution that is good enough considering the realization of all possible scenarios, minimizing the objective function considering the worst-case realization.

Based on Robust Optimization techniques, this work proposes new solution approaches to the flow shop problem where operation processing times are subject to uncertainty. The problem under study is the 2-machine Robust Permutation Flow Shop (2RPFS) problem to minimize the worst-case makespan. The novelty of our work is the application of the budgeted uncertainty set [2], which allows to adjust the level of conservatism of the solution. The objective is to provide exact solutions to this variation of the problem.

2 The Permutation Flow Shop (PFS) Problem

The PFS problem can be stated as follows. Consider a production planning process consisting of a set $J = \{J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_n\}$ of n jobs to be executed in a set $M = \{M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_m\}$ of mmachines. In this process, every job J_j is composed by m stages $O_{1,j}, O_{2,j}, \ldots, O_{m,j}$, named operations. Every operation $O_{r,j}$ has a non-negative processing time $t_{r,j}$ forming the matrix $T \in \mathbb{R}^+_{M \times J}$. The job operation $O_{r,j}$ must only be executed on machine r. At any time, each machine cannot execute more than one operation. Operation $O_{r,j}$ can be executed only after operation $O_{r-1,j}$ is finished. Preemption is not allowed, that is, once an operation is started, it must be completed without any interruption. The sequence in which the jobs are to be processed is the same for each machine. Such order is defined by a permutation $\pi : \{1, \ldots, n\} \longrightarrow J$, with $\pi(j)$ indicating the j - th job to be executed. The completion time of an operation $O_{r,j}$, denoted by $C_{r,j}$, can be defined by the recurrence :

$$C_{r,\pi(j)} = \begin{cases} t_{r,\pi(j)} & \text{if } r = 1 \text{ and } j = 1 \\ C_{r,\pi(j-1)} + t_{r,\pi(j)} & \text{if } r = 1 \text{ and } j > 1 \\ C_{r-1,\pi(j)} + t_{r,\pi(j)} & \text{if } r > 1 \text{ and } j = 1 \\ max(C_{r,\pi(j-1)}, C_{r-1,\pi(j)}) + t_{r,\pi(j)} & \text{if } r > 1 \text{ and } j > 1 \end{cases}$$

The completion time of a job J_j is $C_{m,j}$. The makespan of a permutation is the maximum completion time of a job (C_{max}) . The objective of the PFS problem is to find a sequence of jobs (permutation π) which minimizes the makespan.

3 Solution approach

Concerning the uncertain nature of the problem, scenarios are used to represent the set of possible realizations of processing time values t. In order to apply RO, there are two usual ways of describing the set of scenarios. In the discrete case, an explicit scenario list is given, while in the interval case each processing time t can take any value between a lower (\underline{t}) and an upper bound (\overline{t}). Our research is based on the interval case.

Regarding the interval scenario case, to our knowledge, the only existing work that deals with the degree of solution conservatism is the one by Ying [4], which proposes two metaheuristics for the 2RPFS problem, in which processing times can take any value according to the budgeted uncertainty set introduced by Bertsimas and Sim [2]. Our research fills a gap in the literature with an exact solution method for this problem. We propose a column-and-constraint generation algorithm, based on the framework proposed by Zeng & Zhao [5], including two main elements :

— Robust counterpart models based on the best-performing PFS problem formulations;

— Worst-case calculation procedure;

By developing this exact algorithm, we expect to provide more flexible solutions, thus enabling the decision-maker to evaluate the trade-off between robustness and solution cost.

Acknowledgement

Research conducted during a visiting period at Avignon Université by means of a Doctoral Exchange Program sponsored by CAPES, Ministry of Education, Brazil (Process No. : 88881.187708/2018-01).

Références

- Aharon Ben-Tal and Arkadi Nemirovski. Robust optimization-methodology and applications. Mathematical Programming, 92(3):453–480, 2002.
- [2] Dimitris Bertsimas and Melvyn Sim. The Price of Robustness. Operations Research, 52(1):35–53, 2004.
- [3] Daniel P Heyman and Matthew J Sobel. *Stochastic models in operations research : stochastic optimization*, volume 2. Courier Corporation, 1982.
- [4] Kuo Ching Ying. Scheduling the two-machine flowshop to hedge against processing time uncertainty. Journal of the Operational Research Society, 66(9) :1413–1425, 2015.
- [5] Bo Zeng and Long Zhao. Solving two-stage robust optimization problems using a column-andconstraint generation method. *Operations Research Letters*, 41(5):457–461, 2013.