



HAL
open science

Tongue motor control: deriving articulator trajectories and muscle activation patterns from an optimization principle

Pierre Baraduc, Tsiky Rakotomalala, Pascal Perrier

► **To cite this version:**

Pierre Baraduc, Tsiky Rakotomalala, Pascal Perrier. Tongue motor control: deriving articulator trajectories and muscle activation patterns from an optimization principle. NCM 2021 - 30th Annual Meeting of the Society for Neural Control of Movement, Apr 2021, Virtual, France. hal-03215820

HAL Id: hal-03215820

<https://hal.science/hal-03215820v1>

Submitted on 4 May 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Tongue motor control: deriving articulator trajectories and muscle activation patterns from an optimization principle

Pierre Baraduc, Tsiky Rakotomalala, Pascal Perrier
 GIPSA-lab, UMR 5216 CNRS / Univ. Grenoble-Alpes / Grenoble-INP
 {pierre.baraduc, ny-tsiky.rakotomalala, pascal.perrier}@gipsa-lab.grenoble-inp.fr

INTRODUCTION

Key features of sensorimotor systems:

- Multisensory integration
- Use of internal models to predict the sensory outcomes of actions
- Comparison of the sensory input with the internal prediction to optimally update the internal estimate of the system


Speech production

- Coordination task: lips, jaw, tongue
- Resistance to external disturbances (inertial forces, objects in mouth, distorted audio feedback...)
- Can optimal feedback control theory illuminate the control of tongue movements during speech (tongue kinematics, coarticulation, use of feedback...)?

METHODS


Tongue biomechanics:

- Finite element (FE) model of tongue deformation (sagittal 2D model)
- Seven muscles modelled: anterior genioglossus, posterior genioglossus, hyoglossus, styloglossus, verticalis, inferior longitudinalis, superior longitudinalis [1]
- Hill-type muscle model
- Activity-dependent tissue elasticity, small deformation approximation
- Fixed tongue floor
- Contacts with palate, velum and pharyngeal wall modeled as elastic interaction (wall: high stiffness)
- System continuous-time ODE solved with robust Runge-Kutta integration




Vocal tract, from tongue shape to acoustics:

- For a given external tongue contour, a fixed jaw position, and a fixed lip aperture, we deduce the shape of the complete vocal tract using anatomical reference data (MRI, [2])
- We then compute the resonances of the vocal tract with an harmonic model following [3] after discretization of the tract in 44 tubes of identical length, and keep the first three formants.



Exploring the control of contacts:


- One degree of freedom corresponding to principal component
- Tube model with auditory, proprioceptive and tactile feedback
- Muscular redundancy, inertia, elasticity towards neutral
- Intuition for more complex models, while convergence easier



Optimal control model:

Plant:

- Continuous time dynamics $\dot{s} = F(s(t), u(t) + \epsilon_u(t))$ over fixed time T
- Proprioceptive feedback p modeled as 4D projection on principal axes of position and velocity, 3D acoustic feedback a (formant values)
- Acoustic F1-F2 goal
- Variability study:
 - linear reduced plant model identified over ~ 50,000 FEM simulations
 - only motor noise: additive (SD σ_A) and multiplicative (SD σ_M) Gaussian white noise on motor command
 - Internal state estimate via extended Kalman filtering



Optimization:









- Unconstrained optimization: cost function includes neuromotor effort and precision penalty:

$$C = \int_0^T \|u(t)\|^2 dt + \alpha \|p(T) - p_{goal}\|^2$$

- Indirect optimal control (Pontryagin based), gradient descent and/or Newton-Raphson method [4]
- Some checks of sensitivity to initial parameters

RESULTS

Key to simulation results



CONCLUSIONS

- Minimization of effort produces plausible tongue trajectories (kinematics, EMG)
- Part of phonemic variability linked to aspects of sensorimotor control?
- Toy model suggests coarticulation can be tackled by this method
- Model predictions should be validated with formant tracking, EMA recordings and intramuscular EMG

REFERENCES

[1] Payan, Y., and Perrier, P. (1997). Synthesis of VV sequences with a 2D biomechanical tongue model controlled by the Equilibrium Point Hypothesis. *Speech Comm* 22, 185-205.
 [2] Badin, P., Eltisei, F., Bailly, G., and Tarabalka, Y. (2008). An audiovisual talking head for augmented speech generation: models and animations based on a real speaker's articulatory data. In *Vth Conference on Articulated Motion and Deformable Objects*, pp. 132-143.
 [3] Badin, P., and Fant, G. (1984). Notes on vocal tract computation. *STL-QPSR* 25, 53-108.
 [4] Bryson A.E. (1999) *Dynamic optimization*. Addison-Wesley.
 [5] Patri, J.-F., Diard, J., and Perrier, P. (2015). Optimal speech motor control and token-to-token variability: a Bayesian modeling approach. *Biol Cybern* 109, 611-626.