



HAL
open science

Post-excavation treatments of earthen archaeological sites

Chamsia Sadozaï, Sébastien Moriset

► **To cite this version:**

Chamsia Sadozaï, Sébastien Moriset. Post-excavation treatments of earthen archaeological sites. 12th International Congress on the archaeology of the ancient near east (ICAANE), Apr 2021, Bologne, Italy. , pp.1. <hal-03209427>

HAL Id: hal-03209427

<https://hal.science/hal-03209427v1>

Submitted on 27 Apr 2021


HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 - Attribution - Non-commercial use - No Derivative Works - International License

Archaeological sites have a complex life cycle. After their initial occupation, their death is initiated by the abandonment and slow decay processes. Their state is stable until the arrival of the archaeologists, the discovery giving them a new life with new threats. The preservation is therefore a real challenge. Interesting approaches have proven that solutions exist, easy to implement by archaeologists as soon as they are accompanied technically by conservators.



THEN WHAT ?



After the first excavation of the site, between two campaigns or even after the full excavation project, what is happening ? Trenches are creating water pools and fragiles surfaces are melting or powdering... The damaging processes are reactivated, actually accelerated, and the state of conservation is unstable. Stakeholders are invited to sit together to define the strategy for the future of the site. Three immediate emergency options are observed when implemented by the team of archaeologists. But other options are possible, to stabilise the state of conservation and come back to a virtuous cycle of decay and maintenance.

<p>Do nothing</p>	<p>This was the most common solution decades ago. There is no intervention, trenches are left exposed. Damages are directly affecting the excavated architectural remains. The loss of archaeological data is irreversible.</p>	<p>Backfill</p>	<p>This is one of the favourite options implemented by archaeologists. Spoil material from the excavations is reused to rebury the remains and preserve them for the future. A layer of porous geotextile is placed in between the original structure and the compacted soil to mark the difference.</p>	<p>Cover</p>	<p>This option is privileged for very fragile structures in addition to physical consolidation. It can create more problems if it is not well implemented, especially regarding the surrounding drainage. The shelter itself requires monitoring and maintenance which needs to be planned and budgetted for.</p>
--------------------------	---	------------------------	--	---------------------	---

Conservation options ?

Scientific lifecycle

