

Ostreopsis lenticularis Y. Fukuyo (Dinophyceae, Gonyaulacales) from French Polynesia (South Pacific Ocean)

Nicolas Chomérat, Gwenael Bilien, Amélie Derrien, Kévin Henry, André Ung, Jérôme Viallon, Hélène Taiana Darius, Clémence Mahana Iti Gatti, Mélanie Roué, Fabienne Hervé, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Nicolas Chomérat, Gwenael Bilien, Amélie Derrien, Kévin Henry, André Ung, et al.. Ostreopsis lenticularis Y. Fukuyo (Dinophyceae, Gonyaulacales) from French Polynesia (South Pacific Ocean): A revisit of its morphology, molecular phylogeny and toxicity. Harmful Algae, 2019, 84, pp.95-111. 10.1016/j.hal.2019.02.004 . hal-03187307

HAL Id: hal-03187307 https://hal.science/hal-03187307

Submitted on 22 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Ostreopsis lenticularis Y. Fukuyo (Dinophyceae, Gonyaulacales) from French Polynesia (South
 Pacific Ocean): a revisit of its morphology, molecular phylogeny and toxicity.

- 3
- 4 Nicolas Chomérat^{1*}, Gwenael Bilien¹, Amélie Derrien¹, Kévin Henry², André Ung², Jérôme
- 5 Viallon², Hélène Taiana Darius², Clémence Mahana iti Gatti², Mélanie Roué³, Fabienne Hervé⁴,

6 Damien Réveillon⁴, Zouher Amzil⁴ and Mireille Chinain²

- 7
- 8 ¹IFREMER, LER BO, Station of Marine Biology of Concarneau, Place de la Croix, F-29900
- 9 Concarneau, France
- 10²Institut Louis Malardé, Laboratoire des Micro-algues toxiques, UMR 241-EIO, PO box 30, 98713
- 11 Papeete, Tahiti, French Polynesia
- 12 ³Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), UMR 241-EIO, PO box 529, 98713 Papeete,
- 13 Tahiti, French Polynesia
- 14 ⁴IFREMER, Phycotoxins Laboratory, BP 21105, F-44311 Nantes Cedex 3, France
- 15
- 16 *Corresponding author: nicolas.chomerat@ifremer.fr

17 Abstract

18 To date, the genus Ostreopsis comprises eleven described species, of which seven are toxigenic and produce various compounds presenting a major threat to human and environmental health. The 19 20 taxonomy of several of these species however remains controversial, as it was based mostly on 21 morphological descriptions leading, in some cases, to ambiguous interpretations and even possible 22 misidentifications. The species Ostreopsis lenticularis was first described by Y. Fukuyo from 23 French Polynesia using light microscopy observations, but without genetic information associated. 24 The present study aims at revisiting the morphology, molecular phylogeny and toxicity of O. 25 lenticularis based on the analysis of 47 strains isolated from 4 distinct locales of French Polynesia, 26 namely the Society, Australes, Marquesas and Gambier archipelagos. Observations in light, 27 epifluorescence and field emission scanning electron microscopy of several of these strains 28 analyzed revealed morphological features in perfect agreement with the original description of O. 29 lenticularis. Cells were oval, not undulated, 60.5–94.4 µm in dorso-ventral length, 56.1–78.2 µm in 30 width, and possessed a typical plate pattern with the cal plates showing two sizes of pores. 31 Phylogenetic analyses inferred from the LSU rDNA and ITS-5.8S sequences revealed that the 47 32 strains correspond to a single genotype, clustering with a strong support with sequences previously 33 ascribed to Ostreopsis sp. 5. Clonal cultures of O. lenticularis were also established and further 34 tested for their toxicity using the neuroblastoma cell-based assay and LC-MS/MS analyses. None of 35 the 19 strains tested showed toxic activity on neuroblastoma cells, while LC-MS/MS analyses 36 performed on the strains from Tahiti Island (i.e. type locality) confirmed that palytoxin and related 37 structural analogs were below the detection limit. These findings allow to clarify unambiguously the 38 genetic identity of O. lenticularis while confirming previous results from the Western Pacific which 39 indicate that this species shows no toxicity, thus stressing the need to reconsider its current 40 classification within the group of toxic species.

2

42 Keywords

43 ITS-5.8S rDNA; LSU rDNA; microscopy; Ostreopsis lenticularis; taxonomy; toxins

44 1. Introduction

45 First described from the Gulf of Thailand in 1901 (Schmidt, 1901), the genus Ostreopsis Johs. 46 Schmidt has become a well-studied dinoflagellate due to its recurrent toxic blooms in several places 47 around the world and their deleterious impacts on both marine ecosystem functioning and human health (Penna et al., 2010; Parsons et al., 2012; Accoroni and Totti, 2016; Verma et al., 2016). 48 49 Originally limited to tropical locales, this genus is now found in temperate areas where they cause 50 severe health problems (e.g. Tichadou et al., 2010; del Favero et al., 2012; Accoroni and Totti, 2016; Berdalet et al., 2017). Ostreopsis species have been shown to produce a variety of toxic 51 52 compounds (Lassus et al., 2016), that can bio-accumulate in marine organisms such as molluscs, 53 herbivorous echinoderms or fishes (Amzil et al., 2012; Brissard et al., 2014). The toxins produced 54 by Ostreopsis species are analogs of palytoxin (PITX), a toxin primarily isolated from the zoanthid 55 Palythoa toxica (Moore and Scheuer, 1971). So far, several toxin classes which share both similar 56 structure and site of action with PITX have been described, such as ostreocins -B and -D (OSTs) 57 (Usami et al., 1995; Ukena et al., 2001; Terajima et al., 2018), mascarenotoxins-a, b and c (McTXs) 58 (Lenoir et al., 2004; Rossi et al., 2010), and ovatoxins (OvTxs) (Ciminiello et al., 2008, 2010; Sanchez et al., 2011; Ciminiello et al., 2012; Suzuki et al., 2012; Uchida et al., 2013; Brissard et al., 59 60 2015; García-Altares et al., 2015; Accoroni et al., 2016; Tartaglione et al., 2016, 2017). Other 61 compounds called ostreotoxins (OTXs) were reported but not yet structurally elucidated and, as 62 they have a different mode and site of action than the other Ostreopsis toxins, their classification as 63 PITX analogues remains uncertain (Mercado et al., 1994; Meunier et al., 1997). To date, the genus 64 Ostreopsis comprises eleven species, of which nine have been described by their morphology only, 65 namely O. siamensis Johs. Schmidt (Schmidt, 1901), O. lenticularis Y. Fukuyo (Fukuyo, 1981), O. 66 ovata Y. Fukuyo (Fukuyo, 1981), O. heptagona D.R.Norris, J.W.Bomber & Balech (Norris et al., 67 1985), O. mascarenensis Quod (Quod, 1994), O. labens M.A. Faust & S.L. Morton (Faust and 68 Morton, 1995), O. belizeana M.A. Faust, O. caribbeana M.A. Faust and O. marina M.A. Faust

(Faust, 1999), whereas the two most recent descriptions of *O. fattorussoi* Accoroni, Romagnoli &
Totti (Accoroni et al., 2016) and *O. rhodesiae* Verma, Hoppenrath & S.A. Murray (Verma et al.,
2016) include genetic data. As already reviewed in detail by Parsons et al. (2012), the identification
of *Ostreopsis* species based solely on morphology is extremely difficult and many confusions have
occurred. Although the generic characters are very peculiar among dinoflagellates and readily
identify the genus *Ostreopsis*, the criteria used to delineate species are based primarily on variations
in cell size, outline and some slight differences of certain thecal plates (Faust, 1999; Penna et al.,
2005; Hoppenrath et al., 2014). However, most of these features have been shown to vary within a
given species (e.g. Penna et al., 2005). This significant morphological plasticity thus makes the
species identification by morphology often ambiguous (Rhodes et al., 2000; Parsons et al., 2012;
Hoppenrath et al., 2014).

80 Owing to the ambiguities in defining the morphological characters, there have been several 81 attempts in revising the description of Ostreopsis and species therein, using molecular data (Parsons 82 et al., 2012). To this end, sequences of the Internal Transcribed Spacers (ITS-5.8S rDNA region), 83 or more recently the hypervariable D8–D10 domains of the ribosomal DNA large subunit (LSU 84 rDNA) have been made available for species identification, in combination with morphometrics. 85 One of the first molecular studies on Ostreopsis was by Leaw et al. (2001) in Malaysia who showed 86 that within O. cf. ovata, the isolates clustered in two distinct clades, corresponding to geographically distinct groups. Later, Penna et al. (2005, 2010) obtained similar results and found a 87 88 clade of O. cf. ovata corresponding to Mediterranean/Atlantic strains, well separated from 89 Indo-Pacific strains. These findings strongly suggest that a given morphospecies can actually 90 encompass a wide genetic cryptic diversity. Studying the genetic diversity of the genus Ostreopsis 91 in the western Pacific, Sato et al. (2011) identified 8 clades corresponding to eight putative species, 92 based on two different genetic markers (LSU D8-D10 and ITS-5.8S rDNA sequences). Their 93 molecular data revealed the existence of a new, cryptic species (Ostreopsis sp. 1) possessing the

94 same morphology as *O*. cf. *ovata* and therefore very difficult to describe as a separate species.
95 Moreover, in the absence of reliable genetic references for most of the clades, the authors
96 deliberately did not assign them taxonomically and the different genetic entities were thus named
97 *Ostreopsis* sp. 1–6. Later, Tawong et al. (2014) used the same approach in their analysis of strains
98 from Thailand, and they identified a new genetic clade, *Ostreopsis* sp. 7, for which morphological
99 features cannot be distinguished from *O*. cf. *ovata*. They concluded that it may represent a yet
100 undescribed cryptic species, but distinctive characters needed to be found to support its description
101 as a separate taxon (Tawong et al., 2014).

102 To date, the taxonomy of Ostreopsis is controversial and morphological and molecular data 103 cannot be easily combined in order to delimit species (Hoppenrath et al., 2014). Several genotypes 104 are not yet associated with a morphospecies, leading to a situation of a dual-taxonomy based either 105 on morphospecies or genotypes. In order to unify them, reference molecular data acquired from 106 unambiguously identified specimens are absolutely necessary. Ideally, the genetic data should 107 include type material (holotype, lectotype, neotype), which is the reference point for the application 108 of the species name, according to the International Code of Nomenclature for Algae, Fungi and 109 Plants (Turland et al., 2018). For recently described species (Accoroni et al., 2016; Verma et al., 110 2016), sequences have been acquired from the same strains as used for the descriptions and thus are 111 taxonomically reliable. In the case of older descriptions without genetic data associated, the 112 situation is more complex since in most cases, the type material has not been designated, is no more 113 available, or, if still extant, has been conserved in an improper way to obtain reliable sequences. 114 Thus, in modern day molecular studies, it is not usually possible or practical to obtain reference 115 sequences from the types, and therefore fresh collections or cultures must be used (Ariyawansa et 116 al., 2014). This creates the problem that sequence data may come from incorrectly named isolates, 117 which can make the whole resulting taxonomy unsound (Ariyawansa et al., 2014). For Ostreopsis 118 species, the intraspecific genetic variability is often linked to geographical origins of strains.

119 Therefore, in order to provide reliable information and ensure a correct association between120 phenotype/genotype of a given species, new investigations and acquisition of reference genetic data121 should always be made in the type locality.

122 The species Ostreopsis lenticularis was first described from Tahiti Island in the Society 123 Archipelago, (French Polynesia), the Gambier Archipelago as well as New Caledonia, by Fukuyo 124 (1981), in the framework of a study of benthic dinoflagellates from coral reefs in the Pacific. This 125 description was based on light microscopy observations. Morphologically, it displays the same size 126 and outline to O. siamensis from which it differs by the absence of a body undulation and the 127 presence of two sizes of thecal pores (Fukuyo, 1981; Hoppenrath et al., 2014). Fukuyo (1981) did 128 not designate a holotype, but he indicated that the type locality was Tahiti Island, and that this 129 species was absent in Ryukyu Islands (Japan). Since then, it has been found associated with various 130 benthic habitats in almost all tropical regions in the world (Faust, 1995; Hoppenrath et al., 2014; 131 Gárate-Lizárraga et al., 2018). In some instances, authors identified this species with morphological 132 features different than the ones reported in the original description, which not only makes these 133 reports doubtful but also the species delimitation unclear (e.g. Faust et al., 1996; Leaw et al., 2001; 134 Faust and Gulledge, 2002). In addition, the toxicity status of this species needs to be clarified since 135 no toxicity has been clearly demonstrated in the species described from French Polynesia (Bagnis et 136 al., 1985) whereas several studies from the Caribbean Sea mentioned it as a toxic species (e.g. 137 Mercado et al., 1994). Moreover, from a molecular point of view, the genetic identity of O. 138 lenticularis appears ambiguous since a sequence ascribed to O. lenticularis was identical to another 139 sequence identified as O. labens, causing a taxonomic confusion (Penna et al., 2010; Sato et al., 140 2011). More recently, in the study by Zhang et al. (2018), sequences ascribed to O. lenticularis 141 cluster into two separate clades (Ostreopsis sp. 5 and Ostreopsis sp. 6), making the genetic identity 142 of this species even more elusive. Moreover, Sato et al. (2011) demonstrated that these two clades 143 were genetically divergent enough to support two distinct species and showed that whereas

144 Ostreopsis sp. 5 was not toxic to mice, Ostreopsis sp. 6 produced ostreocin-D (Suzuki et al., 2012).
145 Hence, the definition of *O. lenticularis* is still unclear and in a context of toxic risks associated with
146 increasing proliferations of Ostreopsis species, it is therefore of utmost importance to revisit the
147 taxonomic identity of this species by unambiguously associating phenotypic and genotypic data,
148 and also clarifying its toxic status (Hoppenrath, 2017).

The aim of the present study is to re-investigate *O. lenticularis* in the type locality (Tahiti Island) and other sites in French Polynesia, including the Gambier Archipelago mentioned in the original description by Fukuyo (1981). Following recent sampling campaigns conducted in four different archipelagos of French Polynesia, clonal cultures of 47 distinct strains were obtained in the laboratory. The morphology and degree of genetic variation between French Polynesian *O*. *lenticularis* strains were assessed using microscopy analysis and molecular data derived from LSU rDNA (D8–D10) and ITS–5.8S sequences. In addition, the toxic status of 19 of these strains was investigated using the neuroblastoma cell-based assays and, for 4 strains from Tahiti Island, using liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry. All together, these morphological, phylogenetic and toxicological data allowed to refine the descriptive characters of *O. lenticularis*.

159 2. Material and methods

160 2.1 Sampling techniques

Wild samples of *Ostreopsis* were collected from different sites in the Society, Marquesas, Gambier and Australes archipelagos (Fig. 1), using both the natural (i.e., macroalgae) and artificial (i.e., window screens, WSs) substrate methods. Briefly, ≈ 200 g of turf-like macroalgae were collected at water depths of 1–5 m and examined for the presence of *Ostreopsis* cells, following the protocol described by Chinain et al. (2010). To this end, macroalgal samples were sealed within plastic bags underwater and shaken and kneaded vigorously to dislodge dinoflagellate cells. The detrital suspension was then successively filtered through 125, 40 and 20 µm mesh sieves and the 40 and 20 μm fractions preserved in 50 mL of 5% formalin-seawater. The artificial substrate
method used 150 cm² WS devices assembled and deployed for 24 h following the protocol proposed
by Tester et al. (2014). After 24 h, WSs were collected with 250 mL of ambient sea water and
shaken to dislodge the cells. The entire volume was filtered through 10 μm polycarbonate filters
that were replaced as the filters became obstructed. Then, all filters used to process individual
samples were transferred to 15 mL tubes with 8 mL of sterile filtered sea water. Before removing
the filters, the tubes were shaken to dislodge *Ostreopsis* cells.

175 2.2 In vitro culturing of Ostreopsis

Ostreopsis clonal cultures were established from single cells isolated from wild samples, using an inverted microscope. They were routinely maintained in 1 L Fernbach flasks in f10k enriched natural sea water (NSW) medium (Holmes et al., 1991) at 26°C, a salinity of 36, with 7500 lux of light and a 12:12 h light:dark photoperiod. After approximately 21 days of growth, cells were harvested by filtration and centrifugation. Cell counts were achieved using a Coulter counter (Beckman) and the resulting cell pellets lyophilized and weighted. This step was repeated several times for each studied strains, in order to accumulate sufficient cell biomass for further toxicity analysis.

A total of 47 strains originating from various locales in French Polynesia (except form the Tuamotu archipelago for which no culture could be established) were analyzed in the present study. All the information relative to these strains, e.g. strain codes, species, year of isolation and location, are summarized in Table 1. These isolates are part of the algal collection of the Laboratory of Toxic Micro-algae of the Institut Louis Malardé (Tahiti, French Polynesia), where cultures are deposited.

For comparisons with wild cells, subsamples of the WS samples collected in November 2016 191 from Anaho Bay (WS 51.2, 08°49.194'S - 140°03.800'W), Taiohae (WS 55.24, 08°56.009'S -192 140°05.581'W) and Agapaa (WS 56.14, 08°53.777'S - 140°03.008'W) in Nuku Hiva Island, 193 (Marquesas archipelago) were fixed with acidic Lugol's (for molecular analysis) and 2.5 %194 glutaraldehyde (for scanning electron microscopy, SEM).

195 2.3 Microscopy observations

Light microscopy (LM) observations of live cells were conducted using a Leica DMLB
microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) equipped with a D850 DSLR camera (Nikon, Tokyo,
Japan).

The shape and location of the nucleus were studied in epifluorescence microscopy (EM) after staining cells fixed in ethanol with 1:100,000 SYBR Safe (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA). In order to study the thecal plate pattern of the different strains, EM was used after staining Lugol-fixed cells with Solophenyl Flavine 7GFE 500 (Ciba Specialty Chemicals, High Point, NC, USA) according to the method described in Chomérat et al. (2017). The observations were done with a Zeiss Universal microscope fitted with epifluorescence cubes (335WB50 excitation filter, FT395 dichromatic beam splitter and D510/80M emission filter for Sybr Green and 443AF40 excitation filter, 475DCLP dichromatic beam splitter, and 500DF25 emission filter for Solophenyl Plavine, Omega Optical, Brattleboro, VT, USA), Nikon CF Fluor optics (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan), an HBO 50-W mercury lamp and a EOS-M digital camera (Canon, Tokyo, Japan).

Cells were also observed using field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM). Prior to scanning electron microscopy, cells fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde from the cultures in early exponential growth phase or the field sample WS 51.2 were first isolated using a micropipette, rinsed in distilled water and processed according to Chomérat and Couté (2008). Dehydration was carried out in ethanol baths of 15%, 30%, 50%, 70%, 95% vol. ethanol, and several baths of absolute ethanol (100%) and then cells were critical point dried using an EMS 850 (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA, USA) critical point drier. Dried filters were then mounted onto 12 mm SEM stubs using carbon adhesive and coated with gold using a Cressington 108Auto 217 (Cressington, Watford, UK) sputter coater. Cells were then observed using a FE-SEM Zeiss 218 SIGMA 300 (Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, Jena, Germany) at the Marine Biology station in
219 Concarneau (France). Measurements were realized directly with the microscope or on digital
220 images using ImageJ software (Rasband, 1997). For measurements of the curved apical pore plate
221 (Po), the arc length was measured in apical view.

222 2.4 DNA amplification and sequencing

For DNA amplification, direct cell PCR approach was used using one or a few cells from the cultures in early exponential growth phase preserved in ethanol 70%. Under the inverted microscope, fixed cells were pipetted and rinsed in several drops of nuclease-free distilled water, and then transferred into a 0.2 ml PCR tube. A similar process was used for isolation of single-cells from the Lugol-fixed samples from Nuku Hiva.

228 Due to the low amount of DNA, a first round of PCR was realized using ITS-FW and RB 229 primers (Chinain et al., 1999; Nézan et al., 2014), allowing the amplification of the 230 ITS1–5.8S–ITS2 and D8–D10 regions. A second round of PCR (nested PCR) was realized using 1 231 µl of the amplicon produced in the first step as template. Primers used for the second amplification 232 are given in Chinain et al. (1999) and Nézan et al. (2014), and two internal reverse primers were 233 specifically designed for PCR and sequencing: OstD1R (5′-GTAGCAGCATGCCATGATCA-3′) 234 and OstD10R (5′-GCACTGAAAATGAAAATCAAGC-3′). PCR reactions were realized in 20 µl 235 using KOD Hot Start Master Mix (Novagen-Merck KgaA, Darmstadt, Germany), according to the 236 manufacturer's instructions. The PCR cycling comprised an initial 2 min heating step at 95°C to 237 activate the polymerase, followed by 35 cycles of 95°C for 20 sec, 56°C for 20 s, and a final 238 extension at 70°C for 20 min. Prior to sequencing, amplicons were visualized on an agarose gel 239 after electrophoresis and the positive samples were purified using the ExoSAP-IT PCR Product 240 Cleanup reagent (Affymetrix, Cleveland, OH, USA).

The Big Dye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Tokyo, Japan) was used for sequencing of the amplicon generated at the second PCR round. Primers and excess 243 dye-labeled nucleotides were first removed using the Big Dye X-terminator purification kit
244 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Sequencing products were run on an ABI PRISM
245 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Forward and reverse reads were obtained. All the
246 information of strains, including origin of sample and accession numbers, are listed in Table 1.

247 2.5 Alignment and phylogenetic analyses

For both the D8–D10 and ITS–5.8S rDNA datasets, the 5' and 3' ends were manually aligned to truncate and refine the ends. The D8–D10 dataset was aligned using Clustal Ω v. 1.2 implemented in SeaView v. 4.7 (Gouy et al., 2010), while the ITS–5.8S rDNA dataset was aligned using MAFFT algorithm with selection of the q-ins-i strategy (Katoh and Standley, 2013). Poorly aligned positions in both alignments were removed using Gblocks algorithm, using less stringent parameters than default (Castresana, 2000).

Prior to phylogenetic analyses, the search for the most appropriate model of sequence evolution has been performed using jModeltest2 v. 2.1.7 (Darriba et al., 2012). Two methods of phylogenetic reconstruction were used. Maximum Likelihood analysis (ML) was performed using PHY-ML v. 3 (Guindon et al., 2010), and a bootstrap analysis (1000 pseudoreplicates) was used to assess the relative robustness of branches of the ML tree. Bayesian Inference analysis (BI) was realized using MrBayes 3.1.2 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003). Initial Bayesian analyses were run with a GTR model (nst = 6) with rates set to gamma. The number of generations used in these analyses was 5,000,000 for the LSU rDNA D8–D10 alignment, and 4,000,000 for the ITS–5.8S rDNA alignment, with sampling every 100th generations. The burnin values were set so that the first 10,000 trees were discarded for the LSU rDNA D8–D10, while it was set to 12,000 for the ITS–5.8S rDNA alignment. Therefore, the posterior probabilities of each clade were calculated from the remaining 40,000 trees in the LSU analysis, and the remaining 28,000 trees in the ITS–5.8S rDNA analysis.

266 Genetic distance (uncorrected genetic *p* distance) calculations among and within the *Ostreopsis* 267 clades were estimated from the LSU D8–D10 and ITS–5.8S rDNA matrices used for phylogenetic 268 analyses, using the *p*-distance model in MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetic Analysis across
269 Computing Platforms v. 10.0.5 (Kumar et al., 2018).

270 2.6 Toxicity analysis

271 2.6.1 Extraction procedures

A total of 19 strains were extracted for further toxicity analysis (Table 1). For each strain, 50 mg of freeze-dried cells (corresponding to a cell biomass $\geq 10^6$ cells) were sampled and extracted under sonication in 670 µL of methanol (MeOH)/water (1/1, v/v) for 15 min while cooling the solution in ice. Once cells disruption was completed, the sample was centrifuged at 10,000 g at 4°C for 10 min. The resulting supernatant was carefully recovered and the cell pellet re-extracted twice in 670 µL of methanol/water (1/1, v/v). All supernatants were then combined (total volume recovered: ≈ 2 mL) and centrifuged at 10,000 g at 4°C for 15 min. Finally, a 1.6 mL aliquot was sampled and stored at -20°C until tested for its toxicity using the neuroblastoma cell-based assay.

280 2.6.2 Neuroblastoma cell-based assay (CBA-N2a)

The *Ostreopsis lenticularis* cell extracts were analyzed for their toxicity using the neuroblastoma cell-based assay (CBA-N2a), a test designed to detect the presence of PITX-like molecules acting on Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase (Ledreux et al., 2009; Pawlowiez et al., 2013). The procedure for CBA-N2a followed the method previously described by Darius et al. (2018) except that only ouabain was used instead of a mixture of ouabain and veratridine. This assay was first calibrated using a PITX standard purchased from Wako (Ref. 161-26141): the neuroblastoma (neuro-2a) cells were exposed to a serial dilution 1:3 of 9 concentrations of PITX (ranging from 1.5 to 9,524 pg mL⁻¹), in the absence (O⁻ conditions) versus the presence of 250 μ M ouabain (O⁺ conditions) to generate a full dose-response curve. Ten (10) μ L of each concentration were tested in triplicate in triplicate in triplicate in three independent experiments. Following 20–22 h incubation period, cell viability was assessed using 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay according to Darius et al (2018). Resulting coloration was measured at 570 nm on a plate
reader (iMark Microplate Absorbance Reader, BioRad, Marnes la Coquette, France). Absorbance
data were fitted to a sigmoidal dose-response curve (variable slope) based on the 4-parameter
logistic model (4PL), allowing the calculation of the concentration causing 50% of maximum
cytotoxicity on cell viability (EC₅₀) values using Prism v7.04 software (GraphPad, San Diego, CA,
USA).

As for the *O. lenticularis* cell extracts, the maximum concentration of extract (MCE) that does not induce unspecific mortality in neuro-2a cells in O⁻ conditions was established at 23,810 cells and equiv. mL⁻¹. As a first screening step, all extracts were tested at this MCE and, if toxic, a full dose-response curve was generated by testing a serial dilution 1:2 of 8 concentrations in the same conditions as for the PITX standard.

303 Finally, the limits of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) of the CBA- N2a test were 304 estimated using the following formula: $LOD = (PITX EC_{80}/MCE)$ and $LOQ = (PITX EC_{50}/MCE)$.

305 2.6.3 Liquid Chromatography coupled with tandem Mass Spectrometry

Four strains from Tahiti Island (Table 1), the type locality of *O. lenticularis* were screened for the presence of PITX and related known structural analogues at Ifremer Phycotoxins Laboratory (Nantes, France). Freeze-dried pellets were extracted with methanol (ratio 1:25, weight/volume) using glass beads (250 mg) in a mixer mill (Retsch MM400, Germany) for 20 min at 30 Hz. After centrifugation at 8000 g, supernatants were ultrafiltered (0.20 μ m, Nanosep MF, Pall, Mexico) before LC-MS/MS analyses. Liquid chromatography was performed on a Poroshell 120 EC-C18 column (100 × 2.1 mm, 2.7 μ m, Agilent, France) equipped with a guard column (5 × 2.1 mm, 2.7 μ m, same stationary phase) using a Nexera Ultra-Fast Liquid Chromatography system (Prominence UFLC-XR, Shimadzu, France). Gradients of water (A) and acetonitrile 95% (B) both containing 0.2% of acetic acid were used at a flow rate of 0.2 mL min⁻¹. Injection volume was 5 μ L and column temperature 25 °C. MS/MS analyses were performed with an API 4000QTRAP (AB Sciex,
France) in positive ion mode and using MRM (Multiple Reaction Monitoring) acquisition. UV
detection at 220, 233, 263 and 220-360 nm was performed with a diode array detector (Prominence,
SPD-M20A, Shimadzu, France). In total, two LC-MS/MS and one LC-UV-MS/MS methods (Table
S1) were used to detect PITX, 42-OH-PITX, 12 OvTXs (-a to -k), OST-B and –D, 3 McTXs (A to
C) and OTX-1 and -3 (Table S1). Quantification was performed relative to Palytoxin standard
(Wako Chemicals GmbH, Germany) with a 6-point calibration curve. Limit of detection and
quantification were 20 and 30 ng mL⁻¹ for PITX standard.

324 3. Results

325 3.1 Microscopy observations

Observations in light and epifluorescence microscopy of the 47 strains analyzed in the present study revealed similar morphological features. The cells were broadly oval in shape, lenticular and photosynthetic, as shown for the strain THT16–4 (Fig. 2A). The oval nucleus was located dorsally (Fig. 2B). A similar thecal plate pattern has been observed in all the strains. For this reason, only the epifluorescence micrographs of one strain from each archipelago and wild specimens from a WS sample are presented in Fig. 3, for comparison purpose. No morphological difference could be observed among the strains and field specimens from the different archipelagos (Figs 3A-O), and all the features identify the species *Ostreopsis lenticularis*.

For a detailed morphological analysis, the strain THT16–4 from Tahiti Island (Society
Archipelago) has been chosen for further investigations using FE-SEM. Scanning electron
micrographs of one strain from Australes, Marquesas and Gambier archipelagoes are given in
supplementary figure S1. Additionally, for comparison, cells from the field sample WS 51.2 from
Nuku Hiva Island (Marquesas Archipelago) were also used for a detailed observation of wild
specimens corresponding to the same morphotype.

340 3.1.1 Culture THT16-4 from Tahiti Island

341 Specimens were broadly oval in apical and antapical views (Figs 2A, 3A-B, 4A-B). The cells 342 were biconvex, and flattened, with the cingulum straight in lateral view (Figs 4C-D). They were 343 60.5–89.3 µm (mean 80.3 µm; s.d. 7.5 µm, n = 30) deep (dorso-ventral length) and 56.1–73.4 µm 344 (mean 65.8 µm; s.d. 5.4 µm, n = 30) wide. The DV/W ratio was 1.08–1.36 (mean 1.22; s.d. 0.08, n345 = 27).

The thecal plate pattern was APC 3' 7" 6c 4?s 5" 2"", and thecal plates were clearly visible 346 347 both with light epifluorescence microscopy and SEM (Figs 3A-B, 4A-D, 5A-C). The apical pore 348 complex (APC) consisted in a narrow, elongated and slightly curved Po plate bearing a slit and two 349 rows of pores (Figs 5A-B). It was located parallel to the left mid-lateral to dorsal cell margin. The 350 Po plate was 16.2–19.3 μ m (mean 17.4 μ m; s.d. 0.8 μ m, n = 15) long. The first apical plate (1') was 351 elongated, located mostly on the left side of the cell (Fig. 4A). On its dorsal part, it is slightly 352 protruding over the APC (Figs 4A, 5A). The second apical plate (2') was narrow and elongated, and 353 located below the APC, extending dorsally the Po plate, and reaching about the mid-position of the 354 3' plate (Figs 3C, 4D, 5A-B). The third apical plate (3') plate was hexagonal in shape, in contact 355 with 1', 2', 3", 4", 5" and 6" but also had a very short suture with Po (Figs 4A, 5A-B). In the 356 precingular series, 1" was the smallest while 6" was the largest (Figs 3A, 4A). All precingular 357 plates were four-sided except 2" and 6" that were pentagonal (Fig. 4A). The cingulum was narrow 358 and straight (Figs 4C-D). The postcingular plate series comprised 5 plates (Figs 3B, 4B-C), 1" 359 being small and more conspicuously visible in ventral view than in antapical view (Fig. 4C). The 360 remaining four postcingular plates were large (Fig. 4B). Among postcingular plates, 1" was 361 three-sided (Fig. 4C), 2" five-sided, and 3", 4" and 5" four-sided (Figs 4B, 6B). The two antapical 362 plates were unequal in size, 1"" being relatively small and in contact with the cingulum and the left 363 side of the posterior sulcal plate (Sp), while 2"" was elongated, with its sutures with 2" and 5" 364 nearly parallel (Figs 3B, 4B).

The cingulum consisted of 6 distinct plates (supplementary Fig. S2). The sulcus was not studied in detail and only four plates were observed, with Sp being roughly pentagonal, Sda having a conspicuous list, Ssa partially hidden by overlapping 1"" (Fig. 5C). Another plate, only partially visible, was present between Sp and Sda (Fig. 5C). The presence of other platelets could not be revealed from the observations.

The thecal surface was smooth and plates possessed numerous pores of two kinds. Large pores 371 were round, $0.29 - 0.59 \mu m$ in diameter (mean 0.41 μm ; s.d. 0.08 μm ; n = 60),) and scattered all 372 over the plates. Rarely, they were oblong to elongated in some plates of the theca, as in the 1"", 373 while other plates have round pores (Fig. 5C). Small pores, 75–120 nm in diameter (mean 102 nm; 374 s.d. 13 nm; n = 70) were abundant and scattered on the surface of thecal plates (Fig. 5D) but due to 375 their small size, they could be observed only at high magnifications in LM and epifluorescence, and 376 with SEM (Figs 3C, 5D).

377 3.1.2 Wild specimens collected from window-screen samples

378 Cells were large, subcircular in shape, slightly pointing ventrally (Figs 3N-O, 6A-B). They 379 were biconvex and flattened (Figs 6C-D), 73.0–94.4 μ m in dorso-ventral length (mean 81.2 μ m, s.d. 380 5.7 μ m, *n* = 22) and 58.0–78.2 μ m in width (mean 67.5 μ m, s.d. 6.1 μ m, *n* = 22). The DV/W ratio 381 was 1.08–1.32 (mean 1.21; s.d. 0.01, *n* = 22).

The thecal pattern was APC 3' 7" 6c 4?s 5" 2"" (Figs 3N-O, 6A-F), and all plates were found 383 to possess the same characteristics than previously described for the strain THT16–4 (Figs 6A-G). 384 As for the cells in culture, specimens from the field sample were smooth and possessed two kinds of 385 thecal pores: large pores were round, 0.4 μ m in diameter, and smaller pores (ca. 0.1 μ m) were 386 abundant and scattered all over the surface of the theca (Fig. 6G). With high resolution SEM, no 387 morphological difference was found among field specimens and those from the culture THT16–4.

388 3.2 Molecular phylogenies

389 3.2.1 LSU rDNA D8-D10 regions

In the phylogenetic analysis inferred from LSU D8–D10 sequences, 50 new sequences acquired from French Polynesia (from 47 strains in culture and 3 single-cells isolated from a field sample from Nuku Hiva Island), with reference sequences retrieved from GenBank, were used. The final alignment comprised 110 sequences and had a length of 696 base pairs, with 174 variable sites, of which 114 were parsimony informative. The best-fit model of LSU D8–D10 sequences was found to be TN93 + *I* + *G* model with the following parameters: Ti/Tv for purines = 2.312, Ti/Tv for pyrimidines = 5.531, base frequencies of *A* = 0.28009, *C* = 0.17427, *G* = 0.24939, *T* = 0.29625; assumed with invariable sites (*I* = 0.503) and gamma distribution shape (*G* = 0.512).

Both analyses performed with ML and BI gave the same tree topology and the relationships among *Ostreopsis* clades were identical. Hence, only the majority-rule consensus tree of the ML analysis is shown (Fig. 7). The tree shows that there are ten distinct clades (*O. cf. ovata, O. cf. siamensis, O. rhodesiae* and *Ostreopsis* spp. 1–7 clades). All sequences of *O. lenticularis* acquired in this study from various sites in French Polynesia cluster with a strong support in a monophyletic group comprising also three strains from Okinawa and Iriomote Islands in Japan (s0577, s0578 and IkeOst2) and previously assigned to *Ostreopsis* sp. 5 by Sato et al. (2011) (Fig. 7). This clade appears to be sister with a group of ten sequences from Shikoku Island (Japan) also previously ascribed to *Ostreopsis* sp. 5 (Fig. 7). Because of their low divergence (*p*-distance between the of *Ostreopsis* sp. 5).

Results of the phylogenetic analysis showed that *O. lenticularis* is a sister to *Ostreopsis* sp. 6
with maximal support (ML = 100, BI = 1.00). The clade *Ostreopsis* sp. 6 is divided into three
subclades, one including four sequences from Japan (IR33, IR49, OU8, OU11), one including two

412 sequences from Japan (s0587 and s0595) and one two sequences from Thailand (TF25OS,
413 TF29OS) (Fig. 7). The *p*-distance between these subclades in *Ostreopsis* sp. 6 ranged from 0.014 to
414 0.020 (Table 2).

415 3.2.2 ITS-5.8S rDNA phylogeny

In the phylogenetic analysis inferred from ITS–5.8S rDNA sequences, we used 19 new sequences acquired from French Polynesian strains with other sequences retrieved from GenBank. The final alignment comprised 92 sequences and had a length of 329 base pairs, with 222 variable sites, of which 175 were parsimony informative. The best-fit model of ITS–5.8S rDNA sequences was found to be TN93 + *G* model with the following parameters: Ti/Tv for purines = 9.128, Ti/Tv for pyrimidines = 0.148, base frequencies of A = 0.27149, C = 0.19120, G = 0.18559, T = 0.35172; assumed with a gamma distribution shape (G = 0.636).

Both analyses performed with ML and BI gave the same tree topology and the relationships among *Ostreopsis* clades were identical. Hence, only the majority-rule consensus tree of the ML analysis is shown (Fig. 8). The tree shows that there are twelve distinct clades (*O. cf. ovata, O. cf. iamensis, O. fattorussoi, O. rhodesiae,* and *Ostreopsis* spp. 1–8 clades). All sequences of *O. lenticularis* acquired in this study from various sites in French Polynesia cluster with a strong support in a monophyletic group comprising also eight sequences from Réunion Island, China, Hawaii and Galapagos Islands, and a subclade of three sequences from Japan (Shikoku Island) (Fig. No. These sequences were previously ascribed to *Ostreopsis* sp. 5 (strains MB80828-4, O70421-1, O70421-2), *Ostreopsis* sp. (isolates P-079.1L, P-079.2L, P-0107, P-0108, P-0109, strain CBA0203), *O. cf. lenticularis* (isolate 17G) and only the strain 2S1E10 was identified as *O. lenticularis* in GenBank. The sequences from the Pacific Ocean, Indian Ocean and South China Sea are genetically very closely related (*p*-distance within the clade 0.001, Table 3) but they are slightly 435 divergent from the three sequences from Japan (*p*-distance between the subclades of 0.068, Table436 3).

In the phylogenetic analysis, *O. lenticularis* is a sister to *Ostreopsis* sp. 6 with good support (ML = 84, BI = 0.96, Fig. 8). Nine sequences from various localities of Southeastern Asia and Japan cluster within this clade. The two sequences FM244728 from Malaysia (ascribed to *O*. *labens*) and AF218465 (ascribed to *O. lenticularis*) cluster together and are identical (Table 3). Two sequences from the Gulf of Thailand AB841254 and AB842255 (ascribed to *Ostreopsis* sp.) and two sequences from Japan (IR33 and OU11) form a sister clade to the Malaysian sequences (Fig. 8). The sequence s0587 is basal to these sequences and its distance varied from 0.110 to 0.161 (Table 3). Two sequences from Vietnam diverge earlier in the *Ostreopsis* sp. 6 clade and their genetic distances with all other sequences of the clade varied from 0.057 to 0.135 (Table 3).

446 3.3 Toxicity assessment

447 3.3.1 CBA-N2a analysis

The EC₅₀ values for PITX in O⁻ and O⁺ conditions were 1,191 ± 175 pg mL⁻¹ (n = 3) and 107 ± 449 36 pg/mL (n = 3), respectively. The LOD was estimated at 44.3 ± 4.7 and 3.4 ± 1.5 fg PITX 450 equiv./cell in O⁻ and O⁺ conditions, respectively, whereas LOQ was estimated at 50 ± 7.3 and 4.5 ± 451 1.5 fg PITX equiv./cell in O⁻ and O⁺ conditions, respectively.

452 For all the samples tested, no toxicity was detected at the MCE.

453 3.3.2 LC-UV-MS/MS analysis

454 In the four tested strains originating from Tahiti Island analyzed, all the 21 toxic compounds455 that were targeted were below detection levels.

456 4. Discussion

457 4.1 Morphological features

458 All the strains observed in this study displayed a similar morphology and no difference was 459 observed between specimens from cultures and from field samples. Morphologically, the broadly 460 oval shape, size range, and thecal plate pattern displayed by all the specimens from field samples 461 and strains from widely distant islands were in perfect agreement with the original description of O. 462 lenticularis by Fukuyo (1981), who reported it from French Polynesia (Tahiti Island as type 463 locality). As mentioned by Fukuyo (1981), the cingulum was not undulated and cells possess two 464 different sizes of thecal pores. The presence of both small and large pores on thecal plates was 465 conspicuous in all specimens examined, even at high magnification with epifluorescence 466 microscopy. Interestingly, in O. lenticularis, the smaller pores were much more abundant than 467 larger pores, a feature not reported from any other known species. Indeed, the presence of smaller 468 pores less abundant that larger pores has been reported in O. rhodesiae, but they were rare (Verma 469 et al., 2016). Zhang et al. (2018) reported the presence of small, large and also oblong to 470 kidney-shaped pores as a third type of pores present in the strains from Hainan Island (China). In 471 the present study, oblong pores were observed in some O. lenticularis specimens in culture, but this 472 was uncommon and only located on some plates of the theca. Such pores were not found in any of 473 the specimens from the field samples. It should be noted that Zhang et al. (2018) reported very large 474 sizes for some specimens (up to 121.3 µm in DV) that were larger than in the original description, 475 and the presence of oblong pores may be related to the size and age of the specimens. This feature 476 should be carefully checked in further studies as it likely results from morphological plasticity and 477 an extreme variation of the large pores. Since the specimens from China were genetically almost 478 identical to those from French Polynesia, this feature may not be taxonomically significant. 479 Contrary to Zhang et. al. (2018) conclusions, it is suggested that the presence of two sizes of thecal

480 pores is actually a stable character in *O. lenticularis*, as it was conspicuous in all the specimens481 examined in French Polynesia and Tahiti (type locality).

482 4.2 Comparison of O. lenticularis to other broadly oval species

483 As previously reported by Hoppenrath et al. (2014), O. lenticularis shares most of its 484 morphological features (e.g. size, outline shape, and thecal plate pattern) with O. siamensis. The 485 more or less elongated or round shape cannot be used to differentiate these species (Hoppenrath et 486 al., 2014). In his description of the genus and type species O. siamensis, Schmidt (1901) 487 emphasized morphological characters such as flattening of the cell, oyster-shape, short sulcus, and 488 thecal plate pattern (Schmidt, 1901) which define well the genus but are shared by almost all the 489 species (Parsons et al., 2012). The size of O. siamensis (dorso-ventral length) is about 90 µm, the 490 theca possesses conspicuous pores and, from the illustration, O. siamensis has a body undulation, 491 visible in lateral view (Schmidt, 1901). According to Fukuyo (1981), O. lenticularis differs from O. 492 siamensis by the absence of a body undulation and by the presence of fine pores densely scattered 493 all over the thecal plates, which is also observed for all the specimens examined herein. Some 494 authors (e.g. Penna et al., 2005; Parsons et al., 2012) claimed that Fukuyo used the difference in cell 495 shape to distinguish O. siamensis from O. lenticularis, but this statement is erroneous since Fukuyo 496 (1981, pp. 970-971) clearly indicated a similar size and outline for these two species, and mentioned 497 the shape only to distinguish O. lenticularis from Gambierdiscus toxicus. In the Ryukyu Islands, 498 Fukuyo (1981) identified specimens with a body undulation and only one type of thecal pores, and 499 ascribed them to O. siamensis. The author mentioned the absence of this morphotype in the French 500 Polynesian and New Caledonian samples that he studied (Fukuyo 1981), a statement that could be 501 confirmed in the present study.

502 Despite Fukuyo's very clear interpretation (Fukuyo 1981), the distinction between *O*.
503 *lenticularis* and *O. siamensis* based on thecal pores and undulation of the cingulum did not gather
504 consensus among taxonomists. For instance, Norris et al. (1985) questioned this interpretation and

505 regarded O. lenticularis as being conspecific with O. siamensis rather than as an independent 506 species. At the same period, a series of confusing identifications were made in the Caribbean area. 507 Carlson (1984) identified O. siamensis as a relatively rare species around Virgin Islands, although 508 dominant in some stations, but he also emphasized that the distinction between this species and O. 509 *lenticularis* was questionable for some specimens. On the following year, Carlson and Tindall 510 (1985) changed this identification to O. lenticularis using the same dataset, which added to the 511 confusion. Working on the south west coast of Puerto Rico, Ballantine et al. (1985) initially 512 mentioned Ostreopsis sp., but it was then renamed Ostreopsis cf. lenticularis (Tosteson et al., 1986) 513 and later O. lenticularis (Ballantine et al., 1988; Tosteson et al., 1989), thus emphasizing the doubt 514 regarding the identification of this species. Later, Faust et al. (1996) confused even more the 515 taxonomy by reporting the presence of O. lenticularis with a morphological description which does 516 not support this identification (only one size of thecal pores). Conversely, in the same study, O. 517 lenticularis was likely misidentified as "O. siamensis" since the morphology (size and two sizes of 518 thecal pores) was in agreement with the original description by Fukuyo (1981). As already pointed 519 out by several authors (Penna et al., 2012; Hoppenrath et al., 2014; Gárate-Lizárraga et al., 2018), 520 these erroneous interpretations were a major source of confusion in the delineation of both O. 521 siamensis and O. lenticularis for subsequent workers. For instance, reports of O. lenticularis 522 showing different features than the ones provided in the original description (e.g. Chang et al., 523 2000; Leaw et al., 2001) appear doubtful and likely correspond to misidentifications of other 524 Ostreopsis species rather than reflecting a true variability in O. lenticularis.

525 Confusions with other broadly oval species may also have occurred with two additional species 526 with a similar shape to *O. siamensis* and *O. lenticularis*, and overlapping sizes. Faust and Morton 527 (1995) described *O. labens* from Belizean and Japanese samples. This species possesses only one 528 type of pore (trichocyst pores) conspicuously visible in LM, showing an average diameter of 0.3 μm 529 (Faust and Morton, 1995). Later, Faust (1999) described *O. marina*, another species in the same 530 size-range and a roughly oval shape. It is described with a longer Po plate (24 μ m vs. 18 μ m in *O*. 531 *labens*) and only "minute pores visible only by SEM or epifluorescence microscopy" whose size 532 (0.33 μ m, Faust 1999) is larger than in *O. labens* (0.3 μ m, Faust and Morton 1995) for which pores 533 were described as conspicuous in LM (Faust and Morton, 1995). These contradictory statements 534 added to the taxonomic confusion, and additionally, *O. marina* has not been compared with *O*. 535 *labens* in the description (Faust 1999).

Although Gárate-Lizárraga et al. (2018) consider that these four large and oval species are 'readily distinguishable by their plate pattern', their delimitation remains unclear owing to the existing variability in the thecal plates pattern of *Ostreopsis* species along with their poor descriptions (Hoppenrath et al., 2014). The length of certain thecal plates such as Po might be a weak character to distinguish among them. To date, *O. labens* and *O. marina* should be considered as doubtful species for which further studies are necessary not only to prove their existence but also to provide reliable morphological and molecular characters useful for their discrimination from *O*. *siamensis*. In all cases, these three species were all described showing only one kind of thecal pore, and therefore no confusion is possible with *O. lenticularis* which can be easily distinguished from all other known *Ostreopsis* species.

546 4.3 Molecular phylogenies and taxonomic implications

The molecular phylogenies inferred from this study reveal that all the large and oval specimens identified as *O. lenticularis* in all the study sites from French Polynesia are genetically identical and belong to a unique genotype, previously found in the Pacific close to Japan and named '*Ostreopsis* 550 sp. 5' by Sato et al. (2011) and subsequent authors. This finding of only one genotype in all study 551 sites confirms that the same species is widespread in the different archipelagos of French Polynesia 552 and supports Fukuyo's former observations of *O. lenticularis* in the Society and Gambier 553 Archipelagos (Fukuyo, 1981). This situation corresponds to the scenario 1 described in Sato et al. 554 (2011), and since morphological and molecular data are congruent, it is straightforward to assign 555 taxonomically the genotype Ostreopsis sp. 5 to O. lenticularis. This result confirms the 556 identification by Zhang et al. (2018) of specimens from Hainan Islands, but it also highlights the 557 misidentification of some sequences annotated as O. lenticularis in GenBank. To date, about fifteen 558 sequences of various genetic markers (rDNA, ITS regions, cox1) have been ascribed to Ostreopsis 559 *lenticularis* in GenBank, coming from various areas: Portugal, Malaysia, China and Viet Nam but 560 none was acquired in the type locality (Tahiti Island) or in French Polynesia. As previously shown 561 by Sato et al. (2011), some of these sequences clustered with Ostreopsis sp. 6, which is genetically 562 divergent enough to be regarded as a separate species from Ostreopsis sp. 5 (Sato et al., 2011; this 563 study). For instance, the sequences AF218465 (strain O1PR01 from Malaysia) ascribed to O. 564 lenticularis and the sequence FM244728 annotated as O. labens cluster with Ostreopsis sp. 6, 565 proving an identification issue (Penna et al., 2010; Sato et al., 2011). For the strain OPR01 from 566 Malaysia, morphological features such as 'trichocysts pores equal in size' reported by Leaw at al. 567 (2001) contradict with Fukuyo's original description of O. lenticularis and suggest a 568 misidentification. Hence, the identity of the specimens clustering within Ostreopsis sp. 6 should be 569 re-evaluated as, morphologically speaking, this clade corresponds to a large and lenticular species 570 with the cal pores of one size only, such as O. siamensis (sensu Fukuyo 1981), O. labens or O. 571 marina (Faust and Morton 1995, Faust et al. 1996).

In order to resolve the taxonomic assignation of *Ostreopsis* sp. 6, reference sequences from the type localities of these species are necessary for comparisons, but none are currently available. Nevertheless, Tawong et al. (2014) recently sampled several sites in the Gulf of Thailand, including one site (TF) located on the north coast of the small Koh Wai Island, off the south of Koh Chang Island. Interestingly, this location is close to the sites where *O. siamensis* was originally described by Schmidt (1901) (stations 3 and 6, south of Koh Chang, while the station 2, between Koh Kahdat and Koh Kut was about 15-20 km southeast). Because of this close proximity, this area could be considered as the type locality of *O. siamensis* even if it was not formally designated as such. In 580 contrast with Schmidt (1901) who found only one morphotype (large and oval cells) in plankton 581 samples, Tawong et al. (2014) found two different species in the site TF, O. cf. ovata (South China 582 subclade) which is ovate and rather small, and large oval cells with a typical undulation of the 583 cingulum (Ostreopsis sp. 6, strain TF29OS). When observed with epifluorescence microscopy, 584 these large specimens were found to have only one size of the al pores (Tawong et al., 2014) but 585 this was not further confirmed by SEM observations. Genetically, the strains TF29OS and TF25OS 586 are closely related to the sequence of the Malaysian strain O1PR01, possessing similar 587 morphological features and only one type of the al pores (Leaw et al., 2001). Results of the phylogenetic analysis conducted in the present study clearly confirm that these three strains rather 588 589 belong to Ostreopsis sp. 6, and are not related to O. lenticularis as speculated by Zhang et al. 590 (2018), in absence of reference sequence from Tahiti Island for this species. The morphological and 591 molecular studies by Leaw et al. (2001) and Tawong et al. (2014) are congruent to associate 592 specimens with only one size of thecal pores and a body undulation with Ostreopsis sp. 6. 593 Interestingly, these morphological features are in perfect agreement with Schmidt's description and 594 illustrations of O. siamensis (Schmidt, 1901), and the area where Ostreopsis sp. 6 has been found 595 (TF site), coincides with the type locality of this species. Hence, it can be hypothesized that 596 Ostreopsis sp. 6 likely corresponds to O. siamensis as it was the unique large oval species with all 597 the morphological characters of this taxon found in the type locality. The environment and species 598 composition in this area could have changed during more than a century, but in the absence of 599 Schmidt's original material available, a complete re-investigation of specimens from this locality in 600 the Gulf of Thailand and the designation of an epitype of O. siamensis would indisputably stabilize 601 the taxonomy of this complicated genus for which several confusions occurred in the past 602 (Ariyawansa et al., 2014).

603 As emphasized by Tawong et al. (2014), no sequence from the Gulf of Thailand clustered in 604 the clade '*O*. cf. *siamensis*' in the phylogenies. A similar result has been found in the present study, but since all the sequences of this clade were obtained from subtropical regions of Europe (Portugal,
Spain, Italy) and New Zealand (Kerikeri, northern part of North Island), all very distant from the
type locality (Gulf of Thailand) located in the tropical area, their taxonomic assignation should be
considered with care. As already suggested by Penna et al. (2010), the specimens of the '*O*. cf. *siamensis*' clade likely correspond to another separate taxon, provided a proper description is
proposed.

Based on the present study conducted in the type locality of *O. lenticularis*, molecular 612 comparisons show that specimens from the Gulf of Thailand and French Polynesia are clearly 613 distinct, supporting Fukuyo's interpretation of *O. siamensis* and the description of *O. lenticularis* as 614 a separate species. Similar investigations in the type localities of *O. labens* and *O. marina* are 615 absolutely necessary to support that they are genetically distinct, since they are very difficult to 616 separate from *O. siamensis* from a morphological point of view.

617 4.4 Biogeography of O. lenticularis

In his description, Fukuyo (1981) reported the presence of *O. lenticularis* not only in Tahiti Island, but also Gambier Archipelago and New Caledonia, which indicates a wide distribution within the tropical Pacific Ocean. This study confirms the wide presence of this species in four archipelagos of French Polynesia, and the phylogenetic analysis also confirms the presence of *O*. *lenticularis* in other locations of the Pacific including Japan (Iriomote, Okinawa, Shikoku Islands), Hawaii and Galapagos Islands. Interestingly, Fukuyo (1981) did not observe *O. lenticularis* but *O*. *siamensis* in the Ryukyu Islands whereas Sato et al. (2011) found mixed populations of *O*. *lenticularis* (as *Ostreopsis* sp. 5) and *Ostreopsis* sp. 6. in Okinawa and Iriomote Islands, in the southern subtropical part. At the scale of the Pacific, *O. lenticularis* has a wide distribution, from the temperate Japan to the tropical Pacific while *Ostreopsis* sp. 6 is absent from French Polynesia and may be more restricted to the subtropical area, as suggested by Sato et al. (2011). Despite the lack of molecular support, the identification of *O. lenticularis* in Revillagigedo archipelago by 630 Gárate-Lizárraga et al. (2018) is well supported by morphological features, and consistent with the631 finding of this species in Galapagos Islands in the eastern Pacific.

In the western Pacific, molecular data confirm the presence of this species in the China Sea
(Zhang et al., 2018) but not in Malaysia and Thailand where previous reports probably correspond
to misidentifications of *Ostreopsis* sp. 6 (e.g. Leaw et al. 2001). Unambiguous report of *O*. *lenticularis* in Vietnamese waters, based on detailed morphological identifications showing the two
types of thecal pores was also provided by Larsen and Nguyen Ngoc (2004). These authors also
found another large oval species with one type of thecal pores, and a long Po plate (24 μm) which
they ascribed to *O. marina* and did not report *O. siamensis* (Larsen and Nguyen Ngoc, 2004).

In the Indian Ocean, the presence of *O. lenticularis* around La Réunion Island was mentioned by Hansen et al. (2001). In their study, Carnicer et al. (2015) recorded large specimens difficult to assign to a species by morphology because they appeared close to *O. siamensis* and *O lenticularis*, although their size that better fit *Ostreopsis marina*. Unfortunately, no detailed observations with SEM were performed and the presence of one or two kinds of pores was not clearly demonstrated by epifluorescence microscopy. Genetically, these specimens were found to belong to *Ostreopsis* (46 sp. 5 (Carnicer et al., 2015) and, based on the present study, are genetically identical to *Ostreopsis* (47 *lenticularis* from French Polynesia. Hence, the presence of this species in southwestern Indian (48 Ocean is confirmed by molecular data. Since all the sequences obtained by Carnicer et al. (2015) (49 are closely related and belong to *O. lenticularis*, the record of *O. marina* (as a paratype) in Mayotte (50 Island (south west Indian Ocean) by Faust (1999) remains in question owing to the very similar size and morphology between these two species. Nevertheless, further molecular studies are necessary in (52 the Indian Ocean since Hansen et al. (2001) also reported the presence of *O. siamensis*.

In the Caribbean, *O. lenticularis* has been reported on several occasions (e.g. Ballantine et al.,
1985; Carlson and Tindall, 1985; Faust, 1995; Delgado et al., 2006; Marchan-Álvarez et al., 2017)

but all the identifications were based on morphological observations only, which caused some confusions as emphasized in section 4.2. As seen in Tindall et al. (1990), Faust et al. (1996) and Faust and Gulledge (2002) studies, several species very similar in shape and size did co-occur in this area, but specimens morphologically identical to *O. lenticularis* were reported, suggesting the likely presence of this species in the dinoflagellate assemblages.

From all these observations, it can be concluded that *Ostreopsis lenticularis* is widely 661 distributed in the tropical areas of the world oceans but to date, molecular data supporting its 662 identification are still lacking from the Caribbean Sea and the Atlantic Ocean.

663 4.5 Toxicity assessment

All 19 strains of *Ostreopsis lenticularis* tested for their toxicity using the CBA-N2a showed no toxic activities on neuroblastoma celles. Likewise, neither PITX-like compounds nor OTX-1 and OTX-3 were detected in any of the 4 extracts analyzed by LC(-UV)-MS/MS, which is consistent with Sato et al. (2011) who previously observed no toxic effect on mice in *Ostreopsis* sp. 5 (now identified as *O. lenticularis*) *extracts*. Contrastingly, these authors reported that *Ostreopsis* sp. 6 was toxic to mice and, more specifically, mentioned the detection of OST-D in strain s0587 extract (Sato et al., 2011), although some strains genetically distinct (OU11, IR33) of this species did not produce this compound (Suzuki et al., 2012). Overall, these findings highly support the idea that *Ostreopsis lenticularis* and *Ostreopsis* sp. 6 correspond to two different species.

The present results may appear contradictory with previous studies mentioning the toxicity in 674 *O. lenticularis* strains from the Caribbean area (Lassus et al., 2016). These data should be 675 considered cautiously since the identification of the toxic species was not clear. The first toxic 676 effect on mice associated with *O.* cf. *lenticularis* was reported by Tosteson et al. (1986) but without 677 any taxonomical assessment of the species. Ballantine et al. (1988) mentioned higher toxicity levels 678 in strains of '*O. lenticularis*' from the Caribbean as compared to those from Tahiti localities where 679 this species was found in abundance but not obviously toxic according to Bagnis et al. (1985). Ballantine et al. (1988) explained this apparent discrepancy by the use of different extraction
methods but, surprisingly, did not question the taxonomic identification of the species. Tindall et al.
(1990) further performed a taxonomic investigation on the toxic clones from the Caribbean and
observed that (i) the cells size range was compatible with both *O. siamensis* and *O. lenticularis*, and
(ii) cells had an undulating cingulum and one type of thecal pores. Although these features should
have definitely excluded *O. lenticularis*, these authors kept using the name *O. lenticularis* under the
pretext that *O. siamensis* had never been reported from the Caribbean (Tindall et al., 1990), thus
perpetuating the confusion. It was yet rather clear that another species than *O. lenticularis* was the
toxic species producing the water soluble ostreotoxins (OTXs) inhibiting the acetylcholine response
(Tindall et al., 1990). Several subsequent studies investigated the mode of action of the toxic
compounds and effects of bacteria on the toxicity of *Ostreopsis* strains from the Caribbean
designated doubtfully as '*O. lenticularis*' (Mercado et al., 1994, 1995; Meunier et al., 1997;
Pérez-Guzmán et al., 2008), but in their review, Parsons et al. (2012) highlighted the fact that these
reports of toxic strains of *O. lenticularis* in the Caribbean were subject to caution, and purposely
used quotations marks.

From the present study, it appears more likely that the toxic strains formerly assigned to the species *O. lenticularis* were, in fact, misidentified due to morphological confusions and the lack of molecular data to confirm such identifications. Further studies using molecular techniques to characterize these toxic strains are needed to clarify this point. So far, in light of the present findings, there is still no true evidence for the existence of toxic strains in the species *O*. *lenticularis*, which raises the question of the relevance of keeping this species in the IOC-UNESCO taxonomic reference list of harmful micro-algae (Akselman and Fraga, 2018).

702 4.6 Lectotype designation

When describing *O. lenticularis* from Tahiti Island, Fukuyo (1981) did not designate a
holotype associated with the name, but provided five LM pictures and two interpretation drawings.

705 From the analysis conducted on several culture strains and field specimens from the same area, it 706 can be concluded that the observations made by Fukuyo (1981) were perfectly accurate and 707 morphological features clearly separate this species from all other known species. In addition, this 708 study also proves unambiguously that the species found in French Polynesia (*O. lenticularis*) is 709 genetically and morphologically distinct from that found in the Gulf of Thailand, especially at the 710 TF site (Tawong et al., 2014), which corresponds to the type locality of *O. siamensis*. Hence the 711 taxonomic ambiguity with *O. siamensis* should no longer persist and the absence of type for *O.* 712 *lenticularis* can be easily solved by the designation of a lectotype from the original illustrations by 713 Fukuyo (1981). The line drawing of the epitheca (Fig. 52) provides an unambiguous description of 714 the major characters, including the presence of two types of pores, which appears as the most 715 distinctive feature constant in all specimens studied from French Polynesia.

716 Ostreopsis lenticularis Y. Fukuyo

717 LECTOTYPE (designated here): Fig. 52 in Fukuyo (1981) Bulletin of the Japanese Society of718 Scientific Fisheries, 47(8): p. 978.

719 5. Conclusions

The present re-investigation of *O. lenticularis* in French Polynesia showed that this species is present in all the four archipelagos investigated, including Tahiti island and Gambier Archipelago, which confirms the report by Fukuyo (1981) in the original description. The morphological features observed in this study were all in perfect agreement with the original description, and the presence of two kinds of thecal pores on the theca of *O. lenticularis* was seen in all specimens from cultures and field samples studied. Hence this character appears as reliable taxonomic feature which can distinguish *O. lenticularis* from other large-species such as *O. siamensis*, *O. labens* and *O. marina*. Consequently, misidentifications of *O. lenticularis* were probably a consequence of mistaken interpretations of this feature by some authors but all data appear to be congruent. From a genetic 729 point of view, the presence of a unique genotype in all the sites studied allows to associate 730 unambiguously the species name with molecular data, which was not previously possible in absence 731 of information from the type locality. Hence, the present paper provides reference sequences for 732 further molecular identifications of *O. lenticularis*. Regarding the toxicity of this species, all the 733 analyses conducted in the study showed that no toxic effect was observed using CBA-N2a assay, 734 and no PITX-like compounds could be detected from LC-MS/MS analyses, which is congruent with 735 previous data obtained by Sato et al. (2011) and Suzuki et al. (2012). Consequently, the previous 736 reports of toxicity by this species need to be re-evaluated. In particular, the toxic strains should be 737 characterized by molecular methods in order to ensure their correct identification since data in the 738 literature are confused and no unambiguous evidence of a toxicity by *O. lenticularis* has been 739 provided yet.

740

741 Authors contributions

742 NC and MC designed and supervised the study, drafted the paper and coordinated its revisions.
743 Moreover, MC also coordinated and contributed to the field samplings while NC also performed the
744 microscopy observations and phylogenetic analyses. GB conducted the molecular analysis and
745 sequencing. AD contributed to editing the paper. KH and AU contributed to the field samplings,
746 and to establishing and maintaining *Ostreopsis* clonal cultures. JV contributed to the CBA-N2a
747 analysis, while HTD contributed to the CBA-N2a analysis, and to drafting and editing the
748 paper. CG contributed to the field samplings and to editing the paper. MR contributed to the
749 design of the study and to editing the paper. FH, DR and ZA developed the three
750 LC-(UV)-MS/MS methods and participated to the writing of the manuscript. FH also

752

753 Acknowledgements

Tiriana Tchong and Tanguy Sergent are gratefully acknowledged for their technical assistance in the extraction steps and molecular analysis, respectively. NC wish to express his deep gratitude to Y. Fukuyo for sharing information on the original material from French Polynesia, and to J. McNeill for kind advice on the way of dealing typification of *O. lenticularis*. This work is part of the TATOO project and was supported by funds from the Délégation à la Recherche de Polynésie Française (DREC-Pf). The Regional Council of Brittany, the General Council of Finistère, the urban community of Concarneau Cornouaille Agglomération and the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) are also acknowledged for the funding of the Sigma 300 FE-SEM of the Concarneau Marine Biology Station.

763 References

- 764 Accoroni, S., Romagnoli, T., Penna, A., Capellacci, S., Ciminiello, P., Dell'Aversano, C.,
- 765 Tartaglione, L., Abboud-Abi Saab, M., Giussani, V., Asnaghi, V., Chiantore, M., Totti, C.,
- 766 2016. Ostreopsis fattorussoi sp. nov. (Dinophyceae), a new benthic toxic Ostreopsis species
- from the eastern Mediterranean Sea. J. Phycol. 52, 1064–1084.
- 768 https://doi.org/10.1111/jpy.12464
- 769 Accoroni, S., Totti, C., 2016. The toxic benthic dinoflagellates of the genus Ostreopsis in temperate
- areas: a review. Adv. Oceanogr. Limnol. 7. https://doi.org/10.4081/aiol.2016.5591
- 771 Akselman, R., Fraga, S., 2018. other Gonyaulacales. In: IOC-UNESCO Taxon. Ref. List Harmful
- 772 Micro Algae Available at http://www.marinespecies.org/hab (accessed 12.12.18).
- 773 Amzil, Z., Sibat, M., Chomérat, N., Grossel, H., Marco-Miralles, F., Lemée, R., Nézan, E., Séchet,
- V., 2012. Ovatoxin-a and Palytoxin Accumulation in seafood in relation to *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* blooms on the French Mediterranean coast. Mar. Drugs 10, 477–496.
- 776 Ariyawansa, H.A., Hawksworth, D.L., Hyde, K.D., Jones, E.B.G., Maharachchikumbura, S.S.N.,
- 777 Manamgoda, D.S., Thambugala, K.M., Udayanga, D., Camporesi, E., Daranagama, A.,
- Jayawardena, R., Liu, J.-K., McKenzie, E.H.C., Phookamsak, R., Senanayake, I.C., Shivas,
- 779 R.G., Tian, Q., Xu, J.-C., 2014. Epitypification and neotypification: guidelines with
- appropriate and inappropriate examples. Fungal Divers. 69, 57–91.
- 781 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-014-0315-4
- 782 Bagnis, R., Bennett, J., Prieur, C., Legrand, A.-M., 1985. The dynamics of three toxic benthic
- 783 dinoflagellates and the toxicity of ciguateric surgeonfish in French Polynesia, in: Anderson,
- 784 D.M., White, A.W., Baden, D.G. (Eds.), Toxic Dinoflagellates. Proceedings of the Third
- 785 International Conference on Toxic Dinoflagellates. Presented at the Third International
- 786 Conference on Toxic Dinoflagellates, Elsevier, New York, St. Andrews, New Brunswick,
- 787 Canada, pp. 177–182.

788	Ballantine, D., L., Bardales, A.T., Tosteson, T.R., Dupont-Durst, H., 1985. Seasonal abundance of
789	Gambierdiscus toxicus and Ostreopsis sp. in coastal waters of Southwest Puerto Rico, in:
790	Gabrié, C., Salvat, B. (Eds.). Proceedings of the Fifth International Coral Reef Congress,
791	MNHN-EPHE, pp. 417–422.
792	Ballantine, D., L., Tosteson, T.R., Bardales, A.T., 1988. Population dynamics and toxicity of
793	natural populations of benthic dinoflagellates in southwestern Puerto Rico. J. Exp. Mar.

794 Biol. Ecol. 119, 201–212.

795 Berdalet, E., Chinain, M., Fraga, S., Lemée, R., Litaker, W., Penna, A., Usup, G., Vila, M.,

796 Zingone, A., 2017. Harmful Algal Blooms in Benthic Systems: Recent Progress and Future

797 Research. Oceanography 30, 36–45. https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2017.108

798 Brissard, C., Herrenknecht, C., Séchet, V., Hervé, F., Pisapia, F., Harcouet, J., Lemée, R.,

- 799 Chomérat, N., Hess, P., Amzil, Z., 2014. Complex toxin profile of French Mediterranean
- 800 *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* strains, seafood accumulation and ovatoxins prepurification. Mar.
- 801 Drugs 12, 2851–2876.
- 802 Brissard, C., Hervé, F., Sibat, M., Séchet, V., Hess, P., Amzil, Z., Herrenknecht, C., 2015.
- 803 Characterization of ovatoxin-h, a new ovatoxin analog, and evaluation of chromatographic
- columns for ovatoxin analysis and purification. J. Chromatogr. A 1388, 87–101.
- 805 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2015.02.015

806 Carlson, R.D., 1984. The distribution, periodicity, and culture of benthic/epiphytic dinoflagellates in

807 a ciguatera endemic region of the Caribbean (PhD thesis). Southern Illinois University,
808 Carbondale.

- 809 Carlson, R.D., Tindall, D.R., 1985. Distribution and periodicity of toxic dinoflagellates in the
- 810 Vrigin Islands, in: Anderson, D.M., White, A.W., Baden, D.G. (Eds.), Toxic
- 811 Dinoflagellates. Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Toxic

812 Dinoflagellates. Presented at the International Conference on Toxic Dinoflagellates,

813 Elsevier, New York, St. Andrews, New Brunswick, Canada, pp. 171–176.

814 Carnicer, O., Tunin-Ley, A., Andree, K., Turquet, J., Diogène, J., Fernández-Tejedor, M., 2015.

815 Contribution to the genus *Ostreopsis* in Reunion Island (Indian Ocean): molecular,

- 816 morphologic and toxicity characterization. Cryptogam. Algol. 36, 101–119.
- 817 Castresana, J., 2000. Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in

818 phylogenetic analysis. Mol. Biol. Evol. 17, 540–552.

819 Chang, F.H., Shimizu, Y., Hay, B., Stewart, R., Mackay, G., Tasker, R., 2000. Three recently

820 recorded Ostreopsis spp. (Dinophyceae) in the New Zealand: temporal and regional

distribution in the upper North Island from 1995 to 1997. N. Z. J. Mar. Freshw. Res. 34,
29–39.

823 Chinain, M., Darius, H.T., Ung, A., Fouc, M.T., Revel, T., Cruchet, P., Pauillac, S., Laurent, D.,

824 2010. Ciguatera risk management in French Polynesia: The case study of Raivavae Island

825 (Australes Archipelago). Toxicon 56, 674–690.

- 826 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon.2009.05.032
- 827 Chinain, M., Faust, M.A., Pauillac, S., 1999. Morphology and molecular analyses of three toxic

828 species of Gambierdiscus (Dinophyceae): G. pacificus, sp. nov., G. australes, sp. nov., and

829 *G. polynesiensis*, sp. nov. J. Phycol. 35, 1282–1296.

830 Chomérat, N., Couté, A., 2008. Protoperidinium bolmonense sp. nov. (Peridiniales, Dinophyceae),

- a small dinoflagellate from a brackish hypereutrophic lagoon (South of France). Phycologia
 47, 392–403.
- 833 Chomérat, N., Mahana iti Gatti, C., Nézan, E., Chinain, M., 2017. Studies on the benthic genus
- 834 Sinophysis (Dinophysales, Dinophyceae) II. S. canaliculata from Rapa Island (French

835 Polynesia). Phycologia 56, 193–203.

836 Cimi	niello, P., Dell'Aversano, C., Dello Iacovo, E., Fattorusso, E., Forino, M., Grauso, L.,
837	Tartaglione, L., Guerrini, F., Pezzolesi, L., Pistocchi, R., Vanucci, S., 2012. Isolation and
838	structure elucidation of ovatoxin-a, the major toxin produced by Ostreopsis ovata. J. Am.
839	Chem. Soc. 134, 1869–1875. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja210784u
840 Cimi	niello, P., Dell'Aversano, C., Fattorusso, E., Forino, M., Tartaglione, L., Grillo, C.,
841	Melchiorre, N., 2008. Putative palytoxin and its new analogue, ovatoxin-a, in Ostreopsis
842	ovata collected along the ligurian coasts during the 2006 toxic outbreak. J. Am. Soc. Mass
843	Spectrom. 19, 111–120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jasms.2007.11.001
844 Cimi	niello, P., Dell'Aversano, C., Iacovo, E.D., Fattorusso, E., Forino, M., Grauso, L., Tartaglione,
845	L., Guerrini, F., Pistocchi, R., 2010. Complex palytoxin-like profile of Ostreopsis ovata.
846	Identification of four new ovatoxins by high-resolution liquid chromatography/mass
847	spectrometry. Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 24, 2735–2744.
848	https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.4696
849 Dariu	s, H.T., Roué, M., Sibat, M., Viallon, J., Gatti, C.M. iti, Vandersea, M.W., Tester, P.A.,
850	Litaker, R.W., Amzil, Z., Hess, P., Chinain, M., 2018. Toxicological investigations on the
851	sea urchin Tripneustes gratilla (Toxopneustidae, Echinoid) from Anaho Bay (Nuku Hiva,
852	French Polynesia): Evidence for the presence of Pacific ciguatoxins. Mar. Drugs 16, 122.
853	https://doi.org/10.3390/md16040122
854 Darri	ba, D., Taboada, G.L., Doallo, R., Posada, D., 2012. jModelTest 2: more models, new
855	heuristics and parallel computing. Nat. Methods 9, 772. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2109
856 del Fa	avero, G., Sosa, S., Pelin, M., D'Orlando, E., Florio, C., Lorenzon, P., Poli, M., Tubaro, A.,
857	2012. Sanitary problems related to the presence of Ostreopsis spp. in the Mediterranean Sea:
858	a multidisciplinary scientific approach. Ann. Inst. Sup. San. 48, 407–414.
859	https://doi.org/10.4415/ANN_12_04_08

860	Delgado, C	J., Lechug	a-Devéze,	C.H., P	opowski,	G.,	Troccoli,	L.,	Salinas,	C.A.,	2006.	Ep	iph	ytic
			,	- · · ·)		,	,	.,		- · · · · ·				J · ·

- dinoflagellates associated with ciguatera in the northwestern coast of Cuba. Rev. Biol. Trop.
 54, 299–310.
- 863 Faust, M.A., 1999. Three new Ostreopsis species (Dinophyceae): O. marinus sp. nov., O.
- *belizeanus* sp. nov., and *O. caribbeanus* sp. nov. Phycologia 38, 92–99.
- 865 Faust, M.A., 1995. Observation of sand-dwelling toxic dinoflagellates (Dinophyceae) from widely
 866 differing sites, including two new species. J. Phycol. 31, 996–1003.
- 867 Faust, M.A., Gulledge, R.A., 2002. Identifying harmful marine dinoflagellates. Smithson. Inst.
- 868 Contrib. U. S. Natl. Herb. 42, 1–144.
- 869 Faust, M.A., Morton, S.L., 1995. Morphology and ecology of the marine dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis*870 *labens* sp. nov. (Dinophyceae). J. Phycol. 31, 456–463.
- 871 Faust, M.A., Morton, S.L., Quod, J.-P., 1996. Further SEM study of marine dinoflagellates: the
 872 genus *Ostreopsis* (Dinophyceae). J. Phycol. 32, 1053–1065.
- 873 Fukuyo, Y., 1981. Taxonomical study on benthic dinoflagellates collected in coral reefs. Bull. Jpn.
- 874 Soc. Sci. Fish. 47, 967–978.
- 875 Gárate-Lizárraga, I., González-Armas, R., Okolodkov, Y.B., 2018. Occurrence of Ostreopsis
- 876 *lenticularis* (Dinophyceae: Gonyaulacales) from the Archipiélago de Revillagigedo,
- 877 Mexican Pacific. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 128, 390–395.
- 878 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.01.020
- 879 García-Altares, M., Tartaglione, L., Dell'Aversano, C., Carnicer, O., de la Iglesia, P., Forino, M.,
- B80 Diogène, J., Ciminiello, P., 2015. The novel ovatoxin-g and isobaric palytoxin (so far
- 881 referred to as putative palytoxin) from *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* (NW Mediterranean Sea):
- structural insights by LC-high resolution MS. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 407, 1191–1204.
- 883 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-014-8338-y

884 Gouy, M., Guindon, S., Gascuel, O., 2010. SeaView Version 4: A Multiplatform Graphical User

- Interface for Sequence Alignment and Phylogenetic Tree Building. Mol. Biol. Evol. 27,
 221–224. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msp259
- 887 Guindon, S., Dufayard, J.-F., Lefort, V., Anisimova, M., Hordijk, W., Gascuel, O., 2010. New
- algorithms and methods to estimate Maximum-Likelihood phylogenies: assessing the
 performance of PhyML 3.0. Syst. Biol. 59, 307–21.
- 890 Hansen, G., Turquet, J., Quod, J.-P., Ten-Hage, L., Lugomela, C., Kyewalyanga, M., Hurbungs, M.,
- 891 Wawiye, P., Ogongo, B., Tunje, S., Rakotoarinjanahary, H., 2001. Poentially harmful
- 892 microalgae of the western Indian Ocean, Manual and guides. Intergovernmental
- 893 Oceanographic Comission of UNESCO.
- 894 Holmes, M.J., Lewis, R.J., Poli, M.A., Gillespie, N.C., 1991. Strain dependent production of
- 895 ciguatoxin precursors (gambiertoxins) by *Gambierdiscus toxicus* (Dinophyceae) in culture.

896 Toxicon 29, 761–775. https://doi.org/10.1016/0041-0101(91)90068-3

- 897 Hoppenrath, M., 2017. Dinoflagellate taxonomy a review and proposal of a revised
- 898 classification. Mar. Biodivers. 47, 381–403. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-016-0471-8
- 899 Hoppenrath, M., Murray, S., Chomérat, N., Horiguchi, T., 2014. Marine benthic dinoflagellates -
- 900 unveiling their worldwide biodiversity (Kleine Senckenberg-Reihe 54). E.
- 901 Schweizerbart'sche Verlagbuchhandlung.
- 902 Katoh, K., Standley, D.M., 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
- 903 improvements in performance and usability. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30, 772–80.
- 904 https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010
- 905 Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C., Tamura, K., 2018. MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary
- 906 Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms. Mol. Biol. Evol. 35, 1547–1549.
- 907 https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy096

- 908 Larsen, J., Nguyen Ngoc, L., 2004. Potentially toxic microalgae of Vietnamese waters, Opera Bot.
 909 140, 5–216.
- 910 Lassus, P., Chomérat, N., Hess, P., Nézan, E., 2016. Toxic and harmful microalgae of the world
 911 ocean. UNESCO, Denmark.
- 912 Leaw, C.P., Lim, P.T., Asmat, A., Usup, G., 2001. Genetic Diversity of Ostreopsis ovata
- 913 (Dinophyceae) from Malaysia. Mar. Biotechnol. 3, 246–255.
- 914 https://doi.org/10.1007/s101260000073
- 915 Ledreux, A., Krys, S., Bernard, C., 2009. Suitability of the Neuro-2a cell line for the detection of
- 916 palytoxin and analogues (neurotoxic phycotoxins). Toxicon 53, 300–308.
- 917 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon.2008.12.005
- 918 Lenoir, S., Ten-Hage, L., Turquet, J., Quod, J.-P., Bernard, C., Hennion, M.-C., 2004. First
- 919 evidence of palytoxin analogues from an *Ostreopsis mascarenensis* (Dinophyceae) benthic
- bloom in southwestern Indian Ocean. J. Phycol. 40, 1042–1051.
- 921 Marchan-Álvarez, J., Valerio-González, L., Troccoli-Ghinaglia, L., Monroy, F.L., 2017.
- 922 Dinoflagelados bentónicos nocivos, asociados con el sedimento arenoso en dos playas de la
 923 isla de Margarita, Venezuela. Rev. Biodivers. Neotropical 7, 156–168.
- 924 Mercado, J.A., Vieira, M., Escalona de Motta, G., Tosteson, T.R., Gonzalez, I., Silva, W., 1994. An
- 925 extraction procedure modification changes the toxicity, chromatographic profile and
- 926 pharmacologic action of *Ostreopsis lenticularis* extracts. Toxicon 32, 256.
- 927 Mercado, J.A., Viera, M., Tosteson, T.R., González, I., Silva, W., Escalona de Motta, G., 1995.
- 928 Differences in the toxicity and biological activity of *Ostreopsis lenticularis* observed using
- 929 different extraction procedures, in: Lassus, P., Arzul, G., Erard-Le Denn, E., Gentien, P.,
- 930 Marcaillou-le Baut, C. (Eds.), Harmful Marine Algal Blooms. Proceedings of the Sixth
- 931 International Conference on Toxic Marine Phytoplankton, October 1993, Nantes, France,
- 932 Lavoisier, Intercept Ltd, Nantes.

933	Meunier, F.A., Mercado, J.A., Molgó, J., Tosteson, T.R., Escalona de Motta, G., 1997. Selective
934	depolarization of the muscle membrane in frog nerve-muscle preparations by a
935	chromatographically purified extract of the dinoflagellate Ostreopsis lenticularis. Br. J.
936	Pharmacol. 121, 1224–1230. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjp.0701256
937	Moore, R.E., Scheuer, P.J., 1971. Palytoxin: a new marine toxin from a Coelenterate. Science 172,
938	495–498.

939 Nézan, E., Siano, R., Boulben, S., Six, C., Bilien, G., Chèze, K., Duval, A., Le Panse, S., Quéré, J.,

940 Chomérat, N., 2014. Genetic diversity of the harmful family Kareniaceae (Gymnodiniales,

941 Dinophyceae) in France, with the description of *Karlodinium gentienii* sp. nov.: A new

942 potentially toxic dinoflagellate. Harmful Algae 40, 75–91.

943 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2014.10.006

944 Norris, D.R., Bomber, J.W., Balech, E., 1985. Benthic dinoflagellates associated with ciguatera

945 from the Florida Keys. I. Ostreopsis heptagona sp. nov., in: Anderson, D.M., White, A.W.,

Baden, D.G. (Eds.), Toxic Dinoflagellates. Elsevier Science publ. Co., New York, pp.

947 39–44.

948 Parsons, M.L., Aligizaki, K., Dechraoui Bottein, M.-Y., Fraga, S., Morton, S.L., Penna, A., Rhodes,

949 L., 2012. *Gambierdiscus* and *Ostreopsis*: Reassessment of the state of knowledge of their

taxonomy, geography, ecophysiology, and toxicology. Harmful Algae 14, 107–129.

951 Pawlowiez, R., Darius, H.T., Cruchet, P., Rossi, F., Caillaud, A., Laurent, D., Chinain, M., 2013.

952 Evaluation of seafood toxicity in the Australes archipelago (French Polynesia) using the

953 neuroblastoma cell-based assay. Food Addit. Contam. Part A 30, 567–586.

954 https://doi.org/10.1080/19440049.2012.755644

955 Penna, A., Fraga, S., Battocchi, C., Casabianca, S., Giacobbe, M.G., Riobó, P., Vernesi, C., 2010. A

956 phylogeographical study of the toxic benthic dinoflagellate genus *Ostreopsis* Schmidt. J.

957 Biogeogr. 37, 830–841.

958	Penna, A., Fraga, S., Battocchi, C., Casabianca, S., Perini, F., Capellacci, S., Casabianca, A., Riobó,
959	P., Giacobbe, M.G., Totti, C., Accoroni, S., Vila, M., Reñé, A., Scardi, M., Aligizaki, K.,
960	Nguyen-Ngoc, L., Vernesi, C., 2012. Genetic diversity of the genus Ostreopsis Schmidt:
961	Phylogeographical considerations and molecular methodology applications for field
962	detection in the Mediterranean Sea. Cryptogam. Algol. 33, 153-163.
963	https://doi.org/10.7872/crya.v33.iss2.2011.153
964	Penna, A., Vila, M., Fraga, S., Giacobbe, M.G., Andreoni, F., Riobó, P., Vernesi, C., 2005.
965	Characterization of Ostreopsis and Coolia (Dinophyceae) isolates in the western
966	mediterranean sea based on morphology, toxicity and internal transcribed spacer 5.8S rDNA
967	sequences. J. Phycol. 41, 212–225.
968	Pérez-Guzmán, L., Pérez-Matos, A.E., Rosado, W., Tosteson, T.R., Govind, N.S., 2008. Bacteria
969	associated with toxic clonal cultures of the dinoflagellate Ostreopsis lenticularis. Mar.
970	Biotechnol. 10, 492–496. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10126-008-9088-7
971	Quod, JP., 1994. Ostreopsis mascarenensis sp. nov. (Dinophyceae), dinoflagellé toxique associé à
972	la ciguatera dans l'océan Indien. Cryptogam. Algol. 15, 243–251.
973	Rasband, W.S., 1997. ImageJ. National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.
974	Rhodes, L., Adamson, J., Suzuki, T., Briggs, L., Garthwaite, I., 2000. Toxic marine epiphytic
975	dinoflagellates, Ostreopsis siamensis and Coolia monotis (Dinophyceae), in New Zealand.
976	N. Z. J. Mar. Freshw. Res. 34, 371–383.
977	Ronquist, F., Huelsenbeck, J.P., 2003. MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed

- 978 models. Bioinformatics 19, 1572–1574.
- 979 Rossi, R., Castellano, V., Scalco, E., Serpe, L., Zingone, A., Soprano, V., 2010. New palytoxin-like
- 980 molecules in Mediterranean Ostreopsis cf. ovata (dinoflagellates) and in Palythoa
- 981 *tuberculosa* detected by liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization time-of-flight mass
- 982 spectrometry. Toxicon 56, 1381–1387. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon.2010.08.003

983 Sanchez, R., Serra, F., Tarraga, J., Medina, I., Carbonell, J., Pulido, L., de Maria, A.,

- 984 Capella-Gutierrez, S., Huerta-Cepas, J., Gabaldon, T., Dopazo, J., Dopazo, H., 2011.
- 985 Phylemon 2.0: a suite of web-tools for molecular evolution, phylogenetics, phylogenomics
- and hypotheses testing. Nucleic Acids Res. 39, W470–W474.
- 987 https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr408
- 988 Sato, S., Nishimura, T., Uehara, K., Sakanari, H., Tawong, W., Hariganeya, N., Smith, K., Rhodes,
- L., Yasumoto, T., Taira, Y., Suda, S., Yamaguchi, H., Adachi, M., 2011. Phylogeography of
- 990 Ostreopsis along west Pacific coast, with special reference to a novel clade from Japan. Plos
- 991 One 6, e27983. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0027983
- 992 Schmidt, J., 1901. Preliminary report of the botanical results of the Danish expedition to Siam
- 993 (1899-1900). Part IV, Peridiniales. Bot. Tidsskr. 24, 212–221.
- 994 Suzuki, T., Watanabe, R., Uchida, H., Matsushima, R., Nagai, H., Yasumoto, T., Yoshimatsu, T.,
- 995 Sato, S., Adachi, M., 2012. LC-MS/MS analysis of novel ovatoxin isomers in several
- 996 *Ostreopsis* strains collected in Japan. Harmful Algae 20, 81–91.
- 997 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2012.08.002
- 998 Tartaglione, L., Dello Iacovo, E., Mazzeo, A., Casabianca, S., Ciminiello, P., Penna, A.,
- 999 Dell'Aversano, C., 2017. Variability in toxin profiles of the Mediterranean Ostreopsis cf.
- 1000 *ovata* and in structural features of the produced ovatoxins. Environ. Sci. Technol. 51,
- 1001 13920–13928. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b03827
- 1002 Tartaglione, L., Mazzeo, A., Dell'Aversano, C., Forino, M., Giussani, V., Capellacci, S., Penna, A.,
- 1003 Asnaghi, V., Faimali, M., Chiantore, M., Yasumoto, T., Ciminiello, P., 2016. Chemical,
- 1004 molecular, and eco-toxicological investigation of *Ostreopsis* sp. from Cyprus Island:
- structural insights into four new ovatoxins by LC-HRMS/MS. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 408,
- 1006 915–932. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-015-9183-3

1007 Tawong, W., Nishimura, T., Sakanari, H., Sato, S., Yamaguchi, H., Adachi, M., 2014. Distribution

1008 and molecular phylogeny of the dinoflagellate genus *Ostreopsis* in Thailand. Harmful Algae

1009 37, 160–171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2014.06.003

- 1010 Terajima, T., Uchida, H., Abe, N., Yasumoto, T., 2018. Structure elucidation of ostreocin-A and
- 1011 ostreocin-E1, novel palytoxin analogs produced by the dinoflagellate Ostreopsis siamensis,
- 1012 using LC/Q-TOF MS. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 1–10.
- 1013 https://doi.org/10.1080/09168451.2018.1550356
- 1014 Tester, P.A., Kibler, S.R., Holland, W.C., Usup, G., Vandersea, M.W., Leaw, C.P., Teen, L.P.,
- 1015 Larsen, J., Mohammad-Noor, N., Faust, M.A., Litaker, R.W., 2014. Sampling harmful
- 1016 benthic dinoflagellates: Comparison of artificial and natural substrate methods. Harmful

1017 Algae 39, 8–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2014.06.009

- 1018 Tichadou, L., Glaizal, M., Armengaud, A., Grossel, H., Lemée, R., Kantin, R., Lasalle, J.-L.,
- 1019 Drouet, G., Rambaud, L., Malfait, P., de Haro, L., 2010. Health impact of unicellular algae
- 1020 of the *Ostreopsis* genus blooms in the Mediterranean Sea: experience of the French
- 1021 Mediterranean coast surveillance network from 2006 to 2009. Clin. Toxicol. 48, 839–844.

1022 https://doi.org/10.3109/15563650.2010.513687

- 1023 Tindall, D.R., Miller, D.M., Tindall, P.M., 1990. Toxicity of Ostreopsis lenticularis from the
- 1024 British and United States Virgin Islands, in: Granéli, E., Sundström, B., Edler, L., Anderson,
- 1025 D.M. (Eds.), Toxic Marine Phytoplankton. Proceedings of the Fourth International
- 1026 Conference on Toxic Marine Phytoplankton. Elsevier, Lund, Sweden, pp. 424–429.
- 1027 Tosteson, T.R., Ballantine, D., L., Tosteson, C.G., Bardales, A.T., Durst, H.D., Higerd, T.B., 1986.
- 1028 Comparative toxicity of *Gambierdiscus toxicus*, *Ostreopsis* cf. *lenticuiaris*, and associated
- 1029 microflora. Mar. Fish. Rev. 48, 57–59.

1030	Tosteson, T.R., Ballantine, D.L., Tosteson, C.G., Hensley, V., Bardales, A.T., 1989. Associated
1031	bacterial flora, growth, and toxicity of cultured benthic dinoflagellates Ostreopsis
1032	lenticularis and Gambierdiscus toxicus. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 55, 5.
1033	Turland, N.J., Wiersema, J.H., Barrie, F.R., Greuter, W., Hawksworth, D.L., Herendeen, P.S.,
1034	Knapp, S., Kusber, WH., Li, DZ., Marhold, K., May, T.W., McNeill, J., Monro, A.M.,
1035	Prado, J., Price, M.J., Smith, G.F. (Eds.), 2018. International Code of Nomenclature for
1036	algae, fungi, and plants (Shenzhen Code) adopted by the Nineteenth International Botanical
1037	Congress Shenzhen, China, July 2017, Regnum Vegetabile. Koeltz Botanical Books,
1038	Glasshütten.
1039	Uchida, H., Taira, Y., Yasumoto, T., 2013. Structural elucidation of palytoxin analogs produced by
1040	the dinoflagellate Ostreopsis ovata IK2 strain by complementary use of positive and
1041	negative ion liquid chromatography/quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry: Structural
1042	elucidation of ovatoxin-a, -d, -e IK2 by LC/QTOFMS. Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 27,
1043	1999–2008. https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.6657
1044	Ukena, T., Satake, M., Usami, M., Oshima, Y., Naoki, H., Fujita, T., Kan, Y., Yasumoto, T., 2001.
1045	Structure elucidation of Ostreocin D, a palytoxin analog isolated from the dinoflagellate
1046	Ostreopsis siamensis. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 65, 2585-2588.
1047	https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.65.2585
1048	Usami, M., Satake, M., Ishida, S., Inoue, A., Kan, Y., Yasumoto, T., 1995. Palytoxin analogs from
1049	the dinoflagellate Ostreopsis siamensis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 117, 5389-5390.
1050	Verma, A., Hoppenrath, M., Dorantes-Aranda, J.J., Harwood, D.T., Murray, S.A., 2016. Molecular
1051	and phylogenetic characterization of Ostreopsis (Dinophyceae) and the description of a new
1052	species, Ostreopsis rhodesae sp. nov., from a subtropical Australian lagoon. Harmful Algae
1053	60, 116–130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2016.11.004

1054 Zhang, H., Lu, S., Li, Y., Cen, J., Wang, H., Li, Q., Nie, X., 2018. Morphology and molecular

- 1055 phylogeny of Ostreopsis cf. ovata and O. lenticularis (Dinophyceae) from Hainan Island,
- 1056 South China Sea: *Ostreopsis* spp. from Hainan Island. Phycol. Res. 66, 3–14.
- 1057 https://doi.org/10.1111/pre.12192
- 1058

1059 Figure legends

1060 **Fig. 1.** Map of French Polynesia (South Pacific Ocean), showing the location of the different 1061 archipelagos. The islands from where samples of *Ostreopsis lenticularis* were collected are 1062 encircled by thick lines.

1063

1064 Fig. 2. Light and epifluorescence micrographs of cells from strain THT16–4 fromTahiti Island
1065 (Society Archipelago). (A) Live specimen; (B) epifluorescence image showing the nucleus stained
1066 by SYBR green. Scale bars: 10 μm.

1067

1068 Fig. 3. Epifluorescence micrographs of different strains and field specimens of *Ostreopsis* 1069 *lenticularis*. (A–C) strain THT16–4 from Tahiti Island (Society Archipelago): (A) apical view; (B) 1070 antapical view; (C) detail of the apical pore and adjacent plates, note the presence of two kind of 1071 thecal pores (some smaller pores shown by arrowheads). (D–G) strain MGR17–1 from Mangareva 1072 Island (Gambier Archipelago): (D) apical view; (E) antapical view; (F) detail of the apical pore and 1073 adjacent plate; (G) detail of the thecal surface with two kinds of thecal pores. (H–K) strain 1074 RVV-RF8 from Raivavae Island (Australes Archipelago): (H) apical view; (I) antapical view; (J) 1075 detail of the apical pore and adjacent plates; (K) detail of the thecal surface with two kinds of thecal view; (J) 1075 detail of the apical pore and adjacent plates; (K) detail of the thecal surface with two kinds of thecal 1076 pores. (L–M) strain TIO6 from Nuku Hiva Island (Marquesas Archipelago): (L) apical view; (M) 1077 antapical view. (N–O) field specimens from Anaho Bay (Nuku Hiva Island): (N) apical view; (O) 1078 antapical view. Scale bars: 20 µm in A, B, D, E, H, I, L– O, 10 µm in F, J, 5 µm in C, K, and 2 µm 1079 in G.

1080

1081 Fig. 4. Scanning electron micrographs of cells from strain THT16–4 (Tahiti Island, Society
1082 Archipelago). (A) apical view; (B) antapical view; (C) ventral view; (D) left lateral view showing
1083 the straight cingulum and apical pore plate (Po). Scale bars: 10 μm.

1084

1085 Fig. 5. Scanning electron micrographs of cells from strain THT16–4 (Tahiti Island, Society
1086 Archipelago). (A) detail of apical plate series and apical pore; (B) detail of the Po plate and apical
1087 pore composed by a slit and 2 rows of pores; (C) ventral view of the sulcus, note the presence of a
1088 partially visible sulcal plate (arrowhead), a list on the Sda plate (asterisk) and the flagellar pore (fp);
1089 (D) detail of the thecal pores of two sizes, note that the internal structure is visible within larger
1090 pores. Scale bars: 2 µm in A, B and C, and 1 µm in D.

1091

1092 Fig. 6. Scanning electron micrographs of field specimens from Anaho Bay, Nuku Hiva Island
1093 (Marquesas Archipelago). (A) apical view; (B) antapical view; (C) ventral view; (D)
1094 ventro-antapical view showing the cell flattening; (E) detail of apical plates and apical pore (Po);
1095 (F) detail of sulcal plates, note that a plate is only partially visible (arrowhead) and the flagellar pore
1096 (fp); (G) detail of the surface of the theca with two kinds of pores. Scale bars: 10 µm in A–D, 2 µm
1097 in E, and 1 µm in F–G.

1098

1099 Fig. 7. Maximum Likelihood phylogenetic tree inferred from LSU D8–D10 sequences of various
1100 Ostreopsis strains. French Polynesian strains are indicated by bold face and a gray background.
1101 Coolia sp. is used as an outgroup. Black vertical bars show distinct Ostreopsis clade. For O. cf.
1102 ovata, three subclades are shown: Med/Pac for Mediterranean and Pacific subclade; SCS for the
1103 South China Sea subclade and Thai for the Thailand subclade. For O. lenticularis, the vertical gray
1104 bars show the geographic origin of the strains. Numbers at nodes represent bootstrap support values

1105 from Maximum Likelihood (ML) and posterior probabilities from Bayesian Inference (BI).

1106 Bootstraps values below 55 and posterior probabilities below 0.70 are not shown. Roman numerals1107 (I-V) indicate the subclades as defined in Table 2.

1108

1109 Fig. 8. Maximum Likelihood phylogenetic tree inferred from ITS–5.8S rDNA sequences of various 1110 Ostreopsis strains. Coolia monotis is used as an outgroup. French Polynesian strains are indicated 1111 by bold face and a gray background. Black vertical bars show distinct Ostreopsis clade. For O. cf. 1112 ovata, three subclades are shown: SCS for the South China Sea subclade, Thai/Ind for the 1113 Thailand/Indonesia subclade, and Med/Atl/Pac for Mediterranean, Atlantic and Pacific subclade. 1114 For O. lenticularis, the vertical gray bars indicate the geographic origin of the strains. Numbers at 1115 nodes represent bootstrap support values from Maximum Likelihood (ML) and posterior 1116 probabilities from Bayesian Inference (BI). Bootstraps values below 50 and posterior probabilities 1117 below 0.70 are not shown. Roman numerals (I-VII) indicate the subclades as defined in Table 3.

1118

1119 Supplementary material

1120 Fig. S1. Scanning electron micrographs of cells from strains from different archipelagos. (A–C)
1121 strain RVV-RF8 (Australes Archipelago) (A) apical view; (B) antapical view; (C) detail of thecal
1122 surface; (D–F) strain MGR17–1 (Gambier Archipelago): (D) apical view; (E) antapical view; (F)
1123 detail of thecal surface; (G–I) strain TIO6 (Marquesas Archipelago): (G) apical view; (H) antapical
1124 view; (I) detail of thecal surface;. Scale bars: 10 µm in A, B, D, E, G, H, and 1 µm in C, F and I.

1126 Fig. S2. Scanning electron micrographs of cells from strain THT16–4 (Tahiti Island, Society
1127 Archipelago) showing cingular plates. (A) Detail of the sulcus and the first cingular plate C1
1128 (arrowheads points to the suture); (B) left lateral view of a cell with three cingular plates visible

- 1130 point to the sutures); (D) right lateral view showing plates C5 and C6 (arrowheads point to the
- 1131 sutures). Scale bars: $1\mu m$ in A and $5\mu m$ in B, C, D.



















Strain	Archipelago	Island, locale	Origin coordinates	isolation date (month-y ear)	Toxicity analysis (CBA-N2a)	Toxin analysis (LC-MS/ MS)	ITS-5.8S rDNA sequences	LSU D8–D10 rDNA sequences
ATH6	Society	Moorea, Atiha	17°35.416S 149°51.013W	May-16	<lod< td=""><td>_</td><td>MK227240</td><td>MK227180</td></lod<>	_	MK227240	MK227180
HPT6	Society	Moorea, Haapiti	17°35.459S 149°51.580W	May-16	<lod< td=""><td>_</td><td>MK227241</td><td>MK227181</td></lod<>	_	MK227241	MK227181
MRP23	Society	Moorea, Maharepa	17°28.427S 149°47.000W	May-16	<lod< td=""><td>_</td><td>MK227242</td><td>MK227182</td></lod<>	_	MK227242	MK227182
MRP25	Society	Moorea, Maharepa	17°28.427S 149°47.000W	May-16	<lod< td=""><td>_</td><td>MK227243</td><td>MK227183</td></lod<>	_	MK227243	MK227183
MRP26	Society	Moorea, Maharepa	17°28.427S 149°47.000W	May-16	<lod< td=""><td>_</td><td>MK227244</td><td>MK227184</td></lod<>	_	MK227244	MK227184
MD03-03	Society	Tahiti, Papara	17°47.037S 149°28.088W	Oct03	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>MK227245[†]</td><td>MK227185</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>MK227245[†]</td><td>MK227185</td></lod<>	MK227245 [†]	MK227185
THT16-1	Society	Tahiti, To'ahotu	17°45.247S 149°20.115W	Sept16	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>MK227246</td><td>MK227186</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>MK227246</td><td>MK227186</td></lod<>	MK227246	MK227186
THT16-2	Society	Tahiti, To'ahotu	17°45.247S 149°20.115W	Sept16	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>MK227247</td><td>MK227187</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>MK227247</td><td>MK227187</td></lod<>	MK227247	MK227187
THT16-4	Society	Tahiti, Vairao	17°48.628S 149°18.895W	Sept16	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>MK227248[†]</td><td>MK227188</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>MK227248[†]</td><td>MK227188</td></lod<>	MK227248 [†]	MK227188
VRO17-1	Society	Tahiti, Vairao	17°48.642S 149°18.292W	Aug17	-	-	_	MK227189
RVV-RF8	Australes	Raivavae, Rocher de la femme	23°51.914S 147°41.093W	May-08	<lod< td=""><td>_</td><td>MK227230</td><td>MK227190</td></lod<>	_	MK227230	MK227190
MTR17-1	Australes	Tubuai, Mataura	23°20.375S 149°28.130W	May-17	_	_	_	MK227191
MTR17-2	Australes	Tubuai, Mataura	23°20.375S 149°28.130W	May-17	_	_	_	MK227192
MTR17-3	Australes	Tubuai, Mataura	23°20.375S 149°28.130W	May-17	_	_	_	MK227193
Tub8	Australes	Tubuai, Mataura	23°20.588S 149°28.754W	Oct15	_	_	_	MK227194
Tub9	Australes	Tubuai, Mataura	23°20.588S 149°28.754W	Oct15	_	_	_	MK227195
Tub10	Australes	Tubuai, Mataura	23°20.588S 149°28.754W	Oct15	<lod< td=""><td>_</td><td>MK227231</td><td>MK227196</td></lod<>	_	MK227231	MK227196
Tub11	Australes	Tubuai, Mataura	23°20.588S 149°28.754W	Oct15	_	_	_	MK227197
Tub12	Australes	Tubuai, Mataura	23°20.588S 149°28.754W	Oct15	_	_	_	MK227198
Tub14	Australes	Tubuai, Mataura	23°20.588S 149°28.754W	Oct15	_	_	_	MK227199
Tub15	Australes	Tubuai, Mataura	23°20.588S 149°28.754W	Oct15	_	_	_	MK227200
Tub22	Australes	Tubuai, Mataura	23°20.670S 149°29.241W	Oct15	_	_	_	MK227201
Tub23	Australes	Tubuai, Mataura	23°20.670S 149°29.241W	Oct15	_	_	_	MK227202
Tub24	Australes	Tubuai, Mataura	23°20.670S 149°29.241W	Oct15	_	_	_	MK227203
Tub25	Australes	Tubuai, Mataura	23°20.670S 149°29.241W	Oct15	_	_	_	MK227204
Tub26	Australes	Tubuai, Mataura	23°20.670S 149°29.241W	Oct15	_	_	_	MK227205

Table 1. List of the strains of Ostreopsis lenticularis used in the study

Tub27	Australes	Tubuai, Mataura	23°20.877S 149°29.935W	Oct15	_	_	_	MK227206
Tub28	Australes	Tubuai, Mataura	23°20.877S 149°29.935W	Oct15	_	_	_	MK227207
Tub29	Australes	Tubuai, Mataura	23°20.877S 149°29.935W	Oct15	_	_	_	MK227208
Tub30	Australes	Tubuai, Mataura	23°20.969S 149°30.217W	Oct15	_	_	—	MK227209
Tub31	Australes	Tubuai, Mataura	23°20.969S 149°30.217W	Oct15	_	_	—	MK227210
Tub32	Australes	Tubuai, Mataura	23°20.969S 149°30.217W	Oct15	-	_	_	MK227211
MGR17_1	Gambier	Mangareya Tenoko	23°04 4378 135°00 581W	Nov -17	<i od<="" td=""><td>_</td><td>MK227232</td><td>MK227212</td></i>	_	MK227232	MK227212
MGR17_1 MGR17_2	Gambier	Mangareva Taku	23°05 240S 134°58 220W	Nov -17		_	MK227232	MK227212 MK227213
MGR17-2 MGR17-3	Gambier	Mangareva, Taku	23°05 2405 134°58 220W	Nov. 17		_	WIX227255	MK227213 MK227214
WORT/-3	Gambler	Ivialigaieva, Taku	23 03.2403 134 30.220 W	110117	NLOD	—	—	IVIIX227214
NH16-7	Marquesas	Nuku Hiva, Anaho	08°49.171S 140°03.923W	Nov16	<lod< td=""><td>_</td><td>MK227234</td><td>MK227215</td></lod<>	_	MK227234	MK227215
ANH33	Marquesas	Nuku Hiva, Anaho	08°49.171S 140°03.923W	Nov16	<lod< td=""><td>_</td><td>MK227235</td><td>MK227216</td></lod<>	_	MK227235	MK227216
ANH34	Marquesas	Nuku Hiva, Anaho	08°49.171S 140°03.923W	Nov16	<lod< td=""><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>MK227217</td></lod<>	_	_	MK227217
TIO6	Marquesas	Nuku Hiva, Taiohae	08°56.009S 140°05.581W	Nov16	_	_	MK227236	MK227218
TIO7	Marquesas	Nuku Hiva, Taiohae	08°56.009S 140°05.581W	Nov16	<lod< td=""><td>_</td><td>MK227237</td><td>MK227219</td></lod<>	_	MK227237	MK227219
TPV1	Marquesas	Nuku Hiva, Taipivai	08°53.066S 140°02.762W	Nov16	_	_	_	MK227220
TPV2	Marquesas	Nuku Hiva, Taipivai	08°53.066S 140°02.762W	Nov16	_	_	_	MK227221
TPV3	Marquesas	Nuku Hiva, Taipivai	08°53.066S 140°02.762W	Nov16	<lod< td=""><td>_</td><td>MK227238</td><td>MK227222</td></lod<>	_	MK227238	MK227222
TPV4	Marquesas	Nuku Hiva, Taipivai	08°53.066S 140°02.762W	Nov16	_	_	_	MK227223
TPV5	Marquesas	Nuku Hiva, Taipivai	08°53.066S 140°02.762W	Nov16	_	_	_	MK227224
TPV6	Marquesas	Nuku Hiva, Taipivai	08°53.066S 140°02.762W	Nov16	_	—	-	MK227225
TPV9	Marquesas	Nuku Hiva, Taipivai	08°53.066S 140°02.762W	Nov16	_	_	MK227239	MK227226

LOD = limit of detection [†]sequence also includes complete SSU and partial LSU rDNA (D1-D3 domains)

Table 2: Distance values (pairwise uncorrected *p*-distances) based on the LSU D8–D10 rDNA sequences (Clustal W alignment) net-between and within subclades of *O. lenticularis* and *Ostreopsis* sp. 6

	Subclade	n	I.	II.	III.	IV.	V.
I.	O. lenticularis		0.000				
	(subclade French Polynesia / South Japan)						
II.	O. lenticularis	10	0.010	0.002			
	(subclade North Japan)	10	0.010	0.002			
ш	Ostreopsis sp. 6	1	0.051	0.040	0.001		
111.	(subclade IR49, IR33, OU8, OU11)	7	0.031	0.049	0.001		
W	Ostreopsis sp. 6	2	0.058	0.058	0.020	0.000	
1 .	(subclade s0595, s0587)	2	0.050	0.050	0.020	0.000	
V.	Ostreopsis sp. 6	\mathbf{r}	0.055	0.055	0.015	0.014	0.000
	(subclade TF29OS, TF25OS)	2	0.033	0.055	0.015	0.014	0.000

Pairwise uncorrected *p* distance values within subclade are shown on the diagonal

	Subclade	n	I.	II.	III.	IV.	V.	VI.	VII.
	O. lenticularis								
I.	(subclade Pacific/Indian	27	0.001						
	Ocean/China Sea)								
II.	<i>O. lenticularis</i> (subclade Japan)	3	0.068	0.008					
III.	<i>Ostreopsis</i> sp. 6 (subclade Vietnam)	2	0.254	0.243	0.012				
IV.	<i>Ostreopsis</i> sp. 6 (subclade Malaysia)	2	0.258	0.244	0.057	0.000			
V.	<i>Ostreopsis</i> sp. 6 (subclade Thailand)	2	0.267	0.261	0.073	0.048	0.000		
VI.	<i>Ostreopsis</i> sp. 6 (subclade IR33, OU11)	2	0.256	0.261	0.135	0.125	0.110	0.010	
VII.	<i>Ostreopsis</i> sp. 6 (s0587)	1	0.295	0.282	0.110	0.118	0.118	0.161	_

Table 3: Distance values (pairwise uncorrected *p*-distances) based on the ITS–5.8S rDNA sequences (MAFFT alignment) net-between and within subclades of *O. lenticularis* and *Ostreopsis* sp. 6

Pairwise uncorrected *p* distance values within group are shown on the diagonal