

Millimeter- and submillimeter-wave spectrum of trans-formaldoxime (CH₂NOH)[★]

Luyao Zou¹, Jean-Claude Guillemin², Roman A. Motiyenko¹, and Laurent Margulès¹

¹ Univ. Lille, CNRS, UMR 8523 – PhLAM – Physique des Lasers Atomes et Molécules, 59000 Lille, France
e-mail: luyao.zou@univ-lille.fr

² Univ. Rennes, Ecole Nationale Supérieure de Chimie de Rennes, CNRS, ISCR-UMR 6226, 35000 Rennes, France

Received 16 December 2020 / Accepted 10 March 2021

ABSTRACT

Context. Among the six atoms of N-containing molecules with the formula of CH₃NO, only formamide (H₂NCHO), the most stable structural isomer, has been detected in the interstellar medium (ISM). The formaldoxime isomer may be formed, for example, by the reaction of formaldehyde (H₂CO) or methanimine (H₂CNH) and hydroxylamine (H₂NOH), which are all detected in the ISM. The lack of high accuracy millimeter- and submillimeter-wave measurements hinders the astronomical search for formaldoxime.

Aims. The aim of this work is to provide the direct laboratory measurement of the millimeter- and submillimeter-wave spectrum of trans-formaldoxime.

Methods. Formaldoxime was synthesized and its rotational spectrum was recorded at room temperature in a glass flow cell using the millimeter- and submillimeter-wave spectrometer in Lille. The SPFIT program in the CALPGM suite was used to fit the spectrum.

Results. Rotational lines of trans-formaldoxime from both the ground state and $v_{12} = 1$ vibrational excited states have been measured and assigned from 150 to 660 GHz. Spectroscopic constants were derived to the tenth order using both Watson's A and S reduction Hamiltonian.

Key words. astrochemistry – molecular data – submillimeter: ISM – methods: laboratory: molecular

1. Introduction

Of the little more than 200 molecules detected in the interstellar medium (ISM) (McGuire 2018), about 20 compounds with six atoms constitute the series of the smallest complex organic molecules (COMs) (Herbst & van Dishoeck 2009). This is the most abundant group among COMs, an observation consistent with the fact that the number of molecules detected decreases with the number of atoms.

To find new compounds in the ISM, the choice of new candidates is mainly based on the analogy with the molecules detected, the possible chemistry of each interstellar cloud, and the physical parameters such as the relative thermodynamic stability of the isomers. Many detected compounds have an isomer in the list (McGuire 2018).

Among the six-atom molecules, only one isomer with a CH₃NO formula has been detected, the formamide, H₂NCHO, which was found in Sgr B2 and Sgr A in 1971 (Rubin et al. 1971). The following isomers in relative thermodynamic stability are the tautomer C-hydroxymethanimine (methanimidic acid, HN=CHOH), which is 13.4 kcal mol⁻¹ less stable than formamide, and formaldoxime (CH₂NOH), which is 51.0 kcal mol⁻¹ less stable than formamide (Lattalais et al. 2010). The observation of methanimine, a reduced form of formaldoxime in the molecular cloud Sgr B2 (Godfrey et al. 1973), and the recent detection of hydroxylamine, H₂NOH, in the quiescent molecular cloud G+0.693–0.027 located in the Galactic Center (Rivilla et al. 2020) prompted us to study formaldoxime.

[★] Full Tables 2, 3, A.1, A.2 are only available at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr (130.79.128.5) or via <http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/cat/J/A+A/649/A60>

Methanimine is possibly synthesized in the ISM by the reaction of formaldehyde with ammonia (Vinogradoff et al. 2011), while the reaction of formaldehyde with hydroxylamine could form formaldoxime. The very reactive methanimine has never been prepared on a gram-scale in the laboratory in this way, but such an approach has been used since the end of the 19th century to prepare formaldoxime (Dunstan & Bossi 1898). Formaldoxime could also be formed in the ISM in other ways such as the oxidation of methanimine (H₂CNH) or the reaction of the latter with H₂NOH. To our knowledge, attempts to form formaldoxime by simulating the chemistry on the grains or in the gas phase of the ISM have never been carried out. However, as an isomer of the detected formamide, on the basis of a possible formation in the ISM starting from detected compounds, and by analogy with the imines observed in this medium, formaldoxime appears to be a good candidate as a component of interstellar clouds.

Formaldoxime is a prolate-type asymmetric top rotor. The microwave spectrum of formaldoxime was first reported by Levine (1962) and by Pillai (1962) independently. The structure revealed by isotopologue substitution suggests that this molecule has a planar trans geometry, with a small dipole moment: 0.40 Debye for *a*-dipole and 0.18–0.20 Debye for *b*-dipole (Levine 1962, 1963). The more stable trans isomer was supported by an ab initio calculation, which shows that the cis isomer has a significantly larger dipole moment (>3 Debye), and it lies about 5.6 kcal mol⁻¹ above the trans isomer (Umar et al. 2005). From this energy perspective, the cis isomer has a Boltzmann population ratio of only 78 ppm under room temperature. Although in the ISM, the possibility of kinetic processes that can pump the cis isomer to a higher population cannot be ruled out, in this article, we only focus on the trans isomer, and

therefore the term “trans” is not used hereafter. Kaushik & Takagi (1978) reanalyzed the spectra of Levine (1962) to show the strong centrifugal distortion effects of this molecule up to the quartic order. In the studies by Levine and Pillai, the frequency resolution was ~ 0.1 MHz. The microwave spectrum with a much higher frequency resolution (~ 2 kHz) was measured by Klesing & Sutter (1990) to fully resolve the splitting due to the ^{14}N nuclear spin. Rotationally-resolved infrared spectra of formaldoxime were reported across the infrared band from $30\ \mu\text{m}$ to $1.5\ \mu\text{m}$ (Duxbury et al. 1988; Duxbury 1988; Bannai & Duxbury 1994; Wu & Tan 2020, 2021). Several submillimeter-wave laser transitions of formaldoxime, pumped by CO_2 lasers, were also reported. The frequencies, however, were not well determined because only four significant figures were reported in the unit of micron (Duxbury & Petersen 1984).

Due to the limited frequency resolution in the infrared and the limited numbers of detectable transitions in the microwave, uncertainties still remain in the spectroscopic constants of formaldoxime, and therefore in its predicted transition frequencies in the millimeter- and submillimeter-wave range. To date, the most accurate centrifugal distortion analysis has been performed by Wu & Tan (2020, 2021) using a high resolution synchrotron infrared beamline. In their studies, the highest J and K_a values accessed are 45 and 15, respectively. The complete set of five quartic terms, as well as three sextic terms, were determined. Their frequency measurement has an uncertainty of ~ 6 MHz ($0.0002\ \text{cm}^{-1}$), and their fit has a root-mean-square (r.m.s.) of ~ 15 MHz ($0.0005\ \text{cm}^{-1}$). Nevertheless, this accuracy is still insufficient for the astronomical search of the millimeter- and submillimeter-wave lines of formaldoxime in the ISM. In this article, we report the experimental measurement of the millimeter- and submillimeter-wave direct absorption spectrum of formaldoxime between 150–330 GHz and 400–660 GHz. The high resolution data allow us to fully characterize the centrifugal distortion effects of formaldoxime up to the decic order, which is sufficiently accurate for astronomical searches.

2. Experimental methods

2.1. Synthesis of formaldoxime

The synthesis of Dunstan and Bossi has been modified (Dunstan & Bossi 1898). A 33% aqueous solution of formaldehyde was prepared by stirring two masses of water with one mass of paraformaldehyde for 1 h at $80\ ^\circ\text{C}$. The mixture was then cooled to room temperature and one equivalent of hydroxylamine, hydrochloride and 0.5 equivalent of sodium carbonate were added. After stirring overnight, the evaporation of the water and low boiling compounds by heating to $60\ ^\circ\text{C}$ under vacuum gives a solid containing a mixture of the trimer with sodium chloride. By heating this solid under vacuum to $100\ ^\circ\text{C}$, a substantially pure gaseous flow of formaldoxime was obtained. The ^1H NMR and ^{13}C NMR results of the sample are the following: ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 400 MHz) δ 6.48 (d, $^2J_{\text{HH}} = 8.5$ Hz); 7.05 (d, $^2J_{\text{HH}} = 8.5$ Hz); 8.2–9.6 (brd, 1H, OH); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 100 MHz) δ 138.8 (dd, $^1J_{\text{CH}} = 180.4$ Hz and 167.2 Hz).

2.2. Millimeter- and submillimeter-wave spectrum of formaldoxime

The millimeter- and submillimeter-wave spectrum of CH_2NOH was measured using the fast absorption spectrometer in Lille (Zakharenko et al. 2015; Motiyenko et al. 2019). In brief, the CH_2NOH vapor was introduced into a 2-m absorption cell by

Table 1. Multiplier specification and experimental conditions.

Band	Harmonics	Frequency range (GHz)	Step (kHz)	Pressure (μbar)
WR5.1	$\times 12$	150–220	36	26–36
WR3.4	$\times 18$	225–330	42	40–52
WR1.9	$\times 30$	400–480	60	63–68
WR1.5	$\times 36$	480–660	72	63–68

heating the solid trimer mixture. After filling the cell with CH_2NOH gas to a decent pressure, the cell was left static for measurement. The millimeter- and submillimeter-wave radiation was generated by a series of frequency multipliers (Virginia Diodes, Inc.), which were pumped by 12–18 GHz radio frequency generated by a synthesizer (Agilent, E8257), and detected by a hot electron bolometer (QMC). We used the sine-wave frequency modulation and second harmonic detection technique to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. The modulation frequency was set at 20.5 kHz and the deviation was set at 15 kHz. The time constant of the lock-in amplifier (Metek 7270 DSP) was 200 μs and the integration time was 1 ms. The spectra between 150 GHz and 660 GHz were covered by four frequency bands. The frequency multiplication, frequency range, scanning step, and sample pressure are summarized in Table 1. The signal-to-noise ratio was sufficiently high to allow two averages for the whole frequency range. For a few extremely weak features, 8–16 averages were measured in a small frequency window around the lines.

The line frequencies were obtained by fitting the second derivative of the Voigt profile. The estimated uncertainty on transition frequencies ranges from 30 kHz to 100 kHz, depending on the signal-to-noise ratio of the line, the selection rule, and the splitting of the hyperfine structure. In brief, fully resolved lines were assigned with a smaller frequency uncertainty than blended lines, and a -type transitions were assigned with a smaller frequency uncertainty than b -type transitions, because b -type transitions are not only weaker due to the smaller b dipole moment, but they also have larger hyperfine splitting, which becomes only partially resolvable in the millimeter- and submillimeter-wave range. The complete list of observed lines, as well as their estimated uncertainty, can be found in CDS: S1–S2.

The spectral lines of CH_2NOH were fit using the SPFIT program in the CALPGM suite (Pickett 1991). Partially blended lines were weighted by their relative intensities.

The ab initio ^{14}N quadrupole hyperfine splitting constants and the dipole moment of CH_2NOH were calculated using the Gaussian09 software (Frisch et al. 2016). Geometry was optimized using the B3LYP level of theory and 6-311++g(3df,2pd) basis set. The optimized geometry was used in single point energy calculation, using the B3PW91 level of theory and 6-311+G(df,pd) basis set.

3. Results and discussion

Before the spectral assignment, the standing waves were removed from the millimeter- and submillimeter-wave spectrum of CH_2NOH , and peaks were identified and fit to obtain the line frequencies. In the spectrum, we observed contamination lines from acetonitrile (CH_3CN), which possibly originated from the residual on our vapor inlet. The contamination lines consist of those from the ground state of CH_3CN , $v_8 = 1$ and $v_8 = 2$

Table 2. Measured frequencies and fit residual of formaldoxime in the ground state.

Upper state				Lower state					Frequency (MHz)	Relative Intensity ⁽²⁾	obs. – calc. ⁽³⁾ (MHz)	Uncertainty (MHz)	
J''	K''_a	K''_c	$v''_{\text{dummy}}^{(1)}$	F''	J'	K'_a	K'_c	$v'_{\text{dummy}}^{(1)}$					F'
7	2	5	1	7	7	1	6	1	7	150 977.130	1.00	–0.00976	0.050
7	2	5	1	6	7	1	6	1	6	150 977.948	0.43	–0.07800	0.050
7	2	5	1	8	7	1	6	1	8	150 977.948	0.57	0.03358	0.050
12	1	11	1	11	12	0	12	1	11	150 093.192	1.00	0.08233	0.050
12	1	11	1	13	12	0	12	1	13	150 093.192	1.00	–0.06927	0.050
12	1	11	1	12	12	0	12	1	12	150 095.057	1.00	–0.01538	0.050
7	0	7	0	7	6	0	6	0	6	150 292.461	1.00	0.00868	0.030
10	4	7	1	9	11	3	8	1	10	152 004.918	0.45	–0.01526	0.060
10	4	7	1	11	11	3	8	1	12	152 004.918	0.55	0.01425	0.060
7	2	6	0	7	6	2	5	0	6	153 238.987	1.00	–0.00144	0.050

Notes. ⁽¹⁾The dummy vibrational quantum number v_{dummy} labels the unresolved ($v_{\text{dummy}} = 0$) and resolved ($v_{\text{dummy}} = 1$) hyperfine splitting. For $v_{\text{dummy}} = 0$ lines, $F \equiv J$. ⁽²⁾For single lines, the relative intensity is always unity. For blended lines, the sum of the relative intensities is unity. ⁽³⁾Frequency calculated using the S -reduction. Full table available at the CDS.

Table 3. Measured frequencies and fit residual of formaldoxime in the $v_{12} = 1$ state.

Upper state				Lower state					Frequency (MHz)	Relative intensity ⁽²⁾	obs. – calc. ⁽³⁾ (MHz)	Uncertainty (MHz)	
J''	K''_a	K''_c	$v''_{\text{dummy}}^{(1)}$	F''	J'	K'_a	K'_c	$v'_{\text{dummy}}^{(1)}$					F'
7	0	7	0	7	6	0	6	0	6	150 194.364	1.00	–0.00237	0.050
15	2	13	1	16	15	1	14	1	16	150 460.786	0.53	–0.04598	0.050
15	2	13	1	14	15	1	14	1	14	150 460.786	0.47	–0.01023	0.050
15	2	13	1	15	15	1	14	1	15	150 461.334	1.00	–0.03328	0.050
7	2	6	0	7	6	2	5	0	6	153 094.237	1.00	0.00921	0.050
7	5	2	1	6	6	5	1	1	5	153 563.055	0.21	0.02746	0.050
7	5	2	1	8	6	5	1	1	7	153 563.055	0.29	–0.06205	0.050
7	5	3	1	8	6	5	2	1	7	153 563.055	0.29	–0.05765	0.050
7	5	3	1	6	6	5	2	1	5	153 563.055	0.21	0.03186	0.050
7	5	3	1	7	6	5	2	1	6	153 563.585	0.50	–0.00873	0.050
7	5	2	1	7	6	5	1	1	6	153 563.585	0.50	–0.01313	0.050

Notes. ⁽¹⁾The dummy vibrational quantum number v_{dummy} labels the unresolved ($v_{\text{dummy}} = 0$) and resolved ($v_{\text{dummy}} = 1$) hyperfine splitting. For $v_{\text{dummy}} = 0$ lines, $F \equiv J$. ⁽²⁾For single lines, the relative intensity is always unity. For blended lines, the sum of the relative intensities is unity. ⁽³⁾Frequency calculated using the S -reduction. Full table available at the CDS.

0.19 D for b -dipole, to generate the overall prediction, because we found that the relative intensities between the a -type and b -type lines are better reproduced using the ab initio values, compared with the experimental dipole moment reported by Levine (1962). Figure 1 demonstrates an excellent agreement between the prediction and the experimental spectrum.

The analysis of the ground state and $v_{12} = 1$ state was conducted separately because no obvious interaction between the two states was observed. A large portion of our measured transitions shows at least partially resolved hyperfine splitting due to the ^{14}N atom. To fit all transitions together, we used a “dummy” vibrational state quantum number in the SPFIT program to label the hyperfine lines. The spectroscopic parameters in the rotational Hamiltonian were shared across the states, while the hyperfine splitting parameters were associated only to the hyperfine state. Except for a few $J'' \leq 3$ lines, we could only assign the three strong $\Delta F = \Delta J$ hyperfine components to partially resolved hyperfine splitting lines because the intensity of other $\Delta F \neq \Delta J$ components scales with $1/(2J^2)$ and is below the detection limit. Therefore, the off-diagonal element χ_{ab} in the quadrupole hyperfine tensor cannot be determined during the fit. We fixed it to the ab initio calculation value.

For the rotational Hamiltonian, the Watson’s S -reduction is presumably preferred for CH_2NOH , because it is a nearly symmetric prolate-top rotor (Ray’s asymmetry parameter $\kappa = -0.938$), which was discussed in the work of dimethylsulfoxide (Margulès et al. 2010). The s_{111} parameter for CH_2NOH is 3.4×10^{-7} for A -reduction, and -6.0×10^{-8} for S -reduction. Nevertheless, for comparison, we used both the Watson’s A -reduction and S -reduction Hamiltonian for the fit. In order to fully describe the Hamiltonian, centrifugal distortion constants higher than the fourth order are necessary. For both the A -reduction and S -reduction Hamiltonian, we first introduced all seven sextic centrifugal distortion constants into the fit of the ground state lines. All of these terms were statistically significant, and the r.m.s. of the fit result σ was reduced to 83.3 kHz, which is still larger than our experimental accuracy of the frequency measurements, being approximately 50 kHz. The weighted r.m.s. σ_w is 1.43, which implies that statistically significant effects are missing in the current model. Therefore, octic and decic constants were furthermore introduced to the fit, and only those with statistical significance were chosen to produce the final fit with $\sigma = 32.4$ kHz and weighted $\sigma_w = 0.81$ both satisfactory to our experimental uncertainty. The similar procedure

Table 4. Spectroscopic constants of CH₂NOH, *S*-reduction.

Parameters (MHz)	Ground state		$\nu_{12} = 1$ state	
	This work	Ref. ⁽⁵⁾	This work	Ref. ⁽⁵⁾
<i>A</i>	67 679.40866(67) ⁽¹⁾	67 679.389(13)	66 634.0765(26)	66 634.045(12)
<i>B</i>	11 859.22541(10)	11 859.2158(25)	11 829.50007(23)	11 829.506(12)
<i>C</i>	10 080.12451(10)	10 080.1194(27)	10 088.09344(23)	10 088.049(16)
$D_J \times 10^3$	9.41688(15)	9.3922(28)	9.55112(41)	9.5247(24)
D_{JK}	-0.0247399(15)	-0.024562(28)	-0.0238304(61)	-0.023902(25)
D_K	1.424516(19)	0.014237(94)	1.26957(18)	1.26454(13)
$d_1 \times 10^3$	-1.903093(27)	-1.8980(61)	-1.878438(98)	-1.8650(75)
$d_2 \times 10^3$	-0.182984(18)	-0.1862(18)	-0.117691(36)	-0.1508(55)
$H_J \times 10^9$	5.051(72)		5.67(25)	
$H_{JK} \times 10^6$	-0.26567(65)	-23.110(15)	-0.6009(46)	-5.964(11)
$H_{KJ} \times 10^6$	-8.2687(73)	-7.831(88)	-8.75(11)	-8.430(99)
$H_K \times 10^3$	0.09206(19)	0.08775(27)	0.2919(47)	0.03975(40)
$h_1 \times 10^9$	3.784(18)		4.248(57)	
$h_2 \times 10^9$	1.065(15)		0.548(33)	
$h_3 \times 10^9$	0.3595(35)		-0.6867(91)	
$L_{JK} \times 10^9$			2.703(74)	
$L_{KKJ} \times 10^9$	0.740(23)		5.12(54)	
$L_K \times 10^6$	-0.00800(57)		-3.563(32)	
$P_{KJ} \times 10^{12}$	-0.072(13)		-6.20(22)	
$P_{KKJ} \times 10^9$			-0.01275(96)	
χ_{aa}	2.9870(16)		2.445(77)	
χ_{bb}	-4.6838(14)		-5.321(51)	
χ_{ab}	3.6806638 ⁽²⁾		3.6806638 ⁽²⁾	
$\sigma^{(3)}$	0.0324		0.0469	
$\sigma_w^{(4)}$	0.81		0.83	

Notes. ⁽¹⁾The 1- σ uncertainty is displayed in the parentheses in the unit of the last digit. ⁽²⁾Fixed to B3PW91/6-311+G(df,pd) calculation value. ⁽³⁾r.m.s. deviation of the fit. ⁽⁴⁾Weighted r.m.s. deviation of the fit.

References. ⁽⁵⁾Wu & Tan (2020), values converted from wavenumber to megahertz.

was performed on the $\nu_{12} = 1$ lines, and the final fit produces a σ of 46.9 kHz and σ_w of 0.83, which again agree well with our experimental uncertainty. The full list of the spectroscopic constants of the ground state and the $\nu_{12} = 1$ state is shown in Tables 4 and 5, respectively.

In general, the spectroscopic constants obtained by the Watson's *A*-reduction and *S*-reduction Hamiltonian are of a similar accuracy. However, several sextic centrifugal distortion constants in the *S*-reduction are slightly better determined than those in the *A*-reduction. These constants include Φ_{JK} , Φ_{KJ} , and ϕ_{JK} in the *A*-reduction and H_{JK} , H_{KJ} , and h_2 in the *S*-reduction. For the ground state fit, the relative uncertainty of these constants in the *S*-reduction is one order of magnitude lower than those in the *A*-reduction. For the $\nu_{12} = 1$ state fit, ϕ_{JK} cannot be statistically determined, whereas h_2 can. The absolute values of δ_K , ϕ_{JK} , and ϕ_K in the *A*-reduction are 2–4 orders of magnitude larger than the corresponding d_2 , h_2 , and h_3 terms in the *S*-reduction. This is due to the different constraints applied to the sextic terms of the angular momentum operators, and the constraint applied by the *S*-reduction is more suitable for the nearly symmetric top case where $B - C$ is small. As such, the *S*-reduction fit is preferred when generating the millimeter- and submillimeter-wave prediction of CH₂NOH.

A combination fit of the microwave, millimeter- and submillimeter-wave, and infrared data for the ground state was tested using the *S*-reduction Hamiltonian. The weighted r.m.s.

σ_w grew to 2.6, which is significantly higher than the fit with only microwave, millimeter- and submillimeter-wave data. All spectroscopic constants agree with the values in Table 4 within statistical uncertainty. Due to the relatively large experimental uncertainty, the weight on each infrared line is $< 1/3600$ compared to each millimeter- and submillimeter-wave line. Because the number of lines and the access of quantum numbers of the two data sets are similar, the infrared data have no significant impact on the fit results.

A large discrepancy was found for the ground state D_K term in the *S*-reduction between our result and that from Wu & Tan (2020), but not for the $\nu_{12} = 1$ state. Among the four D_K values in the *S*-reduction fit of this work and Wu & Tan (2020) for both states, and the four corresponding Δ_K values in the *A*-reduction fit, the ground state D_K term in Wu & Tan (2020) is the only outlier and two orders of magnitude smaller than all other seven values. It is also two orders of magnitude smaller than the value reported in Wu & Tan (2021), where more lines were used for the ground state fit. Since D_K and Δ_K correspond to the same angular momentum operator J_z^4 , their values should be similar regardless of the reduction chosen. The ground state D_K value reported by Wu & Tan (2020) is probably a typographical error.

Thanks to the higher frequency resolution and the access to higher J and K_a quantum numbers, our spectroscopic constants for both the ground state and $\nu_{12} = 1$ state are in general one to two orders of magnitude more accurate than the result from the

Table 5. Spectroscopic constants of CH₂NOH, A-reduction.

Parameters (MHz)	Ground state		$\nu_{12} = 1$ state	
	This work	Ref. ⁽⁵⁾	This work	Ref. ⁽⁵⁾
<i>A</i>	67 679.40610(67) ⁽¹⁾	67 679.386(13)	66 634.0764(25)	66 634.018(12)
<i>B</i>	11 859.31955(10)	11 859.3109(27)	11 829.56055(23)	11 829.585(13)
<i>C</i>	10 080.03162(10)	10 080.0240(24)	10 088.03414(23)	10 087.971(16)
$\Delta_J \times 10^3$	9.78269(15)	9.7612(58)	9.78670(41)	9.822(12)
Δ_{JK}	-0.0269398(15)	-0.026780(40)	-0.0252413(60)	-0.025689(82)
Δ_K	1.426345(19)	1.42556(10)	1.27079(18)	1.26602(16)
$\delta_J \times 10^3$	1.903150(25)	1.8992(61)	1.878529(88)	1.8677(76)
$\delta_K \times 10^3$	46.7717(40)	47.478(46)	29.8874(46)	38.4(14)
$\Phi_J \times 10^9$	7.118(80)		6.85(25)	
$\Phi_{JK} \times 10^6$	0.0880(39)	-0.228(15)	-1.3043(87)	-0.602(11)
$\Phi_{KJ} \times 10^6$	-9.521(15)	-7.834(90)	-6.42(11)	-8.40(10)
$\Phi_K \times 10^3$	0.09295(19)	0.08769(27)	0.2913(46)	0.03969(40)
$\phi_J \times 10^9$	4.146(20)		3.634(48)	
$\phi_{JK} \times 10^9$	0.0497(39)			
$\phi_K \times 10^3$	0.01578(16)		-0.02972(32)	
$L_{JK} \times 10^9$			2.752(74)	
$L_{KKJ} \times 10^9$	0.820(23)		5.18(54)	
$L_K \times 10^6$	-0.00808(57)		-3.571(32)	
$P_{KJ} \times 10^{12}$	-0.091(13)		-6.77(22)	
$P_{KKJ} \times 10^9$			-0.01178(95)	
χ_{aa}	2.9870(16)		2.451(77)	
χ_{bb}	-4.6838(14)		-5.323(51)	
χ_{ab}	3.6806638 ⁽²⁾		3.6806638 ⁽²⁾	
$\sigma^{(3)}$	0.0328		0.0469	
$\sigma_w^{(4)}$	0.81		0.83	

Notes. ⁽¹⁾The 1- σ uncertainty is displayed in the parentheses in the unit of the last digit. ⁽²⁾Fixed to B3PW91/6-311+G(df,pd) calculation value. ⁽³⁾r.m.s. deviation of the fit. ⁽⁴⁾Weighted r.m.s. deviation of the fit.

References. ⁽⁵⁾Wu & Tan (2020), values converted from wavenumber to megahertz.

synchrotron infrared measurement (Wu & Tan 2020). Using our spectroscopic constants shown in Tables 4 and 5, we can generate the line catalog prediction of CH₂NOH up to $J = 50$ and 1 THz. In the line catalog, we removed the “dummy” quantum number that we used to label the hyperfine resolved lines, and we merged the predictions of the ground state and the $\nu_{12} = 1$ state. Because of the strong centrifugal distortion effects of CH₂NOH, the estimated uncertainty of the predicted frequencies can exceed 1 MHz for quantum numbers that we were unable to measure. These predicted frequencies need to be treated with caution. The predictions are listed in Tables A.1 and A.2. Both tables can also be found in the supporting material CDS: S3–S4.

4. Implication to astronomy

The presence of CH₂NOH in the N astrochemistry network has been long postulated. The NH₂CHO/CH₂NOH ratio is estimated to range from 11.4:1 to 4:1 in dark clouds (Allen & Robinson 1977). Although NH₂CHO is commonly observed in multiple sources, no positive detection of CH₂NOH has been claimed to date. In addition to the lower number density of CH₂NOH, the dipole moment of CH₂NOH (0.32 Debye) is significantly smaller than the dipole moment of NH₂CHO (3.6 Debye) (Kurland & Wilson 1957). As a result, if we assume the NH₂CHO/CH₂NOH ratio to be 10:1, the line intensity of CH₂NOH would be three orders of magnitude weaker than NH₂CHO. Therefore, the

search for CH₂NOH, if it does exist in the ISM, requires sensitive observations toward ideal sources where nonthermal processes are able to drive a considerable amount of CH₂NOH into the gas phase.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have measured the millimeter- and submillimeter-wave lines of CH₂NOH in the range of 150–660 GHz using direct absorption spectroscopy, and we have obtained the most accurate fit to date for both the ground state and the $\nu_{12} = 1$ vibrationally excited state. Both Watson’s A-reduction and S-reduction Hamiltonian were used to fit the transitions, and we determined that the S-reduction is more suitable for CH₂NOH. A prediction for the CH₂NOH line list up to 1 THz is provided using our fit spectroscopic constants.

Acknowledgements. This work has been supported by the “Programme National Physique et Chimie du Milieu Interstellaire” (PCMI) of CNRS/INSU with INC/INP co-funded by CEA and CNES, and by the French ANR Labex CaPPA through the PIA under Contract no. ANR-11-LABX-0005-01, the Regional Council of Hauts-de-France, the European Funds for Regional Economic Development (FEDER), and the contract CPER CLIMBIO. L.Z. thanks the financial support from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Individual Fellowship grant (H2020-MSCA-IF-2019, Project no. 894508). J.C.G. thanks the Centre National d’Etudes Spatiales (CNES) for a grant.

References

- Allen, M., & Robinson, G. W. 1977, *ApJ*, 212, 396
- Bannai, R. A., & Duxbury, G. 1994, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B*, 11, 170
- Dunstan, W. R., & Bossi, A. L. 1898, *J. Chem. Soc. Trans.*, 73, 353
- Duxbury, G. 1988, *J. Mol. Spectr.*, 132, 393
- Duxbury, G., & Petersen, J. 1984, *Appl. Phys. B*, 35, 127
- Duxbury, G., Percival, R., Devoy, D., & Mahmoud, M. 1988, *J. Mol. Spectr.* 132, 380
- Frisch, M. J., Trucks, G. W., Schlegel, H. B., et al. 2016, Gaussian 09, Revision B.01
- Godfrey, P. D., Brown, R. D., Robinson, B. J., & Sinclair, M. W. 1973, *ApJ*, 13, L119
- Herbst, E. & van Dishoeck, E. F. 2009, *ARA&A*, 47, 427
- Kaushik, V. K., & Takagi, K. 1978, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan*, 45, 1975
- Klesing, A., & Sutter, D. H. 1990, *Z. Naturforsch. A*, 45, 817
- Kurland, R. J., & Wilson, E. B. 1957, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 27, 585
- Lattelais, M., Pauzat, F., Ellinger, Y., & Ceccarelli, C. 2010, *A&A*, 519, A30
- Levine, I. N. 1962, *J. Mol. Spectr.*, 8, 276
- Levine, I. N. 1963, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 38, 2326
- Margulès, L., Motiyenko, R., Alekseev, E., & Demaison, J. 2010, *J. Mol. Spectr.*, 260, 23
- McGuire, B. A. 2018, *ApJS*, 239, 17
- Motiyenko, R. A., Armieieva, I. A., Margulès, L., Alekseev, E. A., & Guillemin, J.-C. 2019, *A&A*, 623, A162
- Müller, H., Schlöder, F., Stutzki, J., & Winnewisser, G. 2005, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 742, 215
- Pickett, H. M. 1991, *J. Mol. Spectr.*, 148, 371
- Pickett, H. M., Poynter, R. L., Cohen, E. A., et al. 1998, *J. Quant. Spectr. Rad. Trans.*, 60, 883
- Pillai, M. G. K. 1962, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 66, 179
- Rivilla, V. M., Martín-Pintado, J., Jiménez-Serra, I., et al. 2020, *ApJ*, 899, L28
- Rubin, R. H., Swenson, Jr. G. W., Benson, R. C., Tigelaar, H. L., & Flygare, W. H. 1971, *ApJ*, 169, L39
- Umar, Y., Jimoh, T., & Morsy, M. 2005, *J. Mol. Struct.: Theochem.*, 725, 157
- Vinogradoff, V., Duvernay, F., Danger, G., Theulé, P., & Chiavassa, T. 2011, *A&A*, 530, A128
- Wu, Q., & Tan, T. 2021, *J. Mol. Spectr.*, 376, 111417
- Wu, Q. Y., & Tan, T. L. 2020, *J. Mol. Spectr.*, 111290
- Zakharenko, O., Motiyenko, R. A., Margulès, L., & Huet, T. R. 2015, *J. Mol. Spectr.*, 317, 41

Appendix A: Predictions of CH₂NOH lines

Table A.1. Prediction of pure rotational formaldoxime transitions up to 1 THz for both the ground state and $v_{12} = 1$ state.

FREQ	UNC	LOGINT	DR	ELO	GUP	TAG	QNFMT	J''	K''_a	K''_c	v''	J'	K'_a	K'_c	v'
1455.7529	0.0002	-9.9476	3	18.5387	11	45999	1404	5	2	3	0	5	2	4	0
1779.0857	0.0001	-9.7278	3	2.5937	3	45999	1404	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0
1805.7069	0.0005	-9.8340	3	65.4276	23	45999	1404	11	3	8	0	11	3	9	0
1966.5414	0.0080	-9.9552	3	142.5542	35	45999	1404	17	4	13	0	18	3	16	0

Notes. Full table available at the CDS.

Table A.2. Prediction of pure rotational formaldoxime transitions including ^{14}N hyperfine components up to 1 THz for both the ground state and $v_{12} = 1$ state.

FREQ	UNC	LOGINT	DR	ELO	GUP	TAG	QNFMT	J''	K''_a	K''_c	v''	F''	J'	K'_a	K'_c	v'	F'
4590.4839	0.0027	-9.8863	3	83.4114	25	45999	1405	13	3	10	1	12	13	3	11	1	12
4590.4839	0.0027	-9.8551	3	83.4114	27	45999	1405	13	3	10	1	13	13	3	11	1	13
4590.4839	0.0027	-9.8215	3	83.4114	29	45999	1405	13	3	10	1	14	13	3	11	1	14
4671.3988	0.0141	-9.9712	3	233.0298	49	45999	1405	23	4	20	0	24	22	5	17	0	23
4671.4936	0.0141	-9.9902	3	233.0299	47	45999	1405	23	4	20	0	23	22	5	17	0	22

Notes. Full table available at the CDS.

The prediction of CH₂NOH lines using the Watson's S -reduction Hamiltonian up to 1 THz is listed in Tables A.1 and A.2, which include the quadrupole hyperfine splitting components due to ^{14}N . Tables are listed using the JPL/CDMS molecular catalog format (Pickett et al. 1998). For both predictions, the maximum J is 50, and the minimum intensity (\log_{10} base) is -10.0 .

Appendix B: Ab initio calculation

The x, y, z coordinates of CH₂NOH after geometry optimization are listed in Table B.1.

Table B.1. Coordinates of CH₂NOH after geometry optimization using B3LYP/6-311++g(3df,2pd). Unit is in Å.

Atom	x	y	z
C	1.146252	-0.232496	0.000000
N	0.123230	0.511091	0.000000
O	-1.059067	-0.234422	0.000000
H	-1.744652	0.440282	0.000000
H	2.105860	0.264793	0.000000
H	1.086526	-1.317611	0.000000