

# Assessment of the relative impacts of climate changes and anthropogenic forcing on spring discharge of a Mediterranean karst system

Vianney Sivelle, Hervé Jourde, Daniel Bittner, Naomi Mazzilli, Yves Tramblay

# ▶ To cite this version:

Vianney Sivelle, Hervé Jourde, Daniel Bittner, Naomi Mazzilli, Yves Tramblay. Assessment of the relative impacts of climate changes and anthropogenic forcing on spring discharge of a Mediterranean karst system. Journal of Hydrology, 2021, 598, pp.126396. 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.126396 . hal-03216522

# HAL Id: hal-03216522 https://hal.science/hal-03216522v1

Submitted on 24 May 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

# **Assessment of the relative impacts of climate**

# <sup>2</sup> changes and anthropogenic forcing on spring

# **3 discharge of a Mediterranean karst system**

4 Vianney Sivelle<sup>1\*</sup>, Hervé Jourde<sup>1</sup>, Daniel Bittner<sup>2,3</sup>, Naomi Mazzilli<sup>4</sup>, and Yves Tramblay<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> HydroSciences Montpellier (HSM), Université de Montpellier, CNRS, IRD, 34090 Montpellier,
 France

- <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Civil, Geo and Environmental Engineering, Technical University of Munich, Arcisstr. 21,
   80333, Munich, Germany
- <sup>9</sup> <sup>3</sup> Erftverband, Department for River Basin Management, Am Erftverband 6, 50126 Bergheim, Germany
- <sup>4</sup> EMMAH, INRAE, Avignon Université, 84000, Avignon, France
- 11 \* corresponding author: HydroSciences Montpellier, 3000 avenue Emile Jeanbrau, 34090 Montpellier
- 12 (France) vianney.sivelle@umontpeller.fr
- 13

## 14 Highlights

- Multiple climate change and groundwater abstraction scenario testing procedure for future development of karst spring discharge.
- Climate change has a major effect on the future evolution of spring discharge.
- Groundwater abstraction constitutes a secondary factor in the spring dry-up.
- The aquifer is not overexploited yet but still highly sensible to groundwater extraction.
- 20

## 21 Abstract

22 The Mediterranean region is a climate change hotspot where the rate of climate change exceeds the global mean. The rapidly changing climate in combination with an increase in anthropogenic pressures 23 cause water resources in the Mediterranean basin to become increasingly scarce. Modelling future water 24 25 resource availability considering both climate and anthropogenic changes on karst catchments remains 26 a major challenge in the field of hydrology. The purpose of this study is to assess the relative effects of climate change and anthropogenic forcing on the spring discharge of a Mediterranean karst system by 27 coupling 12 climate model simulations under two emission scenarios (RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5) with three 28 29 hydrological models and four scenarios of future groundwater extraction for drinking water supply (no 30 abstraction, present-day abstraction, +50% abstraction and +100% abstraction at horizon 2100). The 31 study area is the Oeillal spring's karst catchment which is located at the Fonfroide-Monredon massif located in Southern France. The periods of spring drying-up can increase up to 30% according the RCP 32

4.5 and up to 70% according the RCP 8.5. This may be aggravated by groundwater abstraction in the area which, combined with climate change, could contribute to double the length of spring drying-up period in the worst scenario. The main results of the study suggest that climate change has a major effect on the future evolution of the Oeillal spring's discharge and that groundwater abstraction constitutes a secondary but non-negligible factor which increases the occurrence of drying-up of this Mediterranean spring.

## 39 Keywords

40 Climate change, Mediterranean karst aquifer, Lumped parameters model, Karst hydrology

#### 41 **1 Introduction**

42 The Mediterranean basin strongly depends on water from karst aquifers. It is estimated that at least one-43 quarter of the domestic water in the Mediterranean basin comes from karst aquifer resources 44 (Bakalowicz, 2015). Large areas in the Mediterranean basin are shaped by carbonate rock outcrops in 45 i.e. Montenegro (80.1%), Bosnia and Herzegovina (60.5%), Slovenia (49.5%), Croatia (40.9%), France (35.0%) (Goldscheider et al., 2020; Stevanović, 2018). Ensuring a sustainable karst freshwater supply 46 47 in the area thus constitutes a major challenge considering climate change impacts and future 48 anthropogenic pressures, including an increase in water abstraction or changes in land cover and land-49 use (LCLU), both of which may have a strong impact on future availability of karst water resources 50 (Gleeson et al., 2012; Taylor et al., 2013).

51 The Mediterranean region has been identified to be particularly vulnerable to climate change, where 52 future scenarios indicate a decrease of precipitation together with an increase in temperature 53 (Diffenbaugh and Giorgi, 2012; Giorgi, 2006). In the South of France, for example, changes in the mean 54 annual temperature have been observed since the late 1970s (Lelièvre et al., 2010). The recorded 55 warming in the area was about +0.49 °C/decade for the period of 1979-2004 (Lespinas et al., 2010) 56 wherefore the value is significantly higher than the global average estimated to be about  $+0.27^{\circ}$ C/decade 57 within the same period (Brohan et al., 2006). The combination of temperature and precipitation changes 58 will have strong impacts on the soil water content available for evapotranspiration (Lavorel et al., 1998) 59 causing recharge processes to change (Tramblay et al., 2020). Moreover, population developments in 60 the Mediterranean region will further amplify the water stress caused by climate change (Stefano et al., 61 2012; Vörösmarty et al., 2000). Thus, it is important to assess the combined potential impacts of climate 62 change and anthropogenic pressures in the Mediterranean basin, particularly considering that water 63 resources are already affected by environmental changes, such as climate evolution and LCLU changes 64 (García-Ruiz et al., 2011).

The effects of climate change on karst springs in the Mediterranean have already been investigated. Nerantzaki and Nikolaidis (2020) observed an increased dryness in terms of frequency, duration and intensity for three Mediterranean karst springs located in Greece. Smiateck et al. (2013) highlighted a 68 reduction of 9% and 30% of the annual discharge of the Figeh spring in Syria by the middle and the end 69 of the 21<sup>st</sup> century respectively. Doummar et al. (2018) identified the effect of climate change on the 70 Assal spring in Lebanon, where the discharge could decrease up to 50% after 2070. Dubois et al. (2020) 71 estimated that a 30% reduction of rainfall induces a loss of 36% of the discharge of the Qachqouch 72 spring in Lebanon which leads to water shortages that last longer and occur earlier in the season. A 73 similar trend was observed for a karst system located in Southern Italy (Fiorillo and Guadagno, 2012). 74 In Palestine, Hartmann et al. (2012) show that the discharge of the Faria spring will decrease by 30% by the end of the 21st century. According to the authors, the main reason for this development was not 75 76 climate change but the uncontrolled pumping and drilling activities in the area. The impacts of 77 groundwater abstraction on Mediterranean karst systems under active management (i.e. the pumping 78 rate is set to mobilize the aquifer's stored reserve) has also been subject to various studies (Charlier et 79 al., 2015; Jourde et al., 2014; Ladouche et al., 2014). Nonetheless, there is a lack of knowledge regarding 80 the combined potential impacts of anthropogenic forcing and climate change at the catchment scale as 81 it has until to date only been investigated on large scales (Wada et al., 2016).

82 Assessing climate change and anthropogenic impacts on hydrological processes in karst systems often 83 relies on the use of hydrological models (Hartmann et al., 2012; Nerantzaki and Nikolaidis, 2020; Sapač 84 et al., 2019). Various types of hydrological models can be implemented in karst studies, all based on 85 different conceptual approaches. One common approach is to consider different combinations of the dominant flow compartment as distinct buckets (Chang et al., 2017; Fleury et al., 2007; Guinot et al., 86 87 2015; Mazzilli et al., 2019). Most of the frequently used lumped-parameters model in karst hydrology 88 consider homogeneous recharge processes at the catchment scale. Depending on land-use, 89 heterogeneities in soil properties, heterogeneities in climate inputs (e.g. convective events, altitudinal 90 gradient), and catchment size, it can be of interest to consider a pseudo-distributed recharge (Bittner et 91 al., 2018; Hartmann et al., 2012; Ollivier et al., 2020). However, making reliable predictions of climate 92 change and anthropogenic pressures like land-use change impacts on karst systems remains a major challenge for hydrological models (Devia et al., 2015) due to the conceptualization as well as parametric 93 uncertainties (Perrin et al., 2001; Refsgaard and Henriksen, 2004). Therefore, most studies dealing with 94

95 the assessment of climate change and anthropogenic impacts on karst systems either consider multi-96 model approaches (Hartmann et al., 2012; Jiang et al., 2007; Jones et al., 2006) or verify the conceptual 97 and parametric transferability of a model to other catchments (Bittner et al., 2018) to limit the bias 98 induced by the uncertainties on conceptualization and parameterization. The literature research 99 conducted within this study yielded several studies that either focus on climate change impacts (Klaas 100 et al., 2020; Loáiciga et al., 2000; Nerantzaki and Nikolaidis, 2020; Sapač et al., 2019; Smiatek et al., 101 2013) or on anthropogenic impacts on karst spring discharge within the Mediterranean basin (Hartmann 102 et al., 2012; Hartmann et al., 2014; Stevanovic, 2010; Stevanović, 2018). To the best of our knowledge, 103 however, there is no study accounting for both, climate change and anthropogenic impacts on karst 104 spring discharge.

105 The purpose of this study is to assess the relative impacts of climate change and anthropogenic activity 106 on the future evolution of spring discharges of a Mediterranean karst system (Oeillal spring, Southern 107 France). In this study, anthropogenic pressure results from increasing groundwater abstraction rates due 108 to an increasing water demand. Using a suitable ensemble of climate change simulations and different 109 pumping scenarios, the combined effects of climate change and anthropogenic forcing on groundwater 110 resource dynamics can be investigated. To obtain reliable unbiased results, we considered a total of three 111 different conceptual models. On all three models, all possible combinations of climate change 112 projections and pumping scenarios were run, allowing us to assess the relative impact of climate change and anthropogenic pressures on the spring discharge of the studied Mediterranean karst system. 113

#### 114 **2** Study area

#### 115 2.1 Geological and hydrogeological settings

The Oeillal spring's catchment is located in the Narbonne-Sigean sedimentary basin, composed of Oligocene sediments, alluvions from the Aude river and limestone terrains of the Fonfroide-Monredon massif (Figure 1). The main aquifer in the area runs within the calcareous formation and fed the Oeillal spring, which is located in the Western part of the Narbonne-Sigean sedimentary basin and rises at four

- 120 spring pools. In the Northern part of the area, there is also an alluvial aquifer which is contained in the
- 121 Quaternary formation of the Aude river's terrace.



Figure 1: Geological setting, meteorological monitoring (Météo-France) and climate simulation grid (EURO-CORDEX) of the study area.

122 The geological formations of the basin were primarily formed during the Oligocene when significant rifting occurred which simultaneously marked the beginning of the uplifting of the geological formations 123 124 of the Malvesi area. The presence of a normal fault causes the Jurassic unit to rise in the form of a horst, 125 called the Montlaures massif near the Oeillal spring (Figure 2). If no horst is formed, the Jurassic unit is 126 covered by Oligocene sediments which can be differentiated according to three different sedimentary 127 series: (1) the grey series during early Oligocene (lake deposit), (2) the transition series, and (3) the red 128 series (floodplain deposits and conglomerate channels). In the Jurassic unit, a higher piezometric level 129 can be detected which indicates a potential upward vertical leakage towards the Oligocene sediments. 130 Additionally, the Oligocene sediments may locally show higher permeabilities due to the presence of 131 either conglomerate, dissolved evaporites, or unclogged fractures. High permeability zones can also 132 affect local groundwater flow. All geological formations of the basin have been monitored separately since September 2018, where measurements regarding water head H and temperature T in boreholes 133 134 have been taken (SAFEGE, 2019). On the basis of the monitoring, a characterization of the hydraulics

135 for each of the main geological formations near the Oeillal spring was conducted (Sivelle and Jourde,

136 2020).



Figure 2: Hydrogeological cross-sections of the karst catchment associated with the Fonfroide-Monredon massif and the Oeillal spring.

The Oeillal spring discharge has been measured once an hour since July 2018. Besides the highresolution time series, long-term temporal data of the total discharge at Oeillal spring exists on a monthly basis (measurements were performed once a month, however on varying dates). The monthly measurements cover a period of 30 years which is available for the calibration of the hydrological model. Although the resolution of the time series of the discharge is rather low, first hydrodynamic modeling has been performed (Sivelle and Jourde, 2020) using a lumped parameters model implemented in the KarstMod modeling platform (Jourde et al., 2015; Mazzilli et al., 2019). On the basis of the time series analysis and the modeling, the water volume stored in the aquifer and the annual volume of transit were
estimated to be appr. 7.5 Mm<sup>3</sup> and 4.2 Mm<sup>3</sup>, respectively. The karst system shows a slow recession
dynamic as suggested by a regulating power of around 1.8 years and a characteristic time of the transfer
function of around 200 days (Sivelle and Jourde, 2020).

Based on the geological map and surface river network analysis, the recharge area covers an area of appr. 42.3 km<sup>2</sup>, while lumped parameters model calibration estimated the recharge area to be 44.0 to 49.4 km<sup>2</sup> (Sivelle and Jourde, 2020). The difference in the estimations could be due to the model accounting for an additional zone that potentially contributes to the recharge area through surface runoff (Figure 1). However, the impact of the additional water inflow cannot be properly quantified thus far.

153 **2.2 Climate** 

154 The area is characterized by a Mediterranean climate with a mean annual cumulative rainfall of about 155 550 mm (the annual cumulative rainfall varies between 300 and 1,200 mm). On average appr. 55 days 156 of rain can be observed per year, whereby major rainfall events mainly occur in autumn with maximum 157 rainfall intensity greater than 100 mm/day. Indeed, the area is subject to extreme precipitation events so-called "Cévenol episodes" named after the Cévennes region which lies between the Massif Central 158 159 mountainous region and the Mediterranean plains (Delrieu et al., 2009). In case of snow event in the 160 region, snowmelt can be at the origin of direct infiltration over the study area. However, such influence 161 occurs very few due to the regional climate and the low elevation of the karst spring's catchment (lower 162 than 250 m a.s.l.). There are five meteorological stations operated by Météo-France (French 163 meteorological institute) located in the study area: Argeliers, Narbonne, Narbonne-Egassiairal, Fleury, 164 and Gruissan-INRA. Based on the data from these stations, a precipitation time series with a daily 165 resolution was constructed for the 1960-2020 period as well as a continuous temperature time series for 166 the 1980-2020 period. With the help of the reconstruction of the time series (Sivelle and Jourde, 2020), 167 the increasing trend around +0.4°C/decade in the mean annual temperature in Southern France since the 168 late 1970s was accounted for (Lelièvre et al., 2010; Lespinas et al., 2010; Moisselin et al., 2002). Also, 169 the potential evapotranspiration can be estimated through the formula proposed by Oudin et al. (2005) 170 as suggested in a previous study over the Oeillal spring karst catchment (Sivelle and Jourde, 2020).

#### 171 **2.3 Land-use and groundwater abstraction**

172 The karst aquifer is subject to anthropogenic impact through both the surface land-use and groundwater 173 abstraction. Based on the Corine Land Cover (CLC) 2012 maps (European Environment Agency & 174 Copernicus Land Service., n.d.), the total landcover of the recharge area shows four types of land-use: (1) quarries covering 2% of the total area, (2) urban areas, counting 1477 inhabitants (according to the 175 176 census in 2017 from the French Institute of Statistics and Economic Studies INSEE), covering 4.5% of 177 the total area, (3) vineyards and agricultural plots covering 30.8% of the total area, and (4) forests and 178 natural plots covering 62.7% of the total area (Figure 3). Water from the karst aquifer is extracted 179 through two pumping stations in order to provide the Narbonne agglomeration with drinking water. The pumping stations Les Mailloles (Moussan) and Croix Blanche (Montredon) have an annual groundwater 180 181 abstraction volume of 0.25 Mm<sup>3</sup>/year and 0.4 Mm<sup>3</sup>/year respectively (Figure 4) wherefore drinking 182 water constitutes the main use of the karst aquifer. Although groundwater is also used in agriculture and 183 industry in the region, for these uses, water is mostly withdrawn from surface water. The groundwater 184 abstraction for the quarries is with  $25,000 \text{ m}^3$ /year negligible compared to the total volume abstracted 185 for the drinking water supply. Considering the poor temporal resolution of the spring discharge time 186 series before 2018, the effects of the water abstraction on the Oeillal spring cannot be properly assessed, 187 especially after the Mailloles pumping station was commissioned in 2007. The total annual volume of 188 groundwater abstraction in the karst aquifer represents around 15 to 20% of the annual volume of transit. 189 As a comparison, in the Lez system (South of France), the groundwater withdrawal represents up to 190 50% of the volume of transit and the system is subject to strong social and economic issues (Jourde et 191 al., 2014). Furthermore, over-pumping may cause the spring source to dry up, as can be observed in 192 other karst systems in the Mediterranean area (Hartmann et al., 2014; Kazakis et al., 2018; Stevanović, 193 2019).

In 2030, the usage of fresh water from Croix Blanche is estimated to reach an annual volume of 0.57 Mm<sup>3</sup> (ENTECH, 2016) which is more than double the mean annual volume extracted during the period of 1997-2018. Moreover, a new pumping station will be commissioned in the immediate future which will extract a maximum of 0.2 Mm<sup>3</sup>/year, which equals 30% of the current groundwater withdrawal in the main aquifer (0.65 Mm<sup>3</sup>/year). Thus, predicting the development of groundwater resources in the region requires the consideration of both, the increase in water abstraction due to human activities (growing urban area and growing needs in freshwater), and the effects of climate change (Vörösmarty et al., 2000).



Figure 3: Land use and pumping stations in the recharge area of the karst system associated with the Oeillal spring. The land use is determined based on the CORINE Land Cover - CLC12 (<u>https://www.data.gouv.fr/fr/datasets/corine-land-cover-occupation-des-sols-en-france/</u>)

202



Figure 4: Volume of groundwater abstraction in the Jurassic calcareous formation around the Malvesi area, DWS = Drinking Water Supply. The annual groundwater abstraction volumes for the observation period are provided by the Rhône-Mediterranean water agency (<u>https://rhone-mediterranee.eaufrance.fr/telechargement-des-donnees-de-prelevements</u>) and projections are made according 3 scenarios depending on the future needs in fresh water supply.

## 203 **3** Material and methods

# 204 3.1 Hydrological models

#### 205 **3.1.1 Hydrological model structures**

206 Three lumped parameters models are implemented to account for conceptual uncertainties in the choice of the hydrological model (HM) structure (Figure 5). The ensemble of models comprises different 207 conceptualizations of the karst hydrological processes of interest for which different degrees of 208 209 heterogeneity is accounted for. I.e. the KarstMod model assumes homogeneous recharge processes at 210 the catchment scale in the vadose zone (Mazzilli et al., 2019), while the LuKARS model considers the 211 impact of land-use and soil properties on recharge processes (Bittner et al., 2018). The latter thereby 212 simulates hydrological processes as a function of land-use and soil type which together form so-called 213 "hydrotopes". The model, hence, accounts for a higher level of heterogeneity in the vadose zone.



Figure 5: Hydrological model ensemble: (a) model implemented in the KarstMod modeling platform (denoted HM\_1); (b) modified KarstMod model, including a ceiling effect in the lower compartment (denoted HM\_2); (c) model implemented based on the LuKARS model considering an impervious zone and an infiltration zone (denoted HM\_3).

214 At first, a hydrological model (Figure 5 a, denoted HM\_1) was implemented based on the KarstMod 215 modeling platform (Jourde et al., 2015; Mazzilli et al., 2019). A detailed description of KarstMod and 216 its underlying equations is provided in Appendix A. The model consists of two interconnected compartments: an upper compartment E and a lower compartment B characterized by a transfer function 217 218 with an infinite characteristic time (Guinot et al., 2015). The model structure HM\_1 was initially 219 proposed by Sivelle and Jourde (2020). The model is sufficiently capable of estimating the groundwater 220 resources variability, however fails to reproduce the quick dry-up of the Oeillal's spring observed during 221 low flow periods due to the neglection of the threshold effect in the recession coefficient which depends 222 on the piezometric level in the karst aquifer (change in the recession coefficient for a piezometric level 223 = 6.5 m a.s.l.).

224 Although the threshold effect was determined through piezometric and spring discharge time series, 225 neither a conceptualization nor implementation in a conceptual model have been proposed, yet. To 226 account for the uncertainty in the conceptualization of both the model structure and the threshold effect, 227 the study followed different conceptualizations approaches. Considering the potential effect of the major 228 fault due to the bordering Montlaures massif (Figure 2), one approach applied a flow routine from the 229 baseflow compartment as a function of the water level for which a disconnection of a part of the drainage 230 system from the spring was observed. This could explain the spring discharge deficit during low flow 231 conditions, when the piezometric level in the main aquifer is lower than 6.5 m a.s.l. which principally 232 occurs during summer season. Another conceptualization assumed losses to occur downstream of the 233 spring pool. In this case, the recession dynamics in the lower compartment solely depend on the water 234 level in this compartment. The present study thus better accounts for the complexity in hydrological 235 models (Figure 5) than the former study by Sivelle and Jourde (2020) and is thereby capable of 236 incorporating the threshold effect of the Oeillal spring discharge.

The first conceptualization mentioned hereabove was implemented in the KarstMod model. A threshold effect was considered in compartment B which is affected by the water level in the lower compartment C (Figure 5 b, denoted HM\_2). When the water level in C is sufficiently high, there is a hydraulic continuity of the baseflow from compartment B to compartment C (fluxes  $Q_b$  and  $Q_r$ ) and subsequently to the spring. On the opposite, when the water level decreases, the continuity is no longer sufficient, wherefore for both fluxes,  $Q_b$  and  $Q_r$ , loss occurs. The reason for the observed threshold effect and its associated hydrodynamic behavior thereby probably lies in the water level dependent disconnection of some parts of the drainage system (Figure 2) as observed for other karst systems such as the Lez aquifer (Clauzon et al., 2020; Dausse et al., 2019).

The third hydrological model (Figure 5 c, denoted HM\_3) is based on the LuKARS model (Bittner et 246 247 al., 2018, 2020b) in which hydrotopes (characterized by land use and soil type) conceptually represent 248 the vadose zone compartment (higher level with the continuum soil-epikarst-infiltration zone). The 249 vadose zone is connected to the saturated zone compartment (lower level) characterized by a linear 250 baseflow compartment (a detailed description of LuKARS and its equations is provided in Appendix 251 B). Although the recharge area is mainly composed of carbonate rock outcrops with poor soil 252 development, four different land-use types exist in the recharge area (Figure 3). Quarries and urban areas 253 are assumed to be impervious covers, hence surface water run-off for these areas does not contribute to 254 the aquifer recharge, as suggested by Bittner et al. (2018, 2020b). In the model, quarries and urban areas belong to the same hydrotope characterized by a null flux towards the baseflow compartment B. 255 256 Infiltration, on the contrary occurs in vineyards, agricultural and natural plots as well as forest areas 257 which contribute to the recharge of the baseflow compartment B.

258 The second conceptualization mentioned hereabove considers the threshold effect to be directly related 259 to the structure of the model and a change in the recession coefficient at the spring to depend on the 260 water level. In this case (Figure 5 c), the lower part of the linear baseflow compartment B is characterized by a higher recession coefficient which allows the reproduction of the quick-drying-up observed during 261 262 low flow period at the Oeillal spring. The spring discharge, hence, shows a slow recession dynamic 263 when the water level in compartment B is greater than  $B_{min}$ . When the water level in compartment B 264 decreases and becomes lower than  $B_{min}$ , the spring discharge shows a faster recession dynamic. 265 Between the low and high flow conditions, measurements showed that the recession coefficient 266 increases by appr. one order of magnitude.

#### 267 **3.1.2 Calibration and validation**

268 The three hydrological models (HM) were implemented in the R environment (R Core Team, 2013), 269 while the parameter estimation was performed using a Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) procedure 270 implemented with the "pso" package (Bendtsen, 2012). The data set for the calibration and validation 271 of the hydrological models is composed of continuous daily rainfall and temperature time series data for 272 the 1980-2020 period, monthly discharge measurements for the 1987-2017 period and daily discharge 273 measurements for the 2018-2020 period. The objective function for the hydrological models' calibration 274 represents a weighted sum of the widely used Kling-Gupta efficiency KGE (Gupta et al., 2009) as well 275 as the modified balance error BE (Perrin et al., 2001) :

$$W_{obi} = w \times KGE(Q) + (1 - w) \times BE(Q)$$
Eq. 1

where  $W_{obj}$  is the objective function to be maximized through calibration and *w* refers to the userdefined weight ( $0 \le w \le 1$ ). In our study, the hydrological models are calibrated using w = 0.75 (Sivelle and Jourde, 2020). Considering the discrepancy in temporal resolution between monthly discharge data from 1987-2017 and daily discharge data from 2018-2020, the hydrological models were calibrated for the period of 2018-2020. The period of 1987-2017 was used for validation purposes.

281 **3.2** Regional climate change projections

282 To account for future climate change scenarios, a sub-set of representative simulations was selected from the high-resolution (12.5 km<sup>2</sup>) regional climate model (RCM) from the EURO-CORDEX 283 284 experiment (Jacob et al., 2020, 2014). RCMs downscale the General Circulation Models (GCMs) 285 simulations of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5) (Taylor et al., 2012). In 286 this study, each GCM is wo different RCMs to assess uncertainties in terms of GCM/RCM combinations 287 (Table 1). The six GCMs used in this study were found to be adequate for Europe (McSweeney et al., 288 2015; Vautard et al., 2020). More information about the description of the RCMs and GCMs in the Euro-289 CORDEX experiment can be found in Jacob et al., (2014). Furthermore, the two Representative 290 Concentration Pathway (RCP) most commonly used in climate change impact studies in our region of 291 interest were retained: the "intermediate" RCP 4.5 (stabilization of radiative forcing after the 21st century

292 at 4.5 W/m<sup>2</sup>) and the "adverse" RCP 8.5 (rising radiative forcing crossing 8.5 W/m<sup>2</sup> at the end of  $21^{st}$ 

century).

Institute	<b>Regional Climate</b>	General Circulation Models (GCM)							
	Models (RCM)	CNRM-CM5	EC-EARTH	IPSL-CM5	HADGEM2	MPI-ESM	NorESM1		
SMHI	RCA4	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
CLM	CCLM4.8.17				Х				
CNRM	ALADIN5.3	Х							
DMI	HIRHAM5.1						Х		
KNMI	RACMO2.2		Х						
IPSL	WRF3.3.1			Х					
MPI	REMO2009					Х			
Table 1 Matrix of the RCM/GCM simulations									

294 The simulated RCM data (for each RCP) was adjusted to avoid biases for the period of 1960-2005 and 295 1990-2005 for rainfall and temperature, respectively. The bias correction was performed using the quantile mapping methodology (Déqué, 2007; Grillakis et al., 2013). According to this method, the 296 297 probability density function of the simulated data is corrected based on the observed time series to 298 eliminate the errors due to downscaling from GCM to RCM (Figure 6). The procedure was performed 299 for all climate models for the RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 scenarios. The corrected simulated temperature time 300 series, hence, estimates the potential evapotranspiration according to the Oudin's formula (Oudin et al., 301 2005).



Figure 6: Example of application of the bias correction using the quantile mapping methodology on the rainfall and temperature time series under RCP 4.5.

In this study, the simulated rainfall and temperature time series are derived from the EURO-CORDEX simulation grid. Although the Oeillal spring's recharge area is covered by a single unit grid cell in the EURO-CORDEX simulations, several observations were found suitable in order to assess the potential future climate variables (i.e. rainfall and temperature) for the catchment of the Oeillal spring (Figure 1).

#### 306

### 3.3 Coupling climate changes and anthropogenic pressures scenarios

307 The proposed workflow (Figure 7) consists of testing several scenarios considering an ensemble of 12 308 climate model simulations (Table 1), two climate scenarios (RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5), three hydrological 309 models (HM 1 to HM 3) and four pumping scenarios (PS 1 to PS 4). The different pumping scenarios 310 account for the range of future anthropogenic pressures. PS 1 considers no groundwater abstraction in 311 the karst aquifer and allows to assess the Oeillal spring discharge without anthropogenic forcing. PS\_2 312 assumes that the mean annual groundwater abstraction remains the same as in present-day. PS\_3 and 313 PS 4, on the contrary, assume an increasing water abstraction (+50 % and +100%, respectively) until 314 2100 based on predictions in terms of future needs of freshwater supply.

315 The application of the proposed workflow allows to simulate 288 hydrographs, where 72 simulations 316 consider the effects of climate change without anthropogenic forcing and 216 simulations consider the 317 combined effects of climate changes and anthropogenic forcing under different scenarios. The 318 application of three different model conceptualizations thereby accounts for output uncertainties related 319 to climate change projections and conceptual model uncertainties. Finally, the comparison of the 320 simulated spring discharge considering anthropogenic forcing (PS 1) with the simulated spring 321 discharge considering different scenarios in the future need in drinking water supply (PS 2 to PS 4) 322 allows to assess the relative impact of the climate change and the anthropogenic forcing. Indeed, the 323 simulated hydrographs considering PS\_1 allow estimating the impact of climate change on the spring 324 discharge without any anthropogenic forcing and so can be considered as a "naturalized" spring 325 discharge. Then, comparison of such "naturalized" discharge with the simulated spring hydrograph 326 under different pumping scenarios (PS\_2 to PS\_4) allows assessing the potential consequences for 327 groundwater abstraction on the spring discharge as well as the relative impacts of the climate change 328 and the anthropogenic forcing.



Figure 7: Workflow for the simulation of Oeillal spring hydrographs under both climate and anthropic pressure changes at horizon 2100. GCM: General Circulation Model, RCM: Regional Climate Model, RCP: Representative Concentration Pathway, HM: Hydrological Models, PS: Pumping Scenarios and GA: Groundwater Abstraction.

# 329 4 Results and discussion

## 330 4.1 Hydrological models' calibration and validation

- 331 The calibrated parameter sets for HM\_1 and HM\_2 (based on the KarstMod modeling platform) are
- 332 reported in Table 2, whereas the parameters for HM\_3 (based on the LuKARS model) are reported in
- 333 Table 3. Table 4 summarizes the performance criteria for the calibration and validation periods, i.e. with
- 334 continuous spring discharge data (2018-2020) and sparse spring discharge data (1987-2017),

respectively. All three hydrological models perform satisfactorily for the calibration period, whereby HM\_3 outperforms the other two models. The performance for the validation period, on the contrary, is rather low most likely due to the use of sparse spring discharge time series that is hardly capable of representing the hydrodynamics of a karst system as has been suggested in earlier studies (Sivelle and Jourde, 2020).

340 The simulated hydrograph of HM\_2 closely resembles the simulated hydrograph of HM\_1 until 2016. 341 Over the 1987-2017 period, despite the low resolution of the time series data, an overall decreasing trend 342 of the mean spring discharge is visible. After 2016, the inclusion of the threshold effect of HM 2 343 becomes apparent which more realistically reproduces the quick dry-up observed during the calibration 344 period (Figure 8). Although the analysis of the simulated hydrograph highlights the significant impact of the threshold effect on hydrological models, the performance assessment for HM\_1 and HM 2 is 345 rather similar. For the HM\_3, the effects of the threshold are already apparent in the early 2000s, while 346 347 also better capturing the dynamics in certain periods (such as 1992-1996) and limiting spring discharge 348 overestimations (as can be observed for 1994, 1996 and 2004). The comparison of the simulated spring 349 hydrographs shows the importance of applying several hydrological models in order to account for 350 conceptualization and parametric uncertainties. Note, however, that these uncertainties were not 351 evaluated in this study.



Compartment	Parameter	Description	Unit	Model	
				KM_1	KM_2
-	Ra	Recharge area	km <sup>2</sup>	42.3	42.3
	E0	Initial water level in E	Mm	32.3	32.3
Е	kES	Recession coefficient from E to S	mm.day <sup>-1</sup>	1.64×10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.64×10 <sup>-2</sup>
E	kEB	Recession coefficient from E to B	mm.day <sup>-1</sup>	8.76×10 <sup>-2</sup>	8.76×10 <sup>-2</sup>
	Emin	Minimum water level in E	Mm	10.3	10.3
	B0	Initial water level in B	Mm	350	350
	alpha	Exponent for the infinite time scale transfer function	-	0.79	0.75
D	hmin	Lower threshold	Mm	0	0
D	hmax	Upper threshold	Mm	$\infty$	$\infty$
	tau0	Time scale	Day	150	150
	Bmin	Threshold in sub-compartment in the compartment B	Mm	-	35
	C0	Initial water level in C	Mm	-	0
G	kCS	Recession coefficient from C to S	mm.day-1	-	4.18×10 <sup>-1</sup>
C	Cmin	Threshold for the orientation of overflow fluxes from the compartment B	Mm	-	0.29

 Table 2: Calibration values of the model parameters for KarstMod models.

353

Compartment	Parameter	Description	Unit	Model
				HM_3
-	Ra	Recharge area	km <sup>2</sup>	42.3
	kES	Discharge parameter for QES	m mm <sup>-1</sup> .d <sup>-1</sup>	0
	Emin	Minimum storage capacity	Mm	0
	Emax	Maximum storage capacity	Mm	1
E1 Impervious zone	alpha	Hydrotope-specific quickflow exponent	-	0
	kEB	Discharge parameter for QEB	m mm <sup>-1</sup> .d <sup>-1</sup>	0
	kloss	Discharge parameter for Qloss	m mm <sup>-1</sup> .d <sup>-1</sup>	3.9
	Esec	Activation level for Qloss	Mm	0
	kES	Discharge parameter for QES	m mm <sup>-1</sup> .d <sup>-1</sup>	43.4
	Emin	Minimum storage capacity	Mm	5.1
	Emax	Maximum storage capacity	Mm	12.3
E2 Infiltration zone	alpha	Hydrotope-specific quickflow exponent	-	1
	kEB	Discharge parameter for QEB	m mm <sup>-1</sup> .d <sup>-1</sup>	0.21
	kloss	Discharge parameter for Qloss	m mm <sup>-1</sup> .d <sup>-1</sup>	15.0
	Esec	Activation level for Qloss	Mm	12.89
	kBS <sub>base</sub>	Discharge parameter for QBS baseflow	m mm <sup>-1</sup> .d <sup>-1</sup>	5.29×10 <sup>-2</sup>
В	kBS <sub>over</sub>	Discharge parameter for QBS overflow	m mm <sup>-1</sup> .d <sup>-1</sup>	9.78×10 <sup>-3</sup>
	Bmin	Activation level for QBS overflow	Mm	3.29

Table 3: Calibration values of the model parameters for LuKARS model.

Model	1987-2020 sparse discharge time series				2018-2020 continuous discharge time series					
	NSE	KGE	KGENP	BE	VE	NSE	KGE	KGENP	BE	VE
HM_01	0.07	0.55	0.59	0.79	0.60	0.50	0.59	0.69	0.90	0.62
HM_02	0.07	0.56	0.59	0.77	0.61	0.55	0.67	0.68	0.85	0.66
HM_03	0.14	0.34	0.49	0.77	0.62	0.78	0.85	0.84	0.98	0.79

Table 4: Hydrological models' performances criterion between observed and simulated spring discharge (NSE: Nash Sutcliff Efficiency, KGE: Kling Gupta Efficiency, KGENP: Kling Gupta Efficiency Non-Parametric, BE: Balance Error and VE: Volume Error)

#### **4.2** Impacts of climate change on rainfall and potential evapotranspiration

To assess the impact of climate change, the time series data was divided into three non-overlapping 355 356 periods of 38 years. The 1982-2020 period is based on observed data and can therefore function as a 357 reference period. The 2021-2059 and 2060-2098 periods correspond to the near future and more distant 358 future. During the 1982-2020 period, the annual rainfall pattern shows seasonal variations with low 359 amounts of precipitations (< 30 mm/month) during the summer months and higher precipitations in 360 autumn, when monthly precipitation may exceed 90 mm/month (Figure 9). Nonetheless, the monthly 361 precipitation amount does not show the impact of significative single precipitation events that frequently 362 occur in autumn. Within the cevenol episodes, cumulative precipitation amount may reach up to 290 mm/day. The comparison of the rainfall projections of the 2021-2059 and 2060-2098 periods with the 363 1982-2020 period does not allow reliable conclusions in terms of the development of monthly 364 365 precipitation patterns. The projection of the potential evapotranspiration, on the contrary, shows a clear 366 increasing trend in both periods with for all months of the year (Figure 9). The increase in potential 367 evapotranspiration is the greatest for the RCP 8.5 for the 2060-2098 period. The maximum values (> 368 200 mm/month) is reached during July/August when the rainfall rate is minimal (< 30 mm/month). This 369 may lead to an increase in the water deficit, although it must be noted that actual evapotranspiration 370 rates may differ significantly from the potential evapotranspiration due to the limited water availability 371 in the soil and epikarst, as well as the adaption of vegetation to changing climatic conditions (Bussotti 372 et al., 2014; Klausmeyer and Shaw, 2009; Llorens and Domingo, 2007).



Figure 9: Observed and climate projection mean monthly precipitation amount (left) and evapotranspiration (right) for the control period (1989-2019), the near future (2020-2050) and remote future (2060-2090) periods.

### **4.3** Impacts of climate change on the spring discharge

The probability density function (PDF) of the spring discharge without anthropogenic forcing (i.e. there 374 375 is no groundwater abstraction) is computed for the reference period (1982-2020), the near future (2021-2059) and the more distant future (2060-2098) (Figure 10). All PDFs show a progressive shift of the 376 377 distribution towards low discharge values regardless of the severity of the climate change scenario. Moreover, the probability of low flow (spring discharge  $< 0.1 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ ) increases significantly for the RCP 378 379 8.5 scenario. One should note that the threshold with spring discharge equal to  $0.1 \text{ m}^3$ /s corresponds to 380 the order of magnitude of the spring discharge for which a quick drying-up of the Oeillal spring is 381 observed (Sivelle and Jourde, 2020). Hence, for the RCP 8.5 scenario, there is a significant increase in 382 the occurrence of the Oeillal spring drying up.



climate change scenarios (RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5) and no groundwater withdrawal (PS\_1).

383 Despite the general decrease that is predicted for the Oeillal spring discharge, some high flow events 384 can be observed. Primarily after significant rainfall events, spring discharge exceeds 0.3 m<sup>3</sup>/s (i.e. 385 cevenol episodes during the October/November period). In the past, the maximum flow discharge 386 frequently exceeded the value of 0.3 m<sup>3</sup>/s during flood events, however in the recent years since 2015, 387 such high flow rates have no longer been observed (Figure 8). It is, nevertheless, difficult to draw a reliable conclusion due to the lack of continuous daily spring discharge data before 2018. Since 2018 388 389 the flow rate of 0.3  $m^3$ /s has only been exceeded for a few hours after a significant rainfall event that 390 may also incorporate surface runoff and/or subsurface flow in rapid drainage structures. When 391 comparing the distribution for the simulated spring discharge without anthropogenic forcing, a decreasing trend is evident for both, RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 scenarios (Figure 11). Despite the uncertainty 392 393 in climate changes simulation over the area the results of this study prove a clear impact of the climate 394 change on the Oeillal spring discharge.



Figure 11: Box plot of the simulated spring discharge for the reference and future periods for the 12-climate change model and both RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5. The low and high outlier limits are fixed at 1% and 99% respectively.

#### **395 4.4 Impacts of groundwater abstraction on the spring discharge**

- 396 The monthly mean value of the spring discharge was calculated for the reference period (1982-2020),
- the near future (2021-2059) and the more distant future (2060-2098) for different assumptions in terms
- 398 of future needs for freshwater (Figure 12). Changes in the spring discharge amplitude due to an increased

399 groundwater extraction are by far less than the changes caused by climate change for both the 2021-400 2059 and 2060-2098 periods. Thus, the significative increase of temperature and thus of the potential 401 evapotranspiration related to climate change, appears as the most important factor affecting the future 402 outflow at the Oeillal spring, this decrease in discharge being aggravated by anthropogenic forcing 403 (pumping), but to a lower extent. Indeed, there is a significant discrepancy between spring discharge 404 without any (PS\_1) and with (PS\_2 to PS\_4) anthropogenic forcing, the latter three assume a constant 405 groundwater abstraction, an increase of 50%, and an increase of 100% of the mean annual groundwater 406 abstraction, respectively. Due to anthropogenic forcing, spring discharges lower than 0.1 m<sup>3</sup>/s (threshold 407 effect leading to a quick dry-up of the Oeillal spring) occur earlier in the hydrological cycle, i.e from 408 May to November, whereas for the most unfavorable scenario (RCP 8.5 and PS\_4), an increase in 409 pumping additionally extends the period for potential dry-ups. For the 2060-2098 period, PS\_4 410 groundwater abstraction levels lead to a dry period lasting at least from June to October, possibly even 411 from April to December. The more frequent occurrence of dry periods will have a significant impact on 412 the surface streamflow discharge in the Cadriege canal (downstream of the Oeillal spring) where surface 413 water withdrawal is performed.



suppry.

- 414 Climate change and anthropogenic forcing have a significant influence on the Oeillal spring discharge
- 415 for the near (2021-2059) and more distant future (2060-2098). Although climate change has a major
- 416 effect on spring discharge (Figure 12), groundwater abstraction significantly effects the frequency of
- 417 the drying-up of the Oeillal spring (Figure 13).



Figure 13: Mean number of days per year with a simulated spring discharge lower than 0.1  $m^3/s$  for each decade starting from 2020 to 2090.

### 418 **4.5** Relative impacts of groundwater abstraction and climate changes

419 Figure 14 shows the projection of the ratio between groundwater abstraction and the annual volume of 420 transit (i.e. the total volume of water passing through the Oeillal spring) according to the future needs 421 in freshwater (PS\_2 to PS\_4). Most of the scenarios, which account for both climate and groundwater 422 abstraction changes, show a constant increase in the imbalance between groundwater abstraction and 423 the volume of transit at the Oeillal spring. Indeed, all scenarios predict the ratios between groundwater 424 abstraction and volume of transit at the Oeillal spring to increase after 2020. The six global change 425 scenarios (all combinations of the RCPs and the pumping scenarios) cover a wide range of projections. For both RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5, the ratio seems to stabilize by 2060-2070. For the RCP 8.5, some models 426 427 predict that the annual groundwater abstraction may exceed the annual volume of transit at Oeillal spring 428 completely at horizon 2080. Nonetheless, this was only the case for the most unfavorable scenario (a combination of RCP 8.5 and PS 4). For the most favorable pumping scenario (PS 2), where the 429 430 groundwater abstraction is supposed to be a present-day abstraction, the ratio between groundwater 431 abstraction and the annual volume of transit show a slight increase. This demonstrates that part of the

432 forecasted imbalance is directly the consequences of the climate change on the spring discharge, which





Figure 14: Future evolution of the ratio between the volume of groundwater abstraction and the annual volume of transit at the Oeillal spring. The envelope of the curve corresponds to the 0.25 and 0.75 quantiles for the low and up boundary respectively.

434 Previous results highlighted that climate change will have a massive impact on the Oeillal spring 435 discharge and that groundwater abstraction may act as an aggravating factor in the drying up of the 436 Oeillal spring. To better assess the influence of anthropogenic forcing concerning climate change, we 437 plotted the difference between predicted spring discharge and the reference period with and without 438 groundwater extraction. Figure 15 thus allows assessing the relative contributions of groundwater 439 extraction and climate change on the Oeillal spring discharge. The simulated spring discharge for the 440 future groundwater extraction scenarios PS\_2 to PS\_4 was compared to the simulated spring discharge without anthropogenic forcing (PS 1). The results highlight that under severe climate change (RCP 8.5), 441 442 groundwater abstraction has a significant impact regardless of the amount. An increase in groundwater 443 extraction as assumed in PS\_4 may in fact induce a decrease of up to 25% of the mean annual spring discharge. This means that the groundwater extraction will be responsible for one-quarter of the spring 444 discharge decrease. This emphasizes once more that climate change is still the predominant factor 445 446 influencing the future evolution of the studied Mediterranean spring.



Figure 15: Evolution of the influence of the groundwater abstraction (GA) on the Oeillal spring discharge.

447 As groundwater extraction in the study area is mostly used for drinking water supply for the Narbonne 448 agglomeration, tourism is the main reason why water needs will increase during the summer season. 449 Although the groundwater extraction may induce up to 25 % of the annual mean spring discharge 450 decrease, it may account for up to 30% for the 2021-2059 period and up to 40% for the 2060-2098 451 period (Figure 16).



Figure 16: Influence of the groundwater abstraction on the monthly mean spring discharge under various global changes assumption.

#### 452 **4.6 Transferability and limitations of the workflow**

The present study regards a Mediterranean karst aquifer subject to anthropic pressure which is an important freshwater resource for the Narbonne agglomeration (Southern France). Not only the Narbonne region, but various large cities in the Mediterranean depend on karst aquifer groundwater resources emphasizing the importance of assessing the future development of karst groundwater resources in the basin. The transferability of the present study to other karst aquifers is rather limited due to the assumptions made in the study. The proposed workflow only accounts for changes in groundwater extraction, while LCLU changes were not addressed in this study. In future research, the response of karst vegetation to climate change and human activities should also be addressed (Zhao et al., 2020) as this may have a strong impact on the catchment hydrology (Cosandey et al., 2005). The use of dedicated hydrological model considering land-use changes (Bittner et al., 2018) and semi-distributed recharges (Ollivier et al., 2020) can be of interest to assess the potential effects of such changes and contribute to a better understanding of groundwater variability in karst catchments.

466 The proposed workflow included three hydrological models in order to eliminate conceptualization and 467 parametric uncertainties, however it does not evaluate them. Since the hydrological models consist of 468 different level of complexity (and require additional parameters), one should apply the principle of 469 parsimony to avoid over parametrization (Perrin et al., 2001). In complex karst system, it is much easier 470 to reproduce hydrological processes with a high dimensional parameter space (Hartmann, 2018) but a 471 large number of calibration parameters can cause over parametrization, wherefore parameters can lose 472 their identifiability (Hartmann et al., 2014). The active subspace method was proposed to reduce the 473 dimension of a complex hydrological model dedicated to karst hydrology (Bittner et al., 2020a) and 474 could be applied to assess the improvement due to the increased level of hydrological model complexity. 475 The latter can consist of considering a pseudo-distributed recharge, a threshold effect (with activation 476 of fluxes routine) or even dividing the baseflow compartment into several flow dynamics with potential 477 exchanges. Finally, assessing a pseudo-distributed recharge in lumped parameter modeling in karst 478 hydrology is interesting for further research when assessing the combined impact of climate and LCLU 479 changes on karst systems.

#### 480 **5** Conclusion

The study focuses on the future development of the Mediterranean karst spring discharge for various climate and anthropogenic pressure changes. The results in this study mainly focus on the relative impact of climate change and anthropogenic forcing respectively. The main result of the study is that climate change has a major effect on the future evolution of the studied Mediterranean karst spring's discharge. Groundwater abstraction constitutes a secondary but non-negligible factor which contributes to the dry486 up of the spring. If groundwater abstraction is increased, its contributions may rise up to 25% of the 487 annual spring discharge decrease, and up to 40% of the monthly spring discharge during the summer 488 period. This may indicate that the system is not overexploited but still highly sensible to groundwater 489 extraction.

Finally, the workflow proposed in this study consists of a multiple scenario testing procedure allowing to account for uncertainties in climate model simulations and hydrological model conceptualizations. It may be a helpful tool to assess groundwater variability in karst systems under active management and changing environmental conditions, while simultaneously provide valuable information for stakeholders and decision-makers for a sustainable freshwater supply in karst regions.

#### 495 Acknowledgements

496 The authors would like to thank the French Karst National Observatory Service (SNO KARST) initiative 497 at the INSU/CNRS which aims to strengthen dissemination of knowledge and promotes cross-498 disciplinarily research on karst systems at the national scale, for their support on the use of the KarstMod 499 model. The authors would also like to thank Météo-France for providing the meteorological data as well 500 as the EURO-CORDEX initiative for providing the RCM/GCM simulations used in this study through 501 the ESGF platform. The authors appreciate the valuable help of Rémi Muller and Lucie Martin during 502 fieldwork at Oeillal spring. Daniel Bittner further acknowledges the support by the Deutsche 503 Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) in the framework of the ROCKAT project (CH 981/6-1).

#### 504 Funding

505 The present study and the field monitoring near the Oeillal spring was funded by ORANO Malvesi.

#### 506 Credit author statement

507 Vianney Sivelle: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Formal analysis, Writing- Original draft
508 preparation, Hervé Jourde: Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition, Reviewing and
509 Editing, Daniel Bittner: Software, Reviewing and Editing, Naomi Mazzilli: Software, Reviewing and
510 Editing, Yves Tramblay: Data Curation, Reviewing and Editing

30

#### 511 Appendix A

The model is based on a structure available within the KarstMod modeling platform (Jourde et al., 2015; Mazzilli et al., 2019). The model consists of two interconnected compartments: a compartment E (higher level) and a compartment M (lower level) for which the mass-balance equations provided by Mazzilli et al. (2019) are applied.

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = P - ET - Q_{EM} - Q_{ES} ifE \ge 0$$
(A.1)

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = P - ETifE_{min} < E < 0 \tag{A.2}$$

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = Q_{EM} - Q_{MS} - Q_{bM} - Q_{rM}$$
(A.3)

where: *E* and *M* refer to the water levels in compartments E and M respectively,  $E_{min}$  is the minimum water level in compartment E, *P* is the precipitation rate, *ET* is the evapotranspiration rate. Note that *P* and *ET* only affect compartment E (upper level). When the water level in E is greater than  $E_{min}$ , the water flows either towards the lower compartment M (discharge  $Q_{EM}$ ) or directly to the outlet (discharge  $Q_{ES}$ ). The compartment M is characterized by a transfer function with an infinite characteristic time (Guinot et al., 2015). The unit response  $\omega(t)$  of the compartment M is defined as:

$$\omega(t) = \frac{\alpha_M \times \tau_M^{\alpha_M}}{(\tau_A + t)^{\alpha_M + 1}} \tag{A.4}$$

522 where  $\alpha_M \in (0,1)$  is an exponent and  $\tau_A$  is a time scale. The outflowing discharge  $Q_{bM}$  from the 523 compartment M is related to the inflow rate  $R = Q_{EM}$  by the convolution product:

$$Q_{bM} = R \circledast \omega(t) \tag{A.5}$$

The convolution kernel is approximated with a set of local operators run in parallel (Guinot et al., 2015). The compartment M is split into *n* sub-compartments with linear laws. The water level M(t) in compartment M is equal to the weighted sum of water levels  $M_i(t)$  in the *n* sub-compartments. The outflowing discharge rate  $Q_{bM}$  from compartment M equals the weighted sum of the specific outflow rates  $Q_{bM_i}$  from the *n* sub-compartments:

$$M(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i M_i(t)$$
(A.6)

$$Q_{bM}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i Q_{bM_i}(t)$$
 (A.7)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i = 1 \tag{A.8}$$

An upper threshold  $h_{max}^{M}$  is set for the depth in the sub-compartments. When the water level M(t)becomes greater than  $h_{max}^{M}$ , the *i*<sup>th</sup> sub-compartment is bypassed and the corresponding overflow  $Q_{rM_{i}}$ is routed directly to the loss. Such rapid overflow may occur even though the average water level M(t)is below the upper threshold  $h_{max}^{M}$ . Indeed, some sub-compartments may pass the  $h_{max}^{M}$  threshold while the weighted sum M(t) stays below  $h_{max}^{M}$ . The total overflow  $Q_{rM}$  is equal to the weighted sum of the specific outflow rates  $Q_{rM_{i}}$  from the *n* sub-compartments:

$$Q_{rM}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i Q_{rM_i}(t)$$
(9)

535 where the condition on  $\theta_i$  in Eq. A.8 is still applicable.

#### 536 Appendix B

537 In a LuKARS model, areas with homogeneous infiltration conditions are implemented as distinct 538 hydrological response units, called hydrotopes. A hydrotope is analogous to a bucket that has three discharge components: the quickflow component ( $Q_{hvd}$  [L<sup>3</sup>T<sup>-1</sup>]), a secondary spring discharge ( $Q_{sec}$ 539  $[L^{3}T^{-1}]$ ), and the recharge  $(Q_{is} [L^{3}T^{-1}])$ .  $Q_{hyd}$  is considered a hydrotope-specific quickflow occurring in 540 541 preferential flow paths (e.g. subsurface conduits). The quickflow bypasses the baseflow storage B and 542 is directly transferred to the spring outlet. The quickflow starts, once a hydrotope-specific storage 543 threshold  $(E_{max})$  has been reached and stops after the hydrotope storage falls below a lower storage 544 threshold  $(E_{min})$ .  $Q_{sec}$  integrates all flow components that do not arrive at the simulated karst spring 545 and that are transferred outside the regarded recharge area, i.e. secondary spring discharge and overland flow (Tritz et al., 2011). Q<sub>is</sub> is the discharge from one hydrotope to the underlying baseflow storage B 546 547 that represents the process of groundwater recharge. Each hydrotope has 7 physical parameters, with 548 length units L and time units T, that are as follows:

- $k_{hyd}$  [L<sup>2</sup>T<sup>-1</sup>] is the discharge parameter for  $Q_{hyd}$ ,
- $E_{min}$  [L] is the minimum storage capacity of a hydrotope,
- $E_{max}$  [L] is the maximum storage capacity of a hydrotope,
- 552  $\alpha$  [-] is the hydrotope-specific quickflow exponent,
- 553  $k_{is}$  [LT<sup>-1</sup>] is the discharge parameter for  $Q_{is}$ ,
- $k_{sec}$  [LT<sup>-1</sup>] is the discharge parameter for  $Q_{sec}$ ,

555 • 
$$E_{sec}$$
 [L] is the activation level for  $Q_{sec}$ 

Following the conceptual sketch from Bittner et al., (2018), the model solves the following discrete balance equations for each hydrotope i and for each time step n:

$$E_{i,n+1} = max \left[ 0, E_{i,n} + \left( S_{i,n} - \frac{Q_{hyd,i,n} + Q_{sec,i,b} + Q_{is,i,n}}{a_i} \right) \Delta t \right]$$
(B.1)

558 Where  $E_i$  indicates the water level [L] in hydrotope i.  $S_i$  is the hydrotope-specific sink and source term 559 as a mass balance of precipitation, evapotranspiration, and interception. Then, evapotranspiration is 560 considered using the formula from Oudin et al., (2005).  $Q_{hyd,i}$  [L<sup>3</sup>T<sup>-1</sup>] represents the quickflow 561 component (e.g. conduit flow),  $Q_{sec,i}$  [L<sup>2</sup>T<sup>-1</sup>] refers to the secondary spring discharge, and  $Q_{is,i}$  [L<sup>2</sup>T<sup>-562</sup> <sup>1</sup>] is the groundwater recharge. The absolute area covered by a respective hydrotope is given by  $a_i$  [L<sup>2</sup>].

$$E_{b,n+1} = max \left[ 0, E_{b,n} + \left( \frac{\sum (Q_{is,i,n}) - Q_{b,n} - Q_{pumpB,n}}{A} \right) \Delta t \right]$$
(B.2)

is the balance equation for the baseflow storage B, where  $E_b$  indicates the water level [L] in the baseflow storage,  $\sum (Q_{is,i,n}) [L^3T^{-1}]$  indicates the cumulative flows from all hydrotopes to the baseflow storage,  $Q_b [L^3T^{-1}]$  indicates water that is transferred from the storage B to the spring, hence simulates the baseflow contribution from the phreatic aquifer system to the spring discharge, and  $Q_{pumpB} [L^3T^{-1}]$ indicates the groundwater abstraction in the aquifer. The variable  $A [L^2]$  stands for the entire recharge area. The discharge terms are computed as follows:

$$Q_{hyd,i,n} = a_i \frac{k_{hyd,i}}{l_{hyd,i}} \varepsilon_n \left[ \frac{max(0,E_{i,n}-E_{min,i})}{E_{max,i}-E_{min,i}} \right] \alpha_i$$
(B.3)

$$Q_{sec,i,n} = a_i k_{sec,i} max \left( 0, E_{i,n} - E_{sec,i} \right)$$
(B.4)

$$Q_{is,i,n} = a_i k_{is,i} E_{i,n} \tag{B.5}$$

$$Q_{b,n} = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} k_b * E_{b,n} + 0.2 * k_b * (E_{b,n} - ceil_B) \end{bmatrix} * Aif E_{b,n} \ge ceil_B \\ k_b * E_{b,n} * Aif E_{b,n} < ceil_B \end{cases}$$
(B.6)

569  $E_{max,i}$  [L] and  $E_{min,i}$  [L] represent the upper and lower storage thresholds of the hydrotope i.  $E_{sec,i}$  [L] is the hydrotope-specific activation level for a secondary spring discharge.  $k_{sec,i}$  [LT<sup>-1</sup>],  $k_{is,i}$  [LT<sup>-1</sup>] and 570  $k_b$  [LT<sup>-1</sup>] are the specific discharge parameters for  $Q_{sec,i}$  [L<sup>3</sup>T<sup>-1</sup>],  $Q_{is,i}$  [L<sup>3</sup>T<sup>-1</sup>] and  $Q_b$  [L<sup>3</sup>T<sup>-1</sup>], 571 572 respectively.  $k_{hyd,i}$  [L<sup>2</sup>T<sup>-1</sup>] represents the specific discharge parameter for the quickflow of a hydrotope and  $l_{hyd,i}$  [L] is the mean distance of hydrotope *i* to the adjacent spring, thus accounting for the relative 573 location of the same hydrotope types in a specific recharge area. The ratio between  $k_{hyd,i}$  and  $l_{hyd,i}$ 574 575 represents the hydrotope discharge coefficient and  $\alpha_i$  is a hydrotope-specific exponent of the quickflow. 576 The dimensionless connectivity/activation indicator  $\varepsilon$  is defined as follows:

$$\varepsilon_{n+1} = 0if\{\varepsilon_n = 0 \land \varepsilon_{i,n+1} < E_{max,i} \lor \varepsilon_n = 1 \land \varepsilon_{i,n+1} \le E_{min,i}$$
(B.7)

$$\varepsilon_{n+1} = 1if\{\varepsilon_n = 0 \land \varepsilon_{i,n+1} \ge E_{max,i} \lor \varepsilon_n = 1 \land \varepsilon_{i,n+1} > E_{min,i}$$
(B.8)

577 To account for groundwater abstraction, the original equation for the baseflow compartment in the 578 LuKARS model is modified as follows:

$$B_{n+1} = max \left[ 0, B_n + \left( \frac{Q_{is,n} - Q_{b,n} - Q_{pumpB}}{A} \right) * \Delta t \right]$$
(B.9)

$$Q_{b,n} = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} k_{b_{down}} * E_{b,n} + k_{b_{up}} * (E_{b,n} - threshold_B) \end{bmatrix} * Aif E_{b,n} \ge threshold_B \\ k_{b_{down}} * E_{b,n} * Aif E_{b,n} < threshold_B \end{cases}$$
(B.10)

579 where  $B_n$  is the water level [L] in the baseflow compartment at the time step n,  $Q_{is,n}$  is the total flow 580 from all hydrotropes to the baseflow compartment [L<sup>3</sup>T<sup>-1</sup>],  $Q_{b_{down}}$  is the flow from the linear baseflow 581 compartment to the spring [L<sup>3</sup>T<sup>-1</sup>],  $Q_{b_{up}}$  is the flow from the linear baseflow compartment corresponding 582 to the overflow when the water level  $B_n \ge threshold_B$ ,  $Q_{pumpB}$  is the groundwater abstraction 583 discharge [L<sup>3</sup>T<sup>-1</sup>], A is the total recharge area [L<sup>2</sup>].

#### 584 **References**

- Bakalowicz, M., 2015. Karst and karst groundwater resources in the Mediterranean. Environ Earth Sci
   74, 5–14. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-015-4239-4
- 587 Bendtsen, C., 2012. pso: Particle Swarm Optimization.
- Bittner, D., Narany, T.S., Kohl, B., Disse, M., Chiogna, G., 2018. Modeling the hydrological impact of
  land use change in a dolomite-dominated karst system. Journal of Hydrology 567, 267–279.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.10.017
- Bittner, D., Parente, M.T., Mattis, S., Wohlmuth, B., Chiogna, G., 2020a. Identifying relevant
  hydrological and catchment properties in active subspaces: An inference study of a lumped karst
  aquifer model. Advances in Water Resources 135, 103472.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2019.103472
- Bittner, D., Rychlik, A., Klöffel, T., Leuteritz, A., Disse, M., Chiogna, G., 2020b. A GIS-based model
  for simulating the hydrological effects of land use changes on karst systems The integration
  of the LuKARS model into FREEWAT. Environmental Modelling & Software 127, 104682.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2020.104682
- Brohan, P., Kennedy, J.J., Harris, I., Tett, S.F.B., Jones, P.D., 2006. Uncertainty estimates in regional
  and global observed temperature changes: A new data set from 1850. Journal of Geophysical
  Research: Atmospheres 111. https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006548
- Bussotti, F., Ferrini, F., Pollastrini, M., Fini, A., 2014. The challenge of Mediterranean sclerophyllous
   vegetation under climate change: From acclimation to adaptation. Environmental and
   Experimental Botany, Response to abiotic stresses of plants of Mediterranean-type ecosystems
   103, 80–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2013.09.013
- 606Chang, Y., Wu, J., Jiang, G., Kang, Z., 2017. Identification of the dominant hydrological process and<br/>appropriate model structure of a karst catchment through stepwise simplification of a complex<br/>conceptual model. Journal of Hydrology 548, 75–87.<br/>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.02.050
- Charlier, J.-B., Ladouche, B., Maréchal, J.-C., 2015. Identifying the impact of climate and anthropic
   pressures on karst aquifers using wavelet analysis. Journal of Hydrology 523, 610–623.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.02.003
- Clauzon, V., Mayolle, S., Leonardi, V., Brunet, P., Soliva, R., Marchand, P., Massonnat, G., Rolando,
   J.-P., Pistre, S., 2020. Fault zones in limestones: impact on karstogenesis and groundwater flow
   (Lez aquifer, southern France). Hydrogeol J. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-020-02189-9
- Cosandey, C., Andréassian, V., Martin, C., Didon-Lescot, J.F., Lavabre, J., Folton, N., Mathys, N.,
  Richard, D., 2005. The hydrological impact of the mediterranean forest: a review of French
  research. Journal of Hydrology 301, 235–249. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.06.040
- Dausse, A., Leonardi, V., Jourde, H., 2019. Hydraulic characterization and identification of flow-bearing
   structures based on multi-scale investigations applied to the Lez karst aquifer. Journal of
   Hydrology: Regional Studies 26, 100627. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2019.100627
- belrieu, G., Boudevillain, B., Nicol, J., Chapon, B., Kirstetter, P.-E., Andrieu, H., Faure, D., 2009.
  Bollène-2002 Experiment: Radar Quantitative Precipitation Estimation in the Cévennes–
  Vivarais Region, France. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology 48, 1422–1447.
  https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JAMC1987.1
- 626Déqué, M., 2007. Frequency of precipitation and temperature extremes over France in an anthropogenic627scenario: Model results and statistical correction according to observed values. Global and628PlanetaryChange, ExtremeClimaticEvents57, 16–26.629https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.11.030

- 630Devia, G.K., Ganasri, B.P., Dwarakish, G.S., 2015. A Review on Hydrological Models. Aquatic631Procedia, INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON WATER RESOURCES, COASTAL AND632OCEANENGINEERING633https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aqpro.2015.02.126
- Diffenbaugh, N.S., Giorgi, F., 2012. Climate change hotspots in the CMIP5 global climate model
   ensemble. Climatic Change 114, 813–822. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-012-0570-x
- Doummar, J., Hassan Kassem, A., Gurdak, J.J., 2018. Impact of historic and future climate on spring
   recharge and discharge based on an integrated numerical modelling approach: Application on a
   snow-governed semi-arid karst catchment area. Journal of Hydrology 565, 636–649.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.08.062
- bubois, E., Doummar, J., Pistre, S., Larocque, M., 2020. Calibration of a lumped karst system model
  and application to the Qachqouch karst spring (Lebanon) under climate change conditions.
  Hydrology and Earth System Sciences 24, 4275–4290. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-42752020
- ENTECH, 2016. Déclaration au titre des articles L.214-1 à L.314-6 du Code de l'Environnement 3.1
   Présentation du captage (Déclaration d'Utilité Publique). Département de l'Aude , Le Grand
   Narbonne Communauté d'Agglomération, Narbonne.
- European Environment Agency & Copernicus Land Service., n.d. Corine Land Cover 2018 [WWW
   Document]. URL https://land.copernicus.eu/pan-european/corine-land-cover/clc2018
   (accessed 10.19.20).
- Fiorillo, F., Guadagno, F.M., 2012. Long karst spring discharge time series and droughts occurrence in
   Southern Italy. Environ Earth Sci 65, 2273–2283. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-011-1495-9
- Fleury, P., Plagnes, V., Bakalowicz, M., 2007. Modelling of the functioning of karst aquifers with a
  reservoir model: Application to Fontaine de Vaucluse (South of France). Journal of Hydrology
  345, 38–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2007.07.014
- García-Ruiz, J.M., López-Moreno, J.I., Vicente-Serrano, S.M., Lasanta–Martínez, T., Beguería, S.,
  2011. Mediterranean water resources in a global change scenario. Earth-Science Reviews 105,
  121–139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2011.01.006
- 658 Giorgi, F., 2006. Climate change hot-spots. Geophysical Research Letters 33. 659 https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL025734
- Gleeson, T., Wada, Y., Bierkens, M.F.P., van Beek, L.P.H., 2012. Water balance of global aquifers
   revealed by groundwater footprint. Nature 488, 197–200. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11295
- Goldscheider, N., Chen, Z., Auler, A.S., Bakalowicz, M., Broda, S., Drew, D., Hartmann, J., Jiang, G.,
   Moosdorf, N., Stevanovic, Z., Veni, G., 2020. Global distribution of carbonate rocks and karst
   water resources. Hydrogeol J. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-020-02139-5
- Grillakis, M.G., Koutroulis, A.G., Tsanis, I.K., 2013. Multisegment statistical bias correction of daily
   GCM precipitation output. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres 118, 3150–3162.
   https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50323
- Guinot, V., Savéan, M., Jourde, H., Neppel, L., 2015. Conceptual rainfall–runoff model with a twoparameter, infinite characteristic time transfer function. Hydrological Processes 29, 4756–4778. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.10523
- Gupta, H.V., Kling, H., Yilmaz, K.K., Martinez, G.F., 2009. Decomposition of the mean squared error
   and NSE performance criteria: Implications for improving hydrological modelling. Journal of
   Hydrology 377, 80–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.08.003
- Hartmann, A., 2018. Experiences in calibrating and evaluating lumped karst hydrological models.
  Geological Society, London, Special Publications 466, 331–340.
  https://doi.org/10.1144/SP466.18

- Hartmann, A., Goldscheider, N., Wagener, T., Lange, J., Weiler, M., 2014. Karst water resources in a
  changing world: Review of hydrological modeling approaches. Rev. Geophys. 52, 218–242.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/2013RG000443
- Hartmann, Andreas, Lange, J., Vivó Aguado, À., Mizyed, N., Smiatek, G., Kunstmann, H., 2012. A
  multi-model approach for improved simulations of future water availability at a large Eastern
  Mediterranean karst spring. Journal of Hydrology 468–469, 130–138.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.08.024
- Hartmann, A., Lange, J., Weiler, M., Arbel, Y., Greenbaum, N., 2012. A new approach to model the
  spatial and temporal variability of recharge to karst aquifers. Hydrology and Earth System
  Sciences 16, 2219–2231. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-16-2219-2012
- 687 Jacob, D., Petersen, J., Eggert, B., Alias, A., Christensen, O.B., Bouwer, L.M., Braun, A., Colette, A., 688 Déqué, M., Georgievski, G., Georgopoulou, E., Gobiet, A., Menut, L., Nikulin, G., Haensler, A., Hempelmann, N., Jones, C., Keuler, K., Kovats, S., Kröner, N., Kotlarski, S., Kriegsmann, 689 690 A., Martin, E., van Meijgaard, E., Moseley, C., Pfeifer, S., Preuschmann, S., Radermacher, C., 691 Radtke, K., Rechid, D., Rounsevell, M., Samuelsson, P., Somot, S., Soussana, J.-F., Teichmann, 692 C., Valentini, R., Vautard, R., Weber, B., Yiou, P., 2014. EURO-CORDEX: new high-693 resolution climate change projections for European impact research. Reg Environ Change 14, 694 563-578. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-013-0499-2
- 695 Jacob, D., Teichmann, C., Sobolowski, S., Katragkou, E., Anders, I., Belda, M., Benestad, R., Boberg, 696 F., Buonomo, E., Cardoso, R.M., Casanueva, A., Christensen, O.B., Christensen, J.H., Coppola, 697 E., De Cruz, L., Davin, E.L., Dobler, A., Domínguez, M., Fealy, R., Fernandez, J., Gaertner, 698 M.A., García-Díez, M., Giorgi, F., Gobiet, A., Goergen, K., Gómez-Navarro, J.J., Alemán, 699 J.J.G., Gutiérrez, C., Gutiérrez, J.M., Güttler, I., Haensler, A., Halenka, T., Jerez, S., Jiménez-700 Guerrero, P., Jones, R.G., Keuler, K., Kjellström, E., Knist, S., Kotlarski, S., Maraun, D., van Meijgaard, E., Mercogliano, P., Montávez, J.P., Navarra, A., Nikulin, G., de Noblet-Ducoudré, 701 702 N., Panitz, H.-J., Pfeifer, S., Piazza, M., Pichelli, E., Pietikäinen, J.-P., Prein, A.F., 703 Preuschmann, S., Rechid, D., Rockel, B., Romera, R., Sánchez, E., Sieck, K., Soares, P.M.M., 704 Somot, S., Srnec, L., Sørland, S.L., Termonia, P., Truhetz, H., Vautard, R., Warrach-Sagi, K., 705 Wulfmeyer, V., 2020. Regional climate downscaling over Europe: perspectives from the 706 EURO-CORDEX community. Reg Environ Change 20, 51. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-707 020-01606-9
- Jiang, T., Chen, Y.D., Xu, C., Chen, Xiaohong, Chen, Xi, Singh, V.P., 2007. Comparison of hydrological impacts of climate change simulated by six hydrological models in the Dongjiang Basin, South China. Journal of Hydrology 336, 316–333.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2007.01.010
- Jones, R.N., Chiew, F.H.S., Boughton, W.C., Zhang, L., 2006. Estimating the sensitivity of mean annual runoff to climate change using selected hydrological models. Advances in Water Resources 29, 1419–1429. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2005.11.001
- Jourde, H., Lafare, A., Mazzilli, N., Belaud, G., Neppel, L., Dörfliger, N., Cernesson, F., 2014. Flash
  flood mitigation as a positive consequence of anthropogenic forcing on the groundwater
  resource in a karst catchment. Environ Earth Sci 71, 573–583. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665013-2678-3
- Jourde, H., Mazzilli, N., Lecoq, N., Arfib, B., Bertin, D., 2015. KARSTMOD: A Generic Modular
  Reservoir Model Dedicated to Spring Discharge Modeling and Hydrodynamic Analysis in
  Karst, in: Andreo, B., Carrasco, F., Durán, J.J., Jiménez, P., LaMoreaux, J.W. (Eds.),
  Hydrogeological and Environmental Investigations in Karst Systems. Springer Berlin
  Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 339–344. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-17435-3\_38
- Kazakis, N., Chalikakis, K., Mazzilli, N., Ollivier, C., Manakos, A., Voudouris, K., 2018. Management
   and research strategies of karst aquifers in Greece: Literature overview and exemplification
   based on hydrodynamic modelling and vulnerability assessment of a strategic karst aquifer.

- 727
   Science
   of
   The
   Total
   Environment
   643,
   592–609.

   728
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.06.184
   592–609.
   592–609.
   592–609.
- Klaas, D.K.S.Y., Imteaz, M.A., Sudiayem, I., Klaas, E.M.E., Klaas, E.C.M., 2020. Assessing climate
  changes impacts on tropical karst catchment: Implications on groundwater resource
  sustainability and management strategies. Journal of Hydrology 582, 124426.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.124426
- Klausmeyer, K.R., Shaw, M.R., 2009. Climate Change, Habitat Loss, Protected Areas and the Climate
   Adaptation Potential of Species in Mediterranean Ecosystems Worldwide. PLOS ONE 4,
   e6392. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0006392
- Ladouche, B., Marechal, J.-C., Dorfliger, N., 2014. Semi-distributed lumped model of a karst system
  under active management. Journal of Hydrology 509, 215–230.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.11.017
- Lavorel, S., Canadell, J., Rambal, S., Terradas, J., 1998. Mediterranean terrestrial ecosystems: research
  priorities on global change effects. Global Ecology & Biogeography Letters 7, 157–166.
  https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1466-822X.1998.00277.x
- Lelièvre, F., Sala, S., Volaire, F., 2010. Climate change at the temperate-Mediterranean interface in southern France and impacts on grasslands production, in: The Contributions of Grasslands to the Conservation of Mediterranean Biodiversity. Zaragoza : CIHEAM / CIBIO / FAO / SEEP, Options Méditerranéennes : Série A. Séminaires Méditerranéens. pp. 187–192.
- Lespinas, F., Ludwig, W., Heussner, S., 2010. Impact of recent climate change on the hydrology of
  coastal Mediterranean rivers in Southern France. Climatic Change 99, 425–456.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-009-9668-1
- Llorens, P., Domingo, F., 2007. Rainfall partitioning by vegetation under Mediterranean conditions. A
   review of studies in Europe. Journal of Hydrology 335, 37–54.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2006.10.032
- Loáiciga, H.A., Maidment, D.R., Valdes, J.B., 2000. Climate-change impacts in a regional karst aquifer,
  Texas, USA. Journal of Hydrology 227, 173–194. https://doi.org/10.1016/S00221694(99)00179-1
- Mazzilli, N., Guinot, V., Jourde, H., Lecoq, N., Labat, D., Arfib, B., Baudement, C., Danquigny, C., Dal
  Soglio, L., Bertin, D., 2019. KarstMod: A modelling platform for rainfall discharge analysis
  and modelling dedicated to karst systems. Environmental Modelling & Software 122, 103927.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2017.03.015
- McSweeney, C.F., Jones, R.G., Lee, R.W., Rowell, D.P., 2015. Selecting CMIP5 GCMs for downscaling over multiple regions. Clim Dyn 44, 3237–3260. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-014-2418-8
- Moisselin, J.-M., Schneider, M., Canellas, C., 2002. Les changements climatiques en France au XXè
   siècle. Etude des longues séries homogénéisées de données de température et de précipitations.
   Météorologie 8, 45. https://doi.org/10.4267/2042/36233
- Nerantzaki, S.D., Nikolaidis, N.P., 2020. The response of three Mediterranean karst springs to drought
   and the impact of climate change. Journal of Hydrology 591, 125296.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125296
- Ollivier, C., Mazzilli, N., Olioso, A., Chalikakis, K., Carrière, S.D., Danquigny, C., Emblanch, C., 2020.
   Karst recharge-discharge semi distributed model to assess spatial variability of flows. Science of The Total Environment 703, 134368. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134368
- Oudin, L., Hervieu, F., Michel, C., Perrin, C., Andréassian, V., Anctil, F., Loumagne, C., 2005. Which
   potential evapotranspiration input for a lumped rainfall–runoff model? Journal of Hydrology
   303, 290–306. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.08.026

- Perrin, C., Michel, C., Andréassian, V., 2001. Does a large number of parameters enhance model
  performance? Comparative assessment of common catchment model structures on 429
  catchments. Journal of Hydrology 242, 275–301. https://doi.org/10.1016/S00221694(00)00393-0
- R Core Team, 2013. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
   Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria.
- Refsgaard, J.C., Henriksen, H.J., 2004. Modelling guidelines—terminology and guiding principles.
   Advances in Water Resources 27, 71–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2003.08.006
- SAFEGE, 2019. Reconnaissances hydrogéologiques dans le cadre du PNGMDR sur le site de Malvési
  à Narbonne (11). Suivis de la source de l'Oeillal et des piézomètres FR1, FR2, FR3, K1 et K2.
- Sapač, K., Medved, A., Rusjan, S., Bezak, N., 2019. Investigation of Low- and High-Flow
  Characteristics of Karst Catchments under Climate Change. Water 11, 925.
  https://doi.org/10.3390/w11050925
- Sivelle, V., Jourde, H., 2020. A methodology for the assessment of groundwater resource variability in
   karst catchments with sparse temporal measurements. Hydrogeol J.
   https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-020-02239-2
- Smiatek, G., Kaspar, S., Kunstmann, H., 2013. Hydrological Climate Change Impact Analysis for the
   Figeh Spring near Damascus, Syria. Journal of Hydrometeorology 14, 577–593.
   https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-12-065.1
- Stefano, L.D., Duncan, J., Dinar, S., Stahl, K., Strzepek, K.M., Wolf, A.T., 2012. Climate change and
   the institutional resilience of international river basins: Journal of Peace Research.
   https://doi.org/10.1177/0022343311427416
- Stevanović, Z., 2019. Karst Aquifers in the Arid World of Africa and the Middle East: Sustainability or
  Humanity?, in: Younos, T., Schreiber, M., Kosič Ficco, K. (Eds.), Karst Water Environment:
  Advances in Research, Management and Policy, The Handbook of Environmental Chemistry.
  Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 1–43. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-773681\_1
- Stevanović, Z., 2018. Global distribution and use of water from karst aquifers. Geological Society,
   London, Special Publications 466, 217–236. https://doi.org/10.1144/SP466.17
- Stevanovic, Z., 2010. Case Study: Major springs of southeastern Europe and their Utilization, in: Kresic,
   N., Stevanovic, Z. (Eds.), Groundwater Hydrology of Springs. Butterworth-Heinemann,
   Boston, pp. 389–410. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-85617-502-9.00010-4
- Taylor, K.E., Stouffer, R.J., Meehl, G.A., 2012. An Overview of CMIP5 and the Experiment Design.
  Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc. 93, 485–498. https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00094.1
- Taylor, R.G., Scanlon, B., Döll, P., Rodell, M., van Beek, R., Wada, Y., Longuevergne, L., Leblanc, M.,
  Famiglietti, J.S., Edmunds, M., Konikow, L., Green, T.R., Chen, J., Taniguchi, M., Bierkens,
  M.F.P., MacDonald, A., Fan, Y., Maxwell, R.M., Yechieli, Y., Gurdak, J.J., Allen, D.M.,
  Shamsudduha, M., Hiscock, K., Yeh, P.J.-F., Holman, I., Treidel, H., 2013. Ground water and
  climate change. Nature Climate Change 3, 322–329. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1744
- Tramblay, Y., Koutroulis, A., Samaniego, L., Vicente-Serrano, S.M., Volaire, F., Boone, A., Le Page,
  M., Llasat, M.C., Albergel, C., Burak, S., Cailleret, M., Kalin, K.C., Davi, H., Dupuy, J.-L.,
  Greve, P., Grillakis, M., Hanich, L., Jarlan, L., Martin-StPaul, N., Martínez-Vilalta, J., Mouillot,
  F., Pulido-Velazquez, D., Quintana-Seguí, P., Renard, D., Turco, M., Türkeş, M., Trigo, R.,
  Vidal, J.-P., Vilagrosa, A., Zribi, M., Polcher, J., 2020. Challenges for drought assessment in
  the Mediterranean region under future climate scenarios. Earth-Science Reviews 210, 103348.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2020.103348

- Tritz, S., Guinot, V., Jourde, H., 2011. Modelling the behaviour of a karst system catchment using non linear hysteretic conceptual model. Journal of Hydrology 397, 250–262.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.12.001
- Vautard, R., Kadygrov, N., Iles, C., Boberg, F., Buonomo, E., Bülow, K., Coppola, E., Corre, L.,
  Meijgaard, E. van, Nogherotto, R., Sandstad, M., Schwingshackl, C., Somot, S., Aalbers, E.,
  Christensen, O.B., Ciarlò, J.M., Demory, M.-E., Giorgi, F., Jacob, D., Jones, R.G., Keuler, K.,
  Kjellström, E., Lenderink, G., Levavasseur, G., Nikulin, G., Sillmann, J., Solidoro, C., Sørland,
  S.L., Steger, C., Teichmann, C., Warrach-Sagi, K., Wulfmeyer, V., 2020. Evaluation of the large
  EURO-CORDEX regional climate model ensemble. Journal of Geophysical Research:
  Atmospheres n/a. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD032344
- Vörösmarty, C.J., Green, P., Salisbury, J., Lammers, R.B., 2000. Global Water Resources: Vulnerability
   from Climate Change and Population Growth. Science 289, 284–288.
   https://doi.org/10.1126/science.289.5477.284
- Wada, Y., de Graaf, I.E.M., van Beek, L.P.H., 2016. High-resolution modeling of human and climate
  impacts on global water resources. J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst. 8, 735–763.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/2015MS000618
- Zhao, S., Pereira, P., Wu, X., Zhou, J., Cao, J., Zhang, W., 2020. Global karst vegetation regime and its
  response to climate change and human activities. Ecological Indicators 113, 106208.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2020.106208

839