



HAL
open science

Effect of basalt fiber inclusion on the mechanical properties and microstructure of cement-solidified kaolinite

D. Wang, H. Wang, S. Larsson, M. Benzerzour, W. Maherzi, Mouhamadou Amar

► To cite this version:

D. Wang, H. Wang, S. Larsson, M. Benzerzour, W. Maherzi, et al.. Effect of basalt fiber inclusion on the mechanical properties and microstructure of cement-solidified kaolinite. *Construction and Building Materials*, 2020, 241, 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.118085 . hal-03224994

HAL Id: hal-03224994

<https://hal.science/hal-03224994>

Submitted on 21 Jul 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 **Effect of basalt fiber inclusion on the mechanical properties and**
2 **microstructure of cement-solidified kaolinite**

3
4 Dongxing WANG^{a,c}, Hongwei WANG^{a,b,*}, Stefan LARSSON^c, Mahfoud
5 BENZERZOUR^b, Walid MAHERZI^b, Mouhamadou AMAR^b

6
7 a Key Laboratory of Geotechnical and Structural Engineering Safety of Hubei
8 Province, School of Civil Engineering, Wuhan University, 430072 Wuhan, China.

9 b Department of Civil Engineering and Environmental, IMT Lille Douai, EA 4515 -
10 LGCgE, University of Lille, F-59000 Lille, France.

11 c Department of Civil and Architectural Engineering, School of Architecture and the
12 Built Environment, KTH Royal Institute of Technology SE-10044, Stockholm,
13 Sweden.

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 **Abstract:** The polypropylene fibers, which are currently attracting enormous
24 attention in various geotechnical applications, carry a risk of aging under an
25 integrated effect of heat, oxygen, light and other environmental factors, causing
26 potentially infrastructure failure. An eco-friendly and biologically inactive
27 material – basalt fiber, which has excellent natural resistance to aging and can
28 eliminate aging-associated disasters, deserves more attention in geotechnical field.
29 However, quite few studies are available on the beneficial reuse of basalt fibers to
30 improve the engineering performance of soils. Therefore, this study aims to
31 incorporate the sustainable basalt fiber and clarify how its inclusion impacts the
32 mechanical properties and microstructure of cemented kaolinite. The experimental
33 programs are comprised of three types of tests, i.e. two to examine the compressive
34 strength and triaxial shear behavior and one to evaluate the microstructure properties.
35 **The results** indicate that the basalt fiber reinforcement plays an essential role in
36 enhancing the compressive strength and peak deviatoric stress of cemented and
37 uncemented kaolinite. **The inclusion of basalt fibers improves the ductility and**
38 **weakens the brittleness of cemented kaolinite. The compressive strength**
39 **increases with basalt fiber content and curing time, and reaches the peak at the**
40 **fiber content of 0.2 %, followed by a reduction due to the formation of weak zone**
41 **at higher fiber content.** The peak deviatoric stress is elevated until reaching the
42 maximum at the basalt fiber content of 0.4 %, after which further addition of basalt
43 fiber tends to reduce its reinforcing effect. The peak deviatoric stress increases as the
44 basalt fiber length is shortened and the confining pressure is raised. **The strength**

45 **gain of cement-basalt fiber inclusion is much more than the sum of strength**
46 **increase induced by them individually. The combination of basalt fiber and**
47 **cement has the virtues of both cement-stabilized and basalt fiber-reinforced**
48 **kaolinite.** The SEM analysis reveals that the mechanical interaction in the form of
49 interface bonding and friction between kaolinite particle, cement hydration product
50 and basalt fiber is the dominant mechanism controlling the reinforcement-cementation
51 **benefits. The bridging effect (reinforcement) of basalt fibers and binding effect**
52 **(cementation) of hydration products make a major contribution to the formation**
53 **of stable and interconnected microstructure,** which results in an evident
54 improvement in the mechanical behaviour of cemented kaolinite. **The combination of**
55 **basalt fiber and cement stabilization would be an innovative and effective**
56 **method for geotechnical engineering works such as soft ground improvement.**
57 **Keywords:** Basalt fiber; cemented kaolinite; compressive strength; peak deviatoric
58 stress; micro-mechanisms

59

60 **1 Introduction**

61 In the east-coastal area of China, soft clay is widely distributed and commonly
62 encountered, which is the composition of clayey sedimentary or river flushing [1].
63 Over recent years, along with boost economic development and rapid population
64 growth, a huge amount of construction engineering projects such as highway, land
65 reclamation, airport, offshore wind power and sea-crossing bridge have to be built on
66 soft clay grounds. However, the engineering performance of soft clay is worrying and

67 troublesome, principally because of its high water content, high compressibility, low
68 permeability and low bearing capacity. Fortunately, the detrimental effect of soft clay
69 can be effectively overcome by cement/lime solidification process, which is a
70 cost-competitive and efficient route. Hence, cement/lime stabilization technology is
71 becoming more and more popular for soft clay improvement all over the world. It is
72 well known that the cementitious materials such as cement, lime, Class F fly ash,
73 Class C fly ash, lime kiln dust and fiber as reported in [2-11] have been incorporated
74 in soil stabilization.

75 Currently, the fiber as a promising reinforcing material is commonly employed to
76 reinforce cement/lime-treated soft clay in civil engineering practices. Many studies
77 through a number of triaxial compression (TC), unconfined compressive strength
78 (UCS), bearing capacity and direct shear tests have already been conducted to
79 elucidate the effect of fiber reinforcement on cement/lime-treated soft clay. Wang et al.
80 [11] investigated the coupling effect of wheat straw fiber and lime, and even a small
81 amount of wheat straw fibers could improve their intensity of the strain-softening
82 behavior associated with lime stabilization. Tang et al. [12] found that the randomly
83 distributed polypropylene fibers significantly improve the tensile behavior and peak
84 strength of soils and reduce soil cracking. Ammar et al. [13] showed that the interface
85 shear strength parameters of natural hemp fiber-clay are largely affected by the testing
86 mechanisms and drainage conditions based on the interface direct shear and single
87 fiber pullout tests. Wang et al. [14] developed a useful modeling approach to predict
88 the pre-failure and failure behavior of fiber-reinforced clay subjected to TC tests, and

89 the predicted behavior was proved to have a good agreement with the experimental
90 response. The above-stated researches have shown that the fiber can be considered as
91 a good earth reinforcement material, which induces greater strength, roughness and
92 ductility and smaller post-peak strength loss, as compared to soil alone. However, the
93 fibers used in these studies are mostly polypropylene fibers, and only a few works
94 [15,16] **focusing principally on the dynamic properties** have ever attempted to
95 introduce basalt fiber in soil reinforcement. **Until now, the triaxial and uniaxial**
96 **compression behavior of basalt fiber-reinforced cemented soil and**
97 **microstructural interaction between basalt fiber and cemented soil matrix** which
98 deserve to be further investigated are rarely reported.

99 In recent years, the basalt fiber owing to its sustainability and environmentally
100 friendly property has already been proved to be a good substitute for other fiber
101 materials in geotechnical engineering. As a green and environmentally friendly fiber
102 that attracts very little attention until now, it uses natural basalt ore as raw material
103 and the major ingredients are SiO_2 , Al_2O_3 , FeO and Fe_2O_3 . Especially, it is a typical
104 silicate fiber, which has a good natural compatibility (non-hazardous, biologically
105 inactive) with cement composite, excellent mechanical property, high temperature and
106 acid and alkali resistance. The mechanical property of basalt fiber is largely higher
107 than that of polypropylene fiber, but its price is only 1/10 of conventional carbon fiber
108 [17,18]. It has been widely used as the insulation, sound absorption and heat-resistant
109 materials to modify concrete [19-23]. However, the above literature reveals that the
110 application of basalt fiber to the soil reinforcement is indeed rarely reported until now

111 **and the relevant studies are really lacking in the mechanical and microstructural**
112 **properties of basalt fiber-reinforced soils.** As a result, more attentions should be
113 given on the performance and mechanism of basalt fiber to reinforce soft clay,
114 especially in terms of **basalt fiber** reinforcement in cemented clay.

115 Therefore, the objective of this study is to **better understand** the effect of basalt fiber
116 inclusion on the mechanical behavior of cemented kaolinite and identify the
117 corresponding microstructural mechanisms. In order to **clearly** investigate the
118 influence of several factors including basalt fiber content, fiber length, curing time
119 and confining pressure σ_3 , a series of UCS and consolidated-undrained (CU) TC tests
120 are carried out on cemented kaolinite. The interfacial interaction between basalt fibers,
121 cement hydration products and kaolinite particles and **the relevant** microstructure of
122 fiber-reinforced cemented kaolinite are deeply discussed by conducting scanning
123 electron microscopy (SEM) tests, to obtain a preliminary knowledge of the intrinsic
124 mechanisms of basalt fiber inclusion. The final purpose of this study is to propose a
125 novel combination of basalt fiber with cement, which can provide some reference for
126 soft ground improvement.

127 **2 Materials and methods**

128 **2.1 Materials**

129 Kaolinite (abbreviated to K) with grey color was obtained from a kaolinite
130 manufacturer Co. LTD in Yidu city in China, since it is easily obtained in practice. As
131 shown in Table 1, the basic physical characteristics of tested kaolinite were
132 determined in laboratory according to *the standard test methods of soils for highway*

133 *engineering defined by JTG E40-2007* [24]. The initial water content of kaolinite is
134 0.17 %. The specific gravity is 2.75 determined by the pycnometer test. The liquid
135 limit and plastic limit are, respectively, 31.0 % and 20.1 %, measured by the
136 liquid-plastic limit combined test method. Thus, the plasticity index value of this
137 kaolinite material is 10.9 %, which shows a low compressibility. The modified Proctor
138 compaction test provides an optimum water content of 22.4 % and maximum dry
139 density of 1.62 g/cm³ for the tested kaolinite.

140 The cement used in this study is P.O 42.5 ordinary Portland cement (P), and its
141 chemical compositions are listed in Table 2. The basalt fibers (B), as presented in Fig.
142 1, have the length of monofilament of 3 mm, 7 mm and 11 mm. The technical
143 specifications of the chosen basalt fibers are summarized in Table 3. The basalt fiber
144 has a density of 2.63 g/cm³, which is similar to the maximum dry density of kaolinite.
145 The parameters of monofilament are 7-15 μm in diameter, 1050 °C in melting point,
146 tensile strength of 3000-4800 MPa and modulus of elasticity of 91-110 GPa. The
147 above-mentioned data indicate that the basalt fiber has excellent mechanical property,
148 corrosion resistance and acid and alkali resistance.

149 **2.2 Sample preparation and test methods**

150 **2.2.1 Unconfined compressive strength test**

151 The mixture design formulations for investigating the effect of basalt fiber on
152 cemented kaolinite are reported in Table 4, where the abbreviation of KxPyB-z
153 represents the kaolinite samples solidified with x % cement and y % basalt fiber with
154 length of z mm. All the tested samples were prepared under the conditions of optimum

155 moisture content and maximum dry density determined by the modified Proctor
156 compaction tests. To control the economic cost and avoid the fiber agglomeration
157 during mixing, the used basalt fiber contents were 0, 0.1 %, 0.2 %, 0.3 % and 0.4 %
158 for UCS tests, calculated on the dry mass of kaolinite, while the cement content was
159 fixed at 6 % of dry kaolinite weight. **It is noteworthy that the basalt fiber contents**
160 **are chosen based on previous studies [12,17,25] and 6% cement is fixed since it**
161 **was proved as an economic and reasonable amount to improve the engineering**
162 **property of soil according to [26].** First, the distilled water and kaolinite were mixed
163 by a mechanical mixer until the optimum water content of 22.4 % was reached, and
164 afterwards stored in an airtight container for 24 h to achieve an internal uniform
165 moisture distribution. Second, the basalt fibers and cement were simultaneously added
166 in the prepared moist kaolinites and mixed together for 10 mins by a laboratory
167 mechanical mixer, and a visual examination was performed to ensure the homogeneity
168 of mixture. Finally, the prepared mixture was put into a cylindrical mould of 50 mm in
169 diameter and 50 mm in height, and later compacted and demolded through a hydraulic
170 jack. The demolded cylindrical samples were immediately sealed with plastic film,
171 and cured at constant temperature of 20 ± 2 °C and relative humidity of 95 ± 2 % for 3
172 d, 7 d, 14 d, and 28 d. The UCS tests were performed with a universal testing machine,
173 and the vertical loading was applied to the sample at a constant displacement rate of 1
174 mm/min until failure. Three samples were tested for each mixture and the average
175 value was calculated to determine the compressive strength. Note that all the tests
176 were extended to the basalt fiber-reinforced kaolinite (Group 1) as reference, to make

177 a comparison between basalt fiber-reinforced uncemented kaolinite (BFUK) and
178 basalt fiber-reinforced cemented kaolinite (BFCK) (Group 2).

179 **2.2.2 Undrained triaxial compression test**

180 A similar approach was employed in triaxial tests to prepare samples in the cylindrical
181 moulds with 39.1 mm in diameter and 80 mm in height **according to the standard**
182 **test methods of soils for highway engineering defined by JTG E40-2007 [24]**. As
183 shown in Table 4, the designed samples for triaxial tests are kaolinite samples
184 solidified with constant cement content of 6 % and different amounts of basalt fibers
185 with different lengths. Thereafter, Group 3 was developed to investigate the effect of
186 basalt fiber inclusion on the triaxial shear behavior of cemented kaolinite. The basalt
187 fiber contents chosen in Group 3 are 0, 0.1 %, 0.2 %, 0.3 %, 0.4 % and 0.5 % by
188 weight of dry kaolinite powder, and the basalt fiber length is a constant of 11 mm in
189 this case. To investigate the impact of basalt fiber length, Group 4 was designed given
190 that the fiber lengths are 3 mm, 7 mm and 11 mm and the basalt fiber contents are
191 settled at 0.2 % by weight of dry kaolinite. By using a static compaction method, each
192 sample for triaxial tests was compacted in three layers to ensure a uniform compaction
193 degree. Once the sample preparation was completed, the samples were sealed with
194 hermetical plastic film and cured at room temperature of 20 ± 2 °C and relative
195 humidity of $95 \pm 2\%$ for 7 d. After that, the consolidated undrained (CU) triaxial tests
196 were carried out according to Chinese standard JTG E40-2007 [24], and each of the
197 samples was tested at three different confining pressures of 50 kPa, 100 kPa and 200
198 kPa at constant vertical strain rate of 0.1 mm/min. During the loading process, the

199 deviatoric stress $q = \sigma_1 - \sigma_3$ was recorded as a function of axial strain ε until the
200 post-failure regime, and the maximum ε that was permitted to occur in triaxial tests
201 was 5 %. Especially, the whole shearing process was automatically controlled by a
202 specialized computer to realize a real-time acquisition of test data.

203 **2.2.3 SEM test for morphological analysis**

204 The representative specimens after unconfined compression tests were chosen for the
205 detailed microstructural characterization of cement-fiber solidified kaolinites by using
206 scanning electron microscopy. The selected specimens were dried in an oven at 40 °C
207 until they attained constant weight, and then the blocks of a size of about 1 cm³ were
208 prepared. The scanning electron microscopy specimens should be first gold-coated by
209 a sputtering technique to make them conductive and avoid charging effect. Finally, the
210 associated internal changes in the microstructure of cement-treated specimens owing
211 to the basalt fiber inclusion were identified through SEM analysis on FEI Quanta 200
212 machine.

213 **3 Results and Discussion**

214 **3.1 Unconfined compressive strength behavior**

215 **3.1.1 Stress-strain relationship**

216 The stress-strain curves of BFUK and BFCK defined by UCS tests are shown in Fig.
217 2, where all the samples were prepared at varying basalt fiber contents and cured for
218 28 d. It can be seen from Fig. 2 (a) that for BFUK without fiber (K0B), the vertical
219 stress σ_1 increases until its peak of 0.21 MPa corresponding to the failure strain ε_f of
220 3.2 % as the axial strain ε extends, followed by a sharp decrease in stress. The ε_f

221 values of BFCK with 0.1 %, 0.2 %, 0.3 % and 0.4 % basalt fibers are respectively
222 3.2 %, 3.6 %, 3.6 % and 4.3 %, while the corresponding peak stress is 0.20 MPa, 0.25
223 MPa, 0.24 MPa and 0.20 MPa. Compared with K0B, it is evident that the peak stress
224 decreases slightly at the basalt fiber content of 0.1 % (K0.1B-3) and 0.4 % (K0.4B-3),
225 and then goes up if the basalt fiber content changes to 0.2 % (K0.2B-3) and 0.3 %
226 (K0.3B-3).

227 Fig. 2 (b) describes the variation of stress-strain curves of BFCK samples with various
228 basalt fiber contents at 28 d. Upon comparison with Fig. 2 (a), it can be seen that the
229 peak axial stress σ significantly increases owing to the addition of 6 % cement and the
230 maximum peak stress appears for BFCK sample with 0.2 % of basalt fiber
231 (K6P0.2B-3). The σ is initially elevated with an increase in ε until the peak stress is
232 reached, and then the σ decreases sharply as the ε continues to rise. Owing to the
233 effect of cement stabilization, the ε_f of BFCK with 0.1 %, 0.2 %, 0.3 % and 0.4 %
234 basalt fibers are 3.1 %, 3.4 %, 3.5 % and 3.3 %, respectively, and the peak stress is
235 correspondingly 5.49 MPa, 6.56 MPa, 5.72 MPa and 5.48 MPa.

236 Especially, both the BFUK and BFCK samples reinforced with basalt fiber exhibit a
237 more ductile behavior since the failure strain ε_f is more or less raised due to the effect
238 of fiber inclusion. This finding accords well with the previous studies reported in
239 [11,12,15]. Furthermore, the post-peak stress level of BFCK samples is intensified
240 owing to the addition of basalt fiber under the same ε . It is undoubted that one of the
241 main advantages related to the reinforcement effect of basalt fiber when applied to
242 kaolinite is the improvement in material ductility.

243 Especially, from the typical stress-strain curves such as BFUK (K0.2B-3) and BFCK
244 (K6P0.2B-3), it can be concluded that the curves can be divided into four stages: (i)
245 initial compaction stage: the fine particles rearrange and orientate themselves under
246 very low vertical loading, diminishing the interparticle pore volume; (ii) elastic
247 deformation stage: the σ approximately increases linearly when the ε increases; (iii)
248 plastic deformation stage: the σ has a relatively slow growing trend with increasing ε
249 before the σ reaches its peak; (iv) post-failure stage: once the σ exceeds the peak
250 strength, it starts to decrease sharply as the ε slightly increases.

251 **3.1.2 Unconfined compressive strength**

252 Fig. 3 (a) and (b) shows respectively the variation of UCS of BFUK and BFCK
253 samples with varying basalt fiber contents. For BFUK samples in Fig. 3(a), the
254 maximal UCS occurs at the curing time of 28 d, where the UCS decreases a little from
255 0.21 MPa (K0B) to 0.2 MPa (K0.1B), and then rises to 0.262 MPa with the increase
256 of basalt fiber content from 0 to 0.1 % and 0.2 %. However, further increase in basalt
257 fiber content from 0.2 % to 0.3 % and 0.4 % produces a downward trend of UCS,
258 being reduced correspondingly from 0.262 MPa to 0.256 MPa and 0.202 MPa. Hence,
259 it could be deemed that the suitable content of embedded basalt fiber for reinforcing
260 BFUK samples is around 0.2 %, where the highest UCS is reached. For BFUK
261 samples with varying basalt fiber contents, their UCS tends to increase with curing
262 time from 3 d to 28 d, which might be due to the water evaporation from the surface
263 and inside of samples. The reduction in the water content of samples induces an
264 observable augmentation in the UCS with increasing curing time.

265 As shown in Fig. 3 (b), a slight decrease in UCS because of the inclusion of 0.1 %
266 basalt fiber (K6P0.1B) is observed as compared to the sample without basalt fiber
267 (K6P0B), independent of curing time from 3 d to 28 d. The 28-day UCS of samples
268 increases respectively by -1.90 %, 22.92 %, 10.11 % and 3.67 % with basalt fiber
269 content from 0.1 % to 0.4 %, as compared to cemented kaolinite without basalt fiber
270 (K6P0B). These analyses indicate that the suitable content of basalt fiber for 6 %
271 cement solidified samples is around 0.2 % according to the change of UCS. At the
272 basalt fiber content of 0.2 %, the UCS of fiber-reinforced cemented kaolinite at 7 d,
273 14 d and 28 d is respectively 5.20 MPa, 6.26 MPa and 6.55 MPa, increasing by
274 25.00 %, 50.48 % and 57.45 % as compared with the corresponding sample at 3 d
275 (4.16 MPa).

276 In addition, the UCS and strength increase of basalt fiber plus cement solidified
277 samples in Fig. 3 (b) is far more significant than that generated singly by the basalt
278 fiber inclusion in Fig. 3 (a). Under the condition of 0, 0.1 %, 0.2 %, 0.3 % and 0.4 %
279 basalt fiber inclusion, the 28-day strength of BFCK increases correspondingly by
280 25.87, 26.48, 24.02, 21.38 and 26.09 times as compared with the corresponding
281 BFUK samples. Especially, a combination of 0.2 % basalt fiber and 6% cement for
282 28-day kaolinite sample K6P0.2B produces a strength of 6.55 MPa, which is greater
283 than both the strength brought by 0.2 % basalt fiber (i.e. sample K0.2B, 0.262 MPa)
284 and the strength generated by 6 % cement (i.e. sample K6P0B, 5.64 MPa).

285 From the obtained results, it can be seen that the basalt fiber content and curing time
286 play an observable role in the UCS levels. The cementation effect from the cement

287 hydration is much more important than the reinforcing effect of basalt fiber for the
288 UCS amelioration of kaolinite, which has been reported by Wang et al. [11]. The
289 strength gain analysis indicates that the combined effect of basalt fiber and cement
290 within soil matrix (i.e. interfacial mechanical interaction) is not a simple summation
291 of their individual contribution, but is in fact a complex coupled effect on the strength
292 improvement. This conclusion coincides well with the result obtained by Wang et al.
293 [11].

294 **3.2 Triaxial shear behavior**

295 **3.2.1 Deviatoric stress versus axial strain**

296 The typical relationships of deviatoric stress q versus axial strain ε obtained by triaxial
297 compression (TC) tests are shown in Fig. 4, where BFCK sample exhibits an obvious
298 strain-softening behavior. Fig. 4 (a) presents the q versus ε curves of BFCK samples
299 at varying basalt fiber contents and constant confining pressure σ_3 of 100 kPa. The q - ε
300 relationships of BFCK are discussed merely for σ_3 of 100 kPa due to the similarity of
301 the q - ε behavior between different σ_3 levels. It appears that the basalt fiber inclusion
302 contributes to an improvement in the peak deviatoric stress q_p of cement-treated
303 kaolinite samples. The magnitude of this contribution, which varies depending on the
304 basalt fiber content, tends to increase with increasing basalt fiber content from 0.1 %
305 to 0.4 % and afterwards decreases slightly in case of further elevating the fiber content
306 to 0.5 %.

307 The q - ε curves obtained from TC tests are given in Fig. 4 (b) for BFCK samples with
308 varying basalt fiber lengths and at σ_3 of 100 kPa. It can be seen from Fig. 4 (b) that
309 the q_p of BFCK samples with 0.2 % basalt fiber significantly increases with basalt
310 fiber length reduced from 11 mm to 3 mm, and the maximum q_p occurs at the fiber

311 length of 3 mm. As reported in Fig. 4 (c), three different levels of σ_3 including 50 kPa,
312 100 kPa and 200 kPa were employed to evaluate the q - ε curves of samples
313 K6P0.2B-11 and K6P0B. As expected, the results demonstrate that the q_p of BFCK
314 can be greatly improved as σ_3 goes up from 50 kPa to 200 kPa.

315 Therefore, the above-mentioned results reveal that these factors including basalt fiber
316 content, basalt fiber length and confining pressure level could have an important
317 impact on the q - ε behavior of fiber-cement reinforced sample. The optimal basalt
318 fiber content to ameliorate the q - ε behavior of BFCK can be approximately
319 considered as 0.4 %, which is evidently different from the optimal basalt fiber content
320 estimated by UCS tests. Compared to long basalt fibers, shorter discrete fiber mixed
321 uniformly within the soil mass can increase the number of fibers in a unit volume of
322 soil mass, and the acquired reinforcement benefit of basalt fiber to UCS is therefore
323 more pronounced. The lateral deformation of tested samples could be further
324 prevented at higher confining pressure, which enhances to a certain extent the UCS
325 levels.

326 **3.2.2 Variation of peak deviatoric stress**

327 It is obvious to know from Fig. 4 that BFCK samples exhibit strain-softening behavior.
328 Figures 5-7 show the variation of q_p and peak stress ratio R_f of BFCK with basalt fiber
329 content, basalt fiber length and confining pressure. The peak stress ratio R_f is defined
330 as the ratio of peak deviatoric stress q_{pr} of reinforced samples to that of unreinforced
331 samples (without fiber) q_{p0} [27].

$$332 \quad R_f = \frac{q_{pr}}{q_{p0}}$$

333 Fig. 5 shows the effect of varying basalt fiber contents (0, 0.1 %, 0.2 %, 0.3 %, 0.4 %
334 and 0.5 %) on the q_p and R_f of BFCK samples. For instance, at the σ_3 of 100 kPa, the

335 q of cemented kaolinite sample without basalt fiber (K6P0B) is 2.11 MPa, while the
336 addition of 0.1 %, 0.2 %, 0.3 %, 0.4 % and 0.5 % basalt fiber results in an increase of
337 q to 2.64 MPa (K6P0.1B), 2.82 MPa (K6P0.2B), 3.36 MPa (K6P0.3B), 3.62 MPa
338 (K6P0.4B) and 3.40 MPa (K6P0.5B). The R_f correspondingly increases from 1.0
339 (K6P0B) to 1.25 (K6P0.1B), 1.33 (K6P0.2B), 1.59 (K6P0.3B), 1.71 (K6P0.4B) and
340 1.61 (K6P0.5B).

341 The results reveal that the addition of basalt fiber as reinforcing materials provides an
342 approach to enhance the q_p of cemented kaolinite, and the percentage of basalt fiber
343 plays a significant role in improving the q_p of BFCK. Especially, q_p of cemented
344 kaolinite reaches the optimum level at 0.4 % of basalt fiber when compared with other
345 basalt fiber contents, and higher or lower amount of basalt fiber would bring about a
346 decrease in the q_p of basalt fiber-cement solidified kaolinite samples. It is interesting
347 to note that a similar trend can be observed for the change of R_f ratio with basalt fiber
348 content. R_f tends to increase continuously with basalt fiber content, and the maximum
349 ratio occurs also at 0.4 % of basalt fiber, being independent of σ_3 levels.

350 Fig. 6 shows the effect of varying basalt fiber lengths (0, 3 mm, 7 mm and 11 mm) on
351 the q_p and R_f of BFCK. For a specific percentage of 0.2, it can be observed that the
352 introduction of basalt fiber with length of 3 mm, 7 mm and 11 mm improves greatly
353 the q_p of cemented kaolinite compared to the control sample - cemented kaolinite
354 without basalt fiber (i.e. K6P0B, 2.59 MPa). The q_p value reaches up to 4.52 MPa,
355 3.65 MPa and 3.40 MPa after adding 0.2 % basalt fiber with length of 3 mm, 7 mm
356 and 11 mm respectively, while the corresponding R_f changes from 1.0 to 1.45, 1.33
357 and 1.31. Similar to the strength variation with basalt fiber content, the q_p and R_f has a
358 rising trend with fiber length until their individual peak, followed by an observable
359 reduction as a result of further prolongation of fiber length. The analysis indicates that

360 the basalt fiber length exerts a significant impact on the q_p of samples, and shorter
361 fiber length of 3 mm is proved to be more effective than longer fibers of 7 mm and 11
362 mm in enhancing the triaxial shear behavior of cemented kaolinite. This finding is in
363 good agreement with that reported by Prabakar et al. [27], and the relevant
364 microstructural mechanisms will be discussed as follows.

365 In order to study the effect of σ_3 levels, the mechanical properties of BFCK samples
366 defined by triaxial tests are reported in Fig. 7, which shows the q_p evolution of
367 cement-fiber treated samples under three different σ_3 . The q_p values of K6P0.2B-3
368 sample at σ_3 of 50 kPa, 100 kPa and 200 kPa are respectively 3.15 MPa, 3.71 MPa
369 and 4.52 MPa, which are evidently higher than that of other samples.

370 For cement-fiber solidified samples, the q_p has an increasing trend with growing σ_3 ,
371 but R_f presents differently a decreasing tendency. It is potentially because that the
372 cemented kaolinite remains relatively loose under lower σ_3 and a large number of tiny
373 pores may exist inside the samples. Owing to the augmentation in σ_3 , the diminution
374 in pore size and pore volume inside kaolinite samples takes place by expulsion of free
375 water, and the biting force between basalt fibers and kaolinite particles increases,
376 enhancing the basalt fiber reinforcement and sample stiffness.

377 **3.3 Microstructure**

378 **3.3.1 Micro-mechanisms related to basalt fiber inclusion**

379 To understand the macroscopic behavior of basalt fiber-reinforced kaolinite, the
380 microstructural observation should be performed by SEM tests and the results are
381 shown in Figures 8-10. Fig. 8 (a) gives the SEM image of BFUK typical specimen
382 with basalt fiber content of 0.2 % after being subjected to UCS tests. The shape and
383 location of basalt fiber could be clearly identified, appearing inside the typical

384 specimen of uncemented kaolinite. In the local marked magnified area in Fig. 8 (b), it
385 is easier to detect that the basalt fiber surface is attached by many kaolinite minerals,
386 which is indicative of a good bonding between kaolinite particles and basalt fibers.
387 Due to the firmly reinforced interaction between basalt fibers and kaolinite particles,
388 they are capable of jointly bearing the external loadings [28], and the interfacial force
389 between kaolinite particles and basalt fibers increases gradually to resist the external
390 loading imposed on BFUK samples [29]. In this case, the surface roughness of fiber
391 plays an important role in ameliorating the interface friction between fiber and
392 kaolinite particles, improving the resistance to the external loading.

393 Fig. 8 (c) shows the SEM image of typical specimen BFCK solidified with 6 %
394 cement plus 0.2 % basalt fiber. The surface of basalt fiber is widely attached by the
395 hydration products (ettringite AFt and C-S-H gels) of cement and less kaolinite
396 minerals in comparison with the SEM image presented in Fig. 8 (a). It is well known
397 that the main hydration products (**C-S-H gels, AFt etc.**) can greatly improve the
398 strength performance by cementing fine grains and filling pore spaces [1-8]. This
399 helps to improve the physical bonding strength of kaolinite particles and enhance the
400 adhesion of basalt fiber with cemented soil matrix.

401 Fig. 8 (d) shows the local marked magnified area as outlined in Fig. 8 (c). **It is clear**
402 **that the hydration products including fine fibrillar C-S-H gels (they might grow**
403 **gradually into reticulate or honeycomb-like network as reaction proceeds) and**
404 **rod-like AFt crystals are wrapped tightly around cement grains and effectively**
405 **restricts the relative movement between basalt fibers and cemented aggregates**

406 (especially at cement-fibre interface). To firmly support the SEM analysis on
407 cement-fiber solidified soil, the EDX patterns of C-S-H gels and Aft are shown in
408 Figure 8 (e)-(f). The rod-like structure found at some locations in Figure 8(e)
409 contains calcium, silica, oxygen and significant amount of sulfur and can be
410 labeled as Aft, and this finding agrees well with Li et al. [30]. The gel-like
411 structure marked in Figure 8(f) reveals the presence of calcium, silica and oxygen
412 in high concentrations and could be confirmed as C-S-H gels (gelled aggregates
413 contained potentially Aft and fine soil), and this conclusion has a good agreement
414 with Alawad et al. [31]. Therefore, this contributes significantly to the solidification
415 benefit brought by the coupling effect of basalt fiber inclusion and cementitious
416 hydration products, and the strength of BFCK is reasonably much higher than that of
417 BFUK. This is the main reason why the combination of basalt fiber and cement
418 hydration seems to be more efficient and attractive in improving the strength behavior
419 than the single addition of basalt fiber or cement alone.

420 3.3.2 Identification of fiber distribution mode and interface friction

421 Fig. 9 shows the distribution modes of basalt fibers embedded within the BFCK
422 specimens at 200 times magnification. It is obvious that the randomly distributed
423 basalt fibers in these specimens act similarly to the plant roots, and meanwhile, the
424 high binding ability of hydration products attached on the fiber surface toughens the
425 randomly distributed fibers as well, distributing the applied stresses in a broader area
426 and inhibiting further the fissure propagation. According to the SEM micrograph in
427 Fig. 9 (a), it can be clearly seen that a few basalt fibers are tightly surrounded by

428 kaolinite particles within BFCK specimen with 0.1 % basalt fiber. For the SEM
429 micrograph of BFCK with 0.2 % basalt fiber in Fig. 9 (b), the surface of basalt fiber is
430 attached by cement hydration products and kaolinite matrix, strengthening the
431 interfacial interaction between fiber, cement and kaolinite. Accordingly, the basalt
432 fibers, cement hydration products and kaolinite particles contribute jointly to the
433 formation of a stable and interconnected structure inside the specimens through the
434 combined action of cementation, pore filling and bridging. When the samples are
435 subjected to external loading, the bridging effect of fibers and cementation effect of
436 hydration products can efficiently impede the further development of tension cracks
437 and the loading-related deformation of kaolinite samples. As a result, the basalt
438 fiber-reinforced cemented kaolinite demonstrates in Fig. 2 (b) a somewhat stronger
439 ductile behavior. This provides a reasonable explanation for the notable change in the
440 brittleness-ductility characteristics (i.e. stress-strain behavior) of cement-fiber
441 reinforced kaolinite samples.

442 Owing to the further addition of basalt fiber, more basalt fibers per unit section can
443 be identified in the SEM micrograph of BFCK specimen with 0.3 % basalt fiber in Fig.
444 9 (c), where the basalt fibers are non-uniformly distributed. This can result in a more
445 intensified mechanical interaction between basalt fibers inside the specimens and also
446 the potential formation of weak surface. Note that the phenomenon of fiber
447 agglomeration may occur in the case of non-uniformly mixed matrix. As a result, the
448 basalt fiber may slide out of the cemented kaolinite specimen when subjected to
449 external loading and the sliding failure occurs most probably along the weak surface.

450 Therefore, the presumable reason for the UCS decrease of sample with 0.3 % basalt
451 fiber is closely related to the non-uniform distribution of basalt fibers, which have
452 also been mentioned by Ma and Gao [15] and Ahmad and Chen [32]. This may be the
453 dominant reason why further inclusion of basalt fiber beyond its optimum amount
454 brings about a drop in the compressive strength and shear resistance of fiber-cement
455 solidified specimens. Hence, it can be proposed that the strength improvement of
456 BFCK samples depends principally on three factors: (i) tensile strength of basalt fiber,
457 (ii) surface roughness of basalt fiber and bonding behavior of cemented kaolinite and
458 (iii) distribution and size of basalt fiber in cemented kaolinite. The cementitious
459 hydration products of cement and reinforcement of basalt fibers create altogether a
460 better bridging property, reinforce the interlocking of cemented aggregates and fill in
461 pore spaces within cemented kaolinite, which without doubt leads to superior strength
462 performance than cemented kaolinite without basalt fiber.

463 As presented in Fig. 10, the experimental evidence is captured to identify the interface
464 friction between basalt fiber and kaolinite. Fig. 10 (a) shows the pullout behavior of
465 basalt fibers, in which a scratch trace is formed on the specimen section and the
466 failure mechanism of BFCK is revealed. When the specimen is subjected to external
467 loading, the basalt fibers with excellent tensile strength can further prevent the
468 extension of cracks and prolongation of fractures, until they are pulled out of kaolinite
469 specimens. The interface friction between fiber and cemented particles, which is
470 closely related to the surface roughness of basalt fiber, provides a strong pullout
471 resistance to the tensile traction transformed from external loading. As shown in Fig.

472 10 (b), the scratch trace at the ends of basalt fibers can also be observed, and this may
473 be predominantly the original section formed during the fiber production process or
474 caused by the friction between basalt fibers and hard particles. In Fig. 8 and Fig. 9, it
475 is apparent that most of the basalt fibers are naturally stretched due to its excellent
476 mechanical performance and the surface has no evident deformation or scratch. This
477 is different from the phenomenon of a lot of scratches squeezed on the surface of
478 polypropylene fibers in the marked area of Fig. 10 (c), because the basalt fiber has
479 much more excellent mechanical resistance to deformation than the polypropylene
480 fiber.

481 **3.3.3 Schematic illustration of fiber-cement-kaolinite system**

482 The mechanical strength of cement-stabilized soils are greatly influenced by several
483 factors such as cement content, curing time and water to cement ratio [1,5,6,33,34],
484 which are tightly associated with a series of physic-chemical reactions including
485 cation exchange, flocculation, hydration and potential pozzolanic reactions between
486 cement and moist soils. The chemical reactions can generate new cementitious phases,
487 such as C-S-H gels and ettringite etc., as detected in SEM images, and promote the
488 structural integrity and mechanical behavior of cemented kaolinites by physical
489 bonding and pore-filling. The incorporation of basalt fiber into kaolinite matrix can
490 produce a reinforcement effect, which would affect the stiffness, failure strain and
491 compressive strength of stabilized kaolinites [11,12,25,28]. The bridging benefit of
492 basalt fiber could intensify the interfacial interaction between basalt fiber and
493 kaolinite particle, including interface cohesion and interface interaction. This can

494 effectively improve the resistance of kaolinite to deformation and limit the
495 development of micro-cracks within samples. Especially, shorter basalt fiber increases
496 the contact area and interface force between fiber and kaolinite, thereby improving the
497 net-like interconnected structure and the mechanical performance of cement-fiber
498 stabilized kaolinite.

499 According to the above-stated SEM analysis, Figure 11 summarizes the interfacial
500 mechanical behavior of kaolinite stabilized with cement-basalt fiber. It can be found
501 that the mechanical interaction between basalt fibers, **cement hydration products**
502 **(C-S-H gels, AFt etc.)** and clay particles is mainly composed by three forces
503 originating from different sources: (i) the hydration products of cement can effectively
504 bond clay particles and basalt fibers together, leading to the formation of a
505 well-interconnected and stable skeletal structure; (ii) the adhesive force is the main
506 force between basalt fibers and kaolinite particles since the kaolinite is mainly
507 composed of fine particles; and (iii) certain amount of kaolinite particles are attached
508 on the rough surface and edge of basalt fibers, which would produce the
509 non-negligible biting force and interface friction to restrict the deformation and relative
510 movement when the samples are subjected to external loading. Especially, a small
511 amount of carbonates might unexpectedly emerge in certain cases owing to the
512 carbonation of reactive binder after exposure to CO₂ in air during the mixture
513 preparation and standard curing of samples, and the carbonation reactions and
514 corresponding products have been systematically discussed by Wang et al. [35-38].

515 **4 Conclusions**

516 As an eco-friendly and biologically inactive material that until now attracts very little
517 attention, the combination of basalt fiber with cement has been proposed as an
518 efficient and cost-effective method to treat soft clays in ground engineering. This
519 study highlights the effect of basalt fiber inclusion on the mechanical properties and
520 microscopic mechanisms of kaolinite through a series of UCS, TC and SEM tests. The
521 main conclusions drawn from the obtained data are summarized as follows.

522 (i) The basalt fiber reinforcement combined with cement stabilization is demonstrated
523 to be effective for the improvement in the compressive strength and shear resistance
524 of kaolinite. However, the single presence of basalt fiber plays a minor influence on
525 the compressive strength in comparison with the combination of basalt fiber and
526 cement, which has the virtues of both basalt fiber-reinforced kaolinite and
527 cement-stabilized kaolinite.

528 (ii) The inclusion of basalt fiber can improve the ductility behavior and post-peak
529 strength of cemented kaolinite owing to the bridging effect. It exists an optimum
530 basalt fiber content of 0.2 % where the UCS reaches its peak, and higher or lower
531 content of basalt fiber causes strength degradation rather than an improvement.

532 (iii) The peak deviatoric stress defined by triaxial tests have an increasing trend until
533 an optimum basalt fiber content of 0.4 %, after which further increase in fiber content
534 reduces the reinforcement effect due to its non-uniform distribution within samples.
535 Short fiber length of 3 mm is proved more effective in improving the peak deviatoric
536 stress of cemented kaolinite than longer fiber of 7 mm and 11 mm. The elevated
537 confining pressure results in a continuous improvement in the peak deviatoric stress.

538 (iv) The interfacial mechanical interaction between kaolinite, cement hydration
539 product and basalt fiber contributes to the formation of a well-interconnected skeletal
540 structure by the combination of fiber reinforcement and cement stabilization. This is
541 the main reason why the inclusion of randomly distributed basalt fiber can greatly
542 improve the mechanical performance of cemented kaolinite.

543

544 **Acknowledgment**

545 This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant
546 no. 51879202, no. 51609180).

547

548 **References**

549 [1] T. Deng, Y. Deng, X. Yue, Y. Cui, Deterioration of marine soft clay at east China
550 solidified by cement-metakaolin composite, *Environ. Geotech.*, (2019)
551 <https://doi.org/10.1680/jenge.18.00202>.

552 [2] D. Wang, R. Zentar, N. E. Abriak, Durability and swelling of solidified/stabilized
553 dredged marine soils with class F fly ash, cement and lime, *J. Mater. Civil Eng.* 30(3)
554 (2018) 04018013.

555 [3] D. Wang, R. Wang, M. Benzerzour, H. Wang, N.E. Abriak, Comparison between
556 reactive MgO- and Na₂SO₄-activated low-calcium fly ash solidified soils dredged
557 from East Lake, China, *Mar. Georesour. Geotec.* (2019) DOI:
558 10.1080/1064119X.2019.1648616.

559 [4] D. Wang, N. E. Abriak, R. Zentar, One-dimensional consolidation of lime-treated

560 dredged harbour sediments, *Eur. J. Environ. Civil En.* 19(2) (2015) 199-218.

561 [5] D. Wang, L. Korkiala-Tanttu, On the normalized behaviour of naturally and
562 artificially structured clays, *Eng. Geol.* 214 (2016) 20-28.

563 [6] D. Wang, N. E. Abriak, R. Zentar, Temperature-accelerated strength development
564 in stabilised marine soils as road construction materials, *J. Mater. Civil Eng.* 2017,
565 29(5): 04016281.

566 [7] B. K. Dahal, J. J. Zheng, R. J. Zhang, D. B. Song, Enhancing the mechanical
567 properties of marine clay using cement solidification, *Mar. Georesour. Geotec.* 37(6)
568 (2019) 755-764.

569 [8] L. Liu, A. Zhou, Y. Deng, Y. Cui, Z. Yu, C. Yu, Strength performance of
570 cement/slag-based stabilized soft clays, *Constr. Build. Mater.* 211 (2019) 909-918.

571 [9] P. Jamsawang, H. Poorahong, N. Yoobanpot, S. Songpiriyakij, P. Jongpradist,
572 Improvement of soft clay with cement and bagasse ash waste, *Constr. Build. Mater.*
573 154 (2017) 61-71.

574 [10] X. Kang, G. C. Kang, K. Chang, L. Ge, Chemically stabilized soft clays for
575 road-base construction, *J. Mater. Civil Eng.* 27(7) (2015) 04014199.

576 [11] Y. X. Wang, P. P. Guo, X. Li, H. Lin, Behavior of fiber-reinforced and
577 lime-stabilized clayey soil in triaxial tests, *Appl. Sci.-Basel* 9(5) (2019) 900.

578 [12] C. S. Tang, D. Y. Wang, Y. J. Cui, B. Shi, J. Li, Tensile strength of
579 fiber-reinforced soil, *J. Mater. Civil Eng.* 28(7) (2016) 04016031.

580 [13] A. Ammar, S. Najjar, S. Sadek, Mechanics of the interface interaction between
581 hemp fibers and compacted clay, *Int. J. Geomech.* 19(4) (2019) 04019015.

- 582 [14] Y. Wang, P. Guo, F. Dai, X. Li, Y. Zhao, Y. Liu, Behavior and modeling of
583 fiber-reinforced clay under triaxial compression by combining the superposition
584 method with the energy-based homogenization technique, *Int. J. Geomech.* 18(12)
585 (2018) 04018172.
- 586 [15] Q. Ma, C. Gao, Effect of basalt fiber on the dynamic mechanical properties of
587 cement-soil in SHPB test, *J. Mater. Civil Eng.* 30(8) (2018) 04018185.
- 588 [16] M. E. Orakoglu, J. K. Liu, F. J. Niu, Dynamic behavior of fiber-reinforced soil
589 under freeze-thaw cycles, *Soil. Dyn. Earthq. Eng.* 101 (2017) 269-284.
- 590 [17] P. Sharma, An introduction to basalt rock fiber and comparative analysis of
591 engineering properties of BRF and other natural composites, *International Journal for*
592 *Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology* 4(I) (2016) 141-147.
- 593 [18] H. Jamshaid, R. Mishra, A green material from rock: basalt fiber - a review, *J.*
594 *Text. I.* 107(7) (2016) 923-937.
- 595 [19] C. Buratti, E. Moretti, E. Belloni, F. Agosti, Thermal and acoustic performance
596 evaluation of new basalt fiber insulation panels for buildings, *Energy Procedia* 78
597 (2015) 303-308.
- 598 [20] A. G. Novitskii, High-temperature heat-insulating materials based on fibers from
599 basalt-type rock materials, *Refract. Ind. Ceram.* 45(2) (2004) 144-146.
- 600 [21] E. Moretti, E. Belloni, F. Agosti, Innovative mineral fiber insulation panels for
601 buildings: Thermal and acoustic characterization, *Appl. Energ.* 169 (2016) 421-432.
- 602 [22] Y. V. Lipatov, S. I. Gutnikov, M. S. Manylov, E. S. Zhukovskaya, B. I. Lazoryak,
603 High alkali-resistant basalt fiber for reinforcing concrete, *Mater. Design* 73 (2015)

604 60-66.

605 [23] A. B. Kizilkanat, N. Kabay, V. Akyüncü, S. Chowdhury, A. H. Akça, Mechanical
606 properties and fracture behavior of basalt and glass fiber reinforced concrete: An
607 experimental study, *Constr. Build. Mater.* 100 (2015) 218-224.

608 [24] JTG E40-2007, Test Method of Soils for Highway Engineering, Ministry of
609 Transport of PRC., Beijing: China Communications Press, 2007.

610 [25] C. Tang, B. Shi, W. Gao, F. Chen, Y. Cai, Strength and mechanical behavior of
611 short polypropylene fiber reinforced and cement stabilized clayey soil, *Geotext.*
612 *Geomembranes* 25(3) (2007) 194-202.

613 [26] D. Wang, N. E. Abriak, R. Zentar, W. Y. Xu, Solidification/stabilization of
614 dredged marine sediments for road construction, *Environ. Technol.* 33(1) (2012)
615 95-101.

616 [27] J. Prabakar, R. S. Sridhar, Effect of random inclusion of sisal fibre on strength
617 behaviour of soil, *Constr. Build. Mater.* 16(2) (2002) 123-131.

618 [28] C. S. Tang, B. Shi, L. Z. Zhao, Interfacial shear strength of fiber reinforced soil,
619 *Geotext. Geomembranes* 28(1) (2010) 54-62.

620 [29] N. Cristelo, V. M. Cunha, M. Dias, A. T. Gomes, T. Miranda, N. Araújo,
621 Influence of discrete fibre reinforcement on the uniaxial compression response and
622 seismic wave velocity of a cement-stabilised sandy-clay, *Geotext. Geomembranes*
623 43(1) (2015) 1-13.

624 [30] H. Li, X. Guan, X. Zhang, P. Ge, X. Hu, D. Zou, Influence of superfine ettringite
625 on the properties of sulphoaluminate cement-based grouting materials, *Constr. Build.*

626 Mater. 166 (2018) 723-731.

627 [31] O. A. Alawad, A. Alhozaimy, M. S. Jaafar, A. Al-Negheimish, F. N. A. Aziz,
628 Microstructure analyses of autoclaved ground dune sand-Portland cement paste,
629 Constr. Build. Mater. 65 (2014) 14-19.

630 [32] M. R. Ahmad, B. Chen, Effect of silica fume and basalt fiber on the mechanical
631 properties and microstructure of magnesium phosphate cement (MPC) mortar, Constr.
632 Build. Mater. 190 (2018) 466-478.

633 [33] D. Wang, L. Korkiala-Tanttu, 1-D compressibility behaviour of cement-lime
634 stabilized soft clays, Eur. J. Environ. Civ. En. (2019) DOI
635 10.1080/14680629.2018.1439400.

636 [34] D. Wang, X. Gao, R. Wang, S. Larsson, M. Benzerzour, Elevated curing
637 temperature-associated strength and mechanisms of reactive MgO-activated industrial
638 by-products solidified soils, Mar. Georesour. Geotec. (2019) DOI:
639 10.1080/1064119X.2019.1610817.

640 [35] D. Wang, J. Zhu, F. He, Quantification and micro-mechanisms of CO₂
641 sequestration in magnesia-lime-fly ash/slag solidified soils, Int. J. Greenh. Gas Con.
642 91 (2019) 102827.

643 [36] D. Wang, J. Zhu, F. He, CO₂ carbonation-induced improvement in strength and
644 microstructure of reactive MgO-CaO-fly ash-solidified soils, Constr. Build. Mater.
645 229 (2019) 116914.

646 [37] D. Wang, J. Xiao, F. He, Y. Zhou, Durability evolution and associated
647 micro-mechanisms of carbonated reactive MgO-fly ash solidified sludge from East

648 Lake, China, Constr. Build. Mater. 208 (2019) 1-12.

649 [38] D. Wang, J. Xiao, X. Gao, Strength gain and microstructure of carbonated

650 reactive MgO-fly ash so-lidified sludge from East Lake, China, Eng. Geol. 251 (2019)

651 37-47.

Figures

Fig. 1 Basalt fibers used in this study

- (a) Dispersed 3-mm length fiber
- (b) Dispersed 7-mm length fiber
- (c) Dispersed 11-mm length fiber
- (d) Clustered 3-mm length fiber
- (e) Clustered 7-mm length fiber
- (f) Clustered 11-mm length fiber

Fig. 2 Stress-strain analysis of kaolinite samples under varying basalt fiber contents at 28 d

- (a) BFUK
- (b) BFCK

Fig. 3 Compressive strength of kaolinite samples under varying basalt fiber contents

- (a) BFUK
- (b) BFCK

Fig. 4 Typical deviatoric stress-strain relationships by triaxial tests on BFCK samples

- (a) At varying basalt fiber contents and confining pressure of 100 kPa
- (b) At varying basalt fiber lengths and confining pressure of 100 kPa
- (c) At varying confining pressures for samples K6P0.2B-11 and K6P0B

Fig. 5 Variation of deviatoric stress at peak state and peak stress ratio with varying basalt fiber contents

- (a) peak deviatoric stress
- (b) peak stress ratio

Fig. 6 Variation of peak deviatoric stress and peak stress ratio with varying basalt fiber lengths at 0.2 % basalt fiber

- (a) peak deviatoric stress
- (b) peak stress ratio

Fig. 7 Variation of peak deviatoric stress and peak stress ratio at varying confining

pressures

- (a) peak deviatoric stress (b) peak stress ratio

Fig. 8 SEM images and EDX patterns of typical specimens

- (a) BFUK with 0.2 % basalt fiber ($\times 2000$)
(b) local marked magnified area of (a) ($\times 5000$)
(c) BFCK with 0.2 % basalt fiber ($\times 2000$)
(d) local marked magnified area of (c) ($\times 5000$)
(e) EDX pattern of AFt
(f) EDX pattern of C-S-H

Fig. 9 Distribution of basalt fibers in BFCK

- (a) 0.1 % basalt fiber ($\times 200$) (b) 0.2 % basalt fiber ($\times 200$)
(c) 0.3 % basalt fiber ($\times 200$)

Fig. 10 Experimental evidence of friction between fiber and kaolinite

- (a) pullout of basalt fibers forms a scratch on the kaolinite section
(b) a scratch at the end of basalt fiber
(c) lots of scratches on the polypropylene fiber surface (from Tang et al. [29])

Fig. 11 Sketch of interfacial mechanical behavior between kaolinite particles, cement hydration products and basalt fibers






Fig. 1 Basalt fibers used in this study




(a) BFUK




(b) BFCK

Fig. 2 Stress-strain analysis of kaolinite samples under varying basalt fiber contents at




(a) BFUK




(b) BFCK


Fig. 3 Compressive strength of kaolinite samples under varying basalt fiber contents



(a) At varying basalt fiber contents and confining pressure of 100 kPa




(b) At varying basalt fiber lengths and confining pressure of 100 kPa




(c) At varying confining pressures for samples K6P0.2B-11 and K6P0B

Fig. 4 Typical deviatoric stress-strain relationships by triaxial tests on BFCK samples




(a) Peak deviatoric stress




(b) Peak stress ratio

Fig. 5 Variation of deviatoric stress at peak state and peak stress ratio with varying basalt fiber contents




(a) Peak deviatoric stress




(b) Peak stress ratio

Fig. 6 Variation of peak deviatoric stress and peak stress ratio with varying basalt fiber lengths at 0.2 % basalt fiber





(a) Peak deviatoric stress





(b) Peak stress ratio


Fig. 7 Variation of peak deviatoric stress and peak stress ratio at varying confining pressures




(a) BFUK with 0.2 % basalt fiber ($\times 2000$) (b) local marked magnified area of (a)
($\times 5000$)



(c) BFCK with 0.2 % basalt fiber ($\times 2000$) (d) local marked magnified area of (c)
($\times 5000$)



(e) EDX pattern of Aft




(f) EDX pattern of C-S-H


Fig. 8 SEM images and EDX patterns of typical specimens



(a) 0.1 % basalt fiber (×200)




(b) 0.2 % basalt fiber (×200)




(c) 0.3 % basalt fiber (×200)

Fig. 9 Distribution of basalt fibers in BFCK



(a) pullout of basalt fibers forms a scratch on the kaolinite section (b) a scratch at the end of basalt fiber



(c) lots of scratches on the polypropylene fiber surface (from Tang et al. [25])

Fig. 10 Experimental evidence of friction between fiber and kaolinite




Fig. 11 Sketch of interfacial mechanical behavior between kaolinite particles, cement hydration products and basalt fibers

Tables

Table 1 Basic physical characteristics of kaolinite

Table 2 Chemical compositions of ordinary Portland cement

Table 3 Technical specifications of employed basalt fiber

Table 4 Mix formulations (wt%) for UCS and CU triaxial tests

Table 1 Basic physical characteristics of kaolinite

Parameters	Values
Initial water content (%)	0.17
Specific gravity	2.75
Liquid limit (%)	31.0
Plastic limit (%)	20.1
Plasticity index (%)	10.9
Optimum water content (%)	22.4
Maximum dry density (g/cm ³)	1.62

Table 2 Chemical compositions of ordinary Portland cement

Chemical composition	Content by mass (%)
CaO	62.4
SiO ₂	22.6
Al ₂ O ₃	5.7
Fe ₂ O ₃	4.3
MgO	0.8
SO ₃	1.1
Other oxides	3.1

Table 3 Technical specifications of employed basalt fiber

Parameter	Value
Fiber type	Monofilament
Density (g/cm ³)	2.63
Diameter (μm)	7-15
Tensile strength (MPa)	3000-4800
Modulus of elasticity (GPa)	91-110
Melting point (°C)	1050
Acid and alkali resistance	Excellent

Table 4 Mix formulations (wt%) for UCS and CU triaxial tests

Types of tests	Group	Mixture ID	Content (% by weight)			Length of basalt fiber (mm)	Curing time (d)
			Kaolinite	Cement	Basalt fiber		
UCS test	1	K0B	100	0	0	—	3, 7, 14, 28
		K0.1B	99.9	0	0.1	11	3, 7, 14, 28
		K0.2B	99.8	0	0.2	11	3, 7, 14, 28
		K0.3B	99.7	0	0.3	11	3, 7, 14, 28
		K0.4B	99.6	0	0.4	11	3, 7, 14, 28
	2	K6P0B	94	6	0	—	3, 7, 14, 28
		K6P0.1B	93.9	6	0.1	11	3, 7, 14, 28
		K6P0.2B	93.8	6	0.2	11	3, 7, 14, 28
		K6P0.3B	93.7	6	0.3	11	3, 7, 14, 28
		K6P0.4B	93.6	6	0.4	11	3, 7, 14, 28
CU TC test	3	K6P0B	94	6	0	—	7
		K6P0.1B	93.9	6	0.1	11	7
		K6P0.2B	93.8	6	0.2	11	7
		K6P0.3B	93.7	6	0.3	11	7
		K6P0.4B	93.6	6	0.4	11	7
		K6P0.5B	93.5	6	0.5	11	7
	4	K6P0.2B-3	93.8	6	0.2	3	7
		K6P0.2B-7	93.8	6	0.2	7	7
		K6P0.2B-11	93.8	6	0.2	11	7