

Complex evolutionary history of two ecologically significant grass genera, Themeda and Heteropogon (Poaceae: Panicoideae: Andropogoneae)

Watchara Arthan, Luke T. Dunning, Guillaume Besnard, Sophie Manzi, Elizabeth Kellogg, Michael R Mckain, Jan Hackel, Caroline Lehmann, Jonathan Mitchley, Maria Vorontsova

To cite this version:

Watchara Arthan, Luke T. Dunning, Guillaume Besnard, Sophie Manzi, Elizabeth Kellogg, et al.. Complex evolutionary history of two ecologically significant grass genera, Themeda and Heteropogon (Poaceae: Panicoideae: Andropogoneae). Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 2021, 196 (4), pp.437-455. $10.1093/botlinnean/boab008$. hal-03201203

HAL Id: hal-03201203 <https://hal.science/hal-03201203v1>

Submitted on 7 Nov 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

This is a repository copy of *Complex evolutionary history of two ecologically significant grass genera, Themeda and Heteropogon (Poaceae: Panicoideae: Andropogoneae)*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/173994/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Arthan, W., Dunning, L.T. orcid.org/0000-0002-4776-9568, Besnard, G. et al. (6 more authors) (2021) Complex evolutionary history of two ecologically significant grass genera, Themeda and Heteropogon (Poaceae: Panicoideae: Andropogoneae). Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 196 (4). pp. 437-455. ISSN 0024-4074

https://doi.org/10.1093/botlinnean/boab008

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society following peer review. The version of record Watchara Arthan, Luke T Dunning, Guillaume Besnard, Sophie Manzi, Elizabeth A Kellogg, Jan Hackel, Caroline E R Lehmann, Jonathan Mitchley, Maria S Vorontsova, Complex evolutionary history of two ecologically significant grass genera, Themeda and Heteropogon (Poaceae: Panicoideae: Andropogoneae), Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 2021;, boab008, is available online at: https://doi.org/10.1093/botlinnean/boab008

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

Complex evolutionary history of two ecologically significant grass genera, *Themeda* **and** *Heteropogon* **(Poaceae: Panicoideae: Andropogoneae)**

WATCHARA ARTHAN^{1,2,*}, LUKE T. DUNNING³, GUILLAUME BESNARD⁴, SOPHIE MANZI^4 , ELIZABETH A. KELLOGG⁵, MICHAEL R. MCKAIN⁶, JAN HACKEL¹, CAROLINE E. R. LEHMANN^{7,8}, JONATHAN MITCHLEY² AND MARIA S. VORONTSOVA¹

¹*Comparative Plant and Fungal Biology, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, London TW9 3AE, UK*

²*School of Biological Sciences, University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading, Berkshire RG6 6AH, UK*

³*Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, University of Sheffield, Western Bank, Sheffield S10 2TN, UK*

⁴*CNRS-UPS-IRD, UMR5174, Laboratoire Evolution et Diversité Biologique (EDB), Université Paul Sabatier, 118 route de Narbonne, Toulouse 31062, France*

⁵*Donald Danforth Plant Science Center, 975 North Warson Road, St. Louis, Missouri 63132, USA*

⁶*Department of Biological Sciences, College of Arts and Sciences, The University of Alabama, 300 Hackberry Lane, Tuscaloosa, Alabama 35487-0344, USA*

⁷*Tropical Diversity, Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH3 5LR, UK*

⁸*School of Geosciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FF, UK*

*Corresponding author. E-mail: w.arthan@kew.org

Running title: Evolution of *Themeda* and *Heteropogon*

ABSTRACT

Themeda and *Heteropogon* are closely related grass genera which are common and frequently dominant in tropical C4 grasslands. Evolutionary relationships between these genera are poorly resolved, impeding ecological study, particularly of *Themeda triandra* with a broad distribution from Africa to East Asia, and *Heteropogon contortus* that have pantropical distributions. Our analyses of plastome and nuclear genomes with comprehensive sampling of *Themeda* and *Heteropogon* demonstrate that neither genus is monophyletic as currently circumscribed. Plastome and nuclear data place *H. melanocarpus* and *H. ritchiei* within *Themeda*. Nested within *Themeda triandra* is *T. quadrivalvis* and *T. unica* demonstrating this widespread species is more morphologically diverse than previously recognised. *Heteropogon fischerianus* is nested within *H. contortus*. The picture is more complex for *H. triticeus* that is sister to *H. contortus* in the nuclear analysis and sister to *Cymbopogon* in the plastome analysis. Taken together, the incongruence between nuclear and plastid phylogenies suggests recurrent hybridisation between potential genome donors related to *Cymbopogon* and *H. contortus*. Plastome dating estimates the *Themeda–Heteropogon* crown age at c. 7.6 Ma, in line with the Miocene C4 grassland expansion, while the widespread *T. triandra* and *H. contortus* both diversified 1–2 Ma ago in the Pleistocene. These results establish a foundation for studying the history of these ecologically significant widespread grasses and

the ecosystems they form.

ADDITIONAL KEYWORDS: Andropogoneae – Anthistiriinae – C4 grassland expansion –

dating – phylogenomics – plastomes – Pleistocene.

INTRODUCTION

The emergence of C4 grasslands in the Late Miocene, between 3 and 8 Ma ago, is considered one of the major global vegetation transformations (Cerling *et al*., 1997; Edwards *et al*., 2010). This change was facilitated by adaptation of the dominant C_4 grasses to fire, grazing, lower precipitation, and increased seasonality (Strömberg, 2011; Linder *et al*., 2018). In the Poaceae (grass) subfamily Panicoideae, diverse grasses from the C4 tribe Andropogoneae form the main understorey in the tropical savannas of South America, Africa, and Asia (Arthan *et al*., 2016; Lehmann *et al*., 2019). The genera *Heteropogon* Pers. and *Themeda* Forssk. are two important grass genera that make a significant contribution to present-day ecosystems, dominating many ecosystems (Snyman, Ingram & Kirkman, 2013; Ratnam *et al*., 2016; Fig. 1E–G). Due to the ecological importance of these genera, understanding their evolutionary history is crucial for documenting the origin and dynamics of the savanna biome in the tropics.

Heteropogon and *Themeda* were both placed in the Andropogoneae subtribe Anthistiriinae by Clayton & Renvoize (1986). Later, the Anthistiriinae were included in the subtribe Andropogoninae, based on molecular data (Kellogg, 2015; Soreng *et al*., 2017). Currently, five *Heteropogon* and 29 *Themeda* species are accepted and distributed mainly in the tropics (Clayton *et al*., 2006). Two species, *Heteropogon contortus* Pers. and *Themeda triandra* Forssk. are distributed throughout the tropics, and from Africa to East Asia, respectively, and are keystone species in many grasslands where they are found (Goergen & Daehler, 2001; Wang *et al*., 2016). The remaining species have variable range distributions from restricted to regionally widespread, mainly distributed throughout South Asia, Southeast Asia and Australia (Deshpande, 1988; Veldkamp, 2016; POWO, 2019).

Heteropogon and *Themeda* are morphologically distinct, especially in inflorescence structure which has been used to defined them (Clayton & Renvoize, 1986; Fig. 1). Both genera have sterile homogamous spikelets in the lower part of the inflorescence and fertile spikelets in the upper part. In most species an appendage called an awn emerges from the fertile lemma (Clayton & Renvoize, 1986). The term "inflorescence" is used here informally to indicate a unit at the apex of a single flowering culm traditionally named a "raceme", even though developmentally the correct term for these complex structures is a synflorescence (Kellogg, 2015; Fig. 1A–D). All *Heteropogon* species have solitary inflorescences with awns converging and twisting around one another to form a group of stiff appendages. At least three or more pairs of well-developed homogamous spikelets appear in the lower two-thirds of the inflorescence (Deshpande, 1988). In *Themeda*, a single inflorescence is subtended by a spatheole (bract) and has a compact cluster of only two pairs of homogamous spikelets, a few fertile spikelet pairs and a triad, a group of one sessile and two pedicellate spikelets (Clayton & Renvoize, 1986). Some species of *Themeda* have an aggregation of several inflorescence branches which forms a more complex structure.

Heteropogon and *Themeda* are closely related, but to date their evolutionary relationships have been investigated with only limited taxon sampling. Early single-locus phylogenetic trees (ITS and *trn*L-F) placed *Heteropogon contortus* and *Themeda triandra* as sister groups (Skendzic, Columbus & Cerros-Tlatilpa, 2007; Teerawatananon, Jacobs & Hodkinson, 2011). The broadly sampled phylogenetic tree by GPWG II (2012) showed that *Heteropogon* and *Themeda* had strong affinities with the genus *Iseilema* Andersson, as might be expected given the morphological similarities between *Themeda* and *Iseilema*. Nuclear single-copy genes suggested that *Heteropogon* and *Themeda* are closely related to *Bothriochloa* Kuntze, *Capillipedium* Stapf and *Dichanthium* Willemet (the BCD clade; Bianconi *et al*., 2020; Estep *et al*., 2014; Welker *et al*., 2015). The first plastome analysis also retrieved the *Heteropogon–Themeda* sister relationship (Arthan *et al*., 2017). The plastome analysis also revealed an unexpected position of *Heteropogon triticeus* (R. Br.) Stapf ex Craib close to *Cymbopogon flexuosus* (Nees) Will.Watson. Phylogenetic relationships within the genus *Themeda* were investigated by Dunning *et al*. (2017) who identified seven clades but did not fully sample *Heteropogon*. Dating analyses by Dunning *et al*. (2017) showed that *Themeda* originated around the same time as the global C₄ grassland expansion. They hypothesized that the pantropical species *T. triandra* dispersed from its southeast Asian origin during the last 1.5 Ma. Similar age estimates for the sister genus *Heteropogon*, and the likewise widespread *H. contortus*, are lacking.

Previous studies on *Themeda* and *Heteropogon* were based on limited species sampling was and in most cases limited genomic information. This hinders our ability to draw conclusions on the origin, diversification and polyphyly of these important grass genera. tThe aims of this study are: (1) to reconstruct the evolutionary history of *Heteropogon* and *Themeda* using both plastome and nuclear data; (2) to estimate divergence times among species of both genera; and (3) to discuss the taxonomic implications of the relationships.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

TAXON SAMPLING

Taxon sampling (Table 1) was based on previous phylogenetic studies (Estep *et al*., 2014; Arthan *et al*., 2017; Dunning *et al*., 2017; McKain *et al*., 2018) with further samples selected on the basis of published taxonomic and morphological data (Clayton & Renvoize, 1986; Kellogg, 2015). All accessible species of *Heteropogon* and *Themeda* were sampled; samples of *H. contortus* and *T. triandra* were selected to cover the whole of their distribution ranges.

Leaf material was collected from 59 herbarium specimens from the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew (K), the Natural History Museum, London, UK (BM) and Chinese National Herbarium, Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences (PE) and used to produce genome skims. Genome-skimming data for 13 accessions from Arthan *et al*. (2017) and Dunning *et al*. (2017) were also included in this study. Ingroups included 27 *Heteropogon* and 38 *Themeda* accessions, representing 5 and 14 accepted *Heteropogon* and *Themeda* species, respectively. Different accessions of *H. contortus* and *T. triandra* were sampled to cover their distribution ranges and morphological variation. We added 20 specimens from the closely related genera *Agenium* Nees, *Bothriochloa*, *Capillipedium*, *Cymbopogon* Spreng*.*, *Dichanthium*, *Eremopogon* Stapf, *Iseilema* and *Pseudanthistiria* (Hack.) Hook.f. to test the monophyly of *Heteropogon* and *Themeda*. In the plastome analyses, other Andropogoneae besides these genera from GenBank were included (Table 1), and *Arundinella deppeana* Nees*, Garnotia tenella* (Arn. ex Miq.) Janowski and *G. thailandica* Gould were selected as the outgroup. For the nuclear analysis, only *A. deppeana* was available as outgroup.

SEQUENCING AND ASSEMBLY

Fifty-nine new genome-skimming datasets were generated (Table 1). DNA extraction was performed as described in Besnard *et al*. (2013). At least 100 ng of DNA per sample was sent to the Get-PlaGe core facility (Castanet-Tolosan, France). Libraries were prepared with the Truseq Nano DNA sample prep kit. Paired-end reads of 150 bp were produced by bridge amplification with the high-throughput Illumina HiSeq 3000 sequencing platform.

Plastome assembly was performed from genome-skimming data (0.85 to 5.85 Gb of 150 bp paired-end reads per sample), using the GetOrganelle version 1.6.4d tool (https://github.com/Kinggerm/GetOrganelle) (Jin *et al*., 2019) which used SPAdes

(Bankevich *et al*., 2012), Bowtie2 (Langmead & Salzberg, 2012), BLAST+ (Camacho *et al*., 2009) and Bandage (Wick *et al*., 2015). GetOrganelle was run with a search for *k*-mers of 21, 45, 65, 85 and 105 bp and extending contigs for 15 rounds. Complete circular sequences were produced for all datasets except from *Themeda sabarimalayana* Sreek. & V.J.Nair due to low sequencing coverage (ca. 10x). All sequences for each accession were annotated using GeSeq (Tillich *et al*., 2017) with reference plastomes of *C. flexuosus*, *H. contortus*, *Iseilema macratherum* Domin and *T. triandra* from NCBI. The gene arrangement of annotated plastomes was examined in Geneious R9 (Biomatters Ltd., Aucklan[d\). Plastomes were](http://www.geneious.com/) [rearranged manually by using the reference plastomes as mentioned above. For](http://www.geneious.com/) *T. sabarimalayana*, partial plastid sequences were extracted from the reads by using methods described in Dunning *et al*. (2019). In short, the reads were mapped to a *T. triandra* reference plastome with Bowtie2 (Langmead & Salzberg, 2012), and filtered with SAMtools (Li *et al*., 2009) to discard low quality reads $(Q \le 20)$. The resulting mpileup file was then used to manually call nucleotide positions using custom bash scripts from Olofsson *et al*. (2016). For each position the minimum read depth was three and the SNP call depth was two. All plastomes have been deposited in NCBI (accession numbers in Table 1).

NUCLEAR GENE EXTRACTION

The raw data for the genome-skimming datasets was then cleaned as in Dunning *et al*. (2019) before extracting nuclear genome information. In brief, NGS QC Toolkit v.2.3.3 (Patel & Jain, 2012) was used to remove sequencing adaptors, low quality reads (<80% of bases with Q < 20), reads with ambiguous bases and low-quality bases $(Q < 20)$ from the 3' end of reads. Bowtie2 v2.3.5.1 (Langmead & Salzberg, 2012) was then used to map the cleaned paired-end reads to the *Sorghum bicolor* reference genome obtained from Ensembl Plants

(Bolser *et al*., 2017). For each sample, we then generated sequences for 3,269 single-copy genes identified in the *Sorghum* genome using BUSCO v.2 (Simão *et al*., 2015). Finally, we extracted sequence information using the same methods as described above for the *T. sabarimalayana* plastid genome, removing sequences with <200 bp and discarding genes with <50% of samples represented. All raw sequence reads were deposited in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) with accession numbers SAMN15683809–SAMN15683869.

ALIGNMENT AND PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSES

MAFFT v.7.402 (Katoh & Standley, 2013) was used through the CIPRES Science Gateway v. 3.3 (Miller, Pfeiffer & Schwartz, 2010) to align the set of 136 whole plastome sequences. jModelTest2 v. 2.1.6 (Darriba *et al*., 2012) estimated GTR+Г+I as the best-fit model of nucleotide substitution based on BIC. The plastid alignment was then analyzed with Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI) by using RAxML v. 8.2.12 (Stamatakis, 2014) and MrBayes v. 3.2.7a (Ronquist *et al*., 2012), respectively. Under ML, 500 bootstrap pseudoreplicates were specified to test node support. For BI, two independent Markov Chain Monte Carlo runs with 4 chains, 50,000,000 generations each, 1,000 generation sampling and 10 percent burnin were set. Consensus trees were visualized with FigTree v. 1.4.4 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree).

 The 2,464 single-copy nuclear genes were aligned in reference to the *Sorghum* genome and were subsequently analyzed using a coalescent based species tree approach. ML gene trees were generated using PhyML v.21031022 (Guindon & Gascuel, 2003) with 100 bootstrap pseudoreplicates, using the best substitution model as selected by Smart Model Selection SMS v.1.8.1 (Lefort, Longueville & Gascuel, 2017). Nodes with less than 10%

bootstrap support were collapsed prior to inferring a multigene coalescence species tree from the individual gene trees using ASTRAL-III v.5.6.2 (Zhang *et al*., 2018).

DATING ANALYSIS

The plastome alignment was analyzed with BEAST2 v. 2.6.1 (Bouckaert *et al*., 2014) in the CIPRES Science Gateway. Due to the scarcity of fossils in our group, we used divergence of *Zea mays* from the rest of Andropogoneae, estimated at 15.26 Ma by Christin *et al*. (2014) under a younger, non-phytolith scenario as calibration point, implemented as a normal prior with a standard deviation of 0.0001. A GTR+T model with 4 gamma categories, a relaxed log-normal clock (Drummond *et al*., 2006), and a Yule model were set. Four independent runs with 100,000,000 generations and sampling every 1,000 generations were executed in BEAST2. The four runs were assessed for convergence and effective sample size (ESS) by Tracer v.1.7.1 (Rambaut *et al*., 2018). ESS values for most parameters were >200. Tree files were combined using LogCombiner v.2.6.1 implemented in the BEAST2 package. The maximum clade credibility tree was searched with TreeAnnotator v.2.6.0 and annotated with median node heights, after discarding a burn-in of 40%.

RESULTS

PLASTOMES

The newly sequenced plastomes, including the first plastomes for *Agenium*, *Eremopogon* and *Pseudanthistiria,* range in length from 134,885 bp in a *H. contortus* accession to 141,765 bp

in *Iseilema hubbardii* Uppuluri, with mean coverage ranging from 57.13 to 563.82 when excluding *T. sabarimalayana*. Inverted repeat (IR) boundaries are conserved across the dataset, i.e. *rps19*-*psbA* and *rpl22*-*rps19* for the IR–LSC and *rps15*-*ndhF* and *ndhH*-*rps15* for the IR–SSC boundary (Arthan *et al.*, 2017). For *T. sabarimalayana*, only 26,905 bp of plastome sequence could be assembled.

PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSES

The original alignment of 136 plastomes has a total length of 166,902 bp. After discarding one inverted repeat, the alignment has a final length of 137,619 bp, which includes 15.5% gaps and 0.6% Ns. Both ML and BI yielded nearly identical, well-resolved tree topologies as shown in Figs 2, S1, and S2. Bootstrap (BS) values of 100 and posterior probabilities (PP) of 1 supported most nodes, although low support was observed among some early diverging lineages, such as the split of the *Mnesithea* Kunth–*Eremochloa* Buse clade from the rest of Andropogoneae ($BS = 66$, $PP = 0.95$). Maximum support was observed where the DASH clade (an acronym for the clade comprising *Diheteropogon* (Hack.) Stapf, *Andropogon* L., *Schizachyrium* Nees and *Hyparrhenia* Andersson ex E.Fourn. (Arthan *et al*., 2017) splits from the Anthistiriinae, Fig. 2). A clade of *Agenium*, *Bothriochloa*, *Capillipedium*, *Dichanthium*, *Eremopogon*, *Iseilema* and *Pseudanthistiria* was highly supported as the sister lineage of *Heteropogon* and *Themeda*.

Our study retrieved a close relationship between most species of *Heteropogon* and *Themeda*. *Heteropogon* is polyphyletic (Fig. 2). The sister relationship of *H. triticeus* and *Cymbopogon* (BS = 96, PP = 1) was recovered as in Arthan *et al*. (2017). *Heteropogon melanocarpus* (Elliott) Benth. is nested in *Themeda*; *H. ritchiei* (Hook.f.) Blatt. & McCann shows strong affinity with *T. huttonensis* Bor, *T. minor* L. Liu and *T. mooneyi* Bor. (BS = 96, PP = 0.97). *Heteropogon fischerianus* Bor is nested in the *H. contortus* clade (BS = 100, PP

= 1). *Heteropogon contortus* is sister to the *Themeda* clade (Fig. 2; BS = 85, PP = 0.99). Most relationships within *H. contortus* have short branch lengths and poor support.

Themeda is paraphyletic, including some nominal *Heteropogon* species. The tree topology conforms to that of Dunning *et al*. (2017). A *T. arundinacea* (Roxb.) A.Camus *–T. villosa* (Lam.) A.Camus clade is sister to the other *Themeda* species (Fig. 2; BS = 100, PP = 1) plus *H. melanocarpus*. Most relationships within *Themeda* are well resolved except some recent divergences within *T. triandra.*

For the nuclear coalescent analysis, 2,464 gene trees were used, most of which (2,460) have missing taxa. As taxon sampling of the nuclear tree was not the same as that of the plastome topology, only *Heteropogon* and *Themeda* and their closely related clades (e.g. *Iseilema* and BCD clades) can be compared (Fig. 3). Most parts agree with the plastome tree, but there are a few discordances. The sister relationship between *H. triticeus* and the *Cymbopogon* clade was not recovered in the nuclear tree, and *H. triticeus* was instead placed as sister to *H. contortus* with a local posterior probability (LPP) of 1, but low quartet support (QS) of 44.89 (only 44.89% of concordant gene tree quartets supporting the branch; Fig. 3). The coalescent analysis placed *H. ritchiei* with *H. melanocarpus* inside *Themeda* rather than as isolated lineage in *Themeda* in the plastome tree (Fig. 2). The nuclear tree also places *Themeda arguens* (L.) Hack. sister to *T. triandra* (LPP = 1 and QS = 55.58) while the plastome tree places *T. tremula* (Nees) Hack. as sister species (Fig. 2).

DATING ANALYSES

A maximum clade credibility tree of plastome data (Fig. 4) found a topology nearly identical to the ML and BI trees (Fig. 2). *Heteropogon triticeus* diverged from *Cymbopogon* at 8.84

Ma (95% highest posterior density interval: 7.4–10.3 Ma) and the divergence between *H. contortus* and *Themeda* occurred at approximately 7.6 (6.1–8.8) Ma. *Heteropogon contortus* populations started to diverge from 1.6 (1.1 –2.3) Ma. The *T. arundinacea–T. villosa* lineage diverged from other *Themeda* at 5.80 (4.8–7.2) Ma, but the split between the two species occurred very recently, at 0.2 (0.04 ̶ 0.3) Ma. Among *T. triandra* accessions, African populations diverged from populations from Asia and Australia at $1.15 (0.82 - 1.58)$ Ma.

DISCUSSION

With comprehensive sampling of plastome and nuclear genome-wide trees, we show that *Themeda* and *Heteropogon*, as currently defined, are not monophyletic (Figs 2, 3). Both genera therefore need revision to represent natural groups. *Themeda* is paraphyletic but forms a monophyletic taxon supported by both plastome and nuclear data if *H. ritchiei* and *H. melanocarpus* are included. *Heteropogon* is clearly polyphyletic; in a strict sense, it would only include the type species *H. contortus* and – pending further study – *H. triticeus*.

The position of *H. ritchiei* differs between the nuclear and plastome trees, although there is nuclear and plastome agreement that *H. melanocarpus* and *H. ritchiei* are embedded within *Themeda*. The result is a morphologically diverse group: both *H. melanocarpus* and *H. ritchiei* have *Heteropogon*-type inflorescences, in which 2 to 4 pairs of non-involucral homogamous spikelets are present. Such inflorescence structure is not seen in a typical *Themeda* species which have a strict arrangement of 2 pairs of involucral homogamous spikelets. However, the number of homogamous spikelets in both species is fewer than the one observed in other *Heteropogon* species (usually more than 4 pairs; Deshpande, 1988). A decrease in the number of homogamous spikelets may follow inflorescence reduction trends documented in the Poaceae (Vegetti & Anton, 1995) and may be an early stage of a transition

towards a *Themeda*-type inflorescence. The arrangement of homogamous and fertile spikelets could become denser to form a *Themeda*-type inflorescence.

For *Themeda* as a whole, trends in the number of spikelet pairs can be interpreted. Only a triad is retained within the racemes of *T. arguens*, *T. quadrivalvis* (L.) Kuntze, *T. sabarimalayana*, *T. tremula* and *T. triandra*. This is also true for *T. novoguineensis* (Reeder) Jansen which Dunning *et al*. (2017) placed close to that clade. In contrast, species in the rest of the genus [e.g. *T. anathera* (Nees) Hack.*, T. mooneyi* Bor and *T. strigosa* (Buch.-Ham. ex Hook.f.) A.Camus] produce at least one spikelet pair and a triad in a raceme. In some species, inflorescence branches are gathered in a cluster (in *T. triandra*), but in the others a solitary inflorescence is observed (in *T. huttonensis;* Clayton *et al.,* 2006; Veldkamp, 2016). Different combinations in the numbers of spikelet pairs, the presence of triads and the complexity of inflorescence architecture among species may reflect tradeoffs in the production of spikelets and the fitness of the plants (Valladares, Skillman & Pearcy, 2002). Increase in inflorescence branches in the species lacking spikelet pairs could compensate for the low numbers of bisexual spikelets. No explanation for a potential selective advantage of triads over spikelet pairs or vice versa has been proposed to date.

A novel result in this study is that *T. unica* S.L.Chen & T.D.Zhuang belongs to the *T. triandra* clade, in addition to two other morphologically similar species, *T. laxa* (Andersson) A.Camus and *T. quadrivalvis*. These names may need to be placed in synonymy under *T. triandra*, which our data show is more diverse morphologically than previously recognised. It has been documented that *T. quadrivalvis* and *T. triandra* form a single clade and species complex encompassing extensive genetic and morphological variation (Keir & Vogler, 2006). *Themeda quadrivalvis* lacks a spikelet pair within the raceme, which is also seen in *T. triandra,* and *T. unica* is distinct by its arrangement of homogamous spikelets on different levels (Chen & Phillips, 2006). Habit is another labile character (Heidel *et al*., 2016): while *T.* *triandra* is considered perennial, *T. quadrivalvis* is annual (Clayton *et al.,* 2006). In *Themeda*, annual habit is otherwise only known in *T. helferi* Hack., whose phylogenetic position is unknown. The closest relative of *T. triandra* differs between the nuclear (*T. arguens*) and plastome (*T. tremula*) trees, indicating possible hybridization before the origin of *T. triandra*.

Discordant positions of *H. triticeus* between nuclear and plastome trees make its classification difficult. It is sister to *Cymbopogon* in the plastome trees (Fig. 2) but sister to *H. contortus* in the nuclear trees (Fig. 3). We included multiple samples of both *H. triticeus* and *Cymbopogon* species, so the discrepancy is not due to a single aberrant individual or a mix-up of samples. Although the relationships of *H. triticeus* to other species are shown in the trees, a long branch and support did not fully support sister relationships between *H. triticeus* and *Cymbopogon* clade. In nuclear coalescent tree, although almost half of nuclear genes yielded the topology where *H. contortus* and *H. triticeus* are sister group, the alternative positions of *H. triticeus* were represented by the rest of the genes (Fig. 3; the node diverging *H. contortus* and *H. triticeus*). This inconsistency pointed out that *H. triticeus* could form its own lineage. Potentially, the discordance from the results suggested genome donors related to *Cymbopogon* and *H. contortus*. Furthermore, as the genes are orthologous, this phenomenon also implies that *H. triticeus* is of high polyploid origin as shown by at least three portions in the pie chart. Such situations can be observed throughout the coalescent tree among *H. contortus* and *T. triandra* clade. It is possible that *H. triticeus* acquired its plastid genome from the *Cymbopogon* lineage Allopolyploidy is another possible explanation as a high ploidy level in *H. triticeus* (2n = 60) has been documented (Tothill & Hacker, 1976). However, retracing the events that shaped this species' genome requires further investigation with more samples and appropriate analyses and markers (Estep *et al*., 2014). In particular, phasing paralagoous gene copies, which was not possible with our sequencing approach, will

be critical. Pending such analyses and given its morphology close to that of *H. contortus*, *H. triticeus* should for the moment retained in *Heteropogon*.

Both nuclear and plastome trees place *H. fischerianus* within *H. contortus* (Figs 2, 3), which also is consistent with morphology. According to Deshpande (1988), distichous leaves (dense two-ranked phyllotaxy in one plane) are the only character that distinguish *H. fischerianus* from *H. contortus*. Even though the phyllotaxy is highly conserved across the Poaceae (Kellogg, 2000), intraspecific phyllotactic variation can emerge naturally via a single mutated gene (*abph1*) (Greyson & Walden, 1972; Jackson & Hake, 1999; Fleming, 2005). Therefore, *H. fischerianus* should be considered a synonym of *H. contortus.*

A final result with implications for taxonomy is the support – both with nuclear and plastome data – for a clade corresponding to the former subtribe Anthistiriinae *sensu* Clayton & Renvoize (1986), subsumed under Andropogoninae by Kellogg (2015) and Soreng *et al*. (2017). Although several genera (e.g. *Anadelphia* Hack., *Clausospicula* Lazarides, *Elymandra* Stapf, *Exotheca* Andersson, *Hyperthelia* Clayton, *Monocymbium* Stapf, and *Parahyparrhenia* A. Camus) were previously included in the subtribe Anthistiriinae (Clayton & Renvoize, 1986; Soreng et al., 2015), most of them were phylogenetically proven to belong to different lineages (Arthan *et al.*, 2017; McAllister *et al.*, 2018; Welker *et al.*, in press). Although type species of some genera have not been included in our analyses, we propose that an emended Anthistiriinae would be composed of the genera *Agenium*, *Bothriochloa*, *Capillipedium*, *Cymbopogon*, *Dichanthium*, *Eremopogon*, *Heteropogon*, *Iseilema*,

Pseudanthistiria, and *Themeda*, separate from the subtribe Andropogoninae. Inflorescence structure and spikelet morphology vary across these genera with no clear synapomorphy for the clade. The presence of basal homogamous spikelets is common but this character also occurs in *Diheteropogon* and *Hyparrhenia* in subtribe Andropogoninae. Most genera of Anthistiriinae, except for members of *Cymbopogon*, only have a single raceme which may be

quite short as in *Iseilema* and *Themeda*. At species level, phylogenetic relationships suggest possible taxonomic changes within *Heteropogon* and *Themeda*. *H. melanocarpus* and *H. ritchiei* could be renamed to *Themeda melanocarpa* and *Themeda ritchiei*, respectively. *H. fischerianus* should be merged into *H. contortus* as a synonym. In addition, *T. unica* and *T. quadrivalvis* could be reduced to varieties of *T. triandra*, as some morphological differences can be observed in inflorescence structure and spikelet indumentum.

The divergence time of the genus *Themeda* from *H. contortus* was estimated here at 7.56 Ma, at the start of the Miocene grassland expansion (Edwards *et al*., 2010). Speciation of *Themeda* started from 5.80 Ma in the Late Miocene until the early Pleistocene at approximately 2.40 Ma. The *T. arundinacea*–*T. villosa* clade diverged in the Late Miocene (Fig. 4). The latter is the only clade except *T. triandra* that is distributed in Southeast Asia, and the divergence of this lineage from the rest of *Themeda* (5.4 Ma) in Southeast Asia coincides with the development of savannas in the region (Ratnam *et al*., 2016). The divergence of the endemic Indian *Themeda* species *T. anathera* and *T. strigosa* in the Early Pliocene (Figs 2, 4) could be correlated with a shift from C_3 grasslands to monsoonal C_4 ones in Northern India during the Late Miocene (Quade *et al*., 1995; Srivastava *et al*., 2018).

The diversification of the widespread species *T. triandra* and *H. contortus* was here estimated to the Pleistocene. This supports the results of Dunning *et al*. (2017) for *T. triandra* and suggests that both species became widespread only after the Miocene grassland expansion. Aridification in the Plio-Pleistocene may have shaped the Old-World savannas (Kaya *et al*., 2018) and favoured the spread of these now common savanna grass species. Further phylogeographic inferences are not possible here due to the lack of resolution within species. Another challenge is the potential confounding effect of recent anthropogenic introductions (Oviedo Prieto *et al*., 2012; Clayton *et al*., 2016; Mata *et al*., 2018). A

18

comparative, genome-wide population genetic study of both species would be highly informative.

CONCLUSIONS

Phylogenetic analysis of the nuclear and the plastid genome show that both *Themeda* and *Heteropogon*, as currently understood, are not monophyletic. *Themeda* includes *H. melanocarpus* and *H. ritchei*, while *Heteropogon* should be restricted to *H. contortus* (which includes *H. fischerianus*) and *H. triticeus*. The analysis also supports the recognition of subtribe Anthistiriinae. The *Themeda*–*Heteropogon* clade, in the strict sense, diversified during the Miocene grassland expansion, while the widespread *T. triandra* and *H. contortus* spread during the Pleistocene.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

WA would like to express high gratitude to Development and Promotion of Science and Technology Talents Project (DPST) under Royal Thai Embassy who granted the research funds for his PhD program. GB and SM are supported by the LABEX TULIP (ANR-10- LABX-0041) and CEBA (ANR-10-LABX-25-01). Also, the authors would like to thank the curators of the herbaria at the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, the Natural History Museum, London, and Chinese National Herbarium, Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences for kindly permitting the removal of samples from their specimens. The project could not have succeeded without the support from grass research community who spread and shared knowledge and data.

REFERENCES

Arthan W, Traiperm P, Gale SW, Norsaengsri M, Kethirun L. 2016. Re-evaluation of the taxonomic status of *Hackelochloa* (Poaceae) based on anatomical and phenetic analyses. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **181**: 224‒245.

Arthan W, McKain MR, Traiperm P, Welker CAD, Teisher JK, Kellogg EA. 2017. Phylogenomics of Andropogoneae (Panicoideae: Poaceae) of Mainland Southeast Asia. *Systematic Botany* **42**: 418‒431.

Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *Journal of Computational Biology* 19: 455–477.

Besnard G, Christin PA, Malé PJG, Coissac E, Ralimanana H, Vorontsova MS. 2013. Phylogenomics and taxonomy of Lecomtelleae (Poaceae), an isolated panicoid lineage from Madagascar. *Annals of Botany* **112**: 1057‒1066.

Bianconi ME, Hackel J, Vorontsova MS, Alberti A, Arthan W, Burke SV, Duvall MR, Kellogg EA, Lavergne S, McKain MR, Meunier A, Osborne CP, Traiperm P, Christin PA, Besnard G. 2020. Continued Adaptation of C4 Photosynthesis After an Initial Burst of Changes in the Andropogoneae Grasses. *Systematic Biology* **69**: 445–461.

Bolser DM, Staines DM, Perry E, Kersey PJ. 2017. Ensembl Plants: Integrating Tools for Visualizing, Mining, and Analyzing Plant Genomics Data. *Methods in Molecular Biology* **1533**: 1–31.

Bouckaert R, Heled J, Kühnert D, Vaughan T, Wu CH, Xie D, Suchard MA, Rambaut A, Drummond AJ. 2014. BEAST 2: A Software Platform for Bayesian Evolutionary Analysis. *PLoS Computational Biology* **10**: e1003537.

Burke SV, Wysocki WP, Zuloaga FO, Craine JM, Pires JC, Edger PP, Mayfield-Jones D, Clark LG, Kelchner SA, Duvall MR. 2016. Evolutionary relationships in Panicoid grasses based on plastome phylogenomics (Panicoideae; Poaceae). *BMC Plant Biology* **16**: 140.

Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL. 2009. BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics* **10**: 421.

Cerling TE, Harris JM, MacFadden BJ, Leakey MG, Quade J, Eisenmann V, Ehleringer JR. 1997. Global vegetation change through the Miocene/Pliocene boundary. *Nature* **389**: 153‒ 158.

Chen S, Phillips SM. 2006. 213. THEMEDA Forsskål, Fl. Aegypt.-Arab. 178. 1775. In: Zhengyi W, Raven PH, Deyuan H. (eds.), *Flora of China, Volume 22: Poaceae*. Beijing and St. Louis, Missouri: Science Press and Missouri Botanical Garden, 633–637.

Christin PA, Spriggs E, Osborne CP, Strömberg CAE, Salamin N, Edwards EJ. 2014. Molecular Dating, Evolutionary Rates, and the Age of the Grasses. *Systematic Biology* **63**: 153–165.

Clayton WD, Renvoize SA. 1986. Genera Graminum: Grasses of the World. *Kew Bull*., *Addit*. *Ser*. **13**: 1–389.

Clayton WD, Vorontsova MS, Harman KT, Williamson H. 2006. GrassBase - the online world grass flora. Kew: Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew. Available at: [http://www.kew.org/data/grasses-db.html.](http://www.kew.org/data/grasses-db.html) Accessed 14 February 2020.

Clayton WD, Govaerts R, Harman KT, Williamson H, Vorontsova M. 2016. World Checklist of Poaceae. Kew: Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew. Available at: [http://apps.kew.org/wcsp/.](http://apps.kew.org/wcsp/) Accessed 19 December 2019.

Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D. 2012. jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. *Nature Methods* **9**: 772.

Deshpande UR. 1988. The genus *Heteropogon* Pers. (Poaceae) in India. *Bulletin of the Botanical Survey of India* **30**: 120‒125.

Drummond AJ, Ho SYW, Phillips MJ, Rambaut A. 2006. Relaxed Phylogenetics and Dating with Confidence. *PLoS Biology* **4**: e88.

Dunning LT, Liabot AL, Olofsson JK, Smith EK, Vorontsova MS, Besnard G, Simpson KJ, Lundgren MR, Addicott E, Gallagher RV, Chu Y, Pennington RT, Christin PA, Lehmann CER. 2017. The recent and rapid spread of *Themeda triandra*. *Botany Letters* **164**: 327‒337.

Dunning LT, Olofsson JK, Parisod C, Choudhury RR, Moreno-Villena JJ, Yang Y, Dionora J, Quick WP, Park M, Bennetzen, JL, Besnard G, Nosil P, Osborne CP, Christin PA. 2019. Lateral transfers of large DNA fragments spread functional genes among grasses. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **116**: 4416‒ 4425.

Edwards EJ, Osborne CP, Strömberg CAE, Smith SA, C4 Grasses Consortium. 2010. The origins of C4 grasslands: integrating evolutionary and ecosystem science. *Science* **328**: 587‒ 591.

Estep MC, McKain MR, Diaz DV, Zhong J, Hodge JG, Hodkinson TR, Layton DJ, Malcomber ST, Pasquet R, Kellogg EA. 2014. Allopolyploidy, diversification, and the Miocene grassland expansion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **111**: 15149‒15154.

Evans DL, Joshi SV. 2016. Complete chloroplast genomes of *Saccharum spontaneum*, *Saccharum officinarum* and *Miscanthus floridulus* (Panicoideae: Andropogoneae) reveal the plastid view on sugarcane origins. *Systematics and Biodiversity* **14**: 548‒571.

Fleming AJ. 2005. Formation of primordia and phyllotaxy. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **8**: 53‒58.

Gallaher TJ, Adams DC, Attigala L, Burke SV, Craine JM, Duvall MR, Klahs PC, Sherratt E, Wysocki WP, Clark LG. 2019. Leaf shape and size track habitat transitions across forest– grassland boundaries in the grass family (Poaceae). *Evolution* **73**: 927‒946.

Goergen E, Daehler CC. 2001. Reproductive ecology of a Native Hawaiian grass (*Heteropogon contortus*; Poaceae) versus its invasive alien competitor (*Pennisetum setaceum*; Poaceae). *International Journal of Plant Sciences* **162**: 317‒326.

GPWG II. 2012. New grass phylogeny resolves deep evolutionary relationships and discovers C4 origins. *New Phytologist* **193**: 304‒312.

Greyson RI, Walden DB. 1972. The Abphyl Syndrome in *Zea mays*. I. Arrangement, Number and Size of Leaves. *American Journal of Botany* **59**: 466–472.

Guindon S, Gascuel O. 2003. A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by maximum likelihood. *Systematic Biology* **52**: 696–704.

Heidel AJ, Kiefer C, Coupland G, Rose LE. 2016. Pinpointing genes underlying annual/perennial transitions with comparative genomics. *BMC Genomics* **17**: 921.

Jackson D, Hake S. 1999. Control of phyllotaxy in maize by the abphyl1 gene. *Development* **126**: 315–323.

Jin JJ, Yu WB, Yang JB, Song Y, dePamphilis CW, Yi TS, Li DZ. 2019. GetOrganelle: a fast and versatile toolkit for accurate de novo assembly of organelle genomes. *bioRxiv* 256479.

Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **30**: 772–780.

Kaya F, Bibi F, Žliobaitė I, Eronen JT, Hui T, Fortelius M. 2018. The rise and fall of the Old World savannah fauna and the origins of the African savannah biome. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* **2**: 241–246.

Keir AF, Vogler WD. 2006. A review of current knowledge of the weedy species *Themeda quadrivalvis* (grader grass). *Tropical Grasslands* **40**: 193‒201.

Kellogg EA. 2000. The grasses: a case study in macroevolution. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* **31**: 217‒238.

Kellogg EA. 2015. Poaceae: Vol. XIII. The Families and Genera of Vascular Plants. Cham, Switzerland: Springer.

Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature Methods* **9**: 357–359.

Lefort V, Longueville JE, Gascuel O. 2017. SMS: Smart Model Selection in PhyML. *Molecular Biology and Evoluion* **34**: 2422–2424.

Lehmann CER, Griffith DM, Simpson, KJ, Anderson MT, Archibald S, Beerling DJ, Bond WJ, Denton E, Edwards EJ, Forrestel EJ, Fox DL, Georges D, Hoffmann WA, Kluyver T, Mucina L, Pau S, Ratnam J, Salamin N, Santini B, Smith MD, Spriggs EL, Westley R, Still CJ, Strömberg CAE, Osborne CP. 2019. Functional diversification enabled grassy biomes to fill global climate space. *bioRxiv* 583625.

Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R, 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**: 2078‒2079.

Linder HP, Lehmann CER, Archibald S, Osborne CP, Richardson DM. 2018. Global grass (Poaceae) success underpinned by traits facilitating colonization, persistence and habitat transformation. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* 93: 1125–1144.

Maier RM, Neckermann K, Igloi GL, Kössel H. 1995. Complete sequence of the maize chloroplast genome: gene content, hotspots of divergence and fine tuning of genetic information by transcript editing. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **251**: 614‒628.

Mata JM, Perotto-Baldivieso HL, Hernández F, Grahmann ED, Rideout-Hanzak S, Edwards JT, Page MT, Shedd TM. 2018. Quantifying the spatial and temporal distribution of tanglehead (*Heteropogon contortus*) on South Texas rangelands. *Ecological Processes* **7**: 2.

McAllister CA, McKain MR, Li M, Bookout B, Kellogg EA. 2018. Specimen-based analysis of morphology and the environment in ecologically dominant grasses: the power of the herbarium. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* **374**: 20170403.

McKain MR, Estep MC, Pasquet R, Layton DJ, Vela Díaz DM, Zhong J, Hodge JG, Malcomber ST, Chipabika G, Pallangyo B, Kellogg EA. 2018. Ancestry of the two subgenomes of maize. *bioRxiv* 352351.

Miller MA, Pfeiffer W, Schwartz T. 2010. Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees. New Orleans, LA, USA: Gateway Computing Environments Workshop (GCE).

Nah G, Im JH, Lim SH, Kim K, Choi AY, Yook MJ, Kim S, Kim C, Kim DS. 2016. Complete chloroplast genomes of two *Miscanthus* species. *Mitochondrial DNA Part A* **27**: 4359‒4360.

Olofsson JK, Bianconi M, Besnard G, Dunning LT, Lundgren MR, Holota H, Vorontsova MS, Hidalgo O, Leitch IJ, Nosil P, Osborne CP, Christin PA. 2016. Genome biogeography reveals the intraspecific spread of adaptive mutations for a complex trait. *Molecular Ecology* **25**: 6107‒6123.

Oviedo Prieto R, Herrera Oliver P, Caluff MG, *et al*. 2012. National list of invasive and potentially invasive plants in the Republic of Cuba – 2011. *Bissea: Boletín sobre Conservación de Plantas del Jardín Botánico Nacional de Cuba*. **6**: 22‒96.

Patel RK, Jain M. 2012. NGS QC Toolkit: A Toolkit for Quality Control of Next Generation Sequencing Data. *PLoS ONE* **7**: e30619.

POWO. 2019. Plants of the World Online. Available at:

[http://www.plantsoftheworldonline.org.](http://www.plantsoftheworldonline.org/) Accessed 19 December 2019.

Quade J, Cater JML, Ojha TP, Adam J, Harrison TM. 1995. Late Miocene environmental change in Nepal and the northern Indian subcontinent: Stable isotopic evidence from paleosols. *GSA Bulletin* **107**: 1381‒1397.

Ratnam J, Tomlinson KW, Rasquinha DN, Sankaran M. 2016. Savannahs of Asia: antiquity, biogeography, and an uncertain future. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* **371**: 20150305.

Rambaut A, Drummond AJ, Xie D, Baele G, Suchard MA. 2018. Posterior Summarization in Bayesian Phylogenetics Using Tracer 1.7. *Systematic Biology* **67**: 901‒904.

Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B, Liu L, Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP. 2012. MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Systematic Biology* 61: 539–542.

Saski C, Lee SB, Fjellheim S, Guda C, Jansen RK, Luo H, Tomkins J, Rognli OA, Daniell H, Clarke JL. 2007. Complete chloroplast genome sequences of *Hordeum vulgare*, *Sorghum bicolor* and *Agrostis stolonifera*, and comparative analyses with other grass genomes. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **115**: 591.

Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM. 2015. BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. *Bioinformatics* **31**: 3210‒3212.

Skendzic EM, Columbus JT, Cerros-Tlatilpa R. 2007. Phylogenetics of Andropogoneae (Poaceae: Panicoideae) based on nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer and chloroplast *trn*L–F sequences. *Aliso: A Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Botany* **23**: 530‒544.

Snyman HA, Ingram LJ, Kirkman KP. 2013. *Themeda triandra*: a keystone grass species. *African Journal of Range & Forage Science* **30**: 99‒125.

Soreng RJ, Peterson PM, Romaschenko K, Davidse G, Zuloaga FO, Judziewicz EJ, Filgueiras TS, Davis JI, Morrone O. 2015. A worldwide phylogenetic classification of the Poaceae (Gramineae). Journal of Systematics and Evolution **53**: 117–137.

Soreng RJ, Peterson PM, Romaschenko K, Davidse G, Teisher JK, Clark LG, Barberá P, Gillespie LJ, Zuloaga FO. 2017. A worldwide phylogenetic classification of the Poaceae (Gramineae) II: an update and a comparison of two 2015 classifications. *Journal of Systematics and Evolution* **55**: 259‒290.

Srivastava G, Paudayal KN, Utescher T, Mehrotra RC. 2018. Miocene vegetation shift and climate change: Evidence from the Siwalik of Nepal. *Global and Planetary Change* **161**: 108‒120.

Stamatakis A. 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 1312–1313.

Strömberg CAE. 2011. Evolution of grasses and grassland ecosystems. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences* **39**: 517‒544.

Teerawatananon A, Jacobs SWL, Hodkinson TR. 2011. Phylogenetics of Panicoideae (Poaceae) based on chloroplast and nuclear DNA sequences. *Telopea* **13**: 115−142.

Tillich M, Lehwark P, Pellizzer T, Ulbricht-Jones ES, Fischer A, Bock R, Greiner S. 2017. GeSeq - versatile and accurate annotation of organelle genomes. *Nucleic Acids Research* **45**: W₆–W₁₁.

Tothill JC, Hacker JB. 1976. Polyploidy, flowering phenology and climatic adaptation in *Heteropogon contortus* (Gramineae). *Australian Journal of Ecology* **1**: 213‒222.

Valladares F, Skillman JB, Pearcy RW. 2002. Convergence in light capture efficiencies among tropical forest understory plants with contrasting crown architecture: a case of morphological compensation. *American Journal of Botany* 89: 1275–1284.

Vegetti A, Anton AM. 1995. Some evolution trends in the inflorescence of Poaceae. *Flora* **190**: 225‒228.

Veldkamp JF. 2016. A revision of *Themeda* (Gramineae) in Malesia with a new species from Laos. *Blumea* **61**: 29‒40.

Wang X, Zhao L, Yan B, Shi L, Liu G, He Y. 2016. Morphological and physiological responses of *Heteropogon contortus* to drought stress in a dry-hot valley. *Botanical Studies* **57**: 17.

Wang Y, Zhao M, Li L, Wang K. 2017. Characterization of the complete chloroplast genome of the Eastern gamagrass, *Tripsacum dactyloides*. *Mitochondrial DNA Part B* **2**: 910‒912.

Welker CAD, Souza-Chies TT, Longhi-Wagner HM, Peichoto MC, McKain MR, Kellogg EA. 2015. Phylogenetic analysis of *Saccharum* s.l. (Poaceae; Andropogoneae), with emphasis on the circumscription of the South American species. *American Journal of Botany* **102**: 248‒263.

Welker CAD, Souza-Chies TT, Longhi-Wagner HM, Peichoto MC, McKain MR, Kellogg EA. 2016. Multilocus phylogeny and phylogenomics of *Eriochrysis* P. Beauv. (Poaceae-Andropogoneae): Taxonomic implications and evidence of interspecific hybridization. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **99**: 155‒167.

Welker CAD, McKain MR, Vorontsova MS, Peichoto MC, Kellogg EA. 2019. Plastome phylogenomics of sugarcane and relatives confirms the segregation of the genus *Tripidium* (Poaceae: Andropogoneae). *Taxon* **68**: 246‒267.

Welker CAD, McKain MR, Estep MC, Pasquet RS, Chipabika G, Pallangyo B, Kellogg EA. In press. Phylogenomics enables biogeographic analysis and a new subtribal classification of Andropogoneae (Poaceae—Panicoideae). *Journal of Systematics and Evolution*.

Wick RR, Schultz MB, Zobel J, Holt KE. 2015. Bandage: interactive visualization of de novo genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* **31**: 3350‒3352.

Zhang C, Rabiee M, Sayyari E, Mirarab S. 2018. ASTRAL-III: polynomial time species tree reconstruction from partially resolved gene trees. *BMC Bioinformatics* **19**: 153.

30

TABLES

Table 1. List of GenBank plastome sequences and newly sequenced plastomes and nuclear data used in this study. P and N columns indicate that the accessions are present (\checkmark) or absent (\checkmark) in plastome (P) or nuclear (N) analysis.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Typical inflorescence structure and floral diagram of *Themeda*, exemplified by *Themeda triandra* (A and B) and *Heteropogon*, exemplified by *Heteropogon triticeus* (C and D) and the characteristics of some savanna environments in Southeast Asia in which *Heteropogon* and *Themeda* are typically found in: low-altitude pine savanna in Thung Salaeng Luang National Park, Thailand (E) broad-leaved savanna in Phu Soi Dao National Park (F) and tall-grass savanna Thung Salaeng Luang National Park (G). Abbreviations: f = fertile spikelet, $h =$ homogamous spikelet, $t =$ triad and aw = awn. All photos were taken by Watchara Arthan.

Figure 2. A partial maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree showing subtribes Andropogoninae and Anthistiriinae, based on plastomes under GTR+Г+I model showing close-up the Andropogoninae (DASH clade) and Anthistiriinae clades with the clade, comprising of *Apluda mutica*, *Eulalia aurea* and *Sorghastrum* nutans, as the outgroup. Only nodes supported by ML bootstrap and posterior probability more than 70 and 0.95 were labelled in the tree. See Supporting Information for full ML and BI trees based on 136 Andropogoneae plastomes.

Figure 3. Coalescent tree based on 2,464 single-copy nuclear genes analysed with ASTRAL-III. Pie chart at the nodes represent the proportion of concordant gene tree quartets from the main topology (dark green section), the first alternative (orange section) and the second alternative (white section). Local posterior probabilities of the main topology were labelled above the pie charts.

Figure 4. Dated tree produced from plastome data with a single calibration point fixed at 15.26 Ma, based on the divergence time between *Zea mays* and the rest of the crown Andropogoneae. Posterior probabilities (PP) more than 0.95 are shown at the nodes. 95% of HPD of estimated dates are shown as error bars. Dark green boxes above the tree and dotted lines represent timeframes covering estimated grassland expansion in the Late Miocene (8–11 Ma) and the Pleistocene epoch, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Figure S1. Plastome tree for 136 Andropogoneae taxa analyzed by Maximum Likelihood under GTR+F+I model. Phylogenetic tree showing relationships of major clades, the subtribe Andropogoninae (DASH clade) and Anthistiriinae, respectively. Only nodes supported by ML bootstrap more than 70 were labelled in the tree.

Figure S2. Plastome trees for 136 Andropogoneae taxa analyzed by Bayesian Inference analysis under GTR+Г+I model. Phylogenetic tree showing relationships of major clades, the subtribe Andropogoninae (DASH clade) and Anthistiriinae, respectively. Only nodes supported by posterior probability more than 0.95 were labelled in the tree.