

Mixed Titanium–Hafnium Chloridometallate Complexes

Emmanuel Robé, Sébastien Maria, Richard Philippe, Rinaldo Poli

▶ To cite this version:

Emmanuel Robé, Sébastien Maria, Richard Philippe, Rinaldo Poli. Mixed Titanium–Hafnium Chloridometallate Complexes. European Journal of Inorganic Chemistry, 2007, 2007 (17), pp.2434-2442. 10.1002/ejic.200700056 . hal-03194520

HAL Id: hal-03194520 https://hal.science/hal-03194520

Submitted on 9 Apr 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Mixed titanium-hafnium chlorometallate complexes

Emmanuel Robé,^a Sébastien Maria,^a Philippe Richard^a and Rinaldo Poli*^b

^aInstitut de Chimie Moléculaire de l'Université de Bourgogne, UMR-CNRS-5260, Faculté des Sciences "Mirande", Université de Bourgogne, 9 Avenue Alain Savary, 21078 Dijon cedex, France

^bLaboratoire de Chimie de Coordination, UPR CNRS 8241 liée par convention à l'Université Paul Sabatier et à l'Institut National Polytechnique de Toulouse, 205 Route de Narbonne, 31077 Toulouse cedex, France.

Proofs to:

Rinaldo Poli

Tel: +33-561333173

Fax: +33-561553003

E-mail: poli@lcc-toulouse.fr

Summary

The addition of either NEt₃BzCl or [Ph₃PNPPh₃]Cl (1 equivalent of chloride per metal) to a 1:1 mixture of HfCl₄ and TiCl₃ in SOCl₂ occurs with Ti oxidation and leads to the corresponding salts of the $[TiHfCl_{10}]^{2-}$ ion. A solution IR investigation in the v(M-Cl) region indicates that this ion is in equilibrium with the homodimetallic $[Ti_2Cl_{10}]^{2-}$ and $[Hf_2Cl_{10}]^{2-}$ ions. An X-ray structure of the NEt₃Bz⁺ salt reveals an edge-sharing bioctahedral dianion sitting on a crystallographic inversion center. The crystal is a solid solution of different species with compositional disorder at the metal site, each metal position having the occupancy Ti_{0.685}Hf_{0.315}. The M-M and M-Cl distances have intermediate values between those of the homodimetallic analogues. The results of DFT calculations rationalize the structural, energetic and M-Cl bonding differences. An electrochemical investigation, in comparison with that of $[Ti_2Cl_{10}]^{2-}$ and the parallel IR studies, provides further information on the solution equilibria. Adventitious hydrolysis during the crystallization of the $[Ph_3PNPPh_3]^+$ salt affords crystals of $[Ph_3PNPPh_3]_2[Cl_3Ti(\mu-O)HfCl_5]$, whose dianion contains a tetrahedral Ti^{IV} center and an octahedral Hf^{IV} center bridged by an oxygen atom. A bond distance analysis allows to describe this dianion as containing the hitherto unknown $[Ti(O)Cl_3]^-$ complex, which acts as an oxygen-based donor ligand to $[HfCl_5]^-$.

Keywords

Titanium, hafnium, chlorometallate complexes, mixed-metal complexes, X-ray crystallography.

Introduction


3

Titanium chlorides are of fundamental importance in Ziegler-Natta polymerization. The first catalyst for this process was prepared by mixing TiCl₄ and AlEt₃ or Et₂AlCl.^[1-3] Other metal halides have been extensively investigated and the literature abounds with reviews and patents on this subject, but the characterization of heterogeneous Ziegler-Natta catalysts at the atomic level is still actively pursued.^[4-15] Among several improvements over the original catalyst, the use of mixed-metal systems, for instance Ti-V systems, are worth of particular mention.^[16-18] Recently, mixed hafnium-titanium based catalysts have been explored as substitutes for the high-temperature polyethylene process.^[19-21] To the best of our knowledge, the role played by the Hf metal has not yet been elucidated. Far from answering questions related to the structure and mechanism of the heterogeneous catalyst active sites, simple model compounds may nevertheless provide at least a basis to address the nature of the resting state of a surface active site, and the effect that a second (additive) metal may have on the ground state properties of the first (catalytically active) one.

Only a limited number of well defined compounds that contain both titanium and hafnium in the same molecules can be found in the literature. The only examples that we have been able to find are restricted to $(NMe_2)_2Ti(\mu-NMe_2)_2HfCl_4$,^[22] Cp₂Ti(μ -CH₂)₂HfCp₂,^[23, 24] Cl₄Ti(μ -Cl₂H₆N₂O₂)HfCl₄ and Cp₂Ti(μ -Cl₁₂H₆N₂O₂)HfCl₄ (Cl₂H₆N₂O₂ = 1,10-phenantroline-5,6-dione, κ^2 -*N*,*N*-coordinated to HfCl₂ and κ^2 -*O*,*O*-coordinated to TiCl₄ or Cp₂Ti),^[25] and two octametallic oxo- and methacrylato-bridged compounds, Ti₄Hf₄O₆(OPr)₄(O₂CCMe=CH₂)₁₆ and Ti₂Zr₅HfO₆(O₂CCMe=CH₂)₂₀,^[26] the latter ones being apparently the only structurally characterized examples. Since the preparation of Ziegler-Natta catalysts is generally performed from simple, commercially available chloride compounds, it is of interest to explore mixed-metal systems where chlorides are the only

available supporting ligands. In the catalyst preparation, intimate mixtures or solid solutions of the metal halides are generally treated in situ with the organoaluminum activating agent, which therefore introduces alkylated active sites on the surface of the mixed-metal halide material.

In general, simple molecular chlorometallate complexes can be viewed as stabilized chunks of solid state structures. For instance, the solid state HfCl₄ structure displays hexagonal packing of chloride ions, with the Hf⁴⁺ ions occupying ¹/₄ of the octahedral sites resulting in bioctahedral moieties that are arranged pairwise and share an edge or a triangular face.^[27, 28] The well defined dinuclear [Hf₂Cl₁₀]²⁻ and [Hf₂Cl₉]⁻ complexes, described a few years ago by Calderazzo and co-workers,^[29, 30] may be considered as deriving from the solid state structure of HfCl₄ by chopping off "Hf₂Cl₈" pieces and stabilizing them by addition of controlled amounts of additional chloride ions to the resulting unsaturations, whereas the addition of excess Cl⁻ leads to the mononuclear octahedral [HfCl₆]²⁻ complex,^[31] see Scheme 1. Ti^{IV} edge-sharing and face-sharing bioctahedral analogous ($[Ti_2Cl_{10}]^{2-}$ and $[Ti_2Cl_9]^{-}$) also exist^[32] and we have recently reported spectroscopic evidence for the existence of $[Ti_2Cl_{11}]^{3-1}$.^[33] On the other hand, the neutral system is a molecular, mononuclear 4-coordinate complex, TiCl₄, rather than an extended solid. A face-sharing bioctahedral complex, [Ti₂Cl₉]³⁻, is also known for Ti^{III}.^[34, 35] This is related to the solid state structure of the catalytically relevant violet TiCl₃, whereas hafnium analogues are not known. We set out to prepare and characterize mixed-metal (Ti,Hf) chlorometallate complexes by addition of chloride ions to a mixture of Ti and Hf chlorides in the appropriate stoichiometry and report here the results of these investigations.



Scheme 1

Results and Discussion

(a) Synthetic work

Before attempting to prepare mixed-metal complexes, we optimized the conditions for the preparation of single metal species. Our strategy is described in Scheme 1, using the chloride salts NEt₃Bz⁺Cl⁻ and [Ph₃PNPPh₃]⁺Cl⁻ (the latter one will be abbreviated as PPN⁺Cl⁻). The addition of these salts to commercially available HfCl₄ suspended in CH₂Cl₂, however, did not result in any apparent interaction. Since this is in apparent contradiction with the literature (a decachlorodihafnate salt of the Ph₃C⁺ cation has been obtained by addition of Ph₃CX to HfCl₄)^[29] and since commercial HfCl₄ is typically contaminated by partially hydrolized oxo impurities, the reaction was then conducted in SOCl₂ as a way to reconvert *in situ* any impurities to HfCl₄. Under these conditions the reaction takes place smoothly, see Equation 1 (Cat⁺ = NEt₃Bz⁺, PPN⁺), leading to the isolation of white, analytically pure [NEt₃Bz]₂[Hf₂Cl₁₀] and [PPN]₂[Hf₂Cl₁₀] in excellent yields. We have also successfully used an alternative two-step procedure, consisting of the regeneration of pure HfCl₄ from the commercial source by treatment with SOCl₂, followed by reaction with Cat⁺Cl⁻ in CH₂Cl₂. However, the direct reaction in SOCl₂ is a more practical procedure. After isolation, the compounds are readily soluble in dichloromethane (a significantly higher solubility is shown by the PPN salt). Analogous titanium complexes have been obtained and reported recently by us from the reaction of the same chloride salts with TiCl₃ in SOCl₂, see Equation 2.^[33] As discussed in that contribution, oxidation of the titanium center occurs by intervention of the solvent as a source of chlorine atoms. Since TiCl₃ is more convenient to handle than TiCl₄ as starting compound, we have used the same strategy to access mixed-metal systems in this work. When the same reaction shown in Equation 1 and Equation 2 was carried out using a 1:1 mixture of TiCl₃ and HfCl₄, products corresponding to the expected mixed-metal TiHfCl₁₀²⁻ stoichiometry were obtained in excellent yields, see Equation 3 (Cat⁺ = NEt₃Bz⁺, PPN⁺). The isolated compounds are yellow, which is the expected color for Ti^{IV} (the [Ti₂Cl₁₀]²⁻ salts are also yellow).

 $2 \operatorname{HfCl}_4 + 2 \operatorname{Cat}^+ \operatorname{Cl}^- \rightarrow \operatorname{Cat}_2[\operatorname{Hf}_2 \operatorname{Cl}_{10}]$

Equation 1

 $2 \operatorname{TiCl}_3 + 2 \operatorname{Cat}^+ \operatorname{Cl}^- + 2 \{\operatorname{Cl}\} \rightarrow \operatorname{Cat}_2[\operatorname{Ti}_2 \operatorname{Cl}_{10}]$

Equation 2

 $TiCl_3 + HfCl_4 + 2 Cat^+Cl^- + 2 \{Cl\} \rightarrow Cat_2[TiHfCl_{10}]$

Equation 3

The major issue at this point is to find evidence for the existence of the mixed-metal species, as opposed to a 1:1 mixture of the homoleptic dimers, and to measure or at least estimate the position of the corresponding equilibrium, see Equation 4. Both the homometallic and the heterodimetallic complexes are devoid of simple spectroscopic probes such as spin-1/2 nuclei for an NMR investigation or unpaired electrons for an EPR

investigation, allowing them to be easily distinguished. The use of ⁴⁷Ti, ⁴⁹Ti, ¹⁷⁷Hf and ¹⁷⁹Hf NMR spectroscopy is unsatisfactory for compounds where the metal center has lower than cubic symmetry.^[36, 37]


 $[Ti_2Cl_{10}]^{2-} + [Hf_2Cl_{10}]^{2-} \longrightarrow 2 [TiHfCl_{10}]^{2-}$

Equation 4

(b) Infrared characterization

Useful information was obtained from far-IR spectroscopy, which is sensitive to M-Cl modes, in CH₂Cl₂ solutions by using polyethylene cells. The effectiveness of this technique was previously shown for the homoleptic chlorotitanate species, in that the existence and solution stability of the previously unknown $[Ti_2Cl_{11}]^{3-}$ ion could be unambiguously demonstrated.^[33] Only the more soluble PPN⁺ series of compounds gave sufficiently intense bands and the results are shown in Figure 1. Note that the most distinct band of the PPN⁺ cation at ca. 465 cm⁻¹ (as measured for a PPNCl solution, Figure 1c) is seen in all spectra. A less distinct PPN⁺ band at 393 cm⁻¹ is also found in all other spectra, but at much higher relative intensity. However, our previous studies on the $[Ti_2Cl_{10}]^{2-}$ species have shown that adventitious hydrolytic impurities also generate a band at this frequency.^[33] The relative intensity of this band varies as a function of the care with which the solutions are handled and increases when undried dichloromethane is used as solvent. All reported spectra were recorded in carefully dried solvent and all glassware and transfer syringes were silvlated by rinsing with a 10% v/v chloroform solution of Me₃SiCl. However, we have been unable to completely eliminate this band. Given the extreme moisture sensitivity of the Ti^{IV}-Cl bonds, it is possible that some hydrolysis occurred during the isolation procedure (washings and transfer into the storage ampoules). An analogous band is observed here also for the hafnium sample. This may be also a hydrolytic impurity coincidentally absorbing at the same frequency, or a real band for this complex. Anyhow, we will disregard this particular band to formulate our conclusions.

The [Ti₂Cl₁₀]²⁻ displays two major bands at ca. 370 and 430 cm⁻¹, Figure 1a, of much stronger intensity than the band of the hafnium analogue at ca. 450 cm⁻¹, Figure 1b. The spectrum of a solution of the mixed-metal complex, at the same molar concentration of the PPN⁺ cation, is shown in Figure 1e. This spectrum is identical with that obtained by mixing equimolar amounts of $Ti_2Cl_{10}^{2-}$ and $Hf_2Cl_{10}^{2-}$. This observation establishes the notion that the equilibrium shown in Equation 4 is very rapid. This spectrum shows the same maxima that are individually observed in the spectra of the two homoleptic species and the absence of any new band. However, the overall intensity is distinctly *lower* relative to the electronic average of the spectra of the two homoleptic species (Figure 1d). The height of the peak of the PPN⁺ cation at 465 cm⁻¹ serves as an internal consistency check, showing the reliability of the difference between spectra (d) and (e). Since the intensity of the $[Hf_2Cl_{10}]^{2-}$ bands is so much lower than that of the corresponding titanium species, a mixed-metal analogue is likely to have intermediate intensity. Thus, the presence of some amount of the mixed-metal species is consistent with the intensity decrease from the 1:1 mixture of $[Ti_2Cl_{10}]^{2-}$ and $[Hf_2Cl_{10}]^{2-}$ (Figure 1d) and the equilibrium mixture (Figure 1e). In other words, this intensity decrease constitutes experimental evidence for the presence of the mixed-metal species at equilibrium.






Figure 1. Far IR spectra of PPN salts in CH_2Cl_2 . All spectra are taken at the same PPN⁺ cation concentration (10⁻² M). (a) [PPN]₂[Ti₂Cl₁₀]; (b) [PPN]₂[Hf₂Cl₁₀]; (c) [PPN]Cl; (d) electronic average of [PPN]₂[Ti₂Cl₁₀] + [PPN]₂[Hf₂Cl₁₀]; (e) 1:1 mixture of [PPN]₂[Ti₂Cl₁₀] and [PPN]₂[Hf₂Cl₁₀].

(c) Structural characterization

Single crystals have been obtained from the mixed-metal solution of the NEt₃Bz⁺ salt and have been investigated by X-ray diffraction (see Figure 2). Unfortunately, the compound crystallizes in a centrosymmetric space group with one half of the dianion in the asymmetric Consequently, the two metal sites in the bioctahedral geometry are symmetryunit. disordered. Furthermore, a free occupancy refinement for the metal site as xTi + (1-x)Hfgives x = 0.685. Therefore, the compound has excess titanium relative to the 1:1 stoichiometry. It is a solid solution containing $[Ti_2Cl_{10}]^{2-}$ (37%) while the remainder 63% could be either pure $[TiHfCl_{10}]^2$, or a 1:1 mixture of the Ti₂ and Hf₂ salts, or anything in between these two extremes. The presence of excess titanium indicates a lower solubility for the lighter Ti₂ salt, which is then expected to shift the equilibrium of Equation 4 toward the left during the crystallization process. However, the geometrical features and the distances and angles, in comparison with those of the $[Ti_2Cl_{10}]^{2-}$ and $[Hf_2Cl_{10}]^{2-}$ (see Table 1), are as expected and confirm the mixed-metal nature of the dianion. While the bond angles are approximately identical for the three dianions, the M-M/M' and M-Cl bond distances steadily increase in the order $Ti_2 < Ti_{1.37}Hf_{0.63} < Hf_2$, as expected from the increase of metal radius.

<insert Table 1 here>





Figure 2. ORTEP view of the mixed-metal $[Ti_{1.37}Hf_{0.63}Cl_{10}]^{2-}$ anion..

(d) DFT studies

DFT calculations have been carried out on complexes $[Ti_2Cl_{10}]^2$, $[TiHfCl_{10}]^2$, and $[Hf_2Cl_{10}]^2$ by using two different functionals (B3LYP and B3PW91) and the LANL2DZ basis set. These combinations usually give good quality results for geometries and energies of transition metal compounds. The calculations included a frequency analysis and the zero point energy, thermal and entropy corrections to give the free energies at room temperature. According to these calculations, the mixed complex is favoured relative to the mixture of the two homometallic dinuclear species by 2.04 (B3LYP) and 1.99 (B3PW91) kcal/mole, corresponding to K ca. 30 for the above equilibrium. More precisely, the equilibrium would consist of 13% of each of the homometallic species and 74% of the bimetallic species for a Ti/Hf ratio of 1:1. This result is in qualitative agreement with the IR results. The optimized distances (see Table 2) tend to be slightly long (*cf.* with Table 1), with the B3PW91 results being closer to the experiment. Interestingly, whereas the M-Cl distances in the TiHf compound are, as expected, shorter for Ti than for Hf when bonded to Cl_{ax} and to Cl_{eq}, there is very little difference in the M-Cl_{br} distances involving the two different metals. This is rationalized in the next paragraph.

<insert Table 2 here>

A charge analysis (see Table 3) was carried out on the B3PW91 results both at the Mulliken and at the NBO (Natural Bond Orbital) level. The latter is believed to be more descriptive for highly ionic and for weak interactions,^[38] while the Mulliken analysis tends to overemphasize bond covalency. At both levels, it is clear that the Ti-Cl interactions are less polarized than the Hf-Cl interactions, as expected. The major change consists in the calculated charge for the bridging Cl atoms. For the TiHf compound, the calculated charges for the Clax and Cleq atoms attached to each metal are rather close to the values of the same type of atoms in the corresponding monometallic species. The calculated charges on the Clbr atoms, on the other hand, are intermediate between those of the Ti₂ and Hf₂ compounds. The result is that the Ti-Cl_{br} bond becomes more polarized (and consequently longer) in the mixed-metal complex relative to the Ti₂ complex, whereas the Hf-Cl_{br} bond becomes less polarized (and consequently shorter) in the mixed-metal complex relative to the Hf₂ complex. Conversely, the other Ti-Cl bonds become less polarized, and the Hf-Cl more polarized, in the mixed-metal system. This charge shift introduces a permanent dipole in the dianion (see Scheme 2). The variation of the Ti-Cl bond polarity may affect the performance of the catalytic site for the ethylene polymerization process.



Scheme 2

<insert Table 3 here>

(e) Electrochemical investigation

A dichloromethane solution of the [NBzEt₃]₂[TiHfCl₁₀] salt was investigated by cyclic voltammetry, in comparison with the analogous study reported recently for $[Ti_2Cl_{10}]^{2}$.^[33] The results are shown in Figure 3. The mixed-metal dianion exhibits a broad irreversible peak with maximum cathodic current at ca. -0.9 V vs. ferrocene, whereas the $[Ti_2Cl_{10}]^{2}$ - dianions shows two overlapping waves (peak at ca. -1.06 V with a shoulder at ca. -0.7 V). The $[Hf_2Cl_{10}]^{2}$ - species does not exhibit, as expected, any reduction process in this potential range (an irreversible reduction takes place only below -2 V).




Figure 3. Cyclic voltammograms of [NBzEt₃]₂[Ti₂Cl₁₀] (thinner line) and [NBzEt₃]₂[TiHfCl₁₀] (thicker line). Solvent: CH₂Cl₂.

The two processes of the $[Ti_2Cl_{10}]^{2-}$ solution were shown to be related to the existence of the ligand redistribution equilibrium between the dianionic complex and complexes $[Ti_2Cl_9]^-$ and $[Ti_2Cl_{11}]^{3-}$, see Equation 5. Whereas the equilibrium is shifted to the left hand side in solution (as shown by IR spectroscopy), the easier reduction of the $[Ti_2Cl_9]^-$ ion (which is responsible for the shoulder at -0.7 V), coupled to the fast ligand redistribution process, shifts the equilibrium toward the right in the diffusion layer. Thus, the peak with maximum cathodic current at ca. -1.06 V is due to the reduction of the $[Ti_2Cl_{11}]^{3-}$ species. It is logical that the reduction of the latter species is placed at a more negative potential, since the positive charge density on the Ti centers is lower. The shape and position of the reduction wave for the mixed-metal dianion shows that it may correspond to a species whose charge density is similar to that of $[Ti_2Cl_9]^-$, whereas there is definitely no activity in the characteristic region of $[Ti_2Cl_{11}]^{3-}$. It is therefore rather logical to formulate the hypothesis of a similar chloride redistribution equilibrium for the mixed-metal dianion. However, because of the greater Lewis-acidity of Hf^{IV} relative to Ti^{IV}, the former metal center will preferentially form the chloride-poorer species, as shown in Equation 6. Obviously, other species such as [TiHfCl₉]⁻ may also be present in solution, given the complexity of the coupled equilibria, and contribute to the shape of the observed reduction wave.

$$2 [Ti_2Cl_{10}]^2 \longrightarrow [Ti_2Cl_9]^2 + [Ti_2Cl_{11}]^3$$

Equation 5

 $2 [TiHfCl_{10}]^{2-}$ \leftarrow $[Ti_2Cl_9]^{-} + [Hf_2Cl_{11}]^{3-}$

Equation 6

(f) Structure of a partial hydrolysis product

With the objective of obtaining a non disordered solid state structure of the mixed-metal dianion, we attempted to grow crystals of the PPN⁺ salt. The crystals that slowly formed, however, turned out to be $[PPN]_2[Cl_3Ti(\mu-O)HfCl_5]$. The structure of the oxo-bridged dianion

is shown in Figure 4. The two metal centers are bridged by a single oxygen atom and possess different coordination geometries, tetrahedral with three terminal Cl atoms and the bridging O atom for titanium, octahedral with five terminal Cl atoms and the bridging O atom for hafnium. Therefore, the two metal centers are not disorder in this structure. The formation of this compound can be rationalized as originating from a partial hydrolysis of the decachloro mixed-metal dianion, as shown in Equation 7. The possibility that it is obtained directly from a HfOCl₂ contaminant of HfCl₄ is unlikely, since the synthesis was conducted in SOCl₂ as solvent (see Experimental section). Unfortunately, we did not obtain a sufficient amount of this product to carry out an analytical and spectroscopic characterization. Its formation, however, validates the notion that well defined mixed-metal titanium-hafnium complexes may be formed with this strategy. Given the high oxophilicity of both Ti^{IV} and Hf^{IV} chlorides, it is quite possible that Ziegler-Natta catalyst precursors contain oxo-bridged bimetallic units in the bulk or on the surface, of which this complex may represent a suitable structural model. Even though partial alkylation of the catalyst occurs in the presence of the aluminum alkyl activator, the metal-chloride bonds are expected to be alkylated preferentially and oxo ligands may remain present in the active form of the catalyst.




Figure 4. ORTEP view of the $[Cl_3Ti(\mu-O)HfCl_5]^{2-}$ ion.

 $[\text{TiHfCl}_{10}]^{2-} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow [\text{TiHf(O)Cl}_8]^{2-} + 2 \text{HCl}$

Equation 7

Relevant bond distances and angles for the $[Cl_3Ti(\mu-O)HfCl_5]^{2-}$ ion are collected in Table 4. The most interesting feature is the marked asymmetry of the oxo bridge, the Ti-O distance (1.655(4) Å) being much shorter than the Hf-O distance (2.142(3) Å). The former is typical for terminal Ti^{IV}O bond distances, of which 25 were found in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD), for an average value of 1.65(5) Å. There are also 7 structures in the CSD containing a Ti=O...M moiety (M = any metal). After discarding one of them (KATPUQ01), whose extremely long (> 2.1 Å) Ti=O bond distance suggests a possible crystallographic problem, the remaining six bonds give an average length of 1.69(3) Å. On the other hand, the Hf-O distance is much longer than in terminal Hf=O moieties (only one example, $(C_5Me_4Et)Hf(O)(py)$,^[39] with a distance of 1.826(9) Å, has apparently been reported) and even than the distance of typical covalent single Hf-O bonds. For these, an average of 1.97(4) Å is found for 148 distances found in the CSD (after excluding those of π delocalized donors such as carboxylates and acetylacetonates, which are significantly longer). An examples where an oxygen atom bridges two metal atoms is Cp₂(Me)Hf-O-Hf(Me)Cp₂, with Hf-O distances of 1.941(3) Å.^[40] The Hf-O distance in our ion is much closer to that of dative bonds established by neutral donors, as for instance in complex [Hf(DMSO)₈]⁴⁺ (2.160(12) Å).^[41] Therefore, the [Cl₃Ti(μ -O)HfCl₅]²⁻ ion is best interpreted as resulting from the coordination of the hypothetical $[Ti(=O)Cl_3]^-$ anion, via the a lone pair of the oxo ligand, to a [HfCl₅]⁻ anion. Interestingly, [TiOCl₃]⁻ does not appear to exist as an isolated species, whereas its chloride adducts $[TiOCl_4]^{2-}$ and $[TiOCl_5]^{3-}$ have been reported, $[^{31, 42-45}]$ including a structural characterization of the former as the NEt₄⁺ salt (Ti-O: 1.79 Å).^[46] A substructure

search in the CSD for the 4-coordinate Cl₃TiO moiety only reveals neutral compounds where the oxygen atom is further bonded to an alkyl, aryl, or silyl group.

<insert Table 4 here>

The Ti-Cl distances in the $[Cl_3Ti(\mu-O)HfCl_5]^{2-}$ ion average 2.232(5) Å, *i.e.* significantly longer than the average of all Ti-Cl distances in 4-coordinate Cl₃TiX structures from the CSD (2.18(3) Å over 150 distances). This is certainly related to the negative charge in this complex (formally -1 on the Ti atom), while all the literature examples are neutral complexes. The average Ti-Cl distance in the above mentioned $[TiOCl_4]^{2-}$ ion is even longer, 2.33 Å.^[46] The Hf-Cl distance trans to the Hf-O bond (2.4074(13) Å) is significantly shorter than the other four Hf-Cl distances, which average 2.442(9) Å. The latter compare very well with the average of all Hf-Cl distance found in all 6-coordinate Cl₅HfX structures from the CSD (2.44(2) Å over 55 distances). This is not surprising, since all CSD complexes are also anionic (monoanions with X = THF or the dinuclear Hf₂Cl₁₀²⁻, or dianions for HfCl₆²⁻). Expectedly, the distance is shorter (average of 2.302(9) Å) in the cationic complex [(η^6 -C₆Me₆)HfCl₃]⁺,^[30] which is also formally 6-coordinate from the electronic point of view. The shorter Hf-Cl1 distance in the [Cl₃Ti(μ -O)HfCl₅]²⁻ indicates that the oxygen donor [TiOCl₃]⁻ "ligand" exerts a weaker trans influence relative to chloride.

Conclusion

This work has explored the existence and relative stability of mixed-metal Ti-Hf chlorometallate complexes, focusing on the edge-sharing bioctahedral system, $[MM'Cl_{10}]^{2-}$ (M, M' = Ti, Hf). We have provided evidence (by combined low-energy IR, X-ray crystallography, DFT calculations and cyclic voltammetry) that the mixed-metal system

[TiHfCl₁₀]²⁻ does exist, and that it is thermodynamically more stable by a small margin than the equivalent 1:1 mixture of the homodinuclear complexes, $[Ti_2Cl_{10}]^{2-}$ and $[Hf_2Cl_{10}]^{2-}$. The comparison with a previously reported electrochemical behaviour of $[Ti_2Cl_{10}]^{2-}$ suggests a stronger preference for the Ti₂ complex to lose one Cl⁻ ligand, yielding $[Ti_2Cl_9]^-$, and a corresponding stronger preference for the Hf₂ complex to add one more Cl⁻ ligand, yielding $[Hf_2Cl_{11}]^{3-}$. A partial hydrolysis product obtained from the above mixture is an unprecedented mixed Ti-Hf chlorometallate ion, $[Cl_3Ti(\mu-O)HfCl_5]^{2-}$, the structure of which is best interpreted as an octahedral $[HfCl_5X]^{2-}$ complex where X is the previously unknown tetrahedral $[Cl_3Ti(O)]^-$ ion. The oxygen atom that bridges the two metals is doubly bonded to the Ti center and donates a lone pair to the Hf center.

Experimental Section

General procedures. All preparations and manipulations were carried out with Schlenk techniques under an oxygen-free argon atmosphere. All glassware was oven-dried at 120° C. For particular applications (see Results section) it was silylated by washing with a CHCl₃ solution of Me₃SiCl (10% v/v). Solvents were dried by standard procedures and distilled under dinitrogen prior to use.

Materials. CH₂Cl₂ was purified by reflux and distillation over P₄O₁₀ under argon. Pentane was purified by reflux over sodium benzophenone ketyl and distilled under argon. TiCl₃, HfCl₄, and the chloride salts triethylbenzylammonium chloride, [NEt₃Bz]Cl, and bis(triphenylphosphanyl)iminium chloride, [PPN]Cl, were purchased from Aldrich and used as received. Complexes [NEt₃Bz]₂[Ti₂Cl₁₀] and [PPN]₂[Ti₂Cl₁₀] were prepared as recently described.^[33]

Instrumentation. The infrared spectra were recorded on a Bruker Vector 22 instrument equipped with a Globar (MIR) source. The solution spectra in the far IR (480-300 cm⁻¹)

region were obtained from CH_2Cl_2 solutions in polyethylene cells (0.5 mm path length). Cyclic voltammograms were recorded with an EG&G 362 potentiostat connected to a Macintosh computer through MacLab hardware/sofware. The electrochemical cell was fitted with an Ag-AgCl reference electrode, a platinum disk working electrode and a platinum wire counter-electrode. [Bu₄N]PF₆ (ca. 0.1 M) was used as supporting electrolyte in THF. All potentials are reported relative to the ferrocene standard, which was added to each solution and measured at the end of the experiments. The elemental analyses were carried out with a Fisons EA 1108 instrument by the analytical services of LSEO.

Preparation of [NEt3Bz]2[Hf2Cl10]. A Schlenk tube was charged with HfCl₄ (1.161 g, 3.62 mmol) and [NEt3Bz]Cl (0.826 g, 3.62 mmol). Thionyl chloride (10 mL) was added by syringe under magnetic stirring and the apparatus was connected to an oil bubbler. *Warning: The reaction between SOCl₂ and water (potentially present as a contaminant in the starting salts) produces toxic SO₂ and HCl, therefore the reaction must be carried out under a well-ventilated fume hood.* A white suspension was observed. The reaction mixture was heated to 65°C during 1 hour using an oil bath and then cooled to room temperature. After one hours of stirring at room temperature, pentane (20 mL) was added, causing the precipitation of the product as a white powder. After filtration, the solid was washed with pentane and dried under vacuum overnight. Yield: 1.858 g (94%). Anal. for C₂₆H₄₄Cl₁₀Hf₂N₂ (1096.14): calcd. C 28.5, H 4.0, N 2.6; found C 27.8, H 4.2, N 2.7.

Preparation of [PPN]₂[Hf₂Cl₁₀]. Following an identical procedure as described above for [NEt₃Bz]₂[Hf₂Cl₁₀], 3.292 g (92%) of [PPN]₂[Hf₂Cl₁₀] were obtained starting from HfCl₄ (1.994 g, 6.23 mmol) and PPNCl (3.570 g, 6.23 mmol). Anal. for $C_{72}H_{60}Cl_{10}Hf_2N_2P_4$ (1788.66): calcd. C 48.4, H 3.4, N 1.6; found C 48.1, H 3.4, N 1.8.

Preparation of [NEt₃Bz]₂[TiHfCl₁₀]. A Schlenk tube was charged with HfCl₄ (1.688 g, 5.27 mmol), TiCl₃ (0.809 g, 5.25 mmol) and [NEt₃Bz]Cl (2.392 g, 10.50 mmol). Thionyl

chloride (50 mL) was added by syringe under magnetic stirring and the apparatus was connected to an oil bubbler. A dark purple suspension was observed. The reaction mixture was refluxed during 1 hour using an oil bath. The dark-purple suspension transformed gradually into a yellow solution. It was then cooled to room temperature and stirred for two hours. Thionyl chloride was partially removed under vaccum until the beginning of precipitation. Pentane (20 mL) was then added to complete the precipitation of the product as a yellow powder. After filtration, the solid was washed with pentane and dried under vacuum for two hours. Yield: 4.587 g (94%) Anal. for C₂₆H₄₄Cl₁₀HfN₂Ti (965.52): calcd. C 32.3, H 4.6, N 2.9; found: C 32.1, H 4.6, N 2.9.

Preparation of [PPN]₂[TiHfCl₁₀]. Following an identical procedure as described above for [NEt₃Bz]₂[TiHfCl₁₀], 2.463 g (94%) of [PPN]₂[TiHfCl₁₀] were obtained starting from HfCl₄ (0.506 g, 1.58 mmol), TiCl₃ (0.244 g, 1.58 mmol) and PPNCl (1.816 g, 3.16 mmol). Anal. for $C_{72}H_{60}Cl_{10}HfN_2P_4Ti$ (1658.03): calcd. C 52.2, H 3.6, N 1.7; found C 53.0, H 3.6, N 2.0.

X-ray diffraction studies. Intensity data for both compounds were collected on a Nonius Kappa CSD at 110 K. The structures were solved via a Patterson search program and refined with full-matrix least-squares methods based on F^2 (SHELXL-97)^[47] with the aid of the WINGX program.^[48] All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. Hydrogen atoms were included in their calculated positions and refined with a riding model. The $[Ti_xHf_{2-x}Cl_{10}]^{2-}$ bimetallic complex is located on a centre of inversion; as a consequence, the two metal atoms are disordered and occupy the same crystallographic position. Furthermore, the two metal atoms are not in a 1:1 ratio, the occupation factors converged to m1 = 0.685 for the Ti atom and m2 = 1-m1 for the Hf atom. A first attempt to refine independently both metallic positions lead to non-realistic result, therefore the two positions and the anisotropic displacement parameters where constrained to be identical for

both metallic centres. The drawing of the molecules was realised with the help of ORTEP32.^[49] Crystal data and refinement parameters are shown in Table 5. Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication no. CSDC 633154 & 633155. Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to the Director, CSDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (fax: (+44) 1223-336-033; e-mail: <u>deposit@CSDc.cam.ac.uk</u>).

<insert Table 5 here>

Computational details. Calculations were carried out using the Gaussian 03 package^[50] at the DFT level by means of either the B3LYP^[51-53] or the B3PW91^[54, 55] functional and the LANL2DZ basis set.^[56] All geometries were fully optimized without symmetry restrictions and were characterized as local minima of the potential energy surface (PES) by inspection of the sign of the second derivatives, obtained from frequency calculations. The Gibbs free energies were obtained after correction for the ZPVE, PV and TS terms under the ideal gas approximation. The temperature used was 298.15 K.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the CNRS for support of this work.

References

- [1] K. Ziegler, E. Holzkamp, H. Breil, H. Martin, *Angew. Chem.* **1955**, *67*, 541-547.
- [2] K. Ziegler, *Nobel lecture*, <u>http://nobelprize.org/nobel_prizes/chemistry/laureates/1963/ziegler-lecture.html</u>, **1963**.
- [3] G. Natta, *Nobel lecture*, <u>http://nobelprize.org/nobel_prizes/chemistry/laureates/1963/natta-lecture.html</u>, **1963**.
- [4] P. Corradini, G. Guerra, L. Cavallo, Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 231-241.
- [5] M. Chang, X. Liu, P. J. Nelson, G. R. Munzing, T. A. Gegan, Y. V. Kissin, J. Catal. 2006, 239, 347-353.

- [6] N. Cui, Y. Ke, H. Li, Z. Zhang, C. Guo, Z. Lv, Y. Hu, J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 2006, 99, 1399-1404.
- [7] Q. Wang, N. Murayama, B. Liu, M. Terano, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* 2005, 206, 961-966.
- [8] M. Seth, T. Ziegler, *Macromolecules* **2004**, *37*, 9191-9200.
- [9] B. Liu, T. Nitta, H. Nakatani, M. Terano, *Macromol. Symp.* 2004, 213, 7-18.
- [10] G. Kwag, J.-G. Lee, C. Bae, S. N. Lee, *Polymer* **2003**, *44*, 6555-6558.
- [11] B. Liu, T. Nitta, H. Nakatani, M. Terano, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* 2003, 204, 395-402.
- [12] B. Liu, T. Nitta, H. Nakatani, M. Terano, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* **2002**, 203, 2412-2421.
- [13] M. Seth, P. M. Margl, T. Ziegler, *Macromolecules* **2002**, *35*, 7815-7829.
- [14] H. Weiss, M. Boero, M. Parrinello, *Macromol. Symp.* 2001, 173, 137-147.
- [15] V. P. Oleshko, P. A. Crozier, R. D. Cantrell, A. D. Westwood, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2001**, *22*, 34-40.
- [16] I. Jaber, S. J. Brown, *Patent Appl. 99-304060 (assigned to Nova Chemicals (International) S. A., Switz.)* **2000**.
- [17] A. Greco, G. Perego, M. Cesari, S. Cesca, J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 1979, 23, 1319-1332.
- [18] F. Calderazzo, G. Pampaloni, F. Masi, A. Moalli, R. Invernizzi, *Patent Appl.* 89-202199 (assigned to Enichem Anic S.p.A., Italy) **1990**, 9 pp.
- [19] F. Masi, S. Malquori, L. Barazzoni, C. Ferrero, A. Moalli, F. Menconi, R. Invernizzi, N. Zandona, A. Altonmare, F. Ciardelli, *Makromol. Chem.*, *Suppl.* 1989, 15, 147-165.
- [20] T. Tanaka, E. Tanaka, WO1980JP00006 (Assigned to Mitsubishi Chem. Ind.) 1980.
- [21] F. Menconi, G. Conti, A. Zanellato, A. Moalli, *US6723809 (assigned to Polimeri Europa SRL)* **2004**.
- [22] S. R. Wade, M. G. H. Wallbridge, G. R. Willey, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1982, 271-274.
- [23] B. J. J. Van De Heisteeg, G. Schat, O. S. Akkerman, F. Bickelhaupt, *Organometallics* **1985**, *4*, 1141-1142.
- [24] B. J. J. Van De Heisteeg, G. Schat, O. S. Akkerman, F. Bickelhaupt, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1986**, *308*, 1-10.
- [25] F. Calderazzo, F. Marchetti, G. Pampaloni, V. Passarelli, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1999, 4389-4396.
- [26] S. Gross, G. Kickelbick, M. Puchberger, U. Schubert, *Monatsh. Chem.* **2003**, *134*, 1053-1063.
- [27] R. Niewa, H. Jacobs, Z. Kristallogr. 1995, 210, 687.
- [28] L. B. Serezhkina, V. N. Serezhkin, R. L. Davidovich, *Russ. J. Coord. Chem.* **2000**, *26*, 18-22.
- [29] F. Calderazzo, P. Pallavicini, G. Pampaloni, P. F. Zanazzi, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1990**, 2743-2746.
- [30] F. Calderazzo, I. Ferri, G. Pampaloni, S. Troyanov, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1996**, *518*, 189-196.
- [31] J. E. D. Davies, D. A. Long, J. Chem. Soc. (A) 1968, 2560-2564.
- [32] T. J. Kistenmacher, G. D. Stucky, *Inorg. Chem* **1971**, *10*, 122-132.
- [33] E. Robé, J.-C. Daran, S. Vincendeau, R. Poli, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 4108-4114.
- [34] P. C. Crouch, G. W. A. Fowles, R. A. Walton, J. Chem. Soc. (A) 1969, 972-976.
- [35] B. Briat, O. Kahn, I. Morgensternbadarau, J. C. Rivoal, *Inorg. Chem.* **1981**, *20*, 4193-4200.
- [36] N. Hao, B. Sayer, G. Denes, D. Bickley, C. Detellier, M. Mcglinchey, J. Magn. Reson. 1982, 50, 50-63.

- [37] R. T. Carlin, R. A. Osteryoung, J. S. Wilkes, J. Rovang, *Inorg. Chem.* 1990, 29, 3003-3009.
- [38] A. E. Reed, L. A. Curtiss, F. Weinhold, *Chem. Rev.* **1988**, 88, 899-926.
- [39] W. A. Howard, G. Parkin, J. Organometal. Chem. 1994, 472, C1-C4.
- [40] F. R. Fronczek, E. C. Baker, P. R. Sharp, K. N. Raymond, H. G. Alt, M. D. Rausch, *Inorg. Chem.* **1976**, *15*, 2284-2289.
- [41] C. Hagfeldt, V. Kessler, I. Persson, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2004, 2142-2151.
- [42] A. Feltz, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1965, 334, 242-249.
- [43] A. Feltz, Z. Chem. **1967**, 7, 158.
- [44] H. Linga, Z. Stojek, R. A. Osteryoung, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 3754-3760.
- [45] I. W. Sun, E. H. Ward, C. L. Hussey, *Inorg. Chem.* 1987, 26, 4309-4311.
- [46] W. Haase, H. Hoppe, Acta Cryst. 1968, B24, 282-283.
- [47] G. M. Sheldrick, *SHELXL97. Program for Crystal Structure refinement*, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, **1997**.
- [48] L. J. Farrugia, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1999, 32, 837-838.
- [49] L. J. Farrugia, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1997, 32, 565.
- [50] M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, J. Montgomery, J. A., T. Vreven, K. N. Kudin, J. C. Burant, J. M. Millam, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, M. Cossi, G. Scalmani, N. Rega, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, M. Klene, X. Li, J. E. Knox, H. P. Hratchian, J. B. Cross, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, P. Y. Ayala, K. Morokuma, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, V. G. Zakrzewski, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, M. C. Strain, O. Farkas, D. K. Malick, A. D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, Q. Cui, A. G. Baboul, S. Clifford, J. Cioslowski, B. B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, T. Keith, M. A. Al-Laham, C. Y. Peng, A. Nanayakkara, M. Challacombe, P. M. W. Gill, B. Johnson, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, C. Gonzalez, J. A. Pople, *Gaussian 03, Revision C.02*, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, **2004**.
- [51] A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys. **1993**, 98, 5648-5652.
- [52] C. T. Lee, W. T. Yang, R. G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. B* 1988, 37, 785-789.
- [53] P. Stephens, F. Devlin, C. Chabalowski, M. Frisch, J. Phys. Chem. 1994, 98, 11623-11627.
- [54] J. P. Perdew, *Physical Review B-Condensed Matter* **1986**, *33*, 8822-8824.
- [55] K. Burke, J. P. Perdew, Y. Wang, (Eds.: J. F. Dobson, G. Vignale, M. P. Das), Plenum Press, New York, **1998**.
- [56] P. J. Hay, W. R. Wadt, J. Chem. Phys. 1985, 82, 270-283.

Table 1. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for the dianion in compound
[NEt ₃ Bz] ₂ [Ti _{1.37} Hf _{0.63} Cl ₁₀]·2CH ₂ Cl ₂ , and comparison with the analogous Ti ₂ and
Hf ₂ anions.

	$M = M' = Ti^a$	MM' = Ti _{1.37} Hf _{0.63}	$M = M' = Hf^b$
M-M'	3.855(3)	3.8886(4)	4.020(15)
M-Cl _{br}	2.494(13)	2.5549(6)	2.585(25)
		2.5040(6)	
M-Clax	2.296(5)	2.3320(6)	2.406(13)
		2.3408(6)	
M-Cl _{eq}	2.258(11)	2.2929(7)	2.377(10)
		2.3028(6)	
Clbr-M-Clbr	78.78(8)	79.54(2)	78.1(1)
Clbr-M-Clax	87.9(8)	86.85(2)	88.04(1)
		87.87(2)	
		87.62(2)	
		88.71(2)	
Cl_{br} -M- $Cl_{eq}(cis)$	90(2)	91.54(2)	90.4(4)
-		88.91(2)	
Clbr-M-Cleq(trans)	169(2)	171.07(2)	168.48(19)
		168.43(2)	
Clax-M-Cleq	91.7(10)	92.61(2)	91.48(1)
		92.47(2)	
		90.95(2)	
		91.40(2)	
Clax-M-Cl'ax	174.2(2)	173.95(2)	174.6(1)
Cleq-M-Cl'eq	100.33(9)	100.02(3)	101.1(3)
M-Cl _{br} -M'	101.21(9)	100.46(2)	101.85(1)

^aAverages of chemically equivalent values in the structure of the PCl₄⁺ salt.^[32] ^bAverages of chemically equivalent values in the structure of the Ph_3C^+ salt.^[29]

	M = M' = Ti		M = Ti; M' = Hf		M = M' = Hf	
	B3LYP	B3PW91	B3LYP	B3PW91	B3LYP	B3PW91
M-M'	4.098	4.037	4.175	4.120	4.243	4.192
M-Cl _{br}	2.613	2.585	2.664	2.632	2.687	2.664
M'-Cl _{br}	2.015	2.305	2.646	2.632	2.007	2.004
M-Clax	2.317	2.303	2.303	2.290	2.456	2.442
M'-Cl _{ax}	2.317	2.303	2.467	2.453	2.430	2.442
M-Cl _{eq}	2.305	2.291	2.291	2.278	2.440	2.427
M'-Cleq	2.303	2.271	2.453	2.440	2.440	
Clbr-M-Clbr	76.74	77.30	76.00	76.94	75.72	76.25
Clbr-M'-Clbr	70.74		76.61	76.95	13.12	
Clbr-M-Clax	87.51	87.40	86.26	86.25	88.34	88.22
Clbr-M'-Clax	07.51		89.49	89.20		
Cl _{br} -M-Cl _{eq} (cis)	91.60	91.33	91.97	91.53	92.34	92.14
Clbr-M'-Cleq(cis)	91.00	71.55	92.06	91.85	2.51	
Clbr-M-Cleq(trans)	168.35	168.63	167.97	168.47	168.06	168.39
Clbr-M'-Cleq(trans)	100.55	100.05	168.67	168.80	100.00	
Clax-M-Cleq	92.04	4 92.14	93.04	93.08	91.36	91.46
Clax-M'-Cleq	92.04 92.14		90.42	90.66	71.50	71.40
Clax-M-Cl'ax	171.65	5 173.35	170.51	170.42	175.79	175.47
Clax-M'-Cl'ax	1,1.05	175.55	178.63	177.96		
Cleq-M-Cl'eq	100.05	100.05	100.07	100.00	99.60	99.48
Cleq-M'-Cl'eq	100.05	100.05	99.28	90.34	<i>))</i> .00	JJ. 1 0
M-Clbr-M'	103.25	102.70	103.70	103.05	104.28	103.75

Table 2. Calculated bond distances [Å] and angles [°] for $[MM'Cl_{10}]^{2-}$ (M, M' = Ti, Hf)

	M = M' = Ti		M = Ti; M' = Hf		M = M' = Hf	
	Mulliken	NBO	Mulliken	NBO	Mulliken	NBO
Μ	0.2349	0.2032	0.2848	0.2187		
Μ'			0.5404	1.0804	0.5906	1.0877
Cl _{br}	-0. 1769	-0. 2530	-0.2354	-0.3346	-0.2829	-0.3838
$Cl_{ax}(M)$	-0. 2390	-0. 2321	-0.2212	-0.2112		
Clax(M')			-0.3343	-0.4448	-0. 3216	-0. 4316
$Cl_{eq}(M)$	-0.2900	-0. 24301	-0.2797	-0.2236		
$Cl_{eq}(M')$			-0.3421	-0.4354	-0. 3323	-0. 4204

Table 3. Mulliken and NBO charge analysis (B3PW91) for $[MM'Cl_{10}]^{2-}$ (M, M' = Ti, Hf).


Ti-O	1.655(4)	Hf-Cl4	2.4307(13)
Hf-O	2.142(3)	Hf-Cl5	2.4408(14)
Hf-Cl1	2.4074(13)	Ti-Cl6	2.2297(15)
Hf-Cl2	2.4520(13)	Ti-Cl7	2.2383(16)
Hf-Cl3	2.4437(12)	Ti-Cl8	2.2285(16)
Ti-O-Hf	163.0(2)	O-Hf-Cl5	85.94(10)
O-Ti-Cl6	107.21(13)	Cl1-Hf-Cl2	93.94(5)
O-Ti-Cl7	110.51(13)	Cl1-Hf-Cl3	93.02(5)
O-Ti-Cl8	108.94(13)	Cl1-Hf-Cl4	93.45(5)
Cl6-Ti-Cl7	108.01(6)	Cl1-Hf-Cl5	90.81(5)
Cl6-Ti-Cl8	110.22(6)	Cl2-Hf-Cl3	90.16(5)
Cl7-Ti-Cl8	111.84(7)	Cl2-Hf-Cl4	172.60(5)
O-Hf-Cl1	176.75(10)	Cl2-Hf-Cl5	91.04(5)
O-Hf-Cl2	85.90(10)	Cl3-Hf-Cl4	89.80(5)
O-Hf-Cl3	90.23(10)	Cl3-Hf-Cl5	175.90(5)
O-Hf-Cl4	86.70(10)	Cl4-Hf-Cl5	88.50(5)

Table 4. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for compound [PPN]₂[Cl₃Ti(µ-O)HfCl₅].

Compound	$[NEt_{3}Bz]_{2}[Ti_{1.37}Hf_{0.63}Cl_{10}]\cdot 2CH_{2}Cl_{2}$	[PPN] ₂ [Cl ₃ Ti(µ-O)HfCl ₅]
Formula	$C_{28}H_{48}N_2Cl_{14}Ti_{1.37}Hf_{0.63}$	C ₇₂ H ₆₀ N ₂ OP ₄ Cl ₈ TiHf
Μ	1087.01	1603.09
Т; К	110(2)	110(2)
Crystal system	triclinic	monoclinic
Space group	P-1	$P2_1/c$
a; Å	7.6739(2)	25.7548(5)
b; Å	10.9860(2)	12.8363(2)
c; Å	14.2333(4)	21.1674(3)
a; °	108.938(1)	90.0
β; °	97.971(1)	78.562(1)
γ; °	104.494(1)	90.0
$V; Å^3$	1066.72(5)	6858.9(2)
Z	1	4
F(000)	543.5	3216
$D_{calc}; g/cm^3$	1.692	1.552
diffractometer	Nonius KappaCSD	Nonius KappaCSD
scan type	ϕ rot. and ψ scans	ϕ rot. and ψ scans
λ; Å	0.71073	0.71073
μ; mm ⁻¹	2.69	2.08
Crystal size; mm ³	0.45 x 0.20 x 0.05	0.37 x 0.25 x 0.15
$\sin(\theta)/\lambda$ max; Å ⁻¹	0.65	0.65
Index ranges	h:-9; 9	h:-33; 32
	k:-14; 13	k:-16; 13
	1:-18; 18	1:-27; 27
RC = Refl. Collected	8402	38133
IRC = independent RC	4787 [R(int) = 0.032]	15599 [R(int) = 0.058]
IRCGT = IRC and $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	4253	10420
Refinement method	Full-matrix L.S. on F^2	Full-matrix L.S. on F ²
Data / restraints / param.	4787 / 0 / 210	15599 / 0 / 802
R for IRCGT	$R1^{a} = 0.033, wR2^{b} = 0.081$	$R1^{a} = 0.051, wR2^{b} = 0.112$
R for IRC	$R1^{a} = 0.039, wR2^{b} = 0.084$	$R1^{a} = 0.095, wR2^{b} = 0.126$
Goodness-of-fit ^c	1.042	1.033
$\Delta \rho$, max, min; e.Å ⁻³	0.764 and -1.122	2.22 and -1.26

Table 5. Crystal data and structure refinement for both compounds.

^a R1= Σ (||F_o|-|F_c||)/ Σ |F_o|. ^b wR2=[Σ w(F_o²-F_c²)²/ Σ [w(F_o²)²]^{1/2} where w=1/[σ ²(Fo²+(0.046P)²+0.37P]for [NEt₃Bz]₂[Ti_{1.37}Hf_{0.63}Cl₁₀] and w=1/[σ ²(Fo²+(0.060P)²+0.86P]for [PPN]₂[Cl₃Ti(μ -O)HfCl₅] where P=(Max(Fo²,0)+2*Fc²)/3 ^c Goodness of fit =[Σ w(F_o²-F_c²)²/(N_o-N_v)]^{1/2}. The heterobimetallic $[TiHfCl_{10}]^{2-}$ ion exists as a discrete species in solution, in equilibrium with a mixture of the corresponding homobimetallic ions, $[Ti_2Cl_{10}]^{2-}$ and $[Hf_2Cl_{10}]^{2-}$. Its partial hydrolysis affords a well-defined oxobridged heterobimetallic complex, $[TiHf(\mu-O)Cl_8]^{2-}$.



Ti-Hf chlorometallate complexes

Emmanuel Robé, Sébastien Maria, Philippe Richard and Rinaldo Poli* Page No. XXX – Page No. XXX

Mixed titanium-hafnium chlorometallate complexes

Keywords: Titanium / hafnium / chlorometallate complexes / mixedmetal complexes / X-ray crystallography