

The course of bipolar disorder as a function of the presence and sequence of onset of comorbid alcohol use disorders in outpatients attending the Fondamental Advanced Centres of Expertise

Romain Icick, Sébastien Gard, Katia M'Bailara, Isabelle Biseul, Ludovic Samalin, Georges Brousse, Valentin Flaudias, Pierre Michel Llorca, Joséphine Loftus, Iréna Cussac, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Romain Icick, Sébastien Gard, Katia M'Bailara, Isabelle Biseul, Ludovic Samalin, et al.. The course of bipolar disorder as a function of the presence and sequence of onset of comorbid alcohol use disorders in outpatients attending the Fondamental Advanced Centres of Expertise. Journal of Affective Disorders, 2021, 287, pp.196-203. 10.1016/j.jad.2021.03.041. hal-03230874

HAL Id: hal-03230874

https://hal.science/hal-03230874

Submitted on 24 Apr 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



The course of bipolar disorder as a function of the presence and sequence of onset of

comorbid alcohol use disorders in outpatients attending the Fondamental Advanced

3 Centres of Expertise

- 4 Romain Icick^{1,2,3*}, Sébastien Gard^{1,4}, Katia M'Bailara^{1,4}, Isabelle Biseul^{1,2}, Ludovic Samalin^{1,5}, Georges
- 5 Brousse^{1,5}, Valentin Flaudias^{1,5}, Pierre-Michel Llorca^{1,5}, Joséphine Loftus^{1,6}, Iréna Cussac^{1,6}, Valérie
- 6 Aubin^{1,6}, Raymund Schwan⁷, Paul Roux^{1,8}, Mircea Polosan^{1,9}, Philippe Courtet^{1,10}, Emilie Olié^{1,10},
- 7 Chantal Henry¹¹, Nicolas Mazer^{1,12}, Emmanuel Haffen^{1,13}, Bruno Etain^{1,2,3}, Marion Leboyer^{1,14}, Frank
- 8 Bellivier^{1,2,3}, Raoul Belzeaux^{1,15}, Ophélia Godin^{1,14}, Sébastien Guillaume^{1,10}, The BIPADD group of FACE,
- 9 FondaMental Advanced Centres of Expertise in Bipolar Disorders (FACE-BD) Collaborators.
- 11 ¹Fondation Fondamental, Créteil, France.
- 12 ²AP-HP, GH Saint-Louis Lariboisière Fernand Widal, DMU Neurosciences, Département de
- 13 psychiatrie et de Médecine Addictologique, Paris, France. Fédération Hospitalo-Universitaire 'FHU
- 14 NOR-SUD'.

1

2

- ³Université de Paris, UMR-S 1144, Paris, France.
- 16 ⁴Hôpital Charles Perrens, Centre Expert Trouble Bipolaire, Pôle de Psychiatrie Générale et
- 17 Universitaire (3/4/7), Bordeaux, France.
- 18 ⁵Department of Psychiatry, CHU Clermont-Ferrand, University of Clermont Auvergne, EA 7280,
- 19 Clermont-Ferrand, France;
- ⁶Pôle de Psychiatrie, Centre Hospitalier Princesse Grace, Monaco.
- ⁷Université de Lorraine, Inserm U1114, Centre Psychothérapique de Nancy, Nancy, France.
- ⁸Service Universitaire de Psychiatrie d'Adultes et d'Addictologie, Centre Hospitalier de Versailles, Le
- 23 Chesnay, Université Paris-Saclay, UVSQ, Inserm, CESP, Team "DevPsy", 94807, Villejuif, France.
- ⁹Université Grenoble Alpes, CHU de Grenoble et des Alpes, Grenoble Institut des Neurosciences (GIN)
- 25 Inserm U 1216, Grenoble, France.
- 26 ¹⁰Department of Emergency Psychiatry and Acute Care, Lapeyronie Hospital, CHU Montpellier,
- 27 Montpellier, France; PSNREC, Univ Montpellier, INSERM, CHU Montpellier, Montpellier, France.
- 28 ¹¹Department of Psychiatry, Service Hospitalo-Universitaire, GHU Paris Psychiatrie & Neurosciences,
- 29 F-75014, Paris, France.
- 30 ¹²AP-HP, Groupe Hospitalo-universitaire Nord, DMU ESPRIT, service de Psychiatrie et Addictologie.
- 31 Hopital Louis Mourier, Colombes, Inserm U1266, Faculté de médecine, Université de Paris, France.
- 32 ¹³ Service de Psychiatrie de l'Adulte, Centres Experts FondaMental, Centre Investigation Clinique
- 33 1431-INSERM, EA 481 Neurosciences, Université de Franche Comté, Besançon, France.
- 34 ¹⁴Paris Est Créteil, Inserm U955, IMRB, Laboratoire Neuro-Psychiatrie translationnelle, F-94010,
- 35 Créteil, France AP-HP, HU Henri Mondor, Département Medico-Universitaire de Psychiatrie et
- 36 d'Addictologie (DMU ADAPT), Fédération Hospitalo-Universitaire de Médecine de Precision (FHU
- 37 IMPACT) F-94010, France.
- 38 ¹⁵Pôle de Psychiatrie, Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Marseille, Marseille, France, INT-UMR7289,
- 39 CNRS Aix-Marseille Université, Marseille, France.
- 40 *Corresponding author: romain.icick@aphp.fr. CSAPA « Espace Murger », Département de
- 41 Psychiatrie et de Médecine Addictologique, Hôpital Fernand Widal, 200 rue du Faubourg Saint-Denis,
- 42 75010 Paris, France. Tel: +33140054275 / Fax: +33140054213.

Abstract

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

Objectives: The comorbidity of alcohol use disorder (AUD) and bipolar disorder (BD) has been repeatedly associated with poorer clinical outcomes than BD without AUD. We aimed to extend these findings by focusing on the characteristics associated with the sequence of onset of BD and AUD. Methods: 3,027 outpatients from the Fondamental Advanced Centres of Expertise were ascertained for BD-1, BD-2 and AUD diagnoses, including their respective ages at onset (AAOs, N = 2,804). We selected the variables associated with both the presence and sequence of onset of comorbid AUD using bivariate analyses corrected for multiple testing to enter a binary regression model with the sequence of onset of BD and AUD as the dependent variable (AUD first - which also included 88 same-year onsets, vs. BD first). Results: BD patients with comorbid AUD showed more severe clinical profile than those without. Compared to BD-AUD (N =269), AUD-BD (N =276) was independently associated with a higher AAO of BD (OR =1.1, p < 0.001), increased prevalence of comorbid cannabis use disorder (OR = 2.8, p < 0.001) a higher number of (hypo)manic/mixed BD episodes per year of bipolar illness (OR =3, p <0.01). Limitations: The transversal design prevents from drawing causal conclusions. Conclusion: Increased severity of BD with AUD compared to BD alone did not differ according to the sequence of onset. A few differences, though, could be used to better monitor the trajectory of patients showing either one of these disorders.

61

62

Keywords: bipolar disorder; alcohol use disorder; dual diagnosis; comorbidity; sequence of onset; clinical trajectory

64

63

65

1. Introduction

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

Bipolar disorder (BD) is a chronic and debilitating mental illness that affects ~2% of the population worldwide (McIntyre et al., 2020). It is the 16th leading cause of disability (Ferrari et al., 2016) and is associated with ~10 years of life lost (Ferrari et al., 2016). Alcohol use disorders (AUDs) are the second most common addiction found in BD individuals after tobacco use disorders (Blanco et al., 2017). BD increases the incidence of AUD as soon as during adolescence (Birmaher et al., 2014), and individuals with AUD also show increased incidence of BD (Bukh et al., 2016). According to numerous studies on the comorbidity between BD and AUD, this condition is overall associated with poorer clinical outcomes than BD alone, especially related to a more severe course of bipolar illness. More precisely, the presence of comorbid AUD has been associated with increased risk of attempted and completed suicide (SA) (Østergaard et al., 2017), increased number of depressive episodes (Janiri et al., 2017), delayed remission from mood episodes (Farren et al., 2013) and increased affective lability (Lagerberg et al., 2017). A common clinical assumption is that people with BD drink alcohol to self-medicate anxiety (Baigent, 2012), depressive symptoms (Meyer et al., 2012), irritability during mixed episodes (Maremmani et al., 2012) and promote sleep (Lorberg et al., 2010), but also to further increase mood during (hypo)manic (Meyer et al., 2012). The few longitudinal studies that have been conducted so far have confirmed that the recovery from mood episodes is slower in BD-AUD than in BD alone (Gold et al., 2018) and that AUD are preferentially associated with bipolar depressive episodes (Simhandl et al., 2015), but rather argue against the selfmedication hypothesis in showing that increased alcohol use often precedes BD relapses (Baethge et al., 2008; Strakowski et al., 2005). Interestingly in the study from Strakowski et al., BD patients for whom AUD preceded BD had a quicker recovery from acute mood

episodes than both the BD-AUD and the no AUD groups, highlighting the importance of the sequence of onset of BD and AUD in BD-AUD. A larger transversal study of 1090 BD-type 1 patients recruited after a manic episode (Azorin et al., 2017) reported that the group with AUD beginning before BD (AUD-BD) showed higher rates of comorbid non-psychiatric, anxiety and addictive disorders with a more frequent depressive polarity at onset than the group where BD occurred before AUD (BD-AUD). The AUD-BD group was further characterized by an irritable temperament. The observed impact of lifetime AUD and its sequence of onset with BD on suicidal risk may be related to factors modified by the comorbidity of AUD in BD (Hunt et al., 2016), such as gender, bipolar subtype, impulsiveness (Etain et al., 2013), affective lability (Ducasse et al., 2017), childhood trauma (Agnew-Blais and Danese, 2016), other comorbid addictions, or decreased adherence to medication (Belzeaux et al., 2015). Extending the findings regarding the relative onsets of BD and AUD using a large, representative and extensively characterized BD sample could further our understanding of comorbid patients' trajectories. This would pave the way to develop targeted prevention strategies aimed at individuals with either BD or AUD, who are at higher risk of developing the comorbid disorder. In order to identify risk factors differentiating bipolar disorder with vs. without alcohol use disorder as a function of their sequence of onset, we analysed data from 2,804 outpatients enrolled in the French network Fondamental Advanced Centres of Expertise - Bipolar Disorders (FACE-BD). We hypothesized (i) that the presence of alcohol use disorder would be associated with more severe clinical profiles of bipolar disorder compared to bipolar disorder without alcohol use disorder but that (ii) several of these risk factors would depend on the sequence of onset between bipolar disorder and alcohol use disorder.

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

2. Material and methods

2.1. Clinical sample

De-identified patient-level data from the FACE-BD national network were used for the current study. The study was carried out in accordance with ethical principles for medical research involving humans (WMA, Declaration of Helsinki). The assessment protocol was approved by the relevant ethical review board (CPP-IIe de France IX, January 18th, 2010). Although the committee waived the requirement for written informed consent, the patients received a letter informing them of the study and asking whether they agreed to participate. Briefly, patients with difficult-to-treat or difficult-to-diagnose BD are referred to one of the 12 centres of the network by general practitioners or psychiatrists. Once BD is ascertained, patients undergo a two-days evaluation of their sociodemographic and clinical history by trained nurses, psychologists and M.D.s in order to provide the treating physician and the patient with adequate feedback regarding personalized therapeutic/diagnostic guidance. The FACE-BD network procedures have been described in details elsewhere (Henry et al., 2011). For the current study, we analysed data from 3,027 outpatients with ascertained BD type 1 or 2; 2,804 of whom had available AUD diagnosis and AAOs of both BD and AUD, enrolled between 2009 and August 2020 (date of data extraction from the centralized data management system). We focused on subsamples according to the presence and sequence of onset of BD and AUD: "no AUD", "BD-AUD" or "AUD-BD". The "no AUD" group was defined by the absence of lifetime AUD, the "BD-AUD" group by BD preceding AUD, the "AUD-BD" by AUD preceding BD and the "BAUD" group by both disorders occurring the same year.

2.2. Assessments

Throughout the manuscript, the term substance use disorder (SUD) will refer to the presence of abuse or dependence according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental disorders - fourth edition, text revised (DSM-IV, TR) criteria (American Psychiatric Association, 2000). Data regarding age, gender, educational attainment (years since elementary school) and current living arrangement (living with partner, yes/no) and employment status (currently employed >50%, yes/no) were selected for the current study. History of BD and psychiatric comorbidity, including SUDs, was collected by the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM, axis I of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders, fourth edition (SCID-IV) (Kübler, 2013), which has shown fair to good reliability in SUD samples (Kranzler et al., 1996). Age at onset (AAO) of BD was defined as the age, at which participants reported having their first major mood episode, which was further used to compute BD duration (age at interview - AAO BD). AAO of AUD was considered the age, at which participants first fullfilled DSM-IV criteria for AUD. Polarity at onset referred to the same first BD episode, further dichotomized into "up" ((hypo)manic or mixed] vs. "down" (depressive) categories. The number of mood episodes was also collected, dichotomized on the same basis, and used as a rate per year (density of episodes). The presence of psychotic symptoms during any mood episode occurring during the course of BD (hereafter termed "history of psychosis") was also collected. Comorbid anxiety disorders, tobacco smoking status (never, former and current smokers) and SUDs were considered on a lifetime basis. Disorders related to cocaine and other stimulants were pooled into a "Stimulant use disorder" (StimUD) variable if any was present. Current functioning was assessed by the Functional Assessment Short Test (FAST) (Rosa et al., 2007) that was shown to reliably assess daily impairment in BD individuals. It consists of a composite measure of six specific areas of functioning, of which we retained the total

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

score and the cognitive functioning subscale, due to the well-established links between AUD and cognitive impairment in the general population (Koch et al., 2019). Higher scores indicate poorer functioning. Current depressive symptoms were assessed with the Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) (Montgomery and Asberg, 1979) and current manic symptoms were measured with the Young Mania Rating Scale (YMRS) (Young et al., 1978). Non-psychiatric medical history was collected as free text completed by standardized questions about the main categories of diseases. For the current study, we used dummy variables "any vs. none" non-psychiatric conditions from the following categories: any, cardiovascular (hypertension, infarction, coronary disease, arrhythmia, other), digestive (liver cirrhosis, gastric ulcer, drug hepatitis) conditions and dyslipidemia. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kG) / height (M²) and waist circumference was measured in centimeters as a marker of abdominal obesity, following a standard procedure ("WHO | Waist circumference and waist-hip ratio," 2011). Both were actually measured at the baseline visit. Trait impulsiveness was measured using the Baratt Impulsiveness Scale - 10th version, BIS10 and affective lability by the Affective lability scale, ALS (Baylé et al., 2000). Childhood trauma was assessed by the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire - short form, CTQ (Bernstein et al., 2003), using only the total score in order to limit multiple testing and since CTQ was not the main focus of the study. These tools have been validated in French (Baylé et al., 2000; Henry et al., 2008; Paquette et al., 2004) and have been widely used in BD (Daruy-Filho et al., 2011; Etain et al., 2013; Lagerberg et al., 2017). Internal consistency was fair to good for the measurement scales, with standardized Cronbach's α =0.68 for MADRS, 0.72 for YMRS, 0.63 for BIS10, 0.61 for the FAST, 0.68 for the CTQ and 0.57 for ALS.

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

2.3. Statistical analyses

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

Continuous variables are described by medians (interquartile range), given that most had non-gaussian distributions (Shapiro-Wilk test p-value < 0.05). Categorical data are described by counts (frequency). Firstly, bivariate analyses were used to investigate associations between the collected variables and the presence of lifetime AUD, using Mann-Whitney U for continuous data or Chi² for categorical data. This was used to select the variables to test with the sequence of onset of BD and AUD using bivariate analyses. Secondly, we investigated associations of the collected variables and the sequences of onset of BD and AUD, i.e., BD first vs. AUD first. We used Mann-Withney for continuous data or Chi² for categorical data. Finally, a binary logistic regression model was ran with the variables associated with the BD and AUD onset variable showing significance in both the bivariate analyses. The regression model included a random term accounting for centre of inclusion (12 sites). As a sensitivity analysis aimed at checking whether BD and AUD occuring the same year better explained the results than our binary sequence variable, we also ran a multinomial regression comparing BD first vs. AUD first vs. same year onsets with the predictors identified in bivariate analysis. For bivariate analyses, statistical significance was set at (i) p < 0.05/36 = 0.001389 (BD with vs. without AUD) and (ii) p < 0.05/number of variables associated with the presence of AUD/2. Raw p-values are shown in all the tables, with significace after Bonferroni correction indicated by*. Multicollinearity was assessed by computing the variance inflation factor (VIF) of each independent variable. A VIF above the "balanced" threshold of 2.5 is problematic and, in that case, the model was run again without the variable, until all VIF values became satisfactory. R version 4.0.2 (R Core Team, 2020) through R studio version 1.3.1093 were used to compute statistics under Mac OS X.14.6 system. The R session summary is available as a supplementary online file.

3. Results

The sample was composed of 3,027 outpatients with bipolar disorder. Comorbid AUD was diagnosed in 768 (25%) patient. Mean age of the sample was 41 years (SD =13). There were 1,727 (62%) women and 1,351 (48%) patients with BD2 subtype. Other lifetime SUDs were distributed as follows: 600 cannabis use disorders (CUD, 19%), 141 sedative use disorder (SedUD, 5%) and 171 StimUD (6%). There were 545 patients with reliable data on BD and AUD AAOs, including 269 (49%) with bipolar disorder first and AUD in second, 276 (51%) with AUD first and bipolar in second (of which 88 developed BD and AUD the same year), leaving a total sample for the sequence of onset study of 2,804 patients.

3.1. Risk factors of comorbid AUD compared to BD without AUD, regardless of their sequence of onset (Table 1)

BD+AUD participants were more likely to be men (48% vs. 36%, p <0.001), with earlier AAO of BD (median =20 vs. 21, p <0.001), higher prevalence of lifetime SA (48% vs. 35%, p <0.001) and of past-year mood episode (84% vs. 78%, p <0.001) but lower prevalence of psychosis history (32% vs. 40%, p <0.001). They also showed increased current mood symptoms according to both the MADRS (median =10 vs. 7) and the YMRS (median = 1 vs. 0) (both p <0.001), with poorer functioning according to FAST total (median =23 vs. 18, p <0.001) and cognition (mean =4.1 vs. 3.7, p =0.004) scores. They also had a larger waist circumference (median =93 vs. 91, p <0.001) despite similar BMI (p =0.511). They scored higher regarding BIS10 (median =71 vs. 65), ALS (mean =1.5 vs. 1.2) and CTQ (median =20 vs. 21) total scores (all p <0.001). Finally, they exhibited higher rates of comorbid anxiety disorders (53% vs. 41%, p <0.001) and lifetime SUDs (all p <0.001): tobacco smoking (66% vs. 40%), sedUD (12% vs. 2%), CUD (41% vs. 13%) and stimUD (16% vs. 2%).

1	1	_
,	~	ר
_	J	J

236	Table 1 about here
230	Table 1 about here

3.2. Factors associated with the sequence of onset of BD and AUD in comorbidpatients (Table 2)

There were 21 significant associations with the presence of comorbid AUD. Thus, the threshold for significance in the "sequence" analysis was 0.05/24 = 0.00208. When compared to the BD-AUD group, AUD-BD patients in the had a higher AAO of BD (21 vs. 18, p <0.0001) but lower AAO of AUD (18 vs. 27, p <0.0001) and lower ALS score (1 vs. 2, p <0.0001). They also reported a higher rate of "up" episodes per year of bipolar illness (0.45 vs. 0.32, p <0.0001) and increased prevalence of CUD (49% vs. 34%, p <0.0001).

247 Table 2 about here

3.3. Binary logistic regression with the BD-AUD vs. AUD-BD groups as the dependent variable (Figure 1)

In the fully adjusted regression model, the sequence of onset of BD and AUD remained independently associated with AAO of BD, which was lower in BD-AUD vs. AUD-BD patients (OR =0.92, 95%% CI =0.91-0.96, p =0.00002), with the number of "up" BD episodes per year of bipolar illness, which were less frequent in BD-AUD vs. AUD-BD (OR =0.3, 95% CI =0.22-0.8, p =0.01614), with increased prevalence of past-year mood episode (OR =2, p =0.04) and with the presence of CUD, which was less frequent in BD-AUD vs. AUD-BD patients (OR =0.39, 95% CI =0.23-0.62, p =0.00024). The model was performed with 301 cases, yielding

area under curve (AUC) =0.73 (receiver operator curves are available by request from the authors). There was no evidence of multicollinearity (maximum VIF =1.1 for CUD).

and AUD in BD patients with a separate group for those, who began BD and AUD during the same year (Supplementary Table 1 & Supplementary Figure 1)

In the multinomial regression model, the significant results from the binary regression remained overall similar as regards the comparison between BD-AUD and AUD-BD patients, except that ALS score was lower in the AUD-BD group and that the rate of (hypo)manic episodes/year of BD in patients, who developed BD and AUD during the same year, appeared preferentially higher than in the BD first group (noticing that the difference with

Figure 1 about here

4. Discussion

the AUD-BD group yielded a p-value =0.06).

Using extensive data obtained through the comprehensive assessment of a large sample of patients enrolled in the French national FACE-BD network, we first confirmed prior findings that the comorbidity of BD with AUD was associated with a wide range of factors indicating increased BD severity when compared to BD alone. A closer look at the sequence of onset between BD and AUD did not alter this global impression of increased severity. However, having AUD onset prior to BD onset in patients with BD+AUD comorbidity was independently associated with a later AAO of BD, higher rate of "up" episodes per year of bipolar illness and a increased prevalence of cannabis use disorder, as compared to having

BD onset prior to AUD onset. To our knowledge, this is the largest published study to date assessing the risk factors of the relative onset of BD and AUD. We relied on standardized and extensive assessment to eventually perform a fully-adjusted regression model. In particular, BD and AUD diagnoses were properly ascertained and we could estimate the effects of key clinical variables, including non-psychiatric comorbidity. The regression model had strong prediction ability power (AUC =0.73) and confidence intervals for odds ratios were overall narrow, indicating good reliability. The first - and probably most expected - main finding was that patients with comorbid BD and AUD had a more severe clinical profile across most of the majors areas known to impact daily functioning and prognosis in BD, namely: current symptoms load, comorbid anxiety disorders and SUD, impulsiveness and affective lability, childhood trauma. This extends on prior literature emphasizing the poor clinical outcomes of individuals with BD and comorbid AUD (Simhandl et al., 2015) and further highlights the need to design targeted and more intensive care for patients with comorbid BD and AUD (Jones et al., 2019). In the current study, we further aimed to characterize these patterns as a function of the sequence of onset of BD and AUD in order to identify more clinically meaningful trajectories that the sole increased severity of the comorbid condition. Firstly, compared to AUD-BD, BD-AUD remained independently associated with an earlier AAO of BD. Individuals with prodromal stages of BD likely drink alcohol prior to AUD diagnosis, attempting to selfregulate prodromal mood symptoms but thereby increasing their risk for first depressive episode (Turner et al., 2018). Since this episode will represent the index episode for dating the beginning of BD, this could lead to both an earlier AAO of BD and increased incidence of later AUD, the latter being associated with increased risk of conversion from unipolar depression to BD (Musliner and Østergaard, 2018). Importantly, this particular finding has to

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

be interpreted in light of the respective ages at onset (AAOs) of BD and AUD in noncomorbid individuals. AAO of BD usually stands around 20 years (Hasin and Grant, 2015), while that of DSM-5 AUD is ~25 . In our sample, the median AAO of BD was 21 years old in the AUD-BD group, which remained in the range of usual AAO of BD and supports the fact that our findings related to the comparison of the BD-AUD and AUD-BD groups reflect at least partially genuine trajectories of the comorbid BD+AUD condition. In the BD-AUD group, AAO of BD was particularly early and that, in the AUD-BD group, AAO of AUD was early as well. Therefore, the characteristics of these trajectories is likely to have been driven by the earlier AAO of the disorder, which began first. In the largest previous study on this issue, Azorin et al. also reported that BD AAO was higher in AUD-BD than in BD-AUD patients (Azorin et al., 2017). Secondly, BD-AUD was also independently associated with a lower number of "up" episodes per year of illness and a lower prevalence of cannabis use disorder. We believe that this reflects previously-reported associations between AUD and increased risk for depressive episodes in BD (Janiri et al., 2017), whereas cannabis use and CUD have been linked to "up" episodes (Lagerberg et al., 2016). In our sample, this is further supported by the fact that the higher prevalence of past-year BD episodes (which shortly failed to reach significance in the sequence analysis, Table 2) in BD first patients was driven by depressive episodes (data not shown) and by a significant association between the presence of CUD and a higher rate of "up" episodes (median =0.29 vs. 0.2, p < 0.001). Similar to our sample, there are also reports that "AUD first" BD patients have increased prevalence of CUD (Hunt et al., 2016) compared to BD first cases. In our sample, almost half (49%) of the AUD-BD patients had comorbid CUD, that is, three comorbid SUDs if tobacco use disorder is included. Our tentative interpretation is therefore that BD-AUD patients showing reduced prevalence of "up"

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

episodes due to lower prevalence of CUD. However, there was no difference between BD with or without AUD regarding the rate of depressive episodes in our sample, so that additional factors may be involved in these findings, warranting further investigation. Interestingly, male gender was associated with the comorbid BD+AUD condition compared to BD alone, regardless of the sequence of onset. A reduction in gender differences for comorbid AUDs amongst individuals with BD had yet been previously evidenced (Frye et al., 2003). The lack of association between BD-AUD sequences of onset and comorbid anxiety disorder was unexpected. However, when investigating this issue in light of digestive system disorders and dyslipidemia, we found that the former was almost twice as frequent in cases with a positive history of anxiety disorders compared to those without (6% vs. 4%, p < 0.001). These interactions should be investigated in a near future. Finally, the sensitivity analysis aimed at estimating how the "same year" onset category of the sequence variable could have biased our results elicited similar patterns of association as with the dummy sequence variable (supplementary Table and Figure 1). However, a strong separate difference emerged regarding the rate of (hypo)manic episodes per year of bipolar illness, which was especially higher in the "same year" compared to the BD-AUD group. The speed of transition between the disorders could shed further light on these associations. Limitations

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

Our findings must be interpreted in light of several limitations. Data regarding lifetime events were retrospective, thus subject to recall or classification bias. Recent substance use was not included in our analyses, and excessive alcohol use - whether AUD is present or not has also been shown to alter BD course (Gordon-Smith et al., 2020). We merged the category of BD and AUD onset when they occurred the same year with the BD-AUD category, expecting that keeping all three categories would yield too small subgroups for

adequate statistical power. Overall, the prevalence of comorbid SUDs is rather lower in the FACE-BD sample than in a recent meta-analysis of BD-AUD in clinical samples (Hunt et al., 2016). We believe this might be due to the FACE-BD network selection bias that is both due to how patients are referred to the network and to the euthymia criterion – a state that is less frequent in BD comorbid with SUDs than without - that is applied so that patients are able to undergo all assessments, which include full neuropsychological testing. The crosssectional nature of the study meant that we could not address issues of cause and effect. Both the presence and the sequence of onset of the comorbidity between BD and AUD, showed a wide range of associations with important clinical factors indicating increased severity in comorbid patients. For most these factors, the sequence of onset of the disorders was not associated with different association patterns, suggesting that AUD comorbidity in BD has such a devastating effect on illness course that it overcomes the potential effect of its sequence of onset with BD. Having a close look still highlighted that the sequence of onset of BD and AUD had a few, yet relevant clinical implications with regards to AAO of BD, gender, risk of (hypo)manic episodes and comorbidity with CUD. Importantly, our results overall argue against previous findings that BD occurring after SUDs in general could represent a less severe illness presentation as compared to BD alone (Pacchiarotti et al., 2009) (although this may stand for substances, other than alcohol). This should encourage clinicians to consider intensive care for all BD patients with AUD without focusing much on their sequence of onset. Likewise, when considering young alcohol-using BD individuals, who may not have developed AUD yet, full abstinence from alcohol (and possibly other addictive substances as well) should be discussed during the stabilization phase of BD treatment. Similar strategies should also be discussed in order to reduce the risk of developing BD in alcohol-using individuals with prodromal BDsymptoms.

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

Acknowledgements

The FondaMental Foundation (www-fondation-fondamental.org) promotes scientific cooperation in mental health and that is developing a new model for translational research in psychiatry in France. The FondaMental Foundation supports the infrastructure of Centres of Expertise in BD. The authors also thank Hakim Laouamri, and his team (Seif Ben Salem, Karmène Souyris, Victor Barteau, Stephane Beaufort and Mohamed Laaidi) for the development of the FACE-BD computer interface (eBipolar©), data management, quality control and regulatory aspects.

Collaborators

- The BIPolar-ADDiction group (BIPADD) of the Fondamental Advanced Centres of Expertise in Bipolar Disorders (FACE-BD): France; Sébastien Gard and Katia M'Bailara Bordeaux; Valentin Flaudias, Ludovic Samalin and Georges Brousse Clermont-Ferrand; Alix de Prémorel, Nicolas Mazer, Caroline Dubertret and Yann Le Strat- Colombes; Ophélia Godin, Créteil; Sébastien Guillaume Montpellier, Raoul Belzeaux Marseille; Romain Icick and Isabelle Biseul Paris; Valérie Aubin and Joséphine Loftus Monaco.
- FACE-BD Collaborators: B Etain, C Henry, E Olié, M Leboyer, E Haffen, P M Llorca, V Barteau, S Bensalem, O Godin, H Laouamri, K Souryis, H Mondor, S Hotier, A Pelletier, N Drancourt, J P Sanchez, E Saliou, C Hebbache, J Petrucci, L Willaume, E Bourdin, F Bellivier, M Carminati, J Meheust, E Marlinge, M Meyrel, B Antoniol, A Desage, S Gard, A Jutant, K Mbailara, I Minois, L Zanouy, L Bardin, A Cazals, P Courtet, B Deffinis, D Ducasse, M Gachet, A Henrion, F Molière, B Noisette, E Olié, G Tarquini, R Belzeaux, N Correard, F Groppi, A Lefrere, L Lescalier, E Moreau, J Pastol, M Rebattu, B Roux, N Viglianese, R Cohen, Raymund Schwan, Thomas Schwitzer, Dr Grégory Gross, T Bougerol, B Fredembach, A Suisse, B Halili, A Pouchon, M Polosan, A M Galliot, I Grévin, A S Cannavo, N Kayser, C Passerieux, , V Aubin, I

401 Cussac, M A Dupont, J Loftus, I Médecin, C Dubertret, N Mazer, C Portalier, C Scognamiglio,

402 A Bing

Funding sources

This research was supported by the Foundation FondaMental, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Paris (AP-HP), and by the Investissements d'Avenir program managed by the Agence National de la Recherche (ANR) under reference ANR-11-IDEX-0004-02 and ANR-10-COHO-10-01. The funding sources had no role in the study design, data collection, analysis, preparation of the manuscript, or decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

411 References

426

427

428

429

430

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

- 412 Agnew-Blais, J., Danese, A., 2016. Childhood maltreatment and unfavourable clinical
 413 outcomes in bipolar disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet
 414 Psychiatry 3, 342–349. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(15)00544-1
- American Psychiatric Association, 2000. The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental
 Disorders, Fourth Edition-Text Revised (DSM IV-TR). American Psychiatric Association,
 Washington, DC.
- Azorin, J.-M., Perret, L.C., Fakra, E., Tassy, S., Simon, N., Adida, M., Belzeaux, R., 2017.
 Alcohol use and bipolar disorders: Risk factors associated with their co-occurrence
 and sequence of onsets. Drug Alcohol Depend 179, 205–212.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2017.07.005
- Baethge, C., Hennen, J., Khalsa, H.-M.K., Salvatore, P., Tohen, M., Baldessarini, R.J., 2008.
 Sequencing of substance use and affective morbidity in 166 first-episode bipolar I disorder patients. Bipolar Disorders 10, 738–741. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-5618.2007.00575.x
 - Baigent, M., 2012. Managing patients with dual diagnosis in psychiatric practice. Curr Opin Psychiatry 25, 201–205. https://doi.org/10.1097/YCO.0b013e3283523d3d
 - Baylé, F.J., Bourdel, M.C., Caci, H., Gorwood, P., Chignon, J.M., Adés, J., Lôo, H., 2000. [Factor analysis of french translation of the Barratt impulsivity scale (BIS-10)]. Can J Psychiatry 45, 156–165. https://doi.org/10.1177/070674370004500206
- Belzeaux, R., Boyer, L., Mazzola-Pomietto, P., Michel, P., Correard, N., Aubin, V., Bellivier, F.,
 Bougerol, T., Olie, E., Courtet, P., Etain, B., Gard, S., Kahn, J.-P., Passerieux, C.,
 Leboyer, M., Henry, C., Azorin, J.-M., French Advanced Centers of Expertise for
 Bipolar Disorders Collaborators, 2015. Adherence to medication is associated with
 non-planning impulsivity in euthymic bipolar disorder patients. J Affect Disord 184,
 60–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2015.05.041
 - Bernstein, D.P., Stein, J.A., Newcomb, M.D., Walker, E., Pogge, D., Ahluvalia, T., Stokes, J., Handelsman, L., Medrano, M., Desmond, D., Zule, W., 2003. Development and validation of a brief screening version of the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire. Child Abuse Negl 27, 169–190. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0145-2134(02)00541-0
 - Birmaher, B., Gill, M.K., Axelson, D.A., Goldstein, B.I., Goldstein, T.R., Yu, H., Liao, F., Iyengar, S., Diler, R.S., Strober, M., Hower, H., Yen, S., Hunt, J., Merranko, J.A., Ryan, N.D., Keller, M.B., 2014. Longitudinal Trajectories and Associated Baseline Predictors in Youths With Bipolar Spectrum Disorders. AJP 171, 990–999. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2014.13121577
- Blanco, C., Compton, W.M., Saha, T.D., Goldstein, B.I., Ruan, W.J., Huang, B., Grant, B.F.,
 2017. Epidemiology of DSM-5 bipolar I disorder: Results from the National
 Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions III. Journal of Psychiatric
 Research 84, 310–317. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2016.10.003
- Bukh, J.D., Andersen, P.K., Kessing, L.V., 2016. Rates and predictors of remission, recurrence and conversion to bipolar disorder after the first lifetime episode of depression--a prospective 5-year follow-up study. Psychol Med 46, 1151–1161. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291715002676
- Daruy-Filho, L., Brietzke, E., Lafer, B., Grassi-Oliveira, R., 2011. Childhood maltreatment and clinical outcomes of bipolar disorder. Acta Psychiatr Scand 124, 427–434. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0447.2011.01756.x

```
Ducasse, D., Jaussent, I., Guillaume, S., Azorin, J.M., Bellivier, F., Belzeaux, R., Bougerol, T.,
Etain, B., Gard, S., Henry, C., Kahn, J.P., Leboyer, M., Loftus, J., Passerieux, C.,
FondaMental Advanced Centers of Expertise in Bipolar Disorders (FACE-BD)
Collaborators, Olié, E., Courtet, P., 2017. Affect lability predicts occurrence of suicidal ideation in bipolar patients: a two-year prospective study. Acta Psychiatr Scand 135,
462
460–469. https://doi.org/10.1111/acps.12710
```

464

465

466

475

476

477

478

490

- Etain, B., Mathieu, F., Liquet, S., Raust, A., Cochet, B., Richard, J.R., Gard, S., Zanouy, L., Kahn, J.P., Cohen, R.F., Bougerol, T., Henry, C., Leboyer, M., Bellivier, F., 2013. Clinical features associated with trait-impulsiveness in euthymic bipolar disorder patients. J Affect Disord 144, 240–247. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2012.07.005
- Farren, C.K., Snee, L., Daly, P., McElroy, S., 2013. Prognostic Factors of 2-year Outcomes of
 Patients with Comorbid Bipolar Disorder or Depression with Alcohol Dependence:
 Importance of Early Abstinence. Alcohol Alcohol 48, 93–98.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/alcalc/ags112
- Ferrari, A.J., Stockings, E., Khoo, J.-P., Erskine, H.E., Degenhardt, L., Vos, T., Whiteford, H.A.,
 2016. The prevalence and burden of bipolar disorder: findings from the Global
 Burden of Disease Study 2013. Bipolar Disord 18, 440–450.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/bdi.12423
 - Frye, M.A., Altshuler, L.L., McElroy, S.L., Suppes, T., Keck, P.E., Denicoff, K., Nolen, W.A., Kupka, R., Leverich, G.S., Pollio, C., Grunze, H., Walden, J., Post, R.M., 2003. Gender Differences in Prevalence, Risk, and Clinical Correlates of Alcoholism Comorbidity in Bipolar Disorder. AJP 160, 883–889. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.160.5.883
- Gold, A.K., Peters, A.T., Otto, M.W., Sylvia, L.G., Magalhaes, P.V. da S., Berk, M., Dougherty,
 D.D., Miklowitz, D.J., Frank, E., Nierenberg, A.A., Deckersbach, T., 2018. The impact of
 substance use disorders on recovery from bipolar depression: Results from the
 Systematic Treatment Enhancement Program for Bipolar Disorder psychosocial
 treatment trial. Aust N Z J Psychiatry 52, 847–855.
 https://doi.org/10.1177/0004867418788172
- Gordon-Smith, K., Lewis, K.J.S., Auñón, F.M.V., Florio, A.D., Perry, A., Craddock, N., Jones, I.,
 Jones, L., 2020. Patterns and clinical correlates of lifetime alcohol consumption in
 women and men with bipolar disorder: Findings from the UK Bipolar Disorder
 Research Network. Bipolar Disorders 22, 731–738.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/bdi.12905
 - Hasin, D.S., Grant, B.F., 2015. The National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC) Waves 1 and 2: Review and summary of findings. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 50, 1609–1640. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-015-1088-0
- Henry, C., Etain, B., Mathieu, F., Raust, A., Vibert, J.-F., Scott, J., Leboyer, M., 2011. A French
 network of bipolar expert centres: a model to close the gap between evidence-based
 medicine and routine practice. J Affect Disord 131, 358–363.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2010.11.013
- Henry, C., Van den Bulke, D., Bellivier, F., Roy, I., Swendsen, J., M'Baïlara, K., Siever, L.J.,
 Leboyer, M., 2008. Affective lability and affect intensity as core dimensions of bipolar
 disorders during euthymic period. Psychiatry Research 159, 1–6.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2005.11.016
- Hunt, G.E., Malhi, G.S., Cleary, M., Lai, H.M.X., Sitharthan, T., 2016. Prevalence of comorbid bipolar and substance use disorders in clinical settings, 1990-2015: Systematic review

```
    and meta-analysis. J Affect Disord 206, 331–349.
    https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2016.07.011
```

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

- Janiri, D., Di Nicola, M., Martinotti, G., Janiri, L., 2017. Who's the Leader, Mania or Depression? Predominant Polarity and Alcohol/Polysubstance Use in Bipolar Disorders. Curr Neuropharmacol 15, 409–416.

 https://doi.org/10.2174/1570159X14666160607101400
- Jones, S.H., Riste, L., Robinson, H., Holland, F., Peters, S., Hartwell, R., Berry, K., Fitzsimmons,
 M., Wilson, I., Hilton, C., Long, R., Bateman, L., Weymouth, E., Owen, R., Roberts, C.,
 Barrowclough, C., 2019. Feasibility and acceptability of integrated psychological
 therapy versus treatment as usual for people with bipolar disorder and co-morbid
 alcohol use: A single blind randomised controlled trial. J Affect Disord 256, 86–95.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2019.05.038
- Koch, M., Fitzpatrick, A.L., Rapp, S.R., Nahin, R.L., Williamson, J.D., Lopez, O.L., DeKosky, S.T.,
 Kuller, L.H., Mackey, R.H., Mukamal, K.J., Jensen, M.K., Sink, K.M., 2019. Alcohol
 Consumption and Risk of Dementia and Cognitive Decline Among Older Adults With
 or Without Mild Cognitive Impairment. JAMA Netw Open 2, e1910319–e1910319.
 https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.10319
 - Kranzler, H.R., Kadden, R.M., Babor, T.F., Tennen, H., Rounsaville, B.J., 1996. Validity of the SCID in substance abuse patients. Addiction 91, 859–868.
 - Kübler, U., 2013. Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID), in: Gellman, M.D., Turner, J.R. (Eds.), Encyclopedia of Behavioral Medicine. Springer New York, New York, NY, pp. 1919–1920. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-1005-9_66
 - Lagerberg, T.V., Aminoff, S.R., Aas, M., Bjella, T., Henry, C., Leboyer, M., Pedersen, G., Bellivier, F., Icick, R., Andreassen, O.A., Etain, B., Melle, I., 2017. Alcohol use disorders are associated with increased affective lability in bipolar disorder. J Affect Disord 208, 316–324. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2016.09.062
 - Lagerberg, T.V., Icick, R., Andreassen, O.A., Ringen, P.A., Etain, B., Aas, M., Henry, C., Bjella, T.D., Melle, I., Bellivier, F., 2016. Cannabis use disorder is associated with greater illness severity in tobacco smoking patients with bipolar disorder. J Affect Disord 190, 286–293. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2015.10.023
 - Lorberg, B., Wilens, T.E., Martelon, M., Wong, P., Parcell, T., 2010. Reasons for Substance Use among Adolescents with Bipolar Disorder. The American Journal on Addictions 19, 474–480. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1521-0391.2010.00077.x
- Maremmani, I., Maremmani, A.G.I., Rugani, F., Rovai, L., Pacini, M., Bacciardi, S., Deltito, J.,
 Dell'osso, L., Akiskal, H.S., 2012. Clinical presentations of substance abuse in bipolar
 heroin addicts at time of treatment entry. Ann Gen Psychiatry 11, 23.
 https://doi.org/10.1186/1744-859X-11-23
- McIntyre, R.S., Berk, M., Brietzke, E., Goldstein, B.I., López-Jaramillo, C., Kessing, L.V., Malhi,
 G.S., Nierenberg, A.A., Rosenblat, J.D., Majeed, A., Vieta, E., Vinberg, M., Young, A.H.,
 Mansur, R.B., 2020. Bipolar disorders. The Lancet 396, 1841–1856.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31544-0
- Meyer, T.D., McDonald, J.L., Douglas, J.L., Scott, J., 2012. Do patients with bipolar disorder
 drink alcohol for different reasons when depressed, manic or euthymic? J Affect
 Disord 136, 926–932. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2011.09.005
- 547 Montgomery, S.A., Asberg, M., 1979. A new depression scale designed to be sensitive to change. Br J Psychiatry 134, 382–389.

Musliner, K.L., Østergaard, S.D., 2018. Patterns and predictors of conversion to bipolar disorder in 91 587 individuals diagnosed with unipolar depression. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica 137, 422–432. https://doi.org/10.1111/acps.12869

- Østergaard, M.L.D., Nordentoft, M., Hjorthøj, C., 2017. Associations between substance use disorders and suicide or suicide attempts in people with mental illness: a Danish nation-wide, prospective, register-based study of patients diagnosed with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, unipolar depression or personality disorder. Addiction 112, 1250–1259. https://doi.org/10.1111/add.13788
- Pacchiarotti, I., Di Marzo, S., Colom, F., Sánchez-Moreno, J., Vieta, E., 2009. Bipolar disorder preceded by substance abuse: a different phenotype with not so poor outcome? World J. Biol. Psychiatry 10, 209–216. https://doi.org/10.1080/15622970701558488
- Paquette, D., Laporte, L., Bigras, M., Zoccolillo, M., 2004. Validation de la version française du CTQ et prévalence de l'histoire de maltraitance. smq 29, 201–220. https://doi.org/10.7202/008831ar
- R Core Team, 2020. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Rosa, A.R., Sánchez-Moreno, J., Martínez-Aran, A., Salamero, M., Torrent, C., Reinares, M., Comes, M., Colom, F., Van Riel, W., Ayuso-Mateos, J.L., Kapczinski, F., Vieta, E., 2007. Validity and reliability of the Functioning Assessment Short Test (FAST) in bipolar disorder. Clin Pract Epidemiol Ment Health 3, 5. https://doi.org/10.1186/1745-0179-3-5
- Simhandl, C., Radua, J., König, B., Amann, B.L., 2015. Prevalence and impact of comorbid alcohol use disorder in bipolar disorder: A prospective follow-up study: Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry. https://doi.org/10.1177/0004867415585855
- Strakowski, S.M., DelBello, M.P., Fleck, D.E., Adler, C.M., Anthenelli, R.M., Keck, P.E., Arnold, L.M., Amicone, J., 2005. Effects of Co-occurring Alcohol Abuse on the Course of Bipolar Disorder Following a First Hospitalization for Mania. Arch Gen Psychiatry 62, 851–858. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.62.8.851
- Turner, S., Mota, N., Bolton, J., Sareen, J., 2018. Self-medication with alcohol or drugs for mood and anxiety disorders: A narrative review of the epidemiological literature.

 Depress Anxiety 35, 851–860. https://doi.org/10.1002/da.22771
- WHO | Waist circumference and waist—hip ratio [WWW Document], 2011. . WHO. URL http://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/obesity/WHO_report_waistcircumference_and_waisthip_ratio/en/ (accessed 9.21.20).
- Young, R.C., Biggs, J.T., Ziegler, V.E., Meyer, D.A., 1978. A rating scale for mania: reliability, validity and sensitivity. Br J Psychiatry 133, 429–435.

Table 1: Characteristics of patients in the FACE-BD sample, according to the presence of alcohol use disorder (AUD). N(%) or median (interquartile range, IQR) and raw p-values are shown. *p < 0.001389. Unadjusted odds ratios (OR) are displayed for categorical variables. BD, bipolar disorder; MDE, major depressive episode; AAO, age at onset; BIS10, Baratt Impulsiveness Scale - 10th version; ALS, affective lability by the Affective lability scale; CTQ, Childhood Trauma Questionnaire — short form; FAST, Functional Assessment Short Test (FAST); MADRS, Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS); YMRS, Young Mania Rating Scale (YMRS); BMI, Body mass index.

	no AUD	lifetime AUD	OR	р	N
	N=2259	N=768	-		
Gender (W vs. M)	812 (36%)	368 (48%)	1.6 (1.4-1.9)	<0.001*	3025
Age	40 (31-50)	39 (31-48)		0.09	3027
Referral (vs. other modes)				0.215	2628
GP	248 (13%)	66 (10%)	0.9 (0.5-1.8)		
Patient	56 (3%)	25 (4%)	1.5 (0.7-3.3)		
Psychiatrist	1620 (82%)	552 (84%)	1.1 (0.6-2.2)		
Finished high school education	290 (15%)	92 (15%)	1 (0.8-1.3)	0.983	2564
Current relationship status				<0.001*	2586
(vs. single)				\0.001	2500
Married / with partner	1015 (52%)	276 (44%)	0.7 (0.6-0.8)		
Separated	220 (11%)	79 (12%)	0.9 (0.7-1.2)		
Current professional status				<0.001*	2549
(vs. other modes)				~0.001 ·	23 4 3
Student	182 (9%)	57 (9%)	1 (0.7-1.4)		
Inactive	585 (30%)	234 (38%)	1.3 (1.1-1.6)		
Disability leave	77 (4%)	26 (4%)	1.1 (0.7-1.7)		
Retired	146 (8%)	14 (2%)	0.3 (0.2-0.5)		
Family history of BD	470 (21%)	154 (20%)	1.0 (0.8-1.2)	0.693	3027
Family history of AUD	458 (20%)	282 (37%)	2.3 (1.9-2.7)	<0.001*	3027
BD subtype type 2 (vs. 1)	1070 (47%)	394 (51%)	1.2 (1.0-1.4)	0.065	3027
AAO BD	21 (17-28)	20 (17-25)		<0.001*	2905
Polarity at BD onset	604 (200()	200 (270()	0.0 (0.7.4.0)	0.440	2027
(depressive vs. "up")	681 (30%)	208 (27%)	0.9 (0.7-1.0)	0.118	3027
BD duration	15 (7-24)	16 (10-24)		0.013	2903
Lifetime SA	766 (35%)	358 (48%)	1.7 (1.4-2.0)	<0.001*	2958
Past-year BD episode	1598 (77%)	576 (84%)	1.5 (1.2-1.9)	<0.001*	2767
Number of inpatient stays (lifetime)	2 (1-3)	2 (1-4)	1.0 (1.0-1.1)	0.08	2738
Number of MDE per year of bipolar	0.2 (0.40.0.5)	0.00 (0.47.0.54)		0.044	2247
illness ^b	0.3 (0.18-0.5)	0.30 (0.17-0.51)		0.841	2317
Number of "up" episodes per year	0.10 (0.06.0.4)	0.24 (0.07.0.44)		0.404	2002
of bipolar illness ^b	0.19 (0.06-0.4)	0.21 (0.07-0.44)		0.194	2903
History of psychosis	771 (40%)	209 (32%)	0.7 (0.6-0.8)	<0.001*	2597
BIS10 total score	65 (58-73)	71 (64-79)		<0.001*	2862
CTQ total score		42 (35-54)		<0.001*	2887
ALS total score ^b	1 (1-2)	2 (1-2)		<0.001*	2845
MADRS total score	7 (2-14)	11 (5-19)		<0.001*	2991
YMRS total score	` '			<0.001*	2985
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
_	, ,	• •			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
BIS10 total score CTQ total score ALS total score ^b MADRS total score	65 (58-73) 38 (31-48) 1 (1-2)	71 (64-79) 42 (35-54) 2 (1-2)	0.7 (0.6-0.8)	<0.001* <0.001* <0.001* <0.001*	2862 2887 2845 2991

	no AUD <i>N=2259</i>	lifetime AUD <i>N=768</i>	OR	р	N
History of any non-psychiatric disorder	1712 (84%)	598 (87%)	1.2 (1.0-1.6)	0.118	2730
History of cardiovascular disorder	262 (12%)	107 (16%)	1.3 (1.0-1.6)	0.045	2796
History of dyslipidemia	313 (15%)	124 (18%)	1.2 (1.0-1.6)	0.071	2843
History of digestive system disorder	86 (4%)	45 (6%)	1.6 (1.1-2.3)	0.014	2790
Comorbid anxiety disorder	904 (41%)	393 (53%)	1.6 (1.4-1.9)	<0.001*	2938
Tobacc smoking (vs. never-smoking)				<0.001*	2871
Former smoking	247 (12%)	103 (14%)	2.9 (2.2-3.9)		
Current smoking	844 (40%)	482 (66%)	4.0 (3.2-4.9)		
Sedative use disorder	51 (2%)	90 (12%)	5.7 (4.0-8.2)	<0.001*	3027
Cannabis use disorder	288 (13%)	312 (41%)	4.7 (3.9-5.7)	<0.001*	3027
Stimulant use disorder	46 (2%)	125 (16%)	9.3 (6.6-13.3)	<0.001*	3027

Table 2: Characteristics of patients in the FACE-BD sample according to the sequence of onset of alcohol use disorder (AUD) and bipolar disorder (BD). N(%) or median (interquartile range, IQR) and raw p-values are shown. *p < 0.00208. ^aAlthough the distribution was highly skewed, we report means (SD) for interpretability. N/A, not applicable; MDE, major depressive episode; AAO, age at onset; BIS10, Baratt Impulsiveness Scale -10th version; ALS, affective lability by the Affective lability scale; CTQ, Childhood Trauma Questionnaire – short form; FAST, Functional Assessment Short Test (FAST); MADRS, Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS); YMRS, Young Mania Rating Scale (YMRS).

	AUD first	BD first	Odds Ratio	<i>p</i> -value	N
	N=276	N=269	(95% CI)		
Gender	151 (55%)	112 (42%)	0.6 (0.4-0.8)	0.003	545
Current professional status					
(vs. other modes)					
Student	27 (12%)	17 (7%)	0.6 (0.3-1.1)	0.3421	456
Inactive Disability leave	83 (37%)	85 (37%)	0.9 (0.6-1.4)		
Retired	7 (3%)	11 (5%)	1.4 (0.5-4.1)		
Current relationship status					
(vs. single)				0.8344	473
Married / with partner	102 (43%)	107 (45%)	1.1 (0.8-1.6)		473
Separated	27 (11%)	29 (12%)	1.1 (0.6-2.1)		
Family history of AUD	105 (38%)	103 (38%)	1.0 (0.7-1.4)	1.0000	545
AAO BD	21 (18-27)	18 (15-22)		<0.0001*	545
AAO AUD	18 (16-20)	27 (20-34)		<0.0001*	545
Past-year BD episode	202 (79%)	226 (89%)	2.2 (1.3-3.7)	0.0026	508
Number of MDE per year of bipolar illness ^a	0.43 (0.34)	0.34 (0.25)		0.0225	417
Number of "up" episodes per year					
of bipolar illness ^a	0.45 (0.39)	0.32 (0.31)		<0.0001*	321
Lifetime SA	119 (44%)	132 (50%)	1.3 (0.9-1.8)	0.1598	535
History of psychosis	87 (36%)	70 (29%)	0.7 (0.5-1.1)	0.1626	483
BIS10 total score	71 (12)	71 (11)	,	0.4364	514
CTQ total score	53 (49-58)	54 (50-60)		0.0569	520
ALS total score	1 (1-2)	2 (1-2)	1.6 (1.2-2.1)	<0.0001*	509
MADRS total score	9 (4-16)	12 (5-21)	1.0 (1.2 2.1)	0.0081	541
YMRS total score	2 (0-4)	1 (0-4)		0.7878	537
FAST total score	21 (11-31)	25 (14-34)		0.7878	515
	• •	• •			
FAST – cognitive subscale	3 (1-6)	3 (1-7)	1 5 (1 2 1)	0.1283	524
Comorbid anxiety disorder	136 (51%)	158 (60%)	1.5 (1-2.1)	0.0335	530
Tobacc smoking (vs. never-smoking)	40 (450()	24 (420()	0.0./0.4.4.4\	0.6024	F20
Former smoking	40 (15%)	31 (12%)	0.8 (0.4-1.4)		530
Current smoking	174 (64%)	171 (66%)	0.9 (0.6-1.5)	0.0706	- 4-
Sedative use disorder	23 (8%)	36 (13%)	1.7 (1-3)	0.0786	545
Cannabis use disorder	136 (49%)	91 (34%)	0.5 (0.4-0.7)	<0.0001*	545
Stimulant use disorder	48 (17%)	31 (12%)	0.6 (0.4-1)	0.0682	545

Figure 1: Binary logistic regression with the sequence of onset of bipolar disorder (BD) and alcohol use disorder (AUD) as the dependent variable (BD-BD patients are the reference group). Bars represent 95% CI. *p <0.05, ** p <0.001, *** p <0.0001. ALS, Affective lability scale; AAO, age at onset; "UP" represents (hypo)manic episodes.

