



HAL
open science

2021 EULAR recommendations for the implementation of self-management strategies in patients with inflammatory arthritis

Elena Nikiphorou, Eduardo José Ferreira Santos, Andrea Marques, Peter Böhm, Johannes Wj Bijlsma, Claire Immediato Daien, Bente Appel Esbensen, Ricardo Ferreira, George Fragoulis, Pat Holmes, et al.

► To cite this version:

Elena Nikiphorou, Eduardo José Ferreira Santos, Andrea Marques, Peter Böhm, Johannes Wj Bijlsma, et al.. 2021 EULAR recommendations for the implementation of self-management strategies in patients with inflammatory arthritis. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*, In press, 10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-220249. hal-03221612

HAL Id: hal-03221612

<https://hal.science/hal-03221612>

Submitted on 10 May 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

2021 EULAR recommendations for the implementation of self-management strategies in patients with inflammatory arthritis

Elena Nikiphorou^{1,2}, Eduardo José Ferreira Santos^{3,4}, Andrea Marques^{3,4}, Peter Böhm⁵, Johannes WJ Bijlsma⁶, Claire Immediato Daien⁷, Bente Appel Esbensen^{8,9}, Ricardo J O Ferreira^{3,4}, George E Fragoulis¹⁰, Pat Holmes¹¹, Hayley McBain¹², George S Metsios^{13,14}, Rikke Helene Moe¹⁵, Tanja A Stamm¹⁶, Annettede de Thurah^{17,18}, Condruta Zabalan¹⁹, Loreto Carmona²⁰, Ailsa Bosworth²¹

Handling editor Dimitrios T Boumpas

ABSTRACT

Background An important but often insufficient aspect of care in people with inflammatory arthritis (IA) is empowering patients to acquire a good understanding of their disease and building their ability to deal effectively with the practical, physical and psychological impacts of it. Self-management skills can be helpful in this regard.

Objectives To develop recommendations for the implementation of self-management strategies in IA.

Methods A multidisciplinary taskforce of 18 members from 11 European countries was convened. A systematic review and other supportive information (survey of healthcare professionals (HCPs) and patient organisations) were used to formulate the recommendations.

Results Three overarching principles and nine recommendations were formulated. These focused on empowering patients to become active partners of the team and to take a more proactive role. The importance of patient education and key self-management interventions such as problem solving, goal setting and cognitive behavioural therapy were highlighted. Role of patient organisations and HCPs in promoting and signposting patients to available resources has been highlighted through the promotion of physical activity, lifestyle advice, support with mental health aspects and ability to remain at work. Digital healthcare is essential in supporting and optimising self-management and the HCPs need to be aware of available resources to signpost patients.

Conclusion These recommendations support the inclusion of self-management advice and resources in the routine management of people with IA and aim to empower and support patients and encourage a more holistic, patient-centred approach to care which could result in improved patient experience of care and outcomes.

INTRODUCTION

In people living with inflammatory arthritis (IA), as well as other rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases (RMDs) and chronic conditions, an important aspect of care is the ability to understand the disease and deal with the practical, physical and psychological impacts that come along with

What is already known on this subject?

- ▶ The ability to self-manage in inflammatory arthritis (IA) represents an essential component of care that goes beyond drug therapy and which supports the patient in managing the practical, physical and psychological impacts of disease.
- ▶ Self-management is a multicomponent complex intervention that represents an unmet need in the care of people with IA.

What does this study add?

- ▶ These recommendations, based on evidence and expert opinion, confirm the beneficial effects of different components of self-management and provide guidance on embedding self-management interventions into the routine clinical care of people with IA.
- ▶ This work highlights the value of patient organisations in providing support and structured guidance for people with IA and the need to demonstrate and document the effectiveness of specific self-management interventions.

How might this impact on clinical practice?

- ▶ Adherence to these recommendations will lead to improved patient care and outcomes in people living with IA and will encourage a more active patient role in the management of disease.

it.^{1,2} This extends beyond drug therapy and places emphasis on the ability to self-manage as an essential component of care.³ Comorbidities including cardiovascular disease and common mental health conditions represent important, yet often poorly addressed aspects of IA despite their impact on disease outcomes.^{4,5} Addressing physical as well as psychological comorbidities is therefore crucial and more likely to be achieved if more holistic approaches to patient care are adopted, including,

Correspondence to

Dr Elena Nikiphorou,
Rheumatology Department,
King's College London, London
SE5 9RJ, UK;
enikiphorou@gmail.com

EJFS and AM contributed
equally.

LC and AB are joint senior
authors.

for example, signposting, where appropriate, to other members of the multidisciplinary team (MDT).⁶ These members include, aside from rheumatologists, nurses, physiotherapists, occupational therapists, podiatrists, psychologists, nutritionists and any other healthcare professionals (HCPs) involved in the care of patients with IA.² All these important aspects of disease which can place a high burden on the individual and their immediate family necessitate the incorporation of supported self-management in the routine clinical care of people living with IA. For self-management to be effective however, it is imperative that HCPs (for the purposes of this work, reference to HCPs includes rheumatologists as well as allied health professionals) are given adequate guidance and professional training. This has a significant positive impact on their engagement in clinical self-management support and patient centredness, as well as on their overall confidence to support self-management.⁷ Patient organisations also play a role in the provision of supported self-management resources. Acknowledging all these important aspects of care, a taskforce supported by the European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology (EULAR) was convened to embed recommendations alongside the standard medical care of IA that encourage supported patient self-management and concordance with treatment.

The overarching aim of the taskforce was to formulate recommendations for the implementation of self-management strategies in patients with IA, including but not limited to rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis and axial spondyloarthritis. The target audience was HCPs including all members of the MDT and patients. There were three key objectives: (1) to develop EULAR recommendations for the implementation of effective self-management strategies facilitated by HCPs in IA concurrently with and complementary to the delivery of standard medical care, (2) to enable all members of the rheumatology MDT to be able to provide and signpost a continuous and appropriate measure of support to enable better self-management of patient with IA and (3) to improve the patient's 'journey' and experience during their care, disease outcomes and quality of life.

METHODS

The 2014 updated EULAR standardised operating procedures were followed throughout the execution of this work.⁸ Following approval by the EULAR Executive Committee, the convenors (AB, EN) and methodologist (LC) led a taskforce of 18 members from across 11 European countries. Taskforce members came with a background and expertise in rheumatology, nursing, occupational therapy, psychology, self-management, exercise physiology and physiotherapy. The taskforce also included patient representatives with lived experience of IA from People with Arthritis/Rheumatism across Europe. Expert discussions took place primarily through two taskforce meetings, the first, face-to-face and the second, via a virtual online platform.

In preparation for the first meeting, an initial scoping review and a survey (available on request) were undertaken to explore, respectively, effective interventions in IA and self-management resources in RMDs across Europe. During the first meeting, the scope of this work, definitions for self-management and overarching principles (OAPs) were discussed. Furthermore, unmet need and key clinically relevant questions were identified in relation to self-management in IA and sources of best practice examples explored.

In preparation for the second meeting and, as guided by the first meeting, clinical questions were converted by the steering group (AB, EN, LC, AM, EJFS) into epidemiological questions that were addressed through systematic literature review (SLR) (under submission) undertaken by the taskforce fellows (AM, EJFS). The aim of the SLR was to identify the best evidence for the implementation of self-management interventions in IA and to describe individual components and effects. The review was conducted according to the Cochrane Handbook⁹ and reported in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines.¹⁰ Patient organisations affiliated with EULAR and HCPs across Europe were also approached via direct email communication requesting information and experience/feedback on examples of self-management resources in IA, to supplement the information retrieved from the SLR.

At the second meeting, the taskforce members formulated the OAPs and recommendations based on evidence from the SLR, survey, email communication with patient organisations/HCPs and best practice examples, guided by their expert opinion and through a process of discussion and voting. Consensus was accepted in the first round if >75% of the members voted in favour of keeping it in. In the second and third rounds, after refinements, the level of agreement (LoA) was voted on a 0 to 10 scale (0='do not agree at all' to 10='fully agree') anonymously. The second round was voted through Zoom polls during the second meeting and the third round through SurveyMonkey, afterwards. The mean and SD of the LoA was presented along with the percentage of taskforce members with an agreement ≥ 8 . An indication of the level of evidence (LoE) based on the evidence retrieved from the SLR was discussed for each of the formulated recommendations, to facilitate discussions. At the meeting, the LoE and strength of recommendation were assigned for each of the final drafted recommendations using the standards of the Oxford Centre for Evidence Based Medicine.¹¹

Finally, a research agenda was formulated based on discussions around identified unmet need and gaps in evidence.

RESULTS

The taskforce discussed existing definitions for self-management and reached consensus on three OAPs and nine recommendations (table 1), guided by the results of the SLR, the surveys to patient organisations and HCPs relating to self-management resources, across EULAR countries (online supplemental file) and best practice examples (can be provided on request). In total, 12 patient organisations were approached of which 9 responded, representing eight different countries. A total of 13 HCPs were approached and 100% replied from 13 different countries.

Definition

The definition and concept of self-management varies widely in the published literature and the context in which it is used.¹² The taskforce aligned mostly with the well-established definition of self-management provided by Barlow *et al*¹³ whereby self-management is defined as 'the ability of the individual to manage symptoms, treatment, lifestyle changes and psychosocial and cultural consequences of health conditions'. In this definition, two major components were highlighted: (1) self-management is aimed at achieving independence and (2) ideally, self-management

Table 1 EULAR overarching principles (OAPs) and recommendations for the implementation of self-management strategies in patients with inflammatory arthritis (IA)

	LoE (1–5)	SoR (A–D)	Level of agreement (0–10)	
			Mean (SD)	% with score ≥8
OAPs				
A. Self-management implies taking an active role in learning about one's condition and in the shared decision-making process about one's health and care pathway.	n.a	n.a	9.5 (0.6)	100
B. Self-efficacy (personal confidence to carry out an activity with the aim of achieving a desired outcome) has a positive effect on various aspects of living with IA.	n.a	n.a	9.6 (0.7)	100
C. Patient organisations often provide valuable self-management resources and collaboration between healthcare professionals (HCPs) and patient organisations will therefore benefit patients.	n.a	n.a	9.4 (1.0)	88
Recommendations				
R1. HCPs should encourage patients to become active partners of the team and make them aware of HCPs and patient organisations involved in all aspects of the care pathway.	5	D	9.5 (1.1)	87
R2. Patient education should be the start point and underpin all self-management interventions.	1A	A	9.5 (0.8)	93
R3. Self-management interventions that include problem solving and goal setting and, where relevant to the individual and available, cognitive behavioural therapy should be incorporated into routine clinical practice to support patients.	1A	A	9.1 (1.4)	93
R4. HCPs should actively promote physical activity at diagnosis and throughout the disease course.	1A	A	9.9 (0.3)	100
R5. Lifestyle advice based on evidence should be given to better manage common comorbidity and patients should be guided and encouraged by their healthcare team to adopt healthy behaviours.	5	D	9.6 (0.6)	100
R6. Better emotional well-being leads to better self-management; therefore, mental health needs to be assessed periodically and appropriate intervention should be made if necessary.	5	D	9.4 (1.3)	93
R7. HCPs should invite discussion with patients about work and signpost to sources of help where appropriate or where needed.	5	D	9.6 (0.5)	100
R8. Digital healthcare can help patients to self-manage and should be considered for inclusion in supported self-management where appropriate and available.	1B	A	9.3 (1.0)	93
R9. HCPs should make themselves aware of available resources to signpost patients to, as part of optimising and supporting self-management.	5	D	8.7 (1.2)	100

EULAR, European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology; LoE, level of evidence (1–5; 1 indicating evidence from high-quality randomised clinical trial (RCT) data and 5 indicating evidence from expert opinion without explicit critical appraisal or based on physiology, bench research or 'first principles'¹¹; n.a, not applicable; SoR, strength of recommendation (A–D; A indicating consistent level 1 studies (RCTs) and D indicating level 5 evidence or troublingly inconsistent or inconclusive studies of any level).

should be supported by others, for example, HCPs, patient organisations and family. The taskforce proposed to emphasise the important contribution that patient organisations can make in supporting self-management for the purpose of this work and any future reference on the topic, something that has been largely overlooked and left out of most definitions to date.

Overarching principles

The taskforce identified key themes considered to apply across all recommendations, formulated and agreed as three OAPs.

1. Self-management implies taking an active role in learning about one's condition and in the shared decision-making process about one's health and care pathway.

Driven by the self-management definition above, it is important that patients take an active role in understanding their condition and engage in acquiring self-management skills and coping strategies, as well as in shared decision-making, as part of their care. Effective supported self-management encompasses the ability to monitor one's condition and to put into action the cognitive, behavioural and emotional responses necessary to maintain a satisfactory quality of life.^{14–17} This way, a dynamic and continuous process of self-regulation is established. The importance of targeting and educating HCPs on self-management strategies and available resources, to ensure their ability to provide optimal support to patients, has been strongly emphasised.

2. Self-efficacy (personal confidence to carry out an activity with the aim of achieving a desired outcome) has a positive benefit on various aspects of living with IA.

Good self-efficacy and coping skills benefit and reduce health and financial burden to the individual as well as the health service, benefitting society overall.^{18–19} Self-efficacy, supported by the existing literature, implies a process as well as an outcome²⁰ since it is also an important outcome of self-management interventions.¹

3. Patient organisations often provide valuable self-management resources and collaboration between HCPs and patient organisations may therefore benefit patients.

There are numbers of best practice examples which include self-management resources in Europe, with important benefits for patients. Aside from practical advice and physical support, patient organisations can provide support with mental health issues, self-isolation and loneliness,²¹ which commonly feature in patients with IA. HCPs should take responsibility for addressing these issues in people living with IA and signpost to patient organisations. The taskforce acknowledges that variation exists both in healthcare delivery and the resources that patient organisations can offer. In some countries such as the UK, patient organisations invite HCPs to become medical advisors to the organisation and also provide free membership to all HCPs. Their medical advisors actively contribute educational articles for their magazines and to patient-related campaigns, educational activities

and others. There is a close relationship that encourages cross-talk and collaboration that can be of huge benefit to patients.

Recommendations

R1. HCPs should encourage patients to become active partners of the team and make them aware of HCPs and patient organisations involved in all aspects of the care pathway

For patients to take a more active role in their health, it is important that they are introduced to all members of the MDT involved in all aspects of their disease. Patient organisations can provide an invaluable source of information and resources to support patients. Yet, there seems to be a general lack of awareness of the self-management resources (and potential value) provided by many patient organisations (eg, in terms of patient education/disease knowledge, advocacy and other resources) and hence referral to these resources by HCPs. Some patients already engage in self-management and reach out to patient organisations for support. We acknowledge that patient organisations or at least well-developed patient organisations are not always available in many parts of Europe. Where available, patients should be signposted to relevant patient organisations in parallel with all other care and treatment they may be receiving.^{22 23} Where not available, we recommend using existing sources of information from the websites of other patient organisations and generally from trusted internet information sites, books and any other educational material that may be easily accessible online or via other routes.

R2. Patient education should be the start point and underpin all self-management interventions

Specific interactive education was among the most studied intervention across 19 randomised clinical trials (RCTs) based on the findings of the systematic review informing this taskforce (under submission). Self-management is considered a complex intervention as it contains many interacting techniques, thus making it difficult to identify the most effective components.¹⁸ Patient education is considered crucial, but not sufficient, in the context of self-management and is included in a majority of interventions.^{24–30} Patient education has been shown to improve treatment adherence, based on clinical trial evidence, although patient sample and follow-up time were both limited.²⁶ The taskforce considers treatment adherence (and discussions addressing this) to be part of the patient education plan.³¹ Patient organisations reinforce the information and messaging about adherence and the impact of peer reinforcement around adherence is very powerful.

EULAR has produced recommendations for patient education for people with IA addressing when and by whom patient education should be offered, as well as modes and methods of delivery, theoretical frameworks, outcomes and evaluation.³² We advocate the use of these recommendations when it comes to patient education, recognising that patient education is an integral part of supported self-management for people with IA throughout the course of their disease.

R3. Self-management interventions that include problem solving and goal setting and, where relevant to the individual and available, cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT), should be incorporated into routine clinical practice to support patients

There are various self-management interventions. These include problem solving^{27 29 30 33–39} and goal setting,^{27 29 30 33 34 37 39} as well as cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT),^{28 33 35 37–41} supported

by several SLRs and RCTs. The three interventions highlighted in this recommendation were therefore supported by strong evidence in their role in self-management. We advocate that they are promoted and provided where available and are relevant to patients, to enhance their ability to manage their disease confidently.⁴² CBT is a psychosocial intervention, often delivered by psychologists/psychotherapists, but also by some nurse specialists who have done a course in CBT and this further highlights the important role of the MDT. Referral to CBT can be initiated by any HCP involved in the care of the patient, if any doubt, in liaison with an expert delivering the intervention.

R4. HCPs should actively promote physical activity at diagnosis and throughout the disease course

Ample evidence from the existing literature supports the use of physical activity in IA and demonstrates its beneficial effect on several outcomes.^{43–49} Existing EULAR recommendations on physical activity⁵⁰ emphasise its importance in disease management, based on proven effectiveness, feasibility and safety. Physical activity should thus form an integral part of standard patient care and be actively promoted and tailored to the individual's circumstances, throughout their disease course. HCPs should be aware of the benefits of physical activity and advocate this as an important component of self-management. Any HCP should be able to promote the benefits of being physically active and take regular exercise and initiate a referral for physical therapy if deemed appropriate. If discussion is required with a physiotherapist or other physical exercise expert regarding the need and type of physical activity appropriate for an individual, then HCPs should know whom to approach for this. While there is a considerable amount of evidence for the beneficial effects of exercise, there is a general lack of emphasis on this aspect of care. Most interventions in regard to exercise relate to referral to a physiotherapist. However, the taskforce emphasises the importance and potential of exercise programmes and information provided by patient organisations and other community programmes, for example, classes which might include physical activities such as aquarobics, swimming, dancing, yoga and pilates.⁵¹

R5. Lifestyle advice based on evidence should be given to better manage common comorbidity and patients should be guided and encouraged by their healthcare team to adopt healthy behaviours

A number of modifiable lifestyle factors in IA can affect outcome.⁵² For example, the negative effects of smoking⁵³ as well as high body mass index⁵⁴ impact on inflammation and disease activity are now well established, as is the increased risk of cardiovascular disease.⁵⁵ Lifestyle approaches should complement medical treatments, as also supported by a EULAR taskforce dedicated to providing recommendations on specific lifestyle interventions for the management of RMDs (currently ongoing). This taskforce considers such interventions to be a core part of self-management and advocates that patients receive support to adopt healthy behaviours including guidance on what constitutes a healthy, balanced diet, the benefits of exercise and quitting smoking, among others. Where specialised input is needed, for example, on nutrition, the input from dieticians should be sought where possible, acknowledging that dieticians are not always 'standard' members of the MDT so external support might be required. Such interventions are expected to have a positive impact on comorbidities and extra-articular manifestations, as well as the IA itself and should be accompanied by relevant investigations such as lipid profile testing, blood pressure monitoring and sleep hygiene.^{4 6 56 57} The addressing

of comorbidities and initiation of relevant investigations may be undertaken by primary care physicians, rheumatologists or other HCPs such as nurses, involved in the patient's care and as part, for example, of an annual review clinic. Some centres have their own pro formas for screening of comorbidities or lifestyle factors, for example, smoking, and these can be helpful as part of the screening process and facilitate the process for any member of the MDT.

R6. Better emotional well-being leads to better self-management; therefore, mental health needs to be assessed periodically and appropriate intervention be made if necessary

Poor mental health leads to worse outcomes in IA.^{58–60} CBT and other psychosocial interventions^{43 61–64} should be offered where available and tailored according to individual needs. Addressing mental health issues can help mitigate self-isolation and feelings of loneliness and can result in better self-management.^{59 65} Examples of questionnaires that could be used to measure patients' emotional well-being feasibly in routine clinical practice include the mental health component of the SF36⁶⁶ and the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9).⁶⁷ The taskforce acknowledges that many patient organisations provide forums for networking and peer support programmes which can improve emotional well-being. Furthermore, we acknowledge that patients requiring more specialist assessment and support for mental health issues should be signposted as necessary, for example, to psychology and/or psychiatry.

R7. HCPs should invite discussion with patients about work and signpost to sources of help where appropriate or where needed

EULAR's current strategy states that 'by 2023, EULAR's activities and related advocacy will have increased participation in work by people with RMDs'.⁶⁸ The greatest proportion of people with IA are of working age at the time of diagnosis and work represents a major contributor to financial independence, self-esteem, purpose in life and overall quality of life.^{2 69 70} Therefore, it is crucial to the taskforce that HCPs address work-related aspects and signpost the patients to useful resources and support them to stay in work and maintain their independence.⁷¹ Occupational therapists and occupational health experts can provide helpful advice and resources in relation to the workplace.

R8. Digital healthcare can help patients to self-manage and should be considered for inclusion in supported self-management, where appropriate and available

Electronic patient records and other digital resources such as mobile health apps are becoming increasingly available in healthcare delivery.⁷² Mobile health technologies in particular can support self-management and allow people to take a more active role in their health.^{72 73} Patient-reported outcome domains as deemed relevant and important by patients could also be considered with digital healthcare. EULAR recommendations provide guidance on important aspects that should be considered for the development, evaluation and implementation of existing and new apps.⁷⁴ The taskforce recommends referring to EULAR guidance on the above.

R9. HCPs should make themselves aware of available resources to signpost patients to, as part of optimising and supporting self-management

The taskforce highlighted the need for HCPs to be made aware of available resources for patients with IA, including those provided by patient organisations, to promote and support

self-management interventions. At the same time, the taskforce recognised that just as there's variation in healthcare resources, there is also variation in what patient organisations can offer.⁷⁵

DISCUSSION

This EULAR taskforce has produced three OAPs relevant to nine agreed recommendations for the implementation of supported self-management strategies in patients with IA. OAPs and recommendations were met with strong consensus among experts in the task force.

The concept of self-management to some may imply needing to deal alone with a chronic condition.⁷⁶ Receiving adequate support from a variety of sources is crucial.⁷⁷ A key role of HCPs is also to enable access to and to signpost to supported self-management resources. Many HCPs will need to make themselves aware of how to most effectively provide and signpost to these different resources. The taskforce highlighted the importance of honesty and building trust as important elements for establishing open communication between patients and HCPs.^{78–81} Adequate time should be given to patients, as well as family and carers to discuss concerns and management options.^{82 83} Forward planning should be based on goal setting and what matters to patients, as supported by the existing literature.^{27 29 30 33 34 37 39} Furthermore, it was recognised that context, in other words, health system, culture or local resources, vary across settings and that nothing can be implemented without a clear familiarity and understanding of the local context. It is therefore important to understand and appreciate individual circumstances and social context when it comes to patient care, to maximise chances of implementing proposed care and supported self-management plans.^{84 85} For example, potential barriers to effective engagement with self-management could include poor health literacy and cultural or personal barriers, for example, for the latter, language barriers and low education. These should be identified where possible to maximise the support given to patients and to enhance their overall participation in self-management strategies. In some countries, patient organisations are particularly influential and with well-developed, active websites, support lines, educational material and some even with self-management programmes already established and made available to patients, families and carers. We encourage the use of social media such as Facebook, Twitter, websites and advertisements, for example, on national TV/radio to promote these resources.

Exploration of various definitions of self-management by the task force indicated that more holistic definitions of self-management reflecting the 'individual's ability to manage symptoms, treatment, physical and psychosocial consequences and lifestyle changes inherent in living with a chronic condition' were more warmly received.³ The taskforce additionally highlighted the important contribution that patient organisations can make in supporting self-management, an aspect that has been largely left out of definitions to date. The latter is supported by additional sources of evidence informing this task force including direct communication with chief executives of patient organisations and best practice examples (available on request). However, the taskforce noted that the constitution of patient organisations varies considerably from large professional expert organisations led by paid chief executive officers and staff governed by boards of trustees to very small organisations which are primarily volunteer led. This means that the resources provided by patient organisations also vary.

Patient education has been identified as a crucial component that should underpin all self-management interventions.

Effective patient education should be the responsibility of both the HCPs and the patients themselves. Patient education has been shown to improve treatment adherence,²⁶ something that this taskforce recognises as an important part of patient education. Furthermore, patient outcomes including effective disease knowledge, healthcare management and self-efficacy have been shown to improve with patient education.^{24–30}

The vision of the taskforce is that patient–HCP communication, the setting of meaningful and achievable goals and shared decision-making are seen as core components of self-management. This aligns well with EULAR's current quality-of-care strategy that by 2023, EULAR will deliver pre-eminent comprehensive quality of care frameworks for the management of people with RMDs. One of the main quality-of-care objectives is to provide a 'package' that will enable greater uptake of the advice given in the recommendations, in other words emphasis on implementation aspects.⁶⁸ In this regard and in relation to the nine recommendations, the taskforce recognised the importance of:

1. Raising awareness and educating HCPs on self-management strategies and available resources, to ensure ability to provide optimal support to patients.
2. Efforts to increase awareness and strengthen collaborations between patients, patient organisations and HCPs.
3. Signposting patients to good evidence-based information, also provided by many patient organisations.
4. Patient education as a crucial component of self-management, while acknowledging that being educated around various aspects of the disease does not necessarily imply implementation of meaningful changes.

It was particularly highlighted that training of HCPs, for example, on CBT, can improve their skills to deliver interventions and can be of great benefit to patients.^{28 33 35 37–41} The taskforce emphasised the need and importance of members of the MDT to be encouraged to work as a team towards implementation of the specific recommendations. Knowledge sharing should form a core part of these MDT meetings. Additionally, individual needs and variation in national health systems, availability of local resources and patient organisation offerings should be considered as part of the implementation. Finally, it is important to keep in mind that for self-management to be effective, the mode of delivery of various interventions should be considered in the setting of disease and severity, individual social circumstances and available resources. Referral to occupational health, occupational therapy patient organisations for resources related to work issues and other support should be considered where indicated and available.

With the recognition of all the above, unmet need has been identified and a research agenda has been proposed (box 1) for future work on the subject. An important focus has been the value of patient organisations and information and other resources they can provide to support people with IA, as well as the need to demonstrate and document the effectiveness of specific self-management interventions. It is particularly challenging for patient organisations to demonstrate the value of what they do, however, this does not remove the need for them to make real effort to demonstrate the impact of their resources. The taskforce identified, as part of the educational agenda, that there is scope for using best practice self-management programme examples to encourage and support other less-developed patient organisations and healthcare systems to work towards developing similar patient resources. Furthermore, in current clinical practice there is a strong emphasis on achieving clinical markers that are of importance to HCPs, for example, lowering of disease activity,

Box 1 Research agenda

Self-management in inflammatory arthritis (IA)—identified unmet need and suggested focus for future research.

1. To demonstrate the effectiveness of specific self-management interventions in IA and their impact on disease activity.
2. To study specific patient-reported outcome domains potentially affected by self-management including pain, fatigue, sleep, emotional and physical well-being, disability, quality of life and self-efficacy and explore a core outcome set.
3. To elucidate the cost-effectiveness of specific self-management interventions and programmes delivered.
4. To study the role of patient organisations and explore the impact of these organisations and the resources and support they provide for people with IA.
5. To investigate the impact of remotely delivered self-management interventions compared with face-to-face interventions.
6. To explore how the European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology community could implement strategies to support and enable less established patient organisations to adapt best practice examples to suit their local circumstances.

whereas this taskforce is advocating that more focus should be given to goals that are more meaningful to the patients in the context of their everyday lives. In this respect, we recommend raising awareness among HCPs of the importance of the biopsychosocial determinants of health.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, EULAR recommendations are now available for the implementation of self-management strategies in patients with IA. A dissemination strategy is currently underway to enhance the uptake of these recommendations, through national organisations, patient organisations and educational programmes.

Author affiliations

¹Rheumatology Department, King's College Hospital, London, UK

²Centre for Rheumatic Diseases, King's College London, London, UK

³Rheumatology, Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra EPE, Coimbra, Portugal

⁴Health Sciences Research Unit Nursing, Higher School of Nursing of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

⁵German League against Rheumatism, Bonn, Germany

⁶Department of Rheumatology & Clinical Immunology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

⁷Lapeyronie Hospital, CHU Montpellier, and Inserm U1046, CNRS UMR 9214, Montpellier University, Montpellier, France

⁸Copenhagen Center for Arthritis Research (COPECARE), Center for Rheumatology and Spine Diseases, Centre of Head and Orthopaedics, Rigshospitalet, Denmark

⁹Department of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

¹⁰First Department of Internal Medicine, Propaedeutic Clinic, Athens, Greece

¹¹National Rheumatoid Arthritis Society, Maidenhead, UK

¹²School of Health Sciences, City, University of London, London, UK

¹³Faculty of Education, Health and Wellbeing, University of Wolverhampton, Walsall, UK

¹⁴Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, University of Thessaly, Trikala, Thessaly, Greece

¹⁵Division of Rheumatology and Research, Diakonhjemmet Hospital, Oslo, Norway

¹⁶Section for Outcomes Research, Center for Medical Statistics, Informatics, and Intelligent Systems, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

¹⁷Department of Rheumatology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark

¹⁸Department of Clinical Medicine, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

¹⁹Romanian League against Rheumatism, Bucharest, Romania

²⁰Instituto de Salud Musculoesquelética, Madrid, Spain

²¹National Rheumatoid Arthritis Society, Littlewick Green, UK

Twitter Elena Nikiphorou @ElenaNikiUK, Ricardo J O Ferreira @FerreiraRJO, George E Fragoulis @FragoulisGeorge, George S Metsios @GeorgeMetsios and Loreto Carmona @carmona_loreto

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank Alessandra Penatti, Spain, and Sarah Ryan, UK, for their help.

Contributors All authors are members of European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology's (EULAR) task force for the development of 2021 EULAR recommendations for the implementation of self-management strategies in patients with inflammatory arthritis. All authors have contributed to the work and read and approved the final manuscript for submission. EJFS, AM, LC and AB have joint positions.

Funding This project has received funding by the European League Against Rheumatism, Project number: PAR028.

Competing interests EN reports personal fees and other from AbbVie, Eli-Lilly, Gilead, Celltrion and Pfizer and other from Sanofi, outside the submitted work. JWJB reports personal fees from Roche, Lilly and Galapagos, outside the submitted work. CID reports personal fees and other from AbbVie, Abivax, BMS, Fresenius, Galapagos, Lilly, Pfizer, Roche-Chugai and Sanofi, outside the submitted work. BAE has received speaking fees from Pfizer and Eli Lilly. RJOF reports personal fees from Sanofi and UCB, outside the submitted work. GEF reports honoraria from UCB and Aenorasis, outside the submitted work. TAS has received grant/research support from AbbVie and Roche, has been consultant for AbbVie and Sanofi Genzyme and has been a paid speaker for AbbVie, Roche, Sanofi and Takeda. EJFS, AM, PB, PH, HM, GSM, RHM, AdT, CZ, LC and AB report no conflicts of interest.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

REFERENCES

- Martinez-Calderon J, Meeus M, Struyf F, *et al.* The role of self-efficacy in pain intensity, function, psychological factors, health behaviors, and quality of life in people with rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review. *Physiother Theory Pract* 2020;36:21–37.
- Santos EJF, Duarte C, Ferreira RJO, *et al.* Determinants of Happiness and quality of life in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a structural equation modelling approach. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2018;77:1118–24.
- Barlow J, Wright C, Sheasby J, *et al.* Self-Management approaches for people with chronic conditions: a review. *Patient Educ Couns* 2002;48:177–87.
- Baillet A, Gossec L, Carmona L, *et al.* Points to consider for reporting, screening for and preventing selected comorbidities in chronic inflammatory rheumatic diseases in daily practice: a EULAR initiative. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2016;75:965–73.
- Chen L, Li W, He J, *et al.* Mental health, duration of unemployment, and coping strategy: a cross-sectional study of unemployed migrant workers in eastern China during the economic crisis. *BMC Public Health* 2012;12:597.
- Dougados M, Soubrier M, Antunez A, *et al.* Prevalence of comorbidities in rheumatoid arthritis and evaluation of their monitoring: results of an international, cross-sectional study (COMORA). *Ann Rheum Dis* 2014;73:62–8.
- Mudge S, Kayes N, McPherson K. Who is in control? clinicians' view on their role in self-management approaches: a qualitative metasynthesis. *BMJ Open* 2015;5:e007413.
- van der Heijde D, Aletaha D, Carmona L, *et al.* 2014 update of the EULAR standardised operating procedures for EULAR-endorsed recommendations. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2015;74:8–13.
- Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J. *Cochrane Handbook for systematic reviews of interventions* version 6.0 (updated July 2019): cochrane, 2019. Available: www.training.cochrane.org/handbook
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, *et al.* Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med* 2009;6:e1000097.
- Cebm. Oxford centre for evidence-based medicine. levels of evidence (updated in 2012), 2009. Available: <https://www.cebm.ox.ac.uk/resources/levels-of-evidence/oxford-centre-for-evidence-based-medicine-levels-of-evidence-march-2009>
- Rogers A, Kennedy A, Nelson E, *et al.* Uncovering the limits of patient-centeredness: implementing a self-management trial for chronic illness. *Qual Health Res* 2005;15:224–39.
- Barlow JH, Williams B, Wright CC. Patient education for people with arthritis in rural communities: the UK experience. *Patient Educ Couns* 2001;44:205–14.
- Kao M-J, Wu M-P, Tsai M-W, *et al.* The effectiveness of a self-management program on quality of life for knee osteoarthritis (oa) patients. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2012;54:317–24.
- Magharei M, Jaafari S, Mansouri P, *et al.* Effects of self-management education on self-efficacy and quality of life in patients with ulcerative colitis: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *Int J Community Based Nurs Midwifery* 2019;7:32–42.
- Benzo RP, Abascal-Bolado B, Dulohery MM. Self-Management and quality of life in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD): the mediating effects of positive affect. *Patient Educ Couns* 2016;99:617–23.
- Kvien TK, Balsa A, Betteridge N, *et al.* Considerations for improving quality of care of patients with rheumatoid arthritis and associated comorbidities. *RMD Open* 2020;6:e001211.
- Manning VL, Kaambwa B, Ratcliffe J, *et al.* Economic evaluation of a brief education, self-management and upper limb exercise training in people with rheumatoid arthritis (extra) programme: a trial-based analysis. *Rheumatology* 2015;54:302–9.
- Ferwerda M, van Beugen S, van Middendorp H, *et al.* Tailored, Therapist-Guided Internet-based cognitive behavioral therapy compared to care as usual for patients with rheumatoid arthritis: economic evaluation of a randomized controlled trial. *J Med Internet Res* 2018;20:e260.
- Luszczynska A, Scholz U, Schwarzer R. The general self-efficacy scale: multicultural validation studies. *J Psychol* 2005;139:439–57.
- Bay LT, Ellingsen T, Giraldi A, *et al.* "To be lonely in your own loneliness": The interplay between self-perceived loneliness and rheumatoid arthritis in everyday life: A qualitative study. *Musculoskeletal Care* 2020;18:450–8.
- Souliotis K, Agapidaki E, Peppou LE, *et al.* Assessing patient organization participation in health policy: a comparative study in France and Italy. *Int J Health Policy Manag* 2018;7:48–58.
- Akrich M, Nunes J, Paterson F. *The dynamics of patient organizations in Europe*. 9th ed. Paris: Presses des Mines, 2008. <http://books.openedition.org/pressesmines/1587>
- Barlow JH, Pennington DC, Bishop PE. Patient education leaflets for people with rheumatoid arthritis: a controlled study. *Psychol Health Med* 1997;2:221–35.
- Barlow JH, Wright CC. Knowledge in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a longer term follow-up of a randomized controlled study of patient education leaflets. *Br J Rheumatol* 1998;37:373–6.
- Hill J, Bird H, Johnson S. Effect of patient education on adherence to drug treatment for rheumatoid arthritis: a randomised controlled trial. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2001;60:869–75.
- Knittle K, De Gucht V, Hurkmans E, *et al.* Targeting motivation and self-regulation to increase physical activity among patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a randomised controlled trial. *Clin Rheumatol* 2015;34:231–8.
- van Lankveld W, van Helmond T, Näring G, *et al.* Partner participation in cognitive-behavioral self-management group treatment for patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 2004;31:1738–45.
- Niedermann K, de Bie RA, Kubli R, *et al.* Effectiveness of individual resource-oriented joint protection education in people with rheumatoid arthritis. A randomized controlled trial. *Patient Educ Couns* 2011;82:42–8.
- Niedermann K, Buchi S, Ciurea A, *et al.* Six and 12 months' effects of individual joint protection education in people with rheumatoid arthritis: a randomized controlled trial. *Scand J Occup Ther* 2012;19:360–9.
- Ritschl V, Stamm TA, Aletaha D, *et al.* 2020 EULAR points to consider for the prevention, screening, assessment and management of non-adherence to treatment in people with rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases for use in clinical practice. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2020;annrheumdis-2020-218986.
- Zangi HA, Ndosi M, Adams J, *et al.* EULAR recommendations for patient education for people with inflammatory arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2015;74:954–62.
- Barsky AJ, Ahern DK, Orav EJ, *et al.* A randomized trial of three psychosocial treatments for the symptoms of rheumatoid arthritis. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2010;40:222–32.

- 34 El Miedany Y, El Gaafary M, El Arousy N, *et al.* Arthritis education: the integration of patient-reported outcome measures and patient self-management. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2012;30:899–904.
- 35 Shigaki CL, Smarr KL, Siva C, *et al.* RAHelp: an online intervention for individuals with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Care Res* 2013;65:1573–81.
- 36 Lumley MA, Keefe FJ, Mosley-Williams A, *et al.* The effects of written emotional disclosure and coping skills training in rheumatoid arthritis: a randomized clinical trial. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2014;82:644–58.
- 37 Hewlett S, Ambler N, Almeida C, *et al.* Self-Management of fatigue in rheumatoid arthritis: a randomised controlled trial of group cognitive-behavioural therapy. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2011;70:1060–7.
- 38 Hammond A, Bryan J, Hardy A. Effects of a modular behavioural arthritis education programme: a pragmatic parallel-group randomized controlled trial. *Rheumatology* 2008;47:1712–8.
- 39 Evers AWM, Kraaimaat FW, van Riel PLCM, *et al.* Tailored cognitive-behavioral therapy in early rheumatoid arthritis for patients at risk: a randomized controlled trial. *Pain* 2002;100:141–53.
- 40 Basler HD, Rehfisch HP. Cognitive-Behavioral therapy in patients with ankylosing spondylitis in a German self-help organization. *J Psychosom Res* 1991;35:345–54.
- 41 Freeman K, Hammond A, Lincoln NB. Use of cognitive-behavioural arthritis education programmes in newly diagnosed rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Rehabil* 2002;16:828–36.
- 42 Du S, Yuan C, Xiao X, *et al.* Self-Management programs for chronic musculoskeletal pain conditions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Patient Educ Couns* 2011;85:e299–310.
- 43 Cramp F, Hewlett S, Almeida C, *et al.* Non-Pharmacological interventions for fatigue in rheumatoid arthritis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013;8:Cd008322.
- 44 Cramer H, Lauche R, Langhorst J, *et al.* Yoga for rheumatic diseases: a systematic review. *Rheumatology* 2013;52:2025–30.
- 45 Dagfinrud H, Kvien TK, Hagen KB. Physiotherapy interventions for ankylosing spondylitis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2008;1:Cd002822.
- 46 Mudano AS, Tugwell P, Wells GA, *et al.* Tai chi for rheumatoid arthritis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2019;9:CD004849.
- 47 Pécourneau V, Degboé Y, Barnetche T, *et al.* Effectiveness of exercise programs in ankylosing spondylitis: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2018;99:383–9.
- 48 Lopes S, Costa S, Mesquita C, *et al.* [Home based and group based exercise programs in patients with ankylosing spondylitis: systematic review]. *Acta Reumatol Port* 2016;41:104–11.
- 49 Santos E, Duarte C, Marques A, *et al.* Effectiveness of non-pharmacological and non-surgical interventions for rheumatoid arthritis: an umbrella review. *JBIM Database System Rev Implement Rep* 2019;17:1494–531.
- 50 Rausch Osthoff A-K, Niedermann K, Braun J, *et al.* 2018 EULAR recommendations for physical activity in people with inflammatory arthritis and osteoarthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2018;77:1251–60.
- 51 Metsios GS, Kitas GD. Physical activity, exercise and rheumatoid arthritis: effectiveness, mechanisms and implementation. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2018;32:669–82.
- 52 Brown Z, Metcalf R, Bednarz J, *et al.* Modifiable lifestyle factors associated with response to treatment in early rheumatoid arthritis. *ACR Open Rheumatol* 2020;2:371–7.
- 53 Sokolove J, Wagner CA, Lahey LJ, *et al.* Increased inflammation and disease activity among current cigarette smokers with rheumatoid arthritis: a cross-sectional analysis of US veterans. *Rheumatology* 2016;55:1969–77.
- 54 Nikiphorou E, Norton S, Young A, *et al.* The association of obesity with disease activity, functional ability and quality of life in early rheumatoid arthritis: data from the early rheumatoid arthritis Study/Early rheumatoid arthritis network UK prospective cohorts. *Rheumatology* 2018;57:1194–202.
- 55 Fragoulis GE, Panayotidis I, Nikiphorou E. Cardiovascular risk in rheumatoid arthritis and mechanistic links: from pathophysiology to treatment. *Curr Vasc Pharmacol* 2020;18:431–46.
- 56 Roubille C, Richer V, Starnino T, *et al.* Evidence-Based recommendations for the management of comorbidities in rheumatoid arthritis, psoriasis, and psoriatic arthritis: expert opinion of the Canadian Dermatology-Rheumatology comorbidity initiative. *J Rheumatol* 2015;42:1767–80.
- 57 Gualtierotti R, Ughi N, Marfia G, *et al.* Practical management of cardiovascular comorbidities in rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatol Ther* 2017;4:293–308.
- 58 Lwin MN, Serhal L, Holroyd C, *et al.* Rheumatoid arthritis: the impact of mental health on disease: a narrative review. *Rheumatol Ther* 2020;7:457–71.
- 59 Santos EF, Duarte CM, Ferreira RO, *et al.* Multifactorial explanatory model of depression in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a structural equation approach. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2019;37:641–8.
- 60 He Y, Zhang M, Lin EHB, *et al.* Mental disorders among persons with arthritis: results from the world mental health surveys. *Psychol Med* 2008;38:1639–50.
- 61 Astin JA, Beckner W, Soeken K, *et al.* Psychological interventions for rheumatoid arthritis: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002;47:291–302.
- 62 DiRenzo D, Crespo-Bosque M, Gould N, *et al.* Systematic review and meta-analysis: Mindfulness-Based interventions for rheumatoid arthritis. *Curr Rheumatol Rep* 2018;20:75.
- 63 Knittle K, Maes S, de Gucht V, Gucht de V. Psychological interventions for rheumatoid arthritis: examining the role of self-regulation with a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Arthritis Care Res* 2010;62:1460–72.
- 64 Dissanayake RK, Bertouch JV. Psychosocial interventions as adjunct therapy for patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review. *Int J Rheum Dis* 2010;13:324–34.
- 65 van Grieken RA, van Tricht MJ, Koeter MWJ, *et al.* The use and helpfulness of self-management strategies for depression: the experiences of patients. *PLoS One* 2018;13:e0206262-e.
- 66 Ware JE, Snow KK, Kosinski M. New England Medical Center Hospital, health institute. In: *SF-36 health survey: manual and interpretation guide*. 3rd ed. Boston: Health Institute, New England Medical Center, 2004.
- 67 Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. *J Gen Intern Med* 2001;16:606–13.
- 68 European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR). The EULAR strategy: 2018-2023: EULAR; 2018. Available: https://www.eular.org/eular_strategy_2018.cfm
- 69 Kurt E, Özdilli K, Yorulmaz H. Body image and self-esteem in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Noro Psikiyatr Ars* 2013;50:202–8.
- 70 Verstappen SMM. Rheumatoid arthritis and work: the impact of rheumatoid arthritis on absenteeism and presenteeism. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2015;29:495–511.
- 71 Yoshida K, Stephens M. Living with rheumatoid arthritis. strategies that support independence and autonomy in everyday life. *Physiother Theory Pract* 2004;20:221–31.
- 72 Steinhubl SR, Muse ED, Topol EJ. The emerging field of mobile health. *Sci Transl Med* 2015;7:283rv3.
- 73 Bradway M, Årsand E, Grøttland A. Mobile health: empowering patients and driving change. *Trends Endocrinol Metab* 2015;26:114–7.
- 74 Najm A, Nikiphorou E, Kostine M, *et al.* EULAR points to consider for the development, evaluation and implementation of mobile health applications Aiding self-management in people living with rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases. *RMD Open* 2019;5:e001014-e
- 75 Opava CH, Carlsson A. The role of patient organisations in musculoskeletal care. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2012;26:399–407.
- 76 Thirsk LM, Clark AM. What is the 'self' in chronic disease self-management? *Int J Nurs Stud* 2014;51:691–3.
- 77 Udhis KA. Self-Management in chronic illness: concept and dimensional analysis. *J Nurs Healthc Chronic Illn* 2011;3:130–9.
- 78 Ekman I, Swedberg K, Taft C, *et al.* Person-centered care--ready for prime time. *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs* 2011;10:248–51.
- 79 McCormack B, Borg M, Cardiff S, *et al.* Person-centredness – the 'state' of the art. *IPDJ* 2015;5:1–15.
- 80 de Wit M, Hochberg MC. The Patient Perspective. In: Gravallese EM, Silman AJ, Weinblatt ME, *et al.*, eds. *Rheumatology*. 7th ed. Elsevier, 2017: 409–12.
- 81 Voshaar MJH, Nota I, van de Laar MAFJ, *et al.* Patient-Centred care in established rheumatoid arthritis. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2015;29:643–63.
- 82 Chewning B, Bylund CL, Shah B, *et al.* Patient preferences for shared decisions: a systematic review. *Patient Educ Couns* 2012;86:9–18.
- 83 Ferreira RJO, Santos E, de Wit M, *et al.* Shared decision-making in people with chronic diseases: integrating the biological, social and lived experiences is a key responsibility of nurses. *Musculoskeletal Care* 2020;18:84–91.
- 84 Litchfield I, Bentham L, Hill A, *et al.* The impact of status and social context on health service co-design: an example from a collaborative improvement initiative in UK primary care. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2018;18:136.
- 85 Coles E, Anderson J, Maxwell M, *et al.* The influence of contextual factors on healthcare quality improvement initiatives: a realist review. *Syst Rev* 2020;9:94.