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ABSTRACT. Octahedral Molybdenum clusters bright NIR phosphorescence is known since the 80’s. However, their behavior toward NIR excitation has never been investigated. Here we report their abilities to emit NIR light and produce singlet oxygen upon two-photon absorption. This behavior is observed in solution, in the solid-state as well as when clusters are embedded homogeneously in a poly(dimethylsiloxane) matrix. Such discoveries open new perspectives in several fields like optoelectronic, photodynamic therapy or bioimaging.
Octahedral transition metal cluster based compounds\(^1\) of general formula \(A_x M_6 X_i L_6^a\) (\(A:\) monovalent cation, \(x = 2\) and \(M = Mo\) or \(W\); \(x = 4\) and \(M = Re\), \(X_i:\) halogen or chalcogen inner ligand, \(L^a:\) anionic apical ligand) have attracted the attention of many research groups this last decade for their abilities to emit in the red-NIR and produce singlet oxygen upon either UV-Vis or X-ray irradiation.\(^2\) - \(^6\) Many studies have described their potential in cancer treatment,\(^7\) - \(^8\) bioimaging,\(^9\) optoelectronic,\(^10\) - \(^13\) lighting,\(^14\) - \(^15\) solar concentrator,\(^16\) - \(^17\) anticounterfeiting,\(^18\) oxygen sensors\(^19\) - \(^22\) or photocatalysis.\(^23\) Herein, we demonstrate the ability of \(A_2 Mo_6 I_8 (OCOC_2 F_5)_6\) (\(A =\) alkali or organic cation) to emit red-NIR light and generate singlet oxygen upon NIR excitation by a two-photon absorption (TPA) process. This feature is observed in solution, in the solid-state as well as when \(A_2 Mo_6 I_8 (OCOC_2 F_5)_6\) is homogeneously embedded in an optically clear, inert and biocompatible host matrix: poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS). Known for about 90 years,\(^24\) TPA-induced luminescence is still an active area of research in the field of nanomaterials, because of its potential in applications like: three-dimensional optical data storage,\(^25\) multiphoton emission spectroscopy and microscopy,\(^26\) or photodynamic therapy (PDT).\(^27\) - \(^28\) In this last case, materials endowed with TPA-induced phosphorescence are particularly appealing. NIR excitation minimizes tissue autofluorescence and provides a deeper penetration in biological tissues compared to visible light. Moreover, as the triplet excited state reached by TPA is able to produce singlet oxygen by energy transfer, materials capable of such feature are prime candidates for non-invasive therapy. Hence, new materials have been developed using coordination complexes or organic compounds,\(^29\) quantum dots,\(^30\) rare earth\(^31\) - \(^33\) and more recently carbon dots or silicon dots coupled to platinum group metals,\(^34\) or tetraneutral cubane-like Cu(I) compounds.\(^35\) Being aware of the cadmium containing quantum dots toxicity, the high price of platinum group metals and the geopolitical uncertainty of rare earth availability,\(^36\) Mo based clusters represent a viable alternative in the field of red-NIR phosphorescent emitters. Moreover, although third-order non-linear optical...
properties of cubane-like\textsuperscript{37} or triangular\textsuperscript{38,39} Mo clusters have been investigated for photonic applications, TPA induced emission of octahedral Mo clusters has never been investigated so far.

Hybrid PDMS was obtained by a hydrosilylation reaction between monomers containing allyl functions and anionic clusters whose charge is counterbalanced by organic cations bearing silane end groups (Cat\textsubscript{2}Mo\textsubscript{6}, Figure 1a, see ESI for experimental procedure) using a Karstedt platinum catalyst. This approach is very efficient to integrate homogeneously octahedral clusters into polymers\textsuperscript{19} and allows high doping rate. Moreover, unlike a simple mixing of unreactive cluster salt with PDMS precursors,\textsuperscript{40} such strategy leads to strong interactions between the host matrix and the integrated dye. As a result, it prevents any unwanted phase segregation or leaching, of particular relevance when dealing with biological \textit{in vivo} applications. At this stage we wish to emphasize that the photophysical properties of doped PDMS samples were analyzed twice: firstly, few days after their synthesis and secondly, after 48 months of ageing. As similar results were obtained (\textit{vide infra}), it demonstrates the relevance and the robustness of the chosen integration strategy. Hence, samples made from 1, 5 and 10 wt\% of Cat\textsubscript{2}Mo\textsubscript{6} namely PDMS1, PDMS5 and PDMS10 respectively, were synthesized. A reference sample (PDMS) without cluster was also synthesized to observe the influence of cluster content on the thermal decomposition and glass transition temperatures. The success of the polymerization was assessed by IR spectroscopy looking at the lack of precursors vibration bands corresponding to Si-H elongation around 2150-2200 cm\textsuperscript{-1} and vinyl C-H elongation after 3000 cm\textsuperscript{-1} (see ESI, Figure S1 for IR spectra). The homogeneity of cluster compounds distribution within the PDMS matrix was confirmed by SEM and EDS analysis (see ESI, Figure S2). Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA, see ESI Figure S3) were realized by heating samples up to 700°C in air atmosphere at 10°C min\textsuperscript{-1}. Due to the large degree of crosslinking, PDMS samples show a high thermal stability.
Figure 1. a) Representation of Cat$_2$Mo$_6$ containing the [Mo$_6$I$_8$(OCOC$_2$F$_5$)$_6$]$^{2-}$ anionic cluster unit (Mo$_6$) and two polymerizable counter-cation (Cat); b) reaction scheme of hybrid PDMS synthesis; c) pictures under ambient light of PDMS1, PDMS5 and PDMS10; d) picture of a piece of PDMS10 taken under microscope and UV-A irradiation.

The thermal decomposition temperature (T$_d$, Table 1) recorded for neat PDMS is at 510°C and only one and broad decomposition step is observed. For doped PDMS, the thermal decomposition occurs in three steps starting around 150°C, 280°C and 530°C. These steps correspond respectively to water loss, cluster decomposition (loss of apical ligands) and main polymer backbone decomposition leading to mineralization. The cluster decomposition occurring around 280°C is only straightforwardly observed for PDMS10 due to the low cluster content in other samples. Glass transition temperatures (T$_g$) were determined by Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC, see ESI Figure S4). Introducing 1 wt% of Cat$_2$Mo$_6$ in the host matrix has little influence on its T$_g$ value that passes from 6.6°C to 9.3°C for PDMS and PDMS1, respectively. However, increasing the cluster content leads to a decrease of the T$_g$
down to -8.2°C, attributed to a plastisizing effect already observed for other Mo₆ doped copolymers.¹⁹,⁴¹

Emission measurements were realized in acetone solution and on a powdered sample for the Cs₂Mo₆I₈(OCOC₂F₅)₆ precursor used to prepare Cat₂Mo₆ and on thin slices of hybrid nanocomposites. First, emission properties due to one photon absorption (1P) were investigated. Excitation spectra recorded for all samples (see ESI Figure S5 for the absorption spectrum of Cs₂Mo₆I₈(OCOC₂F₅)₆ and Figures S6-S10 for emission and excitation spectra) show that the optimal excitation wavelength to observe the 1P emission ranges from 350 nm up to 400 nm in solution and leads to a broad emission band with an emission maximum located between 667 nm and 688 nm depending on samples. As expected the non-doped PDMS sample is not luminescent. Absolute quantum yield (AQY) were determined in air and in a saturated N₂ atmosphere for solid state samples and on deaerated solutions. It is well known that the phosphorescence of octahedral Mo₆ clusters is efficiently quenched by the ground triplet state of O₂, thus generating the NIR emissive singlet oxygen.⁴³ As, PDMS possesses a high dioxygen permeability,⁴⁴ the emission efficiency of embedded cluster depends strongly on the local O₂ concentration and increases strongly upon N₂ atmosphere saturation (Table 1). The differences in AQY values found between deaerated solution and doped PDMS in saturated N₂ atmosphere are essentially due to residual oxygen trapped within the polymer backbone. The Cs₂Mo₆I₈(OCOC₂F₅)₆ triplet excited state lifetime varies from few µs in air up to 269 µs in deaerated solution. Emission decays of hybrid composites were fitted with two components in the µs range (see ESI, Figures S11-S22), a usual behavior for solid state clusters, that corresponds to differences in the local environment of the emitters. Similar values are observed for doped PDMS samples either in air or in vacuum.

Two photon emission (2PE) measurements were realized using a Ti-sapphire femtosecond laser chain (Coherent Chameleon ultra II, pulse duration: 100-130 fs; pulse frequency: 5 MHz)
at several excitation wavelength ranging from 790 to 900 nm. The 2PE was recorded perpendicularly to the beam using an optical fiber connected to a CCD detector (see ESI, Figure S23). Emission spectra observed by one or two photon absorption are very similar as presented in Figure 2c (see ESI Figure S24 for all spectra) with emission maxima located nearly at the same position, except for powdered Cs$_2$Mo$_6$I$_8$(OCOC$_2$F$_5$)$_6$ for which a local temperature increase is suspected under the laser beam.

**Table 1.** Thermal and photophysical data of Cs$_2$Mo$_6$I$_8$(OCOC$_2$F$_5$)$_6$ and doped PDMS samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$T_g$ (°C)</th>
<th>$T_d$ (°C)</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (nm)</th>
<th>Kinetic parameters</th>
<th>$\Phi$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1P</td>
<td>2P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In air</td>
<td>deaerated$^a$</td>
<td>air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs$_2$Mo$_6$I$_8$(OCOC$_2$F$_5$)$_6$ solution</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>2$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs$_2$Mo$_6$I$_8$(OCOC$_2$F$_5$)$_6$ powder</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>5 (0.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDMS1</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>689</td>
<td>2.8 (0.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.6 (0.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDMS5</td>
<td>-6.3</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>679</td>
<td>679</td>
<td>3.5 (0.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.7 (0.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDMS10</td>
<td>-8.2</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>3.8 (0.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.5 (0.13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$removal of oxygen is realized by bubbling Ar during 30 min for solutions, while measurements on PDMS hybrids are realized under dynamic vacuum after 3 h of pumping ($P \approx 1.10^{-6}$ mbar); $^b$from ref$^{15}$; $^c$saturation is realized by blowing N$_2$ at 0.06 bar during 15 min in an integrating sphere. Error on AQY values ±10%.

The Red-NIR cluster 2PE under NIR infrared excitation was confirmed by the quadratic dependence of the emission intensities with the excitation laser power, in solution (Figure 2a)
and in the solid state (ESI, Figure S25) for Cs$_2$Mo$_6$I$_8$(OCOC$_2$F$_5$)$_6$, and for PDMS10 (Figure 2b). In this last case, we observed a saturation phenomenon that further leads to the nanocomposite degradation at higher excitation power. In order to avoid thermal phenomena responsible for the shift and lowering of the cluster emission signal, the Cs$_2$Mo$_6$I$_8$(OCOC$_2$F$_5$)$_6$ two photon absorption cross section was evaluated only in solution (inset, Figure 2c) by using the following equation:

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{n c \Phi}{n_{\text{ref}} c_{\text{ref}} \Phi_{\text{ref}}} \sigma_{2,\text{ref}} \quad (1)$$

Where $\text{ref}$ refers to a reference compound (Rhodamine B in our case), $n$ are the refractive index of solvents, $c$ the concentration, $F$ the integrated intensity of the emission signal recorded in the exact same conditions and $\Phi$ the emission quantum yield from single photon excitation. Values are expressed in Göppert-Mayer unit ($1 \text{GM} = 10^{-50} \text{cm}^4 \text{s photon}^{-1}$) and might appear low compared to carefully engineered TPA emitters like conjugated metalloporphyrins, gold nanorods or Cd containing quantum dots. However, we wish to state that Cs$_2$Mo$_6$I$_8$(OCOC$_2$F$_5$)$_6$ has a low dark toxicity and that the cluster structure has not yet been optimized to improve such parameter. Indeed, octahedral clusters can be easily functionalized with organic ligands, and, designing organic synthons able to improve clusters TPA cross section should be the next step of this research field. Moreover, as stated by Y. Zhao et al., high TPA cross section values in the 780-820 nm excitation range are required to be efficiently used in 2PE-PDT applications, and this is exactly the wavelength range where are found the highest TPA cross section values for Cs$_2$Mo$_6$I$_8$(OCOC$_2$F$_5$)$_6$. Figure 2d shows the 1PE and 2PE spectra recorded for Cs$_2$Mo$_6$I$_8$(OCOC$_2$F$_5$)$_6$ and nanocomposites in the 1200-1350 nm range where O$_2$ ($^1\Delta_g$) emits. The production of singlet oxygen by 1P absorption of Mo clusters is known for long, and O$_2$ ($^1\Delta_g$) emission could also be detected for samples with low cluster content. A NIR excitation leads also clearly to the production of singlet oxygen (inset figure 2d), demonstrating that hybrid PDMS can potentially act as TPA-induced PDT agent.
Figure 2. 2PE spectra vs irradiation power of a) $\text{Cs}_2\text{Mo}_6\text{I}_{18}(\text{OCOC}_2\text{F}_5)_6$ in deaerated acetone and b) PDMS10 (spectra are cut at 750 nm because of the 750 nm short-pass optical filter used to prevent detector damages); inset: quadratic relationship of the observed two-photon emission intensity with the excitation laser power at 790 nm; c) one-photon (plain line, $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 405$ nm, no optical filter) and two-photon (dashed line, $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 810$ nm, with optical filter: the sharp signal at 810 nm is the residual excitation band) of $\text{Cs}_2\text{Mo}_6\text{I}_{18}(\text{OCOC}_2\text{F}_5)_6$ in deaerated acetone, inset: corresponding two-photon absorption cross section values vs excitation wavelength; d) singlet oxygen ($^1\Delta_g$) emission spectra obtained by excitation at 375 nm of powdered $\text{Cs}_2\text{Mo}_6\text{I}_{18}(\text{OCOC}_2\text{F}_5)_6$ (plain line), PDMS10 (dashed line), PDMS5 (dotted line) and PDMS1 (dashed-dotted line); inset: $\text{O}_2$ ($^1\Delta_g$) spectra obtained by excitation at 850 nm for powdered $\text{Cs}_2\text{Mo}_6\text{I}_{18}(\text{OCOC}_2\text{F}_5)_6$ (plain line) and PDMS10 (dashed line).
In conclusion, we show in this work that octahedral molybdenum clusters are able to emit NIR light by a two-photon absorption process. This ability is observed in solution as well as in the solid state or once metal nanoclusters are embedded homogeneously at various concentrations in a PDMS matrix. We could also evidence that irradiation in the NIR of these materials generates singlet oxygen, of particular importance for PDT. This study, by expanding the toolbox of octahedral transition metal clusters, increases strongly their already high potential in the field bioimaging and PDT. It opens the way to the development of new tools for cancer detection and treatment.
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I. Experimental details.

Characterization techniques:
- NMR experiments in solution were realized at 298 K in deuterated solvent with a Bruker Ascend 500 MHz NMR spectrometer. All peaks were referenced to the methyl signals of TMS at δ = 0 ppm.
- TGA were realized in a N₂ atmosphere with a Perkin Elmer Pyris Diamond at 5 K min⁻¹ up to 700°C followed by 1 hour at 700°C under air. Weight loss steps and decomposition temperature (T_d, Table 1) are taken as the maximum of the weight loss first derivate with temperature.
- DSC measurements were realized at 10 K.min⁻¹ with a TA25 DSC apparatus.
- IR spectra were obtained with a Bruker Vertex 70 IR spectrometer by ATR.
- EDX were realized in CMEBA-ScAnMAT platform with a JEOL IT 300 LA EDS (elemental mapping, acquisition voltage 20 kV) or with a JSM-7100F equipped with an Oxford X-Max spectrometer.
- Mass spectrometry by electrospray in positive mode and elemental analysis were realized at the Centre Régional des Mesures de l’Ouest (CRMPO) with an Agilent 6510 or a Bricker MaxIs 4G and a flash EA1112 CHNS/O Thermo Electron microanalyser, respectively.
- UV–vis absorption measurements, one photon emission vs excitation maps were recorded on a Horiba Jobin Yvon Duetta spectrophotometer.
- The absolute quantum yields were measured with a C9920–03 Hamamatsu system.
- One photon absorption Lifetime measurements and TRPL mapping at 296 K were realized using a picosecond laser diode (Jobin Yvon deltabio, 375 nm) and a Hamamatsu C10910-25 streak camera mounted with a slow single sweep unit. Signals were integrated on the whole emission decay. Fits were calculated using origin software and the goodness of fit judge by the reduced χ² value and residual plot shape. O₂ (¹Δg) measurements were realized with a Hamamatsu H12397-75 NIR-PMT unit mounted on a IHR3 spectrometer.
- Emission spectra by two photon or one photon absorption were recorded using a femtosecond laser chain (Ti-Sapphire Chameleon ultra II Coherent + pulse picker + SHG module when needed) and an Ocean optics QEPro CCD detector with integrating times ranging from 1 to 20s. The excitation beam crossed a longpass 800 nm optical filter before arriving on the sample and a shortpass 750 nm filter after the sample to remove the excitation signal and prevent damages on the CCD detector. The power of the beam was measured with a PMD100 console and a S142C integrating sphere sensor from Thorlabs. The shape and size of the beam spot at the focal point is elliptic with an area of 3920 µm².

Synthesis: All Chemical were purchased from Aldrich or Alfa Aesar. Cs₂Mo₆I₈(OOCC₂F₅)₆ was synthesized as reported previously with confirm analytical data.¹

Synthesis of the organic cation salt CatBr

The organic cation is synthesized in two steps according to the following procedures.

Step 1:

\[
\text{Br}_4 + \text{H-Si-O-Si-H} \xrightarrow{\text{Toluene, 140 °C, 20 h}} \text{Br}_4 \xrightarrow{\text{Tol(0)}} \text{Si-O-Si-H} \]

1 g (6.13 mmol) of 6-bromo-1-hexene and 4.18 g (30.65 mmol) of 1,1,3,3-tetraméthylsiloxane are dissolved in 150ml of toluene. After addition of a catalytic amount (5µl) of a xylene solution
containing Platinum (0)-1,3-divinyl-1,1,3,3-tetraméthylsiloxane (Pt content ~2%) the mixture is heated at 140°C during 20h. Solvents and excess of reactant are removed by evaporation yielding quantitatively to the desired product as a pale-yellow oil.

RMN-\(^{1}\)H (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\) ): 4.72 (sept, 1H, Si-H), 3.43 (t, 2H, CH\(_2\)-Br), 1.88 (quint, 2H, CH\(_2\)-CH\(_2\)-Br), 1.53-1.32 (m, 6H, (CH\(_2\)_3), 0.57 (m, 2H, CH\(_2\)-Si), 0.20 (d, 6H, (CH\(_3\))\(_2\)-Si-H), 0.10 (s, 6H, (CH\(_3\))\(_2\)-Si).

**Step 2:**

1.77 g (5.94 mmol) of 1-(6-bromohexyl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyldisiloxane (1) and 1.27 g (5.94 mmol) of N,N-dimethyldodecylamine are dissolved in 100 ml of acetonitrile. The mixture is heated at 90°C under stirring during 72h. After solvent evaporation, the desired compound is obtained as a white powder by precipitation in diethyl ether at -18°C. Yield: 91%.

RMN-\(^{1}\)H (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\) ) : 4.67 (sept, 1H, Si-H), 3.45 (m, 4H, (CH\(_2\)-N+)\(_2\)), 3.33 (s, 6H, (CH\(_3\))\(_2\)-N\(^{-}\)), 1.62 (m, 4H, (CH\(_2\)-CH\(_2\)-N+)\(_2\)), 1.44-1.12 (m, 24H, (CH\(_2\))\(_3\) et (CH\(_2\))\(_9\)), 0.80 (t, 3H, CH\(_3\)), 0.80 (t, 3H, CH\(_3\)), 0.44 (m, 2H, CH\(_2\)-Si), 0.08 (d, 6H, (CH\(_3\))\(_2\)-Si-H), -0.02 (s, 6H, (CH\(_3\))\(_2\)-Si).

RMN-\(^{13}\)C (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\) ) : 64.14 (CH\(_2\)-N\(^{-}\)), 51.29 (CH\(_3\)-N\(^{-}\)), 32.80-16.80 (CH\(_2\)), 0.9-(-0.05) (CH\(_3\)-Si).

MS (ESI\(^{+}\), CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) ) : m/z (calculated) = 430.3895, m/z (obtained) = 430.3900.

E.A. : calculated for C\(_{26}\)H\(_{56}\)BrNOSi\(_2\)+ 0.5 H\(_2\)O : C, 55.46 ; H, 11.05 ; N, 2.69 ; obtained: C, 55.44 ; H, 10.94 ; N, 2.46.

**Synthesis of the polymerizable cluster (Cat)\(_2\)Mo\(_6\)I\(_8\)(OCOC\(_2\)F\(_5\))\(_6\) (Cat\(_2\)Mo\(_6\) ) :**

0.5g (0.17mmol) of Cs\(_2\)Mo\(_6\)I\(_8\)(OOCC\(_2\)F\(_5\))\(_6\) are solubilized in 25 ml of anhydrous acetone and left under stirring. After addition of a 10 ml dry acetone solution containing compound 2 (0.2g; 0.39mmol), the mixture is left at 23°C under stirring for 2 hours. Solvent is evaporated up to dryness and 10 ml of CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) are added to the obtained slurry. The yellow-red solution in which a white powder precipitates is filtered on celite and solvent is evaporated leading quantitatively to the desired product that is further dried under vacuum.

_Caution: This compound is reactive and should be used shortly after its synthesis and/or stored at -40°C to prevent the silane groups of Cat\(^{+}\) to react with the cluster anion by apical ligand exchange._

RMN-\(^{1}\)H (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\) ) : 4.69 (sept, 1H, Si-H), 3.44-3.21 (m, 4H, (CH\(_2\)-N+)\(_2\)), 3.16 (s, 6H, (CH\(_3\))\(_2\)-N\(^{-}\)), 1.73 (m, 4H, (CH\(_2\)-CH\(_2\)-N+)\(_2\)), 1.45-1.20 (m, 24H, (CH\(_2\))\(_3\) et (CH\(_2\))\(_9\)), 0.88 (t, 3H, CH\(_3\)), 0.50 (m, 2H, CH\(_2\)-Si), 0.07 (d, 6H, (CH\(_3\))\(_2\)-Si-H), 0.01 (s, 6H, (CH\(_3\))\(_2\)-Si).

RMN-\(^{19}\)F (375 MHz, CDCl\(_3\) ) : -82.21 (s, 3F), -120.22 (s, 2F).

E.A. : calculated for C\(_{66}\)H\(_{104}\)O\(_{14}\)N\(_2\)F\(_{30}\)Si\(_4\)Mo\(_6\)+ 3 C\(_3\)H\(_6\)O : C, 25.04 ; H, 3.42 ; N, 0.78 ; obtained : C, 25.14 ; H, 3.65 ; N, 0.60.

EDX : no cesium. Mo, 15 %; I, 18 %; F, 58 %.
Hybrid polymer synthesis:

The freshly prepared polymerizable cluster (CatSiH)$_2$Mo$_6$I$_8$(OCOC$_2$F$_5$)$_6$ is dissolved in 2 ml of acetone and poured in a screwable vial with a mixture of 1,3-divinyltetraméthyldisiloxane (monomer) and 2,4,6,8-tetraméthylecyclotetrasiloxane (cross-linker). After addition of 0.5µl of a xylene solution containing Platinum (0)-1,3-divinyl-1,1,3,3-tetraméthylsiloxane (Pt ~2%), the vial is heated at 65°C in an oven for 48h to give homogeneous and transparent copolymer pellets.

Amounts of each component are gathered in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>(CatSiH)$_2$Mo$_6$I$_8$(OCOC$_2$F$_5$)$_6$</th>
<th>monomer</th>
<th>crosslinker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PDMS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.20 g (60 %)</td>
<td>0.80 g (40 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDMS1</td>
<td>20 mg</td>
<td>1.20 g (60 %)</td>
<td>0.78 g (39 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDMS5</td>
<td>100 mg</td>
<td>1.15 g (58 %)</td>
<td>0.75 g (37 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDMS10</td>
<td>200 mg</td>
<td>1.10 g (55 %)</td>
<td>0.71 g (35 %)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II Additional Figures

Figure S1. IR spectra of hybrid PDMS samples.
Figure S2. SEM micrographs and related EDS analysis for doped PDMS samples

NB: differences in color are due to the non-planarity of samples.

Figure S3. TGA Thermogram of PDMS samples (heating rate: 5 K. min⁻¹)
Figure S4. DSC thermograms of PDMS samples showing the second heating cycle at a heating rate of 10 K. min\(^{-1}\).

Figure S5. Absorption spectrum of Cs\(_2\)Mo\(_6\)I\(_8\)(OCOC\(_2\)F\(_5\))\(_6\) in acetonitrile (c = 7 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol.l}^{-1})

Figure S6. Excitation (in black) and emission (in red) spectra recorded for Cs\(_2\)Mo\(_6\)I\(_8\)(OCOC\(_2\)F\(_5\))\(_6\) in deaerated acetone.
Figure S7. Excitation (in black) and emission (in red) spectra recorded for Cs$_2$Mo$_6$I$_8$(OCOC$_2$F$_5$)$_6$ in powder form.

Figure S8. Excitation (in black) and emission (in red) spectra recorded for PDMS1.

Figure S9. Excitation (in black) and emission (in red) spectra recorded for PDMS5.
Figure S10. Excitation (in black) and emission (in red) spectra recorded for PDMS10.
Figure S11. Emission decay map of PDMS1 in air

Figure S12. Integrated emission decay profile of PDMS1 in air (in black) and corresponding fitting profile (red).
**Figure S13.** Emission decay map of PDMS1 in vacuum

**Figure S14.** Integrated emission decay profile of PDMS1 in vacuum (in black) and corresponding fitting profile (red).
Figure S15. Emission decay map of PDMS5 in air

Figure S16. Integrated emission decay profile of PDMS5 in air (in black) and corresponding fitting profile (red)
Figure S17. Emission decay map of PDMS5 in vacuum

Figure S18. Integrated emission decay profile of PDMS5 in vacuum (in black) and corresponding fitting profile (red)
**Figure S19.** Emission decay map of PDMS10 in air.

**Figure S20.** Integrated emission decay profile of PDMS10 in air (in black) and corresponding fitting profile (red)
Figure S21. Emission decay map of PDMS10 after 3h in vacuum.

Figure S22. Integrated emission decay profile of PDMS10 after 3h in vacuum (in black) and corresponding fitting profile (red).
Figure S23. Schematic presentation of the luminescence measurement set-up used for 1P and 2P emission measurements.

Figure S24. Normalized emission spectra (left: $\lambda_{\text{exc}}=405$ nm, right: $\lambda_{\text{exc}}=810$ nm) for $\text{Cs}_2\text{Mo}_6\text{I}_8(\text{OCOC}_2\text{F}_5)_6$ in powder (black), in deaerated acetone (red), PDMS1 (blue), PDMS5 (green) and PDMS10 (purple).
Figure S25. TPA induced emission spectra of Cs$_2$Mo$_6$I$_8$(OCOC$_2$F$_5$)$_6$ in the solid state for $\lambda_{\text{exc}}$ = 810 nm and various excitation power (left); quadratic dependency of 2PA induced emission intensity with excitation power (right, $\lambda_{\text{exc}}$ = 810 nm).