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# RATIONALITY OF SECANT ZETA VALUES 

## PIERRE CHAROLLOIS AND MATTHEW GREENBERG


#### Abstract

We use the Arakawa-Berndt theory of generalized $\eta$-functions to prove a conjecture of Lalín, Rodrigue and Rogers concerning the algebraic nature of special values of the secant zeta function.


## 1. Introduction

The cotangent and secant zeta functions attached to an algebraic irrational number $\alpha$ are defined, for $\operatorname{Re}(s)$ large enough, by the Dirichlet series

$$
\xi(\alpha, s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cot (\pi n \alpha)}{n^{s}} \quad \text { and } \quad \psi(\alpha, s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sec (\pi n \alpha)}{n^{s}}
$$

respectively. The cotangent zeta function was introduced for $s=2 k+1, k \geq 1$ an integer, by Lerch [7], and for general $s$ by Berndt [4] in the course of his study of generalized Dedekind sums. Lerch stated (without proof) the following functional equation valid for algebraic irrational $\alpha$ and sufficiently large $k=k(\alpha)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi(\alpha, 2 k+1)+\alpha^{2 k} \xi\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}, 2 k+1\right)=(2 \pi)^{2 k+1} \varphi(\alpha, 2 k+1), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\varphi(\alpha, n)=\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \frac{B_{j} B_{n+1-j}}{j!(n+1-j)!} \alpha^{j-1}
$$

and $B_{k}$ is the $k$-th Bernoulli number. Suppose $\alpha \neq \pm 1$ is a unit in the quadratic field $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ of discriminant $d$. Writing $\alpha=\frac{a+b \sqrt{d}}{2}$ and defining $\varepsilon= \pm 1$ by $a^{2}-b^{2} d=\varepsilon$, we have $\frac{1}{\alpha}=-\varepsilon(\alpha-a)$. Using the obvious identities $\xi(-\alpha, s)=-\xi(\alpha, s)$ and $\xi(\alpha-1, s)=\xi(\alpha, s)$ together with (1), we deduce the following rationality result:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\xi(\alpha, 2 k+1)}{(2 \pi)^{2 k+1} \sqrt{d}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \frac{\varphi(\alpha, 2 k+1)}{1-\varepsilon \alpha^{2 k}} \in \mathbf{Q} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Another proof of this result was given by Berndt [4, Theorem 5.2]. More generally, if $\alpha$ is an arbitrary real quadratic irrationality, then Lerch uses the continued fraction expansion of $\alpha$ to conclude that the left hand side of (2) belongs to $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$ - see [7, (3)].

Lalín, Rodrigue and Rogers conjecture an analogous result for the secant zeta function:
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Conjecture 1.1 ([6], Conjecture 1]). Suppose $d$ and $k$ are positive integers such that $d$ is not a square. Then

$$
\frac{\psi(\sqrt{d}, 2 k)}{\pi^{2 k}} \in \mathbf{Q}
$$

They prove a functional equation for $\psi(\alpha, s)$ analogous to (1) and use it to deduce many instances of Conjecture 1.1 as above. In $\$ 2$, we show that their functional equation is actually sufficient to prove in general that $\psi(\alpha, 2 k) \in \pi^{2 k} \mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$ for all real quadratic irrationalities $\alpha$, not merely those of the form $\alpha=\sqrt{d}$.

In $\S 3$, we relate $\psi(\alpha, s)$ to the generalized $\eta$-functions studied by Arakawa [1], fascinating objects in their own right. Using this relationship, we leverage Arakawa's results to give an explicit formula for $\psi(\alpha, 2 k)$ for real quadratic irrationalities $\alpha$, yielding another proof of Conjecture 1.1.

## 2. The Lalín-Rodrigue-Rogers functional equation

One can prove Conjecture 1.1 following Lerch's approach for the cotangent zeta function. Most of this argument was given in [6]; we complete their thought. Let $A, B \in \mathbf{P S L}_{2}(\mathbf{Z})$ be defined by

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
2 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

The following functional equations are established in [6, (4.1), (4.2)]:
$\psi(A \alpha, 2 k)=\psi(\alpha, 2 k)$,
$\psi(B \alpha, 2 k)=(2 \alpha+1)^{1-2 k} \psi(\alpha, 2 k)$

$$
-\frac{\pi^{2 k}}{(2 k)!} \sum_{m=0}^{2 k}\left(2^{m-1}-1\right) B_{m} E_{2 k-m}\binom{2 k}{m}(\alpha+1)^{2 k-m}\left((2 \alpha+1)^{m-2 k}-(2 \alpha+1)^{1-2 k}\right) .
$$

Here, $B_{n}$ is the $n$-th Bernoulli number and $E_{n}$ is the $n$-th Euler number. It follows that if $C \in\langle A, B\rangle$ then there is a $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$-linear relation between $\psi(C \alpha, 2 k), \psi(\alpha, 2 k)$ and $\pi^{2 k}$. Thus, if there is a matrix $C \in\langle A, B\rangle$ such that $C \alpha=\alpha$ then $\psi(\alpha, 2 k) \in \pi^{2 k} \mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$. In [6, §4], several families of examples of such pairs $(\alpha, C)$ are given and the associated linear relations are worked out explicitly. We merely point out that if $\alpha$ is any real quadratic irrationality, then there is always a $C \in\langle A, B\rangle, C \neq 1$ such that $C \alpha=\alpha$.

To see this, let $\alpha$ be a real quadratic irrationality and consider the lattice $L=\mathbf{Z}+\mathbf{Z} \alpha \subset$ $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the order of $L$ :

$$
\mathcal{O}=\{x \in \mathbf{Q}(\alpha): x L \subset L\} .
$$

Then $\mathcal{O}$ is an order in $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$. Let $u \in \mathcal{O}$. Writing $u \cdot \alpha=a \alpha+b$ and $u \cdot 1=c \alpha+d$ with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{Z}$, we have

$$
u\binom{\alpha}{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\binom{\alpha}{1} .
$$

Write $j(u)$ for the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$ associated to $u$ above. Then $j: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathbf{Z})$ is a ring homomorphism. Since $\binom{\alpha}{1}$ is an eigenvector of $j(u)$ for all $u \in \mathcal{O}$, we have $j(u) \alpha:=\frac{a \alpha+b}{c \alpha+d}=\alpha$ when $u \neq 0$. By Dirichlet's unit theorem, the group $\mathcal{O}_{+}^{*}$ of totally positive units in $\mathcal{O}$ is free of rank 1 ; write $\mathcal{O}_{+}^{*}=\langle\gamma\rangle$. By [9, p. 84], $\langle A, B\rangle$ is the principal congruence subgroup
$\Gamma(2) \subset \mathbf{P S L}_{2}(\mathbf{Z})$, this inclusion having index 6 . Therefore, $C:=j\left(\gamma^{6}\right)$ satisfies $C \neq 1$, $C \in \Gamma(2)$ and $C \alpha=\alpha$.

## 3. Generalized $\eta$-Functions and secant zeta values

Arakawa [2] gave another proof of (2) by relating $\xi(\alpha, s)$ to generalized $\eta$-functions, the theory of which he developed in [1]. In turn, Arakawa's work has its foundations in papers of Lewittes [8] and Berndt [3]. We show that Arakawa's method can also be used to analyze the secant zeta function.

For $x \in \mathbf{R}$, define $\langle x\rangle$ (resp., $\{x\}$ ) by

$$
0<\langle x\rangle \leq 1 \quad(\text { resp. } 0 \leq\{x\}<1) \quad \text { and } \quad x-\langle x\rangle \in \mathbf{Z} \quad \text { (resp., } x-\{x\} \in \mathbf{Z})
$$

We set $e(z)=e^{2 \pi i z}$.
Following [1], let $p, q \in \mathbf{R}$ and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta(\alpha, s, p, q) & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{s-1} \frac{e(n(p \alpha+q))}{1-e(n \alpha)} \\
H(\alpha, s, p, q) & =\eta(\alpha, s,\langle p\rangle, q)+e\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \eta(\alpha, s,\langle-p\rangle,-q)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.1 ([1, Lemma 1 and Theorem 2]). Suppose

$$
\alpha \in \mathbf{R} \cap \overline{\mathbf{Q}} \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha \notin \mathbf{Q}
$$

Then $\eta(\alpha, s, p, q)$ is absolutely convergent for $\Re(s)<0$. If, in addition,

$$
[\mathbf{Q}(\alpha): \mathbf{Q}]=2 \quad \text { and } \quad p, q \in \mathbf{Q}
$$

then $H(\alpha, s, p, q)$ has analytic continuation to $\mathbf{C}-\{0\}$, and the singularity at $s=0$ is at worst a simple pole.

Remark 3.2. The convergence of $\eta(\alpha, s, p, q)$ relies on the Thue-Siegel-Roth theorem in much the same way that the convergence of $\psi(\alpha, s)$ does - see [6, Theorem 1].

An elementary computation yields

$$
\xi(\alpha, s)=-2 i\left(\frac{H(\alpha, 1-s, 1,0)}{1+e\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}+\frac{1}{2} \zeta(s)\right)
$$

so rationality statements for $H(\alpha, 1-s, 1,0)$ for even integral $s$ translate to rationality statements for $\xi(\alpha, s)$ at odd integral $s$. In contrast, $\psi(\alpha, s)$ does not seem to have a simple expression in terms of $H(\alpha, s, p, q)$. Crucially, however, we still have a relation between certain special values of $H$ and $\psi$ relying on the relation $\frac{3}{4}=-\frac{1}{4}+1$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1-s\right) & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{s-1} \frac{2}{e\left(\frac{n \alpha}{4}\right)+e\left(-\frac{n \alpha}{4}\right)} \\
& =2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{s-1} \frac{e\left(\frac{n \alpha}{4}\right)}{1-e(n \alpha)}-2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{s-1} \frac{e\left(\frac{3 n \alpha}{4}\right)}{1-e(n \alpha)} \\
& =2 \eta\left(\alpha, s,\left\langle\frac{1}{4}\right\rangle, 0\right)-2 \eta\left(\alpha, s,\left\langle-\frac{1}{4}\right\rangle, 0\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $s=1-2 k$, then $e\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)=-1$ and we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, 2 k\right)=2 H\left(\alpha, 1-2 k, \frac{1}{4}, 0\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the rest of the paper, suppose that $\alpha$ is a real quadratic irrationality. Formulas of Berndt and Arakawa allow us to evaluate $H\left(\alpha, 1-2 k, \frac{1}{4}, 0\right)$ rather explicitly. Let

$$
V=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbf{S L}_{2}(\mathbf{Z})
$$

be a matrix such that

$$
c>0 \quad \text { and } \quad \beta:=c \alpha+d>0 .
$$

Set

$$
\left(p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)=(p, q) V \quad \text { and } \quad \varrho=\left\{q^{\prime}\right\} c-\left\{p^{\prime}\right\} d
$$

Theorem 3.3 ([1, Theorem 1 and Eq. (1.19)]). Suppose that $p$ and $p^{\prime}$ are not in Z. Then the following transformation formula holds:

$$
\beta^{-s} H(V \alpha, s, p, q)=H\left(\alpha, s, p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)+(2 \pi)^{-s} e\left(-\frac{s}{4}\right) L\left(\alpha, s, p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}, c, d\right)
$$

where $L\left(\alpha, s, p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}, c, d\right)$ is as in (2) of [3]. If $s=-m$ is a negative integer, then

$$
L\left(\alpha,-m, p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}, c, d\right)=2 \pi i \sum_{j=1}^{c} \sum_{\ell=0}^{m+2} b_{\ell}\left(\frac{j-\left\{p^{\prime}\right\}}{c}\right) b_{m+2-\ell}\left(\left\{\frac{j d+\varrho}{c}\right\}\right)(-\beta)^{\ell-1} .
$$

Here, $b_{\ell}$ is the (normalized) $\ell$-th Bernoulli polynomial defined by the generating series

$$
\frac{u e^{u x}}{e^{u}-1}=\sum_{\ell \geq 0} b_{\ell}(x) u^{\ell}
$$

By [1, Lemma 4], for any rational numbers $p$ and $q$ there is a totally positive unit $\beta$ of $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$ and a matrix $V \in \mathbf{S L}_{2}(\mathbf{Z})$ such that

$$
c>0, \quad\left(p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right):=(p, q) V \equiv(p, q) \quad\left(\bmod \mathbf{Z}^{2}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad V\binom{\alpha}{1}=\beta\binom{\alpha}{1} .
$$

The last condition implies that $\beta=c \alpha+d$, consistently with the notation introduced above. Suppose $p \notin \mathbf{Z}$. Then $p^{\prime} \notin \mathbf{Z}$, too, as $p \equiv p^{\prime}(\bmod \mathbf{Z})$. Applying Theorem 3.3, observing that $H$ and $L$ only depend on the class of $(p, q) \equiv\left(p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)$ modulo $\mathbf{Z}^{2}$, and rearranging terms, we get

$$
\left(\beta^{-s}-1\right) H(\alpha, s, p, q)=(2 \pi)^{-s} e\left(-\frac{s}{4}\right) L(\alpha, s, p, q, c, d) .
$$

By the second part of Theorem 3.3, if $s=1-2 k$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{H(\alpha, 1-2 k, p, q)}{\pi^{2 k}}=\frac{2^{2 k}(-1)^{k}}{\left(\beta^{2 k-1}-1\right)} \sum_{j=1}^{c} \sum_{\ell=0}^{2 k+1} b_{\ell}\left(\frac{j-\{p\}}{c}\right) b_{2 k+1-\ell}\left(\left\{\frac{j d+\varrho}{c}\right\}\right)(-\beta)^{\ell-1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $(p, q)=\left(\frac{1}{4}, 0\right)$ and using (3) , this formula specializes to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\psi\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, 2 k\right)}{\pi^{2 k}}=\frac{2^{2 k+1}(-1)^{k}}{\left(\beta^{2 k-1}-1\right)} \sum_{j=1}^{c} \sum_{\ell=0}^{2 k+1} b_{\ell}\left(\frac{j-\frac{1}{4}}{c}\right) b_{2 k+1-\ell}\left(\left\{\frac{d\left(j-\frac{1}{4}\right)}{c}\right\}\right)(-\beta)^{\ell-1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conclude :

Theorem 3.4. Suppose $\alpha$ is a real quadratic irrationality and $k$ is a positive integer. Then

$$
\frac{\psi(\alpha, 2 k)}{\pi^{2 k}} \in \mathbf{Q}(\alpha)
$$

Moreover, if $x \mapsto x^{\prime}$ is the nontrivial automorphism of $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$, then

$$
\left(\frac{\psi(\alpha, 2 k)}{\pi^{2 k}}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{\psi\left(\alpha^{\prime}, 2 k\right)}{\pi^{2 k}}
$$

Conjecture 1.1 follows from Theorem 3.4 and the evenness of the secant function.
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