

A spectral independence view on hard spheres via block dynamics

Tobias Friedrich, Andreas Göbel, Martin S Krejca, Marcus Pappik

▶ To cite this version:

Tobias Friedrich, Andreas Göbel, Martin S Krejca, Marcus Pappik. A spectral independence view on hard spheres via block dynamics. International Colloquium on Automata, Languages and Programming 2021, Jul 2021, Glasgow, United Kingdom. pp.66:1–66:15, 10.4230/LIPIcs.ICALP.2021.66. hal-03223196

HAL Id: hal-03223196 https://hal.science/hal-03223196v1

Submitted on 10 May 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A spectral independence view on hard spheres via block dynamics

3 Tobias Friedrich 🖂 回

- 4 Hasso Plattner Institute, University of Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany
- ₅ Andreas Göbel ⊠ 💿
- 6 Hasso Plattner Institute, University of Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany
- 7 Martin S. Krejca 🖂 回
- ⁸ Sorbonne University, CNRS, LIP6, Paris, France

🤋 Marcus Pappik 🖂

10 Hasso Plattner Institute, University of Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany

11 — Abstract

The hard-sphere model is one of the most extensively studied models in statistical physics. It 12 describes the continuous distribution of spherical particles, governed by hard-core interactions. An 13 important quantity of this model is the normalizing factor of this distribution, called the *partition* 14 function. We propose a Markov chain Monte Carlo algorithm for approximating the grand-canonical 15 partition function of the hard-sphere model in d dimensions. Up to a fugacity of $\lambda < e/2^d$, the 16 runtime of our algorithm is polynomial in the volume of the system. This covers the entire known 17 real-valued regime for the uniqueness of the Gibbs measure. 18 19 Key to our approach is to define a discretization that closely approximates the partition function

of the continuous model. This results in a discrete hard-core instance that is exponential in the size of 20 the initial hard-sphere model. Our approximation bound follows directly from the correlation decay 21 threshold of an infinite regular tree with degree equal to the maximum degree of our discretization. 22 To cope with the exponential blow-up of the discrete instance we use clique dynamics, a Markov 23 chain that was recently introduced in the setting of abstract polymer models. We prove rapid mixing 24 of clique dynamics up to the tree threshold of the univariate hard-core model. This is achieved by 25 relating clique dynamics to block dynamics and adapting the spectral expansion method, which was 26 27 recently used to bound the mixing time of Glauber dynamics within the same parameter regime.

 $_{28}$ 2012 ACM Subject Classification Theory of computation \rightarrow Random walks and Markov chains

Keywords and phrases Hard-sphere Model, Markov Chain, Partition Function, Gibbs Distribution,
 Approximate Counting, Spectral Independence

- ³¹ Digital Object Identifier 10.4230/LIPIcs.ICALP.2021.61
- 32 Category Track A: Algorithms, Complexity and Games
- Related Version Full Version: https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.07443

³⁴ Funding Martin S. Krejca: This work was supported by the Paris Île-de-France Region.

35 **1** Introduction

Statistical physics models particle systems as probability distributions. One of the most
fundamental and mathematically challenging models in this area is the hard-sphere model,
which plays a central role in understanding the thermodynamic properties of monoatomic
gases and liquids [7, 29]. It is a continuous model that studies the distribution and macroscopic
behavior of indistinguishable spherical particles, assuming only hard-core interactions, i.e.,
no two particles can occupy the same space.
We focus on computational properties of the grand-canonical ensemble of the hard-sphere

43 model in a finite d-dimensional cubic region $\mathbb{V} = [0, \ell)^d$ in the Euclidean space. In the



© Tobias Friedrich and Andreas Göbel and Martin S. Krejca and Marcus Pappik; licensed under Creative Commons License CC-BY 4.0

48th International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming (ICALP 2021). Editors: Nikhil Bansal, Emanuela Merelli, and James Worrell; Article No. 61; pp. 61:1–61:15

Nikhil Bansal, Emanuela Merelli, and James Worrell; Article No
 Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics

LIPICS Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany

61:2 A spectral independence view on hard spheres via block dynamics

grand-canonical ensemble, the system can exchange particles with its surrounding based on a fugacity parameter λ , which is inverse to the temperature of the system. For the rest of the paper, we make the common assumption that the system is normalized such that the particles have unit volume. That means we fix their radii to $r = (1/v_d)^{1/d}$, where v_d is the volume of a unit sphere in d dimensions.

⁴⁹ A simple probabilistic interpretation of the distribution of particles in the grand-canonical ⁵⁰ ensemble is that centers of points that are drawn from a Poisson point process on \mathbb{V} with ⁵¹ intensity λ , conditioned on the event that no two particles overlap (i.e., every pair of centers ⁵² has distance at least 2r). The resulting distribution over particle configurations in \mathbb{V} is ⁵³ called the *Gibbs distribution* of the model. An important quantity in such models is the ⁵⁴ so called partition function $Z(\mathbb{V}, \lambda)$, which can be seen as the normalizing constant of the ⁵⁵ Gibbs distribution. Formally, it is defined as

⁵⁶
$$Z(\mathbb{V},\lambda) = 1 + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \int_{\mathbb{V}^k} D\left(x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(k)}\right) \mathrm{d}\nu^{d \times k},$$

57 where

$$D\left(x^{(1)},\ldots,x^{(k)}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } d\left(x^{(i)},x^{(j)}\right) \ge 2r \text{ for all } i,j\in[k] \text{ with } i\neq j \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

⁵⁹ and $\nu^{d \times k}$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$. Commonly, two computational task are ⁶⁰ associated with the grand-canonical hard-sphere model: (1) approximating its partition ⁶¹ function $Z(\mathbb{V}, \lambda)$, and (2) approximately sampling from the Gibbs distribution.

Studying computational aspects of the hard-sphere model carries a historical weight, as in 62 the seminal work of Metropolis [41], the Monte Carlo method was introduced to investigate 63 a two-dimensional hard-sphere model. Approximate-sampling Markov chain approaches have 64 been mainly focused on the canonical ensemble of the model, that is, the system does not 65 exchange particles with its surrounding and thus, the distribution is defined over a fixed 66 number of spheres [31, 36, 34]. Considering the grand canonical ensemble, exact sampling 67 algorithms have appeared in the literature for the two-dimensional model without asymptotic 68 runtime guarantees [37, 38, 43]. A result that is more aligned with theoretical computer 69 science was given in [28], where the authors introduced an exact sampling algorithm for the 70 grand-canonical hard-sphere model in *d*-dimensions. Their algorithm is based on partial 71 rejection sampling with a runtime linear in the volume of the system |V| when assuming a 72 continuous computational model and access to a sampler from a continuous Poisson point 73 process. Their approach is guaranteed to apply for $\lambda < 2^{-(d+1/2)}$. 74

Besides such sampling results, there is an ongoing effort to improve the known fugacity 75 regime where the Gibbs measure is unique and correlations decay exponentially fast [22, 14, 76 32, 42]. Note that for many discrete spin systems, such as the hard-core model, correlation 77 decay is closely related to the applicability of different methods for efficient approximation of 78 the partition function [50, 24, 54]. Recently, the correlation decay bounds for the hard-sphere 79 model were improved in [32] to $\lambda < 2/2^d$, using probabilistic arguments, and in [42] to 80 $\lambda < e/2^d$, based on an analytic approach. A common feature of [32] and [42] is that they 81 translated tools originally developed in theoretical computer science for investigating the 82 discrete hard-core model to the continuous domain. 83

Our work is in line with the computational view on the hard-sphere model but more algorithmic in nature. We investigate the range of the fugacity λ for which an approximation of $Z(\mathbb{V}, \lambda)$ can be obtained efficiently in terms of the volume of the system $|\mathbb{V}|$, assuming a

discrete computational model. Our main result is that for all $\lambda < e/2^d$ there is a randomized algorithm for ε -approximating the partition function in time polynomial in |V| and $1/\varepsilon$.

Theorem 1. Let (\mathbb{V}, λ) be an instance of the continuous hard-sphere model with $\mathbb{V} = [0, \ell)^d$. If there is a $\delta \in (0, 1]$ such that

$$_{91} \qquad \lambda \le (1-\delta)\frac{\mathrm{e}}{2^d},$$

⁹² then for each $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$ there is a randomized ε -approximation of $Z(\mathbb{V},\lambda)$ computable in time ⁹³ polynomial in $|\mathbb{V}|^{1/\delta^2}$ and $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$.

Note that this bound on λ precisely coincides with the best known bound for the uniqueness 94 of the Gibbs measure in the thermodynamic limit, recently established in [42]. For many 95 discrete spin systems, such as the hard-core model or general anti-ferromagnetic 2-state spin 96 systems, the region of efficient approximation of the partition function is closely related to 97 uniqueness of the Gibbs measure. More precisely, it can be shown that the partition function 98 of every graph of maximum degree Δ can be approximated efficiently if the corresponding 99 Gibbs distribution on an infinite Δ regular tree is unique [39, 53]. A detailed discussion for 100 the discrete hard-core model can be found in the next subsection. In a sense, Theorem 1 101 can be seen as the algorithmic counterpart of the recent uniqueness result for the continuous 102 hard-sphere model. This answers an open question, asked in [42]. 103

The way we prove our result is quite contrary to [32] and [42]. Instead of translating discrete tools from computer science into the continuous domain, we rather discretize the hard-sphere model. By this, existing algorithmic and probabilistic techniques for discrete models become available, and we avoid continuous analysis.

Our applied discretization scheme is fairly intuitive and results in an instance of the 108 discrete hard-core model. This model has been extensively studied in the computer science 109 community. However, as this hard-core instance is exponential in the size of the continuous 110 system |V|, existing approaches for approximating its partition function, such a Markov 111 chain Monte Carlo methods based on Glauber dynamics, are not feasible. We overcome 112 this problem by applying a Markov chain Monte Carlo approach based on clique dynamics, 113 which were introduced in [23] in the setting of abstract polymer models. Previously known 114 conditions for the rapid mixing of clique dynamics were developed for the multivariate version 115 of the hard-core model. Due to this generality, those conditions do not result in the desired 116 bound in our univariate setting. Instead we relate those clique dynamics to another Markov 117 chain, called *block dynamics*. We then prove the desired mixing time for the block dynamics 118 by adapting a recently introduced technique for bounding the mixing time of Markov chains, 119 based on local spectral expansion [2]. Together with a known self-reducibility scheme for 120 clique dynamics, this results in the desired approximation algorithm. 121

Note that we aim for a rigorous algorithmic result for approximating the partition 122 function of the continuous hard-sphere model. To be in line with commonly used discrete 123 computational models, our Markov chain Monte Carlo algorithm does not assume access to 124 a continuous sampler but instead samples approximately from a discretized version of the 125 Gibbs distribution. Note that sampling from the continuous hard-sphere partition function 126 cannot be done using a discrete computation model as this would involve infinite float pointer 127 precision. For practical matters, our discretization of the Gibbs distribution can be seen as 128 an approximation of the original continuous Gibbs measure. However, a rigorous comparison 129 between both distributions based on total variation distance is not applicable, due to the 130 fact that one is discrete whereas the other is continuous in nature. 131

61:4 A spectral independence view on hard spheres via block dynamics

Assuming access to a continuous sampler, we believe that our approach can be used to obtain an approximation of the Gibbs distribution of the continuous model within the same fugacity regime, by adding small perturbations to the drawn sphere centers. This would be in line with the relation between the mixing time of continuous heat-bath dynamics and strong spatial mixing, pointed out in [32], combined with the uniqueness bound from [42].

In Sections 1.1–1.3 we discuss our contributions in more detail and explain how they relate to the existing literature. Finally in Section 1.4 we discuss possible extensions and future work. All technical details, statements and proofs are presented the full version of the paper.

¹⁴¹ 1.1 Discretization and hard-core model

Our discretization scheme expresses the hard-sphere partition function as the partition function of an instance of the (univariate) hard-core model. An instance of the hard-core model is a tuple (G, λ) where G = (V, E) is an undirected graph and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Its partition function is defined as

$$_{^{146}} \qquad Z(G,\lambda) \coloneqq \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}(G)} \lambda^{|I|},$$

where $\mathcal{I}(G)$ denotes the independent sets of G. A common way to obtain an approximation for the partition function is by applying a Markov chain Monte Carlo algorithm. This involves sampling from the Gibbs distribution $\mu^{(G,\lambda)}$ of (G,λ) , which is a probability distribution on $\mathcal{I}(G)$ that assigns each independent set $I \in \mathcal{I}(G)$ the probability

$$_{151} \qquad \mu^{(G,\lambda)}(I) = \frac{\lambda^{|I|}}{Z(G,\lambda)}.$$

Conditions for efficient approximation of the hard-core partition function have been 152 studied extensively in the theoretical computer science community. Due to hardness results 153 in [50] and [24], it is known that for general graphs of maximum degree $\Delta \in \{3\} \cup \mathbb{N}_{>5}$ 154 there is a critical parameter value $\lambda_{\rm c}(\Delta) = (\Delta - 1)^{\Delta - 1}/(\Delta - 2)^{\Delta}$, such that there is no 155 FPRAS for the partition function of (G, λ) for $\lambda > \lambda_{\rm c}(\Delta)$, unless RP = NP. On the other 156 hand, in [54] it was proven that there is a deterministic algorithm for approximating the 157 partition function of (G, λ) for $\lambda < \lambda_{\rm c}(\Delta)$ that runs in time $|V|^{{\rm O}(\Delta)}$. The critical value 158 $\lambda_{\rm c}(\Delta)$ is especially interesting, as it precisely coincides with the upper bound on λ for 159 which the hard-core model on an infinite Δ -regular tree exhibits strong spatial mixing and a 160 unique Gibbs distribution [54]. For this reason, it is also referred to as the tree threshold. 161 This relation between computational hardness and phase transition in statistical physics is 162 one of the most celebrated results in the area. Both, the hardness results [25, 3] and the 163 approximation algorithms [46, 30] were later generalized for complex λ . 164

Note that the computational hardness above the tree threshold $\lambda_{\rm c}(\Delta)$ for general graphs 165 of maximum degree Δ applies to both, randomized and deterministic algorithms. However, 166 in the randomized setting, Markov chain Monte Carlo methods are known to improve 167 the runtime of the algorithm introduced in [54]. Those approaches use the vertex-wise 168 self-reducibility of the hard-core model to construct a randomized approximation of the 169 partition function based on an approximate sampler for the Gibbs distribution. Commonly, 170 a Markov chain on the state space $\mathcal{I}(G)$, called *Glauber dynamics*, is used to construct 171 the sampling scheme. At each step, a vertex $v \in V$ is chosen uniformly at random. With 172 probability $\lambda/(1+\lambda)$ the chain tries to add v to the current independent set and otherwise 173 it tries to remove it. The resulting Markov chain is ergodic and reversible with respect 174

61:5

to the Gibbs distribution, meaning that it eventually converges to $\mu^{(G,\lambda)}$. A sequence of 175 results has shown that for all $\Delta \geq 3$ there is a family of graphs with maximum degree Δ , 176 such that the Glauber dynamics are torpidly mixing for $\lambda > \lambda_{\rm c}(\Delta)$, even without additional 177 complexity-theoretical assumptions [17, 27, 45]. Whether the Glauber dynamics are rapidly 178 mixing for the entire regime $\lambda < \lambda_{\rm c}(\Delta)$ remained a long-standing open problem, until recently 179 the picture was completed [2]. By relating spectral expansion properties of certain random 180 walks on simplicial complexes to the Glauber dynamics, it was shown that the mixing time is 181 polynomial in |V| below the tree threshold. The mixing time was recently further improved 182 in [12] for a broader class of spin systems by combining simplicial complexes with entropy 183 factorization and using the modified log-Sobolev inequality. 184

By mapping the hard-sphere model to an instance of the hard-core model we can make use 185 of the existing results about approximation and sampling below the tree threshold. Roughly, 186 our discretization scheme restricts the positions of sphere centers to an integer grid, while 187 scaling the radii of spheres and the fugacity appropriately. For a hard-sphere instance (\mathbb{V}, λ) 188 with $\mathbb{V} = [0, \ell)^d$ the hard-core representation for resolution $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$ is a hard-core instance 189 $(G_{\rho}, \lambda_{\rho})$ with $G_{\rho} = (V_{\rho}, E_{\rho})$. Each vertex $v \in V_{\rho}$ represents a grid point in the finite integer 190 lattice of side length $\rho\ell$. Two distinct vertices in V_{ρ} are connected by an edge in E_{ρ} if the 191 Euclidean distance of the corresponding grid points is less than $2\rho r$. Furthermore, we set 192 $\lambda_{\rho} = \lambda/\rho^d$. We provide the following result on the rate of convergence of $Z(G_{\rho}, \lambda_{\rho})$ to the 193 hard-sphere partition function $Z(\mathbb{V}, \lambda)$ in terms of ρ . 194

▶ Lemma 2. Let (V, λ) be an instance of the continuous hard-sphere model in d dimensions. For each resolution $\rho \ge 2\sqrt{d}$ it holds that

¹⁹⁷
$$1 - \rho^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{\Theta(|\mathbb{V}| \ln |\mathbb{V}|)} \le \frac{Z(\mathbb{V}, \lambda)}{Z(G_{\rho}, \lambda_{\rho})} \le 1 + \rho^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{\Theta(|\mathbb{V}| \ln |\mathbb{V}|)}.$$

Although proving this rate of convergence involves some detailed geometric arguments, 198 there is an intuitive reason why the partition functions converge eventually as $\rho \to \infty$. 199 Increasing the resolution ρ also linearly increases the side length of the grid and the minimum 200 distance that sphere centers can have. This is equivalent to putting a grid into V with 201 increasing granularity but fixing the radii of spheres instead. However, only scaling the 202 granularity of this grid increases the number of possible configurations by roughly ρ^d , which 203 would cause the partition function of the hard-core model to diverge as $\rho \to \infty$. To 204 compensate for this, we scale the weight of each vertex in the hard-core model by the inverse 205 of this factor. 206

Using this discretization approach, the fugacity bound from Theorem 1 results from simply considering Δ_{ρ} , the maximum degree of G_{ρ} and comparing λ_{ρ} with the corresponding tree threshold $\lambda_{c}(\Delta_{\rho})$. Recall that we assume $r = (1/v_{d})^{1/d}$. A simple geometric argument shows that Δ_{ρ} is roughly upper bounded by $2^{d}\rho^{d}$ for sufficiently large ρ . Now, observe that

$$_{211} \qquad \lambda_{\rho} = \frac{\lambda}{\rho^d} < \lambda_{\rm c} \left(2^d \rho^d \right),$$

for $\lambda < \rho^d \lambda_c (2^d \rho^d)$. This follows from the fact that $\rho^d \lambda_c (2^d \rho^d)$ converges to $e/2^d$ from above for $\rho \to \infty$. Thus, the approximation bound from Theorem 1 and the uniqueness bound in [42] coincide with the regime of λ , for which λ_{ρ} is below the tree threshold $\lambda_c(\Delta_{\rho})$ in the limit $\rho \to \infty$.

The arguments above show that for a sufficiently high resolution ρ the partition function of the hard-sphere model $Z(\mathbb{V}, \lambda)$ is well approximated by the partition function of our discretization $(G_{\rho}, \lambda_{\rho})$ and that $(G_{\rho}, \lambda_{\rho})$ is below the tree threshold for $\lambda < e/2^d$. However,

61:6 A spectral independence view on hard spheres via block dynamics

this does not immediately imply an approximation algorithm within the desired runtime 219 bounds. Based on Lemma 2, we still need to choose ρ exponentially large in the volume |V|. 220 Note that the number of vertices in G_{ρ} is roughly $|V_{\rho}| \in \Theta(\rho^d |\mathbb{V}|)$. Even without explicitly 221 constructing the graph, this causes problems, as the best bound for the mixing time of the 222 Glauber dynamics is polynomial in $|V_{\rho}|$ and thus exponential in |V|. Intuitively, the reason 223 for this mixing time is that the Glauber dynamics only change one vertex at each step. 224 Assuming that each vertex should be updated at least once to remove correlations with the 225 initial state, any mixing time that is sublinear in the number of vertices is unlikely. We 226 circumvent this problem by applying dynamics that update multiple vertices at each step 227 but still allow each step to be computed efficiently without constructing the graph explicitly. 228

1.2 Block and clique dynamics

Most of the results that we discuss from now on apply to the multivariate version of the 230 hard-core model, that is, each vertex $v \in V$ has its own weight λ_v . For a given graph 231 G = (V, E) we denote the set of such vertex weights by $\lambda = \{\lambda_v\}_{v \in V}$ and write (G, λ) for 232 the resulting multivariate hard-core instance. In the multivariate setting, the contribution of 233 an independent set $I \in \mathcal{I}(G)$ to the partition function is defined as the product of its vertex 234 weights (i.e., $\prod_{v \in I} \lambda_v$), where the contribution of the empty set is fixed to 1. Similar to the 235 univariate hard-core model, the Gibbs distribution assigns a probability to each independent 236 set proportionally to its contribution to the partition function. 237

As we discussed before, the main problem with approximating the partition function of our discretization $(G_{\rho}, \lambda_{\rho})$ is that the required graph G_{ρ} is exponential in the volume of the original continuous system $|\mathbb{V}|$. As the Glauber dynamics Markov chain only updates a single vertex at each step, the resulting mixing time is usually polynomial in the size of the graph, which is not feasible in our case. Various extensions to Glauber dynamics for updating multiple vertices in each step have been proposed in the literature, two of which we discuss in the following.

245 Clique dynamics

Recently, in [23] a Markov chain, called *clique dynamics*, was introduced in order to efficiently 246 sample from the Gibbs distribution of abstract polymer models. Note that this is similar 247 to our algorithmic problem, as abstract polymer models resemble multivariate hard-core 248 instances. For a given graph G = (V, E), we call a set $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in [m]} \subseteq 2^V$ a clique cover 249 of size m if and only if its union covers all vertices V and each $\Lambda_i \in \Lambda$ induces a clique in 250 G. For an instance of the multivariate hard-core model (G, λ) and a given clique cover Λ of 251 G with size m the clique dynamics Markov chain $\mathcal{C}(G, \lambda, \Lambda)$ is defined as follows. First, a 252 clique $\Lambda_i \in \Lambda$ for $i \in [m]$ is chosen uniformly at random. Let us write $G[\Lambda_i]$ for the subgraph, 253 induced by Λ_i , and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}[\Lambda_i] = \{\lambda_v\}_{v \in \Lambda_i}$ for the corresponding set of vertex weights. Next, an 254 independent set from $\mathcal{I}(G[\Lambda_i])$ is chosen according to the Gibbs distribution $\mu^{(G[\Lambda_i],\boldsymbol{\lambda}[\Lambda_i])}$. 255 Note that, as the vertices Λ_i form a clique, such an independent set is either the empty set 256 or contains a single vertex from $v \in \Lambda_i$. If the empty set is drawn, all vertices from Λ_i are 257 removed from the current independent set. Otherwise, if a single vertex $v \in \Lambda_i$ is drawn, the 258 chain tries to add v to the current independent set. 259

Using a coupling argument, it was proven in [23] that the so-called *clique dynamics* condition implies that for any clique cover of size m the clique dynamics are mixing in time polynomial in m and Z_{\max} , where $Z_{\max} = \max_{i \in [m]} \{Z(G[\Lambda_i], \lambda[\Lambda_i])\}$ denotes the maximum partition function of a clique in Λ . This is important for the application to polymer models, as

they are usually used to model partition functions of other spin systems, which often results in a multivariate hard-core model of exponential size [33, 9, 35, 10, 6, 8, 26]. As discussed in [23], those instances tend to have polynomial size clique covers that arise naturally from the original spin system. In such cases, the mixing time of clique dynamics is still polynomial in the size of original spin system, as long as the clique dynamics condition is satisfied.

This is very similar to our discretization $(G_{\rho}, \lambda_{\rho})$. To see this, set $a = \frac{2\rho}{\sqrt{d}}r$ and divide the *d*-dimensional integer lattice of side length $\rho\ell$ into cubic regions of side length *a*. Every pair of 269 270 integer points within such a cubic region has Euclidean distance less than $2\rho r$, meaning that 271 the corresponding vertices in G_{ρ} are adjacent. Thus, each such cubic region forms a clique, 272 resulting in a clique cover of size $(\rho \ell/a)^d \in O(|V|)$. This means, there is always a clique 273 cover with size linear in |V| and independent of the resolution ρ . By showing that, for the 274 univariate hard-core model, the mixing time of clique dynamics is polynomial in the size of 275 the clique cover for all $\lambda_{\rho} < \lambda_{c}(\Delta_{\rho})$, we obtain a Markov chain with mixing time polynomial 276 in |V| independent of the resolution ρ . Unfortunately, the clique dynamics condition does 277 not hold for the entire regime up to the tree threshold in the univariate hard-core model. 278 We overcome this problem by proving a new condition for rapid mixing of clique dynamics 279 based on a comparison with block dynamics. 280

281 Block dynamics

Block dynamics are a very natural generalization of Glauber dynamics to arbitrary sets of 282 vertices. For a given graph G = (V, E), we call a set $\Lambda = {\Lambda_i}_{i \in [m]} \subseteq 2^V$ a block cover of size 283 m if and only if its union covers all vertices V. We refer to the elements of Λ as blocks. Note 284 that the clique cover discussed before is a special case of a block cover, where all blocks are 285 restricted to be cliques. At each step, the block dynamics Markov chain $\mathcal{B}(G,\lambda,\Lambda)$ chooses 286 a block $\Lambda_i \in \Lambda$ uniformly at random. Then, the current independent set is updated on Λ_i 287 based on the projection of the Gibbs distribution onto Λ_i and conditioned on the current 288 independent set outside Λ_i . 289

In fact, block dynamics are defined for a much more general class of spin systems than the hard-core model. However, due to the fact that each step of the Markov chain involves sampling from a conditional Gibbs distribution, block dynamics are rarely used as an algorithmic tool on its own. Instead, they are usually used to deduce rapid mixing of other dynamics.

For spin systems on lattice graphs, close connections between the mixing time of block 295 dynamics and Glauber dynamics are known [40]. Such connections were for example applied 296 to improve the mixing time of Glauber dynamics of the Monomer Dimer model on torus 297 graphs [51]. Moreover, block dynamics were used to improve conditions for rapid mixing 298 of Glauber dynamics on specific graph classes, such as proper colorings [16, 18, 19, 44] or 299 the hard-core model [18, 44] in sparse random graphs. A very general result for the mixing 300 time of block dynamics was achieved in [4], who proved that for all spin systems on a finite 301 subgraph of the *d*-dimensional integer lattice the mixing time of block dynamics is polynomial 302 in the number of blocks if the spin system exhibits strong spatial mixing. This result was 303 later generalized in [5] for the Ising model on arbitrary graphs. Very recently, block dynamics 304 based random equally-sized blocks where used in [12] to prove entropy factorization and 305 improve the mixing time of Glauber dynamics for a variety of discrete spin systems up to 306 the tree threshold. 307

Although our discretization works by restricting sphere positions to the integer lattice, the resulting graph is rather different from the lattice. Thus, results like those in [4] do not apply to our setting. However, on the other hand, we do not need to prove rapid mixing for

61:8 A spectral independence view on hard spheres via block dynamics

arbitrary block covers. Instead, in order to obtain rapid mixing for clique dynamics, it is sufficient to establish this result for cases where all blocks are cliques.

Applying block dynamics directly would involve sampling from a conditional Gibbs distribution within each clique. Due to the exponential size of the cliques in our discretization, this would impose additional algorithmic challenges. Instead, similar to the previous literature, we rather use block dynamics as a tool for proving rapid mixing of another Markov chain,

317 namely clique dynamics.

³¹⁸ Improved mixing condition for clique dynamics via block dynamics

We analyze the mixing time of clique dynamics for a given clique cover by relating it to the 319 mixing time of block dynamics, using the cliques as blocks. This is done by investigating 320 a notion of pairwise influence between vertices that has also been used to establish rapid 321 mixing of Glauber dynamics up to the tree threshold [2]. Let $\mathbb{P}_G[w]$ denote the probability 322 of the event that a vertex $w \in V$ is in an independent set drawn from $\mu^{(G,\lambda)}$. Further, let 323 $\mathbb{P}_G[\overline{w}]$ denote the probability that w is not in an independent set. We extend this abuse 324 of notation to conditional probabilities, so $\mathbb{P}_G[\cdot | \overline{w}]$ for example denotes the probability of 325 some event conditioned on w not being in an independent set. For a pair of vertices $v, w \in V$ 326 the influence $\Psi_G(v, w)$ of v on w is defined as 327

328
$$\Psi_G(v,w) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v = w, \\ \mathbb{P}_G[w \mid v] - \mathbb{P}_G[w \mid \overline{v}] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

³²⁹ The following condition in terms of pairwise influence is central to our analysis.

Condition 3. Let (G, λ) be an instance of the multivariate hard-core model. There is a constant $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and a function $q: V \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for all $S \subseteq V$ and $r \in S$ it holds that

$$\sum_{v \in S} |\Psi_G(r, v)| q(v) \le Cq(r).$$

Note that this condition appeared before in [13], where it was used for bounding the mixing time of Glauber dynamics for anti-ferromagnetic spin systems. Given Condition 3, we obtain the following result for the mixing time of block dynamics based on a clique cover.

³³⁷ ► **Theorem 4.** Let (G, λ) be an instance of the multivariate hard-core model that satisfies Con-³³⁸ dition 3. Let Λ be a clique cover for G of size m, and let $Z_{\max} = \max_{i \in [m]} \{Z(G[\Lambda_i], \lambda[\Lambda_i])\}$. ³³⁹ The mixing time of the block dynamics $\mathcal{B}(G, \lambda, \Lambda)$, starting from $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}(G)$, is bounded by

$$_{^{340}} \qquad \tau_{\mathcal{B}}^{(\emptyset)}(\varepsilon) \le m^{\mathcal{O}((2+C)C)} Z_{\max}^{\mathcal{O}((2+C)C)} \ln\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$$

Using a bound for the sum of absolute pairwise influences that was recently established in [13], it follows that the univariate hard-core model satisfies Condition 3 up to the tree threshold. As a result, we know that the mixing time of block dynamics is polynomial in mand Z_{max} for any clique cover of size m. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first result for the mixing time of block dynamics for the univariate hard-core model on general graphs that holds in this parameter range.

As we aim to apply clique dynamics to avoid sampling from the conditional Gibbs distribution in each step, we still need to prove that Theorem 4 also holds in terms of clique dynamics. To this end, we apply a Markov chain comparison argument from [15] to prove

that using clique dynamics instead of block dynamics for the same clique cover Λ increases the mixing time by at most a factor $2Z_{\text{max}}$. The following corollary, which is central for proving Theorem 1, follows immediately.

▶ Corollary 5. Let (G, λ) be an instance of the univariate hard-core model such that the degree of G is bounded by Δ . Let Λ be a given clique cover of size m with $Z_{\max} = \max_{i \in [m]} \{Z(G[\Lambda_i], \lambda)\}$. Denote by $C = C(G, \lambda, \Lambda)$ the corresponding clique dynamics. If there is some $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $\lambda \leq (1-\delta)\lambda_c(\Delta)$ then the mixing time of the clique dynamics C, starting from $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}(G)$, is bounded by

$$_{^{358}} \qquad \tau_{\mathcal{C}}^{(\emptyset)}(\varepsilon) \leq m^{\mathcal{O}(1/\delta^2)} Z_{\max}^{\mathcal{O}(1/\delta^2)} \ln\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

³⁵⁹ A side journey: comparison to multivariate conditions

In fact, Corollary 5 is sufficient for our application to the hard-sphere model. However, we also aim to set Condition 3 in the context of other conditions for rapid mixing of clique dynamics for the multivariate hard-core model. Note that such a rapid mixing result for clique dynamics caries over to Glauber dynamics by taking each vertex as a separate clique of size 1.

To this end, we compare Condition 3 to a strict version of the clique dynamics condition, originally introduced in [23] in the setting of clique dynamics for abstract polymer models. It turns out that this strict version of the clique dynamics condition directly implies Condition 3. This is especially interesting, as the clique dynamics condition was initially introduced as a local condition (only considering the neighborhood of each vertex) and is based on a coupling argument. However, we show that it can as well be understood as a sufficient condition for the global decay of pairwise influence with increasing distance between vertices.

Formally, we say that the strict clique dynamics condition is satisfied for an instance of the multivariate hard-core model (G, λ) if there is a function $f: V \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and a constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $v \in V$ it holds that

375
$$\sum_{w \in N(v)} \frac{\lambda_w}{1 + \lambda_w} f(w) \le (1 - \alpha) f(v),$$

where N(v) is the neighborhood of v in G. This is a strict version of the clique dynamics condition in that the original clique dynamics condition would correspond to the case $\alpha = 0$ (i.e., the strict clique dynamics condition requires some strictly positive slack α).

³⁷⁹ The result of our comparison is summarized in the following statement.

Lemma 6. Let (G, λ) be an instance of the multivariate hard-core model. If (G, λ) satisfies the strict clique dynamics condition for a function f and a constant α , then it also satisfies Condition 3 for q = f and $C = \frac{1}{\alpha}$.

Lemma 6 is proven by translating the calculation of pairwise influences to the self-avoiding walk tree of the graph, based on a result in [13], and applying a recursive argument on this tree.

Despite being an interesting relationship between local coupling arguments and global pairwise influence, Lemma 6 also implies that, from an algorithmic perspective, Theorem 4 can be used to produce similar results as those obtained in [23] for abstract polymer models. Further, note that for the univariate model, using pairwise influence yields strictly better results than any coupling approach in the literature. This raises the question if a refined

61:10 A spectral independence view on hard spheres via block dynamics

³⁹¹ argument based on pairwise influences can be used in the multivariate setting to improve on

the clique dynamics condition, leading to better approximation results on abstract polymer models.

³⁹⁴ 1.3 Analyzing spectral expansion

As core technique for obtaining Theorem 4, we adapt an approach for bounding the mixing time that was recently used to prove rapid mixing of Glauber dynamics for the entire regime below the tree threshold for several applications, such as the hard-core model [2], general two-state spin systems [13], and proper colorings [11, 21]. The idea is to map the desired distribution to a weighted simplicial complex.

A simplicial complex X over a groundset U is a set family $X \subseteq 2^U$ such that for each $\tau \in X$ every subset of τ is also in X. We call the elements $\tau \in X$ the faces of X and refer to its cardinality $|\tau|$ as dimensionality.

For a univariate hard-core instance (G, λ) , the authors of [2] construct a simplicial complex 403 over a ground set U that contains two elements $x_v, x_{\overline{v}} \in U$ for each vertex $v \in V$. For every 404 independent set $I \in \mathcal{I}(G)$, a face $\tau_I \in X$ is introduced such that $x_v \in \tau_I$ if $v \in I$ and $x_{\overline{v}} \in \tau_I$ 405 otherwise. The simplicial complex is completed by taking the downward closure of these faces. 406 Note that by construction all maximum faces of the resulting complex are |V|-dimensional 407 and there is a one-to-one correspondence between the maximum faces and the independent 408 sets in $\mathcal{I}(G)$. By assigning each maximum face $\tau_I \in X$ an appropriate weight, the Glauber 409 dynamics can be represented as a random walk on those maximum faces, which is sometimes 410 referred to as the two-step walk or down-up walk. Using a local-to-global theorem [1], the 411 mixing time of this two-step walk can then be bounded based on certain local expansion 412 properties of the simplicial complex X. It is then proved that such local expansion properties 413 are well captured by the largest eigenvalue of the pairwise influence matrix Ψ_G , which is 414 a $|V| \times |V|$ matrix that contains the pairwise influence $\Psi_G(v, w)$ for all $v, w \in V$. Finally, 415 by bounding those influences a bound on this largest eigenvalue of Ψ_G is obtained. This 416 analysis was later refined and generalized in [13] to general two-state spin systems. 417

This method was independently extended in [11] and [21] to the non-Boolean domain by applying it to the Glauber dynamics for proper colorings. The main differences to the Boolean domain are that elements of the simplicial complex now represent combinations of a vertex and a color. Furthermore, the bound on the local spectral expansion was obtained by using a different influence matrix, which captures the effect of selecting a certain color for one vertex on the distribution of colors for another vertex.

Although we are dealing with the hard-core model, which is Boolean in nature, the way 424 that we model block dynamics is mainly inspired by the existing work on proper colorings 425 [11]. Assume we have an instance of the multivariate hard-core model (G, λ) and let Λ be a 426 clique cover for G of size m such that every pair of distinct cliques is vertex-disjoint (i.e., Λ 427 is a partition of G into cliques). We construct a simplicial complex X based on a ground 428 set U that contains one element $x_v \in U$ for each vertex $v \in V$ and one additional element 429 \emptyset_i for each clique $\Lambda_i \in \Lambda$. We introduce a face $\tau_I \in X$ for each independent set $I \in \mathcal{I}(G)$ 430 such that for every $\Lambda_i \in \Lambda$ we have $\emptyset_i \in \tau_I$ if $\Lambda_i \cap I = \emptyset$ and $x_v \in \tau_I$ if $\Lambda_i \cap I = \{v\}$ for 431 some $v \in \Lambda_i$. The simplicial complex is completed by taking the downward closure of these 432 faces. All maximum faces of the resulting complex are *m*-dimensional and there is a bijection 433 between the maximum faces and the independent sets of G. Furthermore, there is a natural 434 partitioning $\{U_i\}_{i \in [m]}$ of the ground set U, each partition U_i corresponding to a clique Λ_i , 435 such that every maximum face in X contains exactly one element from each partition U_i . 436

 $_{437}$ By weighting each maximum face of X by the contribution of the corresponding inde-

pendent set to the partition function, the block dynamics based on Λ are equivalent to the 438 two-step walk on X. Thus, in order to bound the mixing time of the block dynamics, it is 439 sufficient to study the local expansion properties of X. To this end, we adapt the influence 440 matrix used for proper colorings in [11]. For $x \in U$, let $\mathbb{P}_G[x]$ denote the probability that 441 $x \in \tau_I$ for an independent set $I \in \mathcal{I}(G)$ drawn from $\mu^{(G,\lambda)}$ and corresponding maximum face 442 $\tau_I \in X$. Similarly as for defining pairwise influences, we extend this notation to conditional 443 probabilities. The clique influence matrix $\Phi_{G,\Lambda}$ contains an entry $\Phi_{G,\Lambda}(x,y)$ for each $x, y \in U$ 444 with 445

446
$$\Phi_{G,\Lambda}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x, y \in U_i \text{ for some } i \in [m], \\ \mathbb{P}_G[y \mid x] - \mathbb{P}_G[y] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

⁴⁴⁷ By using similar linear-algebraic arguments as in [11] we prove that the maximum eigenvalue ⁴⁴⁸ of $\Phi_{G,\Lambda}$ can be used to upper bound the local spectral expansion of X. To obtain Theorem 4 ⁴⁴⁹ it is then sufficient to relate Condition 3 to the maximum eigenvalue of $\Phi_{G,\Lambda}$. The following ⁴⁵⁰ lemma establishes this connection.

⁴⁵¹ **Lemma 7.** Let (G, λ) be an instance of the multivariate hard-core model that satisfies ⁴⁵² Condition 3 for a function q and a constant C. For every $S \subseteq V$ and every disjoint clique ⁴⁵³ cover Λ of G[S] it holds that the largest eigenvalue of $\Phi_{G[S],\Lambda}$ is at most (2+C)C.

Note that our simplicial-complex representation is only given under the assumption that 454 the cliques in the clique cover Λ are pairwise disjoint. Indeed, this is a necessary requirement 455 to map the block dynamics to the two-step walk such that the local-global-theorem from [1] 456 can be applied. Thus, Lemma 7 only helps to prove Theorem 4 for disjoint clique covers. 457 However, we relax this requirement by proving that for every clique cover Λ a disjoint clique 458 cover K can be constructed such that the block dynamics $\mathcal{B}(G, \lambda, \Lambda)$ and $\mathcal{B}(G, \lambda, K)$ have 459 asymptotically the same mixing time. By this comparison argument, we extend Theorem 4 460 to arbitrary clique covers. 461

We are aware that, in the case of Glauber dynamics, more recent techniques for combining 462 simplical complex representations with entropy factorization as proposed in [12] yield superior 463 mixing time results. However, in case of the hard-core model, this approach comes with 464 an additional multiplicative factor of $\Delta^{O(\Delta^2)}$ in the mixing time (see section 8 of [12]). 465 Although negligible for bounded degree graphs, this would be too much for our application, 466 as the degree of our discretization gets exponentially large in the continuous volume |V| of 467 the system. Thus, directly relating local spectral expansion with the spectral gap of block 468 dynamics is more suitable in our case. We leave as an open question, whether a modification 469 of the approach in [12] can be applied to further improve our mixing time result. 470

471 **1.4 Outlook**

We obtain the fugacity bound from Theorem 1 based on the tree threshold $\lambda_{\rm c}(\Delta)$ of the 472 hard-core model. An obvious question is whether there are any structural properties of our 473 discretization that can be used to improve this bound. Similar results are known for specific 474 graph classes, such as the 2-dimensional square lattice [48, 52, 54]. In [42] the authors discuss 475 that a generalization of the connective constant to the continuous Euclidean space might 476 be applicable to improve their uniqueness result for the hard-sphere model. A comparable 477 algorithmic result was already established for the discrete hard-core model in [49]. However, 478 any such improvement for our discretization would require the connective constant of G_{ρ} to 479 be at least by a constant factor small than its maximum degree Δ_{ρ} . Unfortunately, due to a 480

61:12 A spectral independence view on hard spheres via block dynamics

result in [47], this is not the case. Although this does not necessarily imply that a similar concept does not work in continuous space, it gives a strong evidence that a more specialized tool instead of the connective constant might be required.

A different direction for future work is to see which other quantities and properties of the 484 model are preserved under discretization. This would especially include the thermodynamic 485 pressure and its analyticity. As a matter of fact, non-analytic points of the pressure along the 486 positive real axis of fugacity in the thermodynamic limit are known to mark phase transitions 487 in infinite volume systems (see for example [42]). One way to approach this could be to prove 488 a relation between zero-freeness of the continuous and the discretized partition function in a 489 complex neighborhood of the real axis by extending our convergence result to the complex 490 domain. Along this line, insights could be gained in how far properties like correlation decay 491 and phase transitions (or their absence) are preserved under sufficiently fine discretization. 492

From a purely technical point of view, it is interesting to see if our result on the mixing 493 time of block dynamics in Theorem 4 also holds without the requirement of using cliques as 494 blocks. Especially: is the mixing time for block dynamics for the univariate hard-core model 495 polynomial in the number of blocks for any block cover? Most of our techniques that we use 496 for clique covers, such as modeling the distribution as a simplicial complex and relating its 497 local spectral expansion to the clique influence matrix, can be generalized in a straightforward 498 way for different choices of blocks. However, the main difficulty is to relate generalized 499 versions of the clique influence matrix to pairwise influences, as we do in Lemma 7. One way 500 to circumvent this might be to not rely on pairwise influences at all but to rather investigate 501 the influence matrix directly, for example, via different computational-tree methods. 502

Finally, it would be interesting to see if approaches like ours can be extended to other continuous models from statistical physics (see for example coarse-graining [20]). We believe that the variety of tools that are already established for discrete spin systems are useful in this setting to establish rigorous computational results for different continuous models. We emphasize that clique and block dynamics are a useful computational tool to handle the exponential blow-ups caused by discretization.

⁵⁰⁹ — References

510	1	Vedat Levi Alev and Lap Chi Lau. Improved analysis of higher order random walks and
511		applications. In Proc. of STOC'20, pages 1198-1211, 2020. doi:10.1145/3357713.3384317.

- Nima Anari, Kuikui Liu, and Shayan Oveis Gharan. Spectral independence in high-dimensional expanders and applications to the hardcore model. *CoRR*, abs/2001.00303, 2020. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/2001.00303.
- Ivona Bezáková, Andreas Galanis, Leslie Ann Goldberg, and Daniel Štefankovič. Inapproxima bility of the independent set polynomial in the complex plane. In *Proc. of STOC'18*, page
 1234–1240, 2018. doi:10.1145/3188745.3188788.
- Antonio Blanca, Pietro Caputo, Alistair Sinclair, and Eric Vigoda. Spatial mixing and nonlocal Markov chains. *Random Structures & Algorithms*, 55(3):584–614, 2019. doi:10.1002/rsa.
 20844.
- Antonio Blanca, Zongchen Chen, and Eric Vigoda. Swendsen-Wang dynamics for general graphs in the tree uniqueness region. *Random Structures & Algorithms*, 56(2):373–400, 2020.
 doi:10.1002/rsa.20858.
- **6** Christian Borgs, Jennifer T. Chayes, Tyler Helmuth, Will Perkins, and Prasad Tetali. Efficient sampling and counting algorithms for the Potts model on F^d at all temperatures. In *Proc. of STOC'20*, pages 738–751, 2020. doi:10.1145/3357713.3384271.
- Tomáš Boublik, Ivo Nezbeda, and Karel Hlavaty. Statistical thermodynamics of simple liquids
 and their mixtures. Fundamental Studies in Engineering. Elsevier, 1980.

⁵²⁹ 8 Sarah Cannon and Will Perkins. Counting independent sets in unbalanced bipartite graphs.

- 530 In Proc. of SODA'20, pages 1456–1466, 2020. doi:10.1137/1.9781611975994.88.
- Katrin Casel, Philipp Fischbeck, Tobias Friedrich, Andreas Göbel, and J. A. Gregor Lagodzinski.
 Zeros and approximations of holant polynomials on the complex plane. *CoRR*, abs/1905.03194,
 URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1905.03194.
- Zongchen Chen, Andreas Galanis, Leslie Ann Goldberg, Will Perkins, James Stewart, and
 Eric Vigoda. Fast algorithms at low temperatures via Markov chains. In *Proc. of APPROX-*/*RANDOM'19*, pages 41:1–41:14, 2019. doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.APPROX-RANDOM.2019.41.
- ⁵³⁷ 11 Zongchen Chen, Andreas Galanis, Daniel Stefankovic, and Eric Vigoda. Rapid mixing for
 ⁵³⁸ colorings via spectral independence. *CoRR*, abs/2007.08058, 2020. URL: http://arxiv.org/
 ⁵³⁹ abs/2007.08058.
- Zongchen Chen, Kuikui Liu, and Eric Vigoda. Optimal mixing of Glauber dynamics: Entropy factorization via high-dimensional expansion. *CoRR*, abs/2011.02075, 2020. URL: https: //arxiv.org/abs/2011.02075.
- I3 Zongchen Chen, Kuikui Liu, and Eric Vigoda. Rapid mixing of Glauber dynamics up to
 uniqueness via contraction. *CoRR*, abs/2004.09083, 2020. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/2004.
 09083.
- ⁵⁴⁶ 14 Hofer-Temmel Christoph et al. Disagreement percolation for the hard-sphere model. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 24, 2019.
- Persi Diaconis and Laurent Saloff-Coste. Comparison theorems for reversible Markov chains.
 The Annals of Applied Probability, pages 696-730, 1993.
- Martin Dyer, Abraham D. Flaxman, Alan M. Frieze, and Eric Vigoda. Randomly coloring
 sparse random graphs with fewer colors than the maximum degree. *Random Structures & Algorithms*, 29(4):450–465, 2006. doi:10.1002/rsa.20129.
- Martin Dyer, Alan Frieze, and Mark Jerrum. On counting independent sets in sparse graphs.
 SIAM Journal on Computing, 31(5):1527–1541, 2002. doi:10.1137/S0097539701383844.
- ⁵⁵⁵ 18 Charilaos Efthymiou. MCMC sampling colourings and independent sets of g(n, d/n)⁵⁵⁶ near uniqueness threshold. In *Proc. of SODA'14*, page 305–316, 2014. doi:10.1137/1. ⁵⁵⁷ 9781611973402.22.
- Charilaos Efthymiou, Thomas P. Hayes, Daniel Štefankovič, and Eric Vigoda. Sampling
 random colorings of sparse random graphs. In *Proc. of SODA'18*, page 1759–1771, 2018.
 doi:10.1137/1.9781611975031.115.
- Pep Espanol. Statistical mechanics of coarse-graining. In Novel Methods in Soft Matter
 Simulations, pages 69–115. Springer, 2004. doi:10.1007/978-3-540-39895-0_3.
- Weiming Feng, Heng Guo, Yitong Yin, and Chihao Zhang. Rapid mixing from spectral independence beyond the Boolean domain. *CoRR*, abs/2007.08091, 2020. URL: http://arxiv. org/abs/2007.08091.
- Roberto Fernández, Aldo Procacci, and Benedetto Scoppola. The analyticity region of the
 hard sphere gas. Improved bounds. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 128(5):1139–1143, 2007.
 doi:10.1007/s10955-007-9352-7.
- Tobias Friedrich, Andreas Göbel, Martin S. Krejca, and Marcus Pappik. Polymer dynamics
 via cliques: New conditions for approximations. *CoRR*, abs/2007.08293, 2020. URL: http:
 //arxiv.org/abs/2007.08293.
- Andreas Galanis, Qi Ge, Daniel Stefankovic, Eric Vigoda, and Linji Yang. Improved inapprox imability results for counting independent sets in the hard-core model. *Random Structures & Algorithms*, 45(1):78–110, 2014. doi:10.1002/rsa.20479.
- 57525Andreas Galanis, Leslie Ann Goldberg, and Daniel Stefankovic. Inapproximability of the576independent set polynomial below the Shearer threshold. CoRR, abs/1612.05832, 2016. URL:577http://arxiv.org/abs/1612.05832.
- Andreas Galanis, Leslie Ann Goldberg, and James Stewart. Fast algorithms for general spin systems on bipartite expanders. In *Proc. of MFCS'20*, 2020. To appear. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.13442.

61:14 A spectral independence view on hard spheres via block dynamics

27 David Galvin and Prasad Tetali. Slow mixing of Glauber dynamics for the hard-core model 581 on regular bipartite graphs. Random Structures & Algorithms, 28(4):427-443, 2006. doi: 582 10.1002/rsa.20094. 583 28 Heng Guo and Mark Jerrum. Perfect simulation of the hard disks model by partial rejection 584 sampling. CoRR, abs/1801.07342, 2018. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.07342v2. 585 29 Jean-Pierre Hansen and Ian R. McDonald. Theory of Simple Liquids. Academic Press, fourth 586 edition edition, 2013. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-387032-2.00013-1. 587 30 Nicholas J.A. Harvey, Piyush Srivastava, and Jan Vondrák. Computing the independence 588 polynomial: From the tree threshold down to the roots. In Proc. of SODA'18, pages 1557–1576, 589 2018. doi:10.1137/1.9781611975031.102. 590 31 Thomas P. Hayes and Cristopher Moore. Lower bounds on the critical density in the hard 591 disk model via optimized metrics. CoRR, abs/1407.1930, 2014. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/ 592 1407.1930. 593 Tyler Helmuth, Will Perkins, and Samantha Petti. Correlation decay for hard spheres via 32 594 595 Markov chains. CoRR, abs/2001.05323, 2020. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.05323. 33 Tyler Helmuth, Will Perkins, and Guus Regts. Algorithmic Pirogov-Sinai theory. In Proc. of 596 STOC'19, pages 1009-1020, 2019. doi:10.1145/3313276.3316305. 597 34 Matthew Jenssen, Felix Joos, and Will Perkins. On the hard sphere model and sphere packings 598 in high dimensions. Forum of Mathematics, Sigma, 7:e1, 2019. doi:10.1017/fms.2018.25. 599 35 Matthew Jenssen, Peter Keevash, and Will Perkins. Algorithms for #bis-hard problems on ex-600 pander graphs. In Proc. of SODA'19, pages 2235-2247, 2019. doi:10.1137/1.9781611975482. 601 135. 602 Ravi Kannan, Michael W. Mahoney, and Ravi Montenegro. Rapid mixing of several Markov 36 603 chains for a hard-core model. In Proc. of ISAAC'03, pages 663-675, 2003. doi:10.1007/ 604 978-3-540-24587-2_68. 605 Wilfrid S. Kendall. Perfect simulation for the area-interaction point process. In Probability 37 606 Towards 2000, pages 218-234. Springer, 1998. doi:10.1007/978-1-4612-2224-8_13. 607 38 Wilfrid S. Kendall and Jesper Møller. Perfect simulation using dominating processes on ordered 608 spaces, with application to locally stable point processes. Advances in Applied Probability, 600 32(3):844-865, 2000. doi:10.1239/aap/1013540247. 610 Liang Li, Pinyan Lu, and Yitong Yin. Correlation decay up to uniqueness in spin systems. In 611 39 Proc. of SODA'13, pages 67-84, 2013. doi:10.1137/1.9781611973105.5. 612 Fabio Martinelli. Lectures on Glauber dynamics for discrete spin models. In Lectures on proba-40 613 bility theory and statistics, pages 93-191. Springer, 1999. doi:10.1007/978-3-540-48115-7_2. 614 Nicholas Metropolis, Arianna W. Rosenbluth, Marshall N. Rosenbluth, Augusta H. Teller, and 41 615 Edward Teller. Equation of state calculations by fast computing machines. The Journal of 616 Chemical Physics, 21(6):1087-1092, 1953. doi:10.1063/1.1699114. 617 Marcus Michelen and Will Perkins. Analyticity for classical gasses via recursion. CoRR, 42 618 abs/2008.00972, 2020. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/2008.00972. 619 Sarat Babu Moka, Sandeep Juneja, and Michel R. H. Mandjes. Analysis of perfect sampling 620 43 methods for hard-sphere models. SIGMETRICS Performance Evaluation Review, 45(3):69–75, 621 2018. doi:10.1145/3199524.3199536. 622 Elchanan Mossel and Allan Sly. Gibbs rapidly samples colorings of g(n, d/n). Probability 44 623 Theory and Related Fields, 148(1-2):37-69, 2010. doi:10.1007/s00440-009-0222-x. 624 45 Elchanan Mossel, Dror Weitz, and Nicholas Wormald. On the hardness of sampling independent 625 sets beyond the tree threshold. Probability Theory and Related Fields, 143(3-4):401-439, 2009. 626 doi:10.1007/s00440-007-0131-9. 627 Viresh Patel and Guus Regts. Deterministic polynomial-time approximation algorithms for 46 628 partition functions and graph polynomials. SIAM Journal on Computing, 46(6):1893–1919, 629 2017. doi:10.1137/16M1101003. 630 Mathew D Penrose. Self-avoiding walks and trees in spread-out lattices. Journal of Statistical 47 631 *Physics*, 77(1-2):3-15, 1994. doi:10.1007/BF02186829. 632

61:15

- Ricardo Restrepo, Jinwoo Shin, Prasad Tetali, Eric Vigoda, and Linji Yang. Improved mixing
 condition on the grid for counting and sampling independent sets. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 156(1-2):75–99, 2013. doi:10.1007/s00440-012-0421-8.
- Alistair Sinclair, Piyush Srivastava, Daniel Štefankovič, and Yitong Yin. Spatial mixing and the
 connective constant: Optimal bounds. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 168(1-2):153–197,
 2017. doi:10.1007/s00440-016-0708-2.
- Allan Sly. Computational transition at the uniqueness threshold. In *Proc. of FOCS'10*, pages 287–296, 2010. doi:10.1109/F0CS.2010.34.
- J. van den Berg and R. Brouwer. Random sampling for the monomer-dimer model on a lattice.
 Journal of Mathematical Physics, 41(3):1585-1597, 2000. doi:10.1063/1.533198.
- 52 Juan C Vera, Eric Vigoda, and Linji Yang. Improved bounds on the phase transition for
 the hard-core model in 2-dimensions. In Approximation, Randomization, and Combinatorial
 Optimization. Algorithms and Techniques, pages 699–713. Springer, 2013. doi:10.1007/
 978-3-642-40328-6_48.
- ⁶⁴⁷ 53 Dror Weitz. Combinatorial criteria for uniqueness of Gibbs measures. Random Structures & Algorithms, 27(4):445-475, 2005.
- 54 Dror Weitz. Counting independent sets up to the tree threshold. In *Proc. of STOC'06*, pages 140–149, 2006. doi:10.1145/1132516.1132538.