

Pitfalls in the Measurement of the True Dissolution Kinetics of Soft Minerals

Jean Colombani

► To cite this version:

Jean Colombani. Pitfalls in the Measurement of the True Dissolution Kinetics of Soft Minerals. Procedia Earth and Planetary Science, 2013, 7, pp.179-182. 10.1016/j.proeps.2013.03.128 . hal-03233578

HAL Id: hal-03233578 https://hal.science/hal-03233578

Submitted on 25 May 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Procedia Earth and Planetary Science

Procedia Earth and Planetary Science 7 (2013) 179 - 182

Water Rock Interaction [WRI 14]

Pitfalls in the measurement of the true dissolution kinetics of soft minerals

Jean Colombani*

Laboratoire de Physique de la Matière Condensée et Nanostructures, Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS UMR 5586, campus de la Doua, 69622 Villeurbanne, France

Abstract

The study of dissolution can face pitfalls at all length-scales, other phenomena being able to blur the investigated reaction. We present here two situations where an a posteriori analysis of the experimental results enables recovery of the true dissolution behavior free from external influences. In standard solution chemistry dissolution experiments, the measured dissolution rate is the result of a combination of the reaction kinetics and of mass transport due to the convection of the flowing solvent. We show here how to circumvent this mass transport contribution to recover the true dissolution rate constant. Moreover, in AFM dissolution experiments, the stress applied by the tip to the surface modifies the velocity of the atomic steps, the migration of which is at the origin of dissolution. We show here how to obtain stress-free, true dissolution velocities.

© 2013 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. Open access under CC BY-NC-ND license. Selection and/or peer-review under responsibility of the Organizing and Scientific Committee of WRI 14 – 2013

Keywords : dissolution; reaction kinetics; boundary layer; pressure solution; holographic interferometry; AFM.

1. Introduction

Among water-mineral interfacial processes, dissolution is present in countless problems: durability of mineral materials, management of nuclear wastes, sequestration of atmospheric CO_2 , pollution of drinking water, etc. In all these situations, the use of predictive models or the optimization of industrial processes requires the knowledge of values of the kinetic parameter of dissolution as accurate as possible. But the delicate nature of the measurement of dissolution rates has often led to satisfy oneself with orders of magnitude.

In a study of the influence of humidity on the mechanical aging of gypsum boards, the elucidation of the exact microscopic origin of the phenomenon has needed the knowledge of dissolution rate constants

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +33 4 72 44 85 70.

E-mail address: jean.colombani@univ-lyon1.fr.

with accuracy of the order of 20% [1]. In this context, we have searched for methodologies to remove parasitic phenomena from dissolution measurements.

These measurements are of two kinds:

- Usual dissolution measurements are performed with solution chemistry experiments. The working product dissolves in the stirred solvent, and the dissolution rate is deduced from the increase of the concentration in the vessel. With this technique, the measured reaction rate is blurred by the flowing of the solvent, inducing a hydrodynamic contribution to the rate.
- More recent techniques try to deduce the dissolution rate from atomic observations, the velocity of atomic steps at the dissolving surface or the normal retreat velocity of this surface. Atomic force microscopes are often used for these measurements and the influence of the force applied by the tip of the microscope to the surface is neglected, without proof.

In both cases, the access to the pure dissolution kinetics is made difficult by the presence of another field (fluid flow, mechanical stress), modifying the reaction kinetics. We detail below methods to get rid of these disturbances with the example of the dissolution of gypsum in water.

2. How to measure the pure dissolution kinetics in a stirred solvent?

In standard measurement of dissolution rates, as used in chemistry, geology, pharmaceutical science ... the mineral, usually in the form of powder, dissolves in a vessel where the solvent is flowing, or put in motion by a stirrer [2]. In the vicinity of the dissolving grain, a mass transport boundary layer develops, making the transition between the surface where the velocity of the fluid is zero, and the bulk flowing liquid. In this boundary layer, the dissolved solid migrates by Fickian diffusion from the interface toward the upper limit of the boundary layer, where it is mixed with the bulk liquid and eventually advected to the measurement device. Therefore, the dissolution rate, i.e., the velocity of increase of the concentration, results from the combination of the reaction rate at the solid-liquid interface, and of the diffusion speed in the boundary layer. The latter depends on the layer thickness, which in turn is a consequence of the geometry of the solid and of the stirring intensity.

As mentioned above, to gain accuracy in the knowledge of the dissolution rate constant of soft minerals, we have proposed a hydrodynamic analysis of the phenomenon that has led to an expression of the dissolution rate including the contributions of the chemical reaction and of the diffusion. Both factors can then be disentangled and the pure chemical reaction rate constant can be isolated, free from any mass transport contribution [3].

We have tested our model with all experimental dissolution rates of gypsum in water available in the literature. Our method requires the knowledge of the boundary layer thickness in the experiment. This quantity is generally not provided and we had to deduce it from the geometry of the device. The uncertainty of this quantity is therefore too large to compute a reliable value of the pure dissolution rate constant from one experimental dissolution rate. But all the existing devices show various boundary layer thicknesses. So computing one pure dissolution rate constant from all the experiments amounts to probe numerous boundary layer thicknesses, then lowering the uncertainty.

The value obtained from the literature with this method has been compared to the value measured with a non-standard measurement technique, holographic interferometry. This measurement has two advantages: it is carried out in quiescent water (so no hydrodynamics disturbs the phenomenon) and it permits to measure the concentration directly at the solid-liquid interface [4]. This experimental value agrees perfectly with the value deduced from the literature, thus validating our analysis.

This study has shown that the dissolution rate measured in standard solution chemistry experiments is blurred by mass transport phenomena. But it has also indicated a mean to get rid of these phenomena, thus giving access to the pure chemical reaction rate constant.

3. Are the AFM measurements of atomic step velocities reliable?

Atomic force microscopy constitutes a recent and promising way of investigating the kinetics and basic mechanisms of dissolution. For the moment, used in conjunction with other imagery techniques, it has enabled to get a clearer view of the fundamental features of this heterogeneous reaction (surface normal retreat, role of etch pits...) [5]. To make a link between molecular motions at the surface measured by AFM and macroscopic dissolution rates is still a delicate task and depends highly on the specificities of the mineral. But AFM may enable to evidence the mechanism by which an additive in the solvent modifies the atomic step migration and thereby the dissolution rate [6].

It has been postulated in these studies that the fact that the AFM tip presses and sweeps the surface does not modify its behavior during the chemical reaction. To check this a priori assumption, we have measured the velocity of [001] atomic steps migrating on the cleavage (010) surface of gypsum in water, contributing to the mass transfer from solid to liquid during dissolution. We have shown that this velocity is dependent on the value of the force exerted by the tip on the surface. The origin of this dependence lies in the fact that the applied stress modifies the chemical potential of the solid, which in turn changes its solubility, so its dissolution rate and step velocity. The experimental and theoretical force-velocity curves agree well, confirming our assumption [7].



Fig. 1. Velocity of the [001] atomic steps vs force applied by the AFM tip, measured during the dissolution of the cleavage face of gypsum in an undersaturated aqueous solution of dissolved gypsum. The curve is a fit of experimental values.

4. Conclusion

The measurement of reliable dissolution rates is an unavoidable step in the understanding of many natural and industrial situations. We have shown here that some pitfalls can be encountered during these measurements, in standard solution chemistry experiments, as much as in AFM observations. In both cases, we have proposed a theoretical analysis enabling to get rid from the disturbing phenomena and to recover the pure dissolution behavior. In the first case, we have applied a hydrodynamic analysis to the dissolution rate results to remove the influence of the fluid flow, and then give access to the pure dissolution rate constant. In the second case, we have performed a thermo-mechanical analysis of the atomic step velocity results, to remove the influence of the stress applied by the tip, and then compute the step velocity in a stress-free situation.

References

- Pachon-Rodriguez AE, Guillon E, Houvenaghel G, Colombani J. Pressure solution as origin of the humid creep of a mineral material. *Phys Rev E* 2011; 84: 066121.
- [2] Bai G, Armenante PM. Hydrodynamic, mass transfer, and dissolution effects induced by tablet location during dissolution testing. J Pharmac Sci 2009; 98: 1511-31.
- [3] Colombani J. Measurement of the pure dissolution rate constant of a mineral in water. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 2008; **72**: 5634-40.
- [4] Colombani J, Bert J. Holographic interferometry study of the dissolution and diffusion of gypsum in water. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 2007; **71**: 1913-20.
- [5] Vinson MD, Luttge A. Multiple length-scale kinetics: an integrated study of calcite dissolution rates and strontium inhibition. *Am Sci J* 2005; **305**: 119-46.
- [6] Hall C, Cullen DC. Scanning force microscopy of gypsum dissolution and crystal growth. AIChE J 1996; 42: 232-8.
- [7] Pachon-Rodriguez AE, Piednoir A, Colombani J. Pressure solution at the molecular scale. Phys Rev Lett 2011; 107: 146102.