

Aminoglycosides analysis optimization using Ion pairing Liquid Chromatography coupled to tandem Mass Spectrometry and application on wastewater samples

Alexandre Guironnet, Concepcion Sanchez-Cid, Timothy M. Vogel, Laure Wiest, Emmanuelle Vulliet

▶ To cite this version:

Alexandre Guironnet, Concepcion Sanchez-Cid, Timothy M. Vogel, Laure Wiest, Emmanuelle Vulliet. Aminoglycosides analysis optimization using Ion pairing Liquid Chromatography coupled to tandem Mass Spectrometry and application on wastewater samples. Journal of Chromatography A, 2021, pp.462133. 10.1016/j.chroma.2021.462133. hal-03200052

HAL Id: hal-03200052 https://hal.science/hal-03200052v1

Submitted on 28 Sep 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Aminoglycosides analysis optimization using Ion pairing Liquid Chromatography coupled to							
2	tandem Mass Spectrometry and application on wastewater samples							
3	Alexandre Guironnet ¹ , Concepcion Sanchez-Cid ² , Timothy M. Vogel ² , Laure Wiest ¹ ,							
4	Emmanuelle Vulliet ¹							
5	¹ Univ Lyon, CNRS, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Institut des Sciences Analytiques,							
6	UMR 5280, 5 Rue de la Doua, F-69100, Villeurbanne, France							
7	² Environmental Microbial Genomics, Laboratoire Ampère, UMR 5005, CNRS, Ecole Centrale							
8	de Lyon, Université de Lyon, 69134 Ecully							
9								
10	Corresponding author : <u>emmanuelle.vulliet@isa-lyon.fr</u>							
11								
12	Abstract							
13	Aminoglycosides are mostly used as veterinary antibiotics. In France, their consumption							
14	accounts for about 10% of all prescribed animal medicine. Due to their high polarity nature (log							
15	Kow < -3), they require chromatographic separation by hydrophilic interaction liquid							
16	chromatography or ion-pairing chromatography. This study presents the development of an ion							
17	pairing liquid chromatography with alkanesulfonates coupled to tandem mass spectrometry for							
18	the analysis of 10 aminoglycosides (spectinomycin, streptomycin, dihydrostreptomycin,							
19	kanamycin, apramycin, gentamicin, neomycin and sisomicin) in wastewater samples. The							
20	novelty of this method lies in the addition of the ion paring salt directly and only into the sample							
21	vial and not in the mobile phase, lowering the amount of salt added and consequently reducing							

signal inhibition. The optimized method was validated and showed satisfactory resolution,
performances suitable with the analysis of aminoglycosides in wastewater samples, with limits
of quantifications less than 10 ng/mL for most of the compounds, low matrix effects, high

- accuracy (85%-115% recoveries) and reproducibility (2%-12%RSD). It was then applied
 successfully to raw and treated wastewater samples.
- 27

28 Keywords: Aminoglycosides, wastewater, ion-pairing chromatography

- 29 Highlights:
- In vial addition of salt, limiting the presence of salts in the LC-MS/MS system
- A sample preparation reduced to a simple addition of salt in the vial
- Low matrix effect allowing external calibration with solvent standards
- 33

34 1. Introduction

35 During the past decade, aminoglycosides (AGs) became one of the most widely used veterinary antibiotics in both bovine and pork herds [1–3], because of their wide action range for both 36 37 Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteriaIn France, while the global antibiotic consumption 38 is decreasing constantly (divided by 3 in 12 years), aminoglycosides portion is increasing with 39 up to 10% prescription share in 2017 [2,3]. Furthermore, aminoglycosides are poorly absorbed 40 due to their high polarity nature and are excreted unchanged in urine [4,5]. Aminoglycosides 41 antibiotics are also used in human medicine formulation [6,7], increasing the probability of their 42 presence in wastewaters.

43 Despite their increasing consumption, analytical procedures for environmental matrices are 44 very few and aminoglycosides are still among the least analysed antibiotics today. The main 45 reason is probably their highly polar nature, with log Kow comprised between -3 for 46 spectinomycin and -9 for neomycin. Indeed, as noted by Reemtsma et al. [8], this kind of very 47 polar molecules requires specific analytical tools which do not currently exist and which need 48 to be developed. Analysis of AGs are more frequent in food-related samples as reported by 49 Glinka et al. [9] such as meat [10–12], milk [12,13] or honey [14–18]. Maximum residues limits 50 are defined by food regulations and analytical method performances are set to respect these 51 values. In the environmental field, there is no regulation or monitoring regarding 52 aminoglycosides. To the best of our knowledge, only a few studies deal with aminoglycosides 53 analysis [19–22].

54 Whatever the matrix of interest, separation methods for AGs analysis are based on two major 55 techniques: Hydrophilic Interaction Liquid Chromatography (HILIC) [4,5,11,23] or Ion Pairing 56 Liquid Chromatography (IPLC) [17,24], often followed by tandem mass spectrometry 57 detection. When HILIC is used, a wide variety of column chemistry can be employed for the 58 analysis of aminoglycosides.. Alechaga et al. obtained poor peak shapes using bare silica [25], Ianni et al.[26]used a twodimensional LC with HILIC in 1st, but required between 51 and 70 minutes to obtain selectivity.Guillarme tested a variety of HILIC columns and only obtained resolution with zwiterrionic ones[27]. Altogether, HILIC separations lacks of robustness, as variations in mobile phase composition, pH, buffer concentration or temperature can have a very noticeable effect on selectivity and retention of compounds [28].

65 In case of very polar compounds as aminoglycosides, Mokh et al. [20] reported that ion-pairing liquid chromatography could represent a more suitable and powerful technique, with better 66 67 retention time consistency. One of the first and most critical steps of IPLC optimization is the 68 selection of the counter ion. In most reported works in IPLC, heptafluorobutyric acid (HFBA) 69 [19,29–31], perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA) [32], trichloroacetic acid (TCA) or trifluoroacetic 70 acid (TFA) [29] were used, added in the mobile phase. To match chromatographic conditions, 71 ion-pairing reagents were also added in the sample vial. Nevertheless, those additives are 72 known to cause signal inhibition in mass spectrometry [33], increasing detection and 73 quantification limits. A comparison of HILIC and IPLC with HFBA as counter ion was realised 74 by Gremilogianni et al. [30] and concluded to greater performances of the HILIC method, 75 because of high ion suppression caused by IPLC. Moreover, introduction of high salt content 76 mobile phases in the mass spectrometer source also leads to more frequent instrument 77 maintenance. To circumvent this problem, Lehotay et al. [34] proposed an IPLC method for the analysis of drug residues, adding ion-pairing reagents only into the injection vial, thus reducing 78 79 the amount injected in the LC column and in the mass spectrometer, preventing some down-80 time of the instrument for cleaning and maintenance. The ion suppression caused by the IP 81 reagent was consequently reduced, allowing to achieve better quantifications limits. Wang et 82 al. and Amelin et al. [31,35] also proposed this "in vial only addition" method, using HFBA as ion pair whereas Lehotay used sodium heptanesulfonate, known as more volatile reagent, in 83

order to further reduce signal suppression. However, in all studies with only in vial addition,
little to no resolution was obtained, with AG separation spread only over 0.5 to 1 min, which
may cause analytical difficulties in complex and charged matrices.

87 Based on these observations, the objective of this work was to develop and optimize an "only 88 in vial addition" IPLC-MS/MS method with satisfactory resolution of 10 AGs and to evaluate 89 its suitability for their surveillance in environmental waters. First, various alkanesulfonate 90 counter-ions with different carbon chain length were tested to evaluate retention and separation. 91 Chromatographic conditions such as organic mobile phase, gradient or isocratic elution, 92 mixture of IP reagents were developed to obtain good separation, and minimize matrix effects. 93 The final method was validated and applied on water samples from both wastewater treatment 94 plants around Lyon and from the Rhône river (France). This is then the first method reporting 95 resolution of aminoglycosides with only "In vial addition" IPLC.

96

97 2. Experimental

98 2.1. Chemicals and reagents

99 Apramycin (APR), dihydrostreptomycin (DHSTREP), gentamicin (GEN), kanamycin (KAN), 100 neomycin (NEO), spectinomycin (SPEC), streptomycin (STREP) and sisomicin (SISO) were 101 purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Saint Quentin Fallavier, France) in VETRANAL quality or 102 equivalent purity (>98%). The GEN standard contained three distinct molecules: Gentamicin 103 C1 (GEN C1); Gentamicin C1A (GEN C1A) and Gentamicin C2 (GEN C2) (Figure S1). Stock 104 solutions (1 mg.mL⁻¹) of each aminoglycoside were prepared by dissolving about 10 mg 105 powder, accurately weighted in 10 mL of water/methanol (1/1, v/v) in high density polyethylene 106 Wheaton vials were stored at -18°C. Accurate concentration was then calculated taking each 107 standard purity in account. Autosampler vials and centrifuge tubes in polypropylene (PP) were

108 used to prevent adsorption of the analytes on glass. Calibration solutions of each analyte (500 109 ng.mL⁻¹) were prepared by diluting individual stock solutions in water/methanol (1/1, v/v). 110 Alkanesulfonate salts ranging from butanesulfonate to decanesulfonate (Figure S1) were 111 acquired from Tokyo Chemical Industry, Belgium to be used as ion-pairing salts. Individual 112 salt solutions were realised at 75 mM in water and stored in the fridge at 4°C during one month. 113 Water (LC-MS grade) was obtained from Fisher Scientific (Illkirch, France), methanol (MeOH) 114 and acetonitrile (ACN) (LC-MS grade) from Honeywell (Seelze, Germany) and formic acid 115 (UPLC-MS grade) from Biosolve (Dieuze, France).

116

117 2.2. Real sample collection and preparation

Three kinds of water matrices were collected: river water for the optimization and raw and treated wastewaters (WW) for application of the optimized method. River water was grab sampled in the Rhône river (France). WW were collected at 3 different WW treatment plants in the region of Lyon (France).

Samples were stored at -20°C before analysis. With the optimized method, after thawing, a 2 mL aliquot was sampled and transferred to a PP centrifuge tube, centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 5 min (3K3OH, Sigma, Germany). Then 200 μ L of supernatant was transferred into a 1 mL PP vial together with 200 μ L of sodium hexanesulfonate solution and 200 μ L of sodium heptanesulfonate solution. The vial was then capped and agitated on a rotor mixer for 20 seconds.

128

2.3. Ion Pair Liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (IPLC-MS/MS)
The system used was an Agilent (Massy, France) 1200 Series High-Performance Liquid
Chromatography system with a binary pump. The column was a Kinetex XB-C18, 100*2.1mm,
1.7 μm from Phenomenex (Le Pecq, France). Optimized IPLC conditions were as followed: a

binary mobile phase was used with a flow-rate set to 300 µL.min⁻¹ for a total run time of 15 133 134 min, with the column maintained at 40°C. Mobile phase A was an aqueous solution of 0.1% 135 formic acid, and B was a mixture of ACN/MeOH (1/1) with 0.1% formic acid. The separation 136 was performed with an isocratic mobile phase at 10% B for 7 min. B was then increased to 90% 137 for 5 min and then decreased back to 10% for 3 min starting re-equilibration of the column. An 138 equilibration time of 5 min (i.e. 6 column volumes) was realised before each injection, leading 139 to a total run time of 20 min. The sample injection volume was 10 µL. The final injection 140 solvent was composed of 90/10 H₂O/(MeOH/ACN) containing 50 mM of both hexanesulfonate 141 and heptanesulfonate sodium salts.

142 A 5500 QTrap from Sciex® (Les Ulis, Fance) was used in Multiple Reaction Monitoring 143 (MRM) mode with positive electrospray ionization. Source parameters are detailed in Table S1. 144 MS/MS detection was optimized by infusion of individual standard solutions at 100 ng.mL⁻¹ 145 via syringe pump at a flow of 10 μ L.min⁻¹ and are presented in Table S2. For SPEC, both 146 protonated and water adducts showed a similar sensitivity; we chose to monitor both ions, and 147 use the H₂O ion for quantification and the [M+H]⁺ adduct for confirmation [36]. NEO and SISO 148 also formed a doubly charged ion in the ionisation source, it was decided to follow both ions.

149

150 2.4. Method validation

Limits of quantification (LOQs) were evaluated as the concentrations leading to a signal-tonoise ratio of 10. The method linearity for each molecule was determined by injection of standards mixtures from 0.5*LOQ to 50*LOQ. Intra day repeatability and intermediate precision were both evaluated during three days. Each day, a calibration curve for each component was freshly prepared and injected, followed by three standard solutions spiked at three concentration levels: LOQ, 2*LOQ and 10*LOQ, also freshly prepared. For each analyte and each level, the concentration was computed with the calibration curve and the accuracy

158	calculated with the mean of the 3 replicates versus the nominal concentration. Intraday
159	repeatability was determined by calculating the relative standard deviation (RSD) of replicates
160	injected the 2 nd day and interday precision was determined by calculating the RSD on the three
161	days means.

Matrix effects were evaluated for each analyte by comparing a calibration curve prepared in pure LC-MS grade water and in river water, after centrifugation. The ratio of the slopes was considered as matrix effect indicator.

165

166 3. Results and discussion

167 3.1. Adsorption of GEN on container material

168 During the first experiments, decreases appeared on several signals, especially for GEN. A 169 comparison between glass and PP vial was carried out to test for adsorption of the three distinct 170 molecules composing GEN. Figure 1 illustrates the significant signal diminution, of about 50% 171 for each component of the GEN mix when using glass vials. Moreover, the variations in-172 between vials were also increased in glass container. PP vials were hence used for all the study. 173 No adsorption difference was noted between the three gentamicin components as their 174 distribution was unchanged between glass and PP vials: 29% GEN C1; 34% GEN C1A and 175 37% GEN C2.

- 176
- 177
- 178
- 179
- 180
- 181
- 182

183 Figure 1: Comparison of the LC-MS/MS signals of GEN C1, GEN C1A and GEN C2



solutions at 200 ng.ml-1, left for 24h at 4°C in PP or glass vials (n = 3).

185

186

187 3.2. IPLC-MS/MS method optimization



189 Alkanesulfonates ion-pairing salts from sodium butanesulfonate to sodium decanesulfonate 190 were tested for the separation of AGs. Each salt was evaluated individually at 50 mM, by mixing 191 $300 \ \mu L$ of standard solution with $600 \ \mu L$ of IP salt at 75 mM in the injection vial, except for 192 nonanesulfonate and decanesulfonate, tested at 25 mM due to their lower critical micellar 193 concentration (estimated from [37] at 65 mM and 32 mM for nonanesulfonate and 194 decanesulfonate, respectively). An injection was also realised without addition of IP salt. The 195 separation was evaluated by considering the retention factor (k). An illustration of the results 196 for three aminoglycosides with dispersed retention times (SPEC, low; KAN, middle, NEO, 197 high) are presented in Figure 2. It can be observed that when no salt was added, all compounds 198 were eluted in the column dead volume, without separation. On the other hand, a separation 199 was possible in the presence of salts, with k increase with the carbon chain length. Same 200 behaviour was observed for all targeted AGs. Satisfactory separation was obtained when using

- 201 ion pairing alkanesulfonate salt between n=6 and n=7. For n>7, similar retention was observed
- 202 for compounds that were previously separated with heptanesulfonate salt.
- 203

Figure 2: Evolution of retention factor k for the aminoglycosides SPEC, KAN and NEO
 as a function of the ion pair carbon chain length



To further optimize the separation, different proportions of hexanesulfonate (IP6) and heptanesulfonate (IP7) salts were tested: 0/100, 25/75, 50/50, 75/25 and 100/0. For all AGs, an increase of the IP7 percentage resulted in an increase of the retention factor (Figure 3). The 50/50 proportion of hexanesulfonate and heptanesulfonate ion pairing salt was finally chosen, which allowed an satisfactory separation in 8 minutes . If more retention or faster separation is required, the method could be easily modulated by modifying IP ratios.

- 213
- 214
- 215
- 216
- 217





220

221 3.2.2. Organic solvent mobile phase

222 After the selection of the ion pairing salts, interest was moved to the organic solvent used (ACN 223 or MeOH) to both reduce the analysis run time and improve separation. When ACN was used 224 in the mobile phase, all compounds eluted earlier, reducing the analytical time, but also 225 reducing the resolution between compounds that elutes at close retention times i.e. streptomycin 226 and dihydrostreptomycin or the gentamicin components. A one to one mixture of MeOH/ACN 227 was finally selected to obtain a satisfactory separation of critical pairs while keeping a shorter 228 analysis time than using only MeOH. The presence of MeOH also allowed better ionisation of 229 each molecule in the MS source (data not shown).

230

231 3.2.3. Column conditioning and storage

To ensure reproducibility of analysis, the column needed to be conditioned with IP salts beforeeach sequence. To determine the number of injections needed to obtain a repeatable retention

times, a serie of six standards injections in IP6/IP7 (50/50, v/v) salt was carried out on a clean column (with salt removed, with the procedure described thereafter). The evolution of the retention factors with the number of injections is presented in Figure 4 and it can be noticed that constant retention factors were obtained after four injections of IP salts. Therefore, in order to maintain repeatable retention times, six consecutive injections of IP6/IP7 (50/50, v/v) salts were realized prior to any sequence of analysis. The final chromatogram is presented in supplementary materials (Figure S2).

241



Figure 4 : Evolution of the average retention factor of all targeted AGs with injectionnumber

244

245

One of the major drawbacks in using ion pairing chromatography is the possible clogging of the LC column. Removal of the salts after an analysis sequence is important to preserve the column and avoid increasing pressure, or even column blockage. The cleaning procedure proposed included three steps. First the LC was disconnected from the mass spectrometer and the flow was directed to a waste bottle. A 75/25 H₂O/MeOH mixture was then flowed through 251 the column at 100 μ L.min⁻¹ for 15-20 min for optimal salts solubilisation. Then, 50/50 252 H₂O/MeOH was flowed at the same rate for 15 min, resulting in a higher pressure, allowing 253 further penetration in the column particles pores and further salts solubilisation. Finally, 25/75 254 H₂O/MeOH mixture was flowed at 300 μ L.min⁻¹ to equilibrate the column for storage and to 255 allow the C18 chains to be reconditioned.

256

257 3.3. Method validation

258 3.3.1. Linearity of the method

First, approximate quantification limits of aminoglycosides were determined by injecting replicates (n=3) of standard solutions, based on signal-to-noise ratios of 10. Aminoglycosides response linearity was then determined from the injection of standards. Each compound displayed a good linearity over the selected range, with determination coefficients (R^2) greater than 0.99 (Table 1).

			Linearity			LOQ		2 LOQ		10 LOQ	
	Retention time (min) (%RSD ^b)	LOQ (ng.ml ⁻¹)	Range (ng.ml ¹) (0.5LQ- 50LQ)	R ²	Transition ratio (%RSD ^b)	Mean (%RSD ^(a/b))	Accuracy (%)	Mean (%RSD ^(a/b))	Accuracy (%)	Mean (%RSD ^(a/b))	Accuracy (%)
SPEC	3.4 (1.0)	9.6	5-500	0.992	0.58 (1.3)	9.0 (5/33)	94	20.2 (5/4)	105	96.2 (1/6)	101
SPEC+H2O	3.4 (1.0)	9.6	5-500	0.998	0.29 (0.7)	10.2 (5/18)	107	19.7 (4/5)	103	98.2 (4/2)	103
STREP	4.4 (1.3)	5.2	2-260	0.994	0.54 (2.3)	5.4 (4/20)	104	10.3 (3/2)	99	50.7 (1/4)	98
DHSTREP	4.4 (1.1)	0.7	0.3-35	0.995	0.37 (3.0)	0.7(19/11)	96	1.5 (12/10)	104	7.1 (6/5)	101
KANA	5.2 (1.2)	3.1	1-160	0.991	0.65 (1.9)	3.5 (6/8)	111	6.4 (2/4)	101	31.5 (4/0.6)	100
APRA	5.8 (0.3)	17	8-850	0.996	0.86 (1.6)	15.7 (6/23)	92	35 (6/12)	103	170.9 (2/11)	100
GEN C1	6.5 (0.4)	45	22-2230	0.995	0.84 (3.5)	40.0 (14/4)	90	87.8 (7/10)	98	443.8 (7/2)	100
GEN C1A	6.2 (0.5)	28	14-1420	0.999	0.57 (3.3)	30.4 (4/24)	107	55.5 (4/7)	98	285.2 (2/4)	100
GEN C2	6.3 (0.8)	64	30-3200	0.998	0.60 (2.3)	65.5 (6/10)	103	122.3 (6/4)	96	620.3 (1/6)	98
NEO	6.8 (0.1)	465	230-23234	0.995	0,49 (1.4)	577 (13/3)	124	1022.6 (7/5)	110	4671.5 (4/5)	101
NEO 2+	6.8 (0.1)	464	230-23234	0.994	0.76 (6.2)	/	/	941.3 (12/7)	101	4703.9 (9/2)	101
SISO	6.0 (0.5)	111	55-5531	0.995	0.54 (1.5)	120.4 (11/8)	109	22.3 (11/7)	100	1116.8 (7/3)	101
SISO 2+	6.0 (1.5)	111	55-5531	0.994	0.36 (12.9)	111.7 (17/21)	101	222.1 (8/12)	100	1064.4 (3/7)	96

 264
 Table 1: Validation results: Linearity and reproducibility at 3 different levels (a: intraday n=3; b: interday n=3 days)

266 3.3.2. Limits of quantification

267 Quantification limits of the optimized analytical method were calculated according to the 268 obtained calibration curves and the coefficients of variation, and were comprised between 0.6 269 and 460 ng.mL⁻¹ (Table 1) therefore consistent with the literature for aqueous environmental 270 matrices (Table 2). Mass spectrometry response factors of NEO and SISO were lower leading 271 to higher LOQs than for the other analytes [20]. It is worthnoting that previous studies included 272 a pre-concentration step (solid phase extraction, lyophilisation) whereas the method optimised 273 in the present work includes a simple addition of salts in the injection vial. It is therefore just 274 as sensitive while being faster and less solvent consuming.

For STREP, DHSTREP and KAN, the method developed in this work resulted in improved LOQ by a factor of 10, 50 and 5, respectively, regarding the work of Mokh et al. [20], who used pentafluoropropionic acid as IP in the mobile phases with a 16 min run time. Qiu et al. [21] reported similar LOQs, after a preconcentration step by a factor of 10.

Analytes		Matrix	Sample preparation	LC conditons	LOQs (ng.ml ⁻¹)	Ref.	
SPEC,	SPEC, STREP,		SPE	IPLC-MS/MS	15-45	[21]	
DHSTREP, GE	ZN, KAN,						
APRA, SISO, N	EO						
SPEC,	STREP,	Wastewaters	Lyophilisation	Mixed mode	2-20	[22]	
DHSTREP, GE	CN, KAN,			LC-MS/MS			
APRA, SISO,NI	EO						
SPEC		Liquid hog manure	SPE	HILIC-MS/MS	6	[23]	
SPEC,	STREP,	Wastewaters	Centrifugation and	IPLC-MS/MS	0.3-60	This work	
DHSTREP, GE	CN, KAN,		dilution by salt additions		(100-500 for SISO and		
APRA, SISO, N	EO				NEO)		

Table 2 : Quantification limits obtained in different environmental matrices

283

3.3.3. Intraday repeatability, intermediate precision and recovery

284 Results of both repeatability and intermediate precision experiments are compiled in 285 Table 1. Concerning the intraday repeatability, RSD were measured inferior to 20% at LOQ 286 level for all compounds, showing good repeatability from one analysis to another. At 10*LOQ 287 level, RSD are further reduced, below 5% for 80% of the followed molecules. For intermediate 288 precision, at LOQ levels, most RSD were measured below 25%, except for SPEC, evaluated at 289 33%. As [M+H]⁺ ion was only monitored for confirmation, this higher variation had no impact 290 on the validation process. These variations were deemed acceptable based on the paper from 291 Rambla-Alegra et al. [38], stating that, for analyte concentration around the ng.g⁻¹ level, RSD 292 below 30% are reasonable. At levels 2*LOQ and 10*LOQ, all RSD were below 12%. For all 293 levels, quantification was accurately realised with calculated values within $\pm 15\%$ of the 294 nominal concentration. As responses of the MRM transitions corresponding to NEO 2+ were 295 very variable at the LOQ level, it was excluded from the reproducibility results. This exclusion 296 did not impact the results as the singly-charged ion for neomycin was well detected at the LOQ. 297 Both variations on the retention time and the ratio between the two followed transitions were 298 also recorded, and presented in Table 1. Throughout the analysis, retention time variations were 299 all lower than 0.1 min, and ratio variations were all below 5%RSD, except for the doubly 300 charged transitions we followed for NEO and SISO. These higher variations could be explained 301 by the tendency of the molecules to form either the single charged ion or the doubly charged, 302 with no predominant form.

Qiu et al. reported recoveries between 66% and 116% of their analytes at 20, 50 and 100 ng.mL⁻
 ¹ with inter-day RSD below 16%. The method presented here reduced the recoveries range to
 85%-115%. Direct injection of the sample avoids some losses due to lyophilisation step.

306

307 3.3.4. Matrix effects

The matrix effects, expressed in %, are presented in Figure 5. For most molecules, matrix effects were comprised within -20% and 20% and was considered negligible. Therefore the quantification could be performed from a calibration realised in solvent. Only APRA and the doubly-charged ion for SISO presented higher matrix effect (a signal inhibition) and would require a matrix match calibration or the use of isotope labeled standards. Further dilution of the sample could reduce those matrix effect to enable only calibration in solvent.

314





317

318

319 3.4. Application to WW samples

320 Six samples of raw and treated WW were analysed unspiked and spiked at 10*LOQ with all 321 the aminoglycoside standards. Blank controls composed of H₂O/ACN/MeOH (90/5/5) +0.1% 322 formic acid, corresponding to the chromatographic initial conditions, were injected during the

323 analytical batch, in the same way as the standard solutions and the samples No aminoglycoside 324 was detected in unspiked samples neither raw nor treated wastewaters. Chromatograms are 325 supplied in supplementary materials (Figure S2). In similar studies, Löffler et al.[19] and Mokh et al.[20] only detected GEN (average 4 ng.mL⁻¹ and 0.3 ng.mL⁻¹, respectively) in hospital 326 327 effluents, as GEN can also be used in human medicine. Regarding spiked samples, accuracy at 328 10*LOQ was calculated and was comprised between 75% and 125%, as reported in Table 1. 329 These results demonstrate again the negligible matrix effects induced by this method, even 330 when analysing more complex environmental water samples, such as wastewaters.

331

332 4. Conclusion

333 The analytical method developed in this study allows the simultaneous determination of 10 334 aminoglycosides in environmental water samples, with simple, fast, and eco-friendly sample 335 preparation. Ion pairing liquid chromatography was developed with a novelty : adding the ion-336 pairing salt directly in the sample vial instead of the mobile phases reservoirs. This allowed the 337 use of lower quantity of ion-pairing salt per analysis, therefore limiting their negative impact in 338 mass spectrometry such as signal inhibitions, while still obtaining a good and consistant 339 separation. The method was found sensitive and reliable in environmental analysis with almost 340 non existent matrix effects, recoveries comprised between 85% and 115%, with low variations 341 across intra and inter-day analyses. This work is the 1st to demonstrate the ability of "in vial 342 addition only" IPLC-MS/MS methods to monitor accurately, in environmental waters, highly 343 polar molecules and could be adapted to other substances of this type, with modulation of IP 344 reagents ratios or chain length.

345

346 Acknowledgment

- 347 The authors thank the French Biodiversity Office (OFB) and the French RMC Water Agency
- 348 for funding this study as part of the RISMEAU project (scientific partners: ISA, INRA, INSA,
- 349 INSERM and ENTPE). This work was performed within the framework of the EUR H2O'Lyon
- 350 (ANR-17-EURE-0018) of Université de Lyon (UdL), within the program "Investissements
- 351 d'Avenir" operated by the French National Research Agency (ANR).
- 352
- 353

- 354
- 355
- 356 References
- A. Soulier, E. Jardé, B. Le Bot, L. Carrera, A. Jaffrézic, Veterinary pharmaceuticals:
 molecules to monitor in agricultural catchment, TSM. (2016) 69–92.
 https://doi.org/10.1051/tsm/201611069.
- ESVAC, Trends in the sales of veterinary antimicrobial agents in nine European countries,
 reporting period :2005-2009, 2011.
- [3] ESVAC, Sales of veterinary antimicrobial agents in 31 European countries in 2017,
 Trends from 2010 to 2017, ESVAC, 2019. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/veterinary regulatory/overview/antimicrobial-resistance/european-surveillance-veterinary antimicrobial-consumption-esvac#annual-report-on-sales-of-veterinary-antibiotics section.
- 367 [4] D. Asakawa, M. Uemura, T. Sakiyama, T. Yamano, Sensitivity enhancement of
 368 aminoglycosides in hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography with tandem mass
 369 spectrometry by post-column addition of trace sodium acetate in methanol, Food Addit.
 370 Contam. Part A. 35 (2018) 1116–1126. https://doi.org/10.1080/19440049.2017.1388543.
- [5] C. Chiaochan, U. Koesukwiwat, S. Yudthavorasit, N. Leepipatpiboon, Efficient
 hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry for the
 multiclass analysis of veterinary drugs in chicken muscle, Anal. Chim. Acta. 682 (2010)
 117–129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2010.09.048.
- E. Adams, R. Schepers, L.W. Gathu, R. Kibaya, E. Roets, J. Hoogmartens, Liquid
 chromatographic analysis of a formulation containing polymyxin, gramicidin and
 neomycin, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 15 (1997) 505–511. https://doi.org/10.1016/S07317085(96)01881-X.
- E. Caudron, S. Baghriche, P. Prognon, D. Pradeau, Simultaneous Quantification of
 Gentamicin and Colistin Sulfate in Pharmaceuticals using Ion-Pairing and Polarity
 Gradient Chromatography with Low-UV Detection, Chromatographia. 76 (2012) 9.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10337-013-2478-7.
- T. Reemtsma, U. Berger, H.P.H. Arp, H. Gallard, T.P. Knepper, M. Neumann, J.B.
 Quintana, P. de Voogt, Mind the Gap: Persistent and Mobile Organic Compounds—Water
 Contaminants That Slip Through, Environ. Sci. Technol. 50 (2016) 10308–10315.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b03338.
- M. Glinka, W. Wojnowski, A. Wasik, Determination of aminoglycoside antibiotics:
 Current status and future trends, TrAC Trends Anal. Chem. 131 (2020) 116034.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2020.116034.
- J.B. Arsand, L. Jank, M.T. Martins, R.B. Hoff, F. Barreto, T.M. Pizzolato, C. Sirtori,
 Determination of aminoglycoside residues in milk and muscle based on a simple and fast
 extraction procedure followed by liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass
 spectrometry and time of flight mass spectrometry, Talanta. 154 (2016) 38–45.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2016.03.045.
- [11] M.E. Dasenaki, C.S. Michali, N.S. Thomaidis, Analysis of 76 veterinary pharmaceuticals
 from 13 classes including aminoglycosides in bovine muscle by hydrophilic interaction
 liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry, J. Chromatogr. A. 1452 (2016) 67–
 80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2016.05.031.

- 399 [12] G. Saluti, I. Diamanti, D. Giusepponi, L. Pucciarini, R. Rossi, S. Moretti, R. Sardella, R.
 400 Galarini, Simultaneous determination of aminoglycosides and colistins in food, Food
 401 Chem. 266 (2018) 9–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.05.113.
- 402 [13] M.I. Berruga, A. Molina, R.L. Althaus, M.P. Molina, Control and prevention of antibiotic
 403 residues and contaminants in sheep and goat's milk, Small Rumin. Res. 142 (2016) 38–
 404 43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2016.02.023.
- [14] K. El Hawari, S. Mokh, S. Doumyati, M. Al Iskandarani, E. Verdon, Development and
 validation of a multiclass method for the determination of antibiotic residues in honey
 using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry, Food Addit. Contam. Part A. 34
 (2017) 582–597. https://doi.org/10.1080/19440049.2016.1232491.
- 409 [15] I. Perkons, I. Pugajeva, V. Bartkevics, Simultaneous screening and quantification of
 410 aminoglycoside antibiotics in honey using mixed-mode liquid chromatography with
 411 quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectroscopy with heated electrospray ionization, J. Sep.
 412 Sci. 41 (2018) 3186–3194. https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201800230.
- [16] B. Yang, L. Wang, C. Luo, X. Wang, C. Sun, Simultaneous Determination of 11
 Aminoglycoside Residues in Honey, Milk, and Pork by Liquid Chromatography with
 Tandem Mass Spectrometry and Molecularly Imprinted Polymer Solid Phase Extraction,
 J. AOAC Int. 100 (2017) 1869–1878. https://doi.org/10.5740/jaoacint.16-0399.
- [17] A. Gajda, E. Nowacka-Kozak, M. Gbylik-Sikorska, A. Posyniak, Multi-residues UHPLC-417 418 MS/MS analysis of 53 antibacterial compounds in poultry feathers as an analytical tool in 419 food safety assurance, J. Chromatogr. B. 1104 (2019)182–189. 420 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2018.11.020.
- [18] P. Kumar, A. Rubies, R. Companyo, F. Centrich, Determination of aminoglycoside
 residues in kidney and honey samples by hydrophilic interaction chromatography-tandem
 mass spectrometry, J Sep Sci. 35 (2012) 8. https://doi.org/10.1002/jddc.20120344.
- 424 [19] D. Löffler, T.A. Ternes, Analytical method for the determination of the aminoglycoside
 425 gentamicin in hospital wastewater via liquid chromatography–electrospray-tandem mass
 426 spectrometry, J. Chromatogr. A. 1000 (2003) 583–588. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021427 9673(03)00059-1.
- [20] S. Mokh, F. Jaber, A. Kouzayha, H. Budzinski, M. Al Iskandarani, Optimization and Comparisons for Separation, Detection and Quantification of 12 Aminoglycosides Using 2 Chromatographic Conditions by LC-MS/MS, Am. J. Anal. Chem. 05 (2014) 982–994. https://doi.org/10.4236/ajac.2014.514105.
- [21] J. Qiu, Q. Liu, M. Zhang, X. Li, J. Zhang, R. Xiong, L. He, Simultaneous Determination
 of Aminoglycoside Residues in Environmental Water Matrices by Lyophilization
 Combined with Liquid Chromatography–Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS),
 Anal. Lett. (2020) 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1080/00032719.2020.1734606.
- 436 [22] K.M. Peru, S.L. Kuchta, J.V. Headley, A.J. Cessna, Development of a hydrophilic
 437 interaction chromatography-mass spectrometry assay for spectinomycin and lincomycin
 438 in liquid hog manure supernatant and run-off from cropland, J. Chromatogr. A. 1107
 439 (2006) 152–158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2005.12.057.
- [23] R. Oertel, V. Neumeister, W. Kirch, Hydrophilic interaction chromatography combined
 with tandem-mass spectrometry to determine six aminoglycosides in serum, J.
 Chromatogr. A. 1058 (2004) 197–201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2004.08.158.
- 443 [24] M.-C. Savoy, P.M. Woo, P. Ulrich, A. Tarres, P. Mottier, A. Desmarchelier,
 444 Determination of 14 aminoglycosides by LC-MS/MS using molecularly imprinted
 445 polymer solid phase extraction for clean-up, Food Addit. Contam. Part A. 35 (2018) 674–
 446 685. https://doi.org/10.1080/19440049.2018.1433332.

- 447 [25] É. Alechaga, E. Moyano, M.T. Galceran, Mixed-mode liquid chromatography coupled to
 448 tandem mass spectrometry for the analysis of aminoglycosides in meat, Anal. Bioanal.
 449 Chem. 406 (2014) 4941–4953. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-014-7912-7.
- [26] F. Ianni, L. Pucciarini, A. Carotti, G. Saluti, S. Moretti, V. Ferrone, R. Sardella, R.
 Galarini, B. Natalini, Hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography of aminoglycoside
 antibiotics with a diol-type stationary phase, Anal. Chim. Acta. 1044 (2018) 174–180.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2018.08.008.
- 454 [27] C. Díez, D. Guillarme, A. Staub Spörri, E. Cognard, D. Ortelli, P. Edder, S. Rudaz,
 455 Aminoglycoside analysis in food of animal origin with a zwitterionic stationary phase and
 456 liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry, Anal. Chim. Acta. 882 (2015) 127–
 457 139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2015.03.050.
- 458 [28] D.V. McCalley, Understanding and manipulating the separation in hydrophilic interaction
 459 liquid chromatography, J. Chromatogr. A. 1523 (2017) 49–71.
 460 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2017.06.026.
- 461 [29] A. Joseph, A. Rustum, Development and validation of a RP-HPLC method for the
 462 determination of gentamicin sulfate and its related substances in a pharmaceutical cream
 463 using a short pentafluorophenyl column and a Charged Aerosol Detector, J. Pharm.
 464 Biomed. Anal. 51 (2010) 521–531. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2009.09.002.
- [30] A.M. Gremilogianni, N.C. Megoulas, M.A. Koupparis, Hydrophilic interaction vs ion pair
 liquid chromatography for the determination of streptomycin and dihydrostreptomycin
 residues in milk based on mass spectrometric detection, J. Chromatogr. A. 1217 (2010)
 6646–6651. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2010.04.059.
- [31] X. Wang, S. Yang, Y. Li, J. Zhang, Y. Jin, W. Zhao, Y. Zhang, J. Huang, P. Wang, C.
 Wu, J. Zhou, Optimization and application of parallel solid-phase extraction coupled with
 ultra-high performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry for the
 determination of 11 aminoglycoside residues in honey and royal jelly, J. Chromatogr. A.
 1542 (2018) 28–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2018.02.029.
- 474 [32] Y. Bijleveld, T. de Haan, J. Toersche, S. Jorjani, J. van der Lee, F. Groenendaal, P. Dijk, 475 A. van Heijst, A.W.D. Gavilanes, R. de Jonge, K.P. Dijkman, H. van Straaten, M. Rijken, 476 I. Zonnenberg, F. Cools, D. Nuytemans, R. Mathôt, A simple quantitative method 477 analysing amikacin, gentamicin, and vancomycin levels in human newborn plasma using 478 ion-pair liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry and its applicability to a 479 clinical study, J. Chromatogr. B. 951-952 (2014)110-118. 480 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2014.01.035.
- [33] S.Å. Gustavsson, J. Samskog, K.E. Markides, B. Långström, Studies of signal suppression
 in liquid chromatography–electrospray ionization mass spectrometry using volatile ionpairing reagents, J. Chromatogr. A. 937 (2001) 41–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/S00219673(01)01328-0.
- [34] S.J. Lehotay, A.R. Lightfield, Simultaneous analysis of aminoglycosides with many other
 classes of drug residues in bovine tissues by ultrahigh-performance liquid
 chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry using an ion-pairing reagent added to final
 extracts, Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 410 (2018) 1095–1109. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216017-0688-9.
- 490 [35] V.G. Amelin, D.S. Bol'shakov, Rapid Determination of Aminoglycosides in Milk by
 491 Exact Ion Masses Using Ultra-High-Performance Liquid Chromatography–High
 492 Resolution Quadrupole Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry, J. Anal. Chem. 74 (2019)
 493 S24–S32. https://doi.org/10.1134/S1061934819090156.
- 494 [36] S.J. Lehotay, K. Mastovska, A.R. Lightfield, A. Nuñez, T. Dutko, C. Ng, L. Bluhm, Rapid
 495 analysis of aminoglycoside antibiotics in bovine tissues using disposable pipette

- 496 extraction and ultrahigh performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry,
 497 J. Chromatogr. A. 1313 (2013) 103–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2013.08.103.
- 498 [37] P.D.T. Huibers, V.S. Lobanov, A.R. Katritzky, D.O. Shah, M. Karelson, Prediction of
 499 Critical Micelle Concentration Using a Quantitative Structure–Property Relationship
 500 Approach, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 187 (1997) 113–120.
 501 https://doi.org/10.1006/jcis.1996.4680.
- 502 [38] M. Rambla-Alegre, J. Esteve-Romero, S. Carda-Broch, Is it really necessary to validate
 503 an analytical method or not? That is the question, J. Chromatogr. A. 1232 (2012) 101–
 504 109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2011.10.050.
- 505