

Gas-Phase Rate Coefficient of OH + 1,2-Epoxybutane Determined between 220 and 950 K

Hajar El Othmani, Yangang Ren, Yuri Bedjanian, Souad El Hajjaji, Carmen Tovar, Peter Wiesen, Abdelwahid S Mellouki, Max Mcgillen, Véronique Daële

▶ To cite this version:

Hajar El Othmani, Yangang Ren, Yuri Bedjanian, Souad El Hajjaji, Carmen Tovar, et al.. Gas-Phase Rate Coefficient of OH + 1,2-Epoxybutane Determined between 220 and 950 K. ACS Earth and Space Chemistry, 2021, 5 (4), pp.960-968. 10.1021/acsearthspacechem.1c00050 . hal-03195480

HAL Id: hal-03195480 https://hal.science/hal-03195480v1

Submitted on 23 Sep 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Title:**

2 Gas-phase rate coefficient of OH + 1,2-epoxybutane determined between 220 and 950 K

3 Author list:

- 4 Hajar El Othmani,^{1,2} Yangang Ren,¹ Yuri Bedjanian,¹ Souad El Hajjaji,² Carmen Tovar,³
 5 Peter Wiesen,³ Abdelwahid Mellouki,¹ Max R. McGillen,^{1,*} Véronique Daële^{1,*}
- ⁶ ¹ Institut de Combustion Aérothermique Réactivité et Environnement/OSUC-CNRS, 1C
- 7 Avenue de la Recherche Scientifique, 45071 Orléans Cedex 2, France
- ² Faculty of Science, Mohammed V University in Rabat, 4 Avenue Ibn Battouta, B.P.
 1040,10100 Rabat, Morocco
- ³ University of Wuppertal, Institute for Atmospheric & Environmental Research, D-42097
- 11 Wuppertal, Germany
- 12 *Correspondence to: Véronique Daële (<u>veronique.daele@cnrs-orleans.fr</u>) and Max R.
- 13 McGillen (<u>max.mcgillen@cnrs-orleans.fr</u>)

14 Keywords:

15 Epoxide, hydroxyl, kinetics, atmospheric chemistry, non-Arrhenius

17 Abstract:

Epoxides have many primary and secondary atmospheric sources. As with other oxygenates, 18 they exhibit a complex temperature-dependent reaction with OH, whose full description is 19 20 necessary in order to understand their interactions in atmospheric and combustion 21 environments. We measured the kinetics of the title reaction using two complementary 22 absolute methods: pulsed-laser photolysis-laser-induced fluorescence (PLP-LIF) and a discharge-flow mass spectrometric system (DF-MS), both monitoring temporal decays in OH. 23 In addition, two relative methods employing the DF-MS as a function of temperature, as well 24 as several simulation chamber experiments at room temperature were performed. A very weak 25 negative temperature dependence was observed at T <285 K, and only through the 26 27 combination of precise data and a large temperature range were we able to discern the transition towards positive temperature dependence found at T≥295 K. The non-Arrhenius 28 temperature dependence of OH + 1,2-epoxybutane implies the agency of pre-reactive 29 complexes in this reaction mechanism, albeit with a smaller effect than with its acyclic ether 30 analogues. This will have implications for understanding the chemical fate of epoxides within 31 32 oxidizing environments.

33 **1. Introduction:**

Many epoxide-forming reaction channels have so far been identified. Within the atmosphere, 34 these may include simple association reactions with oxidants such as $O(^{3}P)$ + alkenes, ¹ NO₃ + 35 alkenes, $^{2}O_{3}$ + conjugated dialkenes, $^{3-5}$ and O_{3} + halogenated/ sterically hindered alkenes. 6 36 Potentially more important are the unimolecular processes such as ring-closure reactions that 37 occur for hydroperoxyalkyl radicals,^{7,8} and unsaturated hydroperoxides.⁹ These have been 38 implicated in the formation of highly functionalized oxidation products of biogenic volatile 39 organic compounds such as isoprene epoxydiols (IEPOX), key gas-phase oxidation products 40 of isoprene. 41

There are several primary emissions of epoxides relating to industrial production, e.g.
alkoxylation reactions for polymer synthesis,¹⁰ as well as natural emissions such as floral
perfumes and other semiochemicals.¹¹

Once epoxides enter the atmosphere, they will engage in subsequent reactions. Notable among these are acid-catalyzed ring-opening processes, which lead to highly functionalized polyol species. These products have a greatly reduced volatility compared with the epoxide starting

48 material, and are thought to contribute significantly to secondary organic aerosol production.^{12–}
49 ¹⁴ However, these multiphase processes occur in competition with gas-phase oxidation
50 reactions in the atmosphere, the most important of which is expected to be hydrogen abstraction
51 reactions with the OH radical. By querying a recently compiled near-comprehensive database
52 of gas-phase atmospheric reactions of organic compounds,¹⁵ it can be concluded that as few as
53 two epoxides have been studied as a function of temperature: ethylene oxide and 1,2-propylene
54 oxide.^{16,17}

- 55 With such limited knowledge of the OH kinetics, the overall atmospheric fate of epoxides
- therefore remains highly uncertain. We address this by taking a relatively simple epoxide, 1,2-
- 57 epoxybutane (EPB), and subjecting it to a set of precise and accurate kinetic measurements,
- such that we can better understand the effects of the epoxide functionality on chemical
- reactivity throughout the tropospheric temperature range and higher, which may be of
- 60 importance to combustion chemistry.



61

- 62 Structure of 1,2-epoxybutane (EPB)
- 63 **2. Experimental methods:**

64 **2.1. Absolute measurements:**

Two absolute techniques were employed in this study to measure the temperature-dependent rate coefficient of Reaction 1:

67 1,2-epoxybutane + OH \rightarrow products

Reaction 1

Notably, the pulsed-laser photolysis–laser-induced fluorescence (PLP–LIF) technique, and the
discharge-flow mass spectrometry method (DF-MS), which are described in the following
subsections.

71 **2.1.1.** Pulsed-Laser Photolysis–Laser-induced by fluorescence measurements:

The PLP–LIF system has been described in detail elsewhere,¹⁷ and will be described only briefly here. This system consists of a 5-way cross Pyrex reaction cell (volume = 200 cm^3), the

gaseous contents of which were temperature-regulated by circulating heated or cooled fluid 74 75 through an outer jacket. Measurements were conducted over the temperature range 220-373 K and ~100 Torr of bath gas (He), and were performed under pseudo-first-order conditions, where 76 [EPB] >> [OH]. OH radicals were produced in Reactions 2 or 3 from OH precursors (H₂O₂ or 77 HNO₃) that were photolyzed at 10 Hz at a wavelength of 248 nm by a KrF excimer laser pulse. 78 79 $H_2O_2 + hv \rightarrow 2OH$ Reaction 2 $HNO_3 + hv \rightarrow OH + NO_2$ Reaction 3 80

OH radicals were detected following excitation from a probe laser pulse, tuned to excite the A² $\Sigma^+(\nu = 1) \leftarrow X^2\Pi(\nu = 0)$ transition near 282 nm using a frequency-doubled Nd:YAG pumped dye laser. The resulting fluorescence was detected using a photomultiplier tube fitted with a 308 nm bandpass filter. The photomultiplier signal was collected using a boxcar integrator and recorded on a data acquisition card (see Figure S1, Supporting Information SI). Temporal profiles of integrated OH signal were collected by changing the delay time between the photolysis and probe lasers, which, under pseudo-first-order conditions obey the following

89
$$\ln\left(\frac{[OH]_t}{[OH]_0}\right) = \ln\left(\frac{s_t}{s_0}\right) = -(k[1,2\text{-epoxybutane}] + k_d)t = -k't$$
 Equation 1

relationship:

88

where t is the delay time between laser pulses, S is the OH fluorescence signal, integrated over 90 the box-car sampling window, and k' and k_d represent the pseudo-first-order decay rate of OH 91 in the presence or absence of EPB respectively. Values of k_d were typically 30–150 s⁻¹ and were 92 93 a consequence of the reaction of OH with the OH precursor (H₂O₂ or HNO₃) and diffusion of 94 OH out of the reaction volume. We expect that this diffusion term is not truly first-order in 95 nature, and besides, given that signal:noise is reduced at long delay times, in order to ensure high-quality first-order fits in each case, we limited our temporal profiles to include only the 96 first three decades of integrated OH fluorescence signal. Values for k' were obtained through 97 an error-weighted least-squares fit of S_t vs t. Following this, an error-weighted least-squares fit 98 of k' against [EPB] yielded a slope equal to the bimolecular rate coefficient, k. This procedure 99 100 was conducted at each temperature of the dataset.

As with similar studies,^{20,21} in order to reduce systematic uncertainties in this relationship, EPB concentrations were quantified on-line using a multipass Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (pathlength = 1000 cm). For this purpose, the infrared band intensity of EPB was determined. This was achieved by introducing known concentrations of EPB from a manometrically prepared sample bulb (He bath gas) into the multipass cell, and the absorbance was quantified over a range of concentrations. Absorbance was found to obey the Beer-Lambert law over the concentration range of our measurement. During experiments, [EPB] was
monitored on-line, whereby the FTIR absorption cell was situated directly downstream from
the LIF reactor. Figure S2 shows a plot of the integrated band intensity determination.

110

111 2.1.2. Discharge-flow mass spectrometry measurements:

Complementary measurements were performed using a fast-flow reactor coupled with a 112 molecular beam sampling electron impact ionization quadrupole mass spectrometer.^{22,23} These 113 measurements were performed over a pressure range of 2–9 Torr (He), and were conducted in 114 one of two reactors, either for low- or high-temperature measurements. The low-temperature 115 flow reactor covered the temperature range 240-340 K, and consisted of a Pyrex tube (45 cm 116 in length, 2.4 cm i.d.) with an outer jacket through which a temperature-regulated fluid was 117 118 circulated (see Figure S3). To minimize wall-loss of OH, the inner surface of the reactor, as well as the movable injector, were coated with halocarbon wax. The high-temperature flow 119 reactor was employed over the temperature range 360–950 K, a quartz tube (45 cm in length, 120 2.5 cm i.d.), where the temperature was controlled with electrical heating elements (see Figure 121 122 S4).

OH was produced by passing H₂ in a bath gas (He) through a microwave discharge, yielding H
atoms, which subsequently react with NO₂ in Reaction 4, to produce OH:

Reaction 4

125
$$H + NO_2 \rightarrow OH + NO$$

126 OH radicals were detected indirectly by scavenging with Br_2 , yielding HOBr (see Reaction 5), 127 and detected at m/z 96/98 (HOBr⁺):

128
$$OH + Br_2 \rightarrow HOBr + Br$$
 Reaction 5

The excess concentrations of Br₂ employed $(3-5 \times 10^{13} \text{ molecule cm}^{-3})$ combined with the rapidity of Reaction 4, leads to efficient scavenging under our experimental conditions. This reaction was also used to determine absolute concentrations of OH through their chemical conversion to HOBr in an excess of Br₂, whereby $[OH] = [HOBr] = \Delta[Br_2]$, i.e. the concentration of OH (HOBr) was determined from the consumed fraction of $[Br_2]$. A detailed discussion on the possible influence of secondary chemistry on this method of OH detection and determination of the absolute concentrations of the radicals is presented elsewhere.²⁴

EPB was delivered to the reactor by passing carrier gas (He) over a liquid sample of pure EPB, and was detected by mass spectrometry at its parent ion of m/z = 72 (C₄H₈O⁺). The absolute

calibration of the mass spectrometer for EPB was performed by two methods. Firstly, absolute 138 concentrations of EPB (as well as of other stable species, NO₂, Br₂ and *n*-butane) were 139 calculated from the flow rates obtained from the measurements of the pressure drop of the 140 manometrically prepared mixtures in He stored in calibrated volume flasks. Secondly, a known 141 volume (generally, 0.6 µl) of sample was injected into a helium flow in the reactor under the 142 experimental conditions of the kinetic measurements (pressure, temperature, total flow of bath 143 gas) and the mass peak at m/z = 72 was recorded. The integrated area of the mass spectrometric 144 signal, corresponding to the total number of EPB molecules injected into the reactor, allowed 145 146 the determination of the calibration factor. These two methods were found to agree within 10%.

147 **2.2. Relative rate measurements:**

In addition to the absolute measurements of Section 2.1., two relative rate techniques were used to determine the rate coefficient of Reaction 1, notably, the same DF-MS described earlier to make temperature-dependent measurements, but operated in a different mode; and a 7.3 m³ Teflon atmospheric simulation chamber, used to make room-temperature measurements. These are described in the following subsections.

153 **2.2.1. Discharge-flow mass spectrometry:**

The DF-MS was used to determine the decay rate of EPB in the presence of a reference compound (Reaction 6), whose rate coefficient is already known:

156 Reference + OH \rightarrow products Reaction 6

157 Comparing these decay rates, the rate coefficient is determined from the following equation:

158
$$\ln\left(\frac{[1,2-\text{epoxybutane}]_0}{[1,2-\text{epoxybutane}]_t}\right) = \frac{k_{1,2-\text{epoxybutane}}}{k_{\text{reference}}} \ln\left(\frac{[\text{reference}]_0}{[\text{reference}]_t}\right)$$
 Equation 2

where subscripts 0 and *t* refer to initial species concentrations at time 0 and time *t* respectively. In this case, the reference compound was *n*-butane, which has a well characterized temperature dependence over a large temperature range (231-1236 K).¹⁵

162
$$k(T) = 2.09 \times 10^{-12} \exp(\frac{42}{T}) (\frac{T}{300})^{1.82}$$
 Equation 3

Initial concentrations of EPB and *n*-butane were in the range 1.8–3.6 and 0.9–2.0 × 10^{12} molecule cm⁻³, respectively. OH radicals were produced by Reaction (3) with [NO₂] $\approx 5 \times 10^{13}$ molecule cm⁻³. EPB and *n*-butane were monitored by mass spectrometry at their parent peaks

at m/z = 72 and 58, respectively. A small contribution (3–4%) of EPB at m/z = 58 was observed 166 and was subtracted from the *n*-butane signal. In addition, an appearance of a small signal at m/z167 = 72 (probably, as a result of *n*-butane secondary chemistry) was observed upon consumption 168 of *n*-butane in its reaction with OH. The corresponding corrections applied to the EPB signal at 169 m/z = 72 depended on the consumed fraction of *n*-butane and were $\leq 20\%$. Although the possible 170 impact of secondary reactions of EPB and *n*-butane with organic radicals produced in Reactions 171 (1) and OH + n-butane was not verified, it is expected to be insignificant, considering the 172 173 relatively low initial concentrations of EPB and *n*-butane used in the experiments. The observed 174 independence of the experimental results of the reaction time, which was varied from 0.02 to 0.04 s, and their good agreement (within the region of overlap) with the data obtained for the 175 176 other methods of this study supports this assumption. Another possible secondary loss of EPB/ *n*-butane may be initiated by oxygen atoms formed in the self-reaction of OH radicals (see 177 178 Reaction 7):

179
$$OH + OH \rightarrow O + H_2O$$
 Reaction 7

whose rate coefficient is as follows: 180

186

181
$$k_7 = 2.68 \times 10^{-14} \times (T/300)^{2.75} \exp(1165/T) \text{ cm}^3 \text{molecule}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} (T=220-2384 \text{ K}),^{25}$$
 Equation 4

but this has a negligible effect on the concentrations of EPB and *n*-butane, because O-atoms are 182 mainly consumed in the rapid reactions with OH radicals and NO₂ present in high 183 concentrations in the reactor: 184

185
$$O + NO_2 \rightarrow NO + O_2$$
 Reaction 8
186 $k_8 = 6.1 \times 10^{-12} \exp(155/T) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} (T = 220-950 \text{ K}),^{26}$ Equation 5

187
$$O + OH \rightarrow H + O_2$$
 Reaction 9

188
$$k_9 = 1.8 \times 10^{-11} \exp(180/T) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} (T = 136 - 515 \text{ K}),^{27}$$
 Equation 6

- 189 Examples of the observed plots of $\ln([EPB]_0/[EPB]_t)$ against $\ln([n-butane]_0/[n-butane]_t)$ are presented in Figure 3. 190
- Relative rate measurements were also performed using Br₂ (Reaction 5) as a reference, 191 conducted at a total pressure of 2–9 Torr and relied on determining the HOBr yield as a function 192
- of the [EPB]/[Br₂] ratio upon OH consumption in reaction with a mixture of Br₂ and EPB. 193

194 The fraction of the initial concentration of OH radicals, [OH]₀, transformed to HOBr in 195 Reaction 5, is:

196
$$[HOBr] = \frac{k_5[Br_2]}{k_5[Br_2] + k_1[EPB] + k_w} \times [OH]_0$$
 Equation 7

197 After rearrangement of this expression we obtain:

198
$$\frac{[OH]_0}{[HOBr]} - 1 = \frac{k_1[EPB]}{k_5[Br_2]} + \frac{k_w}{k_5[Br_2]}$$
 Equation 8

At a constant concentration of Br₂, the second term in Equation 8 is constant and k_1/k_5 can be determined as the slope of the linear dependence of ([OH]₀/[HOBr] – 1) with [EPB]/[Br₂]. In these experiments, HOBr was monitored in both EPB-free system, corresponding to [OH]₀, and in the Br₂- and EPB-containing systems, corresponding to the fraction of [OH]₀ reacted with Br₂. Reaction time was 0.03–0.07 s, [OH]₀ = 2.5–6.0×10¹¹ molecule cm⁻³, concentrations of EPB and Br₂ are shown in (Table S3, SI).

205 **2.2.2. Atmospheric simulation chamber**

Relative rate measurements were also performed in the ICARE-CNRS chamber in 760 Torr of zero air bath gas at a temperature of 291 ± 2 K. This chamber is 7.3 m³ in volume and has been described in detail in an earlier work,²⁸ and has been employed in several recent studies from our group.^{29,30} The walls of the chamber are constructed of FEP Teflon foil and contains two Teflon fans to ensure that the chamber contents are well mixed. In these experiments, the chamber was illuminated at 365 nm using an array of $24 \times UV$ -A T-40 L, Viber-Lourmat lamps, to generate OH through the following sequence of reactions:

213
$$CH_3ONO + hv \rightarrow CH_3O + NO$$
 Reaction 10

214
$$CH_3O + O_2 \rightarrow HCHO + HO_2$$
 Reaction 11

215
$$HO_2 + NO \rightarrow OH + NO_2$$
 Reaction 12

In order to prevent the ingress of atmospheric contamination into the chamber through the permeability of the Teflon foil and any small leaks, experiments were performed at a slight overpressure using a continuous flow of zero air. As a consequence, chamber contents were gradually diluted over time. This was accounted for by monitoring an inert tracer (SF₆), whose concentration was determined at regular intervals, using a multipass in-situ Fourier transform infrared spectrometer. Samples of reactants were introduced into the chamber through the injection of known volumes of liquid samples introduced into a stream of zero air flowingthrough a Pyrex impinger.

In these experiments, the relative rate was determined using the following equation:

225
$$\ln\left(\frac{[1,2-\text{epoxybutane}]_0}{[1,2-\text{epoxybutane}]_t}\right) - k_d t = \frac{k_{1,2-\text{epoxybutane}}}{k_{\text{reference}}} \ln\left(\frac{[\text{reference}]_0}{[\text{reference}]_t}\right) - k_d t$$
 Equation 9

which is similar to Equation 2, except that additional loss processes besides the reaction with OH (e.g. dilution, wall-loss and decomposition) are taken into account using the first-order term k_d . Two reference compounds were selected for these experiments: 2-propanol and ethanol, and these, together with the EPB were monitored continuously using a PTR-ToF-MS 8000 (Ionicon Analytik) operated in NH₄⁺,³¹ and H₃O⁺ modes. Both 2-propanol and ethanol have well-defined OH rate coefficients at 291 K, 5.32 and 3.31×10^{-12} cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹, respectively.¹⁵

232 **2.3 Materials:**

For DF-MS experiments: the purities of the gases used were as follows: He (>99.9995%, 233 Alphagaz), passed through a liquid nitrogen trap; H₂ (>99.998%, Alphagaz); Br₂ (>99.99%, 234 Aldrich); NO₂ (>99%, Alphagaz), EPB (>98%, Aldrich), *n*-butane (>99.5%, Air Liquide). For 235 PLP-LIF experiments: He carrier gas (Alphagaz, 99.999%) was taken directly from the cylinder 236 without further purification. Hydrogen peroxide, H₂O₂, (50 wt%, Aldrich) was purified over 237 238 the course of several days by bubbling with zero air. Gas-phase nitric acid was taken from the headspace of a sample of 70% redistilled nitric acid, which had been dehydrated through the 239 dropwise addition of pure H₂SO₄ added in a 1:2 ratio. Methyl nitrite was synthesized through 240 the dropwise addition of 50% H₂SO₄ into a continuously stirred saturated solution of NaNO₂ in 241 methanol maintained at 0°C, the effluent of which was swept through a water bubbler and a u-242 243 tube containing P₂O₅ using a slow flow of pure nitrogen, and trapped in a liquid nitrogen cold trap. For the atmospheric simulation chamber, ethanol (>99%, Aldrich) and 2-propanol (>99%, 244 Aldrich) were used as references. 245

246 **3. Results:**

247 **3.1. Absolute measurements:**

248 **3.1.1. PLP–LIF measurements:**

Absolute overall rate coefficients were determined at temperatures between 243 and 371 K and

- at pressures of ~100 Torr (He). Concentrations of EPB ranged from 0.33 to 16.6×10^{15} molecule
- 251 cm⁻³. Initial OH concentrations, $[OH]_0$, varied from 2 to 50×10^{10} molecule cm⁻³. A summary

of results together with experimental conditions are given in Table S1. Typical examples of second-order plots of k' vs [EPB] are shown in Figure 1. Under the pseudo-first-order conditions of our study, single exponential decays of OH were observed in all cases, with low scatter indicating no apparent complications of secondary chemistry or OH recycling. Second-order plots displayed good linearity in all cases, indicating that [EPB] was determined precisely and that no apparent heterogeneous or dimerization processes were perturbing the mixing ratio or reactivity of the reaction mixture.

Combined uncertainties of rate coefficients were estimated to be <10%, accounting for statistical error in k' (~3%), EPB infrared cross section (5%), pressure (2%), temperature (2%).

261 **3.1.2. DF-MS measurements:**

Absolute rate coefficients were measured over a temperature range of 298–720 K at pressures 262 between 2.0 and 2.2 Torr (He). [EPB] was varied between 0.5 and 20×10^{13} molecule cm⁻³. 263 $[OH]_0$ ranged from 2–4 × 10¹¹ molecule cm⁻³. As with the PLP–LIF measurements, high quality 264 single exponential decays were observed in all cases, examples of which are shown in Figure 265 S5. All the pseudo-first order rate constants were corrected for axial and radial diffusion of OH 266 radicals³² with the diffusion coefficient of OH in He calculated as $D_0 = 660 \times (T/298)^{1.85}$ Torr 267 $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$,³³ which accounted for <11% of the observed k' values. These data are tabulated in 268 Table S2. 269

Second-order plots obtained using the DF-MS method are shown in Figure 2. The y-intercepts 270 in Figure 2 arise from wall-loss processes, k_w , are 10±5 s⁻¹ over the temperature range 480 to 271 720 K. At 320 K, this value rises to 34 ± 4 s⁻¹, which we attribute to surface contamination with 272 EPB or its oxidation products. Enhanced wall-losses were observed for both halocarbon wax-273 274 coated (T < 298 K) and uncoated quartz reactors (T < 430 K), and for this reason, these temperatures were used as lower temperature limits for these respective reactors in the absolute 275 276 measurements of k_1 . Conversely, at temperatures >720 K, some evidence of thermal decomposition of EPB is observed in the appearance of signals at m/z = 94/96 and 108/110277 278 which can be assigned to CH₃Br, C₂H₅Br, or fragments of potentially higher-mass products, 279 and which could affect the absolute kinetic determinations, and was therefore considered to be 280 an upper temperature limit for the absolute measurements of this study.

281 Combined uncertainties of rate coefficient determinations were estimated to be <15%,

accounting for statistical error (\leq 5%), determination of [EPB] (~10%), mass flow (3%), pressure (2%) and temperature (1%).





Figure 1. Dependence of the pseudo-first-order rate constant (k') on [1,2-epoxybutane] at different temperatures obtained in PLP–LIF system. Error bars represent 2σ statistical

287 uncertainties.





Figure 2. Pseudo-first-order rate constant (k_1') as a function of [1,2-epoxybutane] at different temperatures obtained in the DF-MS system. Partially shown error bars represent typical uncertainties (\leq 5%) on the determination of k_1' .

3.2. Relative rate measurements:

293 **3.2.1. DF-MS measurements:**

In order to extend the temperature range of the measurements of k_1 , we used the DF-MS apparatus to make relative rate measurements, using both *n*-butane and Br₂ as reference compounds. Total pressures in the flow-tube ranged from 2–9 Torr (He). In the case of *n*-butane, rate coefficients were determined at temperatures between 275 and 950 K, and for Br₂, between 240 and 810 K. Linear relative rate plots were observed in each case, some examples of which are shown in Figures 3 and 4.

Final values of k_1 , calculated using experimentally determined k_1/k_{ref} ratios. See Table S3 for tabulated data of measurements with the Br₂ reference and Table S4 for the *n*-butane reference.

The temperature dependence of OH + n-butane and $OH + Br_2$ are both well-established over the temperature range of these measurements.^{15,22} Therefore, we estimate ~15% combined uncertainty in the DF-MS relative rate measurements, accounting for ~10% uncertainty in the reference rate coefficients, combined with uncertainties in temperature (1%) and statistical uncertainty in the relative rate, EPB/reference decomposition and heterogeneous loss (<5%).



Figure 3. Relative rate plot showing the linear dependence of $\ln([EPB]_0/[EPB])$ with $\ln(n$ butane]_0/[*n*-butane]) observed at T = 275, 310 and 450 K. Error bars represent the statistical errors (<5%) in the relative rate.



Figure 4. An alternative relative rate approach, monitoring the yield of HOBr from OH-radical titration with $Br_2 + 1,2$ -epoxybutane mixtures at different temperatures. Error bars represent typical uncertainties of the measurements (<10%).

315 3.2.2. Atmospheric simulation chamber measurements:

As a further test, and to reduce the potential effects of wall interactions, a series of experiments was conducted in a 7.3 m³ simulation chamber at 760 Torr of zero air and a temperature of 291±2K. Relative rate plots were found to be linear, with intercepts close to the origin in all cases (see Figure 5). Relative rates measured with both 2-propanol and ethanol as reference compounds were found to be in excellent agreement (1.70±0.14) and (1.71±0.11) ×10⁻¹² cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹, respectively, leading to a weighted-average value of (1.70±0.12) ×10⁻¹² cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹. These data are tabulated in Table S5.

323



Figure 5. Plots of relative kinetic data obtained from the reaction of 1,2-epoxybutane + OH
using ethanol and 2-propanol as the reference compounds. For clarity, ethanol experiments
have been offset by 0.1.

328 4. Discussion:

All the kinetic measurements of this study are shown in Figure 6, together with the sole literature room-temperature measurement of which we are aware.³⁴ All measurements of this study – within their respective overlap regions – were found to be in close agreement, and were also found to agree with the literature relative rate determination. The curvature observed in the data was fitted using the following modified Arrhenius expression, and is valid over the temperature range 220–950 K:

335

324

336
$$k_1 = 1.72 \times 10^{-14} \times \exp((1407/T))(T/300)^{4.8} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$$
 Equation 10
337

From Figure 6, it is apparent that the reaction of OH with EPB demonstrates clear non-338 Arrhenius behaviour. Indeed, this appears to be a general rule for oxygenates, wherever precise 339 kinetic information is available over an extensive temperature range.³⁵ The main explanation 340 for this phenomenon is the formation of cyclic hydrogen-bonded van der Waals (vdW) 341 complexes, otherwise referred to as pre-reactive complexes. These structures can be stabilized 342 into potential energy wells of $\sim 5-33$ kJ mol⁻¹ for alcohols, ethers, aldehydes, ketones, esters 343 and carboxylic acids.^{36,37} The general mechanism by which vdW complexes increase the rate 344 345 coefficient can be rationalized by the prolonged contact time between OH and the oxygenated co-reactant, which is afforded by stabilization into the pre-reactive complex energy well, and 346

which therefore provides a greater probability for quantum tunnelling processes to occur. There 347 are several factors that determine the magnitude of this effect as a function of temperature. This 348 relates to the binding energy of the vdW complex, which limits the lifetime of a complex at a 349 given temperature. For strongly bound vdW complexes such as those between OH and 350 carboxylic acids,³⁷ a dominantly negative temperature dependence can be observed even at 575 351 K for the reaction of OH with acetic acid.³⁸ In contrast, for weakly bound vdW complexes, such 352 as those between OH and ketones, the onset at which a negative temperature dependence is 353 354 observed in the Arrhenius diagram can be at much lower temperatures. Another aspect that can 355 affect the tunnelling process is the entropic cost of forming the vdW. It has been observed, for example, that several vdW complexes can form for the reactions of larger species such as n-356 357 butanol + OH, and where it can be seen that even though the geometry may be favourable for larger cyclic vdW structures, the entropic cost of shutting down more free rotors within a 358 359 molecule will reduce the overall favourability of forming the vdW complex. This results in the C-H bonds that are directly adjacent to the alcohol substitution being more reactive despite 360 possessing a larger ring-strain associated with the 5-membered ring structure,³⁹ an observation 361 that has been borne out by experimental kinetic²⁰ and product studies.⁴⁰ A further factor 362 363 affecting the importance of vdW complexes is the width of the barrier going towards products, whereby quantum tunnelling becomes less probable in the case of broader barriers. This has 364 been demonstrated experimentally by the pronounced preference of hydrogen abstraction from 365 the O-H bond in methanol at very low temperatures, which involves traversing a high (yet 366 narrow) barrier towards forming the methoxy radical + H_2O .⁴¹ On a related theme, the overall 367 bond-strength of a given reactive site may also play a role in the reactivity of a given C–H bond. 368 In the case of 2-butanol, for example, the alpha tertiary hydrogen atom is especially weak (92.9 369 kcal/mol),^{42,43} which is estimated to account for 63% of the overall reactivity of the molecule 370 at room temperature.²⁰ In contrast, the reactivity of the two alpha secondary hydrogen atoms in 371 *n*-butanol are slightly stronger (93.2 kcal/mol), 42,43 and only account for 28% of the total room 372 temperature rate coefficient on a per hydrogen basis.²⁰ 373

However, it is acknowledged that negative temperature dependence has been observed experimentally in several other metathesis reactions, notably those of alkyl radicals with hydrogen halides.⁴⁴ Subsequently, this temperature-dependent behaviour was rationalized through a modified transition state theory (MTST), which, when applied to systems with negative apparent activation energies, can explain negative temperature dependence without invoking statistical intermediate complexes.⁴⁵ Furthermore MTST predicts the transition from negative to positive temperature dependence in several reactions, similar to that observed in our case for OH + EPB.^{45,46}

The EPB measurements of this study represent the first measurements of the reaction of an 382 epoxide with OH over an extended temperature range. To provide a context for what we 383 observe, we show in Figure 7 an Arrhenius diagram of several other molecules, each of which 384 have been measured over an extended temperature range, and like EPB, possess 8 C-H bonds.¹⁵ 385 When the temperature dependence is compared with that of what we could take to be a base 386 387 case alkane-like reactivity of OH + propane, it becomes apparent that the epoxide functionality 388 does not impart a strong increase in the overall reactivity of this molecule. This contrasts markedly with acyclic ethers such as methyl ethyl ether, which is significantly more reactive 389 390 than propane across the entire temperature range. An even more potent effect is observed for the reaction of *n*-butanal, which can at least in part be explained by the relative weakness of the 391 392 aldehydic C-H bond. Conversely, the temperature dependence of EPB appears to be somewhere intermediate between the alcohols and alkanes. As explained above, there are several potential 393 394 reasons for this. We note that the C–H bonds are relatively strong directly adjacent to the 395 epoxide ring: 102 and 103 kcal/mol for the tertiary and secondary sites respectively, and the 396 most favourable reaction site is probably the remaining secondary C-H bonds, which possess a BDE of 95.9 kcal/mol.^{42,43} Assuming this to be the case and that the curvature that is observed 397 is indeed associated with the formation of a vdW complex, we can hypothesize that such a 398 configuration would lead to a bicylic structure such as this: 399



400

which would restrict the rotation of the epoxide group, the formation of which is entropically costly and becomes favourable only at low temperatures. We expect that detailed theoretical calculations would be useful in constraining this mechanism further; however, this is outside the scope of the present study. In addition, it would be valuable to obtain quantitative product yield data, especially as a function of temperature, since it has been demonstrated in some oxygenated systems such as *i*-butanol, that a relatively temperature independent region of the 407 Arrhenius diagram can be underlain by several more temperature-dependent reaction channels
 408 offsetting each other.⁴⁷

With regards to the atmospheric implications of epoxide chemistry, based on the EPB + OH 409 kinetics, it would appear that the epoxide functionality does not enhance reactivity strongly in 410 the tropospheric temperature range, and simple epoxides such as this may react at similar rates 411 to alkanes. For more functionalized epoxides such as the IEPOX derivatives, larger rate 412 coefficients are observed, which is likely to relate to the enhanced reactivity of the functional 413 groups to which the epoxide moiety is attached.^{48,49} It is therefore probable that for simple 414 epoxides and those epoxides that possess deactivating functional groups such as ketones, that 415 416 acid-catalyzed ring-opening becomes highly competitive with oxidative loss under tropospheric 417 conditions. Conversely, for more functionalized epoxides, reaction with OH may represent an important sink. 418



Figure 6. Summary of the OH + 1,2-epoxybutane rate coefficients obtained in this work,
together with the sole room-temperature literature determination. The line represents an errorweighted fit to all data (see Equation 10). Error bars represent the total combined uncertainties
for each determination (see Results section).



424

Figure 7. Arrhenius diagram comparing the temperature dependence of the OH + 1,2epoxybutane rate coefficient with analogous molecules possessing 8 C–H bonds taken from a
recently compile kinetic database.¹⁵

428 **5.** Conclusions:

Absolute and relative measurements of the rate coefficient of 1,2-epoxybutane + OH have been 429 determined over a temperature range between 220 and 950 K. Excellent agreement between 430 these measurements and techniques was observed in their respective regions of overlap. The 431 high precision of the measurements combined with the large temperature range allows us to 432 433 observe the non-Arrhenius behaviour of this rate coefficient. This represents the first epoxide whose rate coefficient has been measured over an extended temperature range, which provides 434 new insights into the reactivity of the epoxide functional group. Curvature is observed at the 435 436 lower temperatures of this study, which suggests that van der Waals complexes are playing a role in the reaction mechanism within the tropospheric temperature range. The data obtained 437 438 for this simple epoxide suggests that the epoxide moiety itself is not especially reactive, and that the fate of more functionalized epoxides with respect to bimolecular processes in the 439 440 atmosphere is likely to be governed by the reactivity of functional groups to which the epoxide 441 is attached. Detailed theoretical calculations and quantitative product yield studies would be useful in understanding the reaction mechanism further. 442

443

444 Acknowledgments

This work is supported by Labex Voltaire (ANR-10-LABX-100-01) and the European Union's
Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme through the EUROCHAMP-2020
Infrastructure Activity under grant agreement No. 730997 and the Marie Skłodowska Curie

- 448 Actions Programme (690958-MARSU-RISE-2015). MRM thanks Le Studium for their support
- 449 over the duration of this project.

450 **Reference:**

- 451 (1) Cvetanović, R. J. Molecular Rearrangements in the Reactions of Oxygen Atoms with 452 Olefins. *Can. J. Chem.* **1958**, *36* (4), 623–634. https://doi.org/10.1139/v58-088.
- 453 (2) Skov, H.; Benter, Th.; Schindler, R. N.; Hjorth, J.; Restelli, G. Epoxide Formation in the
 454 Reactions of the Nitrate Radical with 2,3-Dimethyl-2-Butene, *Cis* and *Trans*-2-Butene
 455 and Isoprene. *Atmos. Environ.* **1994**, *28* (9), 1583–1592. https://doi.org/10.1016/1352456 2310(94)90304-2.
- (3) Atkinson, R.; Aschmann, S. M.; Arey, J.; Tuazon, E. C. Formation Yields of Epoxides and
 O(³P) Atoms from the Gas-Phase Reactions of O₃ with a Series of Alkenes. *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.* **1994**, *26* (9), 945–950. https://doi.org/10.1002/kin.550260908.
- 460 (4) Santos, C. dos; de Rosso, C. R. S.; Imamura, P. M. Synthesis Of New Chiral Synthons
 461 Through Regioselective Ozonolysis Of Methyl Abietate. *Synth. Commun.* 1999, *29* (11),
 462 1903–1910. https://doi.org/10.1080/00397919908086178.
- 463 (5) Atkinson, R.; Arey, J.; Aschmann, S. M.; Tuazon, E. C. Formation of O(³P) Atoms and
 464 Epoxides from the Gas- Phase Reaction of O₃ with Isoprene. *Res. Chem. Intermed.* 1994,
 465 20 (3–5), 385–394. https://doi.org/10.1163/156856794X00388.
- 466 (6) Bailey, P.S. Ozonation in Organic Chemistry; Elsevier, 1978.
 467 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-073101-5.X5001-X.
- 468 (7) D'Ambro, E. L.; Møller, K. H.; Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D.; Schobesberger, S.; Liu, J.; Shilling, J.
 469 E.; Lee, B. H.; Kjaergaard, H. G.; Thornton, J. A. Isomerization of Second-Generation
 470 Isoprene Peroxy Radicals: Epoxide Formation and Implications for Secondary Organic
 471 Aerosol Yields. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2017, *51* (9), 4978–4987.
- 472 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b00460.
- 473 (8) Møller, K. H.; Kurtén, T.; Bates, K. H.; Thornton, J. A.; Kjaergaard, H. G. Thermalized
 474 Epoxide Formation in the Atmosphere. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2019, *123* (49), 10620–10630.
 475 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.9b09364.
- 476 (9) Paulot, F.; Crounse, J. D.; Kjaergaard, H. G.; Kurten, A.; St. Clair, J. M.; Seinfeld, J. H.;
 477 Wennberg, P. O. Unexpected Epoxide Formation in the Gas-Phase Photooxidation of
 478 Isoprene. *Science* 2009, *325* (5941), 730–733.
- 479 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1172910.
- 480 (10) Brereton, G.; Emanuel, R. M.; Lomax, R.; Pennington, K.; Ryan, T.; Tebbe, H.; Timm, M.;
 481 Ware, P.; Winkler, K.; Yuan, T.; Zhu, Z.; Adam, N.; Avar, G.; Blankenheim, H.; Friederichs,
 482 W.; Giersig, M.; Weigand, E.; Halfmann, M.; Wittbecker, F.-W.; Larimer, D.-R.; Maier, U.;
- 483 Meyer-Ahrens, S.; Noble, K.-L.; Wussow, H.-G. Polyurethanes. In *Ullmann's*
- 484 *Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA: Weinheim, 485 Germany, 2019; pp 1–76. https://doi.org/10.1002/14356007.a21_665.pub3.
- 486 (11) Brandt, K.; Dötterl, S.; Fuchs, R.; Navarro, D. M. do A. F.; Machado, I. C. S.; Dobler, D.;
 487 Reiser, O.; Ayasse, M.; Milet-Pinheiro, P. Subtle Chemical Variations with Strong
- 488 Ecological Significance: Stereoselective Responses of Male Orchid Bees to
- 489 Stereoisomers of Carvone Epoxide. J. Chem. Ecol. **2019**, 45 (5–6), 464–473.
- 490 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-019-01072-6.

- 491 (12) Minerath, E. C.; Schultz, M. P.; Elrod, M. J. Kinetics of the Reactions of Isoprene-Derived
 492 Epoxides in Model Tropospheric Aerosol Solutions. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2009, 43 (21),
 493 8133–8139. https://doi.org/10.1021/es902304p.
- 494 (13) Minerath, E. C.; Elrod, M. J. Assessing the Potential for Diol and Hydroxy Sulfate Ester
 495 Formation from the Reaction of Epoxides in Tropospheric Aerosols. *Environ. Sci.*496 *Technol.* 2009, *43* (5), 1386–1392. https://doi.org/10.1021/es8029076.
- 497 (14) Eddingsaas, N. C.; VanderVelde, D. G.; Wennberg, P. O. Kinetics and Products of the
 498 Acid-Catalyzed Ring-Opening of Atmospherically Relevant Butyl Epoxy Alcohols. *J. Phys.* 499 *Chem. A* 2010, *114* (31), 8106–8113. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp103907c.
- (15) McGillen, M. R.; Carter, W. P. L.; Mellouki, A.; Orlando, J. J.; Picquet-Varrault, B.;
 Wallington, T. J. Database for the Kinetics of the Gas-Phase Atmospheric Reactions of
 Organic Compounds. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* 2020, *12* (2), 1203–1216.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-1203-2020.
- (16) Fritz, B.; Lorenz, K.; Steinert, W.; Zellner, R. Laboratory Kinetic Investigations of the
 Tropospheric Oxidation of Selected Industrial Emissions. In *Physico-Chemical Behaviour of Atmospheric Pollutants*; Versino, B., Ott, H., Eds.; Springer Netherlands: Dordrecht,
 1982; pp 192–202. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-7746-4_23.
- (17) Virmani, A.; Walavalkar, M. P.; Sharma, A.; Sengupta, S.; Saha, A.; Kumar, A. Kinetic
 Studies of the Gas Phase Reaction of 1,2-Propylene Oxide with the OH Radical over a
 Temperature Range of 261–335 K. *Atmos. Environ.* 2020, 237, 117709.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117709.
- (18) Mellouki, A.; Téton, S.; Laverdet, G.; Quilgars, A.; Le Bras, G. Kinetic Studies of OH
 Reactions with H₂O₂, C₃H₈ and CH₄ Using the Pulsed Laser Photolysis-Laser Induced
 Fluorescence Method. J. Chim. Phys. **1994**, 91, 473–487.
- 515 https://doi.org/10.1051/jcp/1994910473.
- (19) Ren, Y.; Cai, M.; Daële, V.; Mellouki, A. Rate Coefficients for the Reactions of OH Radical
 and Ozone with a Series of Unsaturated Esters. *Atmos. Environ.* 2019, 200, 243–253.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.12.017.
- (20) McGillen, M. R.; Baasandorj, M.; Burkholder, J. B. Gas-Phase Rate Coefficients for the
 OH + n -, i -, s -, and t -Butanol Reactions Measured Between 220 and 380 K: NonArrhenius Behavior and Site-Specific Reactivity. J. Phys. Chem. A 2013, 117 (22), 4636–
 4656. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp402702u.
- 523 (21) McGillen, M. R.; Bernard, F.; Fleming, E. L.; Burkholder, J. B. HCFC-133a (CF₃CH₂Cl): OH
 524 Rate Coefficient, UV and Infrared Absorption Spectra, and Atmospheric Implications.
 525 Gapping Res. Latt. 2015, 42 (14), 6008, 6105, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015.61064020
- 525 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2015**, *42* (14), 6098–6105. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL064939.
- 526 (22) Bedjanian, Y. Temperature-Dependent Rate Constant for the Reaction of Hydroxyl
 527 Radical with 3-Hydroxy-3-Methyl-2-Butanone. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2019, *123* (48), 10446–
 528 10453. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.9b08714.
- (23) Morin, J.; Romanias, M. N.; Bedjanian, Y. Experimental Study of the Reactions of OH
 Radicals with Propane, *n*-Pentane, and *n*-Heptane over a Wide Temperature Range. *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.* 2015, *47* (10), 629–637. https://doi.org/10.1002/kin.20936.
- 532 (24) Bedjanian, Y.; Le Bras, G.; Poulet, G. Kinetic Study of OH + OH and OD + OD Reactions. J.
 533 Phys. Chem. A 1999, 103 (35), 7017–7025. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp991146r.
- (25) Zhang, X.; Sangwan, M.; Yan, C.; Koshlyakov, P. V.; Chesnokov, E. N.; Bedjanian, Y.;
 Krasnoperov, L. N. Disproportionation Channel of the Self-Reaction of Hydroxyl Radical,
- 536 OH + OH \rightarrow H₂O + O, Revisited. J. Phys. Chem. A **2020**, 124 (20), 3993–4005.
- 537 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.0c00624.

- (26) Bedjanian, Y.; Kalyan, C. Rate Constants of the Reactions of O(³P) Atoms with Br₂ and
 NO₂ over the Temperature Range 220-950 K. *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.* **2019**, *51* (7), 476–483.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/kin.21270.
- 541 (27) Burkholder, J. B.; Abbatt, J. Chemical Kinetics and Photochemical Data for Use in
 542 Atmospheric Studies: Evaluation Number 19.
- 543 (28) Bernard, F.; Eyglunent, G.; Daële, V.; Mellouki, A. Kinetics and Products of Gas-Phase
 544 Reactions of Ozone with Methyl Methacrylate, Methyl Acrylate, and Ethyl Acrylate. J.
 545 Phys. Chem. A 2010, 114 (32), 8376–8383. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp104451v.
- (29) Bernard, F.; Magneron, I.; Eyglunent, G.; Daële, V.; Wallington, T. J.; Hurley, M. D.;
 Mellouki, A. Atmospheric Chemistry of Benzyl Alcohol: Kinetics and Mechanism of
 Reaction with OH Radicals. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2013, 47 (7), 3182–3189.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/es304600z.
- (30) Zhou, L.; Ravishankara, A. R.; Brown, S. S.; Idir, M.; Zarzana, K. J.; Daële, V.; Mellouki, A.
 Kinetics of the Reactions of NO₃ Radical with Methacrylate Esters. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2017**, *121* (23), 4464–4474. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.7b02332.
- (31) Müller, M.; Piel, F.; Gutmann, R.; Sulzer, P.; Hartungen, E.; Wisthaler, A. A Novel
 Method for Producing NH₄⁺ Reagent Ions in the Hollow Cathode Glow Discharge Ion
 Source of PTR-MS Instruments. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.* 2020, 447, 116254.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijms.2019.116254.
- (32) Kaufman, F. Kinetics of Elementary Radical Reactions in the Gas Phase. J. Phys. Chem.
 1984, 88 (21), 4909–4917. https://doi.org/10.1021/j150665a024.
- (33) Ivanov, A. V.; Trakhtenberg, S.; Bertram, A. K.; Gershenzon, Y. M.; Molina, M. J. OH,
 HO₂, and Ozone Gaseous Diffusion Coefficients. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2007, *111* (9), 1632–
 1637. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp066558w.
- (34) Wallington, T. J.; Dagaut, P.; Liu, R.; Kurylo, M. J. The Gas Phase Reactions of Hydroxyl
 Radicals with a Series of Esters over the Temperature Range 240-440 K. Int. J. Chem. *Kinet.* 1988, 20 (2), 177–186. https://doi.org/10.1002/kin.550200210.
- (35) Heard, D. E. Rapid Acceleration of Hydrogen Atom Abstraction Reactions of OH at Very
 Low Temperatures through Weakly Bound Complexes and Tunneling. *Acc. Chem. Res.*2018, *51* (11), 2620–2627. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.8b00304.
- (36) Smith, I. W. M.; Ravishankara, A. R. Role of Hydrogen-Bonded Intermediates in the
 Bimolecular Reactions of the Hydroxyl Radical. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2002, *106* (19), 4798–
 4807. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp014234w.
- (37) Mendes, J.; Zhou, C.-W.; Curran, H. J. Theoretical Chemical Kinetic Study of the H-Atom
 Abstraction Reactions from Aldehydes and Acids by H Atoms and OH, HO₂, and CH₃
 Radicals. J. Phys. Chem. A 2014, 118 (51), 12089–12104.
- 574 https://doi.org/10.1021/jp5072814.
- (38) Khamaganov, V. G.; Bui, V. X.; Carl, S. A.; Peeters, J. Absolute Rate Coefficient of the OH
 + CH₃C(O)OH Reaction at *T* = 287–802 K. The Two Faces of Pre-Reactive H-Bonding. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2006, *110* (47), 12852–12859. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp0649221.
- (39) Zhou, C.-W.; Simmie, J. M.; Curran, H. J. Rate Constants for Hydrogen Abstraction by
 HO₂ from N-Butanol. *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.* **2012**, *44* (3), 155–164.

580 https://doi.org/10.1002/kin.20708.

(40) Cavalli, F.; Geiger, H.; Barnes, I.; Becker, K. H. FTIR Kinetic, Product, and Modeling Study
of the OH-Initiated Oxidation of 1-Butanol in Air. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2002, *36* (6),
1263–1270. https://doi.org/10.1021/es010220s.

- (41) Shannon, R. J.; Blitz, M. A.; Goddard, A.; Heard, D. E. Accelerated Chemistry in the
 Reaction between the Hydroxyl Radical and Methanol at Interstellar Temperatures
 Facilitated by Tunnelling. *Nat. Chem.* 2013, 5 (9), 745–749.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.1692.
- 588 (42) St. John, P. C.; Guan, Y.; Kim, Y.; Kim, S.; Paton, R. S. Prediction of Organic Homolytic
 589 Bond Dissociation Enthalpies at near Chemical Accuracy with Sub-Second
 590 Computational Cost. *Nat. Commun.* 2020, *11* (1), 2328.
- 591 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16201-z.
- 592 (43) St. John, P. C.; Guan, Y.; Kim, Y.; Etz, B. D.; Kim, S.; Paton, R. S. Quantum Chemical
 593 Calculations for over 200,000 Organic Radical Species and 40,000 Associated Closed 594 Shell Molecules. *Sci. Data* 2020, 7 (1), 244. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-00588 595 x.
- (44) Seakins, P. W.; Pilling, M. J.; Niiranen, J. T.; Gutman, D.; Krasnoperov, L. N. Kinetics and
 Thermochemistry of R + Hydrogen Bromide .Dblarw. RH + Bromine Atom Reactions:
 Determinations of the Heat of Formation of Ethyl, Isopropyl, Sec-Butyl and Tert-Butyl
 Radicals. J. Phys. Chem. **1992**, 96 (24), 9847–9855.
- 600 https://doi.org/10.1021/j100203a050.
- 601(45)Krasnoperov, L. N.; Peng, J.; Marshall, P. Modified Transition State Theory and Negative602Apparent Activation Energies of Simple Metathesis Reactions: Application to the603Reaction CH $_3$ + HBr \rightarrow CH $_4$ + Br $^+$. J. Phys. Chem. A **2006**, 110 (9), 3110–3120.604https://doi.org/10.1021/jp054435q.
- (46) Gao, Y.; Alecu, I. M.; Hsieh, P.-C.; Morgan, B. P.; Marshall, P.; Krasnoperov, L. N.
 Thermochemistry Is Not a Lower Bound to the Activation Energy of Endothermic
 Reactions: A Kinetic Study of the Gas-Phase Reaction of Atomic Chlorine with Ammonia
 ⁺. J. Phys. Chem. A 2006, 110 (21), 6844–6850. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp056406l.
- (47) McGillen, M. R.; Tyndall, G. S.; Orlando, J. J.; Pimentel, A. S.; Medeiros, D. J.;
 Burkholder, J. B. Experimentally Determined Site-Specific Reactivity of the Gas-Phase
- 611 OH and Cl + *i* -Butanol Reactions Between 251 and 340 K. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2016, *120*612 (50), 9968–9981. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.6b09266.
- (48) Jacobs, M. I.; Darer, A. I.; Elrod, M. J. Rate Constants and Products of the OH Reaction
 with Isoprene-Derived Epoxides. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2013, 47 (22), 12868–12876.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/es403340g.
- (49) Bates, K. H.; Crounse, J. D.; St. Clair, J. M.; Bennett, N. B.; Nguyen, T. B.; Seinfeld, J. H.;
 Stoltz, B. M.; Wennberg, P. O. Gas Phase Production and Loss of Isoprene Epoxydiols. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2014, *118* (7), 1237–1246. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp4107958.
- 619
- 620
- 621
- 622
- 623
- 624
- 625

626 For TOC only

