

# Dosimetry for cell irradiation using orthovoltage (40-300 kv) x-ray facilities

Morgane dos Santos, Vincent Paget, Francois Trompier, Gaetan Gruel, Fabien

Milliat

### ► To cite this version:

Morgane dos Santos, Vincent Paget, Francois Trompier, Gaetan Gruel, Fabien Milliat. Dosimetry for cell irradiation using orthovoltage (40-300 kv) x-ray facilities. Journal of visualized experiments: JoVE, 2021, 2021 (168), pp.e61645. 10.3791/61645 . hal-03207090

## HAL Id: hal-03207090 https://hal.science/hal-03207090v1

Submitted on 23 Apr 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Journal of Visualized Experiments Dosimetry for cell irradiation using orthovoltage (40-300 kV) X-ray facilities --Manuscript Draft--

Article Type:	Invited Methods Article - JoVE Produced Video
Manuscript Number:	JoVE61645R2
Full Title:	Dosimetry for cell irradiation using orthovoltage (40-300 kV) X-ray facilities
Corresponding Author:	Morgane DOS SANTOS Institut de Radioprotection et de Surete Nucleaire Fontenay aux roses, ile de france FRANCE
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Institut de Radioprotection et de Surete Nucleaire
Corresponding Author E-Mail:	morgane.dossantos@irsn.fr
Order of Authors:	Morgane DOS SANTOS
	Vincent Paget
	François Trompier
	Gaëtan Gruel
	Fabien Milliat
Additional Information:	
Question	Response
Please indicate whether this article will be Standard Access or Open Access.	Standard Access (US\$2,400)
Please indicate the <b>city, state/province,</b> <b>and country</b> where this article will be <b>filmed</b> . Please do not use abbreviations.	Fontenay aux roses, France
Please confirm that you have read and agree to the terms and conditions of the author license agreement that applies below:	I agree to the <u>Author License Agreement</u>
Please specify the section of the submitted manuscript.	Biology
Please provide any comments to the journal here.	



1 Alewife Center #200 Cambridge, MA 02140 tel. 617.945.9051 www.jove.com Click here to access/download;Manuscript;Manuscript\_61645\_R2.docx

## Standard Manuscript Template Please Remove all Gray Text before Submitting

- 1 TITLE:
- 2 Dosimetry for Cell Irradiation Using Orthovoltage (40-300 kV) X-Ray Facilities
- 3

#### 4 AUTHORS & AFFILIATIONS:

- 5 Morgane Dos Santos<sup>1</sup>, Vincent Paget<sup>2</sup>, François Trompier<sup>3</sup>, Gaëtan Gruel<sup>1</sup>, Fabien Milliat<sup>2</sup>
- 6
- 7 <sup>1</sup>Department of RAdiobiology and Regenerative MEDicine (SERAMED), Laboratory of
- 8 Radiobiology of Accidental Exposures (LRAcc), Institute for Radiological Protection and Nuclear
- 9 Safety (IRSN), Fontenay-aux-Roses, France
- 10 <sup>2</sup>Department of RAdiobiology and Regenerative MEDicine (SERAMED), Laboratory of MEDical
- 11 Radiobialogy (LRMed), Institute for Radiological Protection and Nuclear Safety (IRSN), Fontenay-
- 12 aux-Roses, France
- 13 <sup>3</sup>Department of DOSimetry (SDOS), Ionizing Radiation Dosimetry Laboratory (LDRI), Institute for
- 14 Radiological Protection and Nuclear Safety (IRSN), Fontenay-aux-Roses, France
- 15
- 16 Corresponding Author:
- 17 Morgane Dos Santos (<u>morgane.dossantos@irsn.fr</u>)
- 18
- 19 Email Addresses of Co-authors:
- 20 François Trompier (francois.trompier@irsn.fr)
- 21 Vincent Paget (vincent.paget@irsn.fr)
- 22 Gaëtan Gruel (gaetan.gruel@irsn.fr)
- 23 Fabien Milliat (fabien.milliat@irsn.fr)
- 24

#### 25 KEYWORDS:

- 26 dosimetry, low energy X-rays, radiobiology, irradiation protocol, cell irradiation, X-ray facility
- 27

#### 28 **SUMMARY:**

- 29 This document describes a new dosimetry protocol for cell irradiations using low energy X-ray
- 30 equipment. Measurements are performed in conditions simulating real cell irradiation conditions
- 31 as much as possible.
- 32

#### 33 ABSTRACT:

34 The importance of dosimetry protocols and standards for radiobiological studies is self-evident. 35 Several protocols have been proposed for dose determination using low energy X-rays facilities, 36 but depending on the irradiation configurations, samples, materials or beam quality, it is 37 sometimes difficult to know which protocol is the most appropriate to employ. We, therefore, 38 propose a dosimetry protocol for cell irradiations using low energy X-rays facility. The aim of this 39 method is to perform the dose estimation at the level of the cell monolayer to make it as close 40 as possible to real cell irradiation conditions. The different steps of the protocol are as follows 41 determination of the irradiation parameters (high voltage, intensity, cell container etc.), 42 determination of the beam quality index (high voltage-half value layer couple), dose rate 43 measurement with ionization chamber calibrated in air kerma conditions, quantification of the

44 attenuation and scattering of the cell culture medium with EBT3 radiochromic films and 45 determination of the dose rate at the cellular level. This methodology must be performed for 46 each new cell irradiation configuration as the modification of only one parameter can strongly 47 impact the real dose deposition at the level of the cell monolayer, particularly involving low

- 48 energy X-rays.
- 49

#### 50 INTRODUCTION:

51 The aim of radiobiology is to establish links between the delivered dose and the biological effects, 52 dosimetry is a crucial aspect in the design of radiobiological experiments. For over 30 years, the 53 importance of dosimetry standards and the harmonization of practices have been highlighted<sup>1-5</sup>. 54 To establish a dose rate reference, several protocols exist<sup>6-10</sup>, however, as shown by Peixoto and 55 And  $reo^{11}$ , there can be differences of up to 7% depending on the dosimetric quantity used for 56 the dose rate determination. Moreover, even if protocols exist, it is sometimes difficult to know 57 which protocol is the most suitable for a particular application, if any, because the dose rate for 58 the cells depends on parameters such as the cell container, quantity of cell culture media or beam 59 quality, for example. The scattering and the backscattering for this type of irradiation is also a 60 very important parameter to take into account. Indeed, for low and medium energy X-rays, in the AAPM TG-61 reference protocol<sup>10</sup>, the absorbed dose in water is measured at the surface of 61 62 a water phantom. Taking into account the very specific cell irradiation conditions, small volume 63 of cell culture media surrounded by air, that are therefore closer to kerma conditions, so in air, 64 than those defined for absorbed dose with large water equivalent phantom as in TG-61 protocol. 65 Therefore, we have chosen to use the kerma in water as dosimetric quantity for our reference 66 rather than the absorbed dose in water. Thus, we are proposing a new approach to provide a 67 better determination of the actual dose delivered to cells.

68

69 Moreover, another crucial aspect for radiobiological studies is the complete reporting of the 70 methods and protocols used for irradiation in order to be able to reproduce, interpret and compare experimental results. In 2016, Pedersen et al.<sup>12</sup> have highlighted the inadequate 71 72 reporting of dosimetry in preclinical radiobiological studies. A larger recent study from Draeger 73 et al.<sup>13</sup> highlighted that even though some dosimetry parameters such as the dose, energy or 74 source type are reported, a large part or physics and dosimetry parameters that are essential to 75 properly replicate the irradiation conditions are missing. This large scale review, of more than 76 1,000 publications covering the past 20 years, shows a significant lack of the reporting of the 77 physics and dosimetry conditions in radiobiological studies. Thus, a complete description of the 78 protocol and the method utilized in radiobiological studies is mandatory in order to have robust 79 and reproducible experiments.

80

Taking into account these different aspects, for the radiobiological experiments carried out at IRSN (Institute of Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety), a stringent protocol was implemented for cell irradiations in an orthovoltage facility. This dosimetry protocol was designed in order to simulate the real cell irradiation conditions as much as possible and thus, to determine the actual dose delivered to cells. To this end, all the irradiation parameters are listed, and the beam quality index was evaluated by measuring the half value layer (HVL) for which some

Page 2 of 11

adaptations have been made as the standard recommendations from the AAPM protocol<sup>10</sup> 87 88 cannot be followed. The absolute dose rate measurement was then performed with the 89 ionization chamber inside the cell container used for cell irradiations, and the attenuation and 90 the scattering of the cell culture media was also quantified with EBT3 radiochromic films. As the 91 modification of only one single parameter of the protocol can significantly impact the dose 92 estimation, a dedicated dosimetry is performed for each cell irradiation configuration. Moreover, 93 the HVL value must be calculated for each voltage-filter combination. In this present work, a 94 voltage of 220 kV, an intensity of 3 mA, and an inherent and an additional filtration of 0.8 and 95 0.15 mm of beryllium and copper, respectively, are used. The cell irradiation configuration 96 chosen is on a T25 flask, where cells were irradiated with 5 mL of cell culture media. 97

- 98 PROTOCOL:
- 99
- 100 101

#### 1. Irradiation platform and determination of irradiation parameters

102 1.1. Use an irradiation platform delivering low to medium energy X-rays. Determine the 103 parameters of the experiment to ensure the robustness and the reproducibility of the 104 radiobiological experiment: High voltage, Intensity, Filtration (inherent and additional), Half 105 Value Layer (HVL), Effective energy, Detector used for dosimetry measurements, Source Sample 106 Distance (SSD), Irradiation field (shape, size, geometry), Dosimetry quantity, Dosimetry method, 107 Dose rate, Cell container and Quantity of cell culture media. All parameters used in this protocol 108 are given in table 1.

- 109
- 110 111

114

120

123

#### 2. Beam quality index: Determination of the half value layer

- 112 NOTE: The HVL is defined as the thickness of an attenuator (usually copper or aluminum) to 113 reduce the intensity of the beam by a factor of two compared with the original value.
- Set up the equipment (support, collimator, diaphragm, ionization) inside the irradiation
   enclosure by following the instructions in Figure 1. No attenuator material is used at this step.
- 118 2.2. Ensure that all the distances reported in Figure 1 are correct. Measure these with a tape
   119 measure.
- 121 2.3. Place that the ionization chamber in the horizontal position. For this work, we used a
  122 31002 (equivalent to 31010) cylindrical ionization chamber calibrated in air kerma.
- 124 2.4. Pre-irradiate the ionization chamber for 5 min and measure background (this step can be125 performed without a collimator).
- 126

127 2.5. Perform 10 measurements of 1 min each in charge collection mode corresponding to the
 128 M<sub>raw</sub> value (in coulombs).

129

130 2.6. Take the temperature and pressure with suitable calibrated equipment placed inside the
 131 irradiation enclosure in our case (if it is not possible place it near to the experiment). Correct the
 132 M<sub>raw</sub> reading on the electrometer by the temperature and pressure correction factor given by
 133

134 
$$K_{T,P} = \left(\frac{T+273.2}{T_{ref}+273.2}\right) \times \left(\frac{P_{ref}}{P}\right)$$

135

where: T (°C) and P (hPa) are the actual temperature and pressure, respectively. T<sub>ref</sub> and P<sub>ref</sub> are
the reference temperature and pressure when the ionization was calibrated by standards
laboratory. The pressure and temperature must be measured with calibrated instruments.
The obtained value in charge mode is the average reference value M (in coulombs).
NOTE: This step is not strictly necessary for HVL measurement, but it is recommended.

142

146

148

2.7. Place an attenuator of certain thickness above the diaphragm. The HVL set is composed
of foils with different thicknesses (0.02, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, 5 and 10 mm of copper) with a
dimension allowing to cover the entire beam (80 x 80 mm here).

147 2.8. Take a measurement of 1 min (M<sub>raw</sub> corrected by the K<sub>T,P</sub> as described before).

149 2.8.1. If the dose rate is divided by a factor of 2 with respect to the starting value, the HVL value
150 is found. Take 5 measurements of 1 min to estimate the average dose rate.

151

155

158

161

163

165

167

169

152 2.8.2. If the dose rate is not divided by a factor of 2 with respect to the starting value, increase
153 or decrease the attenuator thickness and take another measurement. Adjust the thickness of the
154 attenuator as necessary.

156 2.9. Once the thickness of the attenuator that decreases the intensity of the beam by a factor157 two is found, take 5 measurements of 1 min to confirm the HVL.

NOTE: In most cases, the exact thickness of attenuator cannot be found from the foils available.
In this case, proceed by bisection and interpolate the HVL.

- 162 **3. Evaluation of the irradiation field (no dose estimation)**
- 164 3.1. Place an EBT3 film on the support used for irradiation.
- 166 3.2. Irradiate this film to obtain a well-marked irradiation field (at least 2 Gy).
- 168 3.3. Scan the EBT3 film using a dedicated scanner.
- 170 3.4. Plot the dose profile using Image J by using the Analyze and then plot Profile option
- 171 (Figure 2)

Page 4 of 11

172		
173	3.5.	Determine the size of irradiation field usage for irradiation (homogeneous area, excluding
174	penun	nbra regions, see figure 2)
175		
176	3.6.	Make marks on the support used for irradiation to ensure that the cell container is in the
177	right p	osition
178	<u> </u>	
179	NOTE:	In this step, the size of the irradiation field is determined, and the dose is not estimated.
180	The co	mplete procedure for film reading and analysis is given in Section 5. Also, take margins in
181	order	to avoid errors due to the cell container positioning.
182		
183	4.	Dose rate measurement with ionization chamber
184		
185	41	Take the cell container and break a little part on the side or on the bottom (depending on
186	the na	rticular container and ionization chamber used) to be able to place the ionization chamber
187	insida	(Figure 3, upper section) or below (Figure 3, lower section) the container. Examples are
122	given	in Figure 3 with different ionization chambers (cylindrical or plane parallel) and cell
189	contai	ners. In this case, a T25 flask was used (Figure 3, red box)
190	contar	
101	NOTE	a soldering iron or heated scalpel is a good alternative to make holes in plastic ware
102	NOIL.	a soldering non of heated scalper is a good alternative to make holes in plastic ware
102	12	Place the container inside the enclosure on the support used for irradiation (carbon plate
10/	horo)	Thate the container made the enclosure on the support used for infadiation (carbon plate
105	nerej.	
195	12	Place the ionization chamber in the container (Figure 3, red box) in the correct position
107	4.J.	in practice the ionization chamber in the container (righte 5, red box), in the correct position
100		
100	1 1	Ensure that all irradiation parameters listed in Section 1 are correct (high voltage
200	4.4.	Ensure that all indulation parameters instea in Section 1 are correct (high voltage,
200	intens	ity, additional hitrations, source sample distance etc.)
201	4 E	Due investigate the invitation shows for F using and professor the sension of the
202	4.5.	Pre-irradiate the ionization champer for 5 min and perform the zeroing of the
203	electro	Dmeter
204	4.6	
205	4.6.	Take 10 measurements of 1 min to determine the average dose rate in air kerma (Gy.min
206	<mark>⁺).</mark> The	determination of the dose rate in K <sub>air</sub> is calculated by:
207		$K_{air} = M \times N_{Kair} \times K_Q$
208	Where	
209	M is	reading of the dosemeter corrected by temperature, pressure, polarity effect, ion
210	recom	bination and electrometer calibration.
211	N <sub>Kair</sub> a	nd $K_q$ are the calibration and correction factors for radiation quality, whose values are
212	specifi	c to each ionization chamber.
213		
214	5.	Measurement of cell culture media attenuation and scattering

Page 5 of 11

215		
216 217	NOTE:	Handle EBT3 films with gloves throughout the procedure.
218	<mark>5.1.</mark>	Preparation of the experiment
219		
220	<mark>5.1.1.</mark>	Cut small pieces of EBT3 films at least 24 h before irradiation.
221		
222	5.1.2.	Determine the size of the films as a function of the cell container used for radiobiology
223	experin	ments (4 x 4 cm for a 125 flask, for example).
224	513	Cut two sets of radiochromic films must be cut:
226	J.1.J.	
227	5.1.3.1	. One set for the calibration curves composed of three pieces of EBT3 radiochromic
228	film by	dose or time point. Nine points in total for this work.
229		
230	<mark>5.1.3.</mark> 2	. One set for the quantification of the cell culture media attenuation, also three
231	<mark>pieces</mark>	<mark>per point</mark>
232		
233	<mark>5.1.4.</mark>	Number all the films for identification (upper right corner here) and scan them on the
234	<mark>same p</mark>	position on the scanner
235		
236	5.1.5.	Keep the films away from light
237		
238	5.1.6.	Prepare the cell container used for the EBT3 film measurements and, if necessary, cut a
239	part to	put the film inside (an example with a T25 is given in Figure 4)
240		
241	5.2.	Dose rate estimation
242		
243	5.2.1.	Measure the dose rate for the configuration as described in the previous section
244		
245	5.2.2.	Keep this configuration in place for the irradiation of the EB13 radiochromic films and use
246	the sar	ne type of cell container
247		
248	5.3.	Construction of the calibration curve
249	<b>F 3 1</b>	Take the property EDT2 films for the calibration sums
250	5.5.1.	Take the pre-cut EBTS films for the calibration curve
251	<b>E 2 3</b>	Three pieces are not irradiated (0 Cu)
252	<mark>5.5.</mark> 2.	Three pieces are not intaulated (0 Gy)
255	5 2 2	Place the first film inside the cell container, in the same configuration as for cell irrediction
254	J.J.J.	Thate the mathematical as for the ten container, in the same configuration as for tell mathation
255	53/	Irradiate it to obtain the first dose points
257	<del>3.3.т.</del>	

Page 6 of 11

258	<mark>5.3.5.</mark>	Repeat this operation to obtain three pieces of EBT3 films irradiated with the same dose
259	E D C	Deufermenthie fein erschilderen meinte (Nime deren meinte im thie werdt (O. O. 25. O. 5. O. 75. 1. 1. 5.
260	2.5.0.	Perform this for each dose point (Nine dose points in this work (0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.5,
201	2, 2.3 c	ind S Gy) as mustrated in Figure S
262	E 4	Evolution of the attenuation of cell sulture modio and contrained
205	5.4.	Evaluation of the attenuation of cell culture media and scattering
204	E / 1	Chase the same irrediction time for all irredictions (60 seconds, for evenue)
205	<mark>5.4.1</mark> .	chose the same irradiation time for an irradiations (of seconds, for example)
200	E 4 2	Irradiate three pieces of EPT2 films in the container without water
207	<mark>5.4.</mark> 2.	Inadiate three pieces of EBTS films in the container without water
200	E / 2	Irradiate three pieces of EPT2 films in the container with water as follows:
209	<mark>5.4.5.</mark>	Inadiate three pieces of EBTS films in the container with water as follows.
270	E / 2 1	Diago the film incide the container
271	J.4.J.1	
272	5/32	Fill the container with the exact quantity of water to represent the cell culture
273	media	(5 mL bere) Lise small nieces of tane if the films do not remain submerged properly
275	media	(5 me here). Ose sman pieces of tape if the mins do not remain submerged property.
275	5433	Place the cell container inside the enclosure and ensure that the film is correctly
270	immer	sed
278	inninen.	
279	5434	When the irradiation is complete, take the FBT3 films, dry them with absorbent
280	paper	and store them away from light
281	paper)	
282	6.	Reading of EBT3 radiochromic films
283		
284	6.1.	Read EBT3 films at least 24 h after irradiation
285		
286	6.2.	Scan the films on an dedicated scanner
287		
288	6.3.	Set the scanner parameters as: 48 bit red-green-blue tiff format, 150 dpi in transmission
289	mode a	and no image correction
290		
291	6.4.	Perform warm up of the scanner by following the steps below
292		
293	<mark>6.4.1.</mark>	Place a non-irradiated film on the scanner
294		
295	<mark>6.4.2.</mark>	Launch a preview of the scan
296		
297	<mark>6.4.3.</mark>	Launch a timer and wait for 30 seconds
298		
299	6.4.4.	Launch the scan
300		

Page 7 of 11

301 302	6.4.5. At the end of the scan, launch a timer, and wait for 90 seconds
303	6.4.6. At the same time, register the scan, open the image with ImageJ, trace a square ROI
304	(always the same size and in the same position), and take a measurement of the average red
305	pixel level of the area
306	
307	6.4.7 At the end of the 90 seconds, repeat the procedure from Step two (without touching the
308	film inside the scanner)
300	
310	6.4.8 Repeat this at least 30 times to warm up and stabilize the scanner (no variations in the
311	average red nivel level of the area selected on the non-irradiated films). If the scanner, i.e. the
212	average red pixel value, is not stabilized, continue the precedure
212	average red pixel value, is not stabilized, continue the procedure.
214	C.E. Separating of the EBT2 films
514 215	o.o. Scanning of the EDTS films
315	C.F. 1. Discussion first films in the content of the second on had. May should delive it to an analysis
310	6.5.1. Place the first film in the center of the scanner bed. You should delimitate an area to
31/	always place the film in the same place and in the same orientation
318	
319	6.5.2. Launch a preview of the scan
320	
321	6.5.3. Launch a timer and wait for 30 seconds
322	
323	6.5.4. Launch the scan
324	
325	6.5.5. At the end of the scan, launch a timer, and wait for 90 seconds. During these 90 seconds
326	change the EBT3 film
327	
328	Note: An analysis of the EBT3 radiochromic films was performed using a self-programmed C++
329	program. Different methods can be used for the EBT3 film analysis, such as the red channel
330	method or the three channels method $^{14,15}$ . In this case, we have used the red channel method
331	with no background subtraction, and the images were converted to optical densities and then to
332	the dose using our program. As this method is already well defined, our C++ program was not
333	included here. Moreover, dedicated software <sup>16</sup> can also be used for EBT3 film analysis.
334	
335	7. Determination of the dose rate at the level of the cell monolayer
336	
337	7.1. Convert the average dose rate obtained with the ionization chamber corrected by the
338	attenuation and scattering of the cell culture media (K) to the water kerma using the ratio of the
339	mean mass energy absorption coefficient for water to air evaluated over the photon fluence
340	spectrum ( $\mu_{en}/\rho$ ).
341	$K_{water} = K \times \left[ \left( \frac{\bar{\mu}_{en}}{\rho} \right)_{rin}^{w} \right]$
342	A dedicated software <sup>17</sup> was used to calculate the photon energy spectrum in air with no
	Page 8 of 11

- phantom, and we used the NIST table<sup>18</sup> to calculate the mean mass energy absorption coefficient.
- 344

#### 345 **REPRESENTATIVE RESULTS:**

346

In this work, we used a platform dedicated to small animal irradiation<sup>19</sup>, however this platform
can be used to irradiate other types of samples such as cells. The irradiation source is a Varian Xray tube (NDI-225-22, NDI, Washington, DC) having an inherent filtration of 0.8 mm of beryllium,
a large focal sport size of 3 mm, a high voltage range about 30 to 225 kV and a maximal intensity

- 351 of 30 mA.
- 352 The parameters used for this study are reported in Table 1. We have chosen to show an example
- of the use of this protocol for cell irradiation in a T25 flask with 5 mL of cell culture media.
- 354
- 355 <u>Half value layer:</u>
- Table 2 reports the measurements performed to estimate the attenuator thickness needed to decrease the intensity of the beam by a factor of two. For this, 10 reference measurements were

taken to estimate the average  $M_{raw}$  reading on the electrometer (in coulombs), corrected by the temperature and pressure correction factor ( $K_{T,P}$ ).

360

Different thickness of attenuator were then tested to find the thickness that decreased the beam
 intensity by a factor of two. When this thickness was found, five measurements were taken to
 evaluate the average M<sub>raw</sub> value corrected by K<sub>T,P</sub>.

364

For this configuration, a half value layer of 0.667 mm of copper was found. From the HVL measurement, we can calculate the effective energy of the beam, which is about 69 keV in our case.

- 368
- 369 *Dose rate measurement:*

Before to these measurements, an EBT3 film was irradiated in order to determine the surface on which the irradiation field is homogeneous, allowing us to correctly place the cell container. This area is about 10 x 10 cm<sup>2</sup> excluding penumbra regions shown by dotted lines in figure 2. Then, dose rate measurement was performed using a 31002 (equivalent to 31010) cylindrical ionization chamber calibrated in air kerma. For this configuration, with an open field irradiation field at 35 cm to the source in a T25 cell container placed on a carbon plate, the dose rate was about 0.626 Gy.min<sup>-1</sup> in K<sub>air</sub>.

377

To determine the exact dose on cells, the measured  $K_{air}$  was converted in water kerma. Figure 5 shows the X-rays energy spectrum obtained with dedicated software<sup>17</sup>. From this energy spectrum and the NIST table, we can convert the dose rate in  $K_{air}$  to  $K_{water}$ , which was 0.659 Gy.min<sup>-1</sup>.

382

The overall uncertainty of the absolute dose rate measurement was about 3% at a 95% confidence level.

385

#### 386 <u>Cell culture media attenuation and scattering:</u>

387 For the quantification of cell culture media attenuation and scattering, dosimetry measurements 388 with EBT3 radiochromic films were performed at room temperature. From the measurement 389 with the ionization chamber, the dose rate was determined. Calibration films were then 390 irradiated at the same position. EBT3 radiochromic films were calibrated between 0 and 3 Gy 391 with 0.25 Gy steps between 0 and 1 Gy and 0.5 Gy steps between 1 and 3 Gy (nine dose points 392 to construct the calibration curve) as shown in Figure 6. The dose points were fitted with a  $4^{th}$ -393 degree polynomial curve. The EBT3 films were then irradiated with and without the exact 394 quantity of cell culture media inside the cell container to evaluate the attenuation and the 395 scattering due to the cell culture media. For this configuration, the attenuation of the cell culture 396 media was about 1.5%.

- 397
- The overall uncertainty of the EBT3 film measurements was about 4% at a 95% confidence level.
- 400 <u>Routine measurements:</u>

Before performing the cell irradiations, the dose rate was measured each time in the same container used for irradiation. Thus, we used the daily dose rate to estimate our irradiation time. If we closely follow the protocol and do not change any parameters, the HVL measurement and the attenuation due to the cell culture media do not need to be repeated. As an example, the table used for our daily measurement is given in Table 3.

406

#### 407 FIGURE AND TABLE LEGENDS:

408

Figure 1: Scheme of the configuration take in place on the SARRP enclosure for HVL
 measurements.

411

Figure 2: Evaluation of the irradiation field size. Dose profile obtained at 35 cm to the source
 without collimator. Dotted lines show the area considered for the irradiation.

414

Figure 3: Photograph of cell containers with the ionization chamber for dose rate
 measurement. Upper part: example for measurement with a 31002 cylindrical ionization
 chamber. Lower part: example for measurement with a TM23342 ionization chamber.

418

Figure 4: Photograph of the T25 used for the measurement of the cell culture media
attenuation. The upper part of the T25 was cut out to be able to place the film inside the flask.

Figure 5: Simulated energy spectra for a 220 kV high voltage with 0.8 mm of Be and 0.15 mm
 of Cu filtrations<sup>17</sup>.

424

Figure 6: EBT3 films irradiated to construct the calibration curve and the corresponding calibration curve.

- 427
- 428 Table1: List of the configuration parameters.

Page 10 of 11

429

#### 430 Table 2: Measurement for the Half Value Layer determination.

431

L

- 432 **Table 3: Daily dose rate measurements for cell irradiation.**
- 433
- 434 **DISCUSSION:**
- 435

436 This work presents the protocol used and implemented for cell irradiations using low energy X-437 rays facility. Nowadays, many radiobiology experiments are performed with this type of irradiator 438 as they are easy to use, cost effective and with very few radioprotection constraints, compared 439 to cobalt source for example. Although these set-ups have many advantages, as they use a low 440 X-ray energy source, a modification of only one irradiation parameter can significantly impact the 441 dosimetry. Several studies have already highlighted the importance of dosimetry standards and 442 protocols for radiobiology studies<sup>2,5,20,21</sup>. Even though several protocols have already been well defined in the literature<sup>1,5</sup>, we decided to develop a new protocol to perform dosimetry 443 444 measurements to simulate real cell irradiation conditions as much as possible and take in account 445 all the parameters that can influence the physical dose, especially for low energy X-rays<sup>21,22</sup>. Thus, 446 we have chosen to implement a stringent protocol to minimize uncertainties. To this end, 447 irradiation parameters were set (Table1). Three steps are then necessary: i) determination of the 448 beam quality index, ii) measurement of the absolute dose rate with an ionization chamber and 449 iii) measurement of the attenuation and scattering due to the cell culture medium with EBT3 450 radiochromic films.

451

452 The beam quality index corresponded to the voltage–half value layer (HVL) couple used to 453 characterize low energy X-rays beams. The HVL is a practical indicator to describe poly energetic 454 radiation and is defined as the thickness of an attenuator (usually copper or aluminum) to reduce 455 the air kerma dose rate by a factor of two from the original value. HVL measurements were 456 performed using the following recommendations of the AAPM protocol for a 40-300 kV X-ray 457 beam<sup>10</sup>. However, some adaptations had to be made because in the irradiator enclosure it is not 458 possible to achieve a distance a 1 meter between the source and the ionization chamber. 459 Therefore, in the present work, we used a distance of 58 cm between the source and the detector 460 for HVL measurements, as illustrated in Figure 1. We decided to let 25 cm after the ionization 461 chamber because a lot of electronical material, support and metallic element are present in the 462 bottom of the enclosure to limit the backscatter effect of these elements. Measurement of the 463 HVL is one of the critical aspects of this protocol. Indeed, for many X-rays irradiators, the inside 464 of enclosures is very restricted and these are not the optimal conditions to perform the 465 measurements or it becomes impossible. Although experimental measurements are the best way 466 to evaluate the HVL, when these measurements were too difficult or even impossible to perform, dedicated software<sup>17</sup> can be used to provide a good estimation for the HVL or a Monte Carlo 467 468 simulation can be used<sup>23</sup>. In the present work, we used a dedicated software to obtain the X-ray 469 energy spectrum (Figure 5). We were also able to compare the measured and calculated HVL, 470 which was the same, and to also compare the effective energy. 471

472 For dosimetry measurements, we then chose to simulate real cell irradiation conditions as much 473 as possible. For this, we directly performed the absolute dose rate measurements with the 474 ionization chamber inside the cell container used for cells irradiation (Figure 3). However, as we 475 used a cylindrical ionization chamber calibrated for beams over 100 kV, we were not exactly at 476 the same position as the cells because of the thickness of the ionization chamber. For lower 477 beams (15-70kV), where plan parallel chamber can be used, we can be even closer to the real cell 478 irradiation conditions. Then, relative dosimetry measurements were performed to evaluate the 479 attenuation and the scattering due to the cell culture medium. The results presented on this work 480 do not highlight a significant variation in the dose deposited with or without the exact quantity 481 of cell culture media as we used a voltage of 220 kV, an additional filtration of 0.15 mm of Cu and 482 we only had 5 mL of cell culture medium. However, in our previous study<sup>21</sup> carried out at 80 kV, 483 we pointed out that a variation of the cell culture media and filtration significantly impacts the 484 physical dose, up to 40% compared to the reference configuration when we used a 1 mm 485 aluminum filtration. This impact was also demonstrated in terms of biological effects by measuring the surviving cell fraction using a clonogenic assay<sup>21,24</sup>. Thus, depending on the 486 487 voltage, additional filtration, the container and quantity of cell culture media, the dose deposited 488 on the cells can be different if the protocol is not closely followed for all irradiations.

489

490 Consequently, a dedicated dosimetry should be set up for all cell irradiation configurations. 491 Although this is restrictive and the modification of only a single parameter requires the 492 implementation of a new configuration, we have decided to make this choice to be as close as 493 possible to the real cell irradiation conditions. This requires a close collaboration between the 494 physicists and the radiobiologist in order to set up the best design for the configuration. At our 495 institute, a dozen protocols were established on our platform for a voltage range of 40 to 220 kV 496 for which T25, T75, 6- to 96-plate wells or petri dishes can be irradiated.

497

498 Although this protocol seems quite long to implement, once the configuration is established, the 499 only measurement to be taken on the day of irradiation is measurement of the dose rate with 500 the ionization chamber inside the cell container. This measurement is also a quality control that 501 enables us to ensure that the dose rate is as expected.

502

503 To ensure the reproducibility of radiobiological studies, and to be able to compare and interpret 504 experiments, it is important is to rigorously follow established protocols and report all the 505 dosimetry and configuration aspects, particularly for facilities using low or medium energy X-rays. 506 The new protocol proposed here is for cell irradiations, applicable to many X-rays facilities, and 507 takes into account all parameters influencing the dosimetry and provides a better estimation of 508 the actual dose delivered to cells.

509

#### 510 DISCLOSURES:

- 511 The authors have nothing to disclose.
- 512
- 513 **REFERENCES**
- 514

515 1 Zoetelief, J., Broerse, J. J. & Davies, R. W. Protocol for X-ray dosimetry EULEP. Report No.
516 Report EUR 9507, (Commission of the European Communities, 1985).

517 2 Zoetelief, J. *et al.* Protocol for X-ray dosimetry in radiobiology. *International Journal of* 518 *Radiation Biology*. **77** (7), 817-835, doi:10.1080/09553000110050605, (2001).

519 3 Zoetelief, J. & Jansen, J. T. Calculated energy response correction factors for LiF 520 thermoluminescent dosemeters employed in the seventh EULEP dosimetry intercomparison. 521 *Physics in Medicine and Biology.* **42** (8), 1491-1504 (1997).

522 4 Coleman, C. N. *et al.* Education and training for radiation scientists: radiation research 523 program and American Society of Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology Workshop, Bethesda, 524 Maryland, May 12-14, 2003. *Radiation Research.* **160** (6), 729-737 (2003).

525 5 Desrosiers, M. *et al.* The Importance of Dosimetry Standardization in Radiobiology. *J Res* 526 *Natl Inst Stand Technol.* **118** 403-418, doi:10.6028/jres.118.021, (2013).

527 6 (DIN), D. I. f. N. Klinische Dosimetrie: Teil 4. Anwendung von Röntgenstrahlen mit
528 Röhrenspannungen von 10 bis 100 kV in der Strahlentherapie und in der Weichteildianostik.
529 Report No. DIN 6809, DIN 6809 (1988).

530 7 (DIN), D. I. f. N. Klinische Dosimetrie: Teil 5: Anwendung von Röntgenstrahlen mit 531 Röhrenspannungen von 100 bis 400 kV in der Strahlentherapie. Report No. DIN 6809-5, DIN 6809-532 6805 (1996).

533 8 (NCS), N. C. v. S. Dosimetry of low and medium energy x-rays: A code of practice for use 534 in radiotherapy and radiobiology. Report No. Report No. 10, (1997).

535 9 IAEA. *Absorbed Dose Determination in External Beam Radiotherapy*. (INTERNATIONAL 536 ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, 2000).

53710Ma, C. M. *et al.* AAPM protocol for 40-300 kV x-ray beam dosimetry in radiotherapy and538radiobiology. *Medical Physics.* **28** (6), 868-893, doi:10.1118/1.1374247, (2001).

539 11 Peixoto, J. G. & Andreo, P. Determination of absorbed dose to water in reference 540 conditions for radiotherapy kilovoltage x-rays between 10 and 300 kV: a comparison of the data 541 in the IAEA, IPEMB, DIN and NCS dosimetry protocols. *Physics in Medicine and Biology.* **45** (3), 542 563-575 (2000).

Pedersen, K. H., Kunugi, K. A., Hammer, C. G., Culberson, W. S. & DeWerd, L. A. Radiation
Biology Irradiator Dose Verification Survey. *Radiation Research.* 185 (2), 163-168,
doi:10.1667/RR14155.1, (2016).

546 13 Draeger, E. *et al.* A Dose of Reality: How 20 Years of Incomplete Physics and Dosimetry
547 Reporting in Radiobiology Studies May Have Contributed to the Reproducibility Crisis.
548 *International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics.* **106** (2), 243-252,
549 doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2019.06.2545, (2020).

550 14 Devic, S. *et al.* Precise radiochromic film dosimetry using a flat-bed document scanner.
551 *Medical Physics.* 32 (7), 2245-2253, doi:10.1118/1.1929253, (2005).

Micke, A., Lewis, D. F. & Yu, X. Multichannel film dosimetry with nonuniformity correction. *Medical Physics.* 38 (5), 2523-2534, doi:10.1118/1.3576105, (2011).

554 16 <u>http://www.gafchromic.com/filmqa-software/filmqapro/index.asp</u>.

555 17 Poludniowski, G., Landry, G., DeBlois, F., Evans, P. M. & Verhaegen, F. SpekCalc: a program

to calculate photon spectra from tungsten anode x-ray tubes. *Physics in Medicine and Biology*.

557 **54** (19), N433-438, doi:10.1088/0031-9155/54/19/N01, (2009).

Page 13 of 11

Hubbell, J. H. & Seltzer, S. M. X-Ray Mass Attenuation Coefficients - Tables of X-Ray Mass
Attenuation Coefficients and Mass Energy-Absorption Coefficients 1 keV to 20 MeV for Elements
Z = 1 to 92 and 48 Additional Substances of Dosimetric Interest (Version 1.4). *NIST Standard Reference Database 126.* doi:10.18434/T4D01F, (1995).

Wong, J. *et al.* High-resolution, small animal radiation research platform with x-ray
tomographic guidance capabilities. *International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics*. **71** (5), 1591-1599, doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2008.04.025, (2008).

565 20 Trompier, F. *et al.* Investigation of the influence of calibration practices on cytogenetic 566 laboratory performance for dose estimation. *International Journal of Radiation Biology.* 1-9, 567 doi:10.1080/09553002.2016.1213455, (2016).

568 21 Dos Santos, M. *et al.* Importance of dosimetry protocol for cell irradiation on a low X-rays
569 facility and consequences for the biological response. *International Journal of Radiation Biology*.
570 1-29, doi:10.1080/09553002.2018.1466205, (2018).

571 22 Noblet, C. *et al.* Underestimation of dose delivery in preclinical irradiation due to 572 scattering conditions. *Physica Medica*. **30** (1), 63-68, doi:10.1016/j.ejmp.2013.03.001, (2014).

Paixao, L. *et al.* Monte Carlo derivation of filtered tungsten anode X-ray spectra for dose
computation in digital mammography. *Radiologia Brasileira*. 48 (6), 363-367, doi:10.1590/01003984.2014.0108, (2015).

576

577

578 579

















High voltage (kV)	220
Intensity (mA)	3
Filtrations (inherent and additional)	0.8 mm of Be + 0.15 mm Cu
Half value layer (mm Cu)	Determined below
Effective energy (keV)	Determined below
Detector used	Cylindrical ionization chamber + EBT3 radiochromic films
Source sample distance	35 cm
Irradiation field (shape, size, geometry)	Open field (no collimator), square, 20 x 20 cm
Dosimetry quantity	Kair and Kwater
Dosimetry method	As described on the protocol section
Cell container	T25
Quantity of cell culture media	5 ml
Dose rate (Gy/min)	Determined below

M w att	eas ith :enu	urr the uate	ner rig or (	nts ht M)	E	ind	ing thic	of a kne	atte ess	enu (M)	atio )	or		ref	ere	nce	e m (M	eas ref)	ure	me	nts	(Amore)	
0.667	0.667	0.667	0.667	0.667	0.667	0.647	0.627	0.615	0.604	0.584	0.564	0.514					c	D					Attenuator (mm Cu)
5.235	5.236	5.235	5.236	5.231	5.240	5.307	5.380	5.441	5.491	5.569	5.651	5.840	10.490	10.490	10.490	10.490	10.490	10.490	10.490	10.490	10.480	10.480	IC measure (nC)
21.8	21.8	21.8	21.8	21.8	21.8	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.6	21.6	21.6	21.6	21.6	21.6	21.6	21.6	21.6	21.6	Temperature (°C)
993.3	993.2	993.2	993.1	993.4	993.2	993.2	993.2	993.2	993.2	993.2	993.2	993.2	993.1	993.2	993.2	993.1	993.2	993.2	993.1	993.1	993.1	993.2	Pressure (hPa)

k <sub>t.P</sub>	IC measure corrected by k <sub>T.P</sub> (nC)	Corrected Mean value (nC)	ST deviation
1.026	10.752		
1.026	10.752		
1.026	10.763		
1.026	10.763		
1.026	10.763	10 761	0.005
1.026	10.763	10.761	0.005
1.026	10.763		
1.026	10.763		
1.026	10.763		
1.026	10.763		
1.026	5.992	-	-
1.026	5.798	-	-
1.026	5.714	T.	-
1.026	5.634	-	-
1.026	5.582	-	-
1.026	5.520	-	~
1.026	5.445	1	-
1.026	5.376	-	-
1.026	5.368		
1.026	5.375		
1.026	5.373	5.373	0.003
1.026	5.374		
1.026	5.373		

Attenuation estimation (M / Mref)
-
0.557
0.539
0.531
0.524
0.519
0.513
0.506
0.500
0.499

IC measure (nC)	Temperature (°C)	Pressure (hPa)	k <sub>T.P</sub>
2.495	22.3	1001	1.020
2.496	22.3	1001	1.020
2.497	22.3	1001	1.020
2.498	22.3	1001	1.020
2.496	22.3	1001	1.020
2.495	22.3	1000.9	1.020
2.494	22.3	1000.9	1.020
2.495	22.3	1000.9	1.020
2.496	22.3	1000.9	1.020
2.496	22.3	1000.9	1.020

IC measure corrected by k <sub>T.P</sub> (nC)	Corrected Mean value by k <sub>T.P</sub> (nC)	ST deviation	Corrected mean value by all correction factors	Dose rate in air kerm (Gy/min)	
2.545					
2.546					
2.547					
2.548					
2.546	2546	0.001	2 5 2 6	0.626	
2.545	2.340	0.001	2.330	0.020	
2.544					
2.545					
2.546					
2.546					

Dose rate at cell level in K <sub>water</sub> (Gy/min)	
0.659	

Name of Reagent/ Equipment	Company	Catalog Number
31010 ionization chamber	PTW	ionization Radiation, Detectors including code of practice, catalog 2019/2020, page 14
electrometer UNIDOSEwebline	PTW	online catalog, quote request
temperature and pressure measurements, Lufft OPUS20	lufft	quote request
EBT3 radiochromic films	meditest	quote request
HVL material (filter, diaphragm)	PTW	online catalog, page 70, quote request
scanner for radiochromic films	epson	quote request

Comments/Description		
https://www.ptwdosimetry.com/fileadmin/user_upload/DETECTORS_Cat_en_16522900_1 2/blaetterkatalog/index.html?startpage=1#page_14		
<u>https://www.ptwdosimetry.com/en/products/unidos-</u> webline/?type=3451&downloadfile=1593&cHash=6096ddc2949f8bafe5d556e931e6c865		
https://www.lufft.com/products/in-room-measurements-291/opus-20-thip-1983/		
https://www.meditest.fr/produit/ebt3-8x10/		
thickness foils: 0.02, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, 5 and 10 mm of copper, https://www.ptwdosimetry.com/fileadmin/user_upload/Online_Catalog/Radiation _Medicine_Cat_en_58721100_11/blaetterkatalog/index.html#page_70		

Epson V700, seiko Epson corporation, Suwa, Japan