



HAL
open science

Sensory Experience, Environmental Experience and Political Engagement. Session 13 – Introduction

Théa Manola, Edith Chezel

► **To cite this version:**

Théa Manola, Edith Chezel. Sensory Experience, Environmental Experience and Political Engagement. Session 13 – Introduction. Proceedings of the 4th International Congress on Ambiances, Alloaesthesia: Senses, Inventions, Worlds, Réseau International Ambiances, Dec 2020, e-conference, France. pp. 168-170, 10.48537/hal-03220344 . hal-03220344

HAL Id: hal-03220344

<https://hal.science/hal-03220344v1>

Submitted on 25 May 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

Sensory Experience, Environmental Experience and Political Engagement

Session 13 – Introduction

Théa MANOLA¹,
Edith CHEZEL²

Considering on one hand, the increase in power of an aesthetic capitalism (Assouly, 2008; Böhme, 2016), resulting from neoliberal logics of production of inhabited spaces, often adorned with ‘green aesthetics’ (Fel, 2009; Blanc, 2012) ; and considering on the other hand, climate emergency and the injunction to transition, mobilizing various technical objects (Labussière and Nadaï 2018); we are witnessing multiple processes of “smoothing” spaces and experiences (standardization - Manola, 2012; Faburel and Manola, 2016; Thomas, 2018; asepticization - Thomas, 2009; fluidization and pacification - Masson, 2009; Adey et al., 2013) often ignoring our relationships to the “weather- world” (Ingold 2011, 120).¹²

Nevertheless, in a contemporary world enduring various crisis (namely environmental), sensitive experiences can also help understanding spaces in other ways (Thibaud, 2018). Physical engagement(s) in, with and by this world, using the body comprehension of space, might lead both to awareness and to forms of collective action, struggles and resistance (cf. Blanc and Lolive, 2007 ; Chezel, 2018). In this session we propose to discuss these political engagements/involvements generated by the body (Céfaï, 2009) and to question ourselves through the sensitive, on the very meaning of the produced space.

How do we relate to others through sensitive experiences? To what extent do sensitive experiences allow consideration or even awareness of environmental issues (both locally and more globally - climate change, biodiversity loss, etc.)?

Lilia Khelifi questions how surfing includes an intellectual and physical work on oneself, leading to the development of alloaesthesia which allows to learn how to feel movements produced by oneself but also by all kinds of surroundings. Thalia Marou discusses how in the practice of urban home gardening, habits and cultural deposits meet with contemporary environmental concerns and ethics and explores the symbiotic atmospheres of human and non-human entities, ideas, values and perspectives.

The session questions also how sensitive experiences are included in space making, or resulting from a specific space production and their political implications? In this

1. CRESSON/UMR AAU.

2. UMR Pacte.

sense, Simone Ranocchiari answers by questioning the relationship between ambiances and political engagement through the material atmospheres of “self-managed political-sociocultural spaces” while Eric Crevels questions the presence of labor, skills and techniques in the built environment and their contribution to the creation of particular atmospheres. In the same direction, but approaching the question from a more distant point of view, Olivier Ocquidant discusses the relations of urbanity and atmosphere, based on an ongoing survey on the post-industrial city and Victor Fraigneau addresses the notion of “sensory patrimoine” (by sound and smell), by questioning our control on the natural elements in order to characterize the sound or olfactory identity of a place.

The central preoccupation of these sessions is how experience becomes (political and/or environmental) engagement and how might sensitive experience be considered as an element of environmental engagement or even of claiming by “citizen” involvement? As a direct response to this question Claire Pelgrims discusses the “infra-politics” of the experience of mobility and the potential of mobility infrastructure to nourish the political engagement of mobile actors toward a sustainable future. In the same sense, Fabian Leveque and Karl Berthelot present elements concerning the singular experience of living with climate change in cities through the sensitive experience of the inhabitants and the relation of this experience with the political and ecological relation to the inhabited milieu of life.

Through those motley contributions, the session tends to understand tensions between the space production processes in times of environmental crisis and the sensitive experiences of our contemporary world, in order to understand the sensitive experiences as potential engagements/involvements (environmental or other). To do so, methodological challenges are discussed as much as theoretical issues.

Bibliographie

Adey P., Brayer L., Masson D., Murphy P., Simpson P., et al., 2013, “ “Pour votre tranquillité”: ambiance, atmosphere, and surveillance ”, *Geoforum, Elsevier*, 49, pp.299-309 [En ligne]

Assouly O., 2008, *Le capitalisme esthétique*, Éditions du Cerf, 192 p.

Blanc N., 2012, *Les Nouvelles esthétiques urbaines*, Armand Colin, 219 p.

Blanc N. et Lolive J., “Les subjectivités cosmopolitiques et la question esthétique”, in Lolive J. et Soubeyran O. (dir.), *Émergence des cosmopolitiques et mutation de la pensée aménagiste*, Paris, La Découverte, 2007.

Böhme G., 2016, *Critique of Aesthetic Capitalism*, Mimesis International, 108 p.

Cefaï D., 2009, “ Comment se mobilise-t-on ? Apport d’une approche pragmatiste à la sociologie de l’action collective ”, *Sociologie et sociétés*, vol. 41, n°2, pp. 245-269

Chezel E., 2018, *La fabrique collective des paysages climatiques, une enquête avec les parcs éoliens citoyens en Frise du Nord*, Thèse de doctorat, Université Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble.

Faburel G. et Manola T., 2016, “ Entre aïsthésis quotidienne, bien-être urbain et habitabilité de la ville durable : en quoi les paysages des éco-quartiers sont-ils différents ? ”, in. Barles S. et Blanc N. (dir.), *Ecologies urbaines 2*, Economica-Anthropos, pp. 149-173

Fel L., 2009, *L’Esthétique verte : de la représentation à la présentation de la nature*, Champ Vallon, 352 p.

Ingold T., 2011, *Being Alive: Essays on Movement, Knowledge and Description*, Routledge, London.

Labussière O. et Nadaï A., 2018, *Energy Transitions, A Socio-technical Inquiry*, Palgrave Macmillan, Cham, Switzerland,

Manola T., 2012, “ *Conditions et apports du paysage multisensoriel pour une approche sensible de l’urbain*”, Thèse en urbanisme, aménagement et politiques urbaines, Lab’Urba et UMR CNRS LAVUE, Université Paris-Est, 646 p. [En ligne]

Manola T., 2013, “ La sensorialité, dimension cachée de la ville durable ”, *Métropolitiques* [en ligne]

Thibaud J.-P., 2018, “Vers une écologie ambiante de l’urbain”, *Environnement Urbain / Urban Environment* [En ligne], Volume 13 | 2018, mis en ligne le 04 juillet 2018, consulté le 13 septembre 2018. URL : <http://journals.openedition.org/eue/2135>

Thomas R. (dir.), Balez S., Bérubé G., Bonnet A., 2010, *L’aseptisation des ambiances piétonnes au XXIe siècle. Entre passivité et plasticité des corps en marche*, Rapport de recherche n° 78 CRESSON, Programme PIRVE CNRS MEEDDM, 124 p. [En ligne]

Thomas R., 2018, *Une critique sensible de l’urbain*, Mémoire de HDR en Sciences Humaines - Aménagement, Communauté Université Grenoble Alpes, École doctorale 454 “Sciences de l’homme, du politique et du territoire”, CRESSON, 261 p. [En ligne]