



**HAL**  
open science

## Identification and characterizing of the prevailing paths on a urban network for MFD-based applications

Sergio Batista, Manon Seppecher, Ludovic Leclercq

### ► To cite this version:

Sergio Batista, Manon Seppecher, Ludovic Leclercq. Identification and characterizing of the prevailing paths on a urban network for MFD-based applications. *Transportation research. Part C, Emerging technologies*, 2021, 127, 34p. 10.1016/j.trc.2020.102953 . hal-03236592

**HAL Id: hal-03236592**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03236592>**

Submitted on 26 May 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Identification and characterizing of the prevailing paths on a urban network for MFD-based applications

S. F. A. Batista<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Manon Seppecher<sup>b,c</sup>, Ludovic Leclercq<sup>b,\*\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Division of Engineering, New York University Abu Dhabi, Saadiyat Marina District PO Box 129188 - Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates

<sup>b</sup>Univ. Gustave Eiffel, Univ. Lyon, ENTPE, LICIT, F-69518, Lyon, France

<sup>c</sup>CITEPA, Paris, France

---

## Abstract

One of the main challenges for multi-regional application of the aggregated traffic models based on the Macroscopic Fundamental Diagram, lies in the identification and characterization of the most prevailing paths chosen by drivers. In this paper, we propose a methodological framework, based on two distinct methods, to determine these prevailing paths. The first method requires the information about travel patterns in the urban network as well as the information about the city network partitioning. The second method is more parsimonious, and consists on the direct calculation of shortest-cost paths on the aggregated network. For this, we propose four impedance functions that utilize topological features of the urban network and its partitioning. We test the performance of this methodological framework for determining the most prevailing paths on a network representing the metropolitan area of Lyon (France). We consider a set of real trajectories (i.e. GPS data) of drivers in this network as a benchmark. We show that the proposed methods are able to identify the most prevailing paths as the ones chosen by drivers, as evidenced by a large similarity value between the sets of paths. Based on a maximum likelihood estimation, we also show that the Weibull distribution is the one that better reproduces the functional form of the network-wide distribution of travel distances. However, the characterization of the functional form of such distributions characteristic to each region defining a path is not trivial, and depends on the complex topological features of the urban network concerning the definition of its partitioning. We also show that the Euclidean distance metrics provides good estimates of the average travel distances. Interestingly, we also show that the most prevailing paths are not necessarily the ones that have the lowest average travel distances.

*Keywords:* Prevailing paths, Urban network, Regional Choice set, Trips, MFD models.

---

## 1 Highlights

- We distinguish between internal and regional paths.
- We propose two methods to determine paths on regional networks.
- We investigate if these methods are able to identify the prevailing paths chosen by drivers.
- We investigate the functional form of the network-wide trip length distribution.
- We investigate the characterization of the travel distances of paths.

## 1. Introduction

Traffic congestion remains a problem in large metropolitan areas worldwide. One attractive tool, for studying and designing innovative strategies to alleviate congestion, is the aggregated traffic models based on the Macroscopic Fundamental Diagram. The pioneering works on these kind of traffic models are [Godfrey \(1969\)](#), [Herman and Prigogine](#)

---

\*Corresponding author. Tel. : +971 26 28 76 98, [sergio.batista@nyu.edu](mailto:sergio.batista@nyu.edu)

\*\*Corresponding author. Tel. : +33 (0) 4 72 04 77 16, [ludovic.leclercq@univ-eiffel.fr](mailto:ludovic.leclercq@univ-eiffel.fr)

(1979), Mahmassani et al. (1984) and Vickrey (2020). However, they only attracted more attention after the works of Daganzo (2007) and Geroliminis and Daganzo (2008). In the past decade, the MFD-based traffic models (Mariotte et al., 2017; Mariotte and Leclercq, 2019; Jin, 2020) have been used in a broad spectrum of applications, ranging from the test and design of control strategies (e.g. Aboudolas and Geroliminis, 2013; Geroliminis et al., 2013; Ekbatani et al., 2013; Ramezani et al., 2015; Haddad, 2017; Haddad and Mirkin, 2017; Zhong et al., 2017; Kouvelas et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2018; Haddad and Zheng, 2018; Mohajerpoor et al., 2019; Haitao et al., 2019; Sirmatel and Geroliminis, 2019), to perimeter control implementations with route guidance (e.g. Yildirimoglu et al., 2015, 2018; Sirmatel and Geroliminis, 2018; Ingole et al., 2020b), traffic management (Yildirimoglu and Geroliminis, 2014; Laval et al., 2018; Batista and Leclercq, 2019), pricing schemes (e.g. Zheng et al., 2016; Gu et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2019; Zheng and Geroliminis, 2020), multi-modal transportation networks (e.g. Loder et al., 2017; Loder et al., 2019; Paipuri and Leclercq, 2020a,b), and studying the effects network hysteresis during network loading (e.g. Leclercq and Paipuri, 2020), the effects of parking on urban traffic dynamics (e.g. Cao and Menendez, 2015; Leclercq et al., 2017; Cao et al., 2019), environmental applications (Amirgholy et al., 2017; Ingole et al., 2020a; Saedi et al., 2020), the dynamic modeling and control of taxi services (e.g. Ramezani and Nourinejad, 2018), or ride-sourcing services in multi-modal networks (e.g. Wei et al., 2020; Beojone and Geroliminis, 2020).

The aggregated traffic models require the partitioning of the city network into regions, where the traffic conditions are approximately homogeneous, i.e. all vehicles traveling in the same region experience similar mean speeds that are defined by the Macroscopic Fundamental Diagram (MFD). It defines the relation between the average circulating flow in a region and its accumulation of vehicles at a given time instant. The partition of the city network can be done using any technique described in the literature (Saeedmanesh and Geroliminis, 2016, 2017; Lopez et al., 2017; Casadei et al., 2018; Ambühl et al., 2019). This allows to define the regional network, where the connections between adjacent regions depend on the allowed travel directions in the city network. We note that the nodes of the city network serve as the borders between adjacent regions. Let  $G(E, X)$  be the graph that defines the regional network, with  $E$  edges and  $X$  nodes representing by the regions. In this paper, we assume that the regions obtained from the partitioning, are well-defined, compact and fully connected. The main challenge lies on the differences between trips in the city network, and paths on the regional network. Fig. 1 depicts the scaling of trips into paths in the regional network, following the definition of the partitioning. One can observe that the four trips in the city network cross a different sequence of regions. The two green trips are then associated to a different path on the regional network, than the blue trip as well as the purple one. In this paper, we distinguish between two kind of paths on regional networks:

- *Regional path*: is the ordered sequence of regions crossed by the trips from their Origin (O) to their Destination (D) regions. Two examples are represented by the green and blue paths in Fig. 1.
- *Internal path*: represents internal trajectories of vehicles inside the same region. One example is represented by the purple path in Fig. 1.

This distinction between internal and regional paths is of crucial importance. In the application of MFD-based traffic models, regional paths carry exchange flows between adjacent regions. Internal paths carry internal flows. In fact, internal circulating flows can act as active bottlenecks (Mariotte and Leclercq, 2019), that block the traversing flows, traveling on regional paths, at the borders of a given region. This plays a crucial role on how congestion propagates throughout the network, and therefore on the system's performance.

Generically, we define a path  $p$  on the regional network as:

$$p = \{O\} \cup_{i=1, \dots, |p|-1} \{r \cdot \delta_{rp}, \forall r \in \Lambda_i\} \setminus \{0\} \quad (1)$$

where  $\delta_{rp}$  is a binary variable that equals 1 if region  $r$  is part of path  $p$ , or 0 otherwise;  $\Lambda_i$  is the set of adjacent regions to the region listed in the  $i$ -th position of the path  $p$ ; and  $|\cdot|$  represents the total number of regions defining path  $p$ . To better understand how this mathematical definition works, we showcase its application to define the sequence of regions of the blue and purple regional paths depicted in Fig. 1. We can observe that the purple path is an internal path. The second condition in Eq. 1 is an empty set, i.e.  $\{r \cdot \delta_{rp}, \forall r \in \Lambda_5\} \setminus \{0\} = \emptyset$ , since  $|p| = 1$ . The purple path is then defined as  $p = \{5\} \cup \{0\} = \{5\}$ . We now discuss the case of the blue regional path. In this case, we iteratively add the next adjacent regions to be traveled until the Destination region is reached. First, the set of adjacent




Figure 1: The scaling-up of trips in the city network to paths in the regional network.

57 regions to the Origin region 1 is  $\Lambda_1 = \{2, 4, 5\}$ . The next adjacent region to be traveled is region 4, then the set  
58  $\{r \cdot \delta_{rp}, \forall r \in \Lambda_1\} \setminus \{0\} = \{4\}$ . Second, the set of adjacent regions to region 4 is  $\Lambda_4 = \{1, 2, 3, 5, 7\}$ . The next region  
59 to be traveled is region 7, and then the set  $\{r \cdot \delta_{rp}, \forall r \in \Lambda_4\} \setminus \{0\} = \{7\}$ , which is the Destination region. The blue  
60 regional path is then defined as  $p = \{1\} \cup \{4\} \cup \{7\} = \{147\}$ .

61 The main difference between trips and regional paths lies in the characterization of travel distances. Fig. 1 shows  
62 two green trips that are linked to the same regional path. These trips travel on a sequence of links with a fixed  
63 physical length, from their origin to destination nodes. But, one can observe that these two trips have different travel  
64 distances inside each region they cross. Regional paths are then characterized by trip length distributions (TLD)  
65 (Batista et al., 2019), instead of a fixed physical length as it is the case of trips in the city network. On the other hand,  
66 the total number of trips linked to a regional path defines its prevalence (or significance) level. This means that the  
67 most prevailing regional path connecting one OD pair, is the one with the largest number of trips associated. As one  
68 example, the green and blue paths have two and one trips associated, respectively. Therefore, the green regional paths  
69 is more prevalent. Yildirimoglu and Geroliminis (2014) and Batista et al. (2019) propose different methodological  
70 frameworks for estimating the TLD to characterize regional paths. Yildirimoglu and Geroliminis (2014) discusses a  
71 methodology for estimating implicit traffic-dependent TLD, and regional paths. Batista et al. (2019) goes one step  
72 further. The authors discuss a methodology to determine explicit TLD based on a set of trips and different levels of  
73 information regarding the sequence of regions crossed by the trips. In both studies, the regional paths are the result of  
74 scaling-up the trips according to the definition of the regions.

75 Most of the MFD-based applications discussed in the literature require the use of paths on regional networks. For  
76 example, in the paper of Daganzo (2007), the case study is one hypothetical region, where vehicles travel an average  
77 travel distance of 1000 meters on an implicit internal path (according to our definition). While, in Yildirimoglu and  
78 Geroliminis (2014) and Batista et al. (2019), the authors consider a regional network with multiple paths. Recently,  
79 Mariotte et al. (2020) discusses the calibration and validation of the MFD traffic models on the city of Lyon, which is  
80 partitioned into several regions. The estimated accumulations from the MFD models are compared with real data from  
81 loop and probe detectors. The authors show that the correct identification and characterization of paths on regional  
82 networks is one of the main critical elements for an accurate prediction of the accumulations in the regions.

83 In this paper, we propose two dedicated methods for determining the paths on regional networks. The first method  
84 is based on the aggregation of a set of trips in the city network into paths on the regional network, following the defini-  
85 tion of the partitioning. For this, we propose to construct a set of virtual trips by randomly sample trips in city network.  
86 We differentiate between two variants of this method, where the sampling might or not be done concerning the defini-  
87 tion of the partitioning. This set of virtual trips is useful when real trip patterns in the city network are not available.  
88 The second method is more parsimonious and consists in directly determining the paths on the regional graph. We set  
89 different impedance functions based only on topological features of the network, to determine the shortest-cost paths.  
90 For this, we consider the exchange flow capacity between borders of adjacent regions, the Euclidean distance or an

91 average shortest-distance between borders of regions and/or their centroids. We test these methods on the network of  
92 Lyon metropolitan area (France). We analyze the performance of both methods against simulated data as well as real  
93 data gathered from Global Positioning System (GPS) trajectories. We investigate the following hypothesis/research  
94 questions:

- 95 1. Which is the best approach to sample virtual set of trips for determining paths?
- 96 2. Is the second method able to efficiently estimate similar paths as the ones determined from a simulated and a real  
97 dataset of trips?
- 98 3. Is the assumption to calculate a set of virtual-trips in distance a good proxy to capture the paths chosen by drivers?
- 99 4. How does the partitioning of the city network influences the performance of both methods to estimate the paths  
100 chosen by drivers?
- 101 5. What is the functional form of the network-wide TLD?
- 102 6. Is there a general functional form for the TLD of the regions defining a regional path?
- 103 7. Do the Euclidean distance and the average shortest distance between borders and centroids, provide a good ap-  
104 proximation of the travel distances in the regions or the total travel distance of a path?
- 105 8. How different are the travel distances of paths, determined based on a set of shortest-trips in distance and based on  
106 a set of real data?
- 107 9. Is there a relationship between significance level of paths and their travel distances?

108 The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In [Sect. 2](#), we provide a brief literature review about the  
109 methods used to determine trips in the city network. We also introduce the two methods for determining the regional  
110 paths. We then discuss the advantages and disadvantages of each method. In [Sect. 3](#), we analyze the application  
111 of both methods for determining regional paths on a large city network. We also analyze the performance of both  
112 methods against both simulated and real data. In [Sect. 4](#), we discuss the characterization of regional paths through the  
113 TLD. In [Sect. 5](#), we outline the main conclusions of this paper. In [Sect. 6](#), we provide a critical assessment about both  
114 the proposed methodological framework stressing its main advantages and limitations, and the results of this paper.

## 115 2. Methodological framework

116 In this section, we start by providing a brief literature review about methods used for determining trips on a city  
117 network. We also discuss the different challenges related to determining trips in city networks in comparison to  
118 paths on the regional network. We then introduce the methodological framework of the two different methods for  
119 determining paths on regional networks, and discuss the main advantages and limitations of each one.

120 In [Table A.1](#), we summarize the notation used in this paper.

### 121 2.1. Literature review on choice set generation methods on city networks

122 The modeling of drivers' trip choices consists in determining a set of routes in the city network that travelers might  
123 choose. The goal is to determine the route choice set  $\Omega^{od}, \forall (o, d) \in \Xi$  for all origin (o) and destination (d) nodes of  
124 the city network. Let  $\Xi$  be the set of all od pairs of the city network, and  $G(A, Z)$  the city network graph where  $A$  and  
125  $Z$  represent the set of links and nodes, respectively. In this paper, we use lowercase letters for referring to od pairs in  
126 the city network. While, the capital OD refer to Origin-Destination regions in the regional network. We also denote  
127  $W$  as the set of all OD pairs of the regional network.

128 The simplest and most commonly used approach in the literature for determining  $\Omega^{od}$ , is the Dijkstra algorithm. It  
129 can be used to compute the K-shortest trips ([Eppstein, 1998](#); [Hadjiconstantinou and Christofides, 1999](#)) that minimize  
130 the total cost (e.g. travel distance and/or travel time), without considering travelers' preferences. To name a few  
131 examples, the travelers might have specific preferences for choosing highways or main roads, or to avoid traffic lights  
132 or traffic jams. The computed trips show, in general, a high degree of similarity, differing from each other only on  
133 small detours. As an alternative, [van der Zijpp and Catalano \(2005\)](#) discusses an algorithm that computes the K-  
134 constrained shortest trips. The idea is to only compute trips that satisfy a pre-defined set of constraints. [Azevedo et al.  
135 \(1993\)](#) discusses a different approach that consists of a route search and then a link elimination. First, the algorithm  
136 computes the trip with the minimal travel cost and adds it to  $\Omega^{od}$ . Then, it eliminates from a few to all links of the

137 computed trip, from the city network graph. This process is repeated until there are no more trips connecting the od  
138 pair. The question is how to properly set the elimination rule. Instead, [de la Barra et al. \(1993\)](#) proposes to increase  
139 the link costs that define the computed trips. This process is iteratively repeated until two similar trips are found. The  
140 method has a bad performance when the link costs are either low, and the same trip is repeatedly identified, or high  
141 and less attractive trips are computed. [Ben-Akiva et al. \(1984\)](#) proposes a method that determines trips based on labels  
142 corresponding to travelers' preferences. However, the good performance of this methods relies on the proper setting of  
143 the drivers' preferences set ([Ramming, 2002](#); [Prato and Bekhor, 2006](#)). [Prato and Bekhor \(2006\)](#) proposes a branch-  
144 and-bound algorithm to explicitly solve a constrained route-enumeration problem. While this technique improves  
145 the heterogeneity of the choice set, the computational costs strongly depend on the number of computed trips. The  
146 simulation approach consists in simulating the generalized link costs from probability distributions (see e.g. [Nielsen,](#)  
147 [1997](#); [Ramming, 2002](#); [Nielsen et al., 2002](#); [Bierlaire and Frejinger, 2005](#); [Prato and Bekhor, 2006](#); [Bliemer et al.,](#)  
148 [2007](#)), and then perform a shortest-trip search. The process is repeated until the number of desired routes is reached.  
149 Instead, the doubly stochastic approach ([Nielsen, 2000](#)) simulates both the generalized link costs and link attributes.  
150 The computed routes are filtered according to a set of preference constraints of drivers. More recently, [Flötteröd and](#)  
151 [Bierlaire \(2013\)](#) proposed a methodology based on the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm for sampling trips. As stressed  
152 by the authors, this method is computationally expensive since it may require the calculation of several shortest-trips.  
153 [Prato \(2009\)](#) provides a literature review about choice set generation models.

154 The generation of the choice set  $\Omega^{od}, \forall(o, d) \in \Xi$  is extremely challenging. First, there are a large number of  
155 possible routes connecting each od pair, in addition to the large number of od pairs in the city network. In general,  
156 the choice sets are calculated based on shortest-trip calculations. This is computational costly for large-scale city  
157 networks. Second, many of the previous methods yield a choice set composed by trips with a large level of correlation,  
158 i.e. trips with a large fraction of overlapping links. The third challenge lies on the appraisal of travelers' preferences.  
159 [Zhou et al. \(2014\)](#) shows that travelers do not necessarily choose the shortest-trips. The question is how to determine  
160 a choice set of routes that correspond to the travelers' preferences.

## 161 2.2. The determination of paths on regional networks

162 In this paper, we focus on the calculation of paths on the regional network. In the follows, we propose two methods  
163 for determining paths on regional networks. The first method relies on exhaustive calculations of shortest-trips in the  
164 city network. The second method relies on the calculation of paths directly on the regional network, considering  
165 different settings of aggregated impedance functions, that are established based on topological features of the network  
166 as well as its partitioning.

### 167 2.2.1. Method 1

168 This method is somehow similar to traditional choice set generation models for city networks, as discussed in  
169 the previous section. These models rely on shortest-trip calculations. It consists in the scaling-up of a set of trips in  
170 the city network, following the definition of its partitioning. In the ideal scenario, one can use vehicles trajectories  
171 gathered from GPS trajectories. For example, [Paipuri et al. \(2020\)](#) calibrates regional paths and their travel distances  
172 based on mobile phone data gathered for the city of Dallas (USA). The authors filter the trips according to the specific  
173 sequence of traveled distances for identifying and characterizing the paths on the regional network. However, the  
174 main challenge is here to evaluate if this set of trips is representative of the full trip patterns in the city network. On  
175 the other hand, the information about real trips patterns is usually unknown. As an alternative, one can construct a set  
176 of virtual trips ([Batista et al., 2019](#)). This is achieved by randomly sample  $N_{od}$  od pairs in the city network (i.e. all  
177 nodes are equally probable of being sampled), and then determine the shortest-trip in distance connecting each one.  
178 Let  $\Theta$  be the set of virtual trips.

179 There are two alternatives for performing the sampling of the od pairs in the city network (see [Fig. 2](#)):

- 180 • *Variante 1.1 (VI.1)*: the sampling of the od pairs is performed independently of the city network partitioning  
181 ([Fig. 2 \(a\)](#)).
- 182 • *Variante 1.2 (VI.2)*: for each regional OD pair, we do the sampling of  $N_{od}/|W|$  od pairs in the city network,  
183 where  $|W|$  represents the total number of regional OD pairs, inside the specific Origin and Destination regions  
184 ([Fig. 2 \(b\)](#)).

185 We then determine the shortest-trips in distance for all sampled od pairs. The regional paths are determined by  
 186 scaling-up these trips following the definition of the city network partitioning. We emphasize that this scaling set is  
 187 valid for both a set of real trajectories and a set of virtual trips, calculated as previously discussed. For each regional  
 188 choice set  $\Omega^{OD}, \forall(O, D) \in W$ , we consider the K most representative regional paths.




Figure 2: Sampling of od pairs: (a) independent of the city network partitioning (*Variant 1.1*); and (b) focusing on each regional OD pair (*Variant 1.2*).

189 In this paper, we focus on the calculation of static regional paths, i.e. we do not consider the effects that traffic  
 190 dynamics have on the path selection by drivers. This will be subject to future research.

### 191 2.2.2. Method 2

192 This method consists in directly determining the paths on an aggregated graph, that is gathered based on topologi-  
 193 cal features of the city network and its partition. It determines the internal and regional paths differently. In this paper,  
 194 we filter the paths that cross more than one time the same region. This is to avoid potential problematic paths for  
 195 the application of the MFD-based traffic models (Mariotte and Leclercq, 2019), such as paths that travel on the same  
 196 region more than one time. Then, when the Origin and Destination regions match, we consider that there is only one  
 197 possible internal path to be traveled. While, for determining the regional paths, we distinguish between two different  
 198 variants of this graph.

199 In the first variant, we consider a regional graph. Fig. 3 (a) shows one example of a partitioned city network.  
 200 We define  $\rho_{ij}$  as the set of the city network nodes that are located at the partition (or border) between two generic  
 201 adjacent regions  $i$  and  $j$ . Fig. 3 (b) depicts the regional graph, where the nodes represent the regions. The question is  
 202 how to define the gray edges connecting the nodes. These connections are dictated by the allowed travel directions  
 203 of the nodes located at the borders between adjacent regions. For example, it is possible to travel in both directions  
 204 between the yellow and blue regions. However, it is only possible to travel from the blue to the green region. This  
 205 happens because the two border nodes only allow to travel in this direction. One possibility for determining the  
 206 regional choice set  $\Omega^{OD}, \forall(O, D) \in W$  is to calculate all regional paths, but even on a regional network this might lead  
 207 to large computational costs. The computational cost increases exponentially with the number of regions. Instead,  
 208 we determine only the K-shortest paths on the regional graph for reducing the length of the regional choice set  
 209  $\Omega^{OD}, \forall(O, D) \in W$ . To determine the shortest-paths on the regional graph, we assign the edge costs based only on  
 210 topological features of the city network and its partitioning. We consider two cost functions for assigning the edge  
 211 costs:

- 212 • *Variant 2.1*: We propose a capacity-oriented cost function, that accounts for the flow capacity ( $q_{af}^c$ ) of each lane  
 213  $f$  of the incoming link  $a$  to each border node listed in  $\rho_{ij}$ , and that allows to travel from the generic region  $i$  to




Figure 3: (a) Partitioning of the city network. (b) Regional graph representing the regional network. (c) Variant of the regional graph, including the borders between adjacent regions as nodes.

214

*j*. The edge cost  $C_{ij}$  is determined as:

$$C_{ij} = \sum_a \sum_{f=1}^{N_{lanes}} \frac{1}{q_{af}^c \delta_{aij}}, \forall a \in A \wedge \forall i \in X \wedge \forall j \in \Lambda \quad (2)$$

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

where  $N_{lanes}$  is the total number of lanes of each incoming link  $a$  to each border node listed in  $\rho_{ij}$ ;  $\Lambda$  is the set of adjacent regions to region  $i$ ; and  $\delta_{aij}$  is a binary variable that equals 1 if link  $a$  allows to travel from region  $i$  to region  $j$ . We consider a standard value of  $q_{af}^c = 1800$  [veh/h], which is a fair assumption for a single link. We recall the reader that the border  $B_{ij}$  between two adjacent regions  $i$  and  $j$ , is located at nodes (i.e. intersections) of the city network. This value may be an overestimation of the real capacity of a given border node (or intersection), if traffic lights or stop signs are present. In this paper, we do not focus on the dynamic influence of the traffic signals or signal stops, and rather focus only on topological features of the network (i.e. static regional paths). Moreover, we consider a similar flow capacity  $q_{af}^c$  for each lane of each link. Then, from Eq. 1, the edge cost  $C_{ij}$  only depends on the total number of lanes of all incoming links to the border  $B_{ij}$  between two adjacent regions  $i$  and  $j$ . But, we emphasize that the edge cost defined in Eq. 1 is general. It can also account for the effects of traffic lights or stop signs by properly calibrating the flow capacity  $q_{af}^c$  per lane.

226

227

- *Variant 2.2:* We propose to define the edge costs ( $C_{ij}$ ) based on the Euclidian distance between the centroids of two generic adjacent regions  $i$  and  $j$ :

$$C_{ij} = \sqrt{(x_i - x_j)^2 + (y_i - y_j)^2}, \forall i \in X \wedge j \in \Lambda \wedge i \neq j \quad (3)$$

228

229

where  $(x_i, y_i)$  and  $(x_j, y_j)$  represent the cartesian coordinates of the centroids of regions  $i$  and  $j$ , respectively. The closest city network node to the geometric center of a generic region  $i \in X$  represents its centroid.

230

231

232

233

234

In the second approach, we consider a more detailed definition of the regional graph, where the nodes represent the regions as well as the borders  $B_{ij}$  between two adjacent regions  $i$  and  $j$ . Fig. 3 (c) depicts one example of the more detailed regional graph. It has a total of  $R + N_{borders}$  nodes, where  $N_{borders}$  represents the total number of borders between adjacent regions of the network, and  $R$  is the total number of regions defining the regional network. While, the maximum number of edges is given by  $\sum_{r \in X} N_{borders} (N_{borders} + 1) + R$ . This value is only a maximum indicator



235 since the edges between the nodes depend on the allowed travel directions in the city network. For example, the  
 236 topology of the city network only allows to travel from the blue to the green regions (Fig. 3 (a)). Then, it is only  
 237 allowed to travel from the blue region 2 to the border node  $B_{24}$  and then to region 4, in the graph as depicted in Fig. 3  
 238 (c). There are three kind of edges in this variant of the regional graph:

- 239 i. the internal edges, that for simplicity of the illustration, we just show one example represented by the dashed gray  
 240 line on the top of region 1;
- 241 ii. the edges connecting the centroids of the regions to the borders  $B_{ij}$ , and vice-versa. These are shown in Fig. 3 (c)  
 242 by the full gray lines between the borders  $B_{ij}$  and the centroids of the regions.
- 243 iii. the edges connecting two adjacent regions  $i$  and  $j$  to region  $m$ , i.e. the connection between  $B_{ij}$  to  $B_{jm}$ . For  
 244 simplicity of the illustration purposes, we just show one example of this kind of edges in Fig. 3 (c), that is  
 245 represented by the dotted gray line between the borders  $B_{15}$  and  $B_{54}$ , traveling through region 5.

246 This induces differences on the regional path construction on both variants of the regional graphs depicted in Fig. 3  
 247 (b-c). Consider as an example the regional path  $p = \{154\}$ . In the case of the regional graph shown in Fig. 3 (b), this  
 248 path would only contain the edges connecting regions 1 to 5 and then 5 to 4. While, in the variant of the regional  
 249 graph shown in Fig. 3 (c), this path contains the edge connecting region 1 to the border  $B_{15}$ , then the edges connecting  
 250 the border  $B_{15}$  to  $B_{54}$  passing through region 5, and finally the edge connecting the border  $B_{54}$  to region 4. Once this  
 251 sequence is identified, we determine the regional path following the regions traveled.

252 The regional choice set  $\Omega^{OD}, \forall(O, D) \in W$  is also determined by the computation of the K-shortest paths in this  
 253 graph. We also consider two different ways of assigning the edge costs:

- 254 • *Variant 2.3:* We propose to use the average travel distances between the centroid node of region  $i$  and the border  
 255 nodes with the adjacent region  $j$ , and vice-versa; and the average travel distance to cross region  $m$ , by traveling  
 256 from the border with adjacent region  $i$  to the border with adjacent region  $j$ . We define  $L_{i,\rho_{ij}} = \{l_k\}$  as the set  
 257 of trip lengths between the centroid node of region  $i$  and all border nodes listed in  $\rho_{ij}$ . Similarly, we define  
 258  $L_{\rho_{ij},j} = \{l_k\}$  as the set of trip lengths between all border nodes listed in  $\rho_{ij}$  and the centroid node of region  $j$ .  
 259 These sets are determined by the computation of all possible shortest-trips in distance between the centroid  
 260 node of region  $i$  and all border nodes listed in  $\rho_{ij}$ , and vice-versa. For the edges connecting two borders, we  
 261 define  $L_{\rho_{im},\rho_{mj}} = \{l_k\}$  as the set of trip lengths connecting all border nodes between region  $m$  and adjacent region  
 262  $i$  (i.e. all nodes listed in  $\rho_{im}$ ), to all border nodes between region  $m$  and adjacent region  $j$  (i.e. all nodes listed in  
 263  $\rho_{mj}$ ). We also determine these sets by calculating all possible shortest-trips in distance that connect all border  
 264 nodes listed in  $\rho_{im}$  to the ones listed in  $\rho_{mj}$ . From these sets of trip lengths, we determine the average travel  
 265 distances, and update the edge costs as:

$$C_{ij} = \begin{cases} \bar{L}_{i,\rho_{ij}} & \text{if travel from } i \text{ to border } \rho_{ij} \\ \bar{L}_{\rho_{ij},j} & \text{if travel from border } \rho_{ij} \text{ to } j \\ \bar{L}_{\rho_{im},\rho_{mj}} & \text{if region } m \text{ is crossed, when traveling from regions } i \text{ to } j. \end{cases}, \forall i \in X \wedge \forall j \in \Lambda \wedge i \neq j \quad (4)$$

- 266 • *Variant 2.4:* We propose to use as a metric, the Euclidian distance between the centroid node of region  $i$  and  
 267 the centroid node  $n_{ij}$  of the border  $B_{ij}$ ; between the same  $n_{ij}$  and the centroid of region  $j$ ; and between the  
 268 centroid nodes  $n_{im}$  and  $n_{mj}$  of the borders  $B_{im}$  and  $B_{jm}$ , respectively, when region  $m$  is crossed. We also assign,  
 269 by default, a unitary cost for internal edges. The edge costs are then updated as:

$$C_{ij} = \begin{cases} \bar{L}_{i,n_{ij}} & \text{if travel from centroid node of region } i \text{ to } n_{ij} \\ \bar{L}_{n_{ij},j} & \text{if travel from } n_{ij} \text{ to centroid node of region } j \\ \bar{L}_{n_{im},n_{mj}} & \text{if region } m \text{ is crossed, when traveling from regions } i \text{ to } j. \end{cases}, \forall i \in X \wedge \forall j \in \Lambda \wedge i \neq j \quad (5)$$

### 270 2.3. A comparative analysis between both methods

271 We briefly describe in this section, the advantages and limitations of each of the two methods. The first method  
272 is computationally expensive since it requires the computation of a set of virtual trips, i.e. including the calculation  
273 of several shortest-trips in distance, if real data is not available. Moreover, the main challenge of this method lies  
274 on the optimal calibration of  $N_{od}$ . The computation of a large set of virtual trips on large city networks can become  
275 unfeasible. The main advantage of this method lies on the fact that it allows to directly determine the TLD of regional  
276 paths, as well as their level of significance, for the application of the MFD-based traffic models (Batista et al., 2019).  
277 In the next section, we discuss how to properly calibrate  $N_{od}$ .

278 The second method is more parsimonious and computes the K-paths with much lower computational costs. The  
279 scaling of the city into the regional network allows to have a tractable number of regions, reducing the complexity of  
280 the problem and the computational power required for the calculation of paths. The calibration of the edge costs of  
281 the regional graph only relies on topological features of the city network as well as of its partition. But, despite being  
282 computationally lighter and tractable, this method does not allow to determine the TLD. One may use the Euclidian  
283 distance as a proxy to characterize the trip lengths of the paths. However, the Euclidian distance is not necessarily  
284 representative of the TLD determined from the set of virtual trips, that captures the topology of the city network, and  
285 which are recognized to play an important role in MFD-based applications (Batista et al., 2019). In the next section,  
286 we investigate the similarities of the paths calculated by both methods. The question is if this method finds similar  
287 paths as the most prevailing ones found by the first method.

## 288 3. Regional paths and choice sets analysis

289 In this section, we discuss the implementation of the two methods previously introduced for calculating paths on  
290 regional networks. We start by introducing the test network. We then show how to properly calibrate the set of virtual  
291 trips required for Method 1. We also analyze and discuss the similarities between the choice sets calculated through  
292 the different variants of Methods 1 and 2.

### 293 3.1. Test scenario and network definition

294 The test network is depicted in Fig. 4, and corresponds to the metropolitan area of Lyon (France). The network  
295 has 19697 nodes and 19967 links. It is partitioned into 10 regions, based on administrative regions defined by the  
296 municipality. In this paper, we consider two different definitions of the network partitioning as depicted in Fig. 4 (a)  
297 (Partitioning 1) and (b) (Partitioning 2).

298 We construct a set of virtual trips  $\Theta$ , where each node in the city network is a possible origin or destination of a  
299 travel. We consider all possible combinations of origin and destination nodes in the city network, for defining  $\Theta$ . This  
300 yields a total of 108,529,021 virtual trips, i.e.  $N^{od} = 108,529,021$ . Of course, constructing such a large set of virtual  
301 trips requires large computational resources. In the case of the Lyon network, these calculations took approximately  
302 10 days. The data reduction also took approximately one week. In this paper, we determined this full set of virtual  
303 trips to set it as the reference and for the purpose of our analysis. In the next section, we discuss in more detail the  
304 calibration of the virtual set of trips.

305 The full set of paths  $\Phi$  is determined by scaling-up all of these virtual trips listed in  $\Theta$ , according to the city  
306 network partitioning. We obtain a total of 2423 and 3355 paths, concerning Partitioning 1 (Fig. 4 (a)) and 2 (Fig. 4 (b)),  
307 respectively. We filter the paths that cross more than one time the same region. This allows to avoid meaningful paths  
308 for the application of the aggregated multi-regional MFD models. We are left with a total of 689 paths determined  
309 from 91,342,632 valid trips, for Partitioning 1 (Fig. 4 (a)). While for Partitioning 2 (Fig. 4 (b)), we have a total of 564  
310 paths determined from 87,799,074 valid trips. This means that there are approximately  $\sim 84\%$  and  $81\%$  of valid trips  
311 for Partitioning 1 and 2, respectively. We define  $\Phi_1$  as this set of valid paths.

312 We also define a set  $\zeta$  that contains all real trips of drivers in the city network. We refer hereafter to this set as  
313 the real data, that comes from a GPS data set provided by an European navigation system operation. We consider  
314 the full month of March 2018 in our analysis. We would like to emphasize that most of the GPS trajectories in  
315 our dataset correspond to trips of drivers that are located in our study area. These trips represent the morning and  
316 evening commute of people in the city center. The trajectories of drivers are map-matched with the Lyon network  
317 depicted in Fig. 4. The real data set covers a larger area than the Lyon metropolitan area. Each entry on the original




Figure 4: Metropolitan network of Lyon (France), divided into 10 regions and considering two distinct definitions of the partitioning as shown in panels: (a) Partitioning 1; (b) Partitioning 2.

318 database characterizes the passage of a given vehicles in one specific link of the city network, that defines its trajectory.  
 319 Each entry contains the information about the vehicle label, the identification of the link traveled, a timestamp at the  
 320 entrance of the link, an estimation of the travel speed, and the coverage percentage of the link. By relating the coverage  
 321 information with the link geometry, we compute the distance traveled by each vehicle on each link. We also need to  
 322 do a pre-processing of the data for detecting potential static phases from the individual GPS tracks, and then parse  
 323 them into separate trips. We have filtered from our analysis, the trips that have an origin and/or destination outside  
 324 the city network depicted in Fig. 4, as well as the trips that are excessively fragmented. Additionally, we have also  
 325 filtered out redundant vehicles from our analysis. We are left with a set  $\zeta$  containing 101,729 trips. These trips are  
 326 scaled-up concerning the two definitions of the city network partitioning. We are left with a total of 2470 and 2226  
 327 paths regarding Partitioning 1 (Fig. 4 (a)) and 2 (Fig. 4 (b)), respectively. We have then filtered the trips that cross  
 328 more than one time the same region. This leads to a final set of 86,114 and 87,694 trips, yielding 473 and 335 valid  
 329 paths, for Partitioning 1 (Fig. 4 (a)) and 2 (Fig. 4 (b)), respectively. We define  $\Phi_3$  as the set of all regional paths  
 330 gathered from the real trajectories of drivers listed in  $\zeta$ .

### 331 3.2. Calibration of Method 1

332 The application of Method 1 requires the proper definition of a set of virtual trips  $\Theta$ , that should provide a good  
 333 city network coverage. In order words, the question relies on finding the value of  $N_{od}$  that provides an optimal city  
 334 network coverage, where the calculation of the regional paths will no longer be influenced by the bias introduced  
 335 by the random sampling of od pairs. We define a criterion that estimates the percentage of the city network links  
 336 ( $N_{cov}^{links}(N_{od})$ ) that are traveled by virtual trips in the set  $\Theta$ , with length  $N_{od}$ . Mathematically, we determine  $N_{cov}^{links}(N_{od})$   
 337 as:

$$N_{cov}^{links}(N_{od}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{links}} \frac{N_{used}^{links}(N_{od})}{N_{links}} \quad (6)$$

338 where  $N_{used}^{links}(N_{od})$  is the total number of links traveled by the trips listed in  $\Theta$ ; and  $N_{links}$  is the total number of links  
 339 defining the city network, i.e. 19967 for the Lyon network depicted in Fig. 4.

340 In this analysis, we focus on Partitioning 1 (see Fig. 4 (a)). We consider ten different settings of  $N_{od}$ :  $1 \times 10^2$ ,  
 341  $5 \times 10^2$ ,  $1 \times 10^3$ ,  $5 \times 10^3$ ,  $1 \times 10^4$ ,  $5 \times 10^4$ ,  $1 \times 10^5$ ,  $5 \times 10^5$ ,  $1 \times 10^6$  and  $1,085 \times 10^9$ . Note that,  $N_{od} = 1,085 \times 10^9$  represents  
 342 all possible combinations of od pairs of nodes in the city network, i.e. the full enumeration of possible virtual trips.  
 343 For each setting of  $N_{od}$ , we run fifteen trials to determine the set of virtual trips  $\Theta$ , and then derive the city network  
 344 coverage using Eq. 6. In the V1.1, we sample the od pairs of nodes independently of the city network partitioning. In  
 345 V1.2, for each setting of  $N_{od}$ , we sample  $N_{od}/100$  od pairs of nodes in the city network that are located inside each  
 346 of the possible 100 Origin and Destination pairs of regions. Fig. 5 depicts the  $N_{used}^{links}(N_{od})$  distributions determined  
 347 based on all fifteen trials for each setting of  $N_{od}$ , and both variants of Method 1. The red dots represent the outliers of  
 348 the distributions. Larger values of  $N_{od}$  ensure a better coverage of the city network, and reduce the variability of the  
 349 regional paths determined for the different regional OD pairs.




Figure 5: Evolution of  $N_{cov}^{links}(N_{od})$  as function of  $N_{od}$ , for the two variants of Method 1.

350 Table B.1 and Table B.2 show how the regional choice sets  $\Omega^{OD}$ , for several OD pairs, vary with the increase of  
 351  $N_{od}$ . We consider a total of eight OD pairs: 42; 24; 75; 57; 25; 52; 37; and 73. This choice allows to investigate  
 352 simple tests (i.e. OD pairs where the regions are close, such as regions 2-4 and 5-7), as well as harder tests of longer  
 353 paths (i.e. Origin and Destination regions which might lead to paths with more than four regions, like the regions 2-5  
 354 and 3-7). The results are listed for both variants of Method 1, and only for one trial for each of the  $N_{od}$  values. The  
 355 regional paths are listed from the first to the third most prevailing one, for both regional OD pairs. We recall the reader  
 356 that the total number of virtual trips linked to a regional path defines its level of significance.

357 We first analyze the regional choice sets determined for V1.1. For a low  $N_{od} = 100$ , we only have one regional  
 358 path connecting the OD pairs 42 (i.e.  $p = \{4, 9, 2\}$ ), 24 (i.e.  $p = \{2, 3, 4\}$ ), 57 (i.e.  $p = \{5, 6, 7\}$ ) and 37 (i.e.  
 359  $p = \{3, 4, 9, 10, 7\}$ ). However, there are no paths for the remaining OD pairs. As  $N_{od}$  increases, more paths are found  
 360 connecting several of the OD pairs. While  $N_{od}$  is still low, i.e. when it still does not yet ensure a good city network  
 361 coverage as depicted in Fig. 5, we can find the same regional paths, but listed with a different level of significance.  
 362 This is observed for the OD pairs 42, 24, 75 and 57. One example is the regional choice set  $\Omega^{42}$  determined for  
 363  $N_{od} = 1 \times 10^3$  and  $N_{od} = 5 \times 10^3$ . In the first case, we find  $p = \{4, 3, 2\}$  and  $p = \{4, 9, 3, 2\}$  as the first and second  
 364 most prevailing regional paths, respectively. While, in the second case, we also find these two regional paths but with  
 365 different levels of significance. For  $N_{od} > 1 \times 10^5$ , we find similar  $\Omega^{75}$  independently of  $N_{od}$ , where the regional paths  
 366 are listed with the same level of significance. This is verified when we have ensured a good city network coverage,  
 367 and the calculation of the regional choice set  $\Omega^{OD}, \forall (O, D) \in W$  becomes independent of  $N_{od}$ . While, the other OD

368 pairs require a larger  $N^{od}$ . For example, regions 2-5 and 3-7 are located on opposite directions of the network. We  
369 emphasize to the reader that the city of Lyon is crossed by the rivers Saône and Rhône, which merge at the bottom  
370 of region 10 (see Fig. 4 (a)). These two rivers act as natural borders between regions, for the partitioning depicted  
371 in Fig. 4 (a). To travel between regions 2-5 and 3-7, one has to cross at least one of the two rivers. There are only  
372 a few bridges that allow to cross the rivers, which naturally increases the difficulty on finding the regional choice  
373 set  $\Omega^{OD}$  for the OD pairs 25, 52, 37 and 73. This highlights a strong limitation of the variant V1.1, where trips are  
374 sampled independently of the city network partitioning, thus not ensuring that all paths are found for harder test cases.  
375 Another intriguing case are the OD pairs 42 and 24. For OD 42, we are able to find the same paths with the same  
376 level of significance for  $N^{od} > 1 \times 10^5$ . However, the OD 24 requires almost full enumeration to find the three most  
377 prevailing paths. This happens because, and as previously discussed, variant V1.1 samples trips independently of the  
378 city network partitioning, not ensuring that all paths are found. The complex topological features of the city network  
379 (e.g. the presence of several one-way streets) concerning its partitioning plays an important role. This is a limitation  
380 of variant V1.1, putting in evidence the importance of constructing the set of virtual trips concerning the definition of  
381 the partitioning (i.e. V1.2). In fact, for variant V1.2, we are able to find all three paths of the regional choice set  $\Omega^{24}$ ,  
382 for a lower  $N^{od} = 5 \times 10^5$ . This is also a general trend for the other OD pairs, where a lower city network coverage is  
383 required such that  $\Omega^{OD}$  becomes independent of  $N^{od}$ .

384 Overall, the sampling of od pairs of nodes in the city network accounting for the definition of the partition, allows  
385 to determine similar regional choice sets  $\Omega^{OD}$  for a lower  $N^{od}$ , than in the case of variant V1.1. This answers our first  
386 conjecture in the Introduction, showing that variant V1.2 is a better approach for determining the set of virtual trips.

### 387 3.3. Calculation of paths in regional networks: a comparative analysis between the methods

388 In this section, we analyze the similarity between the sets of paths determined by Method 2 and Method 1 against  
389 each other, as well as against the set of paths gathered from real data, i.e. GPS trajectories. We consider the two  
390 definitions of the partitioning of the city network as depicted in Fig. 4. We focus our analysis on the regional choice  
391 sets encompassing the three most significant paths.

392 We apply Method 2 as follows. In the case where the Origin and Destination regions match, the regional choice  
393 set only consists of one internal path. When the Origin and Destination regions are different, we determine the  
394 regional choice set  $\Omega^{OD}$  for all  $(O, D) \in W$ , based on the three shortest-cost paths. The path costs are updated for  
395 the four variants of Method 2, following Equation 2 to Equation 5 (see Sect. 2.2.2 for more details). We define  
396  $\phi_{V2,j}, j = 1, \dots, 4$ , as the set of calculated paths for the  $j$ -th Variant of Method 2. This set can be mathematically  
397 expressed as:

$$\phi_{V2,j} = \left\{ \bigcup_{(O,D) \in W} \Omega^{OD} \right\}, j = 1, \dots, 4 \quad (7)$$

398 In the case of Method 1, we focus on the set of virtual trips with  $N_{od} = 1,085 \times 10^9$ , where  $\Phi_1$  is this set of all  
399 paths. We define  $\phi_1 \in \Phi_1$  as the set containing the three most prevailing paths for each regional  $(O, D) \in W$ . This set  
400 can be mathematically defined in a similar way as  $\phi_{V2,j}, j = 1, \dots, 4$ . We also define  $\phi_3$  as the set containing the three  
401 most prevailing paths for each regional OD pair, determined from the real GPS trajectories. Mathematically, we can  
402 define  $\phi_3$  in a similar way as  $\phi_1$ , where in this case, the regional choice set  $\Omega^{OD}$  is defined from paths gathered from  
403 the real trajectories of drivers.

404 Our analysis between the sets  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_3$  against  $\phi_{V2,j}, j = 1, \dots, 4$ , is based on a similarity and strict similarity  
405 criteria. The similarity criterion only evaluates how alike are the sets of paths, without looking into their significance  
406 rank. The strict similarity criterion counts the number of paths that are found in both sets with the same significance  
407 level. However, we would like to reinforce that the most important aspect is that our Methods 1 and 2 are able to  
408 determine similar sets of paths, also in comparison with the real GPS trajectories.

409 We determine the similarity criterion  $\alpha^{\phi_i, \phi_{V2,j}}$  as:

$$\alpha^{\phi_i, \phi_{V2,j}} = \frac{|\phi_i \cap \phi_{V2,j}|}{|\phi_{V2,j}|}, i = 1, 3 \wedge j = 1, \dots, 4 \quad (8)$$




Figure 6: Similarity and strict similarity criteria between the four variants of Method 2 and Method 1, and the real data. The left panels show the results for Partitioning 1, while the right panels show the results for Partitioning 2.

410 where  $|\cdot|$  represents the length of the set.

411 The strict similarity criterion  $\beta^{\phi_i \phi_{V2.j}}$  is:

$$\beta^{\phi_i \phi_{V2.j}} = \frac{\sum_{(O,D) \in W} \sum_p \delta_p^{OD}}{|\phi_{V2.j}|}, i = 1, 3 \wedge j = 1, \dots, 4 \quad (9)$$

412 where  $\delta_p^{OD}$  is a binary variable that equals 1 if path  $p$  that connects the regional OD pair is ranked with a similar level  
 413 of significance in  $\phi_i, i = 1, 3$ , and  $\phi_2$ , or 0 otherwise.

414 Fig. 6 depicts the similarity and strict similarity results of the four variants of Method 2 (i.e.  $\phi_{V2.j}, j = 1, \dots, 4$ ),  
 415 compared to Method 1 (i.e.  $\phi_1$ ) as well as the real data (i.e.  $\phi_3$ ). The left panels depict the results for Partitioning  
 416 1, while the right panels show the results for Partitioning 2. We observe that the similarity values range between  
 417  $\sim 70$ - $80\%$ , for Partitioning 1. The performance of these three variants are similar to some extent. However, the  
 418 similarity values drop to  $\sim 50$ - $60\%$  for V2.1 and Partitioning 2. The similarity values for the variants V2.2 to V2.4  
 419 are maintained around  $\sim 80\%$  when compared to the simulated data, i.e. Method 1. While, they slightly decrease

420 for the variants V2.3 and V2.4 when compared to the real data. On the other hand, we also observe that Method 2  
421 provides low strict similarity values of  $\sim 10\text{-}30\%$  for Partitioning 1 and  $\sim 10\text{-}40\%$  for Partitioning 2, when compared  
422 to the simulated (Method 1) and the real data. This means that the shortest-cost paths, where the costs are updated  
423 according to Equation 2 to Equation 5 (i.e. V2.1 to V2.4), do not correspond in general to the most prevailing regional  
424 paths determined by Method 1, or from the real data. Despite this fact, we emphasize that the most important factor  
425 is the ability of Method 2 in finding similar paths as both Method 1 and the real data. This is enhanced by the large  
426 similarity values, where the Euclidean distance between the centroids of the regions (i.e. variant V2.2) is the best  
427 proxy to calibrate Method 2, and determine paths. Moreover, variant V2.2 requires a simpler definition of the regional  
428 network (see Fig. 3 (b)) and is also based on a simpler Euclidean distance metrics between the centroids of the regions.  
429 This answers our second conjecture listed in the Introduction.

430 We also analyze the similarity and strict similarities between the sets  $\phi_1$  (Method 1) and  $\phi_3$  (real data). The  
431 similarity results are 80% and 80% for Partitioning 1 and 2, respectively. While, the strict similarity results are 19%  
432 and 28% for Partitioning 1 and 2, respectively. The large similarity values show that the assumption of shortest-trips  
433 in distance to calibrate Method 1 represent a good proxy for determining the actual paths chosen by drivers. It is  
434 known that drivers do not necessarily choose the shortest-trips in distance for their travels in the city network (Zhou  
435 et al., 2014). However, these trips represent similar paths in the regional network as the ones determined by Method  
436 1. On the other hand, the low strict similarity values can be explained by the different weights associated to each  
437 path, as both set of trips represent different travel patterns in the city network. Overall, these results answer our  
438 third conjecture listed in the Introduction. The set of virtual trips in distance is a good proxy to determine the most  
439 prevailing paths chosen by drivers.

440 We also analyze the influence of the partitioning on the similarity and strict similarity results between both methods  
441 1 and 2 as well as the real data. The regions of Partitioning 2 (Fig. 4 (b)) are more compact than in the case of  
442 Partitioning 1 (Fig. 4 (a)). We observe similar similarity values between Method 1 and 2 as well as between Methods  
443 1 and 2 and the real data. While, there are some differences in terms of the strict similarity. It is in general larger for  
444 the four variants of Method 2 concerning Method 1, for the case of Partitioning 2 when compared to Partitioning 1.  
445 However, these values are much lower when looking at Method 2 concerning the real data, for Partitioning 2. The  
446 strict similarity is also larger for Partitioning 2, when we compare Method 1 and the real data. These results answer  
447 our fourth conjecture listed in the Introduction. The definition of the partitioning does not appear to have an influence  
448 on the similarity results between the sets, as it does on the strict similarity. Therefore, we conclude that the definition  
449 of the partition does not influence the performance of both Methods 1 and 2 for identifying the most prevailing paths  
450 chosen by drivers.

451 We also would like to briefly comment on the computational costs of Methods 1 and 2. Method 1 requires the  
452 knowledge about a set of trip patterns in the city network, which can come from real or simulated data. If one utilizes  
453 a set of real GPS data patterns, there is the need to do a curation of the raw data, which includes the map-matching of  
454 the data as well as the elimination of invalid trips (i.e. trips with missing information about the sequence of traveled  
455 links, unknown origin and/or destinations, etc.). In our paper, the reduction of the raw GPS data, for the whole month  
456 of March, to the final valid set of trips, took approximately two months. On the other hand, the construction of a set  
457 of virtual trips can also be a cumbersome task, as discussed in the previous section. However, we focus our attention  
458 on the computation of the full enumeration of trips, which took approximately one month of computations. Then, we  
459 need to reduce this data by filtering the trips concerning the sequence of traveled regions, following the definition of  
460 the city network partitioning. In our case, this process took approximately 2 weeks, to loop over all trips and determine  
461 their corresponding path on the regional network as well as its travel distances. Method 2 is much lighter in terms  
462 of computational power required. Once we have the definition of the partitioning, we can determine the regional  
463 network. We then determine shortest-cost paths directly on the regional graph, where the edge costs are assigned  
464 based on simple metric that utilize topological features of the city network as well as its partitioning. Once we have  
465 gathered the definition of the regional graph and set the edge costs, the calculation of the shortest-cost paths is almost  
466 instantaneous. In our test case, this takes approximately less than 2 minutes. The results discussed in this section,  
467 show the efficiency of Method 2 to determine the most prevailing paths as the ones determined from both simulated  
468 and real trip patterns in the city network.

#### 469 4. Characterization of paths on regional networks

470 In this section, we discuss the characterization of paths through their TLD. We first investigate the characterization  
471 of an internal path for the whole metropolitan area of Lyon, using the set of virtual trips as well as the real data. We  
472 then investigate the characterization of regional paths, when the city network is partitioned into regions. Lastly, we  
473 investigate the relationship between the level of significance of a path and its average travel distance.

##### 474 4.1. Trip Length Distribution of the whole network

475 In this section, we focus on the characterization of an internal path for the whole metropolitan area of Lyon,  
476 depicted in Fig. 4, using both the simulated (i.e. the set of virtual trips) and real data. The full city network is  
477 considered as a single region, where all vehicles travel on the same internal path. Fig. 7 depicts the trip length  
478 distributions, TLDs, for this internal path determined from the set of virtual trips and from the real data. We would  
479 like to emphasize that these trip length distributions are unimodal. While the simulated data shows to be a good  
480 approximation of a skewed normal distribution, the functional form of the TLD determined from real data is more  
481 difficult to infer. The real trips of drivers gathered from GPS traces favor longer trips than the shortest-one in distance.  
482 For example, the observed peaks at  $\sim 2, 4$  and  $7$  kms, in the TLD of the real data are due to trips of drivers on the ring  
483 road that goes around the city of Lyon. These trips correspond to a large fraction of the dataset.




Figure 7: Unimodal trip length distribution (TLD) of the internal path, determined from: (a) the simulated data (i.e. set of virtual trips); (b) the real data. The red solid line represents the fitted Weibull distributions.

484 The functional form of the TLD has been subject of debate in many seminal works in the literature. Many of  
485 these studies focus on the home-based trips since they are an important part of our daily routine. The challenge lies in  
486 finding the best statistical distribution to fit the empirical data. Some authors utilize a Gamma or Weibull distributions  
487 (Ortuzar and Willumsen, 2011; Yang et al., 2013; Moeckel, 2017), while others focus on a log-normal (Katsis et al.,  
488 2014) or a negative exponential distributions (Yang et al., 2013). In the case of MFD-based applications, some authors  
489 consider constant TLD (e.g. Arnott, 2013; Mariotte et al., 2017), or a either an implicit (e.g. Daganzo, 2007) or explicit  
490 (e.g. Vickrey, 2020) negative exponential distribution. Lamotte and Geroliminis (2016) showed that the trip-based and  
491 accumulation-based MFD traffic models predict similar traffic dynamics if the TLD follow a negative exponential. In  
492 this paper, we focus on these unimodal four distributions, and investigate which is the best one that fits the TLDs  
493 determined from the simulated and real data (see Fig. 7). We utilize a maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) as a  
494 measure to estimate the parameters of these four distributions by maximizing their likelihood function. The fitted  
495 parameters and the values of the maximum log-likelihood functions of these four distributions are listed in Table 1.



496 The maximum log-likelihood estimation shows that the Weibull distribution is the one that better fits both TLDs. The  
497 fitted Weibull distributions are depicted by the red solid lines in Fig. 7. It is interesting to observe that the Weibull  
498 distribution is the one that better reproduces the functional form of both TLD, determined from the simulated and real  
499 data, despite both histograms being quite alike.

Distribution	Parameters of the log-likelihood estimation	Simulation Data	Real data
Gamma	$k_{Ga}$	15	3.9
	$\theta$ [m]	2855	1400
	$\log(\mathcal{L}(k_{Ga}, \theta))$	$-6.2581 \times 10^6$	$-1.0033 \times 10^9$
NE	$\lambda_{NE}$ [m]	$2.41 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.83 \times 10^{-4}$
	$\log(\mathcal{L}(\lambda_{NE}))$	$-6.2838 \times 10^6$	$-1.0416 \times 10^9$
Log-normal	$\mu$ [m]	7.95	8.47
	$\sigma^2$ [m]	0.9159	0.5744
	$\log(\mathcal{L}(\mu, \sigma^2))$	$-5.3212 \times 10^{12}$	$-4.1175 \times 10^{15}$
We	$\lambda_{We}$ [m]	4433	6174
	$k_{We}$ [m]	1.2	2.3
	$\log(\mathcal{L}(\lambda_{We}, k_{We}))$	$-7.359 \times 10^5$	$-1.450 \times 10^8$

Table 1: Fitted parameters of the Gamma (Ga), Negative Exponential (NE), log-normal and Weibull (We) distributions, for both the simulated and real data. The values of the maximum log-likelihood functions are also listed.

500 These results answer our fifth conjecture listed in the Introduction. The best functional form to represent the  
501 network-wide TLD is a Weibull distribution, based on the maximum likelihood estimation results.

#### 502 4.2. Trip Length Distributions and regional paths

503 In this section, we focus on the characterization of paths on regional networks. First, we discuss a major difference  
504 between regional paths. For this, we focus on the regional paths  $p = \{1, 9, 4\}$  and  $p = \{4, 9, 1\}$ , and investigate the  
505 differences between their trip length distributions, based on Partitioning 1. By definition, these paths are distinct from  
506 each other since they cross a different ordered sequence of regions. For example, region 1 is the Origin for path  
507  $p = \{1, 9, 4\}$ . But, it represents the destination region for path  $p = \{4, 9, 1\}$ . The main question is how alike are  
508 the trip length distributions that characterize each region of these two regional paths. Fig. 8 depicts the trip length  
509 distributions for these two regional paths, determined from the simulated data for Partitioning 1. The horizontal black  
510 dashed lines represent the average trip lengths of each region. We can observe that the average trip length for regions  
511 1 and 4 are close for both regional paths, however, the shape of the trip length distribution is completely different.  
512 The trip length distributions of Origin and Destination regions of paths are influenced by: (i) the spatial distribution  
513 of origin and destination nodes of the city network inside these regions; and (ii) the city network topology. The case  
514 of the intermediate regions of paths, such as region 9 of  $p = \{1, 9, 4\}$  and  $p = \{4, 9, 1\}$ , is different. The trip length  
515 distributions of these regions are only influenced by the city network topology, to go from one border to another. This  
516 is true only because the TLD is determined from a set of shortest-trips in distance (i.e. static trips). As evidenced by  
517 the trip length distribution peak of region 9 and  $p = \{1, 9, 4\}$ , the preferential sequences of links to go from regions 1  
518 to 4 have similar travel distances. However, the preferential sequence of links to go from regions 4 to 1 have different  
519 travel distances, as shown by the two predominant peaks. This happens thanks to the allowed travel directions of the  
520 city network links, to go from one the border with region 1 to the one with region 4, and vice-versa. These results  
521 prove that the ordered sequence of regions to go from an Origin to a Destination region matter, agreeing with our  
522 definition of regional path. On the other hand, these results clearly reinforce the fact that one should not make any  
523 prior assumptions about the functional form of the TLDs of regional paths, as they can differ from region to region  
524 and among paths. This answers our sixth conjecture listed in the Introduction. A more detailed analysis about the  
525 time-dependence of the TLD of a regional path is left for future work.

526 We also investigate how different are the trip length distributions determined from the simulated data, i.e. the  
527 set of virtual trips, and the real data gathered from the GPS trajectories. For this purpose, we focus on regional




Figure 8: Trip length distributions for the regional paths  $p = \{1, 9, 4\}$  (left panel) and  $p = \{4, 9, 1\}$  (right panel). The horizontal black dashed lines represent the average trip length of each distribution. These distributions are determined from the simulated data, i.e. the set of virtual trips, for Partitioning 1.

528 path  $p = \{4, 3, 2\}$  and Partitioning 1. Fig. 9 depicts the trip length distributions for the regions of this regional path,  
 529 determined from the simulated and real data. The average travel distances calibrated for the variants V2.3 and V2.4 are  
 530 also depicted in the figure. We note that V2.2 is not considered in this analysis, since the travel distance corresponds to  
 531 the Euclidean distance between the centroids of the regions, and the distance traveled in each region is unknown. The  
 532 horizontal black dashed lines also represent the average of the distributions. The horizontal red dashed lines represent  
 533 the average shortest travel distance between the centroid node of region 4 and the border with region 3  $B_{43}$ , between  
 534 the borders  $B_{43}$  and  $B_{32}$ , and between the border  $B_{32}$  and the centroid node of region 2. The horizontal cyan dashed  
 535 lines represent the Euclidean distances between the centroid node of region 4 and the centroid node  $n_{43}$  of the border  
 536  $B_{43}$ , between the centroids  $n_{43}$  and  $n_{32}$  of the borders  $B_{43}$  and  $B_{32}$ , respectively, and between the centroid node  $n_{32}$   
 537 and the one of region 2. As one can observe, the average trip lengths and the shape of the distributions are different.  
 538 There are two factors that may explain these differences. First, drivers do not necessarily choose the shortest-trips in  
 539 distance (e.g. Zhou et al., 2014), to travel from their origin to the destination node in the city network. Second, the  
 540 uniform sampling of origin-destination pairs of nodes in the city network might not yield a representative set of the  
 541 real travel patterns of drivers. We can also observe that the shortest average travel distance between centroid nodes  
 542 of regions and their borders and between borders (i.e. the variant V2.3), seems to be a good proxy of the average  
 543 travel distances of regions 3 and 2, for both the simulated and real data cases. This fact is also true for the Euclidean  
 544 distance between the centroid nodes of the regions and the ones of the borders, as well as between the centroid nodes  
 545 of borders (i.e. the variant V2.4).

546 In the follow-up of this analysis, we investigate how the calculated average trip lengths for the regions, change  
 547 between the common paths listed in  $\Phi_1$  (i.e. set of paths gathered from the simulated data SD) and in  $\Phi_3$  (i.e. set of  
 548 paths gathered from the real GPS trajectories). In this analysis, we focus on both Partitioning 1 and 2. We define  $\bar{L}_{rp}$   
 549 as the average travel distance in a generic region  $r$  that defines a generic regional path  $p$ . In this analysis, we focus  
 550 on the Origin, Intermediate and Destination regions of the paths separately. We determine the relative differences  $\epsilon$   
 551 between the average travel distances  $\bar{L}_{rp}^{\Phi_1}$  and  $\bar{L}_{rp}^{\Phi_3}$  of the common regional paths in the sets  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_3$ :

$$\epsilon = \frac{\bar{L}_{rp}^{\Phi_1} - \bar{L}_{rp}^{\Phi_3}}{\bar{L}_{rp}^{\Phi_3}} \times 100\%, \forall r \in p \wedge \forall p \in (\Phi_1 \cap \Phi_3) \quad (10)$$

552 Note that this equation is valid to determine  $\epsilon$  for the Origin, Intermediate and Destination regions of paths.

553 We also do a similar analysis between the trip lengths determined for the common paths in  $\phi_{V2.3}$  and  $\phi_{V2.4}$ , i.e. the  
 554 sets of paths gathered through the variants V2.3 and V2.4, and  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_3$ . The question here is if the shortest average  
 555 trip lengths (V2.3) and the Euclidean distance (V2.4) proxies provide similar average travel distances in the regions,  
 556 as the ones determined from the simulated and real data, and for the common regional paths. In a similar way as in  
 557 Eq. 10, we also determine the relative differences  $\epsilon$  between the travel distances as:

$$\epsilon = \frac{\bar{L}_{rp}^{V2.j} - \bar{L}_{rp}^{\Phi_i}}{\bar{L}_{rp}^{\Phi_i}} \times 100\%, \forall r \in p \wedge \forall p \in (\Phi_1 \cap \Phi_3) \wedge \forall i = 1, 3 \wedge \forall j = 3, 4 \quad (11)$$

558 where  $\bar{L}_{rp}^{V2.j}$  is the trip length determined for the generic region  $r$  of regional path  $p$ , by the  $j$ -th variant of Method 2.  
 559 In this case, we focus on the variants V2.3 and V2.4.

560 Fig. 10 depicts the box-and-whisker diagrams of the relative differences  $\epsilon$  for the Origin, Intermediate and Des-  
 561 tination regions of the common paths found in the different combination of sets:  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_3$  (case SD-GPS);  $\Phi_1$  and  
 562  $\phi_{V2.3}$  (case SD-V2.3);  $\Phi_3$  and  $\phi_{V2.3}$  (case GPS-V2.3);  $\Phi_1$  and  $\phi_{V2.4}$  (case SD-V2.4); and  $\Phi_3$  and  $\phi_{V2.4}$  (case GPS-V2.4).  
 563 The red dots represent the outliers of the distributions. The left panels represent the results for Partitioning 1. While,  
 564 the right panels depict the results for Partitioning 2.

565 We first analyze the relative differences of the average trip lengths  $\bar{L}_{rp}$  of the common regional paths in the sets  $\Phi_1$   
 566 and  $\Phi_3$ , i.e. case SD-GPS. The median of the box-and-whisker diagrams of  $\epsilon$  are close to 0, meaning that  $\bar{L}_{rp}^{\Phi_3} \approx \bar{L}_{rp}^{\Phi_1}$ .  
 567 This is observed for both Partitioning 1 and 2. We also observe that the distribution is slightly skewed towards  
 568 positive values. This means that  $\bar{L}_{rp}^{\Phi_3} \gtrsim \bar{L}_{rp}^{\Phi_1}$ . The latter is explained by the difference in the travel patterns between




Figure 9: Trip length distributions for the regional path  $p = \{4, 3, 2\}$ , determined from the simulated data (left panel) as well as from the real data (right panel). The horizontal black dashed lines represent the average trip length of each distribution. The horizontal red and cyan dashed lines represent the average travel distances determined for the variants V2.3 and V2.4.




Figure 10: Box-and-whisker diagrams of the relative differences  $\epsilon$  for the Origin, Intermediate and Destination regions of the common regional paths in the sets  $\Phi_1$  (SD),  $\Phi_3$  (GPS),  $\phi_{V2.3}$  (V2.3) and  $\phi_{V2.4}$  (V2.4). *Left*: Partitioning 1. *Right*: Partitioning 2.

569 the set of virtual trips and the real ones actually chosen by drivers, which tend to be longer than the shortest-trip  
570 in distance between their origin-destination pair. In particular, in the data-set of the real trajectories, drivers show a  
571 clear preference to take the ring road that bypasses the city of Lyon, therefore traveling longer distances. Recently,  
572 [Yang et al. \(2018\)](#) has proved the existence of a universal law for the trip detour ratios, i.e. a measure of how much a  
573 driver detours its trip concerning the shortest-route. It is possible to utilize this tool to calibrate the real travel distance  
574 of drivers, based on the information on the set of real trips, and therefore correct for this bias observed in [Fig. 10](#).  
575 Therefore, if the information about a real set of trip patterns in the network is not available, we can utilize the set of  
576 virtual trips to: (i) identify the most prevailing paths chosen by drivers, as discussed in Section 3, with a large level  
577 of similarity; and (ii) have a good estimation of the traveled distances through the universal law proxy discussed by  
578 [Yang et al. \(2018\)](#).

579 The Euclidean distance used in V2.4 represents a good proxy for estimating mean trip lengths for the Intermediate  
580 regions. This is true for both Partitioning 1 and 2. For the SD-V2.4 case, the box-and-whisker diagram is narrow and

581 the median is close to 0%, despite the presence of several outliers. This means Euclidean distance proxy provides a  
582 good approximation to the average trip lengths estimated from the simulated data, i.e.  $\bar{L}_{rp}^{\phi_{V2.4}} \approx \bar{L}_{rp}^{\Phi_1}$ . In the GPS-V2.4  
583 case, the interquartile range of the box-and-whisker diagram is larger than in the previous SD-V2.4 case, despite the  
584 median being also close to 0%. This means that the Euclidean distance is a better proxy for estimating trip lengths  
585 closer to the ones determined based on the simulated data. On the other hand, the box-and-whisker diagrams for the  
586 SD-V2.3 and GPS-V2.3 cases, show larger median values and interquartile ranges than the ones of the V2.4 case.  
587 This shows that the Euclidean distance is also a better proxy than the average shortest-trip used in V2.3, for estimating  
588 trip lengths closer to the ones determined from the simulated and real GPS data.

589 For the Origin and Destination regions, the medians of the box-and-whisker diagrams are in general larger than  
590 0%. This is observed for both Partitioning 1 and 2. The interquartile ranges are also larger than in the case of the  
591 Intermediate regions. However, the interquartile ranges are, in general, slightly lower for Partitioning 2 than 1. In the  
592 cases of the Origin and Destination regions, the relative differences  $\epsilon$  increase since the calculation of the trip lengths  
593  $\bar{L}_{rp}$  for V2.3 and V2.4 is insensitive to the spatial distribution of the origin-destination nodes inside the Origin and  
594 Destination regions, respectively. We recall the reader that in the case of V2.3, we determine the trip lengths based on  
595 the calculation of shortest-trips in distance between the centroid node of the Origin and Destination regions and their  
596 border nodes, and between the border nodes of Intermediate regions of paths. While, in the case of V2.4, we determine  
597 the travel distances based on the Euclidean distance between the centroid node of the Origin and Destination regions  
598 and the centroid node of their borders, and between the centroid nodes of the borders of Intermediate regions of paths.  
599 For Partitioning 1, the interquartile ranges of the box-and-whisker diagrams of V2.4 are in general smaller than the  
600 ones of V2.3. These differences are smaller for Partitioning 2. The medians of these diagrams for V2.3 are also closer  
601 to 0% than the ones of V2.3.

602 Overall, the results of this section partially answer the seventh and eight conjectures listed in the Introduction.  
603 First, we show that the Euclidean distance is a better proxy for estimating trip lengths in the regions, that are closer  
604 to the ones determined from the simulated and real GPS trajectories data for the regional paths. Second, the average  
605 travel distances determined from the real data are slightly superior than the ones determined from the simulated data.

#### 606 4.3. Analysis of the total travel distances of paths

607 In this section, we investigate the relative differences of average travel distances of paths. As in the previous  
608 section, we focus on both Partitioning 1 and 2. First, we focus on the common regional paths determined from the  
609 simulated data (i.e. listed on  $\Phi_1$ ) and the real GPS trajectories data (i.e. listed on  $\Phi_3$ ). Let  $\bar{L}_p$  be the average travel  
610 distance of a generic regional path  $p$ , and is determined as:

$$\bar{L}_p = \sum_{r \in p} \bar{L}_{rp} \delta_{rp}, \forall p \in (\Phi_i \cup \phi_{V2.j}) \wedge \forall i = 1, 3 \wedge \forall j = 3, 4 \quad (12)$$

611 The average travel distance in a generic region  $r$  that defines a generic path  $p$  is determined based on the set of  
612 virtual or real trips, or using any of the edge cost functions defined in Equation 4 to Equation 5. In this analysis, we  
613 discard the internal paths as Method 2 does not assign an edge cost. We recall that, in this method, the internal paths  
614 are assigned by definition when the Origin and Destination regions are the same. Furthermore, we note that the variant  
615 V2.2 only allows to determine a travel distance for the entire regional path, since we determine the Euclidean distance  
616 between the centroid nodes of the regions. For this reason, we also include this variant in our analysis in this section.  
617 In this case, we determine the average travel distance  $\bar{L}_p$  as:

$$\bar{L}_p = \sum_{r=1}^{|p|-1} C_{p_r, p_{r+1}}, \forall p \in \phi_{V2.2} \quad (13)$$

618 where  $|\cdot|$  represents the total number of regions defining regional path  $p$ ; and  $C_{p_r, p_{r+1}}$  represents the Euclidean distance  
619 between the centroid nodes of regions  $p_r$  and  $p_{r+1}$  that define the regional path  $p$  (see Equation 4).

620 Similarly to the previous section, we also determine the relative differences  $\epsilon$  as:

$$\epsilon = \frac{\bar{L}_p^{\Phi_1} - \bar{L}_p^{\Phi_3}}{\bar{L}_p^{\Phi_3}} \times 100\%, \forall p \in (\Phi_1 \cap \Phi_3) \quad (14)$$

621 where  $\bar{L}_p^{\Phi_1}$  and  $\bar{L}_p^{\Phi_3}$  are the average travel distances of path  $p$ , determined from the simulated and real GPS trajectories  
622 data, respectively.

623 We also investigate which proxy used to calibrate Method 2 is the best for determining average travel distances  
624  $\bar{L}_p$ , that are close to the ones derived simulated data and real GPS trajectories data, for the regional paths. We then  
625 also determine the relative differences  $\epsilon$  as:

$$\epsilon = \frac{\bar{L}_p^{V2,j} - \bar{L}_p^{\Phi_i}}{\bar{L}_p^{\Phi_i}} \times 100\%, \forall r \in p \wedge \forall p \in (\Phi_1 \cap \Phi_3) \wedge \forall i = 1, 3 \wedge \forall j = 3, 4 \quad (15)$$

626 where  $\bar{L}_p^{V2,j}$  is the travel distance determined based on Equation 3 to Equation 5, for each of the  $j$ -th variant of Method  
627 2 considered; and  $\bar{L}_p^{\Phi_i}$  is the average travel distance determined for regional path  $p$  based on either the simulated or  
628 real GPS trajectories data.




Figure 11: Box-and-whisker diagrams of the relative differences  $\epsilon$  for the common regional paths in the sets  $\Phi_1$  (SD),  $\Phi_3$  (GPS),  $\phi_{V2.2}$  (V2.2),  $\phi_{V2.3}$  (V2.3) and  $\phi_{V2.4}$  (V2.4). *Top*: Partitioning 1. *Bottom*: Partitioning 2.

629 Fig. 11 depicts the box-and-whisker diagrams of the relative differences  $\epsilon$  for common paths found in the different  
630 combination of sets:  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_3$  (case SD-GPS);  $\Phi_1$  and  $\phi_{V2.2}$  (case SD-V2.2);  $\Phi_3$  and  $\phi_{V2.2}$  (case GPS-V2.2);  $\Phi_1$  and  
631  $\phi_{V2.3}$  (case SD-V2.3);  $\Phi_3$  and  $\phi_{V2.3}$  (case GPS-V2.3);  $\Phi_1$  and  $\phi_{V2.4}$  (case SD-V2.4); and  $\Phi_3$  and  $\phi_{V2.4}$  (case GPS-V2.4).  
632 The red dots represent the outliers of the distributions. The top panel depict the results for Partitioning 1, while the  
633 bottom panel shows the results for Partitioning 2. One can observe that the average travel distances  $\bar{L}_p$  determined  
634 from the simulated data, slightly underestimates the real average distances traveled by drivers on the paths. This  
635 happens because in the dataset of trajectories, there is a clear preference of drivers to take the ring road around the city  
636 of Lyon, and therefore travel longer distances than the shortest one between their origin-destination points in the city  
637 networks. This is then reflected on the actual distances traveled on the regional paths. On the other hand, one can also  
638 observe that the Euclidean distance used to calibrate the variant V2.4, is the best proxy for determining average travel  
639 distances for the regional paths with respect to the ones determined from both the simulated and real GPS trajectories  
640 data. The medians are both close to 0%, and the interquartile range of the box-and-whiskered diagrams are in general  
641 narrower than in the case of the other variants.

642 The results of this section complete the answers to the the seventh and eight conjectures listed in the Introduction.  
643 First, the average travel distances of paths determined from the real data is slightly superior than the ones determined  
644 from the simulated data. Second, the Euclidean distance proxy used to calibrate variant V2.4 provides a closer  
645 estimation of the average travel distance of paths, than the other two variants.

#### 646 4.4. Significance level of paths and their travel distance

647 In this section, we investigate the relationship between the level of significance of a regional path and its average  
648 travel distance. The question is do the most prevailing regional paths, connecting one OD pair, have the lowest average  
649 travel distances. We recall the reader that the significance level of a regional path is related to the number of trips,  
650 in the city network, it has associated. The most prevailing regional path connecting one regional OD pair, is the one  
651 that has the largest number of trips associated. In this section we focus on Partitioning 1. The test network depicted  
652 in Fig. 4 (a) has 10 regions, which yields a total of 100 possible regional OD pairs. For each regional OD pair, we  
653 rank the existent regional paths according to their level of significance. We note that for each regional OD pair, we  
654 have only one most prevailing regional path. This is also true for the second and third most prevailing paths. We  
655 determine the fractions of the first, second and third most prevailing paths that have the first, second and third lowest  
656 average travel distances. We also determine the same fraction for the less prevailing regional paths. This analysis is  
657 done for both the simulated and real data. Fig. 12 depicts the bar plot that summarizes the fraction of the  $i$ -th most  
658 prevailing (MS) regional paths, and their average travel distance (ATD). The colored bars depict the fraction of paths  
659 that are labeled as the  $i$ -th most prevailing ones with respect to their average travel distance. As an example, the blue  
660 percentage of the first colored bar in Fig. 12 (a) shows that  $\sim 40\%$  of the paths with the lowest travel distances are also  
661 labeled as the most prevailing ones. This means that out of 100 most prevailing regional paths in our test scenario,  
662 only  $\sim 40\%$  have the lowest average travel distance.

663 We first analyze the results obtained from the simulated data. We observe that  $\sim 40\%$  of the paths with the lowest  
664 average travel distances, are also the most prevailing ones. The surprising fact is that also  $\sim 40\%$  of the most prevailing  
665 paths have the longest average travel distances. While, only  $\sim 15\%$  and  $\sim 5\%$  of the most prevailing regional paths  
666 have the second and third lowest average travel distances, connecting the OD pairs. In general, the less prevailing  
667 regional paths regional paths also have the longer average travel distances. The results gathered from the real data  
668 also show similar trends, where only  $\sim 25\%$  of the most prevailing regional paths are also the ones with the lower  
669 average travel distance. We also observe the similar trend that paths with longer average travel distances are also less  
670 prevailing. These results answer our ninth conjecture listed in the Introduction. Overall, the most prevailing regional  
671 paths, i.e. the ones that have the largest number of trips associated, are not necessarily the ones have the lowest  
672 average travel distances.

## 673 5. Conclusions

674 In this paper, we focused on the identification and characterization of the most prevailing paths on regional net-  
675 works. We distinguish between internal paths, i.e. a path that only travels inside one region, and regional paths, i.e. a  
676 path that crosses an ordered sequence of regions. We propose two dedicated methods to determine paths on regional






Figure 12: Bar graph of the fraction that relate the level of significance of regional paths with their average travel distance. The results are depicted for the (a) simulated data and (b) real data. The first three bars represent the three most prevailing regional paths, while the fourth bar represents the other less prevailing regional paths. The colors of the bars are related with the average travel distance of the paths. The color bars refer to the paths with the lowest (blue), second lowest (orange), third lowest (yellow) and longer (purple) travel distances. The acronym LATD stands for lowest average travel distance.

677 networks. The first method requires the complex calibration of a set of virtual trips, that are then scaled-up according  
678 to the city network partitioning. We discuss two variants of this method, where the sampling of the origin-destination  
679 pairs of nodes can be performed independently or not of the definition of the city network partitioning. The second  
680 method is more parsimonious and computationally lighter. It consists in directly determining the shortest-cost paths  
681 on the regional network. The challenge lies on the calibration of the edge costs of the regional network. We propose  
682 four variants of impedance cost functions, where we consider the following proxies: (i) the border flow capacity; (ii)  
683 the Euclidian distance between the centroids of the regions; and (iii) the average shortest distance or (iv) the Euclidian  
684 distance between the centroids of the regions and their borders.

685 We test the implementation of these two methods for determining the most prevailing paths on a city network that  
686 consists of the metropolitan area of Lyon (France). We have considered two different definitions of the partitioning.  
687 The test results answered our nine conjectures listed in the Introduction.

- 688 1. We show that sampling trips in the city network concerning the definition of its partitioning is a better approach to  
689 construct a set of virtual trips.
- 690 2. The second method is able to determine the most prevailing paths from both the simulated and real data, with a  
691 large level of similarity, being the variant V2.2 (i.e. Euclidean distance between the centroids of the regions) the  
692 best one to calibrate this method to determine paths.
- 693 3. The set of virtual trips in distance is a good proxy to determine the most prevailing paths chosen by drivers.
- 694 4. The definition of the partitioning does significantly influence the performance of Methods 1 and 2 to determine the  
695 real paths chosen by drivers, as evidenced by the large similarity levels of the sets.
- 696 5. The functional form of the network-wide TLD is better reproduced by a Weibull distribution. This is true for both  
697 the simulated and real data. The scale  $\lambda_{W_e}$  and shape  $k_{W_e}$  parameters of the Weibull distribution fitted for the  
698 trip length distribution from the simulated data are 4443 [m] and 1.2 [m], respectively. While for the trip length  
699 distribution from the real data are  $\lambda_{W_e} = 6174$  [m] and shape  $k_{W_e} = 2.3$  [m]. Note that, these distributions are  
700 unimodal.
- 701 6. We show that the complex topological features of the city network strongly influence the shape of the TLDs of  
702 the regions defining a path. This strengthens the fact the one should not make any prior assumptions regarding the

- 703 functional form of these TLD for MFD-based applications.
- 704 7. The Euclidean distance proxy utilized to calibrated the variant 2.4 is a better proxy to determine closer average
  - 705 travel distances as the ones determined from both the real and simulated data.
  - 706 8. The average travel distances determined from the real data are slightly superior than the ones determined from the
  - 707 simulated data. This is true at both the region and path levels.
  - 708 9. We also show that the most prevailing paths are not necessarily the ones that have the lowest average travel distance.

709 We stress that these results might be specific to the test network. The performance of both methods for identifying

710 the most prevailing paths might change for a different network. However, the performance of both methods is not

711 significantly influenced by the two studied definitions of the partitioning. Note that, we assume that the definition of

712 the partitioning yields well-defined, fully connected and compact regions. The testing of the performance of these two

713 methods to determine the most prevailing paths on other city networks is needed.

## 714 6. Discussion

715 In this paper, we discuss a methodological framework to determine the most prevailing paths chosen by drivers.

716 This methodology is valid for any city network, given the definition of its partitioning. Method 2 is computationally

717 lighter than Method 1, and is able to identify the most prevailing paths with a large level of similarity. It presents

718 a promising tool to determine paths for applications of accumulation-based MFD models. The proxies utilized to

719 calibrate Method 2, also provide good estimation of the average travel distances in the regions as well as of paths.

720 Method 1 requires the knowledge of a set of trips in the city network. One possibility is to utilize a set of real GPS

721 trajectories. However, it requires a complex task of map-matching the trajectories in the network, filtering invalid trips

722 (e.g. with unknown or uncertain origins and/or destinations, or missing information about the sequence of traveled

723 links which happens when two links are very close). In this paper, we discuss one alternative by constructing a set of

724 shortest-trips in distance. This requires a complex calibration, which is unrealistic for large city networks. Moreover,

725 the sampling of trips is completely random or naive. This means that all origin-destination pairs in the city network

726 are equally probable of being selected. In reality, the selection of the origin-destination pairs should rely on how

727 the demand is distributed over the network, i.e. some pairs should be more likely of being selected. This limitation

728 presents an interesting line of future research, where we plan to develop a more robust and intelligent sampling

729 methodology of the origin-destination pairs of nodes in the city network. The first challenge is to identify a subset of

730 representative od pairs od nodes from the set of all possible travels in the city network. The second challenge is to

731 link this prediction to real trip patterns of drivers.

732 In this paper, we focused on the calculation of static paths, i.e. we do not consider how changes in the traffic

733 dynamics in the regions influence the calculation of paths. For example, the inclusion of traffic lights and stop signs

734 in the calculation of paths by both methods would influence their level of significance and therefore their ranking on

735 the choice set. In the case of Method 1, this would clearly influence the calculation of the set of virtual trips. The

736 inclusion of traffic lights and stop signs lead to the emergence of congestion patterns and spill-back effects, which lead

737 drivers to detour their trips. This means that the calculation of the set of virtual trips would be based on the calculation

738 of shortest-trips in time instead of distance. This would probably lead to the emergence of new prevailing paths in the

739 choice set. The ranking of paths determined by both variants V1.1 and V1.2 would be different. In the case of Method

740 2, this would not influence the proxy based on the Euclidean distance (which corresponds to the variants V2.2 and

741 V2.4). However, the flow capacity of each lane  $q_{af}^c$  changes, and the paths determined by variant V2.1 might change

742 concerning the evolution of the traffic dynamics in the regions. The same is true for the calculation of the shortest

743 average travel distances between the centroids and the borders as well as between borders. This proxy is utilized to

744 calibrated variant V2.3. The time-dependence of paths in regional networks presents another interesting line for future

745 research.

746 One of the most important highlights of this paper regards the functional form of the TLD. We showed that a

747 Weibull distribution is the one that better produces the network-wide TLD determined from both the simulated and

748 real data. However, the characterization of the functional form of the TLD of the regions that define each path is

749 much more complex. In fact, the functional form of such TLD depend on the complex topological features of the

750 city network concerning the definition of its partitioning. Gaussian Mixture Models present a promising tool to

751 characterize these trip length distributions. This is especially true to account for dynamic effects such as congestion,

752 which leads vehicles to detour their trips (Yang et al., 2018) and therefore influencing the functional form of the trip  
753 length distributions. This presents another interesting line for future research.

#### 754 **Acknowledgements**

755 The authors thank the anonymous reviewers for their critical assessment of our paper as well as for their comments  
756 and suggestions that have much improved this paper.

757 S. F. A. Batista and L. Leclercq acknowledge funding by the European Research Council (ERC) under the Euro-  
758 pean Unions Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement No 646592 - MAGnUM project).

#### 759 **Authors contributions**

760 S. F. A. Batista contributed to the conceptualization, methodology, validation and results analysis, and writing of  
761 the original draft of the paper. L. Leclercq contributed to the conceptualization, methodology and review & editing of  
762 the paper. Manon Seppcher did the data curation of the GPS trajectories, and participated in the review & editing of  
763 the paper. All the authors have approved the final version of this paper submitted to publication.

# Appendices

## A. Table of notations

Table A.1 summarizes the notation used in this paper.

Table A.1: Nomenclature used in this paper.

### City network:

$o$	Origin node.
$d$	Destination node.
$\Omega^{od}$	Route choice set.
$\Xi$	Set of all origin-destination pairs of nodes of the city network.
$A$	Set of links defining the city network.
$a$	Generic link of the city network.
$l_a$	Length of link $a$ .
$Z$	Set of nodes defining the city network.
$N_{od}$	Total number of origin-destination pairs sampled in the city network.
$\Theta$	Set of virtual trips.
$\rho_{ij}$	Set of the city network nodes that are located at the partition (or border) between two generic adjacent regions $i$ and $j$ .
$q_{af}^c$	Flow capacity of each lane $f$ of the incoming link $a$ to each border node.
$N_{lanes}$	Total number of lanes of each incoming link $a$ to each border node listed in $\rho_{ij}$ .
$\delta_{aij}$	Binary variable that equals 1 if link $a$ allows to travel from region $i$ to region $j$ .
$(x_i, y_i)$	Cartesian coordinates of the centroid node of a generic region $i$ .
$\zeta$	Set of all real trips traveled by drivers, determined from GPS trajectories.
$N_{used}^{links}(N_{od})$	Total number of links traveled by the trips listed in $\Theta$ .
$N_{links}^{links}$	Total number of links defining the city network.
$N_{cov}^{links}(N_{od})$	Percentage of the city network links that are traveled by virtual trips in the set $\Theta$ .

### Regional network:

$O$	Origin region.
$D$	Destination region.
$E$	Edges of the regional graph.
$X$	Set of regions that define the regional network (or set of nodes of the regional graph).
$p$	Regional path.
$r$	Region.
$L_{rp}$	Trip length distribution of a generic region that defines a generic path $p$ .
$\bar{L}_{rp}$	Average travel distance in a generic region $r$ that defines a generic regional path $p$ .
$\bar{L}_p$	Average travel distance of a generic regional path $p$ .
$\Omega^{OD}$	Regional choice set.
$W$	Set of regional OD pairs.
$\delta_{rp}$	Binary variable that equals 1 if region $r$ defines path $p$ .
$C_{ij}$	Edge cost of the regional network graph.
$\Lambda$	Set of adjacent regions to a generic region $i$ .
$B_{ij}$	Border between two generic adjacent regions $i$ and $j$ .
$N_{borders}$	Total number of borders between adjacent regions of the network.
$R$	Total number of regions in $X$ .
$l_k$	Trip length or travel distance of a virtual trip $k$ .

Continued on next page

Table A.1 – *Continued from previous page*

$L_{i,\rho_{ij}}$	Set of trip lengths between the centroid node of region $i$ and all border nodes listed in $\rho_{ij}$ .
$L_{\rho_{ij},j}$	Set of trip lengths between all border nodes listed in $\rho_{ij}$ and the centroid node of region $j$ .
$L_{\rho_{im},\rho_{mj}}$	Set of trip lengths connecting all border nodes between region $m$ and adjacent region $i$ , to all border nodes between region $m$ and adjacent region $j$ .
$n_{ij}$	Centroid node of the the border $B_{ij}$ between two generic regions $i$ and $j$ .
$\bar{L}_{i,n_{ij}}$	Euclidian distance between the centroid node of generic region $i$ and the centroid node $n_{ij}$ of the border $B_{ij}$ .
$\bar{L}_{n_{ij},j}$	Euclidean distance between $n_{ij}$ and the centroid node of generic region $j$ .
$\bar{L}_{n_{im},n_{mj}}$	Centroid nodes $n_{im}$ and $n_{mj}$ of the borders $B_{im}$ and $B_{jm}$ , respectively, when region $m$ is crossed.
$\Phi_1$	Set of all regional paths gathered from the simulated data.
$\phi_1$	Set containing the three most prevailing regional paths for each regional $(O, D) \in W$ , determined from the simulation data.
$\Phi_3$	Set of all regional paths gathered from the real trajectories of drivers.
$\phi_3$	Set containing the three most prevailing regional paths for each regional OD pair, determined from the real GPS trajectories.
$\phi_{V2,j}, j = 1, \dots, 4$	Full set of calculated paths for the $j$ -th variant of Method 2.
$\alpha^{\Phi_i, \phi_{V2,j}}$	Similarity criterion between the sets $\Phi_i$ and $\phi_{V2,j}$ .
$\beta^{\Phi_i, \phi_{V2,j}}$	Strict similarity criterion between the sets $\Phi_i$ and $\phi_{V2,j}$ .
$\delta_p^{OD}$	Binary variable that equals 1 if regional path $p$ that connects the regional OD pair is ranked with a similar level of significance in $\Phi_i, i = 1, 3$ , and $\phi_2$ , or 0 otherwise.
$\epsilon$	Relative differences between trip lengths determined for the same generic regional path, from different sets.
<i>Parameters of the statistical distributions:</i>	
$l_i$	Sample/observation of the trip length distribution $L_{rp}$ .
$I$	Length of the set $L_{rp}$ .
$\Gamma$	Gamma function.
$k_{Ga}$	Shape parameter of the Gamma distribution.
$\theta$	Scale parameter of the Gamma distribution.
$\lambda_{NE}$	Rate or inverse parameter scale of the negative exponential distribution.
$\mu$	Mean of the log-normal distribution.
$\sigma^2$	Standard deviation of the log-normal distribution.
$\lambda_{We}$	Shape parameter of the Weibull distribution.
$k_{We}$	Scale parameter of the Weibull distribution.

768 **B. Table of paths determined for Method 1**

		Method 1: V1.1				
O	D	$N_{od}$				
		$1.10^2$	$5.10^2$	$1.10^3$	$5.10^3$	$1.10^4$
4	2	4,9,2	4,3,2	4,3,2	4,9,3,2	4,3,2
		~	~	4,9,3,2	4,3,2	4,9,3,2
		~	~	~	4,9,2	~
2	4	2,3,4	2,3,4	2,3,4	2,3,4	2,3,4
		~	~	~	~	~
		~	~	~	~	~
7	5	~	7,5	7,5	7,5	7,5
		~	7,6,5	7,6,5	7,6,5	7,6,5
		~	~	~	~	~
5	7	5,6,7	5,7	~	5,6,7	5,7
		~	5,6,7	~	~	5,4,9,10,7
		~	~	~	~	~
2	5	~	~	2,9,10,7,6,5	2,9,10,5	~
		~	~	~	2,9,10,7,6,5	~
		~	~	~	2,9,10,7,5	~
5	2	~	5,10,9,2	~	5,6,7,10,9,2	5,6,7,10,9,2
		~	5,7,10,9,2	~	5,6,7,10,9,1,2	5,6,7,10,9,1,2
		~	5,6,7,10,9,2	~	5,10,9,2	~
3	7	3,4,9,10,7	3,9,10,7	~	3,9,10,7	3,9,10,7
		~	3,4,9,10,7	~	~	3,2,9,8,7
		~	3,2,9,8,7	~	~	~
7	3	~	7,10,9,4,3	~	7,10,9,4,3	7,10,9,3
		~	7,10,9,3	~	7,8,10,9,3	7,10,9,2,3
		~	7,10,9,2,3	~	7,10,9,3	7,10,9,4,3

		$N_{od}$				
O	D	$5.10^4$	$1.10^5$	$5.10^5$	$1.10^6$	$1,085.10^9$
		4	2	4,3,2	4,3,2	4,3,2
4,9,2	4,9,2			4,9,3,2	4,9,3,2	4,9,3,2
4,9,3,2	4,9,3,2			4,9,2	4,9,2	4,9,2
2	4	2,3,4	2,3,4	2,3,4	2,3,4	2,3,4
		~	~	~	2,9,4	2,9,4
		~	~	~	~	2,1,9,4
7	5	7,5	7,5	7,5	7,5	7,5
		7,6,5	7,6,5	7,6,5	7,6,5	7,6,5
		7,8,10,5	7,10,5	7,10,5	7,10,5	7,10,5
5	7	5,7	~	5,6,7	5,6,7	5,6,7
		5,6,7	~	5,7	5,7	5,7
		~	~	5,10,9,1,7	5,10,9,1,7	5,10,9,1,7
2	5	2,9,10,7,6,5	~	2,9,10,5	2,9,10,7,6,5	2,9,10,7,6,5
		~	~	2,9,10,7,6,5	2,9,10,5	2,9,10,5
		~	~	2,3,4,5	2,3,4,5	2,3,4,5
5	2	5,6,7,10,9,2	5,6,7,10,9,2	5,6,7,10,9,2	5,10,9,2	5,10,9,2
		5,10,9,1,2	~	5,10,9,2	5,6,7,10,9,2	5,6,7,10,9,2
		~	~	5,6,7,10,9,1,2	5,10,9,1,2	5,10,9,1,2
3	7	3,9,10,7	~	3,9,10,7	3,9,10,7	3,9,10,7
		3,4,9,10,7	~	3,4,9,10,7	3,4,9,10,7	3,4,9,10,7
		3,2,9,8,7	~	3,2,9,8,7	3,2,9,8,7	3,2,9,8,7
7	3	7,8,10,9,3	7,10,9,3	7,10,9,3	7,8,10,9,2,3	7,8,10,9,2,3
		7,10,9,3	7,10,9,4,3	7,8,10,9,3	7,10,9,3	7,10,9,3
		7,10,9,4,3	7,10,9,2,3	7,10,9,4,3	7,10,9,4,3	7,10,9,4,3

Table B.1: Evolution of the regional choice set for the OD pairs 42, 24, 75, 57, 25, 52, 37 and 73, as function of the network coverage ( $N_{od}$ ). The results are listed for the two variants of Method 1. The regional paths are sorted by their level of significance.

		Method 1: V1.2				
O	D	$N_{od}$				
		$1.10^2$	$5.10^2$	$1.10^3$	$5.10^3$	$1.10^4$
4	2	4,9,3,2	4,3,2	4,3,2	4,3,2	4,3,2
		~	4,9,2	4,9,2	4,9,3,2	4,9,3,2
		~	4,9,3,2	4,9,3,2	4,9,2	4,9,2
2	4	2,3,4	2,3,4	2,3,4	2,3,4	2,3,4
		~	~	~	2,1,9,4	~
		~	~	~	~	~
7	5	7,6,5	7,5	7,5	7,5	7,5
		~	7,6,5	7,6,5	7,6,5	7,6,5
		~	7,10,9,4,5	~	7,8,10,5	7,10,5
5	7	5,7	5,6,7	5,7	5,6,7	5,6,7
		~	5,7	5,6,7	5,7	5,7
		~	~	~	~	~
2	5	~	2,9,10,5	2,9,10,5	2,9,10,7,6,5	2,9,10,7,6,5
		~	2,9,10,7,6,5	2,9,10,7,6,5	2,9,10,5	2,9,10,5
		~	2,9,10,7,5	2,9,10,7,5	2,3,4,5	2,3,4,10,5
5	2	5,10,9,2	5,6,7,10,9,1,2	5,6,7,10,9,2	5,10,9,2	5,10,9,2
		~	5,6,7,10,9,2	5,10,9,2	5,6,7,10,9,2	5,6,7,10,9,2
		~	~	5,10,9,1,2	5,10,9,1,2	5,6,7,10,9,1,2
3	7	3,2,9,8,7	3,9,10,7	3,9,10,7	3,9,10,7	3,9,10,7
		~	3,4,9,10,7	3,4,9,10,7	3,4,9,10,7	3,4,9,10,7
		~	3,2,9,8,7	~	3,2,9,8,7	3,2,9,8,7
7	3	7,10,9,4,3	7,10,9,3	7,10,9,4,3	7,10,9,3	7,8,10,9,2,3
		~	7,10,9,4,3	7,8,10,9,2,3	7,8,10,9,2,3	7,10,9,4,3
		~	7,8,10,9,3	7,8,10,9,3	7,8,10,9,3	7,8,10,9,3

		$N_{od}$				
O	D	$5.10^4$	$1.10^5$	$5.10^5$	$1.10^6$	$1,085.10^9$
		4	2	4,3,2	4,3,2	4,3,2
4,9,3,2	4,9,3,2			4,9,3,2	4,9,3,2	4,9,3,2
4,9,2	4,9,2			4,9,2	4,9,2	4,9,2
2	4	2,3,4	2,3,4	2,3,4	2,3,4	2,3,4
		2,9,4	2,9,4	2,9,4	2,9,4	2,9,4
		~	~	2,9,1,4	2,9,1,4	2,9,1,4
7	5	7,5	7,5	7,5	7,5	7,5
		7,6,5	7,6,5	7,6,5	7,6,5	7,6,5
		7,10,5	7,10,5	7,10,5	7,10,5	7,10,5
5	7	5,6,7	5,6,7	5,6,7	5,6,7	5,6,7
		5,7	5,7	5,7	5,7	5,7
		5,10,9,1,7	5,10,9,1,7	5,4,9,10,7	5,4,9,10,7	5,10,9,1,7
2	5	2,9,10,7,6,5	2,9,10,7,6,5	2,9,10,7,6,5	2,9,10,7,6,5	2,9,10,7,6,5
		2,9,10,5	2,9,10,5	2,9,10,5	2,9,10,5	2,9,10,5
		2,9,10,7,5	2,3,4,5	2,3,4,5	2,3,4,5	2,3,4,5
5	2	5,6,7,10,9,2	5,10,9,2	5,10,9,2	5,10,9,2	5,10,9,2
		5,10,9,2	5,6,7,10,9,2	5,6,7,10,9,2	5,6,7,10,9,2	5,6,7,10,9,2
		5,10,9,1,2	5,10,9,1,2	5,10,9,1,2	5,10,9,1,2	5,10,9,1,2
3	7	3,9,10,7	3,9,10,7	3,9,10,7	3,9,10,7	3,9,10,7
		3,4,9,10,7	3,4,9,10,7	3,4,9,10,7	3,4,9,10,7	3,4,9,10,7
		3,2,9,8,7	3,2,9,8,7	3,2,9,8,7	3,2,9,8,7	3,2,9,8,7
7	3	7,8,10,9,2,3	7,8,10,9,2,3	7,8,10,9,2,3	7,8,10,9,2,3	7,8,10,9,2,3
		7,10,9,4,3	7,10,9,3	7,10,9,3	7,10,9,3	7,10,9,3
		7,8,10,9,3	7,10,9,4,3	7,10,9,4,3	7,10,9,4,3	7,10,9,4,3

Table B.2: Same as in Table B.1, but for V1.2 of Method 1.

## 769 References

- 770 Aboudolas, K., Geroliminis, N., 2013. Perimeter and boundary flow control in multi-reservoir heterogeneous networks. *Transportation Research*  
771 *Part B: Methodological* 55, 265–281.  
772 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2013.07.003>
- 773 Ambühl, L., Loder, A., Zheng, N., Axhausen, K. W., Menendez, M., 2019. Approximative network partitioning for mfd from stationary sensor  
774 data. *Transportation Research Record*.  
775 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0361198119843264>
- 776 Amirholly, M., Shahabi, M., Gao, H. O., 2017. Optimal design of sustainable transit systems in congested urban networks: A macroscopic  
777 approach. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review* 103, 261 – 285.  
778 URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1366554516309061>
- 779 Arnott, R., 2013. A bathtub model of downtown traffic congestion. *Journal of Urban Economics* 76, 110–121.  
780 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jue.2013.01.001>
- 781 Azevedo, J., Santos Costa, M., Silvestre Madeira, J., Vieira Martins, E., 1993. An algorithm for the ranking of shortest paths. *European Journal of*  
782 *Operational Research* 69, 97–106.  
783 URL [https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217\(93\)90095-5](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217(93)90095-5)
- 784 Batista, S. F. A., Leclercq, L., 2019. Regional dynamic traffic assignment framework for mfd multi-regions models. *Transportation Science* 53,  
785 1563–1590.  
786 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1287/trsc.2019.0921>
- 787 Batista, S. F. A., Leclercq, L., Geroliminis, N., 2019. Estimation of regional trip length distributions for the calibration of the aggregated network  
788 traffic models. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological* 122, 192–217.  
789 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2019.02.009>
- 790 Ben-Akiva, M., Bergman, M. J., Daly, A., Ramaswamy, V., 1984. Modeling interurban route choice behaviour. In: *Proceedings of the 9th Interna-*  
791 *tional Symposium on Transportation and Traffic Theory*. Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- 792 Beojone, C. V., Geroliminis, N., 2020. On the inefficiency of ride-sourcing services towards urban congestion.  
793 URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.00980>
- 794 Bierlaire, M., Frejinger, E., 2005. Route choice models with subpath components. In: *Proceedings of the 5<sup>th</sup> Swiss Transport Research Conference*.  
795 *Ascona, Switzerland*.
- 796 Bliemer, M. C. J., Bovy, P. H. L., Li, H., 2007. Some properties and implications of stochastically generated route choice sets. In: *Proceedings of*  
797 *the 6<sup>th</sup> Tristram Conference*. Pukhet, Thailand.
- 798 Cao, J., Menendez, M., 2015. System dynamics of urban traffic based on its parking-related-states. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological*  
799 81, 718 – 736. *iSTTT 21 for the year 2015*.  
800 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2015.07.018>
- 801 Cao, J., Menendez, M., Waraich, R., 2019. Impacts of the urban parking system on cruising traffic and policy development: the case of zurich  
802 downtown area, switzerland. *Transportation* 46, 883908.  
803 URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11116-017-9832-9>
- 804 Casadei, G., Bertrand, V., Gouin, B., Canudas-de-Wit, C., 2018. Aggregation and travel time calculation over large scale traffic networks: An  
805 empiric study on the grenoble city. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies* 95, 713–730.  
806 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2018.07.033>
- 807 Daganzo, C., 2007. Urban gridlock: Macroscopic modeling and mitigation approaches. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological* 41, 49–62.  
808 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2006.03.001>
- 809 de la Barra, T., Perez, B., Anez, J., 1993. Multidimensional path search and assignment. In: *Proceedings of the 21<sup>st</sup> PTRC Summer Annual*  
810 *Meeting*. Manchester, England.
- 811 Ekbatani, M., Papageorgiou, M., Papamichail, I., 2013. Urban congestion gating control based on reduced operational network fundamental  
812 diagrams. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies* 33, 74–87.  
813 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2013.04.010>
- 814 Eppstein, D., 1998. Finding k shortest paths. *Journal of the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics* 28 (2), 652–673.  
815 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1137/S0097539795290477>
- 816 Flötteröd, G., Bierlaire, 2013. Metropolis-hastings sampling of paths. *Transportation Research Part B* 48, 53–66.  
817 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2012.11.002>
- 818 Geroliminis, N., Daganzo, C., 2008. Existence of urban-scale macroscopic fundamental diagrams: Some experimental findings. *Transportation*  
819 *Research Part B: Methodological* 42, 759–770.  
820 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2008.02.002>
- 821 Geroliminis, N., Haddad, J., Ramezani, M., 2013. Optimal perimeter control for two urban regions with macroscopic fundamental diagrams: a  
822 model predictive approach. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems* 14, 348–359.  
823 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2012.2216877>
- 824 Godfrey, J. W., 1969. The mechanism of a road network. *Traffic Engineering and Control* 11, 323–327.  
825 URL <https://trid.trb.org/view.aspx?id=117139>
- 826 Gu, Z., Shafiei, S., Liu, Z., Saberi, M., 2018. Optimal distance- and time-dependent area-based pricing with the network fundamental diagram.  
827 *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies* 95, 1 – 28.  
828 URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2018.07.004>
- 829 Haddad, J., 2017. Optimal perimeter control synthesis for two urban regions with aggregate boundary queue dynamics. *Transportation Research*  
830 *Part B: Methodological* 96, 1–25.  
831 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2016.10.016>
- 832 Haddad, J., Mirkin, B., 2017. Coordinated distributed adaptive perimeter control for large-scale urban road networks. *Transportation Research Part*



833 C: Emerging Technologies 77, 495–515.  
834 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2016.12.002>

835 Haddad, J., Zheng, Z., 2018. Adaptive perimeter control for multi-region accumulation-based models with state delays. *Transportation Research*  
836 *Part B: Methodological*, 1–21.  
837 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2018.05.019>

838 Hadjiconstantinou, E., Christofides, N., 1999. An efficient implementation of an algorithm for finding k-shortest paths. *Networks* 34, 88–101.  
839 URL [https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0037\(199909\)34:2<88::AID-NET2>3.0.CO;2-1](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0037(199909)34:2<88::AID-NET2>3.0.CO;2-1)

840 Haitao, H., Yang, K., Liang, H., Menendez, M., Guler, S. I., 2019. Providing public transport priority in the perimeter of urban networks: A bimodal  
841 strategy. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies* 107, 171 – 192.  
842 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2019.08.004>

843 Herman, R., Prigogine, I., 1979. A two-fluid approach to town traffic. *Science* 204, 148–151.  
844 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.204.4389.148>

845 Ingole, D., Mariotte, G., Leclercq, L., 2020a. Minimizing network-wide emissions by optimal routing through inner-city gating. *Transportation*  
846 *Research Part D: Transport and Environment* 86, 102411.  
847 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2020.102411>

848 Ingole, D., Mariotte, G., Leclercq, L., 2020b. Perimeter gating control and citywide dynamic user equilibrium: A macroscopic modeling framework.  
849 *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies* 111, 22 – 49.  
850 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2019.11.016>

851 Jin, W.-L., 2020. Generalized bathtub model of network trip flows. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological* 136, 138 – 157.  
852 URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2020.04.002>

853 Katsis, P., Papageorgiou, T., Ntziachristos, L., 2014. Modelling the trip length distribution impact on the co2 emissions of electrified vehicles.  
854 *Energy and Power* 4 (1A), 57–64.  
855 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.5923/s.ep.201401.05>

856 Kouvelas, A., Saeedmanesh, M., Geroliminis, N., 2017. Enhancing model-based feedback perimeter control with data-driven online adaptive  
857 optimization. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological* 96, 26–45.  
858 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2016.10.011>

859 Lamotte, R., Geroliminis, N., 2016. The morning commute in urban areas: Insights from theory and simulation. In: *Transportation Research Board*  
860 *95<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting*. pp. 16–2003.

861 Laval, J. A., Leclercq, L., Chiabaut, N., 2018. Minimal parameter formulations of the dynamic user equilibrium using macroscopic urban models:  
862 Freeway vs city streets revisited. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological* 117, 676 – 686, tRB:ISTTT-22.  
863 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2017.08.027>

864 Leclercq, L., Paipuri, M., 2020. Macroscopic traffic dynamics under fast-varying demand. *Transportation Science* 53, 1501–1799.  
865 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1287/trsc.2019.0908>

866 Leclercq, L., Sénécat, A., Mariotte, G., 2017. Dynamic macroscopic simulation of on-street parking search: A trip-based approach. *Transportation*  
867 *Research Part B: Methodological* 101, 268–282.  
868 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2017.04.004>

869 Loder, A., Ambühl, L., Menendez, M., Axhausen, K. W., 2017. Empirics of multi-modal traffic networks using the 3d macroscopic fundamental  
870 diagram. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies* 82, 88–101.  
871 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2017.06.009>

872 Loder, A., Dakic, I., Bressan, L., Ambühl, L., Bliemer, M. C., Menendez, M., Axhausen, K. W., 2019. Capturing network properties with a  
873 functional form for the multi-modal macroscopic fundamental diagram. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological* 129, 1 – 19.  
874 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2019.09.004>

875 Lopez, C., Leclercq, L., Krishnakumari, P., Chiabaut, N., van Lint, H., 2017. Revealing the day-to-day regularity of urban congestion patterns with  
876 3d speed maps. *Scientific Reports* 7, 1–11.  
877 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-14237-8>

878 Mahmassani, H., Williams, J. C., Herman, R., 1984. Investigation of network-level traffic flow relationships: Some simulation results. *Transporta-*  
879 *tion Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board* 971, 121–130.  
880 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.3141/2315-16>

881 Mariotte, G., Leclercq, L., 2019. Flow exchanges in multi-reservoir systems with spillbacks. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological* 122,  
882 327 – 349.  
883 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2019.02.014>

884 Mariotte, G., Leclercq, L., Batista, S., Krug, J., Paipuri, M., 2020. Calibration and validation of multi-reservoir mfd models: A case study in lyon.  
885 *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological* 136, 62 – 86.  
886 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2020.03.006>

887 Mariotte, G., Leclercq, L., Laval, J. A., 2017. Macroscopic urban dynamics: Analytical and numerical comparisons of existing models. *Transporta-*  
888 *tion Research Part B* 101, 245–267.  
889 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2017.04.002>

890 Moeckel, R., 2017. Constraints in household relocation: Modeling land-use/transport interactions that respect time and monetary budgets. *Journal*  
891 *of Transport and Land Use* 10 (1), 211–228.  
892 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.5198/jtlu.2015.810>

893 Mohajerpoor, R., Saberi, M., Vu, H. L., Garoni, T. M., Ramezani, M., 2019. H<sub>∞</sub> robust perimeter flow control in urban networks with partial  
894 information feedback. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological*.  
895 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2019.03.010>

896 Nielsen, O. A., 1997. On the distributions of the stochastic components in sue (stochastic user equilibrium) traffic assignment models. In: *Trans-*  
897 *portation planning methods: proceedings of seminar held at the European Transport Forum Annual Meeting, Brunel University, England* 1-5

898 September 1997. pp. 77–93.

899 Nielsen, O. A., 2000. A stochastic transit assignment model considering differences in passengers utility functions. *Transportation Research Part B*  
900 34 (5), 377–402.  
901 URL [https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0191-2615\(99\)00029-6](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0191-2615(99)00029-6)

902 Nielsen, O. A., Daly, A., Frederiksen, R. D., 2002. A stochastic route choice model for car travellers in the copenhagen region. *Networks and*  
903 *Spatial Economics* 2, 327–346.  
904 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:102089542>

905 Ortuzar, J. D., Willumsen, L. G., 2011. *Modelling transport*, 4th Edition. Chichester, England: John Wiley Sons.

906 Paipuri, M., Leclercq, L., 2020a. Bi-modal macroscopic traffic dynamics in a single region. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological* 133,  
907 257 – 290.  
908 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2020.01.007>

909 Paipuri, M., Leclercq, L., 2020b. Empirical validation of bimodal mfd models. *Frontiers in Future Transportation*.  
910 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.3389/ffutr.2020.00001>

911 Paipuri, M., Xu, Y., González, M. C., Leclercq, L., 2020. Estimating mfd, trip lengths and path flow distributions in a multi-region setting using  
912 mobile phone data. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies* 118, 102709.  
913 URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0968090X20306240>

914 Prato, C. G., 2009. Route choice modelling: past, present and future research directions. *Journal of Choice Modelling* 2, 65–100.  
915 URL [https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1755-5345\(13\)70005-8](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1755-5345(13)70005-8)

916 Prato, C. G., Bekhor, S., 2006. Applying branch and bound techniques to route choice set generation. *Transportation Research Record*, 19–28.  
917 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.3141/1985-03>

918 Ramezani, M., Haddad, J., Geroliminis, N., 2015. Dynamics of heterogeneity in urban networks: aggregated traffic modeling and hierarchical  
919 control. *Transportation Research Part B* 74, 1–19.  
920 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2014.12.010>

921 Ramezani, M., Nourinejad, M., 2018. Dynamic modeling and control of taxi services in large-scale urban networks: A macroscopic approach.  
922 *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies* 94, 203 – 219, iSTTT22.  
923 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2017.08.011>

924 Ramming, M., 2002. *Network Knowledge and Route Choice*, PhD thesis. Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

925 Saedi, R., Verma, R., Zockaie, A., Ghamami, M., Gates, T. J., 2020. Comparison of support vector and non-linear regression models for estimating  
926 large-scale vehicular emissions, incorporating network-wide fundamental diagram for heterogeneous vehicles. *Transportation Research Record*  
927 2674 (5), 70–84.  
928 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0361198120914304>

929 Saeedmanesh, M., Geroliminis, N., 2016. Clustering of heterogeneous networks with directional flows based on "snake" similarities. *Transportation*  
930 *Research Part B: Methodological* 91, 250–269.  
931 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2016.05.008>

932 Saeedmanesh, M., Geroliminis, N., 2017. Dynamic clustering and propagation of congestion in heterogeneously congested urban traffic networks.  
933 *Transportation Research Procedia* 23, 962–979.  
934 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2017.08.021>

935 Sirmatel, I. I., Geroliminis, N., 2018. Economic model predictive control of large-scale urban road networks via perimeter control and regional  
936 route guidance. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems* 19, 1112–1121.  
937 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2017.2716541>

938 Sirmatel, I. I., Geroliminis, N., 2019. Nonlinear moving horizon estimation for large-scale urban road networks. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent*  
939 *Transportation Systems*, 1–12.  
940 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2019.2946324>

941 van der Zijpp, N. J., Catalano, S. F., 2005. Path enumeration by finding the constrained k-shortest paths. *Transportation Research Part B: Method-*  
942 *ological* 39, 545–563.  
943 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2004.07.004>

944 Vickrey, W., 2020. Congestion in midtown manhattan in relation to marginal cost pricing. *Economics of Transportation* 21, 100152.  
945 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecotra.2019.100152>

946 Wei, B., Saberli, M., Zhang, F., Liu, W., Waller, S. T., 2020. Modeling and managing ridesharing in a multi-modal network with an aggregate traffic  
947 representation: A doubly dynamical approach. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies* 117, 102670.  
948 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2020.102670>

949 Yang, F., Jin, P. J., Wan, X., Li, R., Ran, B., 2013. Dynamic origin-destination travel demand estimation using location-based social networking  
950 data. In: *92<sup>nd</sup> Annual Meeting Transportation Research Board*. Washington DC, USA.

951 Yang, H., Ke, J., Ye, J., 2018. A universal distribution law of network detour ratios. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies* 96, 22  
952 – 37.  
953 URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2018.09.012>

954 Yang, K., Menendez, M., Zheng, N., 2019. Heterogeneity aware urban traffic control in a connected vehicle environment: A joint framework for  
955 congestion pricing and perimeter control. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies* 105, 439 – 455.  
956 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2019.06.007>

957 Yang, K., Zheng, N., Menendez, M., 2018. Multi-scale perimeter control approach in a connected-vehicle environment. *Transportation Research*  
958 *Part C: Emerging Technologies* 94, 32–49.  
959 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2017.08.014>

960 Yildirimoglu, M., Geroliminis, N., 2014. Approximating dynamic equilibrium conditions with macroscopic fundamental diagrams. *Transportation*  
961 *Research Part B: Methodological* 70, 186–200.  
962 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2014.09.002>

- 963 Yildirimoglu, M., Ramezani, M., Geroliminis, N., 2015. Equilibrium analysis and route guidance in large-scale networks with mfd dynamics.  
964 Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies 59, 404 – 420, special Issue on International Symposium on Transportation and Traffic  
965 Theory.  
966 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2015.05.009>
- 967 Yildirimoglu, M., Sirmatel, I. I., Geroliminis, N., 2018. Hierarchical control of heterogeneous large-scale urban road networks via path assignment  
968 and regional route guidance. Transportation Research Part B: Methodological 118, 106–123.  
969 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2018.10.007>
- 970 Zheng, N., Geroliminis, N., 2020. Area-based equitable pricing strategies for multimodal urban networks with heterogeneous users. Transportation  
971 Research Part A: Policy and Practice 136, 357 – 374.  
972 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2020.04.009>
- 973 Zheng, N., R erat, G., Geroliminis, N., 2016. Time-dependent area-based pricing for multimodal systems with heterogeneous users in an agent-  
974 based environment. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies 62, 133–148.  
975 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2015.10.015>
- 976 Zhong, R., Chen, C., Huang, Y., Sumalee, A., Lam, W., Xu, D., 2017. Robust perimeter control for two urban regions with macroscopic fundamental  
977 diagrams: A control-lyapunov function approach. Transportation Research Procedia 23, 922–941.  
978 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.3141/2493-09>
- 979 Zhou, L., Zhong, S., Ma, S., Jia, N., 2014. Prospect theory based estimation of driver’s risk attitudes in route choice behaviors. Accident Analysis  
980 and Prevention 73, 1–11.  
981 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2014.08.004>