



HAL
open science

Perturbation of the Earth's rotation by monochromatic gravitational waves from astrophysical sources

Séverine Rosat, Josipa Majstorović

► **To cite this version:**

Séverine Rosat, Josipa Majstorović. Perturbation of the Earth's rotation by monochromatic gravitational waves from astrophysical sources. *Physical Review D*, 2021, 103 (10), 10.1103/PhysRevD.103.104052 . hal-03233840

HAL Id: hal-03233840

<https://hal.science/hal-03233840v1>

Submitted on 25 May 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Perturbation of the Earth's rotation by monochromatic gravitational waves from astrophysical sources

S everine Rosat^{1,*} and Josipa Majstorovi c²

¹*Institut Terre et Environnement de Strasbourg UMR 7063,
Universit  de Strasbourg/EOST, CNRS, 5 rue Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg, France*

²*Universit  Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, ISTerre, 38000 Grenoble, France*

(Received 9 December 2019; revised 15 December 2020; accepted 20 April 2021)

(PHYSICAL REVIEW D)

(<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.104052>)

Gravitational waves (GWs) of astrophysical origin were detected for the first time in 2015 through strain deformation measured at the Earth's surface. The inertia tensor of the deformable Earth is also disturbed resulting in the perturbation of its rotation vector and excitation of the rotational normal modes. Using a linearized theory of gravitation and the linearized equations of conservation of the angular momentum, we compute the equatorial polar motion and length of day changes generated by GWs. We show that GWs of strain amplitude h_0 and frequency f_g give rise to perturbations of the inertia tensor of the Earth with an amplitude of $10^{14}h_0f_g^2$, resulting in relative perturbation of the Earth's rotation rate and equatorial polar motion respectively of the order $10^6h_0f_g^2$ and $10^{14}h_0f_g^2$. The amplitude of the rotational effect is much smaller than the geophysically-induced rotational perturbation even if a resonance with the Earth's rotational normal modes would be possible. The amplitude of this rotational effect increases with the frequency but is several orders of magnitude below the theoretical sensitivity level of current geodetic instruments. The centrifugal deformation associated with the GW-induced polar motion would be $\sim 10^6f_g^2h_0 \sim 10^{-17}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ for $f_g = 10^{-4}$ Hz and $h_0 = 10^{-16}$. The strain amplitudes of such centrifugal deformation are beyond the detectability of current laser strainmeters used to detect GWs. In the future, improvement in the sensitivities of geophysical instruments to measure Earth's rotation fluctuations, particularly at sub-daily periods, and the development of the Laser Interferometer Space Antenna would make the present quantifications worth considering.

Keywords: gravitational wave detection, Earth's rotation

I. INTRODUCTION

Gravitational waves (GWs) carry fundamental information on the time-space evolution of the Universe and its origin. Quests for detecting these gravitational waves of astrophysical origin have been numerous until the first detection in 2015 in the frame of the international scientific LIGO and Virgo collaborations [1]. GWs induce distortions of time-space causing strain deformations at the Earth's surface. Current laser interferometers like LIGO and Virgo can measure strain deformations at the Earth's surface with a precision of the order of 10^{-22} at frequencies around 100 Hz. The signal detected on September, 14th 2015 is a transient signal of maximum amplitude 10^{-21} in strain and lasted about 0.15 second in a frequency band ranging from 35 Hz to 250 Hz. This signal resulted from the coalescence of two black holes located at more than one billion of light years from the Earth. Since then there has been 11 detections in first (O1) and second (O2) observational runs [2], and 39 in the first part of third observational run (O3a) [3] bringing in total 50 GW events to the present GW catalog (O1: September 2015 - January 2016; O2: November 2016 - August 2017; O3: April 2019 - September 2019). This catalog contains sources of different astrophysical parameters associated with binary black holes mergers, binary neutron stars, and neutron star black hole binaries.

Laser strainmeters are currently the foremost detectors of GWs. They are able to reach strain sensitivity of $10^{-23}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ (around 100 Hz) in high frequency range (10 - 10^3 Hz). In the same range the resonant mass detectors has also been developed. Originally proposed by Weber [4] they consist in detecting the resonance effect with normal modes of the system induced by the gravitational waves. There are cylindrical cryogenic resonance devices with a characteristic strain sensitivity of 10^{-19} [5-7] and spherical resonant detectors such as miniGRAIL [8], the Mario Schenberg detector [9] that reach sensitivity of 10^{-20} . At low frequencies (10^{-4} - 0.1 Hz) the most promising detectors are space-based Michelson-like interferometers, such as the Laser Interferometer Space Antenna (LISA) [10], designed to reach characteristic strain sensitivity of 10^{-20} . Beside space-born detectors, more accessible ground-based torsion bar pendulum have been proposed with the target sensitivity set to $10^{-19}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ (at 0.1 Hz) [11]. Some other proposal of

* severine.rosat@unistra.fr

ground-based detectors include also superconducting levitated masses [12] with possibility to reach a strain sensitivity of $10^{-19}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ (at 0.2 Hz), and atomic interferometers [13] designed to reach peak strain sensitivity of $10^{-13}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ (at 2 Hz). In very low frequency band the used detectors are pulsar timing arrays that measure the variations in time arrivals of the pulsar signals. The lower threshold of a characteristic sensitivity is set to 10^{-16} [14]. However, for the moment, any of these devices have not enabled the detection of GWs.

Like any mechanical system, the Earth possesses eigenmodes. When GWs are emitted, the local space-time of the Earth is deformed, perturbing the inertia tensor of the Earth and exciting elastic waves. An excitation of the Earth's normal modes would be possible and a resonance effect could occur. The idea to use the Earth as a GW receiver was already proposed by Dyson [15], Tuman [16], Jensen [17], Ben-Menahem [18] and more recently Coughlin and Harms [19] and Majstorović *et al.* [20, 21]. Those authors investigated the coupling of GWs with seismic modes of the Earth, hence at frequencies in the millihertz frequency band or at 1 Hz, that is at frequencies lower than the operating frequency range of LIGO-Virgo instruments. Coughlin and Harms [19] proposed an upper limit of GW energy density at seismic frequencies, where sources of GWs can be for instance massive binaries or massive inspiraling black holes [22, 23]. Also, the GW sensitivity limit in terms of global network of broadband seismometers [24], as well as Apollo Seismic Array [25] has been investigated. Weber [4] computed the irregular fluctuations in the Earth's rotation rate caused by an incident gravitational wave with a continuous spectrum. He showed that the induced perturbation would be too small to use the Earth's rotation as a detector based on the gravitational flux that would be required to explain Earth's rotational anomalies.

Other aspects of the Earth's rotation have been envisaged to detect GWs: that are using the Sagnac effect associated with the magnetic part of the Weyl tensor [26, 27].

In this paper, we consider the possibility to use the Earth's elasticity and its rotation as a detector for GWs. We quantify for the first time the perturbation of the Earth's instantaneous rotation axis in terms of spin rate and polar motion perturbations induced by GWs and their resonance with the Earth's rotational normal modes. The Chandler wobble (CW) represents a 432-day wobble of the Earth's rotation axis with respect to the figure axis [e.g. 28]. At the periods of the rotational CW mode, main sources of GWs could be supermassive binaries [29]. When considering an Earth's model with an elliptical fluid core, another mode exists: the free core nutation (FCN) which represents a diurnal wobble of the elliptical fluid core with respect to the mantle, in a terrestrial reference frame and a 432-day retrograde nutation as viewed from a celestial reference frame. The FCN mode is best determined by space nutation observations [e.g. 30]. When considering the presence of a solid inner core in the Earth's fluid core, two additional modes of rotation exist: the inner-core wobble and the free-inner core nutation which are the equivalent CW and FCN for the inner-core. These two modes have never been directly observed because of their much smaller effects. Consequently, we will ignore them. In the following, we first compute the perturbation of the inertia tensor exerted by GWs on the Earth. Then we use the linearized form of the conservation of the angular momentum equations to describe the transfer function of the Earth. Finally we provide some estimates of the rotation perturbations induced by GWs passing through the Earth in terms of polar motion and length of day changes that we compare with current instrumental sensitivities and geophysical excitations of the Earth's rotation.

II. EFFECT OF A GRAVITATIONAL WAVE ON THE EARTH'S INERTIA TENSOR

Far from massive sources of gravitational waves, GWs can be approximated as plane waves propagating in a flat space-time. In the weak-field linear approximation of gravity, the gravitational field can be considered as a perturbation of flat space-time and the field is static. The gravitational field is hence expressed as the decomposition of the metric into the Minkowski metric plus a small perturbation noted $h_{\mu\nu}$. In the following we are dropping Einstein summation convention and follow the notations used in Ben-Menahem [18] and Majstorović *et al.* [20]. The tensor \mathbf{h} corresponds to the metric perturbation tensor as defined in the post-Newtonian approximation [22]. We define a GW traveling at the velocity of light c by its amplitude h_0 , its unit wave vector \vec{p} normal to the wave front and its unit polarization tensor ϵ . The polarization tensor ϵ of a GW is usually described as a function of two vectors \mathbf{e}_+ and \mathbf{e}_\times defined as

$$\mathbf{e}_+ = \vec{l}\vec{l}^T - \vec{m}\vec{m}^T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{e}_\times = \vec{l}\vec{m}^T + \vec{m}\vec{l}^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

We define the vector \vec{p} along the unit vector \vec{e}_k . The unit vectors \vec{l} , \vec{m} are related to the units of spherical coordinate system \vec{e}_e , \vec{e}_λ through relations

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{l} &= \cos \nu(-\vec{e}_e) + \sin \nu(-\vec{e}_\lambda), \\ \vec{m} &= \sin \nu(\vec{e}_e) + \cos \nu(-\vec{e}_\lambda), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

with e , λ and ν being three angles specifying the plane of polarization in O (cf. Fig. (1)).

We note ω_g the angular frequency and $\vec{k}_g = \frac{\omega_g}{c} \vec{p}$ the wave vector. The metric tensor perturbation is

$$\mathbf{h} = h_{ij} = h_0 \epsilon e^{i(\omega_g t - \vec{k}_g \cdot \vec{r})}. \quad (3)$$

The standard definition of the inertia tensor of a mass body of volume V and density ρ is given by

$$I_{ij} = \int_V \rho (r^2 \delta_{ij} - x_i x_j) dv, \quad (4)$$

where δ is the Kronecker symbol and r is the distance to the center of mass.

If we note A and C the main inertia moments of the ellipsoidal Earth and c_{ij} the small perturbations, the inertia tensor is written

$$I_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} A + c_{11} & c_{12} & c_{13} \\ c_{12} & A + c_{22} & c_{23} \\ c_{13} & c_{23} & C + c_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

where we have assumed that the c_{ij} are symmetric. The perturbation of the inertia tensor is trace-free so that $c_{11} + c_{22} + c_{33} = 0$.

The main effect of GWs is to cause a quadrupole distortion of space-time or tidal forces. In such an external tidal field, the body will in response develop a trace-free quadrupolar moment Q_{ij} defined in the Newtonian limit by [22, 31]

$$Q_{ij} = \int_V \rho \left(x_i x_j - \frac{1}{3} r^2 \delta_{ij} \right) dv. \quad (6)$$

We can relate the body quadrupole moment and the inertia tensor by

$$Q_{ij} = \frac{tr(I)}{3} \delta_{ij} - I_{ij}, \quad (7)$$

where $tr(I)$ is the trace of I . We are interested in the perturbations of this moment (the departures from the principal moment of inertia A and C) which are indeed directly related to the c_{ij} by

$$Q_{ij} = -c_{ij}. \quad (8)$$

We consider a local Lorentz frame in which the GW is introduced as a tidal field. Using the fact that the Riemann tensor is invariant under the gauge transformation, we can evaluate it in the transverse traceless gauge. A GW corresponds to periodic changes in the Riemann curvature tensor that lead to deformations of a matter body through which the GW is passing. In the limit where the source of external quadrupolar tidal field is very far away, the induced changes in the inertia tensor c_{ij} or quadrupole moment Q_{ij} are proportional to the tidal field through the degree-two Love number k_2 that represents the tidal deformability of a matter body [23, 32–34]

$$Q_{ij} = -\frac{2}{3} k_2 \frac{R^5}{G} E_{ij}, \quad (9)$$

where R is the Earth's mean radius. The tidal tensor E_{ij} of the external field can be expressed in terms of the GW strain amplitude \mathbf{h} in transverse traceless gauge by [35]

$$E_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2} \ddot{h}_{ij} = \frac{\omega_g^2}{2} h_{ij} = \frac{2\pi^2 c^2}{\lambda_g^2} h_{ij}, \quad (10)$$

where the dot symbol means time derivative. Equation (9) is valid for static gravitational fields [34, 35]. This means that the time-scale of the variation of the external tidal field is much larger than the time-scale on which the deformed Earth rearranges its internal mass structure. The static approximation corresponds to the zero-frequency limit of Earth's dynamical response and can be applied at very low frequencies (below 0.3 mHz, the frequency of the lowest seismic mode). Hence we consider the long wavelength regime, meaning $\frac{2\pi c}{\omega_g} \gg R$, where $\lambda_g = \frac{2\pi c}{\omega_g} = \frac{c}{f_g}$ is the wavelength and f_g the frequency of the GW $\ll 0.3$ mHz. In this condition we can also neglect the wave vector component in Equation (3) and write

$$\mathbf{h} = h_0 \epsilon e^{i\omega_g t}. \quad (11)$$

137 Here, we consider a right-hand circularly polarized GW in form $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{e}_+ - i\mathbf{e}_\times)$. We hence assume same proportion
 138 of each polarization. According to [18, 20], the right-hand circularly polarized tensor is defined in the Cartesian
 139 coordinate system $O = (\vec{e}_x, \vec{e}_y, \vec{e}_z)$ rotating with the Earth by

$$140 \quad \epsilon = \frac{1}{2}e^{2i\nu} \begin{pmatrix} a_1^2 & a_1a_2 & -a_1a_3 \\ a_1a_2 & a_2^2 & -a_2a_3 \\ -a_1a_3 & -a_2a_3 & a_3^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

141 where

$$142 \quad \begin{cases} a_1 = \cos e \cos \lambda + i \sin \lambda \\ a_2 = \cos e \sin \lambda - i \cos \lambda \\ a_3 = \sin e \end{cases}$$

143 The components of a pure circularly polarized tensor plane wave approaching the Earth with a normal \vec{p} in O are
 144 hence $(\sin e \cos \lambda, \sin e \sin \lambda, \cos e)$. Perturbations in the quadrupole moment of the Earth can finally be written

$$145 \quad Q_{ij} = -k_2 \frac{R^5}{G} \frac{2\pi^2 c^2}{3\lambda_g^2} h_0 e^{i\omega_g t} e^{2i\nu} \begin{pmatrix} a_1^2 & a_1a_2 & -a_1a_3 \\ a_1a_2 & a_2^2 & -a_2a_3 \\ -a_1a_3 & -a_2a_3 & a_3^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

146 OR

$$147 \quad Q_{ij} = -k_2 \frac{R^5}{G} \frac{\omega_g^2}{6} h_0 e^{i\omega_g t} e^{2i\nu} \begin{pmatrix} a_1^2 & a_1a_2 & -a_1a_3 \\ a_1a_2 & a_2^2 & -a_2a_3 \\ -a_1a_3 & -a_2a_3 & a_3^2 \end{pmatrix} = -c_0 h_0 \omega_g^2 e^{i\omega_g t} f(e, \lambda, \nu), \quad (12)$$

148 where we have introduced the time-independent parameter $c_0 = k_2 \frac{R^5}{6G} \approx 7.86 \cdot 10^{42} \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg s}^2$ and the function

$$149 \quad f(e, \lambda, \nu) = e^{2i\nu} \begin{pmatrix} a_1^2 & a_1a_2 & -a_1a_3 \\ a_1a_2 & a_2^2 & -a_2a_3 \\ -a_1a_3 & -a_2a_3 & a_3^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

150 is defined by the incident angles of the GW. This function describes the geometrical shape of the deformation. Please
 151 note that all the components of the quadrupole moment Q have same time dependency but different amplitudes. The
 152 maximum value of component a_1a_3 is for $\nu = 0$, $\lambda = \pi/2$ and $e = \pi/3$. In such a case, the global Earth's deformation
 153 is characterized by a dilatation along \vec{e}_z ($a_3^2 = \frac{3}{4}$) and to a smaller extent along \vec{e}_y ($a_2^2 = \frac{1}{4}$) and a contraction along
 154 \vec{e}_x ($a_1^2 = -1$). When the GW is coming along the axial direction ($e = 0$ or π), then $a_3 = 0$, there would be no
 155 perturbation of the components c_{i3} of the inertia tensor and then no perturbation of the Earth's rotation. We also see
 156 that the amplitude of the perturbation of the momentum of inertia is proportional to the square of the GW frequency
 157 and to its strain amplitude.

158 III. DYNAMICAL EQUATIONS OF THE EARTH'S ROTATION

159 The Earth is rotating steadily at the rate Ω about the Earth's figure axis \vec{e}_z , fixed in inertial space (see Fig. 1).
 160 The two equatorial unit vectors \vec{e}_x and \vec{e}_y are orientated along the Earth's mean axes of inertia.

161 The action of any external torque or any mass redistribution within or at the surface of the Earth will perturb the
 162 Earth's rotation. We note $\vec{\omega}$ the instantaneous rotation vector, which coordinates in the steadily rotating terrestrial
 163 frame are defined as $\vec{\omega} = \vec{\Omega}(m_1, m_2, 1 + m_3)$. The quantities $(m_1, m_2, 1)$ are the direction cosines of the rotation axis.
 164 The two principal equatorial directions (m_1 is in the direction of Greenwich and m_2 is in the direction of 90°E) define
 165 the variations in polar motion and m_3 the spin rate changes.

166 The conservation of the angular momentum \vec{H} is written

$$167 \quad \dot{\vec{H}} + \vec{\omega} \wedge \vec{H} = 0, \quad (13)$$

168 in the rotating frame attached to the Earth and in the absence of any external torque acting on the body. The vector
 169 \vec{H} can be expressed as a function of the tensor of inertia introduced previously in Eq. (5)

$$170 \quad H_j = I_{ij}\omega_i. \quad (14)$$




FIG. 1. Rotating terrestrial reference frame $O = (\vec{e}_x, \vec{e}_y, \vec{e}_z)$ and angles e, λ, ν defining the polarization plan of a gravitational wave.

171 After linearization and neglecting second-order quantities in m_i and c_{ij} , the varying Earth's rotation is governed by
 172 the linearized form of the so-called Euler-Liouville equations [31, 36]

$$173 \left(1 - \frac{k_2}{k_s}\right) \dot{\tilde{m}} + \frac{i}{\sigma_e} \dot{\tilde{m}} = \tilde{\Psi} \quad (15)$$

$$174 \dot{m}_3 = \dot{\Psi}_3, \quad (16)$$

175 where σ_e is the Eulerian nutation frequency ($= \alpha\Omega$) and $\alpha = \frac{C-A}{A}$ is the dynamic ellipticity of the Earth. The
 176 frequency $\sigma_{CW} = \left(1 - \frac{k_2}{k_s}\right) \sigma_e$ is the Chandler wobble frequency. The degree-2 k_2 and secular k_s Love numbers
 177 characterize elastic deformations that take place under polar motion [37], which values are provided in Table I. The
 178 variations in the position of the Earth's rotation axis $\tilde{m} = m_1 + im_2$ is the Earth's polar motion. The second equation
 179 defines m_3 which is associated with the variation of length of day noted $\Delta LOD = -\overline{LOD} m_3$, where \overline{LOD} is the
 180 nominal length of day, equal to 86400 s. The function $\tilde{\Psi} = \Psi_1 + i\Psi_2$ is the equatorial projection while Ψ_3 is the
 181 axial component of the excitation function. The excitation function Ψ_i contains all possible geophysical effects on the
 182 rotation of the Earth, due to motion that carry angular momentum or to redistribution of mass, and to any external
 183 torque (tides, surficial fluid layers, etc...). The latter can be expressed in terms of changes in the non-diagonal elements
 184 $\tilde{c} = c_{13} + ic_{23}$,

$$185 \tilde{\Psi} = \frac{\tilde{c}}{C-A} - \frac{i}{(C-A)\Omega} \dot{\tilde{c}} = \frac{\tilde{c}}{C-A} \left(1 + \frac{\omega_g}{\Omega}\right)$$

$$186 \Psi_3 = -\frac{c_{33}}{C}. \quad (17)$$

187 The excitation functions defined in Eq.(17) represent the source terms of the perturbations of the Earth's rotation by
 188 GWs.




FIG. 2. Perturbation of the length of day of the Earth as a function of the frequency and for various amplitudes h_0 of the GW propagating at 60 degrees ($e = \pi/3$, $\nu = 0$ and $\lambda = \pi/2$) from the Earth's rotation axis.

A. Amplitudes of the Earth's spin rate changes

According to Eq. (16), the perturbation in the length of day induced by a GW is

$$\frac{\Delta LOD}{LOD} = \frac{c_{33}}{C} = \frac{c_0}{C} h_0 \omega_g^2 e^{i\omega_g t} e^{2i\nu} \sin^2 e,$$

where we recall that e is the incident angle of the incoming GW with respect to the Earth's rotation axis as defined in Fig. 1. The perturbation of the Earth's spin rate is proportional to the square of the GW frequency, but we have to stay within the static field limit, so that the expected amplitude of the perturbation is limited. When $e = 0$ or π , there is no increment of inertia along \vec{e}_z and no perturbation of the axial rotation. When $e = \pi/3$ and $\nu = 0$, we would have a perturbation of the Earth's spin rate of $\sim 3 \cdot 10^6 f_g^2 h_0$. The length of day change induced by a GW is plotted in Fig. 2 for various GW strain amplitudes. For a GW of frequency $f_g = 10^{-4}$ Hz, the wavelength $\lambda_g \approx 5 \cdot 10^5 R$ and the relative perturbation in the Earth's rotation rate would be $\sim 3 \cdot 10^{-2} h_0$. For $h_0 = 10^{-16}$, we would expect an increment of inertia c_{33} of $\sim 10^{-18} C$ ($\Delta LOD \sim 10^{-7} \mu s$) at 10^{-4} Hz, that is 10 orders smaller than the zonal tidal contribution $c_{33} \sim 10^{-8} C$ [38].


B. Solution for the excitation of polar motion

The contributions to the inertia tensor from the mass redistribution of the Earth induced by a GW is obtained from Eq. (8) and (12)

$$\tilde{c} = c_0 h_0 \omega_g^2 e^{i\omega_g t} e^{2i\nu} a_3 (a_1 + i a_2). \quad (18)$$

The amplitude of the associated source function $\tilde{\Psi}$ (Eq. (17)) is plotted in Fig. 3 for various GW strain amplitudes. When $e = 0$ or π , there is no perturbation of the equatorial components of the rotation axis. Here also we take

207 $e = \pi/3$ and $\nu = 0$. At 10^{-4} Hz and for $h_0 = 10^{-16}$, the relative inertia perturbation would be of the order of
 208 $\tilde{c} \sim 10^{-18}A$. At diurnal periods, it would be $\sim 10^{-20}A$ which would be considerably smaller than the contributions
 209 from tides of the order $\sim 10^{-8}A$ [37]. Earthquakes also perturb the Earth's tensor of inertia on minutes time-scales
 210 and are accompanied by variations of the order $\dot{c}_{ij} \approx 10^{-9}A\Omega$ [39]. Here, at 10^{-4} Hz, $\dot{c}_{ij} \approx 10^{-17}A\Omega$ for $h_0 = 10^{-16}$.



211

212 FIG. 3. Amplitude of the excitation term as a function of the frequency and for various amplitudes h_0 of the GW propagating
 213 at 60 degrees ($e = \pi/3$, $\nu = 0$ and $\lambda = \pi/2$) from the Earth's rotation axis. The angular frequency of the GW is ω_g and the
 214 Earth's rotation rate is noted Ω . A and C are the principal inertial momentum of the Earth and \tilde{c} is the perturbation of the
 215 inertia tensor of the Earth induced the GW.

216 The solution to Eq. (15) for the wobble \tilde{m} due to any excitation function Ψ is [31]

$$217 \quad \tilde{m}(\sigma) = -\frac{\sigma_{CW}}{\sigma - \sigma_{CW}} \Psi(\sigma), \quad (19)$$

218 in frequency domain, or in time-domain

$$219 \quad \tilde{m}(t) = -i\sigma_{CW} e^{i\sigma_{CW}t} \int_{-\infty}^t \Psi(\tau) e^{-i\sigma_{CW}\tau} d\tau. \quad (20)$$

220 Considering Eq. (17) and Eq. (18), for an infinite monochromatic wave, the source function is defined by

$$221 \quad \Psi(t) = \frac{c_0 h_0}{A} \left(1 + \frac{\omega_g}{\Omega}\right) \frac{\Omega}{\sigma_e} \omega_g^2 e^{i\omega_g t} e^{2i\nu} a_3 (a_1 + ia_2) = \Psi_0 e^{i\omega_g t}$$

222 and

$$223 \quad \Psi(\sigma) = \Psi_0 \delta(\sigma - \omega_g),$$

224 where we have defined $\Psi_0 = \frac{c_0 h_0}{A} \left(1 + \frac{\omega_g}{\Omega}\right) \frac{\Omega}{\sigma_e} \omega_g^2 e^{2i\nu} a_3 (a_1 + ia_2)$. If we integrate from an initial time t_0 , then the
 225 polar motion \tilde{m} will be the sum of the free Chandler wobble with initial amplitude m_0 and a forced wobble at the
 226 angular frequency ω_g

$$227 \quad \tilde{m}(t) = m_0 e^{i\sigma_{CW}(t-t_0)} + \frac{\sigma_{CW}}{\sigma_{CW} - \omega_g} \Psi_0 e^{i\omega_g t}. \quad (21)$$

Gravitational constant	G	$6.67408 \cdot 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3\text{kg}^{-1}\text{s}^{-2}$
Speed of light in vacuum	c	299792458 m/s
Earth's rotation rate	Ω	$7.292115 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ rad/s}$
Earth's mean radius	R	$6.371 \cdot 10^6 \text{ m}$
Principal inertial momentum	A	$8.0101 \cdot 10^{37} \text{ kg m}^2$
Axial inertial momentum	C	$8.0365 \cdot 10^{37} \text{ kg m}^2$
Dynamic ellipticity	α	$3.2845479 \cdot 10^{-3}$
Earth's mean density	ρ_0	5500 kg m^{-3}
Degree-2 Love number	k_2	0.302
Secular Love number	k_s	0.942
Degree-2 Shida number	l	0.085
Degree-2 tidal gravimetric factor	δ	1.16

TABLE I. Numerical values of parameters for an Earth's PREM model [40] and taken from Petit and Luzum [41] and Mathews et al. [37].

From the second term in Eq. (21), we can compute the expected amplitudes for the polar motion excitation oscillating at the pulsation ω_g for various GW amplitudes and frequencies. Parameters values for the PREM [40] Earth's model are provided in Table I. When the GW frequency is close to the CW frequency, a resonance would occur. When considering the presence of the elliptical fluid core inside the Earth, a second rotational mode exists, that is the free core nutation (FCN) which period is nearly diurnal in the Earth's rotating frame. For GWs with a period close to one day, a resonance with the FCN mode would occur too. However, since diurnal tides are already forcing that mode, it would be impossible to distinguish a GW origin in geodetic observations.

IV. DISCUSSION

A. Detectability in terms of LOD variations

The relative perturbation of the rotation rate of 10^{-18} obtained for a 10^{-4} Hz GW with an amplitude 10^{-16} would be ten orders of magnitude smaller than the resolution of recent ring-laser gyroscopes of $\sim 10^{-8}$ [42]. Large ring laser gyroscope (like the G-ring) can reach a sensitivity of $1.2 \cdot 10^{-11} \text{ rad/s}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ [43], while sensitivity of the VLBI (very long baseline interferometry) is of the order $\sim 6 \text{ ms}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ or $\sim 5 \cdot 10^{-12} \text{ rad/s}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ [44], both being characterized by white noise. Besides a weak amplitude, the presence of larger LOD variations of geophysical origin would mask the GW effect. Amplitude spectral densities of geophysical (atmosphere, oceans, hydrosphere, fluid core) contributions to the variations of LOD and the observed LOD variations corrected for zonal tides show that the GW effect would indeed be elusive but with an amplitude increasing with the frequency (Fig. 4). Observed LOD variations were downloaded from the International Earth Rotation and Reference Systems Service (IERS) (<http://hpiers.obspm.fr>). Atmospheric, oceanic and hydrological angular momenta were downloaded from the ESMGFZ Product Repository generated by the Earth-System-Modelling group [45]. Core angular momentum contribution was obtained from <https://geodyn.univ-grenoble-alpes.fr/> [46].

B. Detectability in polar motion observations

The observed amplitude of the CW excited mostly by surficial fluid layers (mostly atmosphere and oceans) is of the order of a few milliarcseconds [47] in terms of relative polar motion \tilde{m} components where 1 arcsecond = $2\pi/360/3600$ radians. Even with a large fluctuation of the space-time with a strain amplitude $h_0 = 10^{-16}$, the amplitude of the induced polar motion at 10^{-4} Hz would be $\sim 10^{-9}$ mas, that is to say, nearly ten orders of magnitude smaller.

On Fig. 5 the sensitivity curves of the VLBI and GNSS (Global Navigation Satellite System) used by the IERS to retrieve polar motion observations show that the GW effect is at least five orders of magnitude below the levels of detectability of these techniques. The VLBI sensitivity is characterized by a white noise of variance $10^5 \mu\text{as}^2$ integrated over one day. For the GNSS, the amplitude spectral density can be defined by $\frac{\sigma_a}{\sqrt{4 \ln(2) f}}$, where $\sigma_a = 60 \mu\text{as}$ is the Allan variance and f the frequency [48, 49]. This empirical formula is valid in the range between 2 and 1000 days. For shorter periods, the amplitude spectral density should be larger but below a white noise with amplitude $11 \text{ mas}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$

[49]. The sensitivity of the GNSS improves with the frequency till 2 days but is still beyond the detectability level for large GW events (i.e. with $h_0 = 10^{-16}$, Fig. 5). The amplitude of the GW-induced polar motion is also below the sensitivity level of VLBI. Current estimates of the Earth rotation parameters are limited at 2-day periods even if some attempts have been made to estimate sub-daily rotation parameters [50]. However processing of the geodetic data analysis significantly affects the resulting Earth rotation parameters, in particular in the shortest periods [51]. Progress still needs to be made to reach the high-frequency sensitivity required to be able to detect GWs through their effects on polar motion.

C. Detectability through centrifugal deformation

The centrifugal potential associated with polar motion induces surface deformation with horizontal displacement approximately defined as $l \frac{\Omega^2 R^2}{g} |\dot{m}| \approx 1.9 \cdot 10^3 |\dot{m}| \text{ m}$ [31, 52], where $l = 0.085$ is the second-order body tide displacement Shida number and $|\dot{m}|$ is given in radians. The relative strain perturbation is $\approx 2 \times 1.9 \cdot 10^3 |\dot{m}| / R$. This is a rough estimate of the strain amplitude that we have represented on the right-hand axis on Fig. 5. This centrifugal deformation associated with a GW is beyond the sensitivity range of current GW detectors but would be within the sensitivity range of the future LISA mission [53]. Induced gravity perturbation would be roughly $\Delta g = \delta \Omega^2 R |\dot{m}| \approx 3.9 \cdot 10^6 |\dot{m}| \mu\text{Gal}$, where $\delta = 1.16$ is the second-order body tide gravimetric factor. For a polar motion of 10^{-10} mas, i.e. $5 \cdot 10^{-19}$ rad, $\Delta g = 2 \cdot 10^{-12} \mu\text{Gal}$ which is elusive and well underneath detectability. Effect of m_3 (LOD variations) would be even smaller [52]. In the above strain and gravity estimates, we have neglected latitude dependencies. Horizontal displacements are indeed marginally important at high and low latitudes but are utterly negligible at mid-latitudes [52]. So the strain values proposed here are maximum amplitudes.

Please note that the total increment of inertia would be the sum of the direct effect from the tidal field (the GW effect) and the indirect centrifugal effect arising from Earth's rotation variations (the centrifugal deformation).

D. On the Earth's deformability

The deformation of the Earth in response to an external tidal field is represented by the Love number k_2 which value is typically around 0.3 for an elastic Earth's model [54] or as inferred from gravimetric observations [e.g. 55]. This potential Love number is however frequency dependent [33, 56]. When taking into account the influence of the oceans, its equilibrium response leads to $k_2 \sim 0.35$ at the frequency of CW. But, for daily and sub-daily frequencies, as the ocean response to tidal excitation becomes dynamical, k_2 is strongly affected, especially its imaginary part, getting as large as the real part. One of the consequences is a decrease of the resonance period of the polar motion, dropping from 432 down to 400 days and less [39]. More generally the higher the excitation frequency, the smaller is the deformational response, as the Earth tends to rigidify. Our estimates represent then an upper limit of the expected effects of GWs on the Earth's tensor of inertia and rotation.

E. On the GW source model

We have considered a simple waveform model for the GW with same proportion of each polarization \mathbf{e}_+ and \mathbf{e}_\times that contributes to the signal [Eq. (11)] and represented by an infinite monochromatic wave. Such an approximation is valid for some identified double white dwarfs systems. In a more general way, the monochromatic wave approximation is valid for a continuous GW source like for instance a single spinning massive object or detached double white dwarfs. The later vastly outnumber all other compact binary objects in the Galactic disk [57] and their properties are constant in time. Their amplitude is however smaller than other cataclysmic events. For identified double binary white-dwarfs $h_0 \sim 10^{-22}$ [57] which would result in polar motion and length of day variations clearly beyond the detectability level of current instruments (Fig. 4 and Fig. 5). For wavebursts like supernovae explosions a Gaussian or sine-Gaussian wave model should be used with periods less than one second. With bursts sources, we are however beyond the limit of a static gravitational field as used in this study. For other transient GW events like binary black holes that cannot be modeled by a specific waveform, a more complex numerical model that describes the polarization tensor ϵ very accurately should be employed [2]. The detector response should also be incorporated for an accurate estimate [58]. This is however beyond the scope of this paper that aims at providing some first estimates of the GW effect on the Earth's rotation for a continuous monochromatic waveform.




FIG. 4. Amplitude spectral densities of the observed (after correcting for zonal tides) and predicted variations of length of day (ΔLOD) for the main geophysical sources (atmosphere, oceans, continental hydrology and core angular momentum) and for GWs with amplitudes $h_0 = 10^{-22}$ and 10^{-16} (black and gray dotted lines). The sensitivity of the large laser-ring gyroscope (G-ring [43]) is indicated in red dashed line and for the VLBI [49] in dashed blue line.

V. CONCLUSION

306

307 We have employed an angular momentum approach considering the action of gravitational waves from astrophysical
 308 sources acting on an axisymmetric ellipsoidal Earth's model. We have shown that space-time fluctuations of astro-
 309 physical origin could affect the Earth's rotation through the perturbation of its moments of inertia. The maximum
 310 perturbation of the tensor of inertia induced by a monochromatic GW of frequency f_g reaching the Earth would be
 311 of the order of $10^{14} f_g^2 h_0$, where h_0 is the strain amplitude of the space-time fluctuation associated with a GW. The
 312 perturbation of the spin rate and of the equatorial components of the polar motion would be ten to fifteen orders of
 313 magnitude smaller than the observed excitation by surficial fluid layers (atmosphere, oceans, hydrology). A resonance
 314 effect with the rotational modes of the Earth could in principle occur but the associated resonance strengths would be
 315 small and hidden in the existing excitation by Earth's surficial layers. At frequencies higher than the resonance with
 316 the Chandler wobble, the polar motion excited by GWs would be beyond the detectability range of GNSS and VLBI
 317 sensors. Current estimates of the Earth rotation parameters are however limited at 2-day periods. Progress still needs
 318 to be made to reach the sensitivity required to be able to detect GWs through their effects on polar motion. The
 319 centrifugal deformation associated with the GW-induced polar motion would also be beyond the sensitivity of the
 320 laser strainmeters used to detect GWs but could be within the sensitivity range of the future LISA antenna. We have
 321 employed a static limit approximation to investigate the effect of GWs on the Earth's rotation. In order to extend
 322 our work at seismic frequencies, we would need a normal mode formalism as used in Majstorović et al. [20]. We have
 323 shown that using the Earth's rotation as a detector of GWs would not be currently efficient. Our work could however
 324 be useful in the future when instrument sensitivities to measure the Earth's rotation improve.




FIG. 5. Amplitude spectral densities of the observed (after correcting for Chandler and annual wobbles) and predicted equatorial polar motion (PM) for the main geophysical sources (atmosphere, oceans and continental hydrology). The amplitudes of polar motion induced by GWs with amplitudes $h_0 = 10^{-22}$ and 10^{-16} are plotted in black and gray dotted lines. VLBI and GNSS sensitivities (from [49]) are respectively plotted in dashed blue and green lines. Above 10^{-5} Hz, the GNSS sensitivity curve in terms of polar motion is unknown, so only a lower bound is plotted. The peak around $2.7 \cdot 10^{-8}$ Hz corresponds to the resonance with Chandler wobble.

325

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

326 S.R. wants to thank Jérôme Pétri (Observatory of Strasbourg, France) and Jan Harms (GSSI, Italy) for some
 327 fruitful discussions about this work. We also thank Christian Bizouard (Observatory of Paris, France) for a deep
 328 reading and constructive suggestions as well as an anonymous reviewer for major comments to improve this work.
 329 This study was supported by the Programme National de Planétologie (PNP) of CNRS/INSU co-funded by CNES.

-
- 330 [1] B. P. Abbott et al. (The LIGO Scientific Collaboration and The Virgo Collaboration), Observation of gravitational waves
 331 from a binary black hole merger, *Physical Review Letter* **116**, 061102 (2016).
 332 [2] B. Abbott, R. Abbott, T. Abbott, S. Abraham, F. Acernese, K. Ackley, C. Adams, R. Adhikari, V. Adya, C. Affeldt,
 333 and et al., GWTC-1: A Gravitational-Wave Transient Catalog of Compact Binary Mergers Observed by LIGO and Virgo
 334 during the First and Second Observing Runs, *Physical Review X* **9**, 10.1103/physrevx.9.031040 (2019).
 335 [3] B. P. Abbott et al., GWTC-2: Compact Binary Coalescences Observed by LIGO and Virgo During the First Half of the
 336 Third Observing Run (2020), arXiv:2010.14527 [gr-qc].
 337 [4] J. Weber, Detection and generation of gravitational waves, *Physical Review* **117**, 306 (1959).
 338 [5] G. Pizzella, A brief review of the gravitational wave resonant detectors, *Nuclear Physics B - Proceedings Supplements* **35**,
 339 71 (1994).
 340 [6] G. Frossati, Ultralow-temperature resonant gravitational wave detectors, present state and future prospects, *Advances in*
 341 *Space Research* **32**, 1227 (2003), *fundamental Physics in Space*.
 342 [7] F. Ronga, Detection of gravitational waves with resonant antennas, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **39**, 18 (2006).

- [8] A. D. Waard, L. Gottardi, J. V. Houwelingen, A. Shumack, and G. Frossati, MiniGRAIL, the first spherical detector, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **20** (2003).
- [9] O. D. Aguiar et al., The status of the Brazilian spherical detector, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **19** (2002).
- [10] P. Bender, I. Ciufolini, K. Danzmann, W. Folkner, J. Hough, D. Robertson, A. Rüdiger, M. Sandford, R. Schilling, B. Schutz, R. Stebbins, T. Sumner, P. Touboul, S. Vitale, H. Ward, W. Winkler, J. Cornelisse, F. Hechler, Y. Jafry, and R. Reinhard, LISA. Laser Interferometer Space Antenna for the detection and observation of gravitational waves. A Cornerstone Project in ESA's Long Term Space Science Programme "Horizon 2000 Plus", Pre-Phase A Report (1995).
- [11] T. Shimoda, S. Takano, C. P. Ooi, N. Aritomi, Y. Michimura, M. Ando, and A. Shoda, Torsion-bar antenna: A ground-based mid-frequency and low-frequency gravitational wave detector, *International Journal of Modern Physics D* **29**, 1940003 (2019).
- [12] H. J. Paik, C. E. Griggs, M. V. Moody, K. Venkateswara, H. M. Lee, A. B. Nielsen, E. Majorana, and J. Harms, Low-frequency terrestrial tensor gravitational-wave detector, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **33**, 075003 (2016).
- [13] B. Canuel, A. Bertoldi, L. Amand, E. Pozzo di Borgo, T. Chantrait, C. Danquigny, M. Dovale Álvarez, B. Fang, A. Freise, R. Geiger, J. Gillot, S. Henry, J. Hinderer, D. Holleville, J. Junca, G. Lefèvre, M. Merzougui, N. Mielec, T. Monfret, S. Pelisson, M. Prevedelli, S. Reynaud, I. Riou, Y. Rogister, S. Rosat, E. Cormier, A. Landragin, W. Chaibi, S. Gaffet, and P. Bouyer, Exploring gravity with the MIGA large scale atom interferometer, *Scientific Reports* **8**, 14064 (2018).
- [14] C. J. Moore, The sensitivity of pulsar timing arrays, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **610**, 012018 (2015).
- [15] J. Dyson, F., Seismic response of the Earth to a gravitational wave in the 1-Hz band, *The Astrophysical Journal* **156**, 529 (1969).
- [16] V. S. Tuman, A search for gravity waves by means of the earth eigen vibrations, *General Relativity and Gravitation* **4**, 279 (1973).
- [17] O. G. Jensen, Seismic detection of gravitational radiation, *Reviews of geophysics and space physics* **17**, 2057 (1979).
- [18] A. Ben-Menahem, Excitation of the Earth's eigenvibrations by gravitational radiation from astrophysical sources, *II Nuovo Cimento C* **6**, 49 (1983).
- [19] M. Coughlin and J. Harms, Constraining the gravitational wave energy density of the Universe using Earth's ring, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 042005 (2014).
- [20] J. Majstorović, S. Rosat, and Y. Rogister, Earth's spheroidal motion induced by a gravitational wave in flat spacetime, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 044048 (2019).
- [21] J. Majstorović, S. Rosat, and Y. Rogister, Erratum: Earth's spheroidal motion induced by a gravitational wave in flat spacetime [phys. rev. d 100, 044048 (2019)], *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 029901 (2021).
- [22] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (W. H. Freeman and Company, 1973).
- [23] E. Poisson and C. M. Will, *Gravity: Newtonian, Post-Newtonian, Relativistic* (Cambridge University Press, 2014).
- [24] M. Coughlin and J. Harms, Upper Limit on a Stochastic Background of Gravitational Waves from Seismic Measurements in the Range 0.05-1 Hz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 101102 (2014).
- [25] M. Coughlin and J. Harms, Constraining the gravitational-wave energy density of the Universe in the range 0.1 Hz to 1 Hz using the Apollo Seismic Array, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 102001 (2014).
- [26] J. Anandan and R. Chiao, Gravitational radiation antennas using the Sagnac effect, *General Relativity and Gravitation* **14**, 515 (1982).
- [27] J. Frauendiener, Gravitational waves and the Sagnac effect, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **37**, 05LT01 (2020).
- [28] J. Nastula and R. Gross, Chandler wobble parameters from SLR and GRACE, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* **120**, 4474 (2015).
- [29] C. J. Moore, R. H. Cole, and C. P. L. Berry, Gravitational-wave sensitivity curves, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **32** (2015).
- [30] S. Rosat and S. B. Lambert, Free core nutation resonance parameters from VLBI and superconducting gravimeter data, *Astronomy and Astrophysics - A&A* **503**, 287 (2009).
- [31] W. Munk and G. MacDonald, *The Rotation of the Earth: a Geophysical Discussion* (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1960).
- [32] A. Love, *Some Problems of Geodynamics* (Cambridge University Press, 1911).
- [33] J. M. Wahr, Body tides on an elliptical, rotating, elastic and oceanless earth, *Geophysical Journal International* **64**, 677 (1981), <http://oup.prod.sis.lan/gji/article-pdf/64/3/677/1780435/64-3-677.pdf>.
- [34] T. Hinderer, Tidal love numbers of neutron stars, *The Astrophysical Journal* **677**, 1216 (2008).
- [35] A. Das, S. S. Dave, O. Ganguly, and A. M. Srivastava, Pulsars as Weber gravitational wave detectors, *Physics Letters B* **791**, 167 (2019).
- [36] K. Lambeck, *The Earth's Variable Rotation: Geophysical Causes and Consequences*, Cambridge Monographs on Mechanics (Cambridge University Press, 1980).
- [37] P. M. Mathews, T. A. Herring, and B. A. Buffett, Modeling of nutation and precession: New nutation series for nonrigid Earth and insights into the Earth's interior, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* **107**, ETG 3 (2002).
- [38] P. Defraigne and I. Smits, Length of day variations due to zonal tides for an inelastic earth in non-hydrostatic equilibrium, *Geophysical Journal International* **139**, 563 (1999).
- [39] C. Bizouard, I. Nurul Huda, Y. Ziegler, and S. Lambert, Frequency dependence of the polar motion resonance, *Geophysical Journal International* **220**, 753 (2020).
- [40] A. M. Dziewonski and D. L. Anderson, Preliminary reference Earth model, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* **25**, 297 (1981).

- 405 [41] G. Petit and B. Luzum, eds., IERS Conventions (2010) (IERS Technical Note 36) (Frankfurt am Main: Verlag des Bun-
406 desamts für Kartographie und Geodäsie, 2010).
- 407 [42] R. B. Hurst, M. Mayerbacher, A. Gebauer, K. U. Schreiber, and J.-P. R. Wells, High-accuracy absolute rotation rate
408 measurements with a large ring laser gyro: establishing the scale factor, *Appl. Opt.* **56**, 1124 (2017).
- 409 [43] K. U. Schreiber, T. Klügel, J.-P. R. Wells, R. B. Hurst, and A. Gebauer, How to Detect the Chandler and the Annual
410 Wobble of the Earth with a Large Ring Laser Gyroscope, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 173904 (2011).
- 411 [44] M. Karbon, B. Soja, T. Nilsson, Z. Deng, R. Heinkelmann, and H. Schuh, Earth orientation parameters from VLBI
412 determined with a Kalman filter, *Geodesy and Geodynamics* **8**, 396 (2017), *Geodesy, Astronomy and Geophysics in Earth*
413 *Rotation*.
- 414 [45] H. Dobslaw and R. Dill, Predicting Earth orientation changes from global forecasts of atmosphere-hydrosphere dynamics,
415 *Advances in Space Research* **61**, 1047 (2018).
- 416 [46] N. Gillet, D. Jault, and C. C. Finlay, Planetary gyre, time-dependent eddies, torsional waves, and equatorial jets at the
417 Earth's core surface, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* **120**, 3991 (2015).
- 418 [47] Bizouard, C., Remus, F., Lambert, S. B., Seoane, L., and Gambis, D., The Earth's variable Chandler wobble, *A&A* **526**,
419 A106 (2011).
- 420 [48] C. Bizouard, S. Lambert, C. Gattano, O. Becker, and J.-Y. Richard, The IERS EOP 14C04 solution for Earth orientation
421 parameters consistent with ITRF 2014, *Journal of Geodesy* **93**, 621 (2019).
- 422 [49] C. Bizouard, Geophysical Modelling of the Polar Motion (De Gruyter, Berlin, 2020).
- 423 [50] A. E. Sibois, S. D. Desai, W. Bertiger, and B. J. Haines, Analysis of decade-long time series of GPS-based polar motion
424 estimates at 15-min temporal resolution, *Journal of Geodesy* **91**, 965 (2017).
- 425 [51] R. Dill, H. Dobslaw, H. Hellmers, A. Kehm, M. Bloßfeld, M. Thomas, F. Seitz, D. Thaller, U. Hugentobler, and
426 E. Schönemann, Evaluating Processing Choices for the Geodetic Estimation of Earth Orientation Parameters With Nu-
427 merical Models of Global Geophysical Fluids, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* **125**, 10.1029/2020JB020025
428 (2020).
- 429 [52] J. M. Wahr, Deformation Induced by Polar Motion, *Journal of Geophysical Research* **90**, 9363 (1985).
- 430 [53] P. Amaro-Seoane, H. Audley, S. Babak, J. Baker, E. Barausse, P. Bender, E. Berti, P. Binétruy, M. Born, D. Bortoluzzi,
431 J. Camp, C. Caprini, V. Cardoso, M. Colpi, J. Conklin, N. Cornish, C. Cutler, K. Danzmann, R. Dolesi, L. Ferraioli,
432 V. Ferroni, E. Fitzsimons, J. Gair, L. Boté, D. Giardini, F. Gibert, C. Grimani, H. Halloin, G. Heinzl, T. Hertog,
433 M. Hewitson, K. Holley-Bockelmann, D. Hollington, M. Hueller, H. Inchauspe, P. Jetzer, N. Karnesis, C. Killow, A. Klein,
434 B. Klipstein, N. Korsakova, S. Larson, J. Livas, I. Lloro, N. Man, D. Mance, J. Martino, I. Mateos, K. McKenzie,
435 S. McWilliams, C. Miller, G. Mueller, G. Nardini, G. Nelemans, M. Nofrarías, A. Petiteau, P. Pivato, E. Plagnol, E. Porter,
436 J. Reiche, D. Robertson, N. Robertson, E. Rossi, G. Russano, B. Schutz, A. Sesana, D. Shoemaker, J. Slutsky, C. Sopuerta,
437 T. Sumner, N. Tamanini, I. Thorpe, M. Tröbs, M. Vallisneri, A. Vecchio, D. Vetrugno, S. Vitale, M. Volonteri, G. Wanner,
438 H. Ward, P. Wass, W. Weber, J. Ziemer, and P. Zweifel, *Laser interferometer space antenna* (2012).
- 439 [54] I. Longman, Computation of Love numbers and load deformation coefficients for a model Earth, *Geophys. J. R. Astron.*
440 *Soc.* **11**, 133 (1966).
- 441 [55] R. D. Ray, E. Richard J., and L. Frank G., Constraints on energy dissipation in the Earth's body tide from satellite
442 tracking and altimetry, *Geophys. J. Int.* **144**, 471 (2001).
- 443 [56] S. R. Dickman, Rotationally consistent Love numbers, *Geophysical Journal International* **161**, 31 (2005).
- 444 [57] G. Nelemans, L. R. Yungelson, and S. F. Portegies Zwart, The gravitational wave signal from the Galactic disk population
445 of binaries containing two compact objects, *Astronomy & Astrophysics* **375**, 890 (2001).
- 446 [58] M. Maggiore, Gravitational Waves: Volume 1: Theory and Experiments (Oxford University Press, 2007).