

STUDY OF THE IMPACT OF QUAY CRANE PRODUCTIVITY RATE ON BERTH AND QUAY CRANE ASSIGNMENT AND SCHEDULING

Kaoutar Chargui, Abdellah El Fallahi, Tarik Zouadi, Mohamed Reghioui

▶ To cite this version:

Kaoutar Chargui, Abdellah El Fallahi, Tarik Zouadi, Mohamed Reghioui. STUDY OF THE IMPACT OF QUAY CRANE PRODUCTIVITY RATE ON BERTH AND QUAY CRANE ASSIGNMENT AND SCHEDULING. 13ème CONFERENCE INTERNATIONALE DE MODELISATION, OPTIMISATION ET SIMULATION (MOSIM2020), 12-14 Nov 2020, AGADIR, Maroc, Nov 2020, AGADIR (virtual), Morocco. hal-03193118

HAL Id: hal-03193118

https://hal.science/hal-03193118

Submitted on 8 Apr 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

STUDY OF THE IMPACT OF QUAY CRANE PRODUCTIVITY RATE ON BERTH AND QUAY CRANE ASSIGNMENT AND SCHEDULING

Kaoutar CHARGUI

Tarik ZOUADI

MOSIL research team, National School of Applied Sciences of Tetouan, University of Abdelmalek Essaadi, Mhannech, PB: 2222, Tetouan, Morocco. Chargui.kaoutar@gmail.com Rabat Business School, BEAR Lab, International University of Rabat, Technopolis Shore Rocade 11100, Sala Al Jadida, Morocco. Tarik.zouadi@uir.ac.ma

Abdellah EL FALLAHI

Mohamed REGHIOUI

MOSIL research team, National School of Applied Sciences of Tetouan, University of Abdelmalek Essaadi, Mhannech, PB: 2222, Tetouan, Morocco. aelfallahi@gmail.com

MOSIL research team, National School of Applied Sciences of Tetouan, University of Abdelmalek Essaadi, Mhannech, PB: 2222, Tetouan, Morocco. m.reghioui@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: The integrated berth allocation and quay crane assignment and allocation is among the most addressed problems in the maritime related literature. The accuracy of this problem solutions depends on the precision of the resource's capacity estimation. Accordingly, in this paper, we investigate how the estimation error impacts the problem solutions. We focus on the quay cranes as being the main resources involved in serving the port customers vessels. We modelled the problem and tested different estimation errors scenarios for a dataset of instances. Computational results illustrated clearly the variation pattern of the problem solutions error over the capacity estimation error.

KEYWORDS: Berth allocation, quay crane assignment, quay crane scheduling, quay crane capacity.

1 INTRODUCTION

Maritime logistics topic is set to become a widely investigated area in the literature due its very noticeable impact on the international trade (UNCTAD, 2018). Improving the port performance brings about various problems to be investigated especially the berth allocation, quay crane assignment and scheduling problems. The quality of this problem solutions depends mainly on how accurate the estimated resources capacities are. In this paper, we focus on the quay crane resources and we propose a model for this integrated problem inspired from a similar one in the literature. Then,

we investigate how the quay cranes capacity estimation error influences the quality of the problem solutions. We conduct an experimental study to illustrate the impact of several estimation error values on the model objective value over a set of real based instances.

The remainder of this paper is as follows. Section 2 reports the most related past works regarding the topic addressed in this paper. A mathematical formulation of the studied problem is presented in Section 3. In Section 4, we report the results of the conducted experiments, then the paper ends with a conclusion and some potential lines for future work.

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

The berth allocation and quay crane assignment and scheduling problems were widely addressed in the literature and different formulation and algorithms were proposed to solve them (Bierwirth and Meisel, 2015; Li et al., 2015, Fu and Diabat, 2015; He et al., 2019). Recently, many contributions tended to integrate those problems in one single formulation due to the cost savings induced by that (Agra and Oliveira, 2018; Chen et al., 2013; Correcher and Alvarez-Valdes, 2017; Meisel and Bierwirth, 2012; Unsal and Oguz, 2013, Chargui et al., 2019). In most of past work, the performance rate of quay cranes was considered fix even though its estimation is subject to potential error. In fact, the capacity of a quay crane, which is expressed in terms of the number of containers moved per time period, is variant and dependent on many factors such as the characteristics of containers to be handled (Linn et al., 2013).

In the light of that, we investigate empirically the impact of the quay crane estimation error on the solution of the berth allocation and the quay crane assignment and scheduling integrated problem. For this, we modelled the problem based on the formulation proposed by Chargui et al. (2019) and conducted a set of experiments to highlight the variation.

3 MATHEMATICAL MODEL

3.1 Problem data

- V Number of vessels.
- P Number of bay sections across the quay.
- T Number of time periods in the planning horizon.
- C Number of quay cranes.
- M Sufficiently large positive number.
- r Processing rate of quay cranes.
- D_{vp} Number of containers to handle on the bay

p of vessel v.

- I_{ν} Arrival time of vessel ν .
- E_v Right berth position of vessel v.
- F_v Left berth position of vessel v.

3.2 Decision variables

- Q_{vt}^{pc} 1: if crane c is handling the bay p of vessel v at time period t. 0: otherwise.
- y_v Service starting time of vessel v.
- m_{tp} Performance rate of the quay crane on bay p at time period t.
- H_{vtp} Number of containers remained to handle from bay p of vessel v at the beginning of time period t.
- Z_{vtp} Number of containers remained on vessel v at bay p from time period t-1.
- X_{vtp} Number of containers handled on vessel v at bay p at time period t-1.
- s_{vt} 1: if vessel v is being served at time period t. 0: otherwise
- I_{ν} Total time needed to serve the vessel ν .

3.3 Objective function

The objective of the model consists in minimizing the sum of vessels serving times. It's expressed as in equation (1).

$$Minimize \sum_{v=1}^{V} I_v \tag{1}$$

3.4 Constraints

$$\sum_{p=1}^{p} \sum_{v=1}^{V} Q_{vt}^{pc} \le 1 \qquad (\forall t = 1..T, c = (2))$$
1..C)

Constraints (2) ensure that at each time period a quay crane is at most assigned to one bay and one vessel.

$$\sum_{c=1}^{c} \sum_{v=1}^{V} Q_{vt}^{pc} \le 1 \qquad (\forall t = 1..T, p = 1..P)$$
 (3)

Constraints (3) guarantee that at each time period a bay is allocated at most one quay crane and one vessel.

$$m_{tp} \le \sum_{c=1}^{C} \sum_{v=1}^{V} Q_{vt}^{pc} r$$
 $(\forall t = 1...T, p = 1...P)$

Constraints (4) determine the performance rate of the quay crane assigned to each bay at every time period. It's null if no quay crane is assigned to that bay at that time period.

$$\sum_{c=1}^{C} Q_{vt}^{pc} \le H_{vtp} M$$

$$(\forall t = 1.. T, p = 1.. P, v = 1.. V)$$
(5)

Constraints (5) ensure that, at each time period, if the remaining workload on each bay and every vessel is null, no quay crane will be assigned that bay.

$$H_{vtp} = 0$$
 (6)
 $(\forall t = 1..T: t \le J_v, p = 1..P, v = 1..V)$

Constraints (6) ensure that the remaining workload at every bay of a vessel is null before the arrival of that vessel.

$$H_{vtp} \le D_{vp}(t = y_v) + Z_{vtp} - X_{vtp}$$

$$(\forall t = 1..T: t \le J_v, p = 1..P, v = 1..V)$$
(7)

Constraints (7) is used the calculate the handled amount at each time period.

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{vtp} \ge D_{vp}$$
(8)
$$(\forall p = 1..P, v = 1..V)$$

Constraints (8) ensure that the workload on each bay of a given vessel must be handled during the planning horizon.

$$X_{vtp} \le M$$
 (9)
 $(\forall t = 1..T: t \le J_v, p = 1..P, v = 1..V)$

Constraints (9) guarantee that the number of containers handled on bay of a vessel at a given time period is null in case no crane was assigned that bay before.

$$X_{vtp} \le m_{t-1,p}$$
 (10)
 $(\forall t = 2...T: t \ge I_m, p = 1...P, v = 1...V)$

$$X_{vtp} \ge m_{t-1,p} - M$$

$$(\forall t = 1..T: t \le J_v, p = 1..P, v = 1..V)$$

Constraints (10) and (11) are used to calculate the number of containers handled during a time period that must be after the starting time to serve the vessel.

$$Z_{vtp} \le M(t \ge y_v + 1)$$
 (12)
 $(\forall t = 2...T: t \ge I_v, p = 1...P, v = 1...V)$

$$Z_{vtp} \le H_{v,t-1,p}$$
 (13)
 $(\forall t = 2..T: t \ge J_v, p = 1..P, v = 1..V)$

$$Z_{vtp} \le H_{v,t-1,p} - M(1 - (t \ge y_v + 1))$$

$$(\forall t = 2..T: t \ge J_v, p = 1..P, v = 1..V)$$
(14)

Constraints (12), (13) and (14) are used to calculate the remaining workload from each time period.

$$\sum_{c_1=1,c_1< c}^{c} \sum_{p_1=1,p_1>p}^{p} Q_{vt}^{p_1c_1} r \le M \left(1-Q_{vt}^{pc} r\right) \quad (15)$$

$$(\forall t = 1..T, p = 1..P, v = 1..V, c =$$

1..*C*)

Constraints (15) are added to avoid the interference between quay cranes.

$$I_v \ge max_{t=1}^T (t(s_{vt} = 1)) - J_v + 1$$
 (16)
 $(\forall v = 1..V)$

Constraints (16) define the port stay of a vessel as the time interval between its arrival time and the last time period it was being served.

$$\sum_{p=1}^{P} H_{vtp} \le s_{vt} M \qquad (\forall t = 1..T, v = 1..V)$$

$$s_{vt} \le \sum_{p=1}^{P} H_{vtp} \ (\forall t = 1..T, v = 1..V)$$
 (18)
Constraints (17) and (18) ensure that the

remaining workload of a vessel bay is null if it hasn't started being served yet.

$$s_{v1t} \le M$$
 $(\forall v1 = 1..V, v2 = (19)$
1.. $V: v1 \ne v2, t = 1..T: t \ge J_v)$
Constraints (18) are added to prevent physical contact of vessels.

$$Z_{v1n} = 0$$
 $(\forall p = 1..P, v = 1..V)$ (20)

Constraints (20) ensure that the workload remaining at the first time period is null.

$$X_{v1p} = 0 \qquad (\forall p = 1..P, v = 1..V)$$
Constraints (21) ensure that the workload

Constraints (21) ensure that the workload handled before the first time period is null.

$$Z_{vtp} \ge 0$$
 (22)
 $(\forall t = 1..T, p = 1..P, v = 1..V)$

Constraints (22) ensure that the variable Z is positive.

$$H_{vtp} \ge 0$$
 (23)
 $(\forall t = 1..T, p = 1..P, v = 1..V)$

Constraints (23) ensure that the variable H is positive.

$$X_{vtp} \ge 0$$
 (24)
 $(\forall t = 1...T, p = 1...P, v = 1...V)$

Constraints (24) ensure that the variable X is positive.

$$m_{tp} \ge 0 \, (\forall t = 1..T, p = 1..P)$$
 (25)

Constraints (25) ensure that the variable m is positive.

$$y_v \ge 0 \quad (\forall v = 1..V) \tag{26}$$

Constraints (26) ensure that the variable y is positive.

$$I_v \ge 0 \quad (\forall v = 1..V) \tag{27}$$

Constraints (27) ensure that the variable I is positive.

$$Q_{vt}^{pc} \in \{0,1\}$$

$$(\forall v = 1..V, t = 1..T, p = 1..P, c = 1..C)$$
(28)

Constraints (28) ensure that the variable Q is binary.

$$s_{vt} \in \{0,1\}$$
 ($\forall v = 1..V, t = 1..T$) (29) Constraints (29) ensure that the variable s is binary.

4 RESULTS

Table 1 reports the results of the study. In this study, we considered 50 instances generated based on real case data. For every instance, we solved the model 19 times. At each run, we modified the performance rate of quay cranes that we calculate as in equation (30) with A representing the estimated quay crane capacity and the reference capacity is given as 30. The two equations are used to calculate the gaps between the values. The objective function error is calculated as in equation (31) with B being the optimal objective value obtained using the estimated capacity and C is the optimal value found using the reference capacity 30. The averages of the objective functions values errors show that they increase as the estimation error increases and vice Additionally, the objective values errors increase more significantly for the negative estimation errors compared to the positive ones.

Estimationerror percentage =
$$\frac{A-30}{30}$$
 (30)

$$Objective functionerror = \frac{B-C}{C}$$
 (31)

Table 1: The objective function errors over the estimation errors

		Estimation error percentage																	
	-30%	-27%	23%	-20%	17%	-13%	10%	-7%	-3%	0%	3%	7%	10%	13%	17%	20%	23%	27%	30%
•	Objective function error																		
Awaraaa	48%	42%	36%	30%	25%	19%	16%	11%	8%	5	0%	-2%	-4%	-8%	-11%	-12%	-	-18%	-
Average										%							15%		20%
Ecart	0,04	0,04	0,03	0,04	0,03	0,04	0,03	0,04	0,04	0	0,0	0,04	0,04	0,02	0,03	0,03	0,03	0,03	0,02
type											3								

5 CONCLUSION

As the accuracy of the integrated berth allocation and quay crane assignment and scheduling problem solutions depends on the capacity estimation quality, we modeled the problem and conducted an experimental study to highlight the influence of the estimation error on the objective function values obtained using different scenarios of errors. The results showed that there is a significant impact of the error value on the optimal solution found for every instance. This work could be extended by proposing some methods to control the estimation error in order to reduce its impact on the planning solutions.

REFERENCES

- Agra, A. and Oliveira, M. (2018). MIP approaches for the integrated berth allocation and quay crane assignment and scheduling problem. European Journal of Operational Research, 264(1):138–148.
- Bierwirth, C. and Meisel, F. (2015). A follow-up survey of berth allocation and quay crane scheduling problems in container terminals. European Journal of Operational Research, 244(3):675–689.
- Chargui, K., El fallahi, A., Reghioui, M., Zouadi, T. (2019), A reactive multi-agent approach for online (re)scheduling of resources in port container terminals, IFAC-PapersOnLine, 52(13):124-129.
- Chen, L., Langevin, A., and Lu, Z. (2013). Integrated scheduling of crane handling and truck transportation in a maritime container terminal. European Journal of Operational Research, 225(1):142–152.
- Correcher, J. F. and Alvarez-Valdes, R. (2017). A biased random-key genetic algorithm for the

- time-invariant berth allocation and quay crane assignment problem. Expert Systems with Applications, 89:112–128.
- Fu, Y.-M. and Diabat, A. (2015). A Lagrangian relaxation approach for solving the integrated quay crane assignment and scheduling problem. Applied Mathematical Modelling, 39(3):1194–1201
- He, J., Yu, H., Tan, C., Yan, W., and Jiang, C. (2019). Quay crane scheduling for multiple hatches vessel considering double-cycling strategy. Industrial Management & Data Systems.
- Li, W., Wu, Y., and Goh, M. (2015). Maritime Terminal Operational Problems. In Li, W., Wu, Y., and Goh, M., editors, Planning and Scheduling for Maritime Container Yards: Supporting and Facilitating the Global Supply Network, pages 5–29. Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- Linn, R., Liu, J., and Zhang, Y.-w. W. a. C. (2013).Predicting the Performance of ContainerTerminal Operations using Artificial NeuralNetworks.
- Meisel, F. and Bierwirth, C. (2012). A Framework for Integrated Berth Allocation and Crane Operations Planning in Seaport Container Terminals. Transportation Science, 47(2):131–147.
- UNCTAD (2018). Review of maritime transport 2018. New York: U. Nations.
- Unsal, O. and Oguz, C. (2013). Constraint programming approach to quay crane scheduling problem. Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review, 59:108–122.