



HAL
open science

Projections in enlargements of filtrations under Jacod's equivalence hypothesis for marked point processes *

Pavel V Gapeev, Monique Jeanblanc, Dongli Wu

► To cite this version:

Pavel V Gapeev, Monique Jeanblanc, Dongli Wu. Projections in enlargements of filtrations under Jacod's equivalence hypothesis for marked point processes *. 2021. hal-03207058

HAL Id: hal-03207058

<https://hal.science/hal-03207058v1>

Preprint submitted on 23 Apr 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Projections in enlargements of filtrations under Jacod's equivalence hypothesis for marked point processes*

Pavel V. Gapeev[†] Monique Jeanblanc[‡] Dongli Wu[§]

Abstract

We consider the initial and progressive enlargements of a filtration generated by a marked point process (called the reference filtration) with a strictly positive random time. We assume Jacod's equivalence hypothesis, that is, the existence of a strictly positive conditional density for the random time with respect to the reference filtration. Then, starting with the predictable integral representation of a martingale in the initially enlarged reference filtration, we derive explicit expressions for the coefficients which appear in the predictable integral representations for the optional projections of the martingale on the progressively enlarged filtration and on the reference filtration. We also provide similar results for the optional projection of a martingale in the progressively enlarged filtration on the reference filtration.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the initial (resp. progressive) enlargements of a filtration \mathbb{F} (called hereafter the reference filtration) with a strictly positive random variable τ (called hereafter the random time), denoted by $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ (resp. \mathbb{G}). We study the case in which \mathbb{F} is generated by a marked point process (MPP). We assume that the law of τ has no atoms and that Jacod's equivalence hypothesis introduced in [2, 10] holds (see Section 3 for details). We prove that these hypotheses imply that the weak predictable representation property holds in the filtration $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ and (adding a

*This research benefited from the support of ILB, Labex ANR 11-LABX-0019.

[†]London School of Economics, Department of Mathematics, Houghton Street, London WC2A 2AE, United Kingdom; e-mail: p.v.gapeev@lse.ac.uk

[‡]Université Paris Saclay, CNRS, Univ Evry, Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Modélisation d'Évry 91037 Évry France; e-mail: monique.jeanblanc@univ-evry.fr

[§]CCB Fintech; email: wudongli.sh@ccbft.com

⁰*Mathematics Subject Classification 2010*: Primary 60G44, 60J65, 60G40. Secondary 60G35, 60H10, 91G40.

⁰*Key words and phrases*: Marked point process, compensator, conditional probability density, Jacod's equivalence hypothesis, initial and progressive enlargements of filtrations, predictable (martingale) representation property, changes of probability measures.

⁰*Date*: April 23, 2021

pure jump martingale) in the filtration \mathbb{G} . We study the relationship between the representation of martingales in the initially (resp. progressively) enlarged filtration and the various optional projections. The paper is an extension of our previous paper [9] for the case of models driven by marked point processes. We refer the reader to the monograph [1] for results on enlargements of filtrations. Our results can be useful to compare the optimal strategies of investors having different information flows, and to investigate optimal stopping problems in different filtrations.

The reason why we are working with marked point processes is that a marked point process in \mathbb{F} remains a marked point processes, in particular a semi-martingale, in any enlargement of \mathbb{F} (with possibly a different compensator).

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we recall standard definitions of projections as well as other results of stochastic analysis that we use in the paper. In Section 3, we give some basic definitions and results related to the initial and progressive enlargements of a filtration \mathbb{F} generated by a marked point process (MPP) with a random time τ , denoted by $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ and \mathbb{G} , respectively, under Jacod's equivalence hypothesis. In Section 4, we recall that the weak predictable representation property holds in the reference filtration with respect to the compensated random measure and prove that the weak predictable representation property holds with respect to an explicit martingale and a compensated random measure in the enlargements of filtration involved. In Section 5, we consider the optional projections of an $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -martingale on the filtrations \mathbb{G} and \mathbb{F} . We derive explicit expressions for the coefficients in the integral representations of these optional projections in terms of the original $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -martingale and the components in its representation as a stochastic integral and give analogous results in the case of \mathbb{F} -optional projections of a \mathbb{G} -martingale.

2 Preliminary definitions and results

We denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$) the Borel sets of \mathbb{R} (resp. of $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$) and set $\mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

We work on a standard complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$, on which there exists a sequence $(T_n, Z_n)_{n \geq 1}$, where $(T_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a strictly increasing sequence of finite strictly positive random variables with no accumulation point, and $(Z_n)_{n \geq 1}$ a sequence of real-valued random variables. We shall say that the sequence $N = (T_n, Z_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a *marked point process* (MPP) on \mathbb{R} (see Def. 1.1.6 in [24], Section 1.2, pages 3-4 in [21] and Chapter VIII in [5]).

We introduce the associated random measure μ on $\Omega \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+) \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ which is defined, for any set $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ and any $t \geq 0$, by

$$\mu(\omega; (0, t], A) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{1}_{\{T_n(\omega) \leq t\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{Z_n(\omega) \in A\}},$$

which is called the *jump measure* of the marked point process N . Note that the process $N(A) = (N_t(A))_{t \geq 0}$ is a counting process. We denote by $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ the natural filtration of the MPP

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(\mu((a, b], A), 0 \leq a < b \leq t, A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})), \forall t \geq 0,$$

which is a right-continuous filtration (see Proposition 3.39 in [14]). We call \mathbb{F} hereafter the *reference filtration*, and note that T_n , for $n \geq 1$, are \mathbb{F} -stopping times. We define the compensator ν of the jump measure μ with respect to \mathbb{F} as the unique random measure¹ on $\Omega \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+) \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ such that, for any $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, the process

$$\nu(\omega; (0, t], A) = \int_0^t \int_A \nu(\omega; ds, dz), \forall t \geq 0, \quad (2.1)$$

is \mathbb{F} -predictable and the process $\bar{N}(A) = (\bar{N}_t(A))_{t \geq 0}$ given by

$$\bar{N}_t(A) = \mu((0, t], A) - \nu((0, t], A), \forall t \geq 0, \quad (2.2)$$

is an \mathbb{F} -martingale. We shall say that \bar{N} is the \mathbb{F} -compensated martingale of the marked point process N , and, by abuse of language, that ν is the compensator of N . More generally, if \mathbb{K} is a filtration larger than \mathbb{F} , we say that $\nu^{\mathbb{K}}$ is the \mathbb{K} -compensator of N if, for any $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, the process

$$\mu((0, t], A) - \nu^{\mathbb{K}}((0, t], A), \forall t \geq 0, \quad (2.3)$$

is a \mathbb{K} -martingale, and the process $\nu^{\mathbb{K}}((0, \cdot], A)$ is \mathbb{K} -predictable.

We assume, as in Chapter VIII, Definition D5, page 236 of [5] and [26] that the compensator ν admits the representation

$$\nu(\omega; dt, dz) = dt \eta_t(\omega; dz), \forall t \geq 0, \quad (2.4)$$

where $\eta(dz)$ is a transition kernel, so that $\eta(A) = (\eta_t(A) = \int_A \eta_t(dz), t \geq 0)$ is the intensity of the counting process $N(A)$.

As usual, $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F})$) is the predictable (resp. optional) σ -algebra on \mathbb{F} . For a family of processes $\xi(z) = (\xi_t(z))_{t \geq 0}$ parameterized by $z \in \mathbb{R}$, we shall say that ξ is $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable if the map $(t, \omega, z) \rightarrow \xi_t(\omega; z)$ is $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable, and we define $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable processes in a similar way.

Recall that, if ξ is a $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable process such that

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} |\xi_s(z)| \eta_s(dz) ds < \infty, \forall t \geq 0, \quad (2.5)$$

the process $Y = (Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ defined as

$$Y_t = Y_0 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \xi_s(z) (\mu(ds, dz) - \eta_s(dz) ds), \forall t \geq 0, \quad (2.6)$$

is an \mathbb{F} -local martingale. Under the stronger assumption

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} |\xi_s(z)| \eta_s(dz) ds \right] < \infty, \forall t \geq 0, \quad (2.7)$$

¹The compensator ν is given, for any $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, by

$$\nu(\omega; (0, t], A) = \int_0^t \int_A \sum_{k \geq 1} \mathbb{1}_{\{T_{k-1} < s \leq T_k\}} \frac{\mathbb{P}(T_k \in ds, Z_k \in dz | \mathcal{F}_{T_{k-1}})}{\mathbb{P}(T_k > s | \mathcal{F}_{T_{k-1}})}, \forall t \geq 0,$$

(see, e.g., Section 1.10 in [21], [12] or Chapter 11, Section 4 in [11]).

the process Y is an \mathbb{F} -martingale (see Chapter VIII, Corollary C4, page 235 in [5]).

Furthermore, any \mathbb{F} -martingale Y admits a representation as

$$Y_t = Y_0 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \xi_s(z) (\mu(ds, dz) - \eta_s(dz) ds), \forall t \geq 0,$$

with ξ satisfying (2.5) (see Chapter VIII, Theorem T8, page 239 in [5] and Theorem 2.2 in [26]). This property is referred to as the *weak predictable representation property* (WPRP) of the marked point process N on the filtration \mathbb{F} with respect to the *compensated jump measure* $\mu - \nu$ (see also Theorem 13.19 in [11], Th. 1.13.2 in [21] or Theorem 1.1.21 in [24]). Such a representation is essentially unique ($\mathbb{P} \times \eta_t(dz) \times dt$ -a.s.).

Let $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a measurable process and \mathbb{H} be a filtration satisfying the usual hypotheses of completeness and right continuity. We denote by ${}^{p, \mathbb{F}}X = ({}^{p, \mathbb{F}}X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ (resp. ${}^{o, \mathbb{F}}X = ({}^{o, \mathbb{F}}X_t)_{t \geq 0}$) its \mathbb{F} -predictable (resp. optional) projection when they exist (see Chapter V, Th. 5.1 (resp. 5.2) in [11] or Section 1.3.1, page 15 in [1]).

3 Jacod's equivalence hypothesis

In the whole paper, we work on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$ which supports a marked point process with a continuous on right and completed natural filtration $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and a strictly positive random variable τ . Note that the inclusion $\mathcal{F}_\infty \subset \mathcal{G}$ holds and, in general, this inclusion is strict. We recall that any \mathbb{F} -martingale is càdlàg.

Hypothesis 3.1 We assume in the whole paper, as in [2] and [10], that Jacod's equivalence hypothesis holds, that is, the regular conditional distributions of τ given \mathcal{F}_t are equivalent to ρ , the unconditional law of the random variable τ :

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau \in \cdot | \mathcal{F}_t) \sim \mathbb{P}(\tau \in \cdot), \forall t \geq 0 \text{ (}\mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.)}.$$

In our model, this assumption implies (see Lemma 2.3 in [8]) that there exists a family of strictly positive processes $p(u) = (p_t(u))_{t \geq 0}$ such that the function $(\omega, t, u) \mapsto p_t(u; \omega)$ is $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ -measurable, and, for each $u \geq 0$, the process $p(u)$ is a càdlàg \mathbb{F} -martingale. Moreover, for any Borel bounded function f , the following equality holds

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\tau) | \mathcal{F}_t] = \int_0^\infty f(u) p_t(u) \rho(du), \forall t \geq 0 \text{ (}\mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.)}. \quad (3.1)$$

The expression in (3.1) implies that the following equality holds

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau > s | \mathcal{F}_t) = \int_s^\infty p_t(u) \rho(du), \forall t, s \geq 0 \text{ (}\mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.)},$$

so that, from the assumption of strict positivity of τ , the equality

$$\int_0^\infty p_t(u) \rho(du) = 1, \text{ (}\mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.)},$$

is satisfied, and $p_0(u) = 1$, for each $u \geq 0$.

We shall call the family of \mathbb{F} -optional processes $p(u)$, for each $u \geq 0$, the \mathbb{F} -conditional density family with respect to $\rho(du)$ (or the conditional density of τ if there is no ambiguity on the filtration). \square

The following proposition is proved as a consequence of the WPRP in [23, Pro. 2.1].

Proposition 3.2 *There exists a strictly positive and $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable process f such that, for any $u \geq 0$, the strictly positive \mathbb{F} -martingale $p(u)$ admits the representation*

$$p_t(u) = p_0(u) \exp \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \ln(f_s(u, z)) \mu(ds, dz) - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} (f_s(u, z) - 1) \eta_s(dz) ds \right), \forall t \geq 0, \quad (3.2)$$

or, equivalently, $p(u)$ satisfies the stochastic differential equation

$$dp_t(u) = p_{t-}(u) \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} (f_t(u, z) - 1) (\mu(dt, dz) - \eta_t(dz) dt), \quad p_0(u) = 1. \quad (3.3)$$

Let us denote by $H = (H_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with $H_t = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}}$, for all $t \geq 0$, which is called the *indicator default process* in the credit risk theory, where τ denotes the time at which a default occurs. Moreover, since H is a càdlàg process, we can introduce the \mathbb{F} -supermartingale $G = (G_t)_{t \geq 0}$ defined by $G = {}^{\circ, \mathbb{F}}(1 - H)$, that is, the \mathbb{F} -optional projection of $1 - H$ satisfying the property

$$G_t = \mathbb{P}(\tau > t | \mathcal{F}_t), \quad \forall t \geq 0 \text{ (}\mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.)}, \quad (3.4)$$

which, according to the equality (3.1), can be represented in the form

$$G_t = \int_t^\infty p_t(u) \rho(du), \quad \forall t \geq 0 \text{ (}\mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.)}. \quad (3.5)$$

Note that G is strictly positive and that, from the assumption of strict positivity of the random variable τ , one has $G_0 = 1$. The \mathbb{F} -supermartingale G is called the *conditional survival process* or the *Azéma supermartingale* of the random time τ .

Hypothesis 3.3 *We assume that the distribution law ρ of the strictly positive random variable τ is non-atomic.*

Remark 3.4 It is known that, under the assumption that the law ρ of the random variable τ is non-atomic and under Jacod's equivalence hypothesis, the random time τ avoids all \mathbb{F} -stopping times (see Corollary 2.2 in [7]). This will allow us to obtain a simpler formula for the semimartingale decomposition. More precisely, under the avoidance hypothesis, the dual optional projection of H is continuous and equal to the dual predictable projection of H , denoted by H^p (see Proposition 1.48 (a), page 22 in [1]). Therefore the martingale m which appears in the general formulae of the semimartingale decomposition (see Proposition 5.30, page 116 in [1]) is equal to the martingale part of the Doob-Meyer decomposition of G , that is, one has $G = m - H^p$. In particular, the predictable projection of G is ${}^pG = {}^pm - H^p = m_- - H^p = G_-$. The fact that ρ is non-atomic implies that, for a càdlàg process X , one has

$$\int_0^t X_{s-} \rho(ds) = \int_0^t X_s \rho(ds), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

4 Enlargement of filtrations and martingales

We will consider two enlarged filtrations: the initial enlargement of \mathbb{F} obtained by adding the σ -field $\sigma(\tau)$ at time 0 and denoted $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$, and the progressive enlargement of \mathbb{F} obtained by progressively adding information $\sigma(\tau \wedge t)$ at time $t \geq 0$, or, in other terms, the smallest filtration \mathbb{G} containing \mathbb{F} and turning out τ into a stopping time.

The aim of the paper is to explicitly compute the components in the integral representations of the optional projections of the $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -martingales and of the \mathbb{G} -martingales. In this section, we recall some well known results. We give the form of the $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -semimartingale decomposition and \mathbb{G} -semimartingale decomposition of $N(A)$ defined in (2.2) as well as the \mathbb{G} -semimartingale decomposition of H . We underline that the martingale part $\overline{N}^{(\tau)}(A)$ of the $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -semimartingale decomposition of $N(A)$ enjoys the $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -predictable representation property, while the pair $(\overline{N}^{\mathbb{G}}(A), M^{\mathbb{G}})$ of the martingale parts of the \mathbb{G} -semimartingale decompositions of $N(A)$ and H enjoys the \mathbb{G} -predictable representation property, where the integral with respect to the pair is understood componentwise as in (4.15) below.

4.1 The initially enlarged filtration

As in the introduction, let us denote by $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)} = (\mathcal{F}_t^{(\tau)})_{t \geq 0} = (\mathcal{F}_t \vee \sigma(\tau))_{t \geq 0}$ the initial enlargement of the filtration \mathbb{F} with the random time τ . We recall that, under Jacod's equivalence hypothesis, any \mathbb{F} -local martingale is an $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -special semimartingale (see, e.g., Theorem 2.1 in [13] or Proposition 5.30, page 116 in [1]). Note that, according to Proposition 3.3 in [2], the filtration $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ is right-continuous.

We further denote $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -optional processes with the superscript (τ) as in $Y^{(\tau)}$. We denote \mathbb{F} -adapted processes by capital letters as X , or lower case x , or φ , or even x^0 .

We also recall that, for any $t \geq 0$ fixed, any $\mathcal{F}_t^{(\tau)}$ -measurable random variable $Y_t^{(\tau)}$ is of the form $Y_t(\omega, \tau(\omega))$, for some $\mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ -measurable function $(\omega, u) \mapsto Y_t(\omega, u)$ (see, e.g., Proposition 2.7, part (i) in [6]). In particular, any $\mathcal{F}_0^{(\tau)}$ -measurable random variable is a Borel function of τ . Recall that any $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -predictable process can be represented in the form $Y_t(\omega, \tau(\omega))$, for all $t \geq 0$, where the mapping $(\omega, t, u) \mapsto Y_t(\omega, u)$ defined on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$ and valued in \mathbb{R} is $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ -measurable. Moreover, under Jacod's equivalence density hypothesis, any $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -optional process $Y^{(\tau)} = (Y_t^{(\tau)})_{t \geq 0}$ can be written as $Y_t^{(\tau)} = Y_t(\tau)$, for all $t \geq 0$, where the process Y is $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ -measurable (see Theorem 6.9 in [27]).

As an immediate consequence of Jacod's equivalence hypothesis, we observe that, for each $t \geq 0$, if the $\mathcal{F}_t^{(\tau)}$ -measurable random variable $Y_t(\tau)$ is integrable, then the following representation holds

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_t(\tau) | \mathcal{F}_t] = \int_0^\infty Y_t(u) p_t(u) \rho(du), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (4.1)$$

(see, e.g., Proposition 4.18 (b), page 85 in [1]).

In the following proposition, we give the semimartingale decomposition of $\bar{N}(A)$, defined in (2.2), in $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$.

Proposition 4.1 *For any Borel set A , the $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -semimartingale decomposition of the \mathbb{F} -martingale $\bar{N}(A)$ is given by*

$$\bar{N}_t(A) = \bar{N}_t^{(\tau)}(A) + \int_0^t \int_A (f_s(\tau, z) - 1) \eta_s(dz) ds, \forall t \geq 0,$$

where $\bar{N}^{(\tau)}(A)$ is an $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -martingale and f is given in (3.3). In other terms, the process $N = (T_n, Z_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a marked point process with $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -compensator $\nu^{(\tau)}$, where we have

$$\nu^{(\tau)}(dt, dz) = f_t(\tau, z) \eta_t(dz) dt, \forall t \geq 0, \forall z \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.2)$$

PROOF: From the results of initial enlargement², for any $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, the process $\bar{N}^{(\tau)}(A) = (\bar{N}_t^{(\tau)}(A))_{t \geq 0}$ defined by

$$\bar{N}_t^{(\tau)}(A) = \bar{N}_t(A) - \int_0^t \frac{d\langle \bar{N}(A), p(u) \rangle_s^{\mathbb{F}}}{p_{s-}(u)} \Big|_{u=\tau}, \forall t \geq 0,$$

is an $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -martingale. In order to compute the predictable covariation, we start by computing the quadratic covariation of the processes $\bar{N}(A)$ and $p(u)$, for each $u \geq 0$. Obviously, we have

$$[\bar{N}(A), p(u)]_t = \int_0^t \int_A p_{s-}(u) (f_s(u, z) - 1) \mu(ds, dz), \forall t, u \geq 0,$$

and hence

$$\langle \bar{N}(A), p(u) \rangle_t^{\mathbb{F}} = \int_0^t \int_A p_{s-}(u) (f_s(u, z) - 1) \eta_s(dz) ds, \forall t \geq 0, \forall z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It follows that

$$\bar{N}_t(A) - \int_0^t \int_A (f_s(\tau, z) - 1) \eta_s(dz) ds, \forall t \geq 0,$$

is an $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -martingale and the $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -compensator of N is

$$\nu^{(\tau)}(dt, dz) = f_t(\tau, z) \eta_t(dz) dt, \forall t \geq 0, \forall z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This completes the proof. □

Note that Jacod's equivalence hypothesis allows us to prove the stability of weak predictable representation property in the enlargement of filtration by means of the following lemma (see [10] or Theorem 4.37, page 94 in [1]).

²One applies Theorem 2.1 in [13] which states that, under Jacod's hypothesis, for any \mathbb{F} -martingale $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$, the process $X(\tau) = (X_t(\tau))_{t \geq 0}$ defined by

$$X_t(\tau) = X_t - \int_0^t \frac{d\langle X, p(u) \rangle_s^{\mathbb{F}}}{p_{s-}(u)} \Big|_{u=\tau}, \forall t \geq 0, \quad (4.3)$$

is an $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -martingale.

Lemma 4.2 *Let \mathbb{P}^* be the probability on $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ defined by means of*

$$\frac{d\mathbb{P}^*}{d\mathbb{P}} \Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t^{(\tau)}} = \frac{1}{p_t(\tau)}, \forall t \geq 0.$$

Then, \mathbb{F} and τ are independent under \mathbb{P}^ , as well as $\mathbb{P}^*|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \mathbb{P}^*|_{\mathcal{F}_t}$, for all $t \geq 0$, and $\mathbb{P}^*|_{\sigma(\tau)} = \mathbb{P}|_{\sigma(\tau)}$. In particular, immersion holds under \mathbb{P}^* , i.e., any $(\mathbb{P}^*, \mathbb{F})$ -martingale is a $(\mathbb{P}^*, \mathbb{G})$ -martingale.*

Proposition 4.3 *Each $(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{F}^{(\tau)})$ -martingale $Y(\tau) = (Y_t(\tau))_{t \geq 0}$ admits a representation of the form*

$$Y_t(\tau) = Y_0(\tau) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \psi_s(\tau, z) (\mu(ds, dz) - \nu^{(\tau)}(ds, dz)), \forall t \geq 0, \quad (4.4)$$

for some $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable process ψ satisfying

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} |\psi_s(\tau, z)| \nu^{(\tau)}(ds, dz) < \infty, \forall t \geq 0, \quad (4.5)$$

where $\nu^{(\tau)}$ is defined in (4.2).

PROOF: Note that, under the probability measure \mathbb{P}^* , the conditional density of the random variable τ is equal to ρ . Hence, we conclude, applying Proposition 2.1 in [6] to the probability \mathbb{P}^* that any $(\mathbb{P}^*, \mathbb{F}^{(\tau)})$ -martingale $Y^{*,(\tau)}$ is of the form $Y_t^{*,(\tau)} = Y_t^*(\tau)$, where $Y^*(u)$, for each $u \geq 0$, is a $(\mathbb{P}^*, \mathbb{F})$ -martingale, hence a (\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{F}) -martingale, which is a stochastic integral with respect to the (\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{F}) (or equivalently $(\mathbb{P}^*, \mathbb{F}^{(\tau)})$)-compensated jump measure $\mu - \nu$. Observe that, for each $u \geq 0$, we have

$$Y_t^*(u) = Y_0^*(u) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \psi_s^*(u, z) (\mu(ds, dz) - \eta_s(dz) ds), \forall t \geq 0,$$

with ψ^* being $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable and satisfying (4.5), and thus

$$Y_t^*(\tau) = Y_0^*(\tau) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \psi_s^*(\tau, z) (\mu(ds, dz) - \eta_s(dz) ds), \forall t \geq 0,$$

and WPRP holds for $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ under \mathbb{P}^* . Since WPRP is stable by equivalent change of probability measures (see, e.g., Chapter 13, Th. 13.22 in [11]), it follows that the weak predictable representation property holds for $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ under \mathbb{P} with respect to $\mu - \nu^{(\tau)}$. \square

As a particular case, we can represent all strictly positive $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -local martingales:

Proposition 4.4 *Every strictly positive $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -local martingale $L(\tau) = (L_t(\tau))_{t \geq 0}$ can be represented as*

$$L_t(\tau) = L_0(\tau) + \int_0^t L_{s-}(\tau) \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} (\Theta_s(\tau, z) - 1) (\mu(ds, dz) - \nu^{(\tau)}(ds, dz)), \forall t \geq 0, \quad (4.6)$$

where Θ is strictly positive and $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable and $\nu^{(\tau)}$ is defined in (4.2).

4.2 The progressively enlarged filtration

We denote by $\mathbb{G} = (\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ the progressive enlargement of \mathbb{F} with τ , that is,

$$\mathcal{G}_t = \bigcap_{s > t} (\mathcal{F}_s \vee \sigma(\tau \wedge s)), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (4.7)$$

Note that τ is a \mathbb{G} -stopping time and that, according to the hypothesis that the random variable τ is strictly positive, the σ -algebra \mathcal{G}_0 is trivial, so that the initial value of a \mathbb{G} -adapted process is a deterministic one. Observe that, under Jacod's equivalence hypothesis, any \mathbb{F} -martingale is a \mathbb{G} -semimartingale (see, e.g., Proposition 5.30, page 116 in [1] or Theorem 3.1 in [16]), and thus, a special semimartingale according to Chapter VI, Theorem 4, page 367 in [25]).

We observe that the completion of the two enlargements \mathbb{G} and $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ follows from $\mathcal{F}_\infty \subset \mathcal{G}_\infty \subset \mathcal{F}_\infty^{(\tau)} \subset \mathcal{A}$, and we note that $\mathcal{F}_0^{(\tau)} = \sigma(\tau)$.

We further indicate with the superscript \mathbb{G} the processes which are \mathbb{G} -adapted, as $Y^{\mathbb{G}}$, except for the \mathbb{G} -adapted process H .

We recall that any \mathbb{G} -predictable process $K^{\mathbb{G}} = (K_t^{\mathbb{G}})_{t \geq 0}$ can be written as

$$K_t^{\mathbb{G}} = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \geq t\}} K_t^0 + \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau < t\}} K_t^1(\tau), \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

where the process K^0 is \mathbb{F} -predictable and K^1 is $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ -measurable (see, e.g., Proposition 2.11, page 36 in [1]). Under Jacod's equivalence density hypothesis, any \mathbb{G} -optional process $Y^{\mathbb{G}}$ can be written as

$$Y_t^{\mathbb{G}} = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} Y_t^0 + \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} Y_t^1(\tau), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (4.8)$$

where Y^0 is \mathbb{F} -optional and Y^1 is $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ -measurable (see Theorem 6.9 in [27]).

As it follows from the Doob-Meyer decomposition of the supermartingale H and the fact that any \mathbb{G} -predictable process is equal, on the set $\{\tau \geq t\}$ to an \mathbb{F} -predictable process, there exists an \mathbb{F} -predictable increasing process $\Lambda = (\Lambda_t)_{t \geq 0}$ such that the process $M^{\mathbb{G}} = (M_t^{\mathbb{G}})_{t \geq 0}$ defined by

$$M_t^{\mathbb{G}} = H_t - \Lambda_{t \wedge \tau}, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (4.9)$$

is a \mathbb{G} -martingale. It is known that, under Jacod's equivalence hypothesis, the process Λ admits the representation (we use also the fact that ρ has no atoms)

$$\Lambda_t = \int_0^t \frac{p_s(s)}{G_s} \rho(ds) = \int_0^t \frac{p_{s-}(s)}{G_{s-}} \rho(ds), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (4.10)$$

(see Proposition 4.4 in [7] or Corollary 5.27 (b), page 114 in [1]). In this respect, the process $\lambda = (\lambda_t)_{t \geq 0}$ defined by $\lambda_t = p_{t-}(t)/G_{t-}$, for $t \geq 0$, is the intensity rate of τ with respect to the measure ρ (see Proposition 2.15, page 37 in [1]).

The Doob-Meyer decomposition of the Azéma supermartingale can be given explicitly and its multiplicative decomposition is as follows.

Proposition 4.5 *Suppose that Jacod's equivalence hypothesis holds. The Doob-Meyer decomposition of the Azéma supermartingale G is*

$$G_t = 1 - \int_0^t G_s \lambda_s \rho(ds) + \int_0^t G_{s-} \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} (\varphi_s(z) - 1) (\mu(ds, dz) - \eta_s(dz) ds), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (4.11)$$

where the function φ defined by

$$\varphi_t(z) = \frac{1}{G_{t-}} \int_t^\infty p_{t-}(u) f_t(u, z) \rho(du), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (4.12)$$

is strictly positive and $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable.

The multiplicative decomposition of the Azéma supermartingale G has the form

$$G_t = e^{-\Lambda_t} \exp \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \ln(\varphi_s(z)) \mu(ds, dz) - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} (\varphi_s(z) - 1) \eta_s(dz) ds \right), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (4.13)$$

where Λ is given by (4.10).

PROOF: The Doob-Meyer decomposition of G is obtained using Itô-Ventzell formula as developed in Theorem 3.1 in [22] to the process

$$G_t(s) = \mathbb{P}(\tau > s | \mathcal{F}_t) = \int_s^\infty p_t(u) \rho(du), \quad \forall t, s \geq 0,$$

with parameter s , where the forward integral (with respect to the compensated measure) in [22] is the usual stochastic integral in our setting since we integrate predictable processes. \square

In the following proposition, we give the semimartingale decomposition of the process $\bar{N}(A)$ defined in (2.2) in the filtration \mathbb{G} .

Proposition 4.6 *For any $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, the \mathbb{G} -semimartingale decomposition of the \mathbb{F} -martingale $\bar{N}(A)$ is given by*

$$\bar{N}_t(A) = \bar{N}_t^{\mathbb{G}}(A) + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \int_A (\varphi_s(z) - 1) \eta_s(dz) ds + \int_{t \wedge \tau}^t \int_A (f_s(\tau, z) - 1) \eta_s(dz) ds, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

where $\bar{N}^{\mathbb{G}}(A)$ is a \mathbb{G} -martingale, φ is defined in (4.12), and f is defined in (3.3). The predictable random measure

$$\nu^{\mathbb{G}}(dt, dz) = (\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \geq t\}} \varphi_t(z) + \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau < t\}} f_t(\tau, z)) \eta_t(dz) dt, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (4.14)$$

is the \mathbb{G} -compensator of the random jump measure μ of the marked point process N .

PROOF: Recall that G admits a Doob-Meyer decomposition as $G = m - H^P$ (see Remark 3.4.

The \mathbb{G} -semimartingale decomposition³ of the \mathbb{F} -martingale $\overline{N}(A)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{N}_t(A) &= \overline{N}_t^{\mathbb{G}}(A) + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \frac{d\langle \overline{N}(A), m \rangle_s^{\mathbb{F}}}{G_{s-}} + \int_{t \wedge \tau}^t \frac{d\langle \overline{N}(A), p(u) \rangle_s^{\mathbb{F}}}{p_{s-}(u)} \Big|_{u=\tau} \\ &= \overline{N}_t^{\mathbb{G}}(A) + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \int_A \frac{(\varphi_s(z) - 1)G_{s-}}{G_{s-}} \eta_s(dz) ds + \int_{t \wedge \tau}^t \int_A (f_s(\tau, z) - 1) \eta_s(dz) ds \\ &= \overline{N}_t^{\mathbb{G}}(A) + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \int_A (\varphi_s(z) - 1) \eta_s(dz) ds + \int_{t \wedge \tau}^t \int_A (f_s(\tau, z) - 1) \eta_s(dz) ds, \forall t \geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{N}^{\mathbb{G}}(A) = (\overline{N}_t^{\mathbb{G}}(A))_{t \geq 0}$ is a \mathbb{G} -martingale. It thus follows that the \mathbb{G} -compensator of μ is given by (4.14). \square

Proposition 4.7 *Every (\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{G}) -martingale $Y^{\mathbb{G}} = (Y_t^{\mathbb{G}})_{t \geq 0}$ can be represented as*

$$Y_t^{\mathbb{G}} = Y_0^{\mathbb{G}} + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \alpha_s^{\mathbb{G}}(z) (\mu(ds, dz) - \nu^{\mathbb{G}}(ds, dz)) + \int_0^t \beta_s^0 dM_s^{\mathbb{G}}, \forall t \geq 0, \quad (4.15)$$

for some $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable process $\alpha^{\mathbb{G}}$ satisfying

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} |\alpha_s^{\mathbb{G}}(z)| \nu^{\mathbb{G}}(ds, dz) < \infty, \forall t \geq 0, \quad (4.16)$$

where $\nu^{\mathbb{G}}$ is defined in (4.14). Here, the process $\alpha^{\mathbb{G}}$ is of the form

$$\alpha_t^{\mathbb{G}}(z) = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \geq t\}} \alpha_t^0(z) + \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau < t\}} \alpha_t(\tau, z), \forall t \geq 0, \forall z \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (4.17)$$

where α^0 is $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable process, α is a $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable process, while β^0 is an \mathbb{F} -predictable process.

PROOF: The weak predictable representation property holds for the filtration \mathbb{G} under the probability measure \mathbb{P}^* , due to the independence between \mathbb{F} and $\sigma(\tau)$ under \mathbb{P}^* . This property means that any $(\mathbb{P}^*, \mathbb{G})$ -martingale $Y^{*,\mathbb{G}} = (Y_t^{*,\mathbb{G}})_{t \geq 0}$ admits the representation

$$Y_t^{*,\mathbb{G}} = Y_0^{*,\mathbb{G}} + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \alpha_s^{\mathbb{G}}(z) (\mu(ds, dz) - \eta_s(dz) ds) + \int_0^t \beta_s^0 dM_s^{*,\mathbb{G}}, \forall t \geq 0, \quad (4.18)$$

with some $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable process $\alpha^{\mathbb{G}}$ satisfying (4.16) and being of the form (4.17), for some $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable process α^0 , some $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable process α , and some \mathbb{F} -predictable process β^0 . Note that, due to immersion property the $(\mathbb{P}^*, \mathbb{G})$ compensator of μ is the measure $\eta_s(dz)ds$. Here the process $M^{*,\mathbb{G}} = (M_t^{*,\mathbb{G}})_{t \geq 0}$ is the $(\mathbb{P}^*, \mathbb{G})$ -compensated martingale

³One can use Remark 3.4 and Theorem 5.30, page 116 in [1] to deduce that, for any \mathbb{F} -martingale X , the process $X^{\mathbb{G}} = (X_t^{\mathbb{G}})_{t \geq 0}$ defined by

$$X_t^{\mathbb{G}} = X_t - \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \frac{d\langle X, m \rangle_s^{\mathbb{F}}}{G_{s-}} - \int_{t \wedge \tau}^t \frac{d\langle X, p(u) \rangle_s^{\mathbb{F}}}{p_{s-}(u)} \Big|_{u=\tau}, \forall t \geq 0,$$

is a \mathbb{G} -martingale.

associated with H defined similar to $M^{\mathbb{G}}$ in (4.9), but under the probability measure \mathbb{P}^* . Since the weak predictable representation property (WPRP) is stable under an equivalent change of probability measure (see Th. 13.22 in [11]), the result follows. \square

Remark 4.8 Note that, if the process $\beta^{\mathbb{G}}$ admits the representation

$$\beta_t^{\mathbb{G}} = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \geq t\}} \beta_t^0 + \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau < t\}} \beta_t^1(\tau), \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

then the equality

$$\int_0^t \beta_s^{\mathbb{G}} dM_s^{\mathbb{G}} = \int_0^t \beta_s^0 dM_s^{\mathbb{G}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (4.19)$$

holds, for any choice of the $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable process β^1 , since $M^{\mathbb{G}}$ is flat after τ (i.e., $M_t^{\mathbb{G}} = M_{t \wedge \tau}^{\mathbb{G}}$, for all $t \geq 0$).

As a particular case of Proposition 4.7, we obtain:

Proposition 4.9 *Every strictly positive \mathbb{G} -local martingale $L^{\mathbb{G}} = (L_t^{\mathbb{G}})_{t \geq 0}$ can be represented as*

$$L_t^{\mathbb{G}} = L_0^{\mathbb{G}} + \int_0^t L_{s-}^{\mathbb{G}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} (\kappa_s^{\mathbb{G}}(z) - 1) (\mu(ds, dz) - \nu^{\mathbb{G}}(ds, dz)) + \int_0^t L_{s-}^{\mathbb{G}} (\xi_s^{\mathbb{G}} - 1) dM_s^{\mathbb{G}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

for a strictly positive and $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable process $\kappa^{\mathbb{G}}$ of the form

$$\kappa_t^{\mathbb{G}}(z) = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} \kappa_t^0(z) + \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} \kappa_t(\tau, z), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R},$$

and a strictly positive \mathbb{F} -predictable process $\xi^{\mathbb{G}}$, where $\nu^{\mathbb{G}}$ is defined in (4.14).

5 Optional projections of martingales

Let $Y(\tau)$ be an $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -martingale. Then, $Y(\tau)$ admits the integral representation given by (4.4). We study the \mathbb{G} -optional projection $Y^{\mathbb{G}}$ of the process $Y(\tau)$ and the \mathbb{F} -optional projection Y of $Y(\tau)$. Note that $Y^{\mathbb{G}}$ is a \mathbb{G} -martingale and Y is an \mathbb{F} -martingale. The \mathbb{G} -martingale $Y^{\mathbb{G}}$ admits the integral representation given by (4.15), with some processes $\alpha^{\mathbb{G}}$ and β^0 that can be represented as

$$\alpha_t^{\mathbb{G}}(z) = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \geq t\}} \alpha_t^0(z) + \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau < t\}} \alpha_t(\tau, z), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (5.1)$$

where, as in (4.17), α^0 is $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable, α is $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable, and β^0 is an \mathbb{F} -predictable process.

Observe that any square integrable \mathbb{F} -martingale Y admits the representation (2.6) with some $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable process ξ satisfying

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \xi_s^2(z) \eta_s(dz) ds \right] < \infty, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (5.2)$$

(see Chapter VIII, Theorem T8, page 239 in [5]).

Similarly, we observe that any *square integrable* $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -martingale $Y(\tau)$ admits the representation (4.4) with some $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable process ψ satisfying

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \psi_s^2(\tau, z) \nu^{(\tau)}(ds, dz) \right] < \infty, \forall t \geq 0, \quad (5.3)$$

where $\nu^{(\tau)}$ is defined in (4.2) (see Chapter VIII, Theorem T8, page 239 in [5]).

Finally, we observe that any *square integrable* \mathbb{G} -martingale $Y^{\mathbb{G}}$ admits the representation (4.15) with some $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable process $\alpha^{\mathbb{G}}$ satisfying

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} (\alpha_s^{\mathbb{G}}(z))^2 \nu^{\mathbb{G}}(ds, dz) \right] < \infty, \forall t \geq 0, \quad (5.4)$$

and \mathbb{F} -predictable process β^0 , where $\nu^{\mathbb{G}}$ is defined in (4.14) (see Chapter VIII, Theorem T8, page 239 in [5]).

5.1 The projections of $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -martingales on \mathbb{G}

Proposition 5.1 *Let $Y^{(\tau)} = Y(\tau)$ (with Y being $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ -measurable) be an $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -martingale with the representation (4.4), where ψ is $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable. Then, its \mathbb{G} -optional projection $Y^{\mathbb{G}} = (Y_t^{\mathbb{G}})_{t \geq 0}$ is the \mathbb{G} -martingale with representation given by the equation (4.15) with $Y_0 = \mathbb{E}[Y_t(\tau)]$, where $\alpha^{\mathbb{G}}$ admits the decomposition (5.1). The $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable process α^0 , the $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable process α and the \mathbb{F} -predictable process β^0 are of the form*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_t^0(z) &= \frac{1}{\varphi_t(z)G_{t-}} \int_t^\infty \left((\psi_t(u, z) + Y_{t-}(u)) f_t(u, z) - Y_{t-}(u) \varphi_t(z) \right) p_{t-}(u) \rho(du), \forall t \geq 0, \forall z \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \alpha_t(u, z) &= \psi_t(u, z), \forall u \geq t \geq 0, \\ \beta_t^0 &= {}^{p, \mathbb{R}}\Sigma_t - \frac{1}{G_{t-}} \int_t^\infty Y_{t-}(u) p_{t-}(u) \rho(du), \forall t \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

with $\Sigma_t = Y_{t-}(t)$, for all $t \geq 0$.

PROOF: In the first part of the proof (the first and the second step), we assume that the $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -martingale $Y(\tau)$ is square integrable, so that the \mathbb{G} -martingale $Y^{\mathbb{G}}$ is square integrable too. In the first step, we determine $\alpha^{\mathbb{G}}(z)$, for each $z \in \mathbb{R}$, and, in the second step, we determine β^0 . We generalize the result to any $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -martingale by localisation in the second part of the proof (third step).

We introduce the sign $\stackrel{\text{TP}}{=}$ to indicate that the tower property for conditional expectations is applied.

First step: We assume that the $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -martingale $Y(\tau)$ is square integrable, so that the \mathbb{G} -martingale $Y^{\mathbb{G}}$ is square integrable too. In particular, $Y_0(\tau)$ is square integrable and the $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable process ψ satisfies (5.3) as well as the $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable process

$\alpha^{\mathbb{G}}$ satisfies (5.4). Then, consider a bounded $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable process $\gamma^{\mathbb{G}}$ such that $\gamma^{\mathbb{G}}(z) = (\gamma_t^{\mathbb{G}}(z))_{t \geq 0}$, for each $z \in \mathbb{R}^*$, as well as a bounded \mathbb{F} -predictable process $\theta^0 = (\theta_t^0)_{t \geq 0}$, and define the process $K^{\mathbb{G}} = (K_t^{\mathbb{G}})_{t \geq 0}$ by

$$K_t^{\mathbb{G}} = K_0^{\mathbb{G}} + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \gamma_s^{\mathbb{G}}(z) (\mu(ds, dz) - \nu^{\mathbb{G}}(ds, dz)) + \int_0^t \theta_s^0 dM_s^{\mathbb{G}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (5.5)$$

where $\nu^{\mathbb{G}}$ is defined in (4.14). It is seen that the process $K^{\mathbb{G}}$ is a square integrable \mathbb{G} -martingale, since $\gamma^{\mathbb{G}}$ satisfies the condition

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} (\gamma_s^{\mathbb{G}}(z))^2 \nu^{\mathbb{G}}(ds, dz) \right] < \infty, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (5.6)$$

and the process θ^0 is \mathbb{F} -predictable and bounded. In this case, the square integrable random variable $Y_t^{\mathbb{G}} = \mathbb{E}[Y_t(\tau) | \mathcal{G}_t]$ is the only \mathcal{G}_t -measurable random variable such that

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_t(\tau) K_t^{\mathbb{G}}] = \mathbb{E}[Y_t^{\mathbb{G}} K_t^{\mathbb{G}}], \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (5.7)$$

holds. Thus, since one has

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_t(\tau) K_0^{\mathbb{G}}] = \mathbb{E}[Y_t^{\mathbb{G}} K_0^{\mathbb{G}}], \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

the equality (5.7) is equivalent to the system of two following equalities

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[Y_t(\tau) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \gamma_s^{\mathbb{G}}(z) (\mu(ds, dz) - \nu^{\mathbb{G}}(ds, dz)) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[Y_t^{\mathbb{G}} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \gamma_s^{\mathbb{G}}(z) (\mu(ds, dz) - \nu^{\mathbb{G}}(ds, dz)) \right], \quad \forall t \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[Y_t(\tau) \int_0^t \theta_s^0 dM_s^{\mathbb{G}} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[Y_t^{\mathbb{G}} \int_0^t \theta_s^0 dM_s^{\mathbb{G}} \right], \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (5.9)$$

We now determine the processes α^0 and α from the equality (5.8). On the one hand, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[Y_t(\tau) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \gamma_s^{\mathbb{G}}(z) (\mu(ds, dz) - \nu^{\mathbb{G}}(ds, dz)) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[Y_t(\tau) \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \gamma_s^{\mathbb{G}}(z) (\mu(ds, dz) - \nu^{(\tau)}(ds, dz)) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \gamma_s^{\mathbb{G}}(z) (\nu^{(\tau)}(ds, dz) - \nu^{\mathbb{G}}(ds, dz)) \right) \right], \quad \forall t \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu^{(\tau)}$ is defined in (4.2). Integrating by parts on the time interval $[0, t]$ the product the two $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -martingales $Y(\tau)$ and $\Upsilon = (\Upsilon_t)_{t \geq 0}$ defined by

$$\Upsilon_t = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \gamma_s^{\mathbb{G}}(z) (\mu(ds, dz) - \nu^{(\tau)}(ds, dz)), \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

and taking into account the fact that $\Upsilon_{t-}dY_t(\tau)$ and $Y_{t-}(\tau)d\Upsilon_t$ correspond to true martingales, as we shall prove in Appendix below, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\left[Y_t(\tau) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \gamma_s^{\mathbb{G}}(z) (\mu(ds, dz) - \nu^{(\tau)}(ds, dz))\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \gamma_s^{\mathbb{G}}(z) \psi_s(\tau, z) \nu^{(\tau)}(ds, dz)\right], \forall t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, integrating by parts on the time interval $[0, t]$ the product of the martingale $Y(\tau)$ and the bounded variation process $\Gamma(\tau) = (\Gamma_t(\tau))_{t \geq 0}$ defined by

$$\Gamma_t(\tau) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \gamma_s^{\mathbb{G}}(z) (\nu^{(\tau)}(ds, dz) - \nu^{\mathbb{G}}(ds, dz)), \forall t \geq 0,$$

one obtains

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_t(\tau) \Gamma_t(\tau)] = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} Y_{s-}(\tau) \gamma_s^{\mathbb{G}}(z) (\nu^{(\tau)}(ds, dz) - \nu^{\mathbb{G}}(ds, dz))\right], \forall t \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, one has by integration by parts

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Y_t^{\mathbb{G}} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \gamma_s^{\mathbb{G}}(z) (\mu(ds, dz) - \nu^{\mathbb{G}}(ds, dz))\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \gamma_s^{\mathbb{G}}(z) \alpha_s^{\mathbb{G}}(z) \nu^{\mathbb{G}}(ds, dz)\right], \forall t \geq 0.$$

Finally, (5.8) is equivalent to, for any $\gamma^{\mathbb{G}}$ satisfying (5.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \gamma_s^{\mathbb{G}}(z) (\psi_s(\tau, z) \nu^{(\tau)}(ds, dz) + Y_{s-}(\tau) (\nu^{(\tau)}(ds, dz) - \nu^{\mathbb{G}}(ds, dz)))\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \gamma_s^{\mathbb{G}}(z) \alpha_s^{\mathbb{G}}(z) \nu^{\mathbb{G}}(ds, dz)\right], \forall t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

For $\gamma^{\mathbb{G}}(z)$ such that $\gamma_s^{\mathbb{G}}(z) = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \geq s\}} \gamma_s^0(z)$, for all $s > 0$, where γ^0 is $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable, using the identities (4.2) and (4.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \gamma_s^0(z) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \geq s\}} \left((\psi_s(\tau, z) + Y_{s-}(\tau)) f_s(\tau, z) - Y_{s-}(\tau) \varphi_s(z)\right) \eta_s(dz) ds\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \gamma_s^0(z) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \geq s\}} \alpha_s^0(z) \varphi_s(z) \eta_s(dz) ds\right], \forall t \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

and, introducing by tower property a conditioning with respect to \mathcal{F}_s and using the existence of the conditional density, the left hand side of (5.11) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \gamma_s^0(z) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \geq s\}} \left((\psi_s(\tau, z) + Y_{s-}(\tau)) f_s(\tau, z) - Y_{s-}(\tau) \varphi_s(z)\right) \eta_s(dz) ds\right] \\ &\stackrel{\text{TP}}{=} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \gamma_s^0(z) \left(\int_s^\infty \left((\psi_s(u, z) + Y_{s-}(u)) f_s(u, z) - Y_{s-}(u) \varphi_s(z)\right) p_s(u) \rho(du)\right) \eta_s(dz) ds\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \gamma_s^0(z) \left(\int_s^\infty \left((\psi_s(u, z) + Y_{s-}(u)) f_s(u, y) - Y_{s-}(u) \varphi_s(z)\right) p_{s-}(u) \rho(du)\right) \eta_s(dz) ds\right], \forall t \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

where, in the last equality, we have used the fact that the \mathbb{F} -predictable projection of $p(u)$ is $p_-(u)$, the process $p(u)$ being a martingale, for each $u \geq 0$.

We note also that, using the fact that G_- is the \mathbb{F} -predictable projection of G (see Remark 3.4), the right-hand side of (5.11) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \gamma_s^0(z) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \geq s\}} \alpha_s^0(z) \varphi_s(z) \eta_s(dz) ds \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \gamma_s^0(z) G_s \alpha_s^0(z) \varphi_s(z) \eta_s(dz) ds \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \gamma_s^0(z) G_{s-} \alpha_s^0(z) \varphi_s(z) \eta_s(dz) ds \right], \forall t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

It follows from (5.11) that the right-hand sides of (5.12) and (5.13) are equal for any γ^0 , hence

$$\alpha_s^0(z) = \frac{1}{\varphi_s(z) G_{s-}} \int_s^\infty \left((\psi_s(u, z) + Y_{s-}(u)) f_s(u, z) - Y_{s-}(u) \varphi_s(z) \right) p_{s-}(u) \rho(du), \forall s \geq 0.$$

Using the identities (4.2) and (4.14), for γ^G of the form $\gamma_s^G = \gamma_s(\tau, z) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau < s\}}$, for all $s > 0$, for $\gamma \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, equality (5.10) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \gamma_s(\tau, z) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau < s\}} \psi_s(\tau, z) f_s(\tau, z) \eta_s(dz) ds \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \gamma_s(\tau, z) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau < s\}} \alpha_s(\tau, z) f_s(\tau, z) \eta_s(dz) ds \right], \forall t \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and we can choose $\alpha = \psi$.

Second step: In the second step, we compute the value of β^0 , from (5.9). It is straightforward to see that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[Y_t^G \int_0^t \theta_s^0 dM_s^G \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \beta_s^0 \theta_s^0 \lambda_s \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > s\}} \rho(ds) \right] \stackrel{\text{TP}}{=} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \beta_s^0 \theta_s^0 \lambda_s G_s \rho(ds) \right], \forall t \geq 0.$$

From the definition of M^G , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[Y_t(\tau) \int_0^t \theta_s^0 dM_s^G \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[Y_t(\tau) \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} \theta_\tau^0 - \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > s\}} \theta_s^0 \lambda_s \rho(ds) \right) \right] \\ & \stackrel{\text{TP}}{=} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t Y_t(s) \theta_s^0 p_t(s) \rho(ds) - \int_0^t \theta_s^0 \lambda_s \mathbb{E}[Y_s^{(\tau)} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > s\}} | \mathcal{F}_s] \rho(ds) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t Y_{s-}(s) p_{s-}(s) \theta_s^0 \rho(ds) - \int_0^t \theta_s^0 \lambda_s \left(\int_s^\infty Y_{s-}(u) p_{s-}(u) \rho(du) \right) \rho(ds) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t p_{\mathbb{E}\Sigma_s} p_{s-}(s) \theta_s^0 \rho(ds) - \int_0^t \theta_s^0 \lambda_s \left(\int_s^\infty Y_{s-}(u) p_{s-}(u) \rho(du) \right) \rho(ds) \right], \forall t \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used in the third equality that $Y(u)p(u)$ is an \mathbb{F} -martingale with predictable projection $Y_-(u)p_-(u)$, for each $u \geq 0$, and defined $\Sigma = (\Sigma_t)_{t \geq 0}$ by $\Sigma_t = Y_{t-}(t)$, for all $t \geq 0$. We are not able to give conditions so that Σ is predictable, since we do not have regularity of the process $Y_{t-}(u)$ with respect to u , for each $u \geq 0$, this is why we have to take its predictable projection.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_s^0 &= \frac{1}{\lambda_s G_{s-}} \left(p_{\cdot, \mathbb{F}} \Sigma_s p_{s-}(s) - \lambda_s \int_s^\infty Y_{s-}(u) p_{s-}(u) \rho(du) \right) \\ &= p_{\cdot, \mathbb{F}} \Sigma_s - \frac{1}{G_{s-}} \int_s^\infty Y_{s-}(u) p_{s-}(u) \rho(du), \forall s \geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $\lambda_s = p_{s-}(s)/G_{s-}$, for $s \geq 0$.

Third step: The extension to $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -martingales is done using usual localisation procedure (see Third step of Proof of Proposition 5.1 in [9]). \square

5.2 The projections of $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -martingales on \mathbb{F}

Proposition 5.2 *Let $Y(\tau)$ be an $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -martingale with the representation given by equality (4.4). Then, its \mathbb{F} -optional projection $Y = (Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ admits the representation (2.6), with $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable process ξ , given by*

$$\xi_t(z) = \int_0^\infty \left((\psi_t(u, z) + Y_{t-}(u)) f_t(u, z) - Y_{t-}(u) \right) p_{t-}(u) \rho(du), \forall t \geq 0. \quad (5.14)$$

PROOF: As before, we assume that $Y(\tau)$ is square integrable. Then, consider a bounded $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable process ϕ such that $\phi(z) = (\phi_t(z))_{t \geq 0}$, for each $z \in \mathbb{R}^*$, and define the process $K = (K_t)_{t \geq 0}$ by

$$K_t = K_0 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \phi_s(z) (\mu(ds, dz) - \eta_s(dz) ds), \forall t \geq 0.$$

It is seen that the process K is a square integrable \mathbb{G} -martingale, since the process ϕ satisfies the condition

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \phi_s^2(z) \eta_s(dz) ds \right] < \infty, \forall t \geq 0.$$

In this case, the square integrable random variable $Y_t = \mathbb{E}[Y_t(\tau) | \mathcal{F}_t]$ is the only \mathcal{F}_t -measurable random variable such that

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_t(\tau) K_t] = \mathbb{E}[Y_t K_t], \forall t \geq 0, \quad (5.15)$$

holds. Thus, the equality (5.15) is equivalent to the following equality

$$\begin{aligned}& \mathbb{E} \left[Y_t(\tau) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \phi_s(z) (\mu(ds, dz) - \eta_s(dz) ds) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[Y_t \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \phi_s(z) (\mu(ds, dz) - \eta_s(dz) ds) \right], \forall t \geq 0.\end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

On the one hand, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[Y_t(\tau) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \phi_s(z) (\mu(ds, dz) - \eta_s(dz) ds) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[Y_t(\tau) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \phi_s(z) (\mu(ds, dz) - \nu^{(\tau)}(ds, dz)) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + Y_t(\tau) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \phi_s(z) (\nu^{(\tau)}(ds, dz) - \eta_s(dz) ds) \right], \forall t \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu^{(\tau)}$ is defined in (4.2). Integrating by parts on the time interval $[0, t]$ the product of the two $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -martingales $Y(\tau)$ and $\Phi(\tau) = (\Phi_t(\tau))_{t \geq 0}$ defined by

$$\Phi_t(\tau) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \phi_s(z) (\mu(ds, dz) - \nu^{(\tau)}(ds, dz)), \forall t \geq 0,$$

one has, using the square integrability assumption, that

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_t(\tau) \Phi_t(\tau)] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \phi_s(z) \psi_s(\tau, z) \nu^{(\tau)}(ds, dz) \right], \forall t \geq 0.$$

By integrating by parts the product of $Y(\tau)$ and the process $\Delta(\tau) = (\Delta_t(\tau))_{t \geq 0}$ of bounded variation defined by

$$\Delta_t(\tau) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \phi_s(z) (\nu^{(\tau)}(ds, dz) - \eta_s(dz) ds), \forall t \geq 0,$$

one obtains, using the equality (4.2), that

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_t(\tau) \Delta_t(\tau)] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \phi_s(z) Y_{s-}(\tau) (f_s(\tau, z) - 1) \eta_s(dz) ds \right], \forall t \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, one has

$$\mathbb{E} \left[Y_t \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \phi_s(z) (\mu(ds, dz) - \eta_s(dz) ds) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \phi_s(z) \xi_s(z) \eta_s(dz) ds \right], \forall t \geq 0.$$

Finally, (5.15) implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \phi_s(z) \int_0^\infty \left(\psi_s(u, z) f_s(u, z) + Y_{s-}(u) (f_s(u, z) - 1) \right) p_{s-}(u) \rho(du) \eta_s(dz) ds \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \phi_s(z) \xi_s(z) \eta_s(dz) ds \right], \forall t \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

then, we obtain the expression (5.14). □

5.3 The projections of \mathbb{G} -martingales on \mathbb{F}

Proposition 5.3 *Let $Y^{\mathbb{G}}$ be a \mathbb{G} -martingale with the representation given by equality (4.15) and decomposition (4.8). Then, its \mathbb{F} -optional projection Y is*

$$Y_t = Y_0 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \chi_s(z) (\mu(ds, dz) - \eta_s(dz) ds), \forall t \geq 0,$$

where the $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable process χ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_s(z) &= \alpha_s^0(z) \varphi_s(z) + Y_{s-}^0 (\varphi_s(z) - 1) G_{s-} \\ &\quad + \int_0^s \left(\alpha_s(u, z) f_s(u, z) + Y_{s-}(u) (f_s(u, z) - 1) p_{s-}(u) \right) \rho(du), \forall s \geq 0, \forall z \in \mathbb{R},\end{aligned}$$

with the supermartingale G given by the equality (4.13).

PROOF: As before, for any \mathbb{G} -adapted bounded process $\theta^{\mathbb{G}}$, we consider the equality satisfied by Y such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[Y_t \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \theta_s^{\mathbb{G}} (\mu(ds, dz) - \eta_s(dz) ds) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[Y_t^{\mathbb{G}} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \theta_s^{\mathbb{G}} (\mu(ds, dz) - \eta_s(dz) ds) \right], \forall t \geq 0.$$

The left-hand side is equal to

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \chi_s(z) \theta_s^{\mathbb{G}} \eta_s(dz) ds \right], \forall t \geq 0.$$

The right-hand side is

$$\begin{aligned}& \mathbb{E} \left[Y_t^{\mathbb{G}} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \theta_s^{\mathbb{G}} \left((\mu(ds, dz) - \nu_s^{\mathbb{G}}(ds, dz)) + (\nu_s^{\mathbb{G}}(ds, dz) - \eta_s(dz) ds) \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \alpha_s^{\mathbb{G}} \theta_s^{\mathbb{G}} \nu_s^{\mathbb{G}}(ds, dz) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \theta_s^{\mathbb{G}} Y_{s-}^{\mathbb{G}} (\nu_s^{\mathbb{G}}(ds, dz) - \eta_s(dz) ds) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \theta_s^{\mathbb{G}} \left(\alpha_s^0(z) \varphi_s(z) + Y_{s-}^0 (\varphi_s(z) - 1) \right) G_{s-} \eta_s(dz) ds \right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \theta_s^{\mathbb{G}} \left(\int_0^s \left(\alpha_s(u, z) f_s(u, z) + Y_{s-}(u) (f_s(u, z) - 1) \right) p_{s-}(u) \rho(du) \right) \eta_s(dz) ds \right], \forall t \geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

where $\nu^{\mathbb{G}}$ is defined in (4.14). Hence, the proof is complete. \square

6 Appendix

Using the same methodology as in [9] we prove the martingale property of the two local martingales used in the proof of Proposition 5.1.

- We first prove that the $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -local martingale $\widetilde{M}(\tau) = (\widetilde{M}_t(\tau))_{t \geq 0}$ defined by

$$\widetilde{M}_t(\tau) = \int_0^t \Upsilon_{s-} dY_s(\tau), \forall t \geq 0, \tag{6.1}$$

is a true martingale. This will be the case when, for any $T > 0$ fixed, the property

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\widetilde{M}_t(\tau)| \right] < \infty$$

holds (see Chapter I, Theorem 51, page 38 in [25]). By Burkholder-Davis-Gundy's inequality⁴, this condition is satisfied if

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\langle \widetilde{M}(\tau) \rangle_T^{\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}}\right)^{1/2}\right] < \infty.$$

Note that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\langle \widetilde{M}(\tau) \rangle_T^{\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}}\right)^{1/2}\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T \Upsilon_{s-}^2 \psi_s^2(\tau, z) \nu^{(\tau)}(ds, dz)\right)^{1/2}\right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |\Upsilon_s| \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \psi_s^2(\tau, z) \nu^{(\tau)}(ds, dz)\right)^{1/2}\right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |\Upsilon_s|^2\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \psi_s^2(\tau, z) \nu^{(\tau)}(ds, dz)\right], \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $|ab| \leq (a^2 + b^2)$, for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Using again Burkholder-Davis-Gundy's inequality, we obtain that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |\Upsilon_s|^2\right] \leq \widetilde{C} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T (\gamma_s^{\mathbb{G}})^2 \nu^{(\tau)}(ds, dz)\right] < \infty,$$

for some constant $\widetilde{C} > 0$. Moreover, by the assumption of square integrability of the $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -martingale $Y(\tau)$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \psi_s^2(\tau, z) \nu^{(\tau)}(ds, dz)\right] < \infty,$$

so that the process $\widetilde{M}(\tau)$ is a martingale.

- We now prove that the $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -local martingale $\widehat{M}(\tau) = (\widehat{M}_t(\tau))_{t \geq 0}$ defined by

$$\widehat{M}_t(\tau) = \int_0^t Y_s(\tau) d\Upsilon_s, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \tag{6.2}$$

is a true martingale. As above, by Burkholder-Davis-Gundy's inequality, this will be the case when, for any $T > 0$ fixed

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\langle \widehat{M}(\tau) \rangle_T^{\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}}\right)^{1/2}\right] < \infty.$$

Note that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\langle \widehat{M}(\tau) \rangle_T^{\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}}\right)^{1/2}\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T Y_s^2(\tau) (\gamma_s^{\mathbb{G}}(z))^2 \nu^{(\tau)}(ds, dz)\right)^{1/2}\right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |Y_s(\tau)| \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} (\gamma_s^{\mathbb{G}}(z))^2 \nu^{(\tau)}(ds, dz)\right)^{1/2}\right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |Y_s(\tau)|^2\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} (\gamma_s^{\mathbb{G}}(z))^2 \nu^{(\tau)}(ds, dz)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

⁴Burkholder-Davis-Gundy's inequality states that, if M is a local martingale, for any $p \geq 1$, then the expression

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |M_t|^p\right] \leq C_p \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\langle M \rangle_T\right)^{p/2}\right]$$

holds, for some $C_p > 0$ depending on p only (see, e.g., Chapter IV, Section 4, Theorem 48, page 195 in [25]).

It follows, using again Burkholder-Davis-Gundy's inequality, that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |Y_s(\tau)|^2 \right] \leq \widehat{C} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \psi_s^2(\tau, z) \nu^{(\tau)}(ds, dz) \right] < \infty,$$

for some constant $\widehat{C} > 0$. Moreover, by the assumption of square integrability of the $\mathbb{F}^{(\tau)}$ -martingale Υ , we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} (\gamma_s^{\mathbb{G}})^2 \nu^{(\tau)}(ds, dz) \right] < \infty,$$

so that the process $\widehat{M}(\tau)$ is a martingale.

References

- [1] AKSAMIT, A. and JEANBLANC, M. (2017). *Enlargement of filtration with finance in view*. Springer, Berlin.
- [2] AMENDINGER, J. (1999). *Initial Enlargement of Filtrations and Additional Information in Financial Markets*. PhD thesis, Technical University Berlin.
- [3] BIELECKI, T. R., JAKUBOWSKI, J., JEANBLANC, M. and NIEWKEGŁOWSKI, M. (2019). Special semimartingales and shrinkage of filtration. To appear in *Annals of Applied Probability*. Preprint available at arxiv.org/pdf/1803.03700.pdf
- [4] BJÖRK, T., KABANOV, YU. M. and RUNGGALDIER, W. J. (1997). Bond market structure in the presence of marked point process. *Mathematical Finance* **40** (211–239).
- [5] BRÉMAUD, P. (1981). *Point Processes and Queues: Martingale Dynamics*. Springer, New York.
- [6] CALLEGARO, G., JEANBLANC, M. and ZARGARI, B. (2013). Carthagian enlargement of filtrations. *ESAIM: Probability and Statistics* **17** (550–566).
- [7] EL KAROUI, N., JEANBLANC, M. and JIAO, Y. (2010). What happens after a default: the conditional density approach. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **120**(7) (1011–1032).
- [8] FONTANA, C. (2018). The strong predictable representation property in initially enlarged filtrations under the density hypothesis. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **128**(3) (1007–1033).
- [9] GAPEEV, P. V., JEANBLANC, M. and WU, D. (2019). Projections of martingales in enlargements of Brownian filtrations under Jacod's equivalence hypothesis. *Submitted*, hal-02120343.

- [10] GRORUD, A. and PONTIER, M. (1998). Insider trading in a continuous time market model. *International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance* **1** (331–347).
- [11] HE, S. W., WANG, J. G. and YAN, J. A. (1992). *Semimartingale Theory and Stochastic Calculus*. Science Press and CRC Press Inc., Beijing.
- [12] JACOD, J. (1975). Multivariate point processes: predictable projection, Radon-Nikodym derivatives, representation of martingales. *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verwandte Gebiete* **31**(3) (235–253).
- [13] JACOD, J. (1985). Grossissement initial, hypothèse (H') et théorème de Girsanov. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1118** (15–35).
- [14] JACOD, J. (1979). *Calcul Stochastique et Problèmes de Martingales*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics **714**. Springer-Verlag.
- [15] JACOD, J. and SHIRYAEV, A. N. (1987). *Limit Theorems for Stochastic Processes*. Springer, Berlin.
- [16] JEANBLANC, M. and LE CAM, Y. (2009). Progressive enlargement of filtrations with initial times. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **119** (2523–2543).
- [17] JEANBLANC, M. and SONG, S. (2015). Martingale representation property in progressively enlarged filtrations. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **125**(11) (4242–4271).
- [18] JEANBLANC, M., YOR, M. and CHESNEY, M. (2009). *Mathematical Methods for Financial Markets*. Springer, Berlin.
- [19] JEULIN, TH. (1980). *Semi-martingales et Grossissement de Filtration*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics **833**. Springer, Berlin.
- [20] KUNITA, H. (2004). Representation of martingales with jumps and applications to mathematical finance. In *Stochastic Analysis and Related Topics in Kyoto: Volume in Honour of Kiyosi Itô*. Mathematical Society of Japan (209–232).
- [21] LAST, G. and BRANDT, A. (1995). *Marked Point Processes on the Real Line. The Dynamic Approach*. Springer, Berlin.
- [22] ØKSENDAL, B. and ZHANG, T. (2007). The Itô-Ventzell formula and forward stochastic differential equations driven by Poisson random measures. *Osaka Journal of Mathematics* **44**(1) (207–230).
- [23] PRIGENT, J.-L. (2001). Option pricing with a general marked point process. *Mathematics of Operations Research* **26**(1) (50–66).

- [24] PRIGENT, J.-L. (2003). *Weak Convergence of Financial Markets*. Springer Finance, Springer.
- [25] PROTTER, PH. E. (2005). *Stochastic Integration and Differential Equations*. (Second Edition) Springer.
- [26] RUNGALDIER, W. J. (2003). Jump-diffusion models. In *Handbook of Heavy Tailed Distributions in Finance* (Rachev, S. T., ed.). Handbooks in Finance, North Holland, Amsterdam.
- [27] SONG, S. (2014). Optional splitting formula in a progressively enlarged filtration. *ESAIM Probability and Statistics* **18** (829–853).