

## Plastidial and cytosolic thiol reductases participate in the control of stomatal functioning

Jean-luc Montillet, Damien Rondet, Sabine Brugière, Patricia Henri, Dominique Rumeau, Jean-philippe Reichheld, Yohann Couté, Nathalie Leonhardt, Pascal Rey

### ► To cite this version:

Jean-luc Montillet, Damien Rondet, Sabine Brugière, Patricia Henri, Dominique Rumeau, et al.. Plastidial and cytosolic thiol reductases participate in the control of stomatal functioning. Plant, Cell and Environment, 2021, 44 (5), pp.1417-1435. 10.1111/pce.14013 . hal-03186495

### HAL Id: hal-03186495 https://hal.science/hal-03186495

Submitted on 27 Apr 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Plant, Cell & Environment



# Plastidial and cytosolic thiol reductases participate in the control of stomatal functioning

Journal:	Plant, Cell & Environment
Manuscript ID	Draft
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Montillet, Jean-Luc; CEA, DRF, BIAM, PPV Rondet, Damien ; CEA, DRF, BIAM, PPV Brugiere, Sabine; CEA, DRF, IRIG, BGE Henri, Patricia; CEA, DRF, BIAM, PPV Rumeau, Dominique; CNRS7265, DRF, BIAM, PPV Reichheld , Jean-Phillipe; CNRS, LGDP Couté, Yohann; CEA, DRF, IRIG, BGE Leonhardt, Nathalie; CEA Cadarache, DRF, BIAM, SAVE Rey, Pascal; CEA, DRF, BIAM, PPV
Environment Keywords:	CO2, oxidative stress
Physiology Keywords:	signaling, stomata
Other Keywords:	redox signaling, thiol reductase
Abstract:	Stomatal movements via the control of gas exchanges condition plant growth in relation to environmental stimuli through a complex signaling network involving reactive oxygen species that lead to post-translational modifications of Cys and Met residues, and alter protein activity and/or conformation. Thiol-reductases (TRs), which include thioredoxins, glutaredoxins (GRXs) and peroxiredoxins (PRXs), participate in signaling pathways through the control of Cys redox status in client proteins. Their involvement in stomatal functioning remains poorly characterized. By performing a mass spectrometry-based proteomic analysis, we show that numerous thiol reductases, like PRXs, are highly abundant in guard cells. When investigating various Arabidopsis mutants impaired in the expression of TR genes, no change in stomatal density and index was noticed. In optimal growth conditions, a line deficient in cytosolic NADPH-thioredoxin reductases displayed higher stomatal conductance and lower leaf temperature evaluated by thermal infrared imaging. In contrast, lines deficient in plastidial 2-CysPRXs or type-II GRXs exhibited compared to WT reduced conductance and warmer leaves in optimal conditions, and enhanced stomatal closure in epidermal peels treated with abscisic acid or hydrogen peroxide. Altogether, these data strongly support the contribution of thiol redox switches within the signaling network regulating guard cell movements and stomatal functioning.

### SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

1	Plastidial and cytosolic thiol reductases participate in the control of stomatal functioning
2	
3	Jean-Luc Montillet <sup>1</sup> , Damien Rondet <sup>1, 2</sup> , Sabine Brugière <sup>3</sup> , Patricia Henri <sup>1</sup> , Dominique Rumeau <sup>1</sup> ,
4	Jean-Philippe Reichheld <sup>4</sup> , Yohann Couté <sup>3</sup> , Nathalie Leonhardt <sup>5</sup> , Pascal Rey <sup>1, *</sup>
5	
6	<sup>1</sup> Aix Marseille Univ, CEA, CNRS, BIAM, Plant Protective Proteins Team, F-13108, Saint
7	Paul-Lez-Durance, France
8	<sup>2</sup> Laboratoire Nixe, F-06905 Sophia-Antipolis, France
9	<sup>3</sup> Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CEA, INSERM, IRIG, BGE, Grenoble, France
10	<sup>4</sup> Laboratoire Génome et Développement des Plantes, CNRS, Université Perpignan Via
11	Domitia, F-66860 Perpignan, France
12	<sup>5</sup> Aix Marseille Univ, CEA, CNRS, BIAM, SAVE Team, Saint Paul-Lez-Durance, France F-
13	13108
14	
15	* Corresponding author: Pascal Rey; pascal.rey@cea.fr
16	
10	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
27 28	
29	

30 Abstract

Stomatal movements via the control of gas exchanges condition plant growth in relation to 31 environmental stimuli through a complex signaling network involving reactive oxygen species 32 that lead to post-translational modifications of Cys and Met residues, and alter protein activity 33 and/or conformation. Thiol-reductases (TRs), which include thioredoxins, glutaredoxins 34 (GRXs) and peroxiredoxins (PRXs), participate in signaling pathways through the control of 35 Cys redox status in client proteins. Their involvement in stomatal functioning remains poorly 36 characterized. By performing a mass spectrometry-based proteomic analysis, we show that 37 numerous thiol reductases, like PRXs, are highly abundant in guard cells. When investigating 38 various Arabidopsis mutants impaired in the expression of TR genes, no change in stomatal 39 density and index was noticed. In optimal growth conditions, a line deficient in cytosolic 40 NADPH-thioredoxin reductases displayed higher stomatal conductance and lower leaf 41 temperature evaluated by thermal infrared imaging. In contrast, lines deficient in plastidial 2-42 CysPRXs or type-II GRXs exhibited compared to WT reduced conductance and warmer leaves 43 in optimal conditions, and enhanced stomatal closure in epidermal peels treated with abscisic 44 acid or hydrogen peroxide. Altogether, these data strongly support the contribution of thiol 45 redox switches within the signaling network regulating guard cell movements and stomatal 46 functioning. 47

Summary statement: The contribution of thiol reductases to the signaling network governing stomatal functioning remains poorly known. Using proteomics, we show that numerous thiol reductases are abundant in a guard cell-enriched fraction, and we unveil a stomatal phenotype in Arabidopsis mutants for thiol reductases.

52 Keywords: *Arabidopsis thaliana*, glutaredoxin, guard cell, peroxiredoxin, proteomics,
53 thiol reductase, signaling, stomata, thioredoxin.

#### 54

#### Introduction

55 The movements of stomata, pores delimited by two guard cells, govern gas exchange and transpiration at the leaf surface. Plant growth is thus conditioned by stomatal opening, which 56 allows CO<sub>2</sub> supply for photosynthetic assimilation, and ensures appropriate hydration and 57 temperature in leaf tissues (Hetherington and Woodward, 2003; Berry et al, 2010). During 58 stomatal movements, the turgor and volume of vacuole in guard cells change due to osmolyte 59 fluxes thanks to the fine regulation of channels and carriers in plasma and vacuole membranes 60 (Kim et al, 2010; Roux and Leonhardt, 2018). These movements result from the sensing of 61 environmental stimuli (primary input signals), the production of secondary signals such as 62 hormones and the signal transduction *via* numerous messengers including kinases, calcium ions 63 and reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Murata et al, 2015; Kollist et al, 2014). 64

Stomatal opening is mainly caused by blue light and photosynthetic active radiations 65 (Shimazaki et al., 2007; Kollist et al, 2014). In comparison, multiple primary stimuli promote 66 stomatal closure to limit water loss in response to the varying environment that plants face (Kim 67 et al, 2010; Murata et al, 2015). Abscisic acid (ABA) plays a central role in this process notably 68 *via* the production of ROS, which are recognized signaling molecules in stomatal movements 69 70 (Kwak et al, 2003; Song et al, 2014; Sierla et al, 2016). ROS fulfill signaling functions in development and responses to environment by reacting with antioxidant molecules, lipids and 71 proteins (Foyer and Noctor, 2016). Oxidation of sulfur-containing amino acids, Cys and Met, 72 73 leads to redox post-translational modifications and switches altering enzymatic activity, protein conformation or subcellular distribution (Delaunay et al, 2002; Tada et al, 2008; Geigenberger 74 et al., 2017). 75

Plant thiol reductases (TRs), which display catalytic Cys, accomplish key biological functions including signaling by controlling Cys and Met redox status in partner proteins (Rouhier et al, 2015; Geigenberger et al, 2017; Rey and Tarrago, 2018). They belong to

multigene families (Tarrago et al, 2009; Meyer et al, 2012; Liebthal et al, 2018), and those 79 80 considered here include thioredoxins (TRXs), glutaredoxins (GRXs), methionine sulfoxide reductases (MSRs) and the related thiol peroxidases: peroxiredoxins (PRXs) and glutathione 81 peroxidases (GPXs) named by analogy to mammalian counterparts albeit using preferentially 82 TRXs as reductants (Navrot et al, 2006). Plant TRXs are well-characterized small disulfide 83 reductases carrying a Cys-Xxx-Xxx-Cys active site. Plastidial isoforms regulate photosynthetic 84 metabolism in relation with light and redox pressure (Schürmann and Buchanan, 2008; 85 Courteille et al, 2013; Naranjo et al, 2016). Cytosolic h-type TRXs are supplied in reducing 86 power by NADPH-TRX reductases (NTRs), and participate in the mobilization of seed reserves 87 88 upon germination or in responses to biotic constraints (Sweat and Wolpert, 2007; Hägglund et al, 2016). GRXs are oxidoreductases closely related to TRXs using glutathione (GSH) as an 89 electron donor (Rouhier et al, 2008). Among TRX and GRX targets, thiol peroxidases play 90 91 essential signaling roles via the control of peroxide concentration or direct thiol oxidation in protein partners (Day et al., 2012; Rhee and Woo, 2011; Stöcker at al., 2017). Thus, plastidial 92 2-CysPRXs participate in photosynthesis deactivation during the light-dark transition (Yoshida 93 et al., 2018). Their signaling function is further supported by their ability to interact with more 94 than 150 proteins (Cerveau et al, 2016a). Plant TRXs and GRXs, by modifying the Cys redox 95 96 status in a very broad set of proteins (Rouhier et al, 2005; Montrichard et al, 2009), are involved in multiple metabolic, developmental and stress-related processes (Rey et al., 2005; Vieira Dos 97 Santos and Rey, 2006; Bashandy et al., 2010: Knuesting et al, 2015; Rouhier et al, 2015; 98 Liebthal et al, 2018). However, their involvement in stomatal functioning remains elusive. In a 99 pioneer work, Jahan et al. (2008) reported enhanced ABA-induced stomatal closure in 100 Arabidopsis GSH-deficient plants. Proteomic analyses showed high abundance of several TRs 101 in Arabidopsis and B. napus guard cells (Zhao et al., 2008; Zhu et al., 2009; 2010), and 102 identified potential TR partners in these cells (Zhu et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2016). Of note, 103

GPX3 based on the phenotype of Arabidopsis mutants and on its ability to interact with ABA-104 105 related signaling actors was presumed to act as both a ROS scavenger and a redox transducer in guard cells (Miao et al, 2006). Changes in stomatal functioning have been also reported in 106 plants modified for the abundance of plastidial 2-CysPRXs (Mao et al., 2018), mitochondrial 107 TRXs o1 and h2 (Calderon et al., 2018; da Fonseca et al., 2019), or cytosolic GRXS17 (Hu et 108 al., 2017). 109

Altogether, these data suggest that thiol redox switch, via the action of TRs, is a major 110 component of the cell guard signaling network. However, the knowledge on this process is still 111 very poor due to the limited data regarding the presence and abundance of TRs in guard cells. 112 113 In this work, we characterized the proteome of a fraction highly enriched in guard cells and we present a detailed analysis of the expression of Arabidopsis TR genes. We found that numerous 114 TRs are abundant in this fraction, and uncovered a stomatal phenotype for mutants deficient in 115 116 NTRs, PRXs or GRXs. Finally, we discuss the potential functions of TRs in the signaling ST. IOU pathways governing stomatal movements. 117

118

#### Material and methods 119

**Plant material** 120

Arabidopsis plants were grown in the "Phytotec" platform (CEA, DRF, BIAM) from 121 sowing in soil in standard conditions under an 8-h photoperiod and a photon flux density of 200 122  $\mu$ mol photons.m<sup>-2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup> with a temperature regime of 22/18°C (day/night) and a relative humidity 123 of 55% as reported in Cerveau et al. (2016b). The genotypes used were wild-type Col-0, two 124 T-DNA mutant lines for TRXh3 (SAIL 314 G04 and SALK 111160), two T-DNA mutant 125 lines for TRXo1 (SALK 143294C and SALK 042792), one T-DNA mutant line for PRXQ 126 (SAIL 742 G10), one T-DNA mutant line for GRXS17 (SALK 021301), one double T-DNA 127 mutant line for 2-CvsPRXA and 2-CvsPRXB (Cerveau et al., 2016b), one double T-DNA mutant 128

line for NTRA and NTRB (Reichheld et al., 2007), several lines either impaired for or over-129 expressing GRXS14 and/or GRXS16 (Rev et al., 2017), and the ost2-2D mutant (Merlot et al., 130 2007). Arabidopsis leaf genomic DNA from trxh3 and prxq mutants was extracted using the 131 Phire plant direct kit (Thermofisher) to perform PCR using appropriate primers corresponding 132 to 5' and 3' ends of coding sequences, Taq DNA polymerase (Life Technologies), and the 133 GeneAmp PCRSystem 2700 (Applied Biosystems). The absence of expression in homozygous 134 plants was checked at the protein level. Rosette weight measurements were performed 135 following 5 or 6 weeks of growth in standard conditions. Plants expressing promoter-GUS 136 reporter constructs were previously described (Reichheld et al., 2002; Reichheld et al., 2007). 137 138 GUS histochemical staining was performed according to Reichheld et al. (2007).

139

#### 140 **Preparation of fractions enriched in guard cells from leaf epidermis**

Epidermis were prepared from six week-old plants grown in standard conditions. Leaves 141 (35 g) were ground at max speed for 3 min in 1 L bowl of a Waring Blender<sup>™</sup> containing about 142 200 ml deionised water and a handful of crushed ice. The suspension was filtered through 100-143 um nylon sieve, and then rinsed off thoroughly with deionised water. The obtained retentate 144 was rapidly dried on paper towels, suspended in 10 mM Tris-Mes buffer pH 6.0 containing 30 145 mM KCl 0.5 M NaCl and 0.5 mM SDS (1 g material in 5 mL buffer). The suspension was 146 gently stirred at room temperature for 15 min before filtration (100 µm), rinsed with deionised 147 water and dried on paper towels. After rapid freezing in liquid N<sub>2</sub>, samples were stored at -20°C 148 before protein extraction and analyses. 149

150

151

#### **1** Protein extraction from leaf and epidermis fractions

Protein extracts, prepared according to Wang et al., (2006) from 0.5 g of leaf or epidermis,and sampled from three independent plant cultures were mixed to gain a representative sample

of each type of material. Protein concentration was determined using the "Protein
Quantification BCA Assay" kit (Interchim). The resulting protein pellets were resuspended in
sodium phosphate buffer 0.1 M pH 7.2 containing 0.15M NaCl and 1% SDS, diluted (1:4) in 4
X Laemmli buffer and heat-denatured 5 min at 95°C.

- 158
- 159

#### Mass spectrometry-based proteomic analyses

160 Twenty-five micrograms of proteins were stacked in a single band in the top of a SDS-161 PAGE gel (4-12% NuPAGE, Life Technologies) and stained with Coomassie blue R-250 before 162 in-gel digestion using modified trypsin (Promega, sequencing grade) as previously described 163 (Salvetti et al., 2016). Resulting peptides were fractionated by tip-based strong cation exchange 164 and analysed by nanoliquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry as 165 previously described (Farhat et al. 2020).

166 RAW files were processed using MaxQuant (Tyanova et al., 2016) version 1.5.8.3. Spectra were searched against the Uniprot database (Arabidopsis thaliana reference proteome, February 167 2017 version), the frequently observed contaminants database embedded in MaxQuant, and the 168 corresponding reversed databases. Trypsin was chosen as the enzyme and two missed cleavages 169 were allowed. Precursor and fragment mass error tolerances were set at their default values. 170 171 Peptide modifications allowed during the search were: Carbamidomethyl (C, fixed), Acetyl (Protein N-term, variable) and Oxidation (M, variable). Minimum number of peptides, razor + 172 unique peptides and unique peptides were set to one. Maximum false discovery rates were set 173 to 0.01 at PSM and protein levels. The match between runs option was activated. Quantification 174 of proteins was based on unique + razor peptides. For sample comparison, intensity-based 175 absolute quantification (iBAQ) values were loaded into ProStaR (Wieczorek et al., 2017). 176 Peptides and proteins identified in the reverse and contaminant databases were discarded. After 177 log2 transformation, iBAQ values were median normalized, and their log2(fold change) 178

between conditions calculated, after missing value imputation (replacing missing value by themean first percentile of both samples).

181

#### 182 Bioinformatics and data processing

Minimum number of peptides, razor + unique peptides and unique peptides were set to one. Maximum false discovery rates were set to 0.01 at PSM and protein levels. The match between runs option was activated. Quantification of proteins was based on unique + razor peptides. For comparison of samples, intensity-based absolute quantification (iBAQ) values were loaded into ProStaR (Wieczorek et al., 2017). Peptides and proteins identified in the reverse and contaminant databases were discarded. After log2 transformation, iBAQ values were median normalized and their log2 (fold change) between conditions calculated.

190

191

#### Electrophoresis and immunoblot analysis

Proteins were SDS-PAGE separated in reducing conditions, and either stained using 192 Coomassie blue (Imperial<sup>TM</sup> Protein Stain, Thermo Scientific) or electroblotted onto 0.45 µm 193 nitrocellulose (Pall Corporation) for immunoblot analysis. Primary antibodies raised against 194 At2-CysPRX (Broin et al., 2002), poplar PRXQ (Rouhier et al., 2004), AtPRXII-B (Bréhelin 195 et al., 2003), AtTRX h3 (Reichheld et al., 2007) and AtGRXS14 (Rey et al., 2017) were used 196 diluted 1:10,000, 1:1000, 1:10,000, 1:10,000 and 1:800, respectively. Antibodies against 197 hyperoxidized 2-CysPRX (LF-PA0004; AbFrontier, Seoul, Korea) were diluted 1:3,000. The 198 serum against spinach RubisCO (kindly provided by Dr. GH Schmid, University of Bielefeld, 199 Germany) was diluted 1:10,000. Bound antibodies were detected using either a goat anti-rabbit 200 secondary antibody coupled to a fluorescent molecule at a dilution of 1:10,000 (Alexa Fluor 201 680, Invitrogen) using the 'Odyssey Infrared Imager' at 680 nm (Licor, Lincoln, NE, USA) or 202 an anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G coupled to alkaline phosphatase (Sigma). 203

204

205

#### Chlorophyll content determination

One-cm diameter leaf disks were taken from well-expanded adult leaves and crushed in 206 1 mL 80% acetone. Following vigorous shaking, overnight storage in the dark at 4°C and 207 centrifugation chlorophyll 208 (14,000)g, 10 min), the content was measured spectrophotometrically, and calculated according to Lichtenthaler (1987). 209

- 210
- 211

### Preparation of adaxial and abaxial leaf epidermis for stomatal counting.

Adaxial (upper) and abaxial (lower) epidermis were prepared according to a protocol 212 derived from the "Tape-Arabidopsis Sandwich" reported by Wu et al., (2009). The upper or 213 lower epidermal surface was stuck on a strip of Time tape (Time Med, IL., USA) while the 214 opposite surface was affixed to a strip of Scotch Crystal tape (3M, Minn., USA). The Scotch 215 216 Crystal tape was then sharply removed, and put in a Petri dish containing 10 mM Tris-Mes buffer pH 6.0, 30 mM KCl to avoid tissue desiccation. Then with the help of a microscope cover 217 slip, the strip has been scraped off to get rid, the best as possible, of mesophyll tissues. Stomata 218 were counted with the help of a Leica LMD6000 microscope. Stomatal index was calculated 219 using the formula S/(E + S), S being the number of stomata per area unit and E the number of 220 221 ordinary epidermal cells in the same area.

- 222
- 223

#### Measurements of stomatal aperture

The abaxial side of half leaf blades from two 4–5-week-old plants of the same genotype grown in standard conditions was stuck on cover slips and peeled. Samples were then placed in Petri dishes containing 20 mL of buffer containing 10 mM MES/Tris pH 6.0, 30 mM KCl. After 30 min in the dark, epidermal peels (except controls) were transferred under light (300  $\mu$ mol photons.m<sup>-2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>) at 22°C for 2.5 h in order to ensure that most stomata were open before treatments. For promotion of closure, 20  $\mu$ L of stock solutions of ABA in ethanol and of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in water were directly diluted in the buffer in contact with peels. Thereafter, samples were incubated in the light for 2.5 h before aperture measurements according to Merlot et al., (2007). To avoid bias results, double blind experiments were performed, so that, experimenters in charge of measurements were not informed of genotype or treatment. Values reported are the means ± SD of two independent experiments, for which 60 aperture widths were measured each time. Error bars represent standard deviations of the means.

- 236
- 237

### **Porometry measurements**

238 Stomatal conductance of fully expanded leaves was measured on 6-week old well drained plants grown in standard conditions. Measurements were carried out using a hand-held 239 AP4 porometer (Delta-T Devices, Cambridge, UK) on the abaxial leaf side in the middle of the 240 241 light period, and two to four hours after watering by flooding. Usually, eight measurements per plant were made on 6 to 12 independent plants per genotype. Due to variations in calibration 242 resulting from slight differences in the moisture of the paper used as a reference, differences 243 were noticed in the level of conductance in WT plants between experiments despite very similar 244 growth and measurement conditions. All experiments and repetitions for characterizing one 245 246 genotype and corresponding WT plants were carried out using the same calibration reference.

247

248

#### Infrared determination of leaf temperature

Plants were cultivated on soil as described above for up to 6 weeks in a dedicated phytotron, in which low relative humidity  $(45\% \pm 5\%)$  and low wind speed were applied the day before infrared thermographic imaging to ensure optimal contrast between lines. Images were acquired using a Thermacam PM250 infrared camera as described by Costa et al., (2015).

253

254 **Results** 

#### 255 Analysis of *TR* gene expression in guard cells by transcriptomics

As a first step, using transcriptomic data from guard and mesophyll cell protoplasts 256 representing a large part of Arabidopsis genes (Yang et al., 2008), we extracted those available 257 for TR genes. Based on relative expression values, we noticed that most TR transcripts are 258 present in both cell types (data not shown), and observed that a number of them displayed a 259 ratio between the two values higher than 2, indicating preferential expression in one cell type. 260 This was noticed for NADPH-Thioredoxin Reductase A (NTRA), which encodes the reducer for 261 cytosolic TRXs and exhibits higher transcript level in guard cells (Fig. S1A). Regarding TRX 262 263 genes (Fig. S1A), we observed that TRXh3 exhibits compared to others the highest expression in guard cells, and a substantially higher transcript level compared to mesophyll cells. Most 264 interestingly, nine other TRX genes (h2, h4, m3, o1, o2, TDX, CLOT, NRX1 and LILIUM-1), 265 266 coding for various typical or unusual isoforms located in the main subcellular compartments, exhibited higher expression in guard cells, while two (h5 and CDSP32) were preferentially 267 expressed in mesophyll cells. Regarding other TR genes (Fig. S1B), we noticed that GRXs S15 268 and *ROXY10*, *PRXII-B* and *GPX2*, and *MSRs A1* and *A4* displayed a transcript abundance higher 269 in guard cells compared to mesophyll cells. To deepen some of these microarray data, we 270 analyzed gene expression by promoter-GUS histochemistry (Fig S2). GUS staining was clearly 271 observed in guard cells of PrTRXh2-, PrTRXh3-, PrPRXII-B- and PrNTRB-GUS lines, 272 confirming the expression of these TR genes in guard cells. 273

- 274
- 275 **Preparation of a guard cell-enriched fraction**

We then investigated *TR* gene expression in guard cells by implementing a proteomic approach. We did not used protoplasts since during preparation, redox homeostasis is dramatically impaired (Papadakis and Roubelakis-Angelakis, 1999), leading to changes in the

expression, abundance, redox status and conformation of TRs. To obtain a fraction enriched in 279 280 guard cells, we treated epidermis from Arabidopsis plants as described in Experimental Procedures. Microscope observations of this fraction revealed the presence of intact guard cells, 281 predominantly broken and open pavement and trichome cells, and some pieces of xylem vessels 282 (data not shown). The presence of chloroplasts served as an indication of cell integrity. 283 Vigorous shearing of leaf tissues and extensive washing of epidermis kept intact only guard 284 cells, which are protected from mechanical constraints due to their cell wall thickness. We 285 therefore assumed that a significant part of proteins in this extract, notably the soluble pool, 286 originates from guard cells. To estimate the enrichment, we counted guard cells in both 287 288 epidermal sides of all leaves of 6-week old plants, measured the sizes of representative guard cells to estimate their average volume, and determined the protein concentrations in whole 289 leaves and in broken epidermis. Based on these measurements (Table S1), the total GC volume 290 291 has been rated at 0.13% of the leaf volume, and assuming that protein concentration (2 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> leaf FW) is identical regardless of cell type, we estimated this content in GC to 0.0026 mg.g<sup>-1</sup>. 292 293 Given that the protein concentration in grinded epidermis was 0.028 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> FW, the inference is that 9.3 % come from guard cells. Therefore, we calculated an enrichment factor of 71 294 (9.3:0.13) in broken epidermis that was thus termed guard cell-enriched (GCE) fraction. Finally, 295 we compared the protein patterns of leaf and GCE fractions by SDS-PAGE (Fig. 1A), and 296 observed clear differences, such as dramatically decreased abundance of RubisCO subunits at 297 50 and 13 kDa in the GCE fraction. This was confirmed in immunoblots, where a much higher 298 band intensity was observed for both subunits in the leaf extract (Fig. 1B). In contrast, the 299 intensity of two bands at 22 and 75 kDa was more elevated in the GCE fraction (Fig. 1A). 300

301

302

303

Proteomic analysis of the GCE fraction and extracted abundance of guard cell actors 304 305 The GCE fraction, along with a whole leaf extract prepared in a similar manner, were analyzed by mass spectrometry-based proteomics, leading to the characterization of 4842 306 proteins identified with two peptides and quantified in at least in one of the two samples, 307 representing 4694 and 4248 proteins in GCE and leaf fractions, respectively (Table S2). To 308 estimate the relative abundance in each sample, we ranked proteins according to their computed 309 intensity-based absolute quantification (iBAQ, Schwanhäusser et al., 2011) values. The iBAQ 310 values were also used to compare the abundance of each protein between GCE and leaf 311 fractions. The functional categorization of the genes encoding the 25 % most abundant proteins 312 313 in each fraction has been determined using the Gene Ontology annotation retrieval tool available on the TAIR site (https://www.arabidopsis.org/tools/go term enrichment.jsp). The 314 comparison uncovered some striking differences (Fig. 2), such as under-representation (-9 to -315 316 16%) of proteins related to plastid, chloroplast and thylakoid functioning in the GCE fraction, consistent with the elimination of photosynthetic mesophyll cells during the preparation. 317

We also noticed enrichment (+ 9%) in the GCE fraction of extracellular and cell wall 318 proteins (Fig. 2), partly due to the presence of many cell phantoms originating from the 319 pavement. With the help of the reports of Irshad et al., (2008), Duruflé et al., (2019) and 320 321 Showalter et al., (2010) that characterized Arabidopsis cell wall proteome using bioinformatic and proteomic approaches, we reckoned 322 proteins contributing to cell wall structure or 322 function (Table S3). A majority (85%) is more abundant in the GCE fraction, 16% being not 323 detected in leaf. Of note, based on microarray data (Yang et al., 2008), more than one third of 324 the genes encoding these proteins were found preferentially expressed in GC (ratio of 325 expression GC/MC higher than 2). Regarding extracellular proteins (Table S3), seven germins 326 and germin-like proteins (GLPs) are more abundant in the GCE fraction, two (GLP1-6 and 327 GLP5-1) being the first and the fifth most abundant proteins, respectively. GLPS are 328

#### Plant, Cell & Environment

glycoproteins associated with the extracellular matrix, encoded by 32 genes in Arabidopsis, and 329 330 involved in responses to osmotic constraints (Li et al., 2016). Among cell wall proteins more abundant in the GCE fraction (Table S3), we noticed the presence of a large number of GDSL-331 type esterases/lipases, 18 out of 45 putative ones, which are notably involved in biotic stress 332 responses (Lai et al., 2017), and of 17 expansins out of the 36 genes identified in Arabidopsis 333 (Lee et al., 2001). Expansing interact with cell wall carbohydrates, cellulose and hemicellulose. 334 Most interestingly, expansin A1, which plays a critical role in stomatal movements (Wei et al., 335 2011; Zhang et al., 2011), is one of the most abundant protein (rank 29) in the GCE fraction. 336 In other respects, Leonhardt et al. (2004) identified 63 genes preferentially expressed in 337 338 guard cells, 25 being present in our analysis. Table S4 shows that only one protein encoded by this set of genes is more represented in the leaf fraction, and that 20 are more abundant in the 339 GCE fraction, eight being specific of this sample. Finally, to further figure out the validity of 340 341 the GCE fraction regarding stomatal functions, we scrutinized the protein list to find out candidates previously described as key players in stomatal movements or development (Table 342 1). Hence, 56 of these proteins were pinpointed, 43 being more abundant (R value > 1.5) in the 343 GCE fraction than in leaf. Consistently, a large majority of genes encoding these proteins are 344 more expressed in guard cells than in mesophyll cells (Table S4) (Yang et al., 2008), and 22 345 were identified in Arabidopsis GC protoplasts (Zhao et al., 2008, 2010). Among the 56 proteins, 346 we identified 12 kinases and phosphatases, 14 ion channels, pumps and transporters, 3 ABA 347 receptors, and 19 enzymes and other proteins acting in osmoregulation in guard cells, in 348 addition to eight effectors involved in stomatal development and patterning. We also identified 349 GRF6 (14-3-3  $\lambda$ ) that is involved in blue light-mediated stomatal opening (Tseng et al., 2012), 350 and present in the GCE fraction at a high level (top 5%). 14-3-3 proteins (GRFs) are regulators 351 of stomatal movements (Cotelle and Leonhardt, 2016), through the binding of phosphorylated 352 client proteins such as phototropins, plasma membrane H<sup>+</sup>-ATPases or ion channels. In our 353

analysis, we detected 10 out of the 13 members of this family, all being more abundant in the GCE fraction (Table S5). Taken together, these data indicate that grinding and extensively washing epidermis is as an easy way to concentrate guard cell proteins as evidenced by the high enrichment factor and the exclusive presence in the GCE fraction of numerous proteins known to function in stomatal movements. This approach can be thus used for identifying new proteins, the activity of which could be determinant for guard cell functioning.

- 360
- 361

#### Abundance of TRs in the GCE fraction

We thus analyzed the protein list from the GCE fraction to retrieve all TRs, and ranked 362 363 them according to extracted abundance (Table 2). Thirty-nine TRs, out of the approximately 100 genes belonging to these enzyme families in Arabidopsis thaliana, were identified. Most 364 interestingly, we observed the presence of various PRXs (PRXQ, 2-CysPRXA, PRXII-B, 365 366 PRXII-F) in the first decile, and of other TR types (NTRA, PRXII-E, TRX h3, GPX6, 2-CysPRXB, MSRA2, NRX1) in the first quartile. Numerous TRs (NTRB, MSRA4, GPX1, 367 GPX2, TRX z, TRX h2, TRX m2, NTRC, TRX f1) were present in the first half of the ranking 368 list. Then, we compared the relative abundance of TRs in GCE and leaf fractions using the R 369 parameter (Table 2). When selecting those displaying a R value superior to 1.5, we pointed 19 370 TRs, many being much more abundant in the GCE fraction than in leaf, notably PRXII-B, 371 NTRA, TRX h3, MSRA2, NRX1, NTRB, GPX2, TRX h2, GPX3 and TRX CxxS1. Of note, 372 five TRs were only detected in the GCE fraction, namely TRX z, TRXh9, GRXS15, TDX and 373 TRX Lilium 6. In other respects, we also searched for the TRs relatively more abundant in the 374 leaf fraction. We found that all those having a very low R value (< 0.1) are involved in the 375 regulation of photosynthesis or in the maintenance of plastidial redox homeostasis. This is the 376 case of TRXs m1, m2, m4, f1, CDSP32, HCF164, and MSRB2. By crossing transcriptomic and 377 proteomic data (Fig. S1, Table 2), we observed good consistency between the two approaches. 378

Indeed, among the 17 *TR* genes displaying preferential expression in GC, 11 were identified by proteomics and nine encode proteins more abundant in the GCE fraction. Finally, by comparing with the pioneer proteomic works on guard cell protoplasts, in which a much lower number of proteins (*ca* 1000) was identified, we noticed that all TRs detected in these reports (Zhao et al., 2008; 2010) are present in the GCE fraction (Table 2). Altogether, these findings lead us to conclude that numerous TRs are present in guard cells, several of them being very abundant.

385

386

#### Western blot analysis of TR abundance in the GCE fraction

We then assessed the abundance of some TRs in the GCE and leaf fractions by Western 387 blot analysis (Fig. 1B). We noticed a much higher amount of TRX h3 in the GCE fraction than 388 in leaf, and observed relative abundances of 2-CysPRXs, PRXQ, PRX-IIE and PRXII-B in the 389 two fractions consistent with proteomic data. Further, we noticed comparable abundance in the 390 two fractions of GRXS14, which was not detected in the proteomic analysis. Most interestingly, 391 substantial changes in the redox status of 2-CysPRXs and GRXS14 were detected in the GCE 392 fraction. Indeed, while the level of hyperoxidized 2-CysPRXs was relatively low in leaf, as 393 already observed (Cerveau et al. 2016b; Cerveau et al. 2019), this form was much more 394 abundant in the GCE fraction. Regarding GRXS14, we previously reported that the GRX has 395 two redox forms showing differential migration (Rey et al., 2017). Whereas only one redox 396 397 GRXS14 form was detected in leaf proteins, two specific bands were revealed in the GCE fraction. These data reveal that TRs could display specific redox features in guard cells 398 compared to photosynthetic cells. 399

400

401

#### Phenotype of mutants impaired in the expression of TR genes

To gain further insights about TR functions in stomatal biology, we investigated the phenotype of mutants modified for the expression of *PRXQ*, *2-CysPRXs*, *NTRA and NTRB*, *TRXh3, TRXo1, GRXS17, GRXS14* or *GRXS16*. These lines were selected based on protein
abundance in guard cells, mutant availability (Reichheld et al., 2007; Knuesting et al., 2015;
Cerveau et al., 2016b; Rey et al., 2017; Calderon et al., 2018), bibliographical data (Hu et al,
2017; Calderon et al, 2018; Mao et al, 2018), and preliminary experiments. Regarding *prxq* and *trxh3* mutants, gene disruption was validated at the protein level (Fig. S3).

409 First, we investigated the growth of mutants in standard short-day, light, temperature and 410 watering conditions, in which stomata function in an optimal manner. Growth was characterized by measuring the rosette fresh weight of 5- to 6-week old plants (Fig. S4). We previously 411 reported that Arabidopsis plants impaired for both GRXS14 and GRXS16 expression displayed 412 reduced growth (Fig. S5), whereas those over-expressing GRXS14 exhibited higher rosette 413 weight (Rey et al., 2017). Plants deficient in GRXS17 expression did not exhibit any growth 414 phenotype in short photoperiod (Fig. S4C) as already observed (Knuesting et al., 2015). 415 416 Regarding lines affected in plastidial PRXs, we did not observe any difference for prxq as reported for another mutant (Lamkemeyer et al. 2006), but noticed that 2-cysprxa 2-cysprxb 417 plants displayed substantially reduced growth (Figs. S4A, S5), consistently with the reports of 418 Pulido et al., (2010) and Awad et al., (2015). A somewhat reduced growth was noticed for ntra 419 ntrb plants (Figs. S4B, S5) as in Reichheld et al., (2007), and trxh3 and trxo1 plants displayed 420 421 slightly higher, but non-significantly different, rosette weights in agreement with Park et al. (2009) on one *trxh3* line, and Ortiz-Espin et al. (2017) on two *trxo1* mutants (Fig. S4D-E). 422

In addition, we measured the chlorophyll content and observed that most lines displayed a level similar to that in WT (Fig. S6), except the *2-cysprxa 2-cysprxb* mutant, which exhibited pale green leaf phenotype (Fig. S5) and chlorophyll content reduced by *ca* 20% (Fig. S6) as observed by Pulido et al., (2010). In other respects, the two lines over-expressing *GRXS14* were previously reported to display a somewhat reduced pigment content (Rey et al., 2017).

428

429

#### Stomatal phenotype of mutants impaired in the cytosolic NTR/TRX system

430 We then characterized TR-modified lines with regard to stomatal functioning, and first investigated the phenotype of those deficient in cytosolic NADPH-thioredoxin reductases A 431 and B, TRXs h3 or o1. Beforehand, we determined the density and number of stomata in relation 432 with the number of epidermal cells (stomatal index), since variations in these parameters can 433 lead to differences in leaf capacity for gas exchange. We observed very close values of density 434 (ca 270 per mm<sup>2</sup>) and index (0.16) in WT, ntra ntrb plants, trxh3 and trxo1 mutants (Fig. 3A-435 B; Fig S7A-B), indicating no change in stomatal differentiation and development in the absence 436 of cytosolic NTRs, TRXs h3 or o1. 437

438 Porometry measurements were conducted to evaluate the stomatal conductance by measuring the rate of water efflux from the leaf abaxial side of plants grown in optimal 439 conditions. We observed that the mutant lacking both cytosolic NTRA and NTRB displayed a 440 significantly higher conductance compared to WT (182.4  $\pm$  10.5 mmoles H<sub>2</sub>O.m<sup>-2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup> vs 162.7 441  $\pm$  16.9) (Fig. 3C). No variation in stomatal conductance was detected in *trxh3* and *trx o1* plants 442 (Fig S8A-C). We then approached the stomatal functioning in 6-week old plants cultivated in 443 standard conditions by evaluating leaf temperature using infrared (IR) imaging, since leaf 444 cooling is ensured by opening of stomata. To validate the approach, we used the ost2-2D (open 445 446 stomata 2) dominant mutant that displays stomatal closure defects due to constitutive H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase activity (Merlot et al., 2007). IR imaging revealed a strong difference in the leaf 447 temperature of this mutant compared to WT. In the ost2-2D mutant, most leaves appear 448 purple/blue corresponding to a temperature in the range of 16 to 16.5°C, whereas in the WT the 449 450 leaf color (green to yellow) reflected a temperature in the range of 17.2 to 17.8°C (Fig. 3D). This is consistent with constitutive wide stomatal opening and constant cooling in ost2-2D 451 452 plants. Regarding mutants lacking either TRX h3 or TRX o1, no difference in leaf temperature was observed (data not shown). When examining ntra ntrb plants, we observed that their 453

temperature was lower than that of WT, as evidenced by the much higher number of red to
yellow leaves in the latter (Fig. 3E). Based on the color scale, the difference could be evaluated
to *ca* 0.5°C. Taken collectively, these data reveal that cytosolic NTRs that are highly abundant
in the GCE fraction (Table 2) participate in stomatal functioning.

- 458
- 459

#### Stomatal phenotype of lines deficient in plastidial PRXs

As previously shown (Table 2), plastidial PRXs are well represented in the GCE fraction, 460 PRXQ being the most abundant TR and 2-CysPRXA and B present in the top-ten proteins. We 461 thus investigated the phenotype of lines lacking these PRXs. Compared to WT, no difference 462 463 was observed in either stomatal density or index in both 2cysprxa 2cysprxb and prxq plants (Fig. 4A-B). In standard watering conditions, a significantly lower stomatal conductance was 464 measured in those deficient in 2-Cys-PRXs (ca 220 mmoles H<sub>2</sub>O.m<sup>-2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup> vs 260 in WT), while 465 466 a slight non-significant decrease was noticed in *prxq* plants (Fig. 4C). When using thermal infrared imaging, we noticed that many leaves of the mutant lacking both 2-CysPRXs displayed 467 red to yellow color corresponding to a temperature between 17 and 18°C, whereas most WT 468 leaves were green to red with a temperature between 16.5 and 17.5°C (Fig. 4D). With regard to 469 prxq plants, we noticed no substantial temperature difference compared to WT (Fig. 4E). 470

471

#### 472 Stomatal phenotype of lines deficient in type-II GRXs

We then investigated the phenotype of Arabidopsis lines affected in the expression of type-II GRXs based on the presence of cytosolic GRXS17 and plastidial GRXS16 in the GCE fraction (Table 2), and on the report of Hu et al. (2017) showing a stomatal phenotype in *GRXS17*-silenced rice plants. The Arabidopsis line deficient in GRXS17 did not exhibit any variation in stomatal density and index (Fig. S7A-B) in short-day conditions, in which the

#### Plant, Cell & Environment

478 mutant has no obvious phenotype (Knuesting et al., 2015). No noticeable change in either
479 stomatal conductance (Fig. S8D) or leaf temperature (data not shown) was observed.

We performed the analysis of lines modified for the expression of the two genes encoding plastidial type-II GRXs, GRXS14 and GRXS16 (Rey et al., 2017). First, we examined knockout mutants for *GRXS14*, lines co-suppressed for *GRXS16* (Rey et al., 2017), and lines overexpressing one of the two GRXs. No change was observed in the density and stomatal index of any of these lines (Fig. 5A-B: Fig. S7C-D), nor in the stomatal conductance (Fig. 5C; Fig. S8E-G) and leaf temperature (Fig. 5D, data not shown).

Finally, we analyzed the phenotype in standard growth conditions of two independent lines, 486 487 termed KI1 and KI2, knockout for GRXS14 and impaired for GRXS16 expression due to RNAinterference (Rey et al., 2017). Compared to WT, both lines did not exhibit any significant 488 variation in stomatal density and index (Fig. 5A-B). Interestingly, substantially reduced 489 490 stomatal conductance was recorded in the two KI1 and KI2 lines compared to WT (ca 230 and 240 mmoles H<sub>2</sub>O.m<sup>-2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, vs 280 in WT) (Fig. 5C). Consistently, when imaging 491 492 leaf temperature, we observed higher temperature in both lines (Fig. 5D-E). This was particularly obvious in the KI1 line, where all leaves, particularly the youngest ones, displayed 493 a red to yellow color corresponding to a temperature in the range of or higher than 18°C, 494 whereas most WT leaves exhibited green to red color with a temperature lower than 17.5°C. 495

496

#### 497 Stomatal responses to ABA and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in lines deficient in thiol reductases

498 Considering that ABA and  $H_2O_2$  are major actors promoting stomatal closure, we 499 investigated whether mutants deficient in thiol reductases showed altered sensitivity to these 500 compounds. Stomatal apertures were measured in light-pretreated epidermal peels, incubated 501 for 2.5 h on buffer containing 0.5 to 100  $\mu$ M ABA or 0.01 to 1 mM  $H_2O_2$  (Fig. 6). We observed 502 that at the lowest ABA concentration (0.5  $\mu$ M), *2cysprxa 2cysprxb*, KI1 and KI2 plants were

much more reactive compared to WT and *ntra ntrb* plants. Indeed, whereas the latter displayed 503 504 no change compared to light treatment alone, stomatal aperture was significantly decreased by ca 24% in 2cysprxa 2cysprxb, KI1 and KI2 (Fig. 6A-B). At 1 µM, this differential behavior 505 tended to diminish since a significant difference was observed only between WT and 2cvsprxa 506 2cysprxb, with aperture decreases of 20 and 40%, respectively. No difference among all lines 507 was noticed at higher hormone concentrations. When epidermal peels were exposed to  $H_2O_2$ 508 substantial differences were observed for 2cysprxa 2cysprxb, KI1 and KI2 lines, which clearly 509 displayed enhanced sensitivity. In the three lines, stomatal aperture at  $10 \,\mu\text{M}\,\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  was reduced 510 by ca 35% and significantly lower compared to that recorded in WT and ntra ntrb plants (-511 512 10%) (Fig. 6 C-D). Higher susceptibility was also recorded at 100 µM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for the three mutant lines, but not at the highest concentration (1 mM). Altogether, these data indicate that plastidial 513 thiol reductases modulate ABA- and  $H_2O_2$ -dependent signaling pathways controlling stomatal 514 7.Stri 515 closure.

516

#### Discussion 517

#### Presence and abundance of TRs in guard cells 518

So far, the knowledge about the abundance of TRs in guard cells is limited and based on 519 the proteome of guard cell protoplasts in Arabidopsis thaliana and Brassica napus (Zhao et al., 520 2008; 2010; Zhu et al, 2009; 2010). We attempted to strengthen this knowledge by taking 521 advantage of the great advance in mass spectrometry-based proteomics made since. To avoid 522 redox biases and changes due to protoplast preparation, we implemented another approach 523 using broken and extensively washed epidermis to get a fraction highly enriched in guard cells. 524 The enrichment of this fraction was validated by the relatively high abundance of numerous 525 key actors involved in stomatal functioning (Table 1). With regard to TRs, the proteomic 526 analysis of the GCE fraction highlighted several findings: i) among about 4700 proteins 527

identified, 39 TRs belonging to the TRX, GRX, PRX, GPX and MSR families are present; ii) 528 529 ca half of them are relatively more abundant in the GCE fraction than in leaf. iii) NADPHthioredoxin reductases A and B, the electron source for extra-plastidial TRXs, are present in 530 the first quartile when ranking proteins as a function of abundance within the GCE fraction 531 (Table 2); iv) six PRXs (PRXQ the most abundant TR, the two 2-CysPRXs and three PRX-IIs: 532 B, E and F) out of the nine in Arabidopsis are also present in the first quartile of the list. Most 533 534 importantly, these proteomic data are in accordance with transcriptomics of guard cell protoplasts, that revealed higher transcript levels for various TR types compared to mesophyll 535 cells (Fig. S1). Further, all the TRs (13) identified in the first proteomic analyses of guard cell 536 537 protoplasts in Arabidopsis thaliana and Brassica napus (Zhao et al., 2008; 2010; Zhu et al., 2009) are present in the GCE fraction, notably four PRXs (2-CysPRXA and B, PRXII-E and 538 PRXII-F). Thereby, these reports and the present work strongly support a key contribution of 539 540 TRs in the functioning of guard cells. Numerous TRs are present in both GCE and leaf fractions (Table S2), indicating that they might also participate in long-distance signaling pathways 541 originating from mesophyll and controlling stomatal movements in relation with photosynthesis 542 level (Lawson, 2009). Nonetheless, our data revealing high abundance of many TRs in guard 543 cells and phenotypes for mutants impaired for several of them, give strong reliability to essential 544 545 roles of these reductases within the stomatal signaling network and machinery.

546

#### 547 Participation of cytosolic TRs in stomatal functioning

We observed that plants deficient in NTRA and NTRB display increased stomatal opening in standard growth conditions as evidenced by porometry measurements and thermal infrared imaging (Fig. 3). In other respects, bioassay experiments carried out on epidermal peels did not reveal any substantial aperture change in this line compared to WT in response to ABA or  $H_2O_2$ treatments applied over a relatively short period of time (2.5 h) (Fig. 6). Both NTRs ensure the

reduction of cytosolic and mitochondrial TRXs, such as h and o isoforms. Nonetheless, 553 554 Arabidopsis mutant plants lacking either cytosolic TRX h3 or mitochondrial TRX o1 did not show any noticeable change in stomatal functioning (Fig. S8). Interestingly, da Fonseca-Pereira 555 et al., (2019) reported that *ntra ntrb* and *trxo1* plants displayed faster recovery after two cycles 556 of drought episodes in relation with higher stomatal conductance. This is consistent with our 557 data unveiling higher conductance in the mutant lacking cytosolic and mitochondrial NTR 558 559 systems. Therefore, the role of the NTR/TRX system in stomata might be of importance following drought stress. In other respects, Calderon et al. (2018) reported higher stomatal 560 density and index in the two *trxo1* mutant lines compared to WT, revealing a possible role of 561 562 these TRXs in stomatal development. When characterizing these mutants, we did not notice such a phenotype. (Fig. S7). It is worth mentioning that in this study, the density and index 563 ranges (ca 120.mm<sup>-2</sup> and 0.45) are very different from ours. This might originate from different 564 565 culture conditions and measurements performed at distinct developmental stages in the two works. Of note, the *trxo1* lines also displayed reduced water loss upon high salinity, possibly 566 due to altered stomatal functioning (Calderon et al., 2018). Finally, da Fonseca-Pereira et al., 567 (2020) reported that Arabidopsis mutants lacking TRX h2, which is located in both cytosol and 568 mitochondria, do not exhibit any growth phenotype in short day and low light intensity, but 569 570 decreased stomatal conductance and higher water use efficiency. These data indicate that the cytosolic NTR/TRX system could fine tune guard cell functioning in relation with light 571 parameters via pathways remaining to be unveiled (Fig. 7). 572

This system is likely to fulfill several functions through redox interaction with known actors of the signaling network regulating stomatal movements. Thus, cytosolic TRs might control the redox status of OST1/SnRK2.6, BAK1, SnRK2.4 and CPK21, which are likely prone to thiol-based modifications as they display cysteine thiol redox switches modifying their activity *in vitro*, or interact *in vitro* with TRs (Bender et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2015; Zhu et al. 578 2017; Ma et al. 2018). This hypothesis is further supported by the identification of *ca* 80 579 potential TRX targets in a fraction enriched in guard cells (Zhang et al., 2016), and of numerous 580 proteins harboring redox sensitive Cys in *Brassica napus* guard cells (Zhu et al., 2014). One 581 major challenge will consist of identifying their reductants among TRs and determining their 582 physiological functions in stomatal movements (Fig. 7).

- 583
- 584

#### Participation of plastidial TRs in stomatal functioning

Plants deficient in type-II GRXs or 2-Cys PRXs exhibited decreased stomatal opening in 585 optimal growth conditions as shown by porometry and thermal infrared imaging (Figs. 4-5), 586 and enhanced stomatal closure in epidermal peels treated with ABA or H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 6). H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is 587 an essential redox signaling messenger promoting closure, and likely enters the cytoplasm of 588 guard cells (Rodrigues et al., 2017) following the ABA-induced ROS burst in apoplast (Kwak 589 590 et al., 2003). Our data clearly reveal that GRXS14, GRXS16 and 2-CysPRXs limit ABA- and ROS-induced stomatal closure (Fig. 6). Strikingly, these reductases are located in plastids, 591 highlighting the involvement of this compartment in stomatal functioning. This is fully 592 consistent with the hypothesis of redox signals originating from chloroplasts in the network 593 controlling stomatal movements (Chen and Gallie, 2004; Song et al, 2014), H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> accumulation 594 595 in chloroplasts of guard cells being even considered as one of the earliest hallmarks of stomatal closure (Sierla et al, 2016). The involvement of subcellular compartments is further supported 596 by the participation of mitochondrial TRX o1 in guard cell functioning (Calderon et al., 2018), 597 and the presence of numerous organelle TRs in the GCE fraction (Table 2). Plastidial and 598 mitochondrial TRs play master roles in energy generation and consumption (Schurmann and 599 Buchanan, 2008; Daloso et al., 2015), and are thus probably needed in the regulation and 600 coordination of the highly active metabolism in guard cells during stomatal movements. 601

Plastidial GRXS14 and S16 might modulate stomatal movements via the control of Cys 602 603 redox status in partner proteins that participate in ROS-related transduction pathways inducing closure (Fig. 7). Consistent with this hypothesis, another GRX is able to inhibit in vitro the 604 activity of BAK1 via glutathionylation (Bender et al., 2015). So far, very little is known in vivo 605 about the participation of GRXs in stomatal functioning, except for GRXS17, which also 606 belongs to class-II and is located in cytosol and nucleus. Silencing of its expression in rice is 607 608 associated with increased stomatal closure (Hu et al., 2017). Moreover, GRXS17-deficent lines are more tolerant to water deficit (Hu et al., 2017). However, when investigating the stomatal 609 phenotype of an Arabidopsis grxs17 line using porometry and thermal imaging, we did not 610 611 notice any difference with WT in standard conditions (Fig. S8 and data not shown), indicating environmental and/or species influence on the role of the GRX. 612

The Arabidopsis line lacking plastidial 2-CysPRXs exhibited a marked stomatal phenotype 613 614 (Figs. 4, 6), which might underlie, at least partially, its decreased growth (Fig. S4) due to reduced CO<sub>2</sub> supply to photosynthetic cells. It is worth mentioning that this pleiotropic mutant 615 also displays less chlorophyll (Figs. S5-S6) and increased susceptibility to photooxidative stress 616 (Awad et al., 2015). Note that deficiency and overexpression of 2-CysPRX in rice lead to 617 enhanced and decreased stomatal opening, respectively (Mao et al., 2017), suggesting that this 618 619 peroxidase plays distinct roles in stomata of monocotyledons and dicotyledons. 2-Cys PRXs are considered as a central redox buffer in plastid since they are efficient scavengers of 620 hydrogen and organic peroxides (Liebthal et al., 2018; Cerveau et al., 2019). Thus, they are 621 prime candidates to sense local changes in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content in guard cells. This function could take 622 place in the transduction pathway involving H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and promoting stomatal closure. Since H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-623 induced closure is strongly enhanced in the mutant lacking both 2-Cys PRXs (Fig. 6), we can 624 hypothesize that these peroxidases directly mitigate, via scavenging, the peroxide signal 625 intensity leading to closure (Fig. 7). Further, many recent lines of evidence revealed that these 626

enzymes achieve essential roles in redox signaling processes through the control of thiol switch 627 in partner proteins (Rhee and Woo, 2011; Liebthal et al., 2018). Accordingly, Stöcker at al. 628 (2017) reported that 2-CysPRXs enable rapid and sensitive thiol oxidation in various protein 629 types at the expense of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. In plants, 2-CysPRXs play a key role in Calvin cycle deactivation 630 at night via oxidation of TRX partners (Yoshida et al., 2018). Further, 2-Cys PRXs interact with 631 a large number of potentially redox-regulated proteins (Cerveau et al., 2016a; Liebthal et al., 632 2020). Some such as  $\beta$ -amylases, which are redox-regulated enzymes involved in starch 633 degradation, very likely participate in osmotic adjustment during stomata opening (Valerio et 634 635 al., 2011; Horrer et al., 2016). Thus, we can presume that similarly to their role in photosynthesis regulation, 2-Cys PRXs participate in the daytime control of starch degradation, 636 which drives light-induced stomatal opening (Horrer et al., 2016). Such a function might 637 underlie the phenotype observed in whole plants (Fig. 4). To conclude, plastidial 2-Cys PRXs 638 are likely to accomplish several signaling functions in relation with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> level in guard cells 639 (Fig. 7). This hypothesis is further supported by the much higher level of protein hyperoxidation 640 in the GCE fraction compared to leaf (Fig. 1B). Cys hyperoxidation to a sulfinic acid form is 641 reversible thanks to the action of sulfiredoxin (Rey et al., 2007), and leads based on the 642 floodgate theory to local H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> accumulation and initiation of signaling pathways (Poole et al., 643 2011). Finally, 2-CysPRXs possess several phosphorylation sites (Liebthal et al., 2018) 644 susceptible to modulate peroxidase activity as shown in its human counterpart (Woo et al., 645 2010) and possibly underlying interplays between redox and phosphorylation transduction 646 pathways, which are essential in stomatal movements (Kim et al., 2010). 647

648

```
649 Conclusions
```

The present work provides evidence of altered stomatal functioning in plants deficient for
 several TR types. In Arabidopsis lines lacking class-II GRXs or 2-CysPRXs, the lower stomatal

conductance is associated with reduced growth. However, this cannot be regarded as a general 652 653 rule since plants deficient in NTRs A and B concomitantly exhibit reduced growth (Fig. S4) and more open stomata (Fig. 3). Taking collectively, the few works reporting a stomatal 654 phenotype resulting from TR deficiency (Hu et al, 2017; Calderon et al, 2018; Mao et al, 2018) 655 and our data highlight the complexity of TR roles in stomata that very likely depend on 656 environmental conditions, developmental stage, and species. This work clearly unveils the 657 658 participation of plastidial TRs in signaling transduction pathways controlling stomatal closure, and opens new avenues of research to investigate the roles of thiol redox switch in stomatal 659 functioning. In particular, it will be essential to identify TR physiological partners in guard 660 661 cells, and unravel their integration within the hormone- and ROS-related transduction pathways regulating stomatal movements in response to abiotic environmental stimuli, but also biotic 662 ones, since stomata participate in immune defense mechanisms (Melotto et al., 2006; Montillet 663 664 and Hirt, 2013). Consistently Pang et al., (2020) recently reported changes in the expression of several *TRX* and *PRX* genes in a GCE fraction following treatment with a bacterial pathogen. 665

666

#### 667 Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to the "Phytotec" platform (CEA, DRF, BIAM) for technical assistance 668 with growth chambers, S. CHIARENZA and N. BROUSSE-POCHON for assistance in 669 growing plants, W. SAINT-AUBIN for technical assistance in experiments, N. BECUWE for 670 molecular characterization of mutants, Dr. I. REITER (CNRS, ECCOREV, O3HP) for 671 equipment supply and advice in porometry experiments, Dr. V. HINOUX (University of 672 Perpignan) for participation in the trxh3 mutant characterization, Prof. N. ROUHIER 673 (University of Lorraine) for valuable project discussion and M.L. REY for help in formatting 674 the manuscript. D. RONDET acknowledges financial support for a PhD grant (CIFRE contract 675 n° 2014/0798) between CEA and the NIXE Company (Sophia-Antipolis, France), and is 676

grateful to Dr. R. CANAGUIER for helpful discussion. This study is set within the framework
of the "Laboratoires d'Excellence (LabEx)" TULIP (ANR-10-LABX-41). Proteomic
experiments were partly supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ProFI grant ANR10-INBS-08-01).

#### 681 References

- Awad J., Stotz H.U., Fekete A., Krischke M., Engert C., Havaux M., Berger S. & Mueller M.J.
- 683 (2015). 2-cysteine peroxiredoxins and thylakoid ascorbate peroxidase create a water-water
- cycle that is essential to protect the photosynthetic apparatus under high light stress conditions. *Plant Physiology*, 167, 1592-1603.
- Bashandy T., Guilleminot J., Vernoux T., Caparros-Ruiz D., Ljung K., Meyer Y. & Reichheld
- 687 J.P. (2010). Interplay between the NADP-linked thioredoxin and glutathione systems in
- Arabidopsis auxin signaling. *The Plant Cell*, 22, 376-391.
- Bender K.W., Wang X., Cheng G.B., Kim H.S., Zielinski R.E. & Huber S.C. (2015).
- 690 Glutaredoxin AtGRXC2 catalyses inhibitory glutathionylation of Arabidopsis BRI1-associated
- receptor-like kinase 1 (BAK1) *in vitro*. *Biochemical Journal*, 467, 399-413.
- Berry J.A., Beerling D.J. & Franks P.J. (2010). Stomata: key players in the earth system, past
  and present. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 13, 233-240.
- Bréhélin C., Meyer E.H., de Souris J.P., Bonnard G. & Meyer Y. (2003). Resemblance and
  dissemblance of Arabidopsis type II peroxiredoxins: similar sequences for divergent gene
  expression, protein localization, and activity. *Plant Physiology*, 132, 2045-2057.
- Broin M., Cuiné S., Eymery F. & Rey P. (2002). The plastidic 2-cysteine peroxiredoxin is a
  target for a thioredoxin involved in the protection of the photosynthetic apparatus against
  oxidative damage. *The Plant Cell*, 14, 1417-1432.
- 700 Calderón A., Sánchez-Guerrero A., Ortiz-Espín A., Martínez-Alcalá I., Camejo D., Jiménez A.
- & Sevilla F. (2018). Lack of mitochondrial thioredoxin o1 is compensated by antioxidant
  components under salinity in *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 164, 251267.
- Cerveau D., Henri P., Blanchard L. & Rey P. (2019). Variability in the redox status of plant 2Cys peroxiredoxins in relation to species and light cycle. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 70,
  5003-5016.
- 707 Cerveau D., Kraut A., Stotz H.U., Mueller M.J., Couté Y. & Rey P. (2016a). Characterization
- of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* 2-Cys peroxiredoxin interactome. *Plant Science*, 252, 30–41.

- 709 Cerveau D., Ouahrani D., Marok M.A., Blanchard L. & Rey P. (2016b). Physiological
- relevance of plant 2-Cys peroxiredoxin overoxidation level and oligomerization status. *Plant,*
- 711 *Cell and Environment,* 39, 103–119.
- 712 Chen Z. & Gallie D.R. (2004). The ascorbic acid redox state controls guard cell signaling and
- stomatal movement. *The Plant Cell*, 16, 1143-1162.
- Costa J.M., Monnet F., Jannaud D., Leonhardt N., Ksas B., Reiter I.M., Pantin F. & Genty B.
- 715 (2015). Open all night long: the dark side of stomatal control. *Plant Physiology*, 167, 289-294.
- 716 Cotelle V. & Leonhardt N. (2016). 14-3-3 Proteins in Guard Cell Signaling. Frontiers in Plant
- 717 Science, 6, 1210. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.01210
- 718 Courteille A., Vesa S., Sanz-Barrio R., Cazalé A.C., Becuwe-Linka N., Farran I., Havaux M.,
- 719 Rey P. & Rumeau D. (2013). Thioredoxin m4 controls photosynthetic alternative electron
- pathways in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology*, 161, 508-520.
- 721 Daloso D. M., Müller K., Obata T., Florian A., Tohge T., Bottcher A., Riondet C., Bariat L.,
- 722 Carrari F., Nunes-Nesi A., Buchanan B.B., Reichheld J.P., Araújo W.L., & Fernie A.R. (2015).
- 723 Thioredoxin, a master regulator of the tricarboxylic acid cycle in plant
- mitochondria. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, 112, E1392–E1400.
- 725 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1424840112
- 726 Day A.M., Brown J.D., Taylor S.R., Rand J.D., Morgan B.A. & Veal E.A. (2012). Inactivation
- 727 of a peroxiredoxin by hydrogen peroxide is critical for thioredoxin-mediated repair of oxidized
- proteins and cell survival. *Molecular Cell*, 45, 398-408.
- Delaunay A., Pflieger D., Barrault M.B., Vinh J. & Toledano M.B. (2002). A thiol peroxidase
  is an H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> receptor and redox-transducer in gene activation. *Cell*, 111, 471-481.
- 731 Duruflé H., Ranocha P., Balliau T., Dunand C. & Jamet E. (2019). Transcriptomic & cell wall
- 732 proteomic datasets of rosettes and floral stems from five Arabidopsis thaliana ecotypes grown
- at optimal or sub-optimal temperature. *Data Brief.* 27, 104581. doi: 10.1016/j.dib.2019.104581
- 734 Farhat D.C., Swale C., Dard C., Cannella D., Ortet P., Barakat M., Sindikubwabo F., Belmudes
- 735 L., De Bock P.J., Couté Y., Bougdour A. & Hakimi M.A. (2020). A MORC-driven
- 736 transcriptional switch controls Toxoplasma developmental trajectories and sexual commitment.
- 737 Nature Microbiology, 5, 570-583.

- da Fonseca-Pereira P., Daloso D.M., Gago J., de Oliveira Silva F.M., Condori-Apfata J.A.,
- 739 Florez-Sarasa I., Tohge T., Reichheld J.P., Nunes-Nesi A., Fernie A.R. & Araújo W.L. (2019).
- 740 The mitochondrial thioredoxin system contributes to the metabolic response under drought
- episodes in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell Physiology*, 60 213-229. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcy194
- da Fonseca-Pereira P., Souza P.V.L., Hou L.Y., Schwab S., Geigenberger P., Nunes-Nesi A.,
- 743 Timm S., Fernie A.R., Thormählen I., Araújo W.L. & Daloso D.M. (2020). Thioredoxin h2
- contributes to the redox regulation of mitochondrial photorespiratory metabolism. *Plant, Cell*
- 745 and Environment, 43, 188-208. doi: 10.1111/pce.13640
- Foyer C.H. & Noctor G. (2016). Stress-triggered redox signalling: what's in pROSpect? *Plant,*
- 747 *Cell and Environment*, 39, 951-964.
- 748 Geigenberger P., Thormählen I., Daloso D.M. & Fernie A.R. (2017). The unprecedented
- versatility of the plant thioredoxin system. *Trends Plant Science*, 22, 249-262.
- 750 Hägglund P., Finnie C., Yano H., Shahpiri A., Buchanan B.B., Henriksen A. & Svensson B.
- 751 (2016). Seed thioredoxin h. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, 1864, 974-982.
- Hetherington A.M. & Woodward F.I. (2003). The role of stomata in sensing and driving
  environmental change. *Nature*, 424, 901-908.
- Horrer D., Flütsch S., Pazmino D., Matthews J.S., Thalmann M., Nigro A., Leonhardt N.,
- Lawson T. & Santelia D. (2016). Blue light induces a distinct starch degradation pathway in
- 756 guard cells for stomatal opening. *Current Biology*, 26, 362-370. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2015.12.036
- Hu Y., Wu Q., Peng Z., Sprague S.A., Wang W., Park J., Akhunov E., Jagadish K.S.V., Nakata
- 758 P.A., Cheng N., Hirschi K.D. White F.F. & Park S. (2017). Silencing of OsGRXS17 in rice
- improves drought stress tolerance by modulating ROS accumulation and stomatal closure.
- 760 Scientific Reports, 7, 15950. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-16230-7
- Irshad M., Canut H., Borderies G., Pont-Lezica R. & Jamet E. (2008). A new picture of cell
  wall protein dynamics in elongating cells of Arabidopsis thaliana: confirmed actors and
  newcomers. *BMC Plant Biology*, 8, 94. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-8-94
- Jahan M.S., Ogawa K., Nakamura Y., Shimoishi Y., Mori I.C. & Murata Y. (2008). Deficient
   glutathione in guard cells facilitates abscisic acid-induced stomatal closure but does not affect
- ref light-induced stomatal opening. *Bioscience, Biotechnology and Biochemistry*, 72, 2795-2798.

- Kim T.H., Böhmer M., Hu H., Nishimura N. & Schroeder J.I. (2010). Guard cell signal transduction network: advances in understanding abscisic acid,  $CO_2$ , and  $Ca^{2+}$  signaling. *Annual*
- transduction network: advances in understanding abscisic acid,  $CO_2$ , and  $Ca^{2+}$  signaling. A
- 769 *Review of Plant Biology*, 61, 561-591.
- 770 Knuesting J., Riondet C., Maria C., Kruse I., Bécuwe N., König N., Berndt C., Tourrette S.,
- Guilleminot-Montoya J., Herrero E., Gaymard F., Balk J., Belli G., Scheibe R., Reichheld J.P.,
- Rouhier N. & Rey P. (2015). Arabidopsis glutaredoxin S17 and its partner NF-YC11/NC2 $\alpha$
- contribute to maintenance of the shoot apical meristem under long-day photoperiod. *Plant*
- 774 *Physiology*, 167, 1643-1658.
- Kollist H., Nuhkat, M. & Roelfsema M.R. (2014). Closing gaps: linking elements that control
- stomatal movement. *New Phytology*, 203, 44-62.
- 777 Kwak J.M., Mori I.C., Pei Z.M., Leonhardt N., Torres M.A., Dangl J.L., Bloom R.E., Bodde
- 5., Jones J.D. & Schroeder J.I. (2003). NADPH oxidase AtrohD and AtrohF genes function
- in ROS-dependent ABA signaling in Arabidopsis. *EMBO Journal*, 22, 2623-2633.
- Lai C.P., Huang L.M., Chen L.O., Chan M.T. & Shaw J.F. (2017). Genome-wide analysis of
  GDSL-type esterases/lipases in Arabidopsis. *Plant Molecular Biology*, 95,181-197.
- 782 Lamkemeyer P., Laxa M., Collin V., Li W., Finkemeier I., Schöttler M.A., Holtkamp V.,
- 783 Tognetti V.B., Issakidis-Bourguet E., Kandlbinder A., Weis E., Miginiac-Maslow M. & Dietz
- 784 K.J. (2006). Peroxiredoxin Q of Arabidopsis thaliana is attached to the thylakoids and functions
- in context of photosynthesis. *The Plant Journal*, 45, 968-981.
- Lawson T. (2009). Guard cell photosynthesis and stomatal function. *New Phytologist*, 181, 1334.
- Lee Y., Choi D. & Kende H. (2001). Expansins: ever-expanding numbers and functions.
   *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 4, 527-532.
- 790 Leonhardt N., Kwak J.M., Robert N., Waner D., Leonhardt G. & Schroeder J.I. (2004).
- 791 Microarray expression analyses of Arabidopsis guard cells and isolation of a recessive abscisic
- acid hypersensitive protein phosphatase 2C mutant. *The Plant Cell*, 16, 596-615.
- 793 Li L., Xu X., Chen C. & Shen Z. (2016). Genome-Wide Characterization and Expression
- Analysis of the Germin-Like Protein Family in Rice and Arabidopsis. International Journal of
- 795 *Molecular Sciences*, 17, 10. pii: E1622. doi: 10.3390/ijms17101622

- Lichtenthaler H.K. (1987). Chlorophylls and carotenoids: pigments of photosynthetic
  biomembranes. *Methods in Enzymology*, 148, 350-382.
- Liebthal M., Maynard D. & Dietz K.J. (2018). Peroxiredoxins and Redox Signaling in Plants.
   *Antioxydants & Redox Signaling*, 28, 609-624.
- Liebthal M., Schuetze J., Dreyer A., Mock H.P., & Dietz K.J. (2020). Redox conformation-
- 801 specific protein–protein interactions of the 2-ysteine peroxiredoxin in 802 Arabidopsis. *Antioxidants*, *9*, 515.
- 803 Ma T., Yoo M.J., Zhang T., Liu L., Koh J., Song W.Y., Harmon A.C., Sha W. & Chen S.
- (2018). Characterization of thiol-based redox modifications of *Brassica napus* SNF1-related
  protein kinase 2.6-2C. *FEBS Open Biology*, 8, 628-645.
- 806 Mao X., Zheng, Y., Xiao K., Wei Y., Zhu Y., Cai Q., Chen L., Xie H. & Zhang, J. (2018).
- 807 OsPRX2 contributes to stomatal closure and improves potassium deficiency tolerance in rice.
  808 *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 495, 461-467.
- Melotto M., Underwood W., Koczan J., Nomura K. & He S.Y. (2006). Plant stomata function
  in innate immunity against bacterial invasion. *Cell*, 126, 969–980.
- 811 Merlot S., Leonhardt N., Fenzi F., Valon C., Costa M., Piette L., Vavasseur A., Genty B., Boivin
- K., Müller A., Giraudat J. & Leung J. (2007). Constitutive activation of a plasma membrane
- H(<sup>+</sup>)-ATPase prevents abscisic acid-mediated stomatal closure. *EMBO Journal*, 26, 3216-3226.
- 814 Meyer Y., Belin C., Delorme-Hinoux V., Reichheld J.P. & Riondet C. (2012). Thioredoxin and
- glutaredoxin systems in plants: molecular mechanisms, crosstalks, and functional significance.
- 816 Antioxidants & Redox Signaling, 17, 1124-1160.
- 817 Miao Y., Lv D., Wang P., Wang X.C., Chen J., Miao C. & Song C.P. (2006). An Arabidopsis
- glutathione peroxidase functions as both a redox transducer and a scavenger in abscisic acid
- and drought stress responses. *The Plant Cell*, 18, 2749-2766.
- 820 Montillet J.L. & Hirt H. (2013). New check points in stomatal defense. *Trends in Plant Science*,
- 821 18, 295-297. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2013.03.007
- 822 Montrichard F., Alkhalfioui F., Yano H., Vensel W.H., Hurkman W.J. & Buchanan B.B.
- 823 (2009). Thioredoxin targets in plants: the first 30 years. *Journal of Proteomics*, 72, 452-474.

- Murata Y., Mori I.C. & Munemasa S. (2015). Diverse stomatal signaling and the signal integration mechanism. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 66, 369-392.
- 826 Naranjo B., Diaz-Espejo A., Lindahl M. & Cejudo F.J. (2016). Type-f thioredoxins have a role
- in the short-term activation of carbon metabolism and their loss affects growth under short-day
- conditions in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 67, 1951-1964.
- 829 Navrot N., Collin V., Gualberto J., Gelhaye E., Hirasawa M., Rey P., Knaff D.B, Issakidis E.,
- 330 Jacquot J.P. & Rouhier N. (2006). Plant glutathione peroxidases are functional peroxiredoxins
- distributed in several subcellular compartments and regulated during biotic and abiotic stresses. *Plant Physiology*, 142, 1364-1379.
- 833 Ortiz-Espín A., Iglesias-Fernández R., Calderón A., Carbonero P., Sevilla F. & Jiménez. A.
- (2017). Mitochondrial AtTrxo1 is transcriptionally regulated by AtbZIP9 and AtAZF2 and
  affects seed germination under saline conditions. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 68, 10251038.
- Pang Q., Zhang T., Zhang A., Lin C., Kong W. & Chen S. (2020). Proteomics and
  phosphoproteomics revealed molecular networks of stomatal immune responses. *Planta*, 252,
  66. doi: 10.1007/s00425-020-03474-3.
- Papadakis A.K. & Roubelakis-Angelakis K.A. (1999). The generation of active oxygen species
  differs in Nicotiana and Vitis plant protoplasts. *Plant Physiology*, 121,197–205.
- Park S.K., Jung Y.J., Lee J.R., Lee Y.M., Jang H.H., Lee S.S., Park J.H., Kim S.Y., Moon J.C.,
- Lee S.Y., Chae H.B., Shin M.R., Jung J.H., Kim M.G., Kim W.Y., Yun D.J., Lee K.O. & Lee
  S.Y. (2009). Heat-shock and redox-dependent functional switching of an h-type Arabidopsis
  thioredoxin from a disulfide reductase to a molecular chaperone. *Plant Physiology*, 150, 552561.
- Poole L.B., Hall A. & Nelson K.J. (2011). Overview of peroxiredoxins in oxidant defense and
  redox regulation. *Current Protocols in Toxicology*, 7, 9. doi: 10.1002/0471140856.tx0709s49.
- 849 Pulido P., Spínola M.C., Kirchsteiger K., Guinea M., Pascual M.B., Sahrawy M., Sandalio
- 850 L.M., Dietz K.J., González M. & Cejudo F.J. (2010). Functional analysis of the pathways for
- 851 2-Cys peroxiredoxin reduction in Arabidopsis thaliana chloroplasts. Journal of Experimental
- *Botany*, 61, 4043-4054.

- Reichheld J.P., Khafif M., Riondet C., Droux M., Bonnard G. & Meyer Y. (2007). Inactivation
  of thioredoxin reductases reveals a complex interplay between thioredoxin and glutathione
- pathways in Arabidopsis development. *The Plant Cell*, 19, 1851-1865.
- 856 Reichheld J.P., Mestres-Ortega D., Laloi C. & Meyer Y. (2002). The multigenic family of
- thioredoxin *h* in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: specific expression and stress response. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 40, 685-690.
- 859 Rey P., Becuwe N., Barrault M.B., Rumeau D., Havaux M., Biteau B. & Toledano M.B. (2007).
- The *Arabidopsis thaliana* sulfiredoxin is a plastidic acid reductase involved in the photooxidative stress response. *The Plant Journal*, 49, 505-514.
- 862 Rey P., Becuwe N., Tourrette S. & Rouhier N. (2017). Involvement of Arabidopsis glutaredoxin
- 863 S14 in the maintenance of chlorophyll content. *Plant, Cell and Environment,* 40, 2319–2332.
- Rey P., Cuiné S., Eymery F., Garin J., Court M., Jacquot J.P., Rouhier N. & Broin M. (2005).
- Analysis of the proteins targeted by CDSP32, a plastidic thioredoxin participating in oxidative
- stress responses. *The Plant Journal*, 41, 31-42.
- Rey P. & Tarrago L. (2018). Physiological roles of plant methionine sulfoxide reductases in
  redox homeostasis and signaling. *Antioxidants (Basel)*, 7(9). pii: E114. doi:
  10.3390/antiox7090114
- Rhee S.G. & Woo H.A. (2011). Multiple functions of peroxiredoxins: peroxidases sensors and
  regulators of the intracellular messenger H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and protein chaperones. *Antioxidants & Redox Signaling*, 15, 781-794.
- Rodrigues O., Reshetnyak G., Grondin A., Saijo Y., Leonhardt N., Maurel C. & Verdoucq L.
  (2017). Aquaporins facilitate hydrogen peroxide entry into guard cells to mediate ABA- and
  pathogen-triggered stomatal closure. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*,
  114, 9200-9205.
- Rouhier N., Cerveau D., Couturier J., Reichheld J.P. & Rey P. (2015). Involvement of thiolbased mechanisms in plant development. *Biochemical et Biophysical Acta*, 1850, 1479-1496.
- 879 Rouhier N., Gelhaye E., Gualberto J.M., Jordy M.N., De Fay E., Hirasawa M., Duplessis S.,
- Lemaire S.D., Frey P., Martin F., Manieri W., Knaff D.B. & Jacquot J.P. (2004). Poplar
- peroxiredoxin Q. A thioredoxin-linked chloroplast antioxidant functional in pathogen defense.
- 882 Plant Physiology, 134, 1027-1038.

- Rouhier N., Lemaire S.D. & Jacquot J.P. (2008). The role of glutathione in photosynthetic
  organisms: emerging functions for glutaredoxins and glutathionylation. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 59, 143-166.
- 886 Rouhier N., Villarejo A., Srivastava M., Gelhaye E., Keech O., Droux M., Finkemeier I.,
- 887 Samuelsson G., Dietz K.J., Jacquot J.P. & Wingsle G. (2005). Identification of plant
- glutaredoxin targets. *Antioxidants & Redox Signaling*, 7, 919-929.
- Roux B. & Leonhardt N. (2018). The regulation of ion channels and transporters in the guard
- cell. *Advances in Botanical Research*, 87, 171-214. doi.org/10.1016/bs.abr.2018.09.013.
- 891 Salvetti A., Couté Y., Epstein A., Arata L., Kraut A., Navratil V., Bouvet P. & Greco A. (2016).
- 892 Nuclear functions of nucleolin through global proteomics and interactomic approaches. *Journal*
- *of Proteome Research*, 15, 1659-1669.
- Schürmann P. & Buchanan B.B. (2008) The ferredoxin/thioredoxin system of oxygenic
  photosynthesis. *Antioxidants & Redox Signaling*, 10, 1235–1274.
- 896 Schwanhaüsser B., Busse D., Li N., Dittmar G., Schuchhardt J., Wolf J., Chen W. & Selbach
- M. (2011). Global quantification of mammalian gene expression control. *Nature*, 473, 337-342.
- Shimazaki K., Doi. M., Assmann S.M. & Kinoshita T. (2007). Light regulation of stomatal
  movement. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 58, 219-47.
- 900 Showalter A.M., Keppler B., Lichtenberg J., Gu D. & Welch L.R. (2010). A bioinformatics
- 901 approach to the identification, classification, and analysis of hydroxyproline-rich glycoproteins.
- 902 Plant Physiology, 153, 485-513.
- 903 Sierla M., Waszczak C., Vahisalu T. & Kangasjärvi J. (2016). Reactive oxygen species in the
- regulation of stomatal movements. *Plant Physiology*, 171, 1569-1580.
- Song Y., Miao Y. & Song C.P. (2014). Behind the scenes: the roles of reactive oxygen species
  in guard cells. *New Phytologist*, 201, 1121-1140.
- 907 Stöcker S., Maurer M., Ruppert T. & Dick T.P. (2017). A role for 2-Cys peroxiredoxins in
- facilitating cytosolic protein thiol oxidation. *Nature Chemical Biology*, 14, 148-155.
- 909 Sweat T.A. & Wolpert T.J. (2007). Thioredoxin h5 is required for victorin sensitivity mediated
- by a CC-NBS-LRR gene in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell*, 19, 673-687.

- 911 Tada Y., Spoel S.H., Pajerowska-Mukhtar K., Mou Z., Song J., Wang C., Zuo J. & Dong X.
- 912 (2008). Plant immunity requires conformational changes of NPR1 via S-nitrosylation and
- 913 thioredoxins. *Science*, 321, 952-956.
- 914 Tarrago L., Laugier E. & Rey P. (2009). Protein-repairing methionine sulfoxide reductases in
- 915 photosynthetic organisms: gene organization, reduction mechanisms, and physiological roles.
- 916 *Molecular Plant,* 2, 202-217.
- 917 Tseng T.S., Whippo C., Hangarter R.P. & Briggs W.R. (2012). The role of a 14-3-3 protein in
- stomatal opening mediated by PHOT2 in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell*, 24, 1114-1126.
- 919 Tyanova S., Temu T. & Cox J. (2016). The MaxQuant computational platform for mass
  920 spectrometry-based shotgun proteomics. *Nature Protocols*, 11, 2301-2319.
- 921 Valerio C., Costa A., Marri L., Issakidis-Bourguet E., Pupillo P., Trost P. & Sparla F. (2011).
- 922 Thioredoxin-regulated beta-amylase (BAM1) triggers diurnal starch degradation in guard cells,
- and in mesophyll cells under osmotic stress. Journal of Experimental Botany, 62, 545-555.
- Vieira Dos Santos C. & Rey P. (2006). Plant thioredoxins are key actors in oxidative stress
  response. *Trends in Plant Science*, 11, 329-334.
- 926 Wang P., Du Y., Hou Y.J., Zhao Y., Hsu C.C., Yuan F., Zhu X., Tao W.A., Song C.P. & Zhu
- 927 J.K. (2015). Nitric oxide negatively regulates abscisic acid signaling in guard cells by S-
- nitrosylation of OST1. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA, 112, 613-618.
- Wang W., Vignani R., Scali M. & Cresti M. (2006). A universal and rapid protocol for protein
  extraction from recalcitrant plant tissues for proteomic analysis. *Electrophoresis*, 27, 27822786.
- Wei P.C., Zhang X.Q., Zhao P. & Wang X.C. (2011). Regulation of stomatal opening by the
  guard cell expansin AtEXPA1. *Plant Signaling Behavior*, 6, 740-742.
- 934 Wieczorek S., Combes F., Lazar C., Giai Gianetto Q., Gatto L., Dorffer A., Hesse A.M., Couté
- 935 Y., Ferro M., Bruley C. & Burger T. (2017). DAPAR & ProStaR: software to perform statistical
- analyses in quantitative discovery proteomics. *Bioinformatics*, 33, 135-136.
- 937

- Winter D., Vinegar B., Nahal H., Ammar R., Wilson G.V. & Provart N.J. (2007). An
  "Electronic Fluorescent Pictograph" browser for exploring and analyzing large-scale biological
  data sets. *PLoS One*, 2, e718. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0000718.
- 941 Woo H.A., Yim S.H., Shin D.H., Kang D., Yu D.Y. & Rhee S.G. (2010). Inactivation of
- 942 peroxiredoxin I by phosphorylation allows localized H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> accumulation for cell signaling. *Cell*,
  943 140, 517-528.
- 944 Wu F.H., Shen S.C., Lee L.Y., Lee S.H., Chan M.T. & Lin C.S. (2009). Tape-Arabidopsis
- Sandwich a simpler Arabidopsis protoplast isolation method. *Plant Methods*, 5, 16.
- Yang Y., Costa A., Leonhardt N., Siegel R.S. & Schroeder J.I. (2008). Isolation of a strong
  Arabidopsis guard cell promoter and its potential as a research tool. *Plant Methods*, 4, 6.
- 948 Yoshida K., Hara A., Sugiura K., Fukaya Y. & Hisabori T. (2018). Thioredoxin-like2/2-Cys
- 949 peroxiredoxin redox cascade supports oxidative thiol modulation in chloroplasts. *Proceedings*
- 950 of the National Academy of Sciences USA, 115, E8296-E8304.
- 251 Zhang X.Q., Wei P.C., Xiong Y.M., Yang Y., Chen J. & Wang X.C. (2011). Overexpression
- of Arabidopsis alpha-expansin gene AtEXPA1 accelerates stomatal opening by decreasing the
  volumetric elastic modus. *Plant Cell Reports*, 30, 27-36.
- Zhang T., Zhu M., Zhu N., Strul J.M., Dufresne C.P., Schneider J.D., Harmon A.C. & Chen S.
  (2016). Identification of thioredoxin targets in guard cell enriched epidermal peels using
  cysTMT proteomics. *Journal of Proteomics*, 133, 48-53.
- Zhao Z., Stanley B.A., Zhang W. & Assmann S.M. (2010). ABA-regulated G protein signaling
  in Arabidopsis guard cells: a proteomic perspective. *Journal of Proteome Research*, 9, 16371647.
- Zhao Z., Zhang W., Stanley B.A. & Assmann S.M. (2008). Functional proteomics of
  Arabidopsis thaliana guard cells uncovers new stomatal signaling pathways. *The Plant Cell*, 12,
  3210-3226.
- Zhu M., Dai S., McClung S., Yan X. & Chen S. (2009). Functional differentiation of *Brassica napus* guard cells and mesophyll cells revealed by comparative proteomics. *Molecular Cell Proteomics*, 8, 752-766.

- Zhu M., Simons B., Zhu N., Oppenheimer D.G. & Chen S. (2010). Analysis of abscisic acid 966
- responsive proteins in Brassica napus guard cells by multiplexed isobaric tagging. Journal of 967
- Proteomics, 73, 790-805. 968
- 969 Zhu M., Zhang T., Ji W., Silva-Sanchez C., Song W.Y., Assmann S.M., Harmon A.C. & Chen
- 970 S. (2017). Redox regulation of a guard cell SNF1-related protein kinase in Brassica napus, an oilseed crop. Biochemical Journal, 474, 2585-2599. 971
- 972 Zhu M., Zhu N., Song W.Y., Harmon A.C., Assmann S.M. & Chen S. (2014). Thiol-based
- redox proteins in abscisic acid and methyl jasmonate signaling in Brassica napus guard cells. 973
- 974 *The Plant Journal*, 78, 491-515.

975

#### Plant, Cell & Environment

AGI Number	Protein ID	Description	GCE	L	GCE- L	R	GC proteomics	GC/M transcript ratio > 2	Reference
AT5G26000	P37702	Myrosinase 1 *	31,43	29,21	2,22	4,66	X	•	[54]
AT1G69530	Q9C554	Expansin-A1 *	29,30	24,39	4,91	30,1		•	[48]; [53]
AT3G53420	P43286	Aquaporin PIP2-1 #	29,05	29,17	-0,12	0,92	Х		[43]
AT2G18960	P20649	ATPase 1 #	27,77	24,99	2,78	6,87	Х	•	[21]
AT5G56030	P55737	Heat shock protein 90-2 *	27,46	27,15	0,32	1,25	X	•	[6]
AT5G10450	F4KGV2	G-box regulating factor 6 *	27,36	23,98	3,38	10,4			[39]
AT5G67500	Q9FJX3	Voltage-dependent anion channel 2 #	27,23	24,61	2,62	6,15	Х	•	[27]
AT1G70410	Q94CE4	Beta carbonic anhydrase 4 *	27,08	25,87	1,21	2,31	X		[15]
AT3G15730	Q38882	Phospholipase D alpha 1 *	26,60	25,83	0,77	1,71	Х	•	[41]
AT5G02500	F4KCE5	Heat shock cognate 70 kDa protein 1 *	26,53	27,09	-0,57	0,68	Х	•	[6]
AT1G12840	Q9SDS7	V-type proton ATPase subunit C #	26,17	24,86	1,31	2,48		•	[1]; [18]
AT1G15690	P31414	Vacuolar membrane proton pump 1 #	25,96	26,85	-0,89	0,54	Х		[3]
AT1G11260	P23586	Sugar transport protein 1 #	25,70	21,16	4,54	23,3	X	•	[35]; [31]
AT4G29130	Q42525	Hexokinase-1 §	25,00	24,43	0,57	1,48		•	[24]
AT3G18490	O9LS40	Aspartic protease in guard cell 1*	24,98	23,42	1,57	2,96		•	[51]
AT1G04110	064495	Subtilisin-like protease SBT1.2 ¤	24,83	15,90	8,93	489			[42]
AT4G05590	08L7H8	Mitochondrial pyruvate carrier 3 *	24,73	15,18	9,55	GCE			[52]; [35]
AT5G57110	09LF79	Calcium-transporting ATPase 8 #	24.37	22.47	1.89	3.72	X		[50]
AT4G03560	094KI8	Two pore calcium channel protein 1 #	24.31	20.57	3.74	13.4		•	[34]
AT3G02880	09M8T0	Probable inactive receptor kinase 8	23.81	23 47	0.33	1.26	X		[18]
AT5G46790	O8VZS8	Abscisic acid receptor PYL11	23,59	22 21	1 38	2.60		•	[45]
AT1G13320	038951	Protein phosphatase 2A subunit A3 8	23 57	20.06	3 51	11.4			[26]
AT4G23650	042479	Calcium-dependent protein kinase 3.8	23 32	24.62	-1 30	0.41	X		[30]
AT4G30190	P19456	ATPase 2 plasma membrane-type $\#$	23 25	20.95	2 30	4.92	X	•	[46]
AT2G26040	080992	Abscisic acid receptor PYL 2 !	22.92	15.18	7 74	GCE	X	•	[45]
AT1G55020	006327	Linoleate 9S-linoxygenase 1 *	22,91	20 35	2.56	5.90		•	[29]
AT1G52340	09C826	Xanthoxin dehvdrogenase *	22,89	21.21	1.68	3.20		•	[49]
AT2G46070	08GY05	Mitogen-activated protein kinase 12.8	22.81	17.65	5 16	35.8	X	•	[20]
AT5G50000	09FGB1	Protein kinase superfamily protein 8	22.80	21.03	1 76	3.39	X	•	[14]
AT4G17870	049686	Abscisic acid receptor PYR1 !	22.73	21.86	0.87	1.83		•	[33]
AT4G35790	09C5Y0	Phospholipase D delta *	22.46	20.88	1.58	2.99	X	•	[41]
AT5G13630	O9FNB0	Magnesium-chelatase subunit ChlH *	21 77	24 15	-2.38	0.19			[40]
AT2G43350	022850	Glutathione peroxidase 3 *	21.56	15.18	6 38	GCE		•	[28]
AT2G43330	022830	Mitogen-activated protein kinase 6.8	21,30	19.99	1 30	2.46		•	[20]
AT2G13540	0951112	Nuclear can-binding protein subunit 1 *	21,29	18 32	2.89	7 41		-	[17]
AT2013340	Q95102	Cation/H(+) antiporter 20 $\#$	21,21	15.18	6.03	GCE	x	•	[32]
A15055720	Q9101355	Plastidal glycolate/glycerate	21,21	15,10	0,05	UCL			
AT1G32080	O9FVO4	translocator#	21,19	23,68	-2,48	0,18			[7]
AT5G40890	P92941	Chloride channel protein CLC-a #	20,92	19,53	1,40	2,63	X	•	[47]
AT5G24520	O9XGN1	TRANSPARENT TESTA GLABRA 1 ¤	20.81	18.93	1.87	3.66		•	[9]
AT1G28490	0946Y7	Svntaxin-61 *	20.64	18.52	2.12	4.35		•	[55]
AT4G14480	023304	Serine/threonine-protein kinase BLUS1 §	20,56	15,18	5,38	41.6	X	•	[38]
AT5G49890	O96282	Chloride channel protein CLC-c #	20.22	15,18	5.04	GCE		•	[22]
AT4G04720	O9ZSA2	Calcium-dependent protein kinase 21 8	20.15	20.73	-0.57	0,67	L		[10]
AT1G23200	049298	Pectinesterase/pectinesterase inhibitor 6 *	19.84	15.18	4.66	GCE		•	[2]
AT3G08550	090979	Glycosyltransferase-like KOBITO 1 *	19.46	19.62	-0.15	0.90		•	[25]
AT4G08920	043125	Cryptochrome-1 ¤	19 35	18 73	0.63	1.55			[23]
AT4G17615	09LTR8	Calcineurin B-like protein 1 *	19 31	15.18	4 13	GCE			[5]
AT5G63870	09FN02	Serine/threenine-protein phosphatase 7.8	19.12	19.04	0.07	1.05		•	[37]
		1 protein photphauso / y	,		, , , ,	, ,		1	

AT1G04400	Q96524	Cryptochrome-2 ¤	19,01	15,18	3,83	GCE		•	[4]
AT1G12110	Q05085	Nitrate transporter 1.1 #	18,76	<u>17,50</u>	1,26	2,39		•	[11]
AT2G36850	Q9SJM0	Callose synthase 10 ¤	18,77	16,02	2,75	6,72		•	[12]
AT1G49040	Q8RXA7	DENN-domain WD-repeat protein ¤	18,69	17,87	0,82	1,77	Х		[8]
AT3G45640	Q39023	Mitogen-activated protein kinase 3 §	17,93	<u>17,01</u>	0,92	1,89			[44]
AT1G35670	Q39016	Calcium-dependent protein kinase 2 §	17,83	<u>16,81</u>	1,03	2,04			[16]
AT1G62400	Q2MHE4	Serine/threonine-protein kinase HT1 §	17,44	15,18	2,26	GCE		•	[13]
AT2G18790	P42497	Phytochrome ¤	17,43	19,21	-1,78	0,29		•	[23]

976

## Table 1. List of proteins present in the guard cell-enriched fraction and playing a role in stomatal functioning and development.

Proteins are ranked from the most abundant to the less in the GCE fraction. Bold lines separate 979 quartiles. In GCE and L columns are reported log<sub>2</sub> of imputed normiBAQ that reflect relative 980 abundance of each protein in guard cell-enriched and leaf fractions, respectively. R represents 981 protein abundance ratio in the GC-enriched fraction epidermis relative to leaf fraction and is 982 983 equal to  $2^{(E-L)}$ . Bold R value, protein having a value > 1.5 and thus more abundant in the GCE fraction than in the L fraction. GCE, protein found only in the guard cell-enriched fraction 984 Underlined L value, only 1 peptide detected; bold L value, no peptide detected. X, Protein 985 identified in Arabidopsis guard cell proteomics by Zhao et al., (2008; 2010). ●, Transcript ratio, 986 GC protoplast level/mesophyll protoplast level, higher than 2 (Yang et al., 2008). Classification 987 of proteins: §, kinases and phosphatases involved in stomatal movements; #, Ion channels, 988 transporters and pumps involved in stomatal movements;!, ABA receptors; ¤, Proteins involved 989 in regulation of stomatal development and patterning; \*, Enzymes and other protein functions. 990 References are listed in Table S6. 991 992

552	
993	
994	
995	
996	
997	
998	
999	
1000	
1001	
1002	
1003	
1004	
1005	
1006	
1007	
1008	

AGI Number	Protein ID	Description	Name	GCE	L	GCE- L	R	GC proteomics
AT3G26060	Q9LU86	Peroxiredoxin Q	PRXQ	28,20	29,82	-1,62	0,33	
AT3G11630	Q96291	2-Cys peroxiredoxin A	2-CysPrxA	27,71	27,09	0,63	1,55	X
AT1G65980	Q9XEX2	Peroxiredoxin IIB	Prx IIB	26,14	23,27	2,87	7,29	
AT3G06050	Q9M7T0	Peroxiredoxin IIF	Prx IIF	25,99	25,28	0,71	1,64	X
AT2G17420	Q39242	NADPH-thioredoxin reductase A	NTRA	25,94	24,01	1,92	3,79	
AT3G52960	Q949U7	Peroxiredoxin IIE	Prx IIE	25,61	27,37	-1,76	0,30	X
AT5G42980	Q42403	Thioredoxin h3	TRX h3	25,00	22,90	2,10	4,29	X
AT4G11600	O48646	Glutathione peroxidase 6	GPX6	24,44	23,79	0,65	1,56	X
AT5G06290	Q9C5R8	2-Cys peroxiredoxin B	2-CysPrxB	24,19	25,08	-0,88	0,54	X
AT5G07460	Q9LY15	Peptide methionine sulfoxide reductase A2	MSRA2	24,17	22,55	1,62	3,08	X
AT1G60420	O80763	Nucleoredoxin 1	NRX1	23,95	21,82	2,13	4,38	
AT4G35460	Q39243	NADPH-thioredoxin reductase B	NTRB	23,93	20,25	3,69	12,9	
AT4G25130	P54150	Peptide methionine sulfoxide reductase A4	MSRA4	23,87	26,50	-2,63	0,16	X
AT2G25080	P52032	Glutathione peroxidase 1	GPX1	23,20	23,81	-0,61	0,65	
AT2G31570	O04922	Glutathione peroxidase 2	GPX2	22,76	20,98	1,78	3,43	X
AT3G06730	Q9M7X9	Thioredoxin z	TRX z	22,71	15,18	7,53	GCE	
AT5G39950	Q38879	Thioredoxin h2	TRX h2	22,43	19,63	2,80	6,96	
AT4G03520	Q9SEU8	Thioredoxin m2	TRX m2	22,17	26,87	-4,70	0,04	X
AT2G38270	Q8H7F6	Glutaredoxin S16	GRXS16	22,14	24,32	-2,18	0,22	X
AT2G41680	O22229	NADPH-thioredoxin reductase C	NTRC	22,09	26,15	-4,06	0,06	
AT3G02730	Q9XFH8	Thioredoxin fl	TRX f1	21,96	25,88	-3,93	0,07	
AT3G08710	Q9C9Y6	Thioredoxin h9	TRX h9	21,81	15,18	6,63	GCE	
AT3G15660	Q8LBK6	Glutaredoxin S15,	GRXS15	21,80	15,18	6,62	GCE	
AT4G04950	Q9ZPH2	Glutaredoxin S17	GRXS17	21,72	20,97	0,75	1,68	
AT3G15360	Q9SEU6	Thioredoxin m4	TRX m4	21,72	26,37	-4,66	0,04	X
AT1G03680	O48737	Thioredoxin m1	TRX m1	21,65	25,86	-4,21	0,05	
AT3G63080	Q9LYB4	Glutathione peroxidase 5	AtGPX5	21,59	22,49	-0,89	0,54	
AT2G43350	O22850	Glutathione peroxidase 3	AtGPX3	21,56	15,18	6,38	355	
AT1G76080	Q9SGS4	Chloroplastic Drought Stress 32-kDa Protein	TRX CDSP32	21,41	25,66	-4,25	0,05	
AT4G29670	Q8LCT3	Atypical cysteine/histidine-rich thioredoxin 2	ACHT2	21,39	23,63	-2,24	0,21	
AT4G28730	Q8GWS0	Glutaredoxin C5	GRXC5	21,26	23,56	-2,30	0,20	
AT2G35010	O64764	Thioredoxin o1	TRX o1	20,99	20,43	0,55	1,47	X
AT1G11530	Q8LDI5	Thioredoxin-like, Mono-cysteine 1	TRX CxxS1	20,89	18,83	2,06	4,21	
AT4G21860	Q9C5C8	Methionine sulfoxide reductase B2	MSRB2	20,78	24,26	-3,48	0,09	
AT3G17880	Q8VWG7	Tetratricoredoxin	TDX	20,61	15,18	5,43	GCE	
AT5G08410	Q8LBP6	Ferredoxin/thioredoxin reductase subunit A2	FTRA2	20,27	21,52	-1,25	0,42	
AT1G07700	Q9C5C5	Thioredoxin-like, Lilium-type thioredoxin 6	Lilium6	20,08	15,18	4,90	GCE	
AT2G18030	Q9SL43	Methionine sulfoxide reductase A5	MSRA5	20,07	20,31	-0,24	0,84	
AT4G37200	O23166	Thioredoxin High Chlorophyll Fluorescence 164	TRX HCF164	19,72	23,43	-3,71	0,08	

#### 1011 Table 2. List of thiol reductases identified in the guard cell-enriched fraction

Proteins are ranked from the most abundant to the less in the GCE fraction. Bold lines separate quartiles. In GCE and L columns are reported log<sub>2</sub> of imputed normiBAO that reflect relative abundance of each protein in guard cell-enriched and leaf fractions, respectively. R represents protein abundance ratio in the GC-enriched fraction epidermis relative to leaf fraction and is equal to  $2^{(E-L)}$ . Bold R value, protein having a value > 1.5 and thus more abundant in the GCE fraction than in the L fraction. GCE, protein found only in the guard cell enriched-fraction. Bold L value, no peptide detected. X, Protein identified in Arabidopsis guard cell proteomics by Zhao et al., (2008; 2010). 

- .....

1038	Figure	legends
------	--------	---------

1039

# Figure 1. Western blot analysis of the abundance of thiol reductases in extracts from a guard cell enriched fraction

1042 A. Coomassie Blue-stained gel of SDS-PAGE separated proteins from leaf blades (L) and GCE 1043 fractions. The arrows indicate proteins noticeably more abundant in the GCE fraction. LSU and SSU, 1044 large and small RubisCO subunits, respectively. B. Western blot analysis of RubisCO subunits and of 1045 various types of thiol reductases in L and GCE fractions. Blots (20 to 30 µg proteins per lane, depending 1046 on the serum used, for both fractions) were probed using antibodies raised against RubisCO (1:10,000), TRX h3 (1:20,000), 2-CysPRX (1:10,000) 2-CysPRX-Ox, hyperoxidized 2-CysPRX (1:4000), PRXQ 1047 (1:1000), PRX-IIE (1:10,000) PRXII-B (1:20,000) and GRXS14 (1:800). For the latter, the asterisk 1048 1049 points to a non-specific band and the two arrows indicate two GRX redox forms.

1050

# Figure 2. Functional categorization (GO Cellular Component) of proteins identified in guard cellenriched and leaf fractions.

- Functional categorization was performed using of the GO annotation retrieval tool available on the site TAIR: https://www.arabidopsis.org/tools/bulk/go/index.jsp. Analysis was performed on genes encoding the 25% most abundant proteins of each sample (in GCE fraction, 1102 proteins having a Log2(iBAQ)  $\geq$  24.06 and in leaf fraction 913 proteins with Log2(iBAQ)  $\geq$  23.97).
- 1057

#### 1058 Figure 3. Stomatal phenotype of the Arabidopsis ntra ntrb mutant

- 1059 A. Stomatal density. **B**. Stomatal index. Data for **A** and **B** are means  $\pm$  SD of 12 independent values 1060 using peeled epidermis from three leaves per genotype. Plants were grown for 4 weeks in control 1061 conditions: moderate light (200 µmol photons.m<sup>-2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>) and short photoperiod (8 h) at 22°C. C. Stomatal 1062 conductance measurements using porometry. Measurements of the water efflux from leaf abaxial side were performed on plants grown for 6 weeks in control conditions. Conductance values are means  $\pm$  SD 1063 of twelve average values per genotype originating from independent plants (8 measurements per plant 1064 for each genotype per experiment). \*\*\*, significantly different from the WT value with P < 0.001 (t-1065 1066 test). D. and E. Infrared thermal imaging. Plants were dark-adapted. False colored infrared images of 6-1067 week-old plants grown in control conditions. The color scale on the right shows increasing temperature 1068 from purple to yellow. Pictures were taken at the end of the night period two hours before the light period. As a control, the ost2-2D mutant was used and shown to exhibit lower leaf temperature due to 1069 1070 open stomata in the dark (Merlot et al., 2007). *ntra ntrb*, double *ntra ntrb* mutant plants.
- 1071
- 1072
- 1073

#### 1074 Figure 4. Stomatal phenotype of Arabidopsis mutants deficient in plastidial PRXs

1075 A. Stomatal density. **B**. Stomatal index. Data for **A** and **B** are means  $\pm$  SD of 12 independent values 1076 using peeled epidermis from three leaves per genotype. Plants were grown for 4 weeks in control conditions: moderate light (200 µmol photons.m<sup>-2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>) and short photoperiod (8 h) at 22°C. C. Stomatal 1077 conductance measurements using porometry. Measurements of the water efflux from leaf abaxial side 1078 were performed on plants grown for 6 weeks in control conditions. Conductance values are means  $\pm$  SD 1079 1080 of eight average values per genotype originating from independent plants (8 measurements per plant for 1081 each genotype per experiment). \*\*, significantly different from the WT value with P < 0.01 (*t*-test). **D**. 1082 and E. Infrared thermal imaging. Plants were dark-adapted. False colored infrared images of 6-week-1083 old plants grown in control conditions. The color scale on the right shows increasing temperature from 1084 purple to yellow. Pictures were taken at the end of the night period two hours before the light period. 2cprx, double 2cysprxa 2cysprxb mutant plants; prxq, knockout PRXQ plants. 1085

1086

Figure 5. Stomatal phenotype of Arabidopsis lines modified for plastidial type-II GRXs. A. 1087 1088 Stomatal density. **B**. Stomatal index. Data for **A** and **B** are means  $\pm$  SD of 12 independent values using 1089 peeled epidermis from three leaves per genotype. Plants were grown for 4 weeks in control conditions: 1090 moderate light (200 µmol photons.m<sup>-2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>) and short photoperiod (8 h) at 22°C. C. Stomatal conductance 1091 measurements using porometry. Measurements of the water efflux from leaf abaxial side were 1092 performed on plants grown for 6 weeks in control conditions. Conductance values are means  $\pm$  SD of at 1093 least 17 average values per genotype originating from independent plants (8 measurements per plant for each genotype per experiment). \*\*\*, significantly different from the WT value with P < 0.001 (*t*-test). 1094 1095 D. and E. Infrared thermal imaging. Plants were dark-adapted. False colored infrared images of 6-week-1096 old plants grown in control conditions. Pictures were taken at the end of the night period two hours 1097 before the light period. The color scale on the right shows increasing temperature from purple to yellow. 1098 As a control, the ost2-2D mutant was used and shown to exhibit lower leaf temperature due to open 1099 stomata in the dark (Merlot et al., 2007). KI1 and KI2, independent grxS14 GRXS16-RNAi lines; OE1 1100 and OE2, independent GRXS14-overexpressing lines.

1101

# Figure 6. Effect of ABA and H2O2 on stomatal aperture in Arabidopsis mutants deficient in cytosolic NTRs, plastidial 2Cys-PRXs or plastidial type-II GRXs.

Stomatal aperture measurements in response to ABA concentrations of 0.5, 1, 10 and 100  $\mu$ M (A-B) or to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentrations of 10, 100 and 1000  $\mu$ M (C-D) in light-treated epidermis. Data in panels B and D were calculated from the original raw data reported in panels A and C, respectively, and represent the inhibitions of stomatal aperture due to the treatments expressed in percents of that measured in light alone. Data represent means ± SD of 2 independent experiments with 60 measurements per genotype and treatment. Means were compared with the ANOVA test, and letters represent groups of means not statistically different (P<0.05).</li> *2cprx*, double *2cysprxa 2cysprxb* mutant plants; KI1 and KI2, independent *grxS14* GRXS16-

1112 RNAi lines; *ntra ntrb*, double *ntra ntrb* mutant plants.

1113

Figure 7. Model for positioning putative roles of plastidial 2-CysPRXs and type-II GRXs 1114 1115 in the signaling network governing stomatal closure. Based on the results gained on epidermal peels showing modified responses of mutants to ABA and H2O2 and the known 1116 1117 biochemical functions of these enzymes, we propose that they participate in H2O2-related transduction pathways. 2-CysPRXs might scavenge H2O2 within plastid or promote oxidation 1118 of partners that remain to be identified and are involved, when oxidized, in a pathway mitigating 1119 1120 stomatal closure. Type II-GRXs might prevent oxidation of partners, the oxidized form of which could trigger closure. The roles of cytosolic NTRs and other TRs in guard cells remain 1121 to be determined. 1122

1123

#### 1124 Supporting information

Table S1. Leaf characteristics of 6 week-old plants and guard cell characteristics for evaluating
the enrichment in guard cells in the broken and washed epidermal fraction.

1127

Table S2: Proteins identified and quantified in guard cell-enriched and leaf fractions by mass
spectrometry-based proteomics.

1130

1131 Table S3. List of cell wall and extracellular proteins identified in the guard cell-enriched fraction.1132

1133 Table S4. List of proteins having transcript preferentially expressed in guard cell protoplasts
1134 (Leonhard et al., 2004) identified in the guard cell-enriched fraction.

1135

1136 Table S5. List of 14-3-3 proteins identified in the guard cell-enriched fraction.

1137

1138 Table S6. List of references cited in Table 1.

1139

Figure S1: Transcriptomic analysis of *TR* expression in guard and mesophyll cell protoplasts. Data were retrieved from the microarray analyses described by Yang et al., (2008). RNA was isolated from protoplasts prepared from guard or mesophyll cells and hybridized to the ATH1 GeneChip. Two independent hybridization experiments per cell type were performed. Means and SD of expression levels

- 1144 were retrieved using the Arabidopsis eFP Browser at bar-utoronto.ca (Winter et al., 2007). A, NADPH-
- Thioredoxin reductase A (NTRA) and thioredoxins h2, h3, h4, h5, h9, TDX, o1, o2, Clot, m3, Lillium1 and CDSP32; B, Glutaredoxins S15 and ROXY10, peroxiredoxin II-B (II-B), glutathione peroxidase
- 1140 1 and CDSF52, **B**, Ordiaredoxins 515 and KOX 110, peroxitedoxin fi-b (fi-b), glutatione peroxidase
- 1147 2 (GPX2), and methionine sulfoxide reductases A1 and A4.
- 1148
- Figure S2. Gene expression in guard cells. *TRXh2* (A), *TRXh3* (B), *NTRB* (C) and *PRX-IIB* (D) gene
   promoters were fused to β-glucuronidase (*GUS*) as a reporter gene and gene expression was monitored
- 1151 in 15 day-old plants by histochemical analysis. Inset: focus on one single stomata.
- 1152

Figure S3. Characterization of mutants deficient in the expression of *PRXQ* and *TRXh3*genes. A. Genotyping of SAIL\_742\_G10 plants (*prxq*) using primers corresponding to 5' and 3' ends
of *PRXQ* coding sequence. B. Genotyping of SAIL\_314\_G04 (*trxh3-1*) using primers corresponding to
5' and 3' ends of *TRXh3* coding sequence. WT: wild type. C. Western blot analysis of PRXQ abundance
in WT and *prxq* plants. D. Western blot analysis of TRX h3 abundance in WT, *trxh3-1* (homozygous
SAIL\_742\_G10) and *trxh3-2* (homozygous SALK\_111160) plants.

1159

Figure S4. Growth of plants modified for the expression of various TR genes. Plants were grown 1160 for 5 or 6 weeks in control conditions: moderate light (200 µmol photons.m<sup>-2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>) and short photoperiod 1161 (8 h) at 22°C. Rosette weight values are means  $\pm$  SD of four to six average values originating from 1162 independent experiments (at least 6 plants for each genotype per experiment). \* and \*\*\*, significantly 1163 different from the WT value with P < 0.05 and P < 0.001, respectively (*t*-test). 2cprx, double 2cysprxa 1164 2cvsprxb mutant plants (A); ntra ntrb, double ntra ntrb mutant plants (B); prxq (A), grxs17 (C), trxh3-1165 1, trxh3-2 (D) and trxo1-1, trxo1-2 (E) mutants lacking PRXQ, GRXS17, TRXh3 and TRXo1, 1166 1167 respectively.

1168

Figure S5. Photograph of wild type (WT) plants and of plants modified for the expression of
various *TR* genes. Plants were grown for 5 weeks in control conditions: moderate light (200 μmol
photons.m<sup>-2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>) and short photoperiod (8 h) at 22°C. 2cprx, double 2cysprxa 2cysprxb mutant plant; ntra
ntrb, double ntra ntrb mutant plant; KI1 and KI2, independent grxS14 GRXS16-RNAi lines.

1173

#### 1174 Figure S6. Leaf chlorophyll content of plants modified for the expression of various *TR* genes.

1175 Plants grown for 6 weeks in control conditions: moderate light (200 µmol photons.m<sup>-2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>) and short

1176 photoperiod (8 h) at 22°C. Data are means  $\pm$  SD of at least 5 values originating from adult leaves from

- 1177 independent plants. \*\*\*, significantly different from the WT value with P < 0.001, (*t*-test). 2cprx, double
- 1178 *2cysprxa 2cysprxb* mutant plants; *ntra ntrb*, double *ntra ntrb* mutant plants; *prxq*, *grxs17*, *trxh3* and
- 1179 *trxo1*, mutants lacking PRXQ, GRXS17, TRXh3 and TRXo1, respectively.

Figure S7. Stomatal density (A and C) and stomatal index (B and D) of Arabidopsis lines affected in the expression of genes coding for various types of thiol reductases. Data are means  $\pm$  SD of 12 independent values using peeled epidermis from three leaves per genotype. Plants were grown for 4 weeks in control conditions: moderate light (200 µmol photons.m<sup>-2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>) and short photoperiod (8 h) at 22°C. *grxs17*, *trxh3*, *trxo1* and *grxs14*, mutants lacking GRXS17, TRXh3, TRXo1 and GRXS14, respectively; C-GRXS16 C2 and C4, two independent *GRXS16*-cosuppressed lines; OE-GRXS16 O4 and O5, two independent *GRXS16*-overexpressing lines.

1188

#### 1189 Figure S8. Stomatal conductance of Arabidopsis lines affected in the expression of genes coding

1190 for various types of thiol reductases. Measurements of the water efflux from leaf abaxial side were

1191 performed using porometry on plants grown for 6 weeks in control conditions. Conductance values are

1192 means  $\pm$  SD of six average values originating from independent plants (8 measurements per plant for

- each genotype per experiment); *trxh3* (A-B), *trxo1* (C) and *grxs17* (D), mutants lacking TRXh3, TRXo1
- and GRXS17, respectively; grxs14 and OE-GRXS14 OE1 (E), lines lacking GRXS14 expression and
- 1195 overexpressing GRXS14, respectively; C-GRXS16 C2 and C4 (F), two independent GRXS16-
- 1196 cosuppressed lines; OE-GRXS16 O4 and O5 (G), two independent *GRXS16*-overexpressing lines.



<sup>1180</sup> 

**Summary statement:** The contribution of thiol reductases to the signaling network governing stomatal functioning remains poorly known. Using proteomics, we show that numerous thiol reductases are abundant in a guard cell-enriched fraction, and we unveil a stomatal phenotype in Arabidopsis mutants for thiol reductases.

## Figure 1



Figure 1 Western blot analysis of the abundance of thiol reductases in extracts from a guard cell-enriched fraction A. Coomassie Blue-stained gel of SDS-PAGE separated proteins from leaf blades (L) and GCE fractions. The arrows indicate proteins more abundant in the GCE fraction. LSU and SSU, large and small RubisCO subunits, respectively. **B.** Western blot analysis of RubisCO subunits and of various types of thiol reductases in L and GCE fractions. Blots (20 to 30  $\mu$ g proteins per lane, depending on the serum used, for both fractions) were probed using antibodies raised against RubisCO (1:10,000), TRX h3 (1:20,000), 2-CysPRX (1:10,000) 2-CysPRX-Ox, hyperoxidized 2-CysPRX (1:4000), PRXQ (1:1000), PRX-IIE (1:10,000) PRXII-B (1:20,000) and GRXS14 (1:800). For the latter, the asterisk points to a non-specific band and the two arrows indicate two GRX redox forms.

## Figure 2



Functional Categorization (GO Cellular Component)

Figure 2: Functional categorization was performed by making use of the GO annotation retrieval tool available on the site TAIR: <u>https://www.arabidopsis.org/tools/bulk/go/index.jsp</u>. Analysis was retrieved on genes encoding the 25% most abundant proteins of each sample (in broken epidermis, 1174 proteins having a Log<sub>2</sub> of imputed normiBAQ  $\geq$  23,93 and in leaf, 1063 proteins  $\geq$  23.66)



#### Figure 3. Stomatal phenotype of the Arabidopsis ntra ntrb mutant

A. Stomatal density. **B**. Stomatal index. Data for **A** and **B** are means  $\pm$  SD of 12 independent values using peeled epidermis from three leaves per genotype. Plants were grown for 4 weeks in control conditions: moderate light (200 µmol photons.m<sup>-2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>) and short photoperiod (8 h) at 22°C. **C**. Stomatal conductance measurements using porometry. Measurements of the water efflux from leaf abaxial side were performed on plants grown for 6 weeks in control conditions. Conductance values are means  $\pm$  SD of twelve average values per genotype originating from independent plants (8 measurements per plant for each genotype per experiment). \*\*\*, significantly different from the WT value with P < 0.001 (*t*-test). **D**. and **E**. Infrared thermal imaging. Plants were dark-adapted. False colored infrared images of 6-week-old plants grown in control conditions. The color scale on the right shows increasing temperature from purple to yellow. Pictures were taken at the end of the night period two hours before the light period. As a control, the *ost2-2D* mutant was used and shown to exhibit lower leaf temperature due to open stomata in the dark (Merlot et al., 2007). *ntra ntrb*, double *ntra ntrb* mutant plants.



#### Figure 4. Stomatal phenotype of Arabidopsis mutants deficient in plastidial PRXs

**A**. Stomatal density. **B**. Stomatal index. Data for **A** and **B** are means  $\pm$  SD of 12 independent values using peeled epidermis from three leaves per genotype. Plants were grown for 4 weeks in control conditions: moderate light (200 µmol photons.m<sup>-2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>) and short photoperiod (8 h) at 22°C. **C**. Stomatal conductance measurements using porometry. Measurements of the water efflux from leaf abaxial side were performed on plants grown for 6 weeks in control conditions. Conductance values are means  $\pm$  SD of eight average values per genotype originating from independent plants (8 measurements per plant for each genotype per experiment). \*\*, significantly different from the WT value with P < 0.01 (*t*-test). **D**. and **E**. Infrared thermal imaging. Plants were dark-adapted. False colored infrared images of 6-week-old plants grown in control conditions. The color scale on the right shows increasing temperature from purple to yellow. Pictures were taken at the end of the night period two hours before the light period. 2*cprx*, double 2*cysprxa* 2*cysprxb* mutant plants; *prxq*, knockout *PRXQ* plants.



Plant, Cell & Environment





**Figure 5. Stomatal phenotype of Arabidopsis lines modified for plastidial type-II GRXs. A**. Stomatal density. **B**. Stomatal index. Data for **A** and **B** are means  $\pm$  SD of 12 independent values using peeled epidermis from three leaves per genotype. Plants were grown for 4 weeks in control conditions: moderate light (200 µmol photons.m<sup>-2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>) and short photoperiod (8 h) at 22°C. **C.** Stomatal conductance measurements using porometry. Measurements of the water efflux from leaf abaxial side were performed on plants grown for 6 weeks in control conditions. Conductance values are means  $\pm$  SD of at least 17 average values per genotype originating from independent plants (8 measurements per plant for each genotype per experiment). \*\*\*, significantly different from the WT value with P < 0.001 (*t*-test). **D**. and **E**. Infrared thermal imaging. Plants were dark-adapted. False colored infrared images of 6-week-old plants grown in control conditions. Pictures were taken at the end of the night period two hours before the light period. The color scale on the right shows increasing temperature from purple to yellow. As a control, the *ost2-2D* mutant was used and shown to exhibit lower leaf temperature due to open stomata in the dark (Merlot et al., 2007). KI1 and KI2, independent *grxS14* GRXS16-RNAi lines; OE1 and OE2, independent *GRXS14*-overexpressing lines.







Stomatal aperture measurements in response to ABA concentrations of 0.5, 1, 10 and 100  $\mu$ M (**A**-**B**) or to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentrations of 10, 100 and 1000  $\mu$ M (**C**-**D**) in light-treated epidermis. Data in panels **B** and **D** were calculated from the original raw data reported in panels **A** and **C**, respectively, and represent the inhibitions of stomatal aperture due to the treatments expressed in percents of that measured in light alone. Data represent means  $\pm$  SD of 2 independent experiments with 60 measurements per genotype and treatment. Means were compared with the ANOVA test, and letters represent groups of means not statistically different (P<0.05). 2cprx, double 2cysprxa 2cysprxb mutant plants; KI1 and KI2, independent grxS14 GRXS16-RNAi lines; ntra ntrb, double ntra ntrb mutant plants.



Figure 7. Model for positioning putative roles of plastidial 2-CysPRXs and type-II GRXs in the signaling network governing stomatal closure. Based on the results gained on epidermal peels showing modified responses of mutants to ABA and  $H_2O_2$  and the known biochemical functions of these enzymes, we propose that they participate in  $H_2O_2$ -related transduction pathways. 2-CysPRXs might scavenge  $H_2O_2$  within plastid or promote oxidation of partners that remain to be identified and are involved, when oxidized, in a pathway mitigating stomatal closure. Type II-GRXs might prevent oxidation of partners, the oxidized form of which could trigger closure. The roles of cytosolic NTRs and other TRs in guard cells remain to be determined.



Figure S1

Figure S1: Transcriptomic analysis of *TR* expression in guard and mesophyll cell protoplasts. Data were retrieved from the microarray analyses described by Yang et al., (2008). RNA was isolated from protoplasts prepared from guard or mesophyll cells and hybridized to the ATH1 GeneChip. Two independent hybridization experiments per cell type were performed. Means and SD of expression levels were retrieved using the Arabidopsis eFP Browser at bar-utoronto.ca (Winter et al., 2007). Only *TR* genes displaying GC/MC or MC/GC ratios of expression  $\geq 2$  were considered for this figure. A, NADPH-Thioredoxin reductase A (NTRA) and thioredoxins h2, h3, h4, h5, TDX, o1, o2, Clot, m3, Lillium-1 and CDSP32; **B**, Glutaredoxins S15 and ROXY10, peroxiredoxin II-B (II-B), glutathione peroxidase 2 (GPX2), and methionine sulfoxide reductases A1 and A4.



**Figure S2. Gene expression in guard cells.** *TRXh2* (A), *TRXh3* (B), *NTRB* (C) and *PRX-IIB* (D) gene promoters were fused to  $\beta$ -glucuronidase (*GUS*) as a reporter gene and gene expression was monitored in 15 day-old plants by histochemical analysis. Inset: focus on one single stomata.



#### Figure S3. Characterization of mutants deficient in the expression of *PRXQ* and *TRXh3* genes.

**A.** Genotyping of SAIL\_742\_G10 plants (*prxq*) using primers corresponding to 5' and 3' ends of *PRXQ* coding sequence. **B**. Genotyping of SAIL\_314\_G04 (*trxh3-1*) using primers corresponding to 5' and 3' ends of *TRXh3* coding sequence. WT: wild type. **C**. Western blot analysis of PRXQ abundance in WT and *prxq* plants. **D**. Western blot analysis of TRX h3 abundance in WT, *trxh3-1* (homozygous SAIL\_742\_G10) and *trxh3-2* (homozygous SALK\_111160) plants.



Figure S4. Growth of plants modified for the expression of various *TR* genes. Plants were grown for 5 or 6 weeks in control conditions: moderate light (200 µmol photons.m<sup>-2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>) and short photoperiod (8 h) at 22°C. Rosette weight values are means  $\pm$  SD of four to six average values originating from independent experiments (at least 6 plants for each genotype per experiment). \* and \*\*\*, significantly different from the WT value with P < 0.05 and P < 0.001, respectively (*t*-test). 2*cprx*, double 2*cysprxa* 2*cysprxb* mutant plants (A); *ntra ntrb*, double *ntra ntrb* mutant plants (B); *prxq* (A), *grxs17* (C), *trxh3-1*, *trxh3-2* (D) and *trxo1-1*, *trxo1-2* (E) mutants lacking PRXQ, GRXS17, TRXh3 and TRXo1, respectively.



Figure S5. Photograph of wild type (WT) plants and of plants modified for the expression of various *TR* genes. Plants were grown for 5 weeks in control conditions: moderate light (200  $\mu$ mol photons.m<sup>-2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>) and short photoperiod (8 h) at 22°C. 2*cprx*, double 2*cysprxa* 2*cysprxb* mutant plant ; *ntra ntrb*, double *ntra ntrb* mutant plant; KI1 and KI2, independent *grxS14* GRXS16-RNAi lines..





Plants grown for 6 weeks in control conditions: moderate light (200  $\mu$ mol photons.m<sup>-2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>) and short photoperiod (8 h) at 22°C. Data are means  $\pm$  SD of at least 5 values originating from adult leaves taken from independent plants. \*\*\*, significantly different from the WT value with P < 0.001, (*t*-test). *2cprx*, double *2cysprxa 2cysprxb* mutant plants; *ntra ntrb*, double *ntra ntrb* mutant plants; *prxq, grxs17, trxh3* and *trxo1*, mutants lacking PRXQ, GRXS17, TRXh3 and TRXo1, respectively.



Figure S7. Stomatal density (A and C) and stomatal index (B and D) of Arabidopsis lines affected in the expression of genes coding for various types of thiol reductases. Data are means  $\pm$  SD of 12 independent values using peeled epidermis from three leaves per genotype. Plants were grown for 4 weeks in control conditions: moderate light (200 µmol photons.m<sup>-</sup><sup>2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>) and short photoperiod (8 h) at 22°C. *grxs17*, *trxh3*, *trxo1* and *grxs14*, mutants lacking GRXS17, TRXh3, TRXo1 and GRXS14, respectively; C-GRXS16 C2 and C4, two independent *GRXS16*-cosuppressed lines; OE-GRXS16 O4 and O5, two independent *GRXS16*-overexpressing lines.



Figure S8. Stomatal conductance of Arabidopsis lines affected in the expression of genes coding for various types of thiol reductases. Measurements of the water efflux from the leaf abaxial side were performed using porometry on plants grown for 6 weeks in control conditions. Conductance values are means  $\pm$  SD of six average values originating from independent plants (8 measurements per plant for each genotype per experiment); *trxh3* (A-B), *trxo1* (C) and *grxs17* (D), mutants lacking TRXh3, TRXo1 and GRXS17, respectively; *grxs14* and OE-GRXS14 OE1 (E), lines lacking *GRXS14* expression and overexpressing *GRXS14*, respectively; C-GRXS16 C2 and C4 (F), two independent *GRXS16*-cosuppressed lines; OE-GRXS16 O4 and O5 (G), two independent *GRXS16*-overexpressing lines.