



HAL
open science

Weighted

L^2

Version of Mergelyan and Carleman Approximation

Séverine Biard, John Erik Fornæss, Jujie Wu

► **To cite this version:**

Séverine Biard, John Erik Fornæss, Jujie Wu. Weighted

L^2

Version of Mergelyan and Carleman Approximation. The Journal of Geometric Analysis, 2021, 31 (4), pp.3889-3914. 10.1007/s12220-020-00418-x . hal-03207775

HAL Id: hal-03207775

<https://hal.science/hal-03207775v1>

Submitted on 6 Jul 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

WEIGHTED L^2 VERSION OF MERGELYAN AND CARLEMAN APPROXIMATION

SÉVERINE BIARD, JOHN ERIK FORNÆSS, AND JUJIE WU

ABSTRACT. We study the density of polynomials in $H^2(E, \varphi)$, the space of square integrable functions with respect to $e^{-\varphi} dm$ and holomorphic on the interior of E in \mathbb{C} , where φ is a subharmonic function and dm is a measure on E . We give a result where E is the union of a Lipschitz graph and a Carathéodory domain, which we state as a weighted L^2 -version of the Mergelyan theorem. We also prove a weighted L^2 -version of the Carleman theorem.

MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION (2010):30D20, 30E10, 30H50, 31A05

KEYWORDS: Mergelyan theorem, Carleman theorem, Weighted L^2 - spaces, Rectifiable non-Lipschitz arc

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	“Exponential integrability” on arcs in \mathbb{C}	3
3.	Proof of Theorem 1.1	9
4.	Proof of Theorem 1.2	13
5.	Proof of Theorem 1.4	20
6.	Rectifiable non-Lipschitz arcs	23
	References	26

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a measurable set, m a measure on E and φ a measurable function, locally bounded above on E . Denote by $L^2(E, \varphi)$ the space of measurable functions f in E which are square integrable with respect to the measure $e^{-\varphi} dm$ i.e.,

$$L^2(E, \varphi) := \left\{ f \mid \|f\|_{L^2(E, \varphi)}^2 = \int_E |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} dm < \infty \right\}.$$

Set

$$H^2(E, \varphi) = L^2(E, \varphi) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathring{E})$$

where $\mathcal{O}(\overset{\circ}{E})$ stands for the space of holomorphic functions on the interior of E .

In this paper, we generalize the classical holomorphic approximation theorems to weighted L^2 -spaces. The theory of holomorphic approximation started in 1885 with two now classical theorems: the Weierstrass theorem and the Runge theorem. The first one states that a continuous function on a bounded interval of \mathbb{R} can be approximated arbitrarily well by polynomials for the uniform convergence on the interval. We prove the following weighted L^2 -version of the Weierstrass theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let γ be a Lipschitz graph over a bounded interval and φ a subharmonic function in a neighborhood of γ in \mathbb{C} . Then polynomials are dense in $L^2(\gamma, \varphi)$.*

Recall that a Carathéodory domain Ω is a simply-connected bounded planar domain whose boundary $\partial\Omega$ is also the boundary of an unbounded domain. Combined with Theorem 1.3 from [2], it leads us to the following weighted L^2 -version of the Mergelyan theorem:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a Carathéodory domain and $\gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$ a Lipschitz graph with one endpoint p in $\overline{\Omega}$, the rest of γ be in the unbounded component of the complement of $\overline{\Omega}$. Assume that the boundary of Ω is \mathcal{C}^2 near p . Let φ be a subharmonic function in a neighborhood of $\overline{\Omega} \cup \gamma$. Then polynomials are dense in $H^2(\Omega \cup \gamma, \varphi)$.*

Here,

$$H^2(\Omega \cup \gamma, \varphi) := \left\{ f \text{ is measurable on } \Omega \cup \gamma \text{ with } f|_{\Omega} \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \right. \\ \left. \text{and } \int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^2 e^{-\varphi(z)} d\lambda_z + \int_{\gamma} |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds < \infty \right\},$$

where $d\lambda_z$ is the Lebesgue measure on Ω and ds is the arc length element.

We can even generalize to

Theorem 1.3. *We use the previous notations and assumptions. If K_ℓ , $\ell = 1, \dots, N$ is either a Lipschitz graph or a bounded Carathéodory domain in \mathbb{C} as in Theorem 1.2 such that $\mathbb{C} \setminus \left(\bigcup_{\ell=1}^N K_\ell \right)$ is connected, $\overline{K_i}$ and $\overline{K_j}$ have at most one common point $\{p_{ij}\}$ and K_j is outside of the relatively compact connected component of $\overline{K_i}^c$ for each $i \neq j$. Let φ be a subharmonic function in a neighborhood of $\bigcup_{\ell=1}^N K_\ell$. Then polynomials are dense in $H^2(\bigcup_{\ell=1}^N K_\ell, \varphi)$.*




FIGURE 1. Case avoided: the arc K_j is in the bounded component of $\overline{K_i}^c$ where K_i is the outer snake

Let Γ be the graph of a locally Lipschitz function over the real axis in \mathbb{C} . We may assume $\Gamma = \{(t, \phi(t))\}$ with $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a locally Lipschitz continuous function. Thanks to Theorem 1.1, we prove the following weighted L^2 -version of the Carleman theorem:

Theorem 1.4. *Let Γ be the graph of a locally Lipschitz function over the real axis in \mathbb{C} and φ a subharmonic function in a neighborhood of Γ . Denote by Γ_n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the part of the graph Γ over the interval $[n, n + 1]$. Then for any $f \in L^2(\gamma, \varphi)$ and for any positive numbers ε_n , there exists an entire function F , so that for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$\int_{\Gamma_n} |F - f|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds < \varepsilon_n.$$

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we give a necessary and sufficient condition in terms of Lelong number for the exponential $e^{-\varphi}$ to be integrable on an arc in \mathbb{C} . In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.2. In Section 5, we prove Theorem 1.4. In the last section, we give an example which shows that there are no non-zero polynomials in $L^2(\gamma, \varphi)$ for some rectifiable non-Lipschitz arc γ and some subharmonic function φ .

2. “EXPONENTIAL INTEGRABILITY” ON ARCS IN \mathbb{C}

In the following, we assume that φ is subharmonic on \mathbb{C} , even though it is enough to assume it to be subharmonic in a neighborhood of the given subset. This fact relies on the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mu \geq 0$ be a measure on \mathbb{C} with finite mass on each compact set. Then there exists a subharmonic function φ on \mathbb{C} so that $\Delta\varphi = \mu$.*

Proof. Set

$$\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n, \quad \mu_n = \mu|_{\{n-1 \leq |z| < n\}}.$$

Let $\varphi_n(z) = \int \log |z - \zeta| d\mu_n(\zeta)$. Then $\varphi_n(z)$ is harmonic on $\{z : |z| < n-1\}$. Thus there exists a holomorphic function $h_n(z)$ on $\{z : |z| < n-1\}$ so that $\varphi_n(z) = \operatorname{Re} h_n(z)$. By using the classical Mergelyan theorem to $h_n(z)$ on $\{z : |z| \leq n-2\}$ there exists a polynomial $P_n(z)$ so that

$$|h_n(z) - P_n(z)| < \frac{1}{2^n}, \quad \text{on } \{|z| \leq n-2\}.$$

Then $\varphi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re}(h_n(z) - P_n(z))$ is subharmonic on \mathbb{C} and $\Delta\varphi = \mu$. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let $U \subset\subset V$ be two open sets and φ a subharmonic function on V . Then there exists a subharmonic function ψ on \mathbb{C} so that $\varphi = \psi + h$ on U , where h is harmonic on U .*

Proof. Choose a smooth cut off function $\chi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ so that $\chi \equiv 1$ on a neighborhood of \overline{U} and $\operatorname{supp}\chi \subset V$. Then $\mu := (\Delta\varphi) \cdot \chi$ is a positive measure with finite mass on each compact set in \mathbb{C} . By Lemma 2.1 there is a globally defined subharmonic function ψ such that $\Delta\psi = \mu$. But then $\varphi = \psi + h$ on U for some harmonic function h . \square

Since h is uniformly bounded on U , as a direct consequence, we get

Lemma 2.3. *Let $E \subset\subset U$ and φ, ψ be as in Lemma 2.2. Then the Hilbert spaces $L^2(E, \varphi) = L^2(E, \psi)$ and the norms are “equivalent”, i.e., there exist positive constants C_1, C_2 so that*

$$C_1 \|f\|_{L^2(E, \varphi)} \leq \|f\|_{L^2(E, \psi)} \leq C_2 \|f\|_{L^2(E, \varphi)}.$$

We prove similar statements to those in Section 2 of [5] but on an arc γ . Those results will allow us to prove at the end of the section the local integrability of the exponential $e^{-\varphi}$ at a point $x \in \gamma$ if and only if the Lelong number $\nu(\varphi)(x)$ is strictly less than 1.

Definition 2.4. A function $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $E \subset \mathbb{R}$, is said to be L -Lipschitz, $L \geq 0$, if

$$|f(t_1) - f(t_2)| \leq L|t_1 - t_2|$$

for every pair of points $(t_1, t_2) \in E \times E$. We say that a function is Lipschitz if it is L -Lipschitz for some L .

Lemma 2.5 (Chapter 5 of [13]). *If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lipschitz function, then f is differentiable at almost every point in $[a, b]$ and*

$$f(b) - f(a) = \int_a^b f'(t) dt.$$

Let γ be the graph of a Lipschitz function $y(t) : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. In the following, we say that γ is a *Lipschitz graph* if γ is the graph of an L -Lipschitz function y on $[a, b]$, $a, b < \infty$. Locally Lipschitz graph means that for each point $p \in \gamma$, there exists a neighborhood U where the graph is Lipschitz, up to a rotation of γ . We denote by $|\gamma|$ the arc length of γ , defined as follows

$$|\gamma| := \int_{\gamma} ds = \int_a^b |\gamma'(t)| dt,$$

where $|\gamma'(t)| = \sqrt{1 + (y'(t))^2}$.

Let $z_0 = (t_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{C}$ and $0 < \beta < 1$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let γ be a graph, then $|\gamma(t) - z_0| \geq |t - t_0|$ on $[a, b]$.*

Lemma 2.7. *Let γ be a Lipschitz graph, then*

$$\int_a^b \frac{1}{|\gamma(t) - z_0|^\beta} |\gamma'(t)| dt \leq \text{Const}_{L,a,b,\beta}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.6 we have

$$\int_a^b \frac{1}{|\gamma(t) - z_0|^\beta} |\gamma'(t)| dt \leq (L+1) \int_a^b \frac{1}{|t - t_0|^\beta} dt.$$

If $t_0 > b$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (L+1) \int_a^b \frac{1}{|t - t_0|^\beta} dt &\leq (L+1) \int_a^b \frac{1}{|t - b|^\beta} dt \\ &= (L+1) \frac{(b-t)^{1-\beta} \Big|_a^b}{1-\beta} \\ &= (L+1) \frac{(b-a)^{(1-\beta)}}{1-\beta}; \end{aligned}$$

If $t_0 < a$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (L+1) \int_a^b \frac{1}{|t - t_0|^\beta} dt &\leq (L+1) \int_a^b \frac{1}{|t - a|^\beta} dt \\ &= (L+1) \frac{(t-a)^{1-\beta} \Big|_a^b}{1-\beta} \\ &= (L+1) \frac{(b-a)^{(1-\beta)}}{1-\beta}; \end{aligned}$$

If $a \leq t_0 \leq b$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (L+1) \int_a^b \frac{1}{|t-t_0|^\beta} dt &\leq (L+1) \int_a^{t_0} \frac{1}{(t_0-t)^\beta} dt + (L+1) \int_{t_0}^b \frac{1}{(t-t_0)^\beta} dt \\ &\leq (L+1) \frac{(t_0-t)^{1-\beta}}{1-\beta} \Big|_a^{t_0} + (L+1) \frac{(t-t_0)^{1-\beta}}{1-\beta} \Big|_{t_0}^b \\ &\leq 2(L+1) \frac{(b-a)^{(1-\beta)}}{1-\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus no matter whatever the condition on t_0 , we have that

$$\int_a^b \frac{1}{|\gamma(t) - z_0|^\beta} |\gamma'(t)| dt \leq \text{Const}_{L,a,b,\beta}.$$

□

We generalize the previous Lemma to a product

Lemma 2.8. *Let γ be a Lipschitz graph. Suppose $z_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $\beta_i > 0$, for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i = \beta < 1$. Then*

$$\int_a^b \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{|\gamma(t) - z_i|} \right)^{\beta_i} |\gamma'(t)| dt < \text{Const}_{L,a,b,\beta}.$$

Proof. According to Corollary 2.3 in [5] we know that

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{|\gamma(t) - z_i|} \right)^{\beta_i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\beta_i}{\beta} \left(\frac{1}{|\gamma(t) - z_i|} \right)^\beta.$$

By Lemma 2.7, we finally have

$$\int_a^b \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{|\gamma(t) - z_i|} \right)^{\beta_i} |\gamma'(t)| dt < \text{Const}_{L,a,b,\beta}.$$

□

Let γ be a Lipschitz graph. We take an arc length parametrization of γ that we denote by s . Let h be a function on γ , define

$$\int_\gamma h ds := \int_a^b h(\gamma(t)) |\gamma'(t)| dt.$$

From Lemma 2.8, we are able to prove

Theorem 2.9. *Let γ be a Lipschitz graph. Let μ be any nonnegative measure with total mass $\beta < 1$ on an open set U in \mathbb{C} containing γ . If $\varphi(z) = \int \log |z - \zeta| d\mu(\zeta)$, then we have*

$$\int_\gamma e^{-\varphi} ds < C_{L,\beta,a,b}$$

where $C_{L,\beta,a,b} > 0$ is a constant depending on L, β, a, b .

Proof. Define $\psi_n(z, \zeta) = \max\{\log |z - \zeta|, -n\}$ and

$$\varphi_n(z) = \int \psi_n(z, \zeta) d\mu(\zeta).$$

Then φ_n is continuous and $\varphi_n \searrow \varphi$ pointwise. Hence $e^{-\varphi_n(z)} \nearrow e^{-\varphi(z)}$. Therefore, it is enough to show

$$\int_{\gamma} e^{-\varphi_n} ds \leq C_{L,\beta,a,b} + \frac{1}{n}.$$

We fix n . Let $\delta > 0$. Since ψ_n is continuous, by Lemma 2.4 in [5], there exist $\zeta_i \in U$ such that the measure $\mu_n = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i \delta_{\zeta_i}$ has total mass $\beta < 1$ and $|\tilde{\varphi}_n - \varphi_n| < \delta$, where

$$\tilde{\varphi}_n(z) := \int \psi_n(z, \zeta) d\mu_n(\zeta) = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i \psi_n(z, \zeta_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i \log |z - \zeta_i|.$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma} e^{-\varphi_n} ds &\leq e^{\delta} \int_{\gamma} e^{-\tilde{\varphi}_n} ds \\ (2.1) \quad &\leq e^{\delta} \int_a^b \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|\gamma(t) - \zeta_i|^{\beta_i}} |\gamma'(t)| dt. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.8, we get

$$(2.2) \quad \int_a^b \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|\gamma(t) - \zeta_i|^{\beta_i}} |\gamma'(t)| dt < C_{L,\beta,a,b}.$$

Hence, by combining (2.1) and (2.2) and by choosing δ small enough, we get

$$\int_{\gamma} e^{-\varphi_n} ds \leq C_{L,\beta,a,b} + \frac{1}{n}.$$

□

Corollary 2.10. *Theorem 2.9 holds with possibly larger constant for subharmonic function φ on a neighborhood U of γ in \mathbb{C} with $\mu := \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta \varphi|_U$ being of total mass on U strictly less than 1.*

Proof. By Riesz decomposition theorem (see for example Theorem 3.7.9 in [12]), we can decompose any subharmonic function as $\varphi(z) = \int_U \log |z - \zeta| d\mu(\zeta) + h(z)$ where h is harmonic. Because h is bounded on U , Theorem 2.9 gives the result with a constant depending, in addition, on h . □

We recall now the definition of the Lelong number $\nu(\varphi)$ of a subharmonic function φ at a point z in \mathbb{C} , where $\varphi \not\equiv -\infty$, that is equal to the mass

of the “Riesz” measure $\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi}\Delta\varphi$ at the point z . Below is an equivalent definition given by [8, 9],

$$\nu(\varphi)(z) := \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\max_{|\zeta - z| = r} \varphi(\zeta)}{\log r}.$$

From this definition, Kiselman [8], Theorem 4.1 proves that if $e^{-\varphi}$ is locally integrable at z in \mathbb{C}^n then $\nu(\varphi)(z) < 2n$.

We recall the following result which is a converse of the previous property in complex dimension one:

Theorem 2.11. *If $\varphi \not\equiv -\infty$ is subharmonic and $\nu(\varphi)(z) < 2$ for a point z , then $e^{-\varphi}$ is locally integrable in a neighborhood of z .*

Proof. We refer to the note at top of p. 99 in Hörmander’s book [7]. This is also a consequence of Theorem 2.5 in [5]. We also refer to Proposition 7.1 in [14] for a generalization to higher dimensions¹. \square

Now we state the previous Theorem for points in a Lipschitz graph.

Theorem 2.12. *Let γ be a Lipschitz graph and $\varphi \not\equiv -\infty$ a subharmonic function on \mathbb{C} . Then $\nu(\varphi)(\gamma(t)) < 1$ for a point $\gamma(t) \in \gamma$ if and only if $e^{-\varphi}$ is locally integrable in a neighborhood of $\gamma(t)$ on γ .*

Proof. \Rightarrow) By hypothesis, $\nu(\varphi)(\gamma(t)) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\Delta\varphi(\gamma(t)) < 1$ so this is a direct consequence of Corollary 2.10.

\Leftarrow) If there exists $z_0 = (t_0, y_0) \in \gamma$ so that $\nu(\varphi)(z_0) \geq 1$, then we have $\frac{1}{2\pi}\Delta\varphi(z_0) \geq 1$. Therefore we have $\frac{1}{2\pi}\Delta\varphi - \delta_{z_0} \geq 0$ on \mathbb{C} . Hence we may find a subharmonic function ψ on \mathbb{C} such that $\frac{1}{2\pi}\Delta\psi = \frac{1}{2\pi}\Delta\varphi - \delta_{z_0}$. Up to a harmonic function we may write $\varphi = \log|z - z_0| + \psi$. Thus

¹ Many authors refer to this result as “Skoda’s exponential integrability”

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{U(z_0) \cap \gamma} e^{-\varphi} ds &= \int_{U(z_0) \cap \gamma} \frac{1}{|z - z_0|} e^{-\psi} ds, \psi \text{ is locally bounded above near } z_0 \\
&\geq C \int_{U(z_0) \cap \gamma} \frac{1}{|z - z_0|} ds \\
&\geq C \int_{t_0 - \eta}^{t_0 + \eta} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + L^2} |t - t_0|} dt \\
&= C \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + L^2}} \left(\int_{t_0 - \eta}^{t_0} \frac{1}{t_0 - t} dt + \int_{t_0}^{t_0 + \eta} \frac{1}{t - t_0} dt \right) \\
&= C \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + L^2}} \left(\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{t_0 - \eta}^{t_0 - \varepsilon} \frac{1}{t_0 - t} dt + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{t_0 + \varepsilon}^{t_0 + \eta} \frac{1}{t - t_0} dt \right) \\
&= 2C \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + L^2}} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\ln \eta - \ln \varepsilon) \\
&= \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where η is a sufficiently small constant. \square

Let $\alpha > 0$. We will write $\nu(\varphi) < \alpha$ to mean that $\nu(\varphi)(z) < \alpha$ for all points z in the given subset. However, $\nu(\varphi) \geq \alpha$ should be understood as there exist at least one point z such that $\nu(\varphi)(z) \geq \alpha$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 which generalizes the Weierstrass theorem to weighted L^2 -spaces on Lipschitz graphs. The particularity here is to allow the weight φ to have singularities on the given set. We will then carefully take the local integrability of $e^{-\varphi}$ (Section 2) into account.

We first recall some classical results

Theorem 3.1 (Weierstrass 1883 [15]). *Suppose f is a continuous function on a closed bounded interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a polynomial P such that*

$$|f(x) - P(x)| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall x \in [a, b].$$

Theorem 3.2 (Lavrent'ev, 1936 [10]). *Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ be compact with $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ connected. Suppose that f is continuous on K . If $\mathring{K} = \emptyset$, then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a polynomial P such that*

$$|f(x) - P(x)| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall x \in K.$$

Theorem 3.3 (Mergelyan, 1951 [11]). *Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ be compact with $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ connected. Suppose that f is continuous on K and holomorphic on \mathring{K} . Then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a polynomial P such that*

$$|f(x) - P(x)| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall x \in K.$$

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we first prove

Theorem 3.4. *Let γ be a Lipschitz graph over $[a, b]$. Suppose that φ is measurable on γ and that*

$$\int_{\gamma} e^{-\varphi} ds < \infty.$$

Then polynomials are dense in $L^2(\gamma, \varphi)$.

In order to prove this theorem we need the following Lemma.

For each nonnegative real valued $f \in L^2(\gamma, \varphi)$, define $f_n = \min\{f, n\}$.

Lemma 3.5. *$f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^2(\gamma, \varphi)$ as $n \nearrow \infty$.*

Proof. We need to prove that $\int_{\gamma} |f - f_n|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds \rightarrow 0$. Now $|f|^2 e^{-\varphi}$ is an L^1 function and $|f - f_n|^2 e^{-\varphi} \leq |f|^2 e^{-\varphi}$. Moreover $|f - f_n|^2 e^{-\varphi} \rightarrow 0$ a.e.. Hence by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have that

$$\int_{\gamma} |f - f_n|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds \rightarrow 0.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 3.4. Let f be such that $\int_{\gamma} |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds < \infty$. To approximate f by polynomials, it suffices to consider the case when $f \geq 0$. If P is any polynomial, then $\|P - f\|_{L^2(\gamma, \varphi)} \leq \|P - f_n\|_{L^2(\gamma, \varphi)} + \|f_n - f\|_{L^2(\gamma, \varphi)}$. So by Lemma 3.5, it suffices to approximate nonnegative bounded measurable functions f_n by polynomials.

Each bounded measurable function f_n can be uniformly approximated by simple functions on γ . Since $\int_{\gamma} e^{-\varphi} ds < \infty$, this approximation also holds in the weighted norm of $L^2(\gamma, \varphi)$. Each simple function is the finite linear combination of characteristic functions on measurable sets in γ , hence it suffices to approximate a characteristic function by polynomials on measurable sets in γ . Since $e^{-\varphi}$ is L^1 integrable, there exists for any $\varepsilon > 0$ a constant $\delta > 0$ so that if E is any measurable set in γ with Lebesgue measure $|E| < \delta$, then $\int_E e^{-\varphi} ds < \varepsilon$. For any measurable set F there exists a finite union of graphs over intervals I so that $|F \setminus I|, |I \setminus F| < \delta/2$. Hence $\|\chi_F - \chi_I\|_{L^2(F, \varphi)} < \varepsilon$. Then it suffices to approximate a characteristic function of a graph over an interval in $[a, b]$ in γ .

Let I be a graph over an interval in γ and $\delta > 0$. There exists $f \in C^0(I, \mathbb{C})$ so that

$$B := \{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid f(s) \neq \chi_I(s)\} \quad \text{has a Lebesgue measure less than } \frac{\delta}{8}.$$

So

$$\int_I |f - \chi_I|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds \leq \int_B e^{-\varphi} ds \leq \varepsilon.$$

By the Lavrent'ev theorem, it suffices to approximate a continuous function by polynomials, and then we are done. □

Remark 3.6. Theorem 3.4 holds for non-Lipschitz rectifiable graphs.

Theorem 1.1 generalizes Theorem 3.4 by relaxing the assumption on the integrability of $e^{-\varphi}$ on γ . To prove this generalization, we need the following result.

Theorem 3.7. *Let γ be a Lipschitz graph over $[a, b]$ and φ a subharmonic function on \mathbb{C} . Then polynomials are dense in $L^2(\gamma, \varphi)$ if and only if the function \sqrt{Q} can be approximated arbitrarily well by polynomials in $L^2(\gamma, \varphi)$ where Q is a polynomial vanishing at the points $\gamma(t)$ to order $[\nu(\varphi)(\gamma(t))]$ with Lelong number $\nu(\varphi)(\gamma(t)) \geq 1$.*

Here $[\nu(\varphi)(\gamma(t))] := \max\{m \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \nu(\varphi)(\gamma(t)) \geq m\}$ is also called the floor function of $\nu(\varphi)$ at $\gamma(t)$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.12 and Theorem 3.4, it suffices to consider $\nu(\varphi) \geq 1$. Then there exist finitely many points $\gamma(t_1), \dots, \gamma(t_n)$ such that $\nu(\varphi)(\gamma(t_i)) \geq 1$ for $t_i \in [a, b]$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and Q which can be expressed as $Q(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n (z - \gamma(t_j))^{\nu(\varphi)(\gamma(t_j))}$. We may then choose a subharmonic function ψ so that $\varphi = \psi + \log |Q|$ with $\nu(\psi) < 1$ on γ .

\Rightarrow) Remark that $\sqrt{Q} \in L^2(\gamma, \varphi)$ by Theorem 2.12:

$$\int_{\gamma} |\sqrt{Q}|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds = \int_{\gamma} |\sqrt{Q}|^2 e^{-\psi - \log |Q|} ds = \int_{\gamma} e^{-\psi} ds < \infty.$$

Then by assumption, there exists a polynomial that approximates arbitrarily well \sqrt{Q} .

\Leftarrow) Remark that $f \in L^2(\gamma, \varphi)$ is equivalent to

$$\int_{\gamma} \left| \frac{f}{\sqrt{Q}} \right|^2 e^{-\psi} ds < \infty.$$

So $\frac{f}{\sqrt{Q}} \in L^2(\gamma, \psi)$ and by Theorem 3.4, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a polynomial P so that

$$\int_{\gamma} \left| \frac{f}{\sqrt{Q}} - P \right|^2 e^{-\psi} ds = \int_{\gamma} |f - P\sqrt{Q}|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds < \varepsilon.$$

Thus if \sqrt{Q} can be approximated arbitrarily well by polynomials in $L^2(\gamma, \varphi)$, then f can be approximated by polynomials in $L^2(\gamma, \varphi)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Theorem 2.12 again, it suffices to consider $\nu(\varphi) \geq 1$. Let Q and ψ be as in the proof of Theorem 3.7. We prove that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a polynomial P that vanishes at $\gamma(t_i)$ to order $[\nu(\varphi)(\gamma(t_i))]$ so that

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\gamma} \left| \sqrt{Q} - P \right|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds = \int_{\gamma} \left| 1 - \frac{P}{\sqrt{Q}} \right|^2 e^{-\psi} ds < \varepsilon.$$

For convenience, we look for P such as

$$P(z) = Q(z) \cdot \tilde{P}(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n (z - \gamma(t_j))^{\lfloor \nu(\varphi)(\gamma(t_j)) \rfloor} \cdot \tilde{P}(z).$$

Then (3.1) is equivalent to find some polynomial \tilde{P} so that

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\gamma} \left| 1 - \frac{Q \cdot \tilde{P}}{\sqrt{Q}} \right|^2 e^{-\psi} ds < \varepsilon.$$

Let $\delta > 0$. Set $g(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q(z)}}$ except on the arcs I_i on γ with length 2δ and center at $\gamma(t_i)$. We can make g continuous and $|\sqrt{Q(z)}g(z)| \leq 1$ on such arcs of length 2δ . Then

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma} |1 - \sqrt{Q}g|^2 e^{-\psi} ds &\leq 4 \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{I_i} e^{-\psi} ds \\ &= 4 \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^n I_i} e^{-\psi} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\bigcup_{i=1}^n I_i$ is a measurable set of measure $2\delta n$ and $e^{-\psi} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$, we may choose δ sufficiently small in order for (3.3) to be $< \varepsilon$. Since $g \in L^2(\gamma, \psi)$, by Theorem 3.4, there exists a polynomial A satisfying

$$\int_{\gamma} |g - A|^2 e^{-\psi} ds < \frac{\varepsilon}{\left(\max_{\gamma(t) \in \gamma} |Q(\gamma(t))| \right)^2}.$$

Then by Cauchy-Schwarz and the previous estimate,

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{\gamma} |\sqrt{Q}|^2 |g - A|^2 e^{-\psi} ds \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\gamma} |\sqrt{Q}|^4 |g - A|^2 e^{-\psi} ds \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\gamma} |g - A|^2 e^{-\psi} ds \right)^{1/2}, \\ &\leq \left(\max_{\gamma} |Q| \right)^2 \cdot \int_{\gamma} |g - A|^2 e^{-\psi} ds, \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Combine (3.3) and (3.4), we may choose $\tilde{P} = A$. □

Remark 3.8. In fact we show that $\mathcal{P} \cap L^2(\gamma, \varphi)$ is dense in $L^2(\gamma, \varphi)$ where \mathcal{P} is the set of all polynomials. If $\nu(\varphi) \geq 1$, not all polynomials are in $L^2(\gamma, \varphi)$, e.g. $1 \notin L^2(\gamma, \varphi)$.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we give a weighted L^2 - version of the Mergelyan theorem for compact sets in \mathbb{C} that are the union of bounded Carathéodory domains and Lipschitz graphs. Recall the following theorem

Theorem 4.1 (Theorem 1.3 in [2]). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a Carathéodory domain and φ a subharmonic function in \mathbb{C} . Then polynomials are dense in $H^2(\Omega, \varphi)$.*

One generalization of Theorem 4.1 is the following

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\Omega_1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a Carathéodory domain, let Ω_2 be another Carathéodory domain which is inside of a bounded component of $\overline{\Omega_1}^c$. Let φ be a subharmonic function in \mathbb{C} . Then polynomials are dense in $H^2(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2, \varphi)$.*




FIGURE 2. Example of a situation that might happen in Theorem 4.2 where Ω_1 and Ω_2 are outer snakes with no common point.

In order to prove this theorem we need the following proposition which can be easily deduced from the proof of Proposition 1.2 in [2].

Proposition 4.3. *Let Ω be a bounded Carathéodory domain and φ a subharmonic function in \mathbb{C} . Then for each $f \in H^2(\Omega, \varphi)$ there exist functions $f_n \in H^2(\Omega_n, \varphi)$ such that $\|f_n - f\|_{L^2(\Omega, \varphi)} \rightarrow 0$ and $\|f_n\|_{L^2(\Omega_n \setminus \Omega, \varphi)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $\Omega_n \supset \overline{\Omega}$ is a sequence of bounded simply-connected domains so that $\partial\Omega_n$ converges to $\partial\Omega$ in the sense of the Hausdorff distance.*

Proof of Theorem 4.2. First we consider the case

$$(4.1) \quad \nu(\varphi) < 2 \quad \text{on } \overline{\Omega}_1 \cup \overline{\Omega}_2.$$

By Theorem 4.1, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a holomorphic polynomial P_1 so that

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{\Omega_2} |f - P_1|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_z < \frac{\varepsilon}{26}.$$

Put

$$g = \begin{cases} f - P_1 & \text{on } \Omega_1 \\ 0 & \text{on } \Omega_2. \end{cases}$$

Since $\Omega_i, i = 1, 2$ is a Carathéodory domain, there exists a sequence $\{\Omega_{i,n}\}$ of Jordan domains such that $\overline{\Omega}_i \subset \Omega_{i,n}$ and $\overline{\Omega}_{i,n+1} \subset \Omega_{i,n}$ and the Hausdorff distance between $\partial\Omega_{i,n}$ and $\partial\Omega_i$ tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (see Chapter I, Section 3 of [6]). Let n be sufficiently large so that $\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_{2,n} = \emptyset$.

Since $g \in H^2(\Omega_1, \varphi)$, by using Proposition 4.3 on Ω_1 , for each $\varepsilon > 0$ we get for large enough n functions $g_{1,n} \in H^2(\Omega_{1,n}, \varphi)$ so that

$$(4.3) \quad \|g_{1,n} - g\|_{L^2(\Omega_1, \varphi)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{26}$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad \|g_{1,n}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{1,n}, \varphi)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{26}.$$

By Theorem 4.1, for each n there exists a polynomial Q_n so that

$$(4.5) \quad \int_{\Omega_{1,n}} |g_{1,n} - Q_n|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda < \frac{\varepsilon}{26}.$$

Thus for sufficiently large n we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_1} |f - P_1 - Q_n|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_z \\ & \leq 2 \int_{\Omega_1} |f - P_1 - g_{1,n}|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_z + 2 \int_{\Omega_1} |g_{1,n} - Q_n|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_z \\ & \leq 2 \int_{\Omega_1} |g - g_{1,n}|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_z + \frac{\varepsilon}{13} \quad \text{by (4.5)} \\ & \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{13} \quad \text{by (4.3);} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_2} |f - P_1 - Q_n|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_z \\
& \leq 2 \int_{\Omega_2} |f - P_1|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_z + 2 \int_{\Omega_2} |Q_n|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_z \\
& \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{26} + 4 \int_{\Omega_2} |Q_n - g_{1,n}|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_z + 4 \int_{\Omega_2} |g_{1,n}|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_z \quad \text{by (4.2)} \\
& \leq \frac{5\varepsilon}{13} \quad \text{by (4.4), (4.5)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next we consider the case $\nu(\varphi) \geq 2$. Then there exist finitely many points $z_j \in \overline{\Omega}_1 \cup \overline{\Omega}_2$, $1 \leq j \leq N$ so that $\nu(\varphi) \geq 2$ at those points. We may find a polynomial Q with zeros at those points, subharmonic function ψ satisfying $\varphi = \psi + 2 \log |Q|$ and $\nu(\psi) < 2$ on $\overline{\Omega}_1 \cup \overline{\Omega}_2$. Let $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2) \cup L^2(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2, \varphi)$,

$$\frac{f}{Q} \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2) \cap L^2(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2, \psi).$$

Then by the first case, $\frac{f}{Q}$ can be approximated by a polynomial P in $L^2(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2, \psi)$. Thus f can be approximated by the polynomial $P \cdot Q$ in $L^2(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2, \varphi)$. \square

The proof of the main theorem of this section, Theorem 1.2, is divided into 3 cases that correspond to the locus of the zeros of the polynomial Q in the decomposition of the weight function $\varphi = \psi + \log |Q|$. We will then need the following result:

Theorem 4.4. *Let Ω be a Carathéodory domain and φ a subharmonic function in \mathbb{C} . Suppose P is a polynomial with $\int_{\Omega} |P|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda < \infty$. Let $p \in \partial\Omega$ and a disc $\Delta \subset \Omega^c$ with $p \in \partial\Delta$. Then we can approximate P by \tilde{P} which is holomorphic on a neighborhood of $\overline{\Omega}$ with $\tilde{P}(p) = 0$ in the norm of $L^2(\Omega, \varphi)$.*

Proof. Set $M = \int_{\Omega} |P(z)|^2 e^{-\varphi(z)} d\lambda_z$. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a small neighborhood $U(p)$ of p so that

$$\int_{U(p) \cap \Omega} |P(z)|^2 e^{-\varphi(z)} d\lambda_z < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Take $h_n(z) = \left(\frac{p-q}{z-q}\right)^n$, choose n sufficiently large so that

$$|h_n(z)|^2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{2M} \quad \text{on } (U(p))^c \cap \Omega \quad \text{and } |h_n(z)|^2 < 1 \quad \text{on } U(p) \cap \Omega.$$

Now fix such n . Set $\tilde{P}(z) = (1 - h_n(z)) \cdot P(z)$, then \tilde{P} is holomorphic on a neighborhood of Ω with $\tilde{P}(p) = 0$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} |\tilde{P}(z) - P(z)|^2 e^{-\varphi(z)} d\lambda_z &= \int_{U(p) \cap \Omega} |h_n(z)P(z)|^2 e^{-\varphi(z)} d\lambda_z + \\
&\quad \int_{\Omega \setminus U(p)} |h_n(z)P(z)|^2 e^{-\varphi(z)} d\lambda_z \\
&\leq \max_{z \in U(p) \cap \Omega} |h_n(z)|^2 \cdot \int_{U(p) \cap \Omega} |P(z)|^2 e^{-\varphi(z)} d\lambda_z \\
&\quad + \max_{z \in (U(p))^c \cap \Omega} |h_n(z)|^2 \cdot \int_{(U(p))^c \cap \Omega} |P(z)|^2 e^{-\varphi(z)} d\lambda_z \\
&< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\
&= \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 4.5. The assumption on the existence of such a disc on the boundary of Ω is verified when $\partial\Omega$ is \mathcal{C}^2 .

Proof of Theorem 1.2. First we consider: Case 1:

$$\nu(\varphi) < 1 \text{ on } \gamma \text{ and } \nu(\varphi) < 2 \text{ on } \bar{\Omega}.$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \cup L^2(\Omega \cup \gamma, \varphi)$. By Theorem 4.1, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists polynomial P_1 so that

$$(4.6) \quad \int_{\Omega} |f(z) - P_1(z)|^2 e^{-\varphi(z)} d\lambda_z < \frac{\varepsilon}{16}.$$

By the Theorem 1.1, there exists polynomial P_2 so that

$$(4.7) \quad \int_{\gamma} |f - P_2|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds < \frac{\varepsilon}{16}.$$

Since Ω is a Carathéodory domain, there exists a sequence $\{\Omega_j\}$ of bounded simply-connected domains such that $\bar{\Omega} \subset \Omega_j$ and $\bar{\Omega}_{j+1} \subset \Omega_j$ and the Hausdorff distance between $\partial\Omega_j$ and $\partial\Omega$ tends to zero as $j \rightarrow \infty$. By Corollary 2.10 we may choose j sufficiently large so that

$$(4.8) \quad \max \left\{ \int_{\gamma \cap \Omega_j} |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds, \int_{\gamma \cap \Omega_j} |P_1|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds \right\} < \frac{\varepsilon}{64}.$$

Now fix such j . Let $\chi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth function with $\chi \equiv 1$ on Ω_{j+1} and $\chi \equiv 0$ outside of Ω_j . Set

$$h(z) = \chi(z)P_1(z) + (1 - \chi(z))P_2(z).$$

Then $h(z)$ is holomorphic on Ω , continuous on $\overline{\Omega} \cup \gamma$. Set

$$M = \int_{\Omega} e^{-\varphi(z)} d\lambda_z + \int_{\gamma} e^{-\varphi} ds.$$

By Mergelyan approximation theorem, there exists a polynomial P so that

$$|P - h|^2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{16M} \quad \text{on } \overline{\Omega} \cup \gamma.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f - P\|_{L^2(\Omega \cup \gamma, \varphi)}^2 \\ & \leq 2\|f - h\|_{L^2(\Omega \cup \gamma, \varphi)}^2 + 2\|h - P\|_{L^2(\Omega \cup \gamma, \varphi)}^2 \\ & \leq 2 \int_{\Omega} |f(z) - P_1(z)|^2 e^{-\varphi(z)} d\lambda_z + 2 \int_{(\Omega_j)^c \cap \gamma} |f - P_2|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds \\ & \quad + 2 \int_{\gamma \cap \Omega_j} |f - h|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds + \frac{\varepsilon}{8} \\ & \leq 2 \int_{\gamma \cap \Omega_j} |f - \chi \cdot P_1 - (1 - \chi)P_2|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds + \frac{3\varepsilon}{8} \quad \text{by (4.6), (4.7)} \\ & \leq 4 \int_{\gamma \cap \Omega_j} |f - P_1|^2 \chi^2 e^{-\varphi} ds \\ & \quad + 4 \int_{\gamma \cap \Omega_j} |f - P_2|^2 (1 - \chi)^2 e^{-\varphi} ds + \frac{3\varepsilon}{8} \\ & \leq 8 \int_{\gamma \cap \Omega_j} |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds + 8 \int_{\gamma \cap \Omega_j} |P_1|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds + \frac{5\varepsilon}{8} \quad \text{by (4.7)} \\ & \leq \frac{7\varepsilon}{8} \quad \text{by (4.8)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider Case 2:

$$1 \leq \nu(\varphi) < 2 \quad \text{on } \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(\varphi)(z) < 2 \quad \text{on } \overline{\Omega}.$$

Then there exist finitely many points $\gamma(t_i) \in \gamma$, $t_i \in [a, b]$, $1 \leq i \leq N$ such that

$$t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < \cdots < t_N \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq \nu(\varphi)(\gamma(t_i)) < 2,$$

a polynomial Q and a subharmonic function ψ satisfying $\varphi = \psi + \log |Q|$ where Q vanishes only at $\gamma(t_i)$ and $\nu(\psi) < 1$ on γ . Since the polynomial Q has no zeros on $\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{\gamma(a)\}$, $\nu(\psi)(z) = \nu(\varphi)(z)$ for each $z \in \overline{\Omega} \setminus \{\gamma(a)\}$.

We need now to distinguish two subcases depending on the nature of $p := \gamma(a)$:

Subcase A: If $t_1 = a$. Let $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \cup L^2(\Omega \cup \gamma, \varphi)$. Then by Theorem 4.1 there exists a polynomial P_1 satisfying

$$\|f - P_1\|_{L^2(\Omega, \varphi)} < \varepsilon.$$

Since the boundary of Ω is \mathcal{C}^2 near p , by Theorem 4.4, we may choose a holomorphic function \mathcal{P}_1 on Ω_j satisfying

$$(4.9) \quad \mathcal{P}_1(p) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|f - \mathcal{P}_1\|_{L^2(\Omega, \varphi)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{16}.$$

By the construction in the proof of Theorem 1.1, there exists a polynomial P_2 so that

$$(4.10) \quad \int_{\gamma} |f - P_2 \cdot Q|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds < \frac{\varepsilon}{16}.$$

Set $M = \int_{\Omega} e^{-\psi(z)} d\lambda_z + \int_{\gamma} e^{-\psi} ds$. Since $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \cup L^2(\Omega \cup \gamma, \varphi)$, by (4.9) we may choose j sufficiently large so that $\gamma(t_i) \in (\Omega_j)^c$, $2 \leq i \leq N$ and

$$(4.11) \quad \max \left\{ \int_{\gamma \cap \Omega_j} |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds, \quad \int_{\gamma \cap \Omega_j} |\mathcal{P}_1|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds \right\} < \frac{\varepsilon}{64}.$$

Now fix such j . Choose χ be as above and

$$h(z) = \chi(z)\mathcal{P}_1(z) + (1 - \chi(z))P_2(z)Q(z).$$

Then h and $\frac{h}{Q}$ are holomorphic on Ω_j , continuous on $\overline{\Omega_j} \cup \gamma$. By Theorem 3.3 there exists a polynomial G so that

$$\left| \frac{h}{Q} - G \right|^2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{32M \cdot \max_{\overline{\Omega_j} \cup \gamma} |Q|} \quad \text{on} \quad \overline{\Omega_j} \cup \gamma.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|f - G \cdot Q\|_{L^2(\Omega \cup \gamma, \varphi)}^2 \\
&= \left\| \frac{f}{\sqrt{Q}} - G \cdot \sqrt{Q} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega \cup \gamma, \psi)}^2 \\
&= \left\| \frac{f}{\sqrt{Q}} - \frac{h}{\sqrt{Q}} + \frac{h}{\sqrt{Q}} - G \cdot \sqrt{Q} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega \cup \gamma, \psi)}^2 \\
&\leq 2 \left\| \frac{f}{\sqrt{Q}} - \frac{h}{\sqrt{Q}} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega \cup \gamma, \psi)}^2 + 2 \left\| \frac{h}{\sqrt{Q}} - G \cdot \sqrt{Q} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega \cup \gamma, \psi)}^2 \\
&\leq 2 \|f - h\|_{L^2(\Omega \cup \gamma, \varphi)}^2 + 2M \cdot \max_{\overline{\Omega \cup \gamma}} |Q| \cdot \max_{\overline{\Omega \cup \gamma}} \left| \frac{h}{Q} - G \right|^2 \\
&\leq 2 \int_{\Omega} |f(z) - \mathcal{P}_1(z)|^2 e^{-\varphi(z)} d\lambda_z + 2 \int_{\gamma \cap (\Omega_j)^c} |f - P_2 \cdot Q|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds \\
&\quad + 2 \int_{\gamma \cap (\Omega_j \setminus \Omega)} |f - h|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds + \frac{\varepsilon}{16} \\
&\leq 2 \int_{\gamma \cap \Omega_j} |f - \chi \mathcal{P}_1 - (1 - \chi) P_2 \cdot Q|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds + \frac{5\varepsilon}{16} \quad \text{by (4.9), (4.10)} \\
&\leq 4 \int_{\gamma \cap \Omega_j} |f - \mathcal{P}_1|^2 \chi^2 e^{-\varphi} ds + 4 \int_{\gamma \cap \Omega_j} |f - P_2 \cdot Q|^2 (1 - \chi)^2 e^{-\varphi} ds + \frac{5\varepsilon}{16} \\
&\leq 8 \int_{\gamma \cap \Omega_j} |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds + 8 \int_{\gamma \cap \Omega_j} |\mathcal{P}_1|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds + \frac{9\varepsilon}{16} \quad \text{by (4.10)} \\
&\leq \frac{13\varepsilon}{16} \quad \text{by (4.11)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Subcase B: If $\gamma(t_1) \neq p$. We may choose j sufficiently large so that $\gamma(t_i) \in (\Omega_j)^c \cap \gamma$, $1 \leq i \leq N$ and the formula (4.11) also holds. Then the following proof is similar to subcase A.

Finally we consider: Case 3: $\nu(\varphi) \geq 2$. There exist finitely many points $z_j \in \overline{\Omega}$, $\gamma(t_j) \in \gamma$ so that $\nu(\varphi) \geq 2$ at those points. We may find a polynomial Q_1 with zeros at those points, subharmonic function ψ satisfying $\varphi = \psi + 2 \log |Q_1|$ and $\nu(\psi) < 2$ on $\overline{\Omega} \cup \gamma$. Let $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \cup L^2(\Omega \cup \gamma, \varphi)$,

$$\frac{f}{Q_1} \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega \cup \gamma, \psi).$$

Then by Case 1 or 2, $\frac{f}{Q_1}$ can be approximated by a polynomial P in $L^2(\Omega \cup \gamma, \psi)$. Thus f can be approximated by the polynomial $P \cdot Q_1$ in $L^2(\Omega \cup \gamma, \varphi)$. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

The classical Carleman approximation theorem applies to continuous function f on \mathbb{R} . Let $\varepsilon(x) > 0$ be a continuous function.

Theorem 5.1 ([3]). *There exists an entire function F so that $|F(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon(x)$ on \mathbb{R} .*

This theorem is equivalent to the following corollary

Corollary 5.2. *Let f be a continuous function on \mathbb{R} . For any $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ with $\varepsilon_n > 0$, there exists an entire function F so that for each n ,*

$$|F(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon_n, \quad \forall x \in [n, n+1].$$

It was pointed out by Alexander [1] that Carleman's proof actually gives

Theorem 5.3 ([3, 1]). *If $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, γ is a locally rectifiable curve and properly embedded, then for each continuous function f on γ and continuous function $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an entire function F so that $|F - f| < \varepsilon$ on γ .*

We prove below Theorem 1.4 which is a weighted L^2 - version of this generalization for Lipschitz graphs.

Let Γ be the graph of a locally Lipschitz function over the real axis in \mathbb{C} . We may assume $\Gamma = \{(t, \phi(t))\}$ with $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a locally Lipschitz continuous function. For each $[n, n+1]$, $\Gamma_n := \{(t, \phi(t)) \mid n \leq t \leq n+1\}$ is a Lipschitz graph.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Case 1: $\nu(\varphi) < 1$ on Γ . Let $f \in L^2(\Gamma, \varphi)$. By Theorem 3.4, there exists a continuous function g_n on $J_n := \{(t, \phi(t)) \mid n-1 \leq t \leq n+2\}$ so that

$$\int_{J_n} |f - g_n|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds < \frac{1}{40} \min\{\varepsilon_{n-1}, \varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{n+1}\} \leq \frac{1}{40} \varepsilon_n.$$

Choose a partition of unity $\{\chi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of Γ so that $\chi_n \geq 0$ on Γ , $\chi_n = 0$ outside of J_n and $\sum_n \chi_n = 1$ on Γ . Let $g = \sum_n \chi_n g_n$. Then g is continuous

on Γ and for each n ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Gamma_n} |f - g|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds \\
&= \int_{\Gamma_n} |\chi_{n-1}(f - g_{n-1}) + \chi_n(f - g_n) + \chi_{n+1}(f - g_{n+1})|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds \\
&\leq 2 \int_{\Gamma_n} |\chi_{n-1}(f - g_{n-1}) + \chi_n(f - g_n)|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds + 2 \int_{\Gamma_n} |\chi_{n+1}(f - g_{n+1})|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds \\
&\leq 4 \int_{\Gamma_n} |\chi_{n-1}(f - g_{n-1})|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds + 4 \int_{\Gamma_n} |\chi_n(f - g_n)|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds \\
&\quad + 2 \int_{\Gamma_n} |\chi_{n+1}(f - g_{n+1})|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_n.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

By using Theorem 5.3 on the continuous function g of Γ , we can find an entire function F so that for each n

$$|F - g|^2 < \frac{\varepsilon_n}{4 \int_{\Gamma_n} e^{-\varphi} ds} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_n.$$

Thus

$$\int_{\Gamma_n} |g - F|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds < \frac{\varepsilon_n}{4}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Gamma_n} |f - F|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds \\
&\leq 2 \int_{\Gamma_n} |f - g|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds + 2 \int_{\Gamma_n} |g - F|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds \\
&< \varepsilon_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we consider: Case 2: $\nu(\varphi) \geq 1$. We may list the points $\{\Gamma(t_j)\}_j$ with $\nu(\varphi)(\Gamma(t_j)) \geq 1$, where $\Gamma(t_j) = (t_j, \phi(t_j))$. Then there exists an entire function Q which vanishes at each $\Gamma(t_j)$ to exact order $[\nu(\varphi)(\Gamma(t_j))]$. We may define \sqrt{Q} to be continuous on Γ , without loss of generality we may set $Q(z) = \prod_j (z - \Gamma(t_j))^{[\nu(\varphi)(\Gamma(t_j))]} e^{p_j(z)}$, where $p_j(z)$ are entire functions.

Then there exists a subharmonic function ψ such that $\varphi = \psi + \log |Q|$ with $\nu(\psi) < 1$ on Γ . Let $f \in L^2(\Gamma, \varphi)$. Then $\frac{f}{\sqrt{Q}} \in L^2(\Gamma, \psi)$. By Case 1, there exists an entire function F so that for each n

$$(5.2) \quad \int_{\Gamma_n} \left| \frac{f}{\sqrt{Q}} - F \right|^2 e^{-\psi} ds = \int_{\Gamma_n} |f - F \cdot \sqrt{Q}|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds < \frac{\varepsilon_n}{4}.$$

Thus it suffices to find an entire function H vanishing at $\Gamma(t_j)$ to order $[\nu(\varphi)(\Gamma(t_j))]$ so that for each n

$$(5.3) \quad \int_{\Gamma_n} \left| \sqrt{Q} - H \right|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds = \int_{\Gamma_n} \left| 1 - \frac{H}{\sqrt{Q}} \right|^2 e^{-\psi} ds < \frac{\varepsilon_n}{4 \max_{\Gamma(t) \in \Gamma_n} |F(\Gamma(t))|^2}.$$

We look for H for convenience as

$$H(z) = Q(z) \cdot \tilde{H}(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (z - \Gamma(t_j))^{\lfloor \nu(\varphi)(\Gamma(t_j)) \rfloor} e^{p_j(z)} \cdot \tilde{H}(z).$$

The estimate (5.3) is then equivalent to find an entire function \tilde{H} so that

$$(5.4) \quad \int_{\Gamma_n} \left| 1 - \frac{Q \cdot \tilde{H}}{\sqrt{Q}} \right|^2 e^{-\psi} ds < \frac{\varepsilon_n}{4 \max_{\Gamma(t) \in \Gamma_n} |F(\Gamma(t))|^2}, \quad \forall n.$$

Let $\delta_j > 0$. Set $g(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q(z)}}$ except on arcs Γ^j of Γ with length $2\delta_j$ and center at $\Gamma(t_j)$. We can make g continuous and $|\sqrt{Q} \cdot g| \leq 1$ on such arcs of length $2\delta_j$. Then

$$\int_{\Gamma_n} \left| 1 - \sqrt{Q} \cdot g \right|^2 e^{-\psi} ds \leq 4 \sum_{\Gamma(t_j) \in J_n} \int_{\Gamma^j} e^{-\psi} ds.$$

Since $\bigcup_{\Gamma(t_j) \in J_n} \Gamma^j$ is a measurable set and $e^{-\psi} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$, we may choose δ_j sufficiently small in order to

$$\sum_{\Gamma(t_j) \in J_n} \int_{\Gamma^j} e^{-\psi} ds < \frac{\varepsilon_n}{32 \max_{\Gamma(t) \in \Gamma_n} |F(\Gamma(t))|^2}.$$

Since g is continuous on Γ , by the classical Carleman approximation theorem there exists an entire function A satisfying for each n

$$|g - A|^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon_n}{8 \max_{\Gamma(t) \in \Gamma_n} |Q(\Gamma(t))| \cdot \max_{\Gamma(t) \in \Gamma_n} |F(\Gamma(t))|^2 \cdot \int_{\Gamma_n} e^{-\psi} ds}, \quad \forall \Gamma(t) \in \Gamma_n.$$

Then by Cauchy-Schwarz and the previous estimate, for each n ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Gamma_n} |\sqrt{Q}|^2 |g - A|^2 e^{-\psi} ds \\
&= \int_{\Gamma_n} |\sqrt{Q}|^2 |g - A|^2 e^{-\psi} ds \\
&\leq \left(\int_{\Gamma_n} |\sqrt{Q}|^4 |g - A|^2 e^{-\psi} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\int_{\Gamma_n} |g - A|^2 e^{-\psi} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \max_{\Gamma(t) \in \Gamma_n} |Q(\Gamma(t))| \cdot \int_{\Gamma_n} |g - A|^2 e^{-\psi} ds \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon_n}{8 \max_{\Gamma(t) \in \Gamma_n} |F(\Gamma(t))|^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By taking $\tilde{H} = A$, we get (5.4) and then (5.3). Hence there exist entire functions F, Q, A so that for each n

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Gamma_n} |f - F \cdot Q \cdot A|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds \\
&\leq 2 \int_{\Gamma_n} |f - F \sqrt{Q}|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds + 2 \int_{\Gamma_n} |F \sqrt{Q} - F \cdot Q \cdot A|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2} \\
&= \varepsilon_n.
\end{aligned}$$

□

6. RECTIFIABLE NON-LIPSCHITZ ARCS

Here we construct a rectifiable non-Lipschitz arc γ and a subharmonic function φ in a neighborhood of γ so that the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 does not hold. To find such an arc, we first look at the vertical arcs $\gamma_a = \{(a, it), |t| \leq a\}$. Let $0 < \alpha < 1, z_0 = 0$. We then notice that

$$|\gamma_a| = 2a \sim a,$$

and

$$\int_{\gamma_a} \frac{ds}{|z - z_0|^\alpha} = \int_{-a}^a \frac{1}{(\sqrt{a^2 + t^2})^\alpha} dt.$$

Since

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}^\alpha} a^{1-\alpha} \leq \int_{-a}^a \frac{1}{(\sqrt{a^2 + t^2})^\alpha} dt \leq 2a^{1-\alpha}$$

we get that $\int_{\gamma_a} \frac{ds}{|z-z_0|^\alpha} \sim a^{1-\alpha}$ uniformly in α .

Let $b_n \in [0, 1], n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, be a decreasing sequence which tends to 0. We will fix b_n later. We remark that by setting $\varphi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \log \left| \frac{z-b_n}{2} \right|$, where $\alpha_n = \frac{1}{n^3}$ a rapidly then φ is subharmonic on $\Delta(0, 2 - b_1)$: φ is the limit of the decreasing sequence $\{\varphi_k\}$ of subharmonic functions, $\varphi_k = \sum_{n=1}^k \alpha_n \log \left| \frac{z-b_n}{2} \right|$. Now let's build a rectifiable non-Lipschitz arc γ such that

- (1) $|\gamma| < \infty$;
- (2) $\int_{\gamma_n} e^{-\varphi} ds = \infty$.

Here γ_n is a curve with endpoints $(b_{n+1}, 0)$ and $(b_n, 0)$ and γ consists of the union of the γ_n and the origin. Define c_n so that

$$c_n^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha_{n+1}}} = \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} = \frac{1}{n^2 ((n+1)^3 - 1)}.$$

We define $\{b_n\}$ by the following conditions:

$$b_n - b_{n+1} = c_n^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha_{n+1}}} = \frac{1}{n^2 ((n+1)^3 - 1)}$$

add the requirement that $b_n \rightarrow 0$. Then we have that

$$b_n = \sum_{k \geq n}^{\infty} (b_k - b_{k+1}) = \sum_{k \geq n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 ((k+1)^3 - 1)}.$$

Now define $b_n^k \in [b_{n+1}, b_n]$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} b_n^k &= b_{n+1} + \left(\frac{c_n}{k} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha_{n+1}}} \\ (6.1) \quad &= b_{n+1} + \frac{1}{n^2 ((n+1)^3 - 1)} \left(\frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha_{n+1}}} \end{aligned}$$

Then $b_n^k \rightarrow b_{n+1}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $b_n^1 = b_n$. Finally, we define $\gamma_n = (\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n^k) \cup T_n \cup S_n$, where

$$\gamma_n^k =: \{b_n^k + iy, 0 \leq y \leq b_n^k - b_{n+1}\},$$

$T_n = \cup_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \{y = b_{n+1}, b_n^{2\ell+2} \leq x \leq b_n^{2\ell+1}\}$ and $S_n = \cup_{\ell \geq 1}^{\infty} \{y = 0, b_n^{2\ell+1} \leq x \leq b_n^{2\ell}\}$ which connect the γ_n^k making γ_n an arc. Then $|\gamma_n^k| = (b_n^k - b_{n+1})$,

$|T_n| < \sqrt{2}(b_n - b_{n+1}) = \sqrt{2}(b_n^1 - b_{n+1})$ and $|S_n| < b_n - b_{n+1}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\gamma| &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_n| \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_n^k| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |T_n| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |S_n| \\
&\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (b_n^k - b_{n+1}) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\sqrt{2} + 1)(b_n^1 - b_{n+1}) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 ((n+1)^3 - 1)} \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha_{n+1}}} + (\sqrt{2} + 1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 ((n+1)^3 - 1)}
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha_{n+1}}} \sim \int_1^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{\frac{(n+1)^3}{(n+1)^3-1}} dx = (n+1)^3 - 1$$

we know that

$$(6.2) \quad |\gamma| \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} + (\sqrt{2} + 1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 ((n+1)^3 - 1)} < \infty.$$

Thus γ is a rectifiable non-Lipschitz arc.

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\gamma_n} e^{-\varphi} ds &\geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\gamma_n^k} e^{-\varphi} ds \\
&\geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\gamma_n^k} \frac{1}{|z - b_{n+1}|^{\alpha_{n+1}}} ds \\
&\sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (b_n^k - b_{n+1})^{1-\alpha_{n+1}} \\
&\sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{k} \quad \text{by (6.1)} \\
&\sim \int_1^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{x} dx \\
(6.3) \quad &= \infty, \quad \forall n.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we will prove that polynomials are not dense in $L^2(\gamma, \varphi)$. By contradiction, for each $f \in L^2(\gamma, \varphi)$, if there exists a sequence of polynomials P_N so that

$$\int_{\gamma} |f - P_N| e^{-\varphi} ds \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{if } N \rightarrow \infty,$$

then by (6.3) we have $P_N(b_n) = 0$ for any n if N is sufficiently large. Since $b_n \rightarrow 0$ by uniqueness property of holomorphic function we know $P_N \equiv 0$. Thus $\int_\gamma |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} ds = 0$. That is $f = 0$ a.e. on γ . Thus $L^2(\gamma, \varphi) = \{0\}$. On the other hand $f(z) := e^{\frac{z}{2}} = \sqrt{\prod \left| \frac{z-b_n}{2} \right|^{\alpha_n}} \in L^2(\gamma, \varphi)$. This is a contradiction.

Remark 6.1. In this example, there are no non-zero polynomials in $L^2(\gamma, \varphi)$ and polynomials are not dense in $L^2(\gamma, \varphi)$. The key to this example is that Theorem 2.12 does not hold for rectifiable non-Lipschitz arcs. However, we don't know if there exists a rectifiable non-Lipschitz arc γ and a subharmonic function φ so that all the polynomials are in $L^2(\gamma, \varphi)$ but not dense in it.

Acknowledgements The first author was supported in part by Rannis-grant 152572-051. The second author was supported by the Norwegian Research Council grant 240569. The third author was supported by the NSFC grant 11601120 and Norwegian Research Council grant 240569.

REFERENCES

- [1] Alexander H.: A Carleman Theorem for curves in \mathbb{C}^n . *Math. Scand.* **45(1)**, 70–76 (1980)
- [2] Biard, S., Fornæss, J.E. and Wu, J.: Weighted L^2 polynomial approximation in \mathbb{C} . *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **373(2)**, 919–938 (2020)
- [3] Carleman, T.: Sur un théorème de Weierstrass. *Ark. Mat.* **20B**, 1–5(1927)
- [4] Donnelly, H and Fefferman, C.: L^2 -cohomology and index theorem for the Bergman metric. *Ann. of Math.* **118**, 593–618 (1983)
- [5] Fornæss, J.E. and Wu, J.: Weighted approximation in \mathbb{C} . *Math. Z.* **294(3)**, 1051–1064 (2019)
- [6] Gaier, D.: *Lectures on Complex Approximation*. Boston. Basel. Stuttgart. 1980.
- [7] Hörmander, L.: *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*. North Holland, Amsterdam (1991)
- [8] Kiselman, C. O.: Densité des fonctions plurisousharmoniques. *Bull. Soc. Math. France.* **107(3)**, 295–304 (1979)
- [9] Kiselman, C. O.: Attenuating the singularities of plurisubharmonic functions. *Ann. Polon. Math.* **60(2)**, 173–197 (1994)
- [10] Lavrent'ev, M.A.: Sur les fonctions d'une variable complexe représentables par des séries de polynomes. (441) *Hermann and cie.* (1936).
- [11] Mergelyan, S.N.: On a theorem of M.A. Lavrent'ev. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR.* **77(4)**. 1951 (Russian); *Amer. Math. Soc. Translation*, 86: 7 pp, 1953 (Translated).
- [12] Ransford, T.: *Potential theory in the complex plane*. Cambridge university press (1995)
- [13] Royden, H.: *Real analysis* (2nd ed.). New York: Macmillan, (1968)
- [14] Skoda, H.: Sous-ensembles analytiques d'ordre fini ou infini dans \mathbb{C}^n . *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **100**, 353–408 (1972)
- [15] Weierstrass, K.: Über die analytische Darstellbarkeit sogenannter willkürlicher Functionen einer reellen Veränderlichen. *Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin*, **2**, 633–639 (1885)

* CORRESPONDING AUTHOR, JUJIE WU , *E-mail address:* JUJIE.WU@NTNU.NO, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HENAN UNIVERSITY, JINMING CAMPUS OF HENAN UNIVERSITY, JINMING DISTRICT, CITY OF KAIFENG, HENAN PROVINCE. P. R. CHINA, 475001, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NTNU, SENTRALBYGG 2, ALFRED GETZ VEI 1, 7034 TRONDHEIM, NORWAY

SÉVERINE BIARD, *E-mail address:* BIARD@HI.IS, SCIENCE INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF ICELAND, DUNHAGI 3, IS-107 REYKJAVIK, ICELAND, *current address:* UNIV. POLYTECHNIQUE HAUTS-DE-FRANCE, - LMI - LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES POUR L'INGÉNIEUR, FR CNRS 2956, F-59313 VALENCIENNES, FRANCE, *current e-mail address:* SEVERINE.BIARD@UPHF.FR

JOHN ERIK FORNÆSS, *E-mail address:* JOHN.FORNASS@NTNU.NO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NTNU, SENTRALBYGG 2, ALFRED GETZ VEI 1, 7034 TRONDHEIM, NORWAY