

# How to stand the heat? Post-stress nutrition and developmental stage determine insect response to a heat wave

Corentin Iltis, Philippe Louapre, Fanny Vogelweith, Fanny Vogelweith, Denis Thiéry, Jérôme Moreau

## ► To cite this version:

Corentin Iltis, Philippe Louapre, Fanny Vogelweith, Fanny Vogelweith, Denis Thiéry, et al.. How to stand the heat? Post-stress nutrition and developmental stage determine insect response to a heat wave. Journal of Insect Physiology, 2021, 131, pp.104214. 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2021.104214. hal-03182904

## HAL Id: hal-03182904 https://hal.science/hal-03182904v1

Submitted on 22 Apr 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1	How to stand the heat? Post-stress nutrition and developmental
2	stage determine insect response to a heat wave
3	Corentin Iltis <sup>*a, b</sup> , Philippe Louâpre <sup>a</sup> , Fanny Vogelweith <sup>c</sup> , Denis Thiéry <sup>d</sup> , and Jérôme
4	Moreau <sup>a, e</sup>
5	<sup>a</sup> UMR CNRS 6282 Biogéosciences, Université Bourgogne Franche-Comté,
6	6 Boulevard Gabriel, 21000 Dijon, France.
7	<sup>b</sup> Current affiliation: Earth and Life Institute (ELI), Biodiversity Research Centre, Université
8	Catholique de Louvain, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium.
9	<sup>c</sup> M2i Biocontrol, Caunezil, 46140 Parnac, France.
10	<sup>d</sup> UMR INRAe 1065 Santé et Agroécologie du Vignoble, Institut des Sciences de la Vigne et du
11	Vin, 71 Avenue Edouard Bourlaux, 33882 Villenave-d'Ornon, France.
12	<sup>e</sup> UMR 7372 Centre d'Etudes Biologiques de Chizé, CNRS & La Rochelle Université, 79360
13	Villiers-en-Bois, France.
14	
15	E-mail adresses and ORCID ID
16	Corentin Iltis: corentin.iltis@gmail.com ORCID: 0000-0002-1379-8029
17	Philippe Louâpre: philippe.louapre@u-bourgogne.fr ORCID: 0000-0003-1550-3797
18	Fanny Vogelweith: fanny.vogelweith@gmail.com ORCID: 0000-0002-3595-6702
19	Denis Thiéry: denis.thiery@inrae.fr ORCID: 0000-0002-9510-5651
20	Jérôme Moreau: jerome.moreau@u-bourgogne.fr ORCID: 0000-0001-9984-0998
21	* Corresponding author. E-mail: corentin.iltis@gmail.com

#### 22 Abstract

23 Organisms are increasingly confronted with intense and long-lasting heat waves. In insects, the effects of heat waves on individual performance can vary in magnitude both within (e.g. 24 25 from one larval instar to another) and between life stages. However, the reasons underlying these stage-dependent effects are not fully understood. There are several lines of evidence 26 27 suggesting that individual ability to withstand a heat stress depends on mechanisms based on nutrition and supporting energetically physiological stress responses. Hence, we tested the 28 hypothesis that the efficiency of these food-based buffering mechanisms may vary between 29 different larval instars of a phytophagous insect. Using larvae of the moth Lobesia botrana, 30 we examined the importance of post-stress food quality in insect response to a non-lethal heat 31 wave at two distinct larval instars. Three major conclusions were drawn from this work. First, 32 33 heat waves induced an overall decline in larval performance (delayed development, depressed immunity). Second, food quality primarily mediated the insect's ability to respond to the heat 34 stress: the reduction in performance following heat wave application was mostly restricted to 35 individuals with access to low-quality food after the heat stress. Third, larval instars differed 36 in their susceptibility to this combination of thermal and food stressors, but conclusions about 37 the instar being the most vulnerable differed in a trait-specific manner. In a global warming 38 context, this study may shed additional light on the combination of direct and indirect 39 (through alteration of plant nutritional value) effects of rising temperatures on the ecology and 40 41 the evolution of phytophagous insects.

#### 42 Keywords

Climate change; European grapevine moth; food quality; heat wave; larval nutrition; stage-specific effects.

## 45 **1. Introduction**

46 Ongoing climate change is recognised as a major threat imperilling biodiversity, from individual survival to ecosystem structure and functioning (Bellard et al., 2012; Parmesan, 47 48 2006; Walther et al., 2002). This complex phenomenon cannot simply be reduced to an overall rise in mean temperature, but also involves more subtle changes in temperature 49 variability at different temporal scales (Easterling et al., 1997; IPCC, 2014; Meehl and 50 Tebaldi, 2004). Specifically, a widely recognised feature of the predicted climate alterations 51 for the coming century is the considerable increase in the frequency, duration and magnitude 52 of extreme thermal events, especially heat waves (Easterling et al., 2000; Meehl and Tebaldi, 53 2004; Perkins et al., 2012). Although there is no consensual climatological definition of a heat 54 wave (Meehl and Tebaldi, 2004; Smith et al., 2013), it often refers to as extended periods of 55 56 exposure to abnormally high temperatures (for definitions and indexes, see Smith et al., 2013). 57

As ectothermic animals, insects have only a limited capacity to keep their body 58 temperature constant in a changing thermal environment (Angilletta et al., 2010; Huey and 59 Stevenson, 1979). Therefore, they are particularly vulnerable to the threat posed by extreme 60 61 high temperatures, the effects of which span across communities, populations and individuals (González-Tokman et al., 2020; Ma et al., 2021; Stoks et al., 2017). At the community level, 62 heat waves might affect the species assemblage through changes in the relative dominance of 63 competing species and alteration of interspecific relationships (Ma et al., 2015b; Sentis et al., 64 2013). At the population level, they might lead to a decline in demographic rates and 65 66 modification of the genetic constitution of natural populations (Chiu et al., 2015; Rodriguez-Trelles et al., 2013). Finally, at the individual level, they may unleash a wide diversity of 67 biological responses (González-Tokman et al., 2020; Ma et al., 2021). Most of the time, this 68 consists of an overall reduction in several traits related to individual performance, such as 69

70 growth and survival of immature stages (Chiu et al., 2015; Ma et al., 2015a; Potter et al.,

2011), adult lifespan and reproductive success (Zhang et al., 2015a, 2015b, 2013), immunity

72 (Fischer et al., 2014) and the accumulation of fat reserves (Fischer et al., 2014).

73 Within a single insect species, individual responses to a heat wave can vary from a permanent decrease in performance to a total recovery from the physiological damage and 74 fitness costs arising from exposure to high temperature spikes (Zhang et al., 2015a, 2015b; 75 Zhao et al., 2019). This variability can in part be explained by the timing of when the heat 76 wave occurs during insect ontogeny and, subsequently, the life stage affected by this heat 77 78 event. Indeed, the available body of knowledge indicates ontogenetic variation in heat 79 tolerance both within (e.g. from one larval instar to another) and between developmental 80 stages (Chiu et al., 2015; Klockmann et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2015b, 2015a). For instance, 81 heat tolerance increases exponentially in larvae of the tropical butterfly *Bicyclus anynana* (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae) as they develop and get closer to metamorphosis (Klockmann et 82 al., 2017). In the diamondback moth Plutella xylostella (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae), a brief 83 larval exposure to 40°C for a few hours caused detrimental effects on adult reproduction, and 84 the magnitude of these negative effects was increasingly greater as the heat stress occurred at 85 86 a developmental stage closer to the adult stage (Zhang et al., 2015a). The modularity of insect development could explain these stage-dependent effects of heat wave (Potter et al., 2011). 87 This hypothesis lies on the discrepancies between insect life stages in terms of morphological 88 (e.g. body size, morphology), physiological (e.g. accumulated resources, heat shock response) 89 90 and behavioural traits (e.g. thermoregulation) to account for the ontogenetic variation in heat tolerance (Bowler and Terblanche, 2008; Klockmann et al., 2017; Ma and Ma, 2012). 91

92 The individual ability to recover from heat injuries should hinge on the post-stress
93 acquisition of energetic resources essential for physiological stress responses to take place
94 (Klockmann et al., 2017; Van Dievel et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2015a). For example, feeding

responses (e.g. increased food intake and growth rate) may specifically occur following 95 exposure to heat waves and determine their consequences in terms of energy storage (fat 96 content) and immunity (Van Dievel et al., 2017). In this regard, it is generally understood that 97 food restriction can exacerbate the negative impacts of a heat stress on insect performance 98 (e.g. survival, immunity, reproductive success): performance drops when food is limited while 99 needed the most (Adamo et al., 2012; Karl et al., 2011). Nonetheless, whether or not the 100 101 efficiency of such buffering mechanisms based on nutrition may differ across ontogenetic stages to account for the stage-dependency of heat wave impacts on individual performance is 102 still an open question. One could expect the efficiency of these mechanisms to vary in a stage-103 104 dependent fashion because physiological requirements and feeding activity should be highly 105 variable within and between developmental stages (Zhang et al., 2015a). Furthermore, postulating that recovering from heat stress through nutrition is a time-consuming process, 106 there should be less and less time available to express an appropriate feeding response when 107 the heat wave happens later and later during insect development. 108

109 Here, we propose an experimental study to examine the role played by nutrition in the heat stress response at different steps of larval development in a phytophagous insect. Our aim 110 was to test the hypothesis of ontogenetic variation in the efficiency of food-based buffering 111 mechanisms following application of a non-lethal heat wave. This was done by means of a 112 full factorial design, enabling to quantify the fitness impacts of the heat wave relative to a 113 control thermal treatment for different combinations of larval instar and food quality available 114 115 for post-stress recovery. Indeed, we manipulated the ability of the larvae to recover from heat loads by means of feeding through the variation in the larval instar exposed to the heat stress 116 117 (determining the time allowed for recovery) and the variation in the nutritional quality of the food available after the heat wave (determining the time needed to regenerate from the heat 118 damage). We used larvae of the European grapevine moth Lobesia botrana (Lepidoptera: 119

Tortricidae), one of the most destructive insect pests for winemaking activities in the 120 Palearctic region (Thiéry et al., 2018). This species is a capital breeder, meaning that its 121 feeding activity is restricted to larvae. As such, feeding during the larval stage represents the 122 unique opportunity to repair the physiological damage induced by exposure to a heat wave. 123 To adopt an integrative approach of individual larval performance, we measured: (i) the body 124 amounts of four major energetic compounds stocked before pupation (proteins, lipids, soluble 125 126 carbohydrates, glycogen), and (ii) the basal levels of different key immune effectors – 127 haemocyte load and activity of the phenoloxidase/prophenoloxidase system - in the context of host-parasitoid interactions (Carton et al., 2008; Poyet et al., 2013). Indeed, the encapsulation 128 129 of parasitoid eggs is ensured by a cellular response involving the recruitment of haemocytes 130 (immune cells of invertebrates) (Lavine and Strand, 2002). Then, parasitoid eggs are killed through a melanotic response triggered by the enzyme phenoloxidase (PO), which is produced 131 from its inactive precursor - the prophenoloxidase (PPO) - stored in the haemocytes and the 132 haemolymph (González-Santoyo and Córdoba-Aguilar, 2012). 133

134 We expect complex patterns of response to heat wave conditions, depending on the combination of larval instar being affected and the quality of the nutritional diet being 135 provided after the heat wave (i.e. depending on the duration of exposure to the different diets 136 after the heat wave). We expect young larvae (characterised by small body size, low mobility, 137 few accumulated resources) to be the most vulnerable to the heat wave and subsequent heat-138 driven decline in performance. Indeed, upper lethal temperature increases with ontogeny in L. 139 botrana: from 32°C for eggs to 34°C and 36°C for early (first and second) and late larval 140 instars (third to fifth), respectively (Briere and Pracros, 1998). Moreover, survival rates of L. 141 142 *botrana* cohorts decrease more steeply during the first days of larval development at 30°C by comparison with cooler conditions (20°C), thereby indicating the high susceptibility to heat 143 conditions of larvae at their early steps of development in this species (Moshtaghi Maleki et 144

al., 2016). As such, their response to the heat wave should highly depend on the nutritional 145 value of the food available post-stress, especially since they are exposed to post-stress food 146 treatments for a relatively long duration. Specifically, the negative impacts of the heat wave 147 on larval performance should display a lower magnitude for larvae fed with a high-quality 148 149 diet after the heat wave (most likely to fully or at least partially recover from heat damage) than those provisioned with a low-quality diet. Inversely, we expect larvae closer to pupation 150 151 to be the most resistant to the heat wave and to be exposed to post-stress food treatments for a relatively short duration, such that larval nutrition should not significantly modulate their 152 response to the heat wave. 153

#### 154 **2. Materials and methods**

#### 155 2.1. Insect stock and rearing methods

This study was conducted using a laboratory stock of *L. botrana* maintained without diapause 156 under standard controlled conditions (temperature:  $20 \pm 0.5$  °C, relative humidity:  $60 \pm 5\%$ , 157 158 photoperiod: L17:D6 and 1 h of dusk, luminosity: 600 lx during photophase and 100 lx at dusk). All individuals used in the experiments originated from a large stock with a high 159 density of adults (several thousands of caged moths per week) supplemented annually with 160 161 wild individuals sampled in nearby vineyards (Semillon cultivar: 44.46°N; 0.32°E) to enhance the genetic diversity. In this regard, it has been shown that larvae from this laboratory stock 162 display similar patterns of investment in basal immunity and ability to respond to a bacterial 163 infection compared with field-collected individuals (Vogelweith et al., 2014). 164

During a one-month period, a total of 2843 larvae were continuously collected from the insect stock. Throughout the experiments, these newly born individuals (age < 24 h) were regularly and haphazardly assigned to one of the eight experimental blocks (each defined by the combination of one thermal treatment, larval instar, and food treatment) constituting the

full factorial design involved in this work (see the subsection 2.4.). Using a fine paintbrush, 169 170 they were delicately transferred to microtubes filled with 1.5 ml of rearing medium for which the recipe was developed from Thiéry and Moreau (2005) (Table 1). Hereafter, this nutritional 171 diet will be referred to as the augmented medium (AM) considering its high nutritive value for 172 L. botrana larvae, since it provides higher larval and adult performance compared with semi-173 synthetic food derived from plant material (grapevine or alternative hosts) (Moreau et al., 174 2006; Muller et al., 2015; Thiéry and Moreau, 2005; Vogelweith et al., 2011). Feeding the 175 176 freshly collected larvae with AM was a way to limit larval mortality and possible artificial selection. Moreover, it ensured that individuals assigned to the heat wave treatment were in 177 178 good health before being subjected to the heat wave. Larvae were raised individually in 179 microtubes to avoid larval intraspecific competition for food (Thiéry et al., 2014). Once deposited in their rearing tube, all larvae were immediately transferred to the normal thermal 180 regime described below (see the subsection 2.2.). Thermal regimes were run with climate 181 chambers (ST 2/2 BASIC, Pol-Eko Aparatura). Abiotic conditions inside these devices were 182 controlled (temperature  $\pm 0.1^{\circ}$ C, 50  $\pm 10\%$  relative humidity, L18: D6, 650 lx). Temperatures 183 were checked once a week with independent data loggers (Hobo, Onset Computer 184 185 Corporation) to ensure they conformed to the daily thermal cycle programmed during the 186 entire experiments.

**Table 1** Composition of the two larval diets used in the experiments (for a final volume of 1000 ml). Freshly collected larvae were fed with the augmented medium (AM) as a highly nutritive food until they were distributed among the two food treatments (at a larval age of 7 days or 14 days). One subset was kept on AM, and another group of larvae was transferred to depleted medium (DM) as a poorly nutritive food until the end of the experiments. The recipe of DM was elaborated by halving the quantity of plant material (maize flour and wheat germs) by comparison with AM, without further modification regarding the other ingredients.

	Augmented medium (AM)	Depleted medium (DM)
Water (ml)	1000	1000
Agar (g)	15	15
Maize flour (g)	86.6	43.3
Wheat germs (g)	41.3	20.6
Beer yeast (g)	45.5	45.5
Ascorbic acid (g)	6	6
Mineral salt (g)	3.4	3.4
Pyrimethanil (mg)	128	128
Benzoic acid (mg)	2.7	2.7
Nipagin <sup>®</sup> (mg)	2.8	2.8
95% ethanol (ml)	5	5

#### 195 *2.2. Thermal treatment: temperature regimes*

196 Thermal treatment was comprised of two levels labelled as control and heat wave. As a first step, all individuals used in the experiments were placed in the normal thermal regime 197 198 immediately after their initial deposition in rearing tubes. This daily fluctuating temperature regime simulated a mean summer day (from 15 July to 15 August) likely to be observed in 199 200 Burgundy (Eastern France,  $47.27^{\circ}$ N;  $5.09^{\circ}$ E; altitude = 219 m) by the end of the century (2081-2100) (for more details, see Iltis et al., 2019). It was constituted by a daily thermal 201 cycle comprised of six temperature segments (each lasting 4 h) and included a photoperiod of 202 203 L16:D8 to reproduce natural day and night durations during summertime (Fig. 1A).

204 Individuals assigned to the control thermal treatment were not exposed to the heat wave and therefore left developing in the normal regime until the measurements were taken. 205 Individuals undergoing the heat wave treatment were transferred from incubators running the 206 normal regime to incubators running the heat wave regime at a given standardised time, and 207 208 maintained in the heat wave regime for 48 h precisely, after which they returned to the normal regime (Fig. 1B). The heat wave regime was built based on the normal regime with  $a + 5^{\circ}C$ 209 210 increment in mean temperature (i.e. a constant increase of 5°C over all temperature segments) 211 (Fig. 1A). From a climatological perspective, our experimental simulation of a heat wave matches the definition provided by Tan et al. (2006), namely a period of at least one day 212 during which the daily maximum temperature exceeds 35°C. From an ecological perspective, 213 214 the heat wave regime included temperatures exceeding by more than 5°C the thermal optimum for development in *L. botrana* larvae (28-30°C), thus highlighting the stressful 215 216 nature of these extreme (though non-lethal) thermal conditions for L. botrana larvae (Gutierrez et al., 2012). By comparing larval performance under expected 'normal' and heat 217 wave conditions associated with climate change projections, our study enables to explore the 218 consequences of future heat events on L. botrana in the context of anthropogenic climate 219

disturbance, as these heat waves are anticipated to become an increasingly importantcomponent of future climates.

Provided that L. botrana larvae require 17-18 days on average to complete all five 222 223 larval instars within the normal regime (Iltis et al., 2019), the duration of exposure to the heat wave regime was set to two days (approximately 10% of the total larval development 224 duration) for two main reasons. The first was to maximise the age difference between 'young' 225 226 (second instar) and 'old' larvae (fourth instar) at the time of the heat wave application while avoiding temporal overlap in the exposure to the heat wave between these two larval age 227 groups. The second reason was to avoid measurements on larvae that had initiated pupation 228 229 after the occurrence of the heat wave, because L. botrana larvae may respond to an environmental stress by accelerating their development and reaching earlier pupation 230 231 (Vogelweith et al., 2013a).





Fig. 1. Description of the thermal treatments (temperature regimes) applied. Two daily 233 fluctuating regimes were used in the experiments: the normal regime (black) and the heat 234 wave regime (red), built by translating the normal regime according to a constant  $+5^{\circ}$ C 235 increment over the six thermal segments (A). Larvae assigned to the control thermal treatment 236 237 were simply kept within the normal regime during the whole course of the experiments (14 days), while those undergoing the heat wave treatment were first placed in the normal regime, 238 then subjected at one particular larval age to a heat wave extending over 48h, before returning 239 to the normal regime until the experiments ended (B). 240

#### 241 2.3. Larval instar and food treatment: diet compositions

Larvae were subjected to heat wave conditions at two distinct larval ages: 5 days,

corresponding to the second larval instar (L2), or 10 days, corresponding to the fourth larval 243 244 instar (L4). These two larval ages were selected to minimise variability in developmental stage within each group, based on available information about the relative durations of the 245 different larval instars in L. botrana at different temperatures (Moshtaghi Maleki et al., 2016; 246 Thiéry and Moreau, 2005). During the heat wave, larvae were supplied with AM as they had 247 not been changed from rearing tube since the start of the experiments. Once the heat wave 248 ended (i.e. 7 days after the initial deposition for L2 or 12 days after the initial deposition for 249 L4), the larvae were gently manipulated with a fine paintbrush to be extracted from their 250 rearing tube and placed into a new tube filled with either AM as a high nutritional quality diet 251 252 or depleted medium (DM) as a low nutritional quality diet. The depleted medium recipe was designed based on a previous work (Thiéry and Moreau, 2005) and was defined by a 50% 253 254 decrease in plant material by comparison with AM (Table 1). This resulted in an alteration of 255 diet nutritional value from both quantitative (i.e. dilution effect, since less plant material was 256 added to the same volume of medium) and qualitative perspectives (i.e. reduction in the carbohydrate-to-protein ratio, since the plant material is the main source of carbohydrates in 257 the diet recipe). Importantly, such an alteration of diet nutritional value is known to severely 258 depress L. botrana larval performance, ranking DM as a particularly poorly nutritive food for 259 the insect (Moreau et al., 2006; Thiéry and Moreau, 2005). In order to standardise the stress 260 261 associated with the manipulation, all larvae were transferred to a new rearing tube – either at 7 or 12 days – including individuals that did not change thermal regime (i.e. individuals 262 263 maintained in the normal thermal regime all throughout the experiments) and those that did not experience a shift in diet quality (i.e. individuals raised on AM all throughout the 264 experiments). 265

## 266 2.4. Overall experimental design

To summarise, we compared the performance of larvae continuously reared in normal thermal conditions (control thermal treatment) to larvae briefly exposed to a non-lethal heat wave (heat wave treatment), and manipulated both the stage (larval instar: L2 or L4) at which the heat wave occurred during larval development and the nutritional quality of the food available after the heat wave (food treatment: AM or DM). Hence, this work was performed using a full factorial design with eight experimental blocks resulting from the combination of three factors (thermal treatment, larval instar and food treatment) of two levels each (Fig. 2).





Fig. 2. General design of the experiment with the eight experimental blocks resulting from the 275 combination of thermal treatment (control versus heat wave), larval instar (L2 versus L4) and 276 277 food treatment (augmented medium versus depleted medium). First instar larvae (L1, age < 1 day) were placed in the normal thermal regime (green) and fed with augmented medium (AM, 278 solid arrow) until they were split between the different food treatments. At a larval age of 5 279 280 days (corresponding to the second larval instar, L2) or 10 days (fourth larval instar, L4), they were either kept in the normal regime (control thermal treatment) or briefly exposed to the 281 heat wave regime (red) for two days before returning to the normal regime (heat wave 282 treatment). Subsequently, at a larval age of either 7 days (L2) or 12 days (L4), all individuals 283 were placed in the normal regime, isolated in new rearing tube and either kept supplied with 284 285 AM or transferred to depleted medium (DM, hatched arrow) until the measurements were taken. All measurements were carried out at a larval age of 14 days (corresponding to the fifth 286 and last larval instar, L5). 287

#### 288 2.5. General procedure for measurements

289 All energetic and immune measurements were taken at a larval age of 14 days after initial deposition in rearing tubes, corresponding to the fifth and last larval instar in this species. For 290 291 standardisation purposes, individuals displaying a developmental stage that was too advanced (larvae starting to pupate) were discarded for the subsequent measurements. As a measure of 292 293 development, the pupation rate at 14 days was then calculated for each of the eight experimental blocks. It was expressed as the ratio of the number of individuals removed from 294 the experiments following the above selection procedure to the total number of larvae alive at 295 296 14 days. Of note, larval survival up until the measurements was very high (90-96%) across the eight experimental blocks. At the time of the measurements, larvae were haphazardly 297 298 distributed among two experimental groups, because the energetic and immune traits could 299 not have been measured on the same individuals. In Group 1, larvae were flash-frozen and thereafter their energetic budgets were quantified through colorimetric assays. In Group 2, 300 haemolymph samples were collected to assess the basal levels of different immune parameters 301 302 (haemocyte load and activity of the PO/PPO system).

303 2.6. Group 1: Energetic reserves

The energetic budgets were quantified for a subsample comprised of 327 individuals: 162 304 larvae assigned to the control thermal treatment (n = 43 for L2AM, n = 45 for L2DM, n = 37305 306 for L4AM, n = 37 for L4DM) and 165 larvae assigned to the heat wave treatment (n = 41 for L2AM, n = 42 for L2DM, n = 33 for L4AM, n = 49 for L4DM). Fourteen day-old larvae were 307 308 placed in empty microtubes and instantaneously frozen by immersion in liquid nitrogen. 309 These samples were kept at -80°C until the energetic assays were run. Larvae were weighed with a microbalance (Quintix 35-15, Sartorius) to measure fresh body mass ( $\pm 0.1$  mg), before 310 311 being crushed with stainless steel beads (tubes shaken for 90 s at 25 Hz) in 180 µl of aqueous 312 lysis buffer solution (composition: 100 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 1 mM dithiothreitol DTT, 1 mM

ethylenediaminetetraacetic EDTA, pH = 7.4). The energetic budgets of the larvae were 313 estimated through quantification of the body amounts of the four major energetic 314 compartments in insects (proteins, lipids, soluble carbohydrates and glycogen) using 315 spectrophotometric methods (for a detailed description of these methods, see Iltis et al., 2019). 316 This procedure enables to simultaneously evaluate, in the same individual, the body levels of 317 the four nutrients (Iltis et al., 2019). For the proteins, a Bradford approach involving a DC 318 Protein Assay kit (Bio-Rad) was used. For the three other energetic compounds, biochemical 319 320 measurements were based on the protocol developed by Foray et al. (2012), which has been successfully used to evaluate the energetic state of L. botrana larvae in a recent work (Iltis et 321 al., 2019). Absorbance readings were carried out at room temperature in a microplate reader 322 323 (Versamax, Molecular Devices), with the SoftMax Pro software (version 4.0, Molecular Devices). The protein and lipid measurements were taken in two replicates, and individuals 324 for whom the coefficient of variation calculated over these two values exceeded 30% were 325 excluded from the experiments (Iltis et al., 2019). The measurements displayed a high 326 repeatability overall, as suggested by the low percentages of individuals removed from the 327 protein dataset (1/327 = 0.3%) and the lipid dataset (17/327 = 5.2%). 328

### 329 2.7. Group 2: Immune parameters

Haemolymph samples were taken on a subset of 314 larvae: 161 individuals assigned to the control thermal treatment (n = 43 for L2AM, n = 45 for L2DM, n = 35 for L4AM, n = 38 for L4DM), and 153 individuals assigned to the heat wave treatment (n = 38 for L2AM, n = 37for L2DM, n = 32 for L4AM, n = 46 for L4DM). Larvae were chilled on ice for 15 min to facilitate manipulation, before being photographed using a stereomicroscope at a magnification of 12.5x (Stemi 508, Zeiss). These photographic data were subsequently analysed with the ZEN imaging software (version 2.3, Zeiss) to measure the distance between

the most distant lateral sides of the head capsule (precision:  $\pm 1 \ \mu m$ ) as a proxy of individual

338 body size and to control for potential allometric effects on larval immunity (Vogelweith et al., 2013b). For this experimental group, larval body size was measured rather than larval body 339 mass because alive larvae – particularly fifth instar ones – are particularly active when 340 manipulated and they are difficult to accurately weigh (Muller et al., 2015). Immediately 341 following the acquisition of the photographic data, larvae were placed on a cold surface and 342 pricked in the dorsal part of the abdomen to collect a 1 µl sample of haemolymph with a cold 343 sterile glass capillary (Hirschmann Laborgeräte). This sample was gently mixed with 20 µl of 344 filtered, cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, 10 mM, pH 7.4). A volume of 10 µl of this 345 solution was pipetted into a Neubauer improved haemocytometer placed under a phase 346 347 contrast microscope at a magnification of 400x (Primo Star, Zeiss) in order to evaluate the 348 haemocyte load. The remaining 11 µl of diluted haemolymph samples were supplemented with 10 µl of filtered PBS, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C for later 349 measurements of PO/PPO system activity. 350

The enzymatic activity of PO and PPO was extrapolated spectrophotometrically 351 352 following the method described by Vogelweith et al. (2011). A clear distinction was made between the activity of the functional PO naturally present in the haemolymph sample (PO 353 activity), and the potential activity of the whole PO/PPO system, i.e. the activity of both 354 functional PO and proenzyme stock (total PO activity). This distinction allowed to assess the 355 levels of PO activity naturally expressed by larvae at a given time alongside with the overall 356 maximal enzymatic activity that can be potentially mobilised upon an actual infection. In 357 358 addition, PO and total PO activities in L. botrana can exhibit different responses to a change occurring in thermal conditions, thereby providing different information about the effects of 359 360 temperature on the immune function of this species (Iltis et al., 2019, 2018). The determination of the total PO activity required the transformation of PPO into PO by means of 361 chymotrypsin addition. Frozen samples were put on ice until completely thawed and were 362

centrifuged (4000 g, 15 min, 4°C) before 2 x 5 µl of supernatant were pipetted into microplate 363 364 wells. One of these two aliquots was mixed with 160 µl of diluted PBS solution (35 ml ultrapure water, 5 ml filtered PBS) to measure the PO activity, while the other was 365 supplemented with 160 µl of chymotrypsin solution (35 ml ultrapure water, 5 ml filtered PBS, 366 2.45 mg trypsin) to measure the total PO activity. Finally, 20 µl of L-Dopa solution (40 ml 367 ultrapure water, 160 mg L-Dopamine) was deposited in each well as a colorimetric substrate. 368 369 The enzymatic reaction was allowed to proceed at 30°C for 40 min in a microplate reader (Versamax, Molecular Devices). Absorbance readings were taken every 15 s at 490 nm. 370 Absorbance curves were subsequently analysed with the SoftMax Pro software (version 4.0, 371 372 Molecular Devices) to extract the maximum enzymatic activity V<sub>max</sub> (the maximum speed of conversion of L-Dopamine into dopachrome). This value was calculated as the maximum 373 slope of the absorbance curve during the starting linear phase of the reaction. All immune 374 375 measurements were recalculated in order to refer to 1 µl of pure haemolymph.

377 Since our aim was to quantify the impacts of the heat wave relative to the control thermal treatment taking into consideration both the time left to recover from heat damage 378 379 (determined by the timing of heat wave application during ontogeny) and the nutritional value of the food provided post-stress, we merged the larval instar and food treatment into one 380 variable with four modalities (L2AM, L2DM, L4AM, or L4DM) for the statistical analyses. 381 This allowed us to test whether the duration of exposure to the different diets after the heat 382 stress is a key determinant of the larval ability to stand the heat, as initially postulated. 383 384 Besides, larval stage and food treatment are interrelated as they both define the different experimental conditions (i.e. duration of exposure to the different diets) faced by larvae after 385 the heat event. Therefore, in all the statistical tests carried out, the models involved the simple 386 387 effects of thermal treatment (control or heat wave), the combination of larval instar and food treatment, and the interaction between thermal treatment and instar/food combination. In 388 addition, one covariate was included in the models to control for potential allometric effects 389 on energetic reserves (larval body mass) or immune parameters (larval body size). 390

The pupation rate at 14 days was compared among groups using a Generalised Linear 391 392 Model (GLM) with a binomial error structure coupled with a logit link function. The body amounts of the four energetic compounds (proteins, lipids, soluble carbohydrates and 393 glycogen) were normally distributed and satisfied the assumption of homoscedasticity 394 395 (homogeneity of variances) among thermal treatments and pairs of larval instar and food treatment. Hence, all these data were studied with analyses of covariance (ANCOVAs). As 396 397 overdispersed count data, haemocyte load was processed using a GLM with negative binomial error structure and a log link function. Data for PO and total PO activities were not normally 398 distributed and were best fitted by GLMs with Gamma error structure and a log link function. 399 To tease out significant differences among the groups, Cohen's d (or Cohen's h in case of data 400

expressed in percentages: pupation rate at 14 days) with their bootstrapped confidence 401 intervals (CI95%, 10,000 iterations) were calculated and reported (Nakagawa and Cuthill, 402 2007). Congruently with our initial goal, these standardised measures of effect size enabled us 403 (i) to quantify the overall effect of the heat wave on larval performance and (ii) to assess how 404 the timing of when this hot event occurred (larval instar) combined with the quality of the 405 food available for recovery (nutritional diet) might influence the importance of the heat wave 406 effect. All statistical analyses were carried out using the R software (version 4.0.3), 407 implemented with the following packages: ggplot2, ggsignif, cowplot (for drawing figures), 408 stats and CRAN (for model analyses). 409

## 410 **3. Results**

## 411 *3.1. Pupation rate at 14 days*

The pupation rate at 14 days was impacted by the thermal treatment (GLM binomial error 412 structure:  $\chi_1^2 = 6.62$ , P = 0.01) and the combination of larval instar and food treatment ( $\chi_3^2 =$ 413 31.9, P < 0.001), but not by the interaction between thermal treatment and instar/food 414 combination ( $\chi_3^2 = 7.74$ , P = 0.05). The simple effect of thermal treatment indicates that the 415 heat wave delayed the development of L. botrana larvae: the pupation rate at 14 days after 416 egg hatching was higher overall for individuals constantly held in the normal regime than for 417 418 individuals that experienced the heat wave (Fig. 3) (Cohen's h = 0.101, CI<sub>95%</sub> = [0.024; 0.178]). Interestingly, this detrimental effect of the heat wave on larval development was only 419 420 apparent for one modality of instar/food combination: L4DM (Figs 3, 4). This indicates that the heat wave delayed development mostly if it occurred late during larval development and if 421 individuals were subsequently supplied with low-quality food. The simple effect of the 422 instar/food combination on pupation rate at 14 days was mainly driven by food treatment: 423 424 individuals kept on high-quality food developed faster (displayed a higher pupation rate at 14

- 425 days after egg hatching) than those transferred to low-quality food at any larval instar (Fig. 3)
- 426 (Cohen's h = 0.197, CI<sub>95%</sub> = [0.121; 0.274]).





428 **Fig. 3** Responses of the pupation rate at 14 days to the thermal treatment (blue circles:

429 control, red triangles: heat wave) and the instar/food combination (defined by the larval instar

430 at which larvae were transferred to a new rearing tube and the nutritional quality of the food

431 provided after the tube change), to the global effect of the thermal treatment alone, and to the

432 global effect of the food treatment alone. The represented values are the means  $\pm$  95% c.i.

433 Asterisks indicate a significant difference (Cohen's *h* significantly different from zero), n.s.

434 stands for non-significant and the numbers refer to the sample sizes.



Fig. 4 Cohen's *h* and their 95% bootstrapped confidence intervals (10,000 iterations)
reflecting the effect size of the thermal treatment (control versus heat wave) on pupation rate
at 14 days for each of the four modalities of instar/food combination (defined by the larval
instar at which larvae were transferred to a new rearing tube and the nutritional quality of the
food provided after the tube change). Effect size is considered to be significantly different
from zero when the associated confidence interval does not bracket the zero value.

#### 442 *3.2. Group 1: Energetic reserves*

443 The body levels of the four energetic compounds displayed similar patterns of response to the different variables included in the models: they were affected by the combination of larval 444 445 instar and food treatment, but not by thermal treatment or by the interaction between thermal treatment and instar/food combination (Table 2). The effect of instar/food combination on the 446 reserves was explained by food treatment: individuals provided with high-quality medium all 447 throughout their development possessed higher body amounts of proteins, lipids, soluble 448 carbohydrates and glycogen than individuals fed with low-quality food at any larval instar 449 (Fig. 5A–D). Cohen's d values remain in accordance with these statistical conclusions drawn 450 451 when comparing high-quality versus low-quality food treatments (proteins: d = 0.484, CI<sub>95%</sub> = [0.267; 0.713]; lipids: d = 0.421, CI<sub>95%</sub> = [0.203; 0.649]; soluble carbohydrates: d = 0.456, 452 453  $CI_{95\%} = [0.234; 0.692];$  glycogen: d = 0.590,  $CI_{95\%} = [0.370; 0.820]$ ). Measures of effect size did not indicate any difference in energetic reserves between the control and heat wave 454 455 treatments for any of the four modalities of instar/food combination (Fig. 6). For all the 456 energetic compounds examined, the body amounts were positively influenced by larval body 457 mass: heavier larvae possessed higher reserves than lighter ones (Table 2) (slope values  $\pm$ s.e.m., proteins:  $67.2 \pm 3.6$ ; lipids:  $42.2 \pm 3.0$ ; soluble carbohydrates:  $6.8 \pm 0.8$ ; glycogen: 458 459  $16.0 \pm 0.9$ ).

Table 2 Effects of thermal treatment (control or 48 h exposure to a heat wave), instar/food combination (defined by the larval instar at which
larvae were transferred to a new rearing tube and the nutritional quality of the food provided after the tube change), the interaction between these
two variables and larval body mass (energetic reserves) or body size (immune parameters) on the different larval traits measured.

	Thermal treatment		Instar/food combination		Interaction		Covariate (mass or size)	
	Test value	Р	Test value	Р	Test value	Р	Test value	Р
Energetic reserves								
Proteins <sup>a</sup>	$F_{1,317} = 0.23$	0.63	<i>F</i> 3,317 = <b>17.6</b>	< 0.001	<i>F</i> <sub>3,317</sub> = 2.47	0.06	$F_{1,317} = 342$	< 0.001
Lipids <sup>a</sup>	$F_{1,301} = 2.00$	0.16	<i>F</i> <sub>3,301</sub> = <b>9.29</b>	< 0.001	$F_{3,301} = 1.08$	0.36	$F_{1,301} = 197$	< 0.001
Soluble carbohydrates <sup>a</sup>	$F_{1,318} = 1.83$	0.18	<i>F</i> <sub>3,318</sub> = 7.75	< 0.001	$F_{3,318} = 0.63$	0.60	$F_{1,318} = 78.6$	< 0.001
Glycogen <sup>a</sup>	$F_{1,318} = 0.01$	0.93	F3,318 = 21.8	< 0.001	<i>F</i> <sub>3,318</sub> = 2.66	0.05	$F_{1,318} = 307$	< 0.001
Immune parameters								
Haemocyte load <sup>b</sup>	$\chi_1^2 = 4.24$	0.04	$\chi_3^2 = 4.49$	0.21	$\chi_3^2 = 4.65$	0.20	$\chi_1^2 = 1.76$	0.18
PO activity <sup>c</sup>	$\chi_1^2 = 4.82$	0.01	$\chi_3^2 = 0.69$	0.81	$\chi_3^2 = 1.46$	0.57	$\chi_1^2 = 1.13$	0.21
Total PO activity <sup>c</sup>	$\chi_1^2 = 4.37$	< 0.001	$\chi_3^2 = 2.28$	0.13	$\chi_3^2 = 0.38$	0.81	$\chi_1^2 = 2.99$	0.01

463 <sup>*a*</sup> ANCOVA, <sup>*b*</sup> GLM with negative binomial error structure, <sup>*c*</sup> GLM with Gamma error structure



**Fig. 5** Responses of the total body amounts of proteins (A), lipids (B), soluble carbohydrates (C) and glycogen (D) to the thermal treatment (blue circles: control, red triangles: heat wave) and instar/food combination (defined by the larval instar at which larvae were transferred to a new rearing tube and the nutritional quality of the food provided after the tube change), and to the global effect of the food treatment alone. The represented values are the means  $\pm$  95% c.i. Asterisks indicate a significant difference (Cohen's *d* significantly different from zero), n.s. stands for non-significant and the numbers refer to the sample sizes.



472

473 **Fig. 6** Cohen's *d* and their 95% bootstrapped confidence intervals (10,000 iterations)

474 reflecting the effect size of the thermal treatment (control versus heat wave) on the energetic

reserves for each of the four modalities of instar/food combination (defined by the larval

476 instar at which larvae were transferred to a new rearing tube and the nutritional quality of the

- 477 food provided after the tube change). Effect size is considered to be significantly different
- 478 from zero when the associated confidence interval does not bracket the zero value.

#### 479 *3.3. Group 2: Immune parameters*

480 The three immune parameters inspected were all impacted by thermal treatment in the same way, but not by the combination of larval instar and food treatment or by the interaction 481 482 between thermal treatment and instar/food combination (Table 2). Overall, the heat wave decreased larval basal immunity, as shown by the higher haemocyte load, PO and total PO 483 484 activities for individuals continuously raised in the normal regime compared with individuals that experienced the heat wave (Fig. 7A-C). These conclusions are supported by measures of 485 effect size calculated when comparing control versus heat wave treatments (haemocyte load: 486 d = 0.213, CI<sub>95%</sub> = [0.002; 0.435]; PO activity: d = 0.290, CI<sub>95%</sub> = [0.068; 0.511]; total PO 487 activity: d = 0.366, CI<sub>95%</sub> = [0.144; 0.594]). Among the different modalities of instar/food 488 combination, measures of effect size for the thermal treatment reveal complex patterns of 489 490 immunological response to the heat wave (Fig. 8). For haemocyte load, the heat wave decreased basal immunity only if it occurred early during larval development and if 491 individuals were fed with low-quality food after the heat stress (L2DM) (Fig. 8). For PO 492 493 activity, the negative effect of the heat wave was detectible only if the heat wave happened 494 early during larval development and if individuals were maintained on high-quality food after the heat stress (L2AM) (Fig. 8). For total PO activity, the heat wave exerted adverse effects 495 496 on immunity only if individuals were subsequently provided with low-quality food, irrespective of the timing of the heat event during larval development (L2DM and L4DM) 497 (Fig. 8). Finally, total PO activity was the only immune effector affected (positively) by larval 498 body size: the larger the larvae, the higher the basal levels of total PO activity (slope = 0.002499  $\pm 0.0005$ ). 500



**Fig. 7** Responses of haemocyte load (A), phenoloxidase activity (B) and total phenoloxidase activity (C) to the thermal treatment (blue circles: control, red triangles: heat wave) and instar/food combination (defined by the larval instar at which larvae were transferred to a new rearing tube and the nutritional quality of the food provided after the tube change), and to the global effect of the thermal treatment alone. The represented values are the means  $\pm$  95% c.i. Asterisks indicate a significant difference (Cohen's *d* significantly different from zero), n.s. stands for non-significant and the numbers refer to the sample sizes.



Fig. 8 Cohen's *d* and their 95% bootstrapped confidence intervals (10,000 iterations)
reflecting the effect size of thermal treatment (control versus heat wave) on the immune
parameters for each of the four modalities of instar/food combination (defined by the larval
instar at which larvae were transferred to a new rearing tube and the nutritional quality of the
food provided after the tube change). Effect size is considered to be significantly different
from zero when the associated confidence interval does not bracket the zero value.

#### 516 4. Discussion

517 Three major hierarchical conclusions can be drawn from this work, from the broadest to the most specific. First, the non-lethal heat wave applied was detrimental overall to L. botrana 518 519 larvae, as witnessed by its pervasive negative effects on development and immunity. Second, for almost all fitness correlates impacted by the heat wave (except PO activity), the negative 520 521 effect of the hot event on larval performance was only detected when larvae were exposed to low-quality food (DM) following the heat wave. However, no similar decline in performance 522 was observed for individuals kept on high-quality food (AM), probably due to a complete 523 recovery from the heat stress. This indicates that food quality played an important role in 524 determining the consequences of the heat wave for larval performance (mostly development 525 and immunity), thereby providing experimental support to the hypothesis that larval nutrition 526 527 could mediate the insect response to heat wave episodes encountered during development, but with no consistent pattern across life stages. Third, clear evidence was indeed found that 528 larval instars differed in their sensitivity to the combined thermal and food stresses above 529 530 mentioned, as the negative fitness impacts of the heat wave followed by low-quality food were in most cases restricted to one larval instar only. Interestingly, conclusions regarding the 531 532 larval instar being the most susceptible were highly trait-dependent: the heat wave delayed development only if it affected old larvae, while for immunity, the impacts of the heat wave 533 were mostly detected for young larvae (haemocyte load and PO activity). Hence, contrary to 534 our initial expectations, we did not find obvious experimental support for the assumption that 535 536 larval nutrition should be more significant in the event of an early heat wave, affecting larvae at the very start of their development. In a rapidly changing climatic context, this study should 537 538 contribute to increase our mechanistic understanding of how individuals and species could cope with temporary extreme heat events from physiological, ecological and evolutionary 539 perspectives. 540

Climate projections for the coming century suggest that living organisms will face the 541 challenge of more and more frequent, intense and increasingly longer periods of abnormally 542 high temperatures (IPCC, 2014; Meehl and Tebaldi, 2004). In our study, we found that a 48-h 543 exposure to a realistic heat wave elicited extensive and detrimental effects on several aspects 544 of larval performance in the moth L. botrana. On one hand, the heat wave delayed larval 545 development overall, as evidenced by a lower pupation rate at 14 days for individuals briefly 546 subjected to a heat wave relative to those continuously reared in the normal regime. In insect 547 species, an extended development appears to be a common pattern of individual response to a 548 heat wave, and presumably results from the physiological damage sustained during periods of 549 550 exposure to temperatures above the thermal optimum for developmental function (i.e. the 551 temperature at which development is the fastest) (Chiu et al., 2015; Gillespie et al., 2012; Jeffs and Leather, 2014). Here, L. botrana larvae that experienced the heat wave regime spent 552 12 h every day at supraoptimal temperatures, provided that the thermal optimum for 553 development in this species lies between 28 and 30°C, at least for laboratory-reared strains 554 (Briere and Pracros, 1998). This temporary exposure to stressful thermal conditions for two 555 consecutive days could account for the differences in pupation rate at 14 days observed 556 557 between the heat wave and control treatments. On the other hand, we found that larval 558 immunity, including all three immune parameters examined, was depressed by the heat wave. 559 This may arise from direct effects of temperature, whereby transient exposure to high temperature spikes might have compromised immune function in this species, as previously 560 561 evidenced in case of a rise in mean temperature within rearing conditions (Iltis et al., 2019, 2018). These immune differences might also be explained by indirect effects of temperature, 562 mediated by the development rates of individuals. As stated above, individuals facing the heat 563 wave displayed delayed development, which may have affected their immunity at time of 564 measurements because immune parameters are known to vary throughout a given larval instar 565

in lepidopteran larvae (Beetz et al., 2008; Booth et al., 2015). Our results suggest that heat
waves might have persistent implications for the defensive abilities of a host's vulnerable
stage against its natural enemies. Such extreme thermal events could therefore predispose
natural populations of *L. botrana* to infection by larval parasitoids, although the response of
these natural enemies to high temperatures would also need to be considered for a deeper
understanding of the consequences of heat waves at the level of interspecific interaction.

The negative effects of the heat wave on fitness-related traits were largely restricted to 572 individuals with access to low-quality food after the heat exposure, while those maintained on 573 574 high-quality medium did not exhibit a similar decline in larval performance. The importance of nutrition in modulating the thermal response of phytophagous insects is increasingly 575 acknowledged and is thought to result from the impacts of temperature on metabolic rates and 576 577 energy requirements (Clissold and Simpson, 2015; Diamond and Kingsolver, 2010; Lemoine et al., 2014; Triggs and Knell, 2012). In this regard, previous works have reported that 578 579 individuals subjected to food deprivation concomitantly with a heat stress were more 580 susceptible to the heat-related reduction of performance (Adamo et al., 2012; Karl et al., 2011). Our study is the first one to emphasise that ability of insects to cope with a temporary 581 heat stress also hinges on the nutritional value of the food available after the heat wave. The 582 synergism between temperature and food stresses here highlighted could result from 583 quantitative (i.e. a lower nutrient intake when feeding upon DM because of dilution effects) 584 and/or qualitative nutritional effects (i.e. an unbalanced nutrient intake because of the low 585 586 carbohydrate-to-protein ratio of DM) (Andersen et al., 2010; Clissold and Simpson, 2015; Lee and Roh, 2010). Exploring the nutritional basis for the fitness costs incurred by heat-stressed 587 588 individuals when subsequently raised on low-quality food (for instance by using several diets with different degrees of nutrient dilution and imbalance) would merit further investigations 589

to clarify whether these effects were driven by an insufficient supply with a particular nutrient(carbohydrates, proteins, or both).

Interestingly, individuals raised on low-quality and high-quality food after the heat 592 593 wave differed in their energetic budgets, the former having lower reserves compared with the latter. This means that the negative effects of the heat wave on larval immunity were mostly 594 595 detectible for individuals with relatively low energetic reserves due to poor food quality, a finding that could be attributable to the supposed existence of resource-based trade-offs 596 among immune function and stress response (Karl et al., 2011). Exposure to stressful heat 597 598 conditions is known to trigger a widespread intracellular response consisting of the expression of a set of heat shock proteins, which act as protective agents actively contributing to enhance 599 the insect's ability to withstand a thermal stress and to recover from the stress injuries (Feder 600 601 and Hofmann, 1999; King and MacRae, 2015). Similar to maintaining high levels of immunity, it is assumed that the activation of a heat stress response based on the production of 602 heat shock proteins is energy-demanding, such that trade-offs between these two biological 603 604 functions should be expected in an energy shortage context (Karl et al., 2011; Krebs and 605 Loeschcke, 1994). Under harsh environmental conditions such as those related to heat wave 606 application, larvae constrained by a low-quality food may thus favour allocating resources to an appropriate heat shock response to enhance heat tolerance and maintain high survival rates, 607 like those recorded in this study, at the expense of immune function. In this regard, it is 608 worth-mentioning that PO activity did not follow a pattern of response consistent with this 609 610 hypothesis of resource-based trade-offs, because it was reduced only for heat-stressed individuals fed with the most nutritive diet (AM) afterwards. We postulate that this could 611 612 arise from the relatively high carbohydrate-to-protein ratio of this medium by comparison with DM, while several studies evidenced that investment in spontaneous functional PO is 613 often rather limited by protein intake in lepidopteran larvae, especially for basal levels 614

expressed in the absence of an immune challenge (Cotter et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2006; Pontonet al., 2013).

617 We also found that the heat wave impacts varied substantially among the ontogenetic 618 stages, in a trait-specific manner. In terms of development, the adverse impacts of the heat wave were specifically detected in the case of a late exposure (involving fourth instar larvae), 619 620 whilst the heat wave applied to larvae at an earlier ontogenetic stage (second instar larvae) did not significantly influence this physiological process. These findings suggest that L. botrana 621 larvae may be able to fully recover from the physiological costs associated with exposure to 622 623 supraoptimal temperatures if enough time has elapsed to allow this recovery to occur (even with low-quality food). Congruently with previous experimental investigations, our results for 624 development seem to indicate that the biological impacts of heat waves can be interpreted 625 626 through the lens of a subtle balance between the damage sustained during hot, stressful days and the duration of the repair periods acting as buffer against the negative consequences of 627 heat exposure for organisms (Ma et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2015a). With regards to immunity, 628 629 the heat wave elicited extensive negative effects on the three traits examined when it occurred relatively early during larval development, whilst the heat wave applied to older larvae only 630 631 had minor immune consequences (lower total PO activity). Hence, in contrast with development, the time left to express recovery after the heat wave does not appear to be a 632 good predictor of the consequences of the heat stress in terms of immunity. Rather, these 633 results might more likely arise from differences in susceptibility to high temperatures between 634 635 the two ontogenetic stages studied (Briere and Pracros, 1998). In this regard, it could be hypothesised that larval investment in a heat stress response might vary ontogenetically, as 636 637 shown by a marked variation in heat tolerance within and between developmental stages in other butterfly models (Klockmann et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2015a, 2015b). As a result, the 638 above mentioned trade-off between heat tolerance and immunity could be age-dependent, 639

such that young larvae may invest the most in physiological buffering mechanisms (and,
consequently, the least in immunity) to endure prevailing heat conditions because of their
intrinsic vulnerability (e.g. limited ability to escape from thermal stress, small body size) to
high temperatures and desiccation (Klockmann et al., 2017).

644 5. Conclusion

645 To conclude, this present work provides the first experimental evidence that post-stress nutrition (manipulated through variation in food quality after the heat wave) can mediate the 646 effects of a heat wave for individual performance, in a stage- and trait-dependent manner. 647 648 More direct measurements of larval nutrition, such as food intake and gain in body mass 649 before and after the heat wave application, would help further support the existence of stagedependent feeding responses potentially involved in recovery process. By stressing the 650 importance of food quality with regards to the ability of individuals to cope with short periods 651 of extreme temperatures, we suggest that insect pests may not necessarily benefit from global 652 653 warming and a variability in the response of pest populations to climate change can be expected depending on the nutritional value of the plant tissues ingested (Adamo et al., 2012). 654 655 To a certain extent, the abrupt drop in diet nutritional value experienced by larvae fed with 656 poorly nutritive food after the heat wave could be deemed ecologically realistic. Indeed, grapevine undergoes profound physiological modifications when exposed to a heat stress, 657 with immediate and often long-lasting consequences for berry quality including interruption 658 659 of fruit maturation, impediment of sugar accumulation, and alteration of plant secondary metabolism (Greer and Weston, 2010; Liu et al., 2012). Further investigations are needed to 660 661 clarify (i) the magnitude of the presumed decrease in plant nutritional value following heat wave application, (ii) whether this magnitude is strong enough to shape the insect's response 662 to heat and (iii) the role played by local viticultural practices, especially grapevine cultivars, 663 in the response of the plant-insect complex to heat. Lastly, another worth-investigating 664

- research avenue could lie in testing for the generality about the importance of developmental
- stage for the insect response to heat. This raises the question as to whether ontogenetic
- 667 variation in insect response to heat might also exist for species with overlapping development
- stages (e.g. heterometabolous), or if adults in non-capital breeders could further repair through
- 669 feeding the heat injuries sustained during different immature stages.

## 670 **Declaration of competing interest**

671 The authors declare they have no conflict of interests.

## 672 CRediT authorship contribution statement

- 673 Corentin Iltis: Conceptualisation, Methodology, Investigation, Formal Analysis, Writing –
- 674 Original Draft, Writing Review & Editing, Visualisation. Philippe Louâpre:
- 675 Conceptualisation, Methodology, Formal Analysis, Writing Review & Editing,
- 676 Visualisation, Funding acquisition. Fanny Vogelweith: Methodology, Validation, Writing –
- 677 Review & Editing. **Denis Thiéry:** Resources, Writing Review & Editing. **Jérôme Moreau:**
- 678 Conceptualisation, Methodology, Writing Review & Editing, Funding acquisition.

### 679 Funding

- 680 This work was funded by the Conseil Régional de Bourgogne Franche-Comté (PARI, FABER
- 681 LOUAPRE-AGREE-BGS, VALEACLIM-BOIS) and the European Union (PO-FEDER-FSE
- 682 Bourgogne 2014/2020 programs).

### 683 Acknowledgements

- 684 We are grateful to L. Delbac who maintained and managed the insect stock, B. Bois and S.
- Zito who designed the thermal regimes. We also want to thank L. Chevallier, P. Hübner, R.
- 686 Kuzmin, R. Quinaux, A. Rathery, L. Vénague and E. Woehr for their technical assistance
- during the experiments. We would like to express our gratitude to the handling editor and all
- the three anonymous reviewers for the insightful comments and helpful suggestions made onthe manuscript.

#### 690 **References**

- Adamo, S.A., Baker, J.L., Lovett, M.M.E., Wilson, G., 2012. Climate change and temperate
- zone insects: the tyranny of thermodynamics meets the world of limited resources.

693 Environ. Entomol. 41, 1644–1652. https://doi.org/10.1603/EN11188

- Andersen, L.H., Kristensen, T.N., Loeschcke, V., Toft, S., Mayntz, D., 2010. Protein and
- 695 carbohydrate composition of larval food affects tolerance to thermal stress and
- desiccation in adult *Drosophila melanogaster*. J. Insect Physiol. 56, 336–340.
- 697 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JINSPHYS.2009.11.006
- Angilletta, M.J., Huey, R.B., Frazier, M.R., 2010. Thermodynamic effects on organismal
- 699 performance: is hotter better? Physiol. Biochem. Zool. 83, 197–206.
- 700 https://doi.org/10.1086/648567
- 701 Beetz, S., Holthusen, T.K., Koolman, J., Trenczek, T., 2008. Correlation of hemocyte counts

- with different developmental parameters during the last larval instar of the tobacco
- hornworm, *Manduca sexta*. Arch. Insect Biochem. Physiol. 67, 63–75.

704 https://doi.org/10.1002/arch.20221

705 Bellard, C., Bertelsmeier, C., Leadley, P., Thuiller, W., Courchamp, F., 2012. Impacts of

climate change on the future of biodiversity. Ecol. Lett. 15, 365–377.

707 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01736.x

- Booth, K., Cambron, L., Fisher, N., Greenlee, K.J., 2015. Immune defense varies within an
  instar in the tobacco hornworm, *Manduca sexta*. Physiol. Biochem. Zool. 88, 226–236.
- 710 https://doi.org/10.1086/680054
- Bowler, K., Terblanche, J.S., 2008. Insect thermal tolerance: what is the role of ontogeny,

712 ageing and senescence? Biol. Rev. 83, 339–355. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-

713 185X.2008.00046.x

Briere, J.-F., Pracros, P., 1998. Comparison of temperature-dependent growth models with the

development of *Lobesia botrana* (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). Environ. Entomol. 27, 94–

- 716 101. https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/27.1.94
- 717 Carton, Y., Poirié, M., Nappi, A.J., 2008. Insect immune resistance to parasitoids. Insect Sci.
- 718 15, 67–87. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7917.2008.00188.x
- 719 Chiu, M.-C., Kuo, J.-J., Kuo, M.-H., 2015. Life stage-dependent effects of experimental heat
- waves on an insect herbivore. Ecol. Entomol. 40, 175–181.
- 721 https://doi.org/10.1111/een.12173
- 722 Clissold, F.J., Simpson, S.J., 2015. Temperature, food quality and life history traits of
- herbivorous insects. Curr. Opin. Insect Sci. 11, 63–70.
- 724 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COIS.2015.10.011

- 725 Cotter, S.C., Simpson, S.J., Raubenheimer, D., Wilson, K., 2011. Macronutrient balance
- mediates trade-offs between immune function and life history traits. Funct. Ecol. 25,

727 186–198. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2010.01766.x

- 728 Diamond, S.E., Kingsolver, J.G., 2010. Environmental dependence of thermal reaction norms:
- host plant quality can reverse the temperature-size rule. Am. Nat. 175, 1–10.
- 730 https://doi.org/10.1086/648602
- 731 Easterling, D.R., Evans, J.L., Groisman, P.Y., Karl, T.R., Kunkel, K.E., Ambenje, P., 2000.
- 732 Observed variability and trends in extreme climate events: a brief review. Bull. Am.
- 733 Meteorol. Soc. 81, 417–425. https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-
- 734 0477(2000)081<0417:OVATIE>2.3.CO;2
- 735 Easterling, D.R., Horton, B., Jones, P.D., Peterson, T.C., Karl, T.R., Parker, D.E., Salinger,
- 736 M.J., Razuvayev, V., Plummer, N., Jamason, P., Folland, C.K., 1997. Maximum and
- minimum temperature trends for the globe. Science. 277, 364–367.
- 738 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.277.5324.364
- 739 Feder, M.E., Hofmann, G.E., 1999. Heat-shock proteins, molecular chaperones, and the stress
- response: evolutionary and ecological physiology. Annu. Rev. Physiol. 61, 243–282.
- 741 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physiol.61.1.243
- Fischer, K., Klockmann, M., Reim, E., 2014. Strong negative effects of simulated heat waves
- 743 in a tropical butterfly. J. Exp. Biol. 217, 2892–2898. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.106245
- Foray, V., Pelisson, P.-F., Bel-Venner, M.-C., Desouhant, E., Venner, S., Menu, F., Giron, D.,
- Rey, B., 2012. A handbook for uncovering the complete energetic budget in insects: the
- van Handel's method (1985) revisited. Physiol. Entomol. 37, 295–302.
- 747 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3032.2012.00831.x

748	Gillespie, D.R., Nasreen, A., Moffat, C.E., Clarke, P., Roitberg, B.D., 2012. Effects of
749	simulated heat waves on an experimental community of pepper plants, green peach
750	aphids and two parasitoid species. Oikos 121, 149-159. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-
751	0706.2011.19512.x
752	González-Santoyo, I., Córdoba-Aguilar, A., 2012. Phenoloxidase: a key component of the
753	insect immune system. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 142, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-
754	7458.2011.01187.x
755	González-Tokman, D., Córdoba-Aguilar, A., Dáttilo, W., Lira-Noriega, A., Sánchez-Guillén,
756	R.A., Villalobos, F., 2020. Insect responses to heat: physiological mechanisms, evolution
757	and ecological implications in a warming world. Biol. Rev. 95, 802-821.
758	https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12588
759	Greer, D.H., Weston, C., 2010. Heat stress affects flowering, berry growth, sugar
760	accumulation and photosynthesis of Vitis vinifera cv. Semillon grapevines grown in a
761	controlled environment. Funct. Plant Biol. 37, 206–214. https://doi.org/10.1071/FP09209
762	Gutierrez, A.P., Ponti, L., Cooper, M.L., Gilioli, G., Baumgärtner, J., Duso, C., 2012.
763	Prospective analysis of the invasive potential of the European grapevine moth Lobesia
764	botrana (Den. & Schiff.) in California. Agric. For. Entomol. 14, 225-238.
765	https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-9563.2011.00566.x
766	Huey, R.B., Stevenson, R.D., 1979. Integrating thermal physiology and ecology of
767	ectotherms: a discussion of approaches. Integr. Comp. Biol. 19, 357-366.
768	https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/19.1.357
769	Iltis, C., Louâpre, P., Pecharová, K., Thiéry, D., Zito, S., Bois, B., Moreau, J., 2019. Are life-
770	history traits equally affected by global warming? A case study combining a multi-trait
771	approach with fine-grain climate modeling. J. Insect Physiol. 117, 103916.
	42

772

#### https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JINSPHYS.2019.103916

173 Iltis, C., Martel, G., Thiéry, D., Moreau, J., Louâpre, P., 2018. When warmer means weaker:

- high temperatures reduce behavioural and immune defences of the larvae of a major
- 775 grapevine pest. J. Pest Sci. 91, 1315–1326. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-018-0992-y
- 776 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 2014. Climate Change 2014: Synthesis
- Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report ofthe Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
- Jeffs, C.T., Leather, S.R., 2014. Effects of extreme, fluctuating temperature events on life
- history traits of the grain aphid, *Sitobion avenae*. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 150, 240–249.
- 781 https://doi.org/10.1111/eea.12160
- Karl, I., Stoks, R., De Block, M., Janowitz, S.A., Fischer, K., 2011. Temperature extremes
- and butterfly fitness: conflicting evidence from life history and immune function. Glob.

784 Chang. Biol. 17, 676–687. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02277.x

- King, A.M., MacRae, T.H., 2015. Insect heat shock proteins during stress and diapause.
- 786 Annu. Rev. Entomol. 60, 59–75. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-011613-162107
- 787 Klockmann, M., Günter, F., Fischer, K., 2017. Heat resistance throughout ontogeny: body
- size constrains thermal tolerance. Glob. Chang. Biol. 23, 686–696.
- 789 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13407
- 790 Krebs, R.A., Loeschcke, V., 1994. Costs and benefits of activation of the heat-shock response
- in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Funct. Ecol. 8, 730–737. https://doi.org/10.2307/2390232
- Lavine, M.D., Strand, M.R., 2002. Insect hemocytes and their role in immunity. Insect

793 Biochem. Mol. Biol. 32, 1295–1309. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0965-1748(02)00092-9

Lee, K.P., Cory, J.S., Wilson, K., Raubenheimer, D., Simpson, S.J., 2006. Flexible diet choice

- 795 offsets protein costs of pathogen resistance in a caterpillar. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.
- 796 273, 823–829. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2005.3385
- Lee, K.P., Roh, C., 2010. Temperature-by-nutrient interactions affecting growth rate in an
  insect ectotherm. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 136, 151–163. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-
- 799 7458.2010.01018.x
- Lemoine, N.P., Burkepile, D.E., Parker, J.D., 2014. Variable effects of temperature on insect
  herbivory. PeerJ 2, e376. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.376
- 802 Liu, G.T., Wang, J.F., Cramer, G., Dai, Z.W., Duan, W., Xu, H.G., Wu, B.H., Fan, P.G.,
- 803 Wang, L.J., Li, S.H., 2012. Transcriptomic analysis of grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.) leaves
- during and after recovery from heat stress. BMC Plant Biol. 12, 1–10.
- 805 https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-12-174
- 806 Ma, C.-S., Ma, G., Pincebourde, S., 2021. Survive a warming climate: insect responses to
- extreme high temperatures. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 66. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurevento-041520-074454
- Ma, C.-S., Wang, L., Zhang, W., Rudolf, V.H.W., 2018. Resolving biological impacts of
- 810 multiple heat waves: interaction of hot and recovery days. Oikos 127, 622–633.
- 811 https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.04699
- 812 Ma, G., Hoffmann, A.A., Ma, C.-S., 2015a. Daily temperature extremes play an important
- role in predicting thermal effects. J. Exp. Biol. 218, 2289–2296.
- 814 https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.122127
- Ma, G., Ma, C.-S., 2012. Climate warming may increase aphids' dropping probabilities in
  response to high temperatures. J. Insect Physiol. 58, 1456–1462.
- 817 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JINSPHYS.2012.08.012

818	Ma, G., Rudolf, V.H.W., Ma, CS., 2015b. Extreme temperature events alter demographic
819	rates, relative fitness, and community structure. Glob. Chang. Biol. 21, 1794–1808.
820	https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12654
821	Meehl, G.A., Tebaldi, C., 2004. More intense, more frequent, and longer lasting heat waves in
822	the 21st century. Science 305, 994–997. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1098704
823	Moreau, J., Arruego, X., Benrey, B., Thiéry, D., 2006. Differences in nutritional quality of
824	parts of Vitis vinifera berries affect fitness of the European grapevine moth. Entomol.
825	Exp. Appl. 119, 93–99. https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1570-7458.2006.00390.X
826	Moshtaghi Maleki, F., Iranipour, S., Hejazi, M.J., Saber, M., 2016. Temperature-dependent
827	age-specific demography of grapevine moth (Lobesia botrana) (Lepidoptera:
828	Tortricidae): jackknife vs. bootstrap techniques. Arch. Phytopathol. Plant Prot. 49, 263-
829	280. https://doi.org/10.1080/03235408.2016.1140566
830	Muller, K., Vogelweith, F., Thiéry, D., Moret, Y., Moreau, J., 2015. Immune benefits from
831	alternative host plants could maintain polyphagy in a phytophagous insect. Oecologia
832	177, 467–475. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-014-3097-1
833	Nakagawa, S., Cuthill, I.C., 2007. Effect size, confidence interval and statistical significance:
834	a practical guide for biologists. Biol. Rev. 82, 591-605. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-
835	185X.2007.00027.x

836 Parmesan, C., 2006. Ecological and evolutionary responses to recent climate change. Annu.

- 837 Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 37, 637–669.
- 838 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.37.091305.110100
- 839 Perkins, S.E., Alexander, L. V., Nairn, J.R., 2012. Increasing frequency, intensity and
- duration of observed global heatwaves and warm spells. Geophys. Res. Lett. 39,

841 2012GL053361. https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL053361

- Ponton, F., Wilson, K., Holmes, A.J., Cotter, S.C., Raubenheimer, D., Simpson, S.J., 2013.
- 843 Integrating nutrition and immunology: a new frontier. J. Insect Physiol. 59, 130–137.
- 844 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JINSPHYS.2012.10.011
- Potter, K.A., Davidowitz, G., Woods, H.A., 2011. Cross-stage consequences of egg
- temperature in the insect *Manduca sexta*. Funct. Ecol. 25, 548–556.
- 847 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2010.01807.x
- 848 Poyet, M., Havard, S., Prevost, G., Chabrerie, O., Doury, G., Gibert, P., Eslin, P., 2013.
- 849 Resistance of *Drosophila suzukii* to the larval parasitoids *Leptopilina heterotoma* and
- Asobara japonica is related to haemocyte load. Physiol. Entomol. 38, 45–53.
- 851 https://doi.org/10.1111/phen.12002
- 852 Rodriguez-Trelles, F., Tarrio, R., Santos, M., 2013. Genome-wide evolutionary response to a

keat wave in *Drosophila*. Biol. Lett. 9, 20130228–20130228.

- 854 https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2013.0228
- 855 Sentis, A., Hemptinne, J.-L., Brodeur, J., 2013. Effects of simulated heat waves on an
- experimental plant-herbivore-predator food chain. Glob. Chang. Biol. 19, 833–842.
- 857 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12094
- 858 Smith, T.T., Zaitchik, B.F., Gohlke, J.M., 2013. Heat waves in the United States: definitions,

patterns and trends. Clim. Change 118, 811–825. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-0120659-2

- Stoks, R., Verheyen, J., Van Dievel, M., Tüzün, N., 2017. Daily temperature variation and
- 862 extreme high temperatures drive performance and biotic interactions in a warming world.
- 863 Curr. Opin. Insect Sci. 23, 35–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COIS.2017.06.008

- Tan, J., Zheng, Y., Song, G., Kalkstein, L.S., Kalkstein, A.J., Tang, X., 2006. Heat wave
  impacts on mortality in Shanghai, 1998 and 2003. Int. J. Biometeorol. 51, 193–200.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-006-0058-3
- 867 Thiéry, D., Louâpre, P., Muneret, L., Rusch, A., Sentenac, G., Vogelweith, F., Iltis, C.,
- 868 Moreau, J., 2018. Biological protection against grape berry moths. A review. Agron.
- 869 Sustain. Dev. 38, 15. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-018-0493-7
- 870 Thiéry, D., Monceau, K., Moreau, J., 2014. Larval intraspecific competition for food in the
- European grapevine moth *Lobesia botrana*. Bull. Entomol. Res. 104, 517–524.
- 872 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485314000273
- 873 Thiéry, D., Moreau, J., 2005. Relative performance of European grapevine moth (Lobesia
- *botrana*) on grapes and other hosts. Oecologia 143, 548–557.
- 875 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-005-0022-7
- 876 Triggs, A., Knell, R.J., 2012. Interactions between environmental variables determine
- immunity in the Indian meal moth *Plodia interpunctella*. J. Anim. Ecol. 81, 386–394.
- 878 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2011.01920.x
- 879 Van Dievel, M., Stoks, R., Janssens, L., 2017. Beneficial effects of a heat wave: higher
- growth and immune components driven by a higher food intake. J. Exp. Biol. 220, 3908–
- 881 3915. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.158899
- Vogelweith, F., Moret, Y., Thiéry, D., Moreau, J., 2013a. *Lobesia botrana* larvae develop
- faster in the presence of parasitoids. PLoS One 8, e72568.
- 884 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0072568
- 885 Vogelweith, F., Thiéry, D., Moret, Y., Colin, E., Motreuil, S., Moreau, J., 2014. Defense
- strategies used by two sympatric vineyard moth pests. J. Insect Physiol. 64, 54–61.

887

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2014.03.009

- Vogelweith, F., Thiéry, D., Moret, Y., Moreau, J., 2013b. Immunocompetence increases with
  larval body size in a phytophagous moth. Physiol. Entomol. 38, 219–225.
- 890 https://doi.org/10.1111/phen.12025
- 891 Vogelweith, F., Thiéry, D., Quaglietti, B., Moret, Y., Moreau, J., 2011. Host plant variation
- 892 plastically impacts different traits of the immune system of a phytophagous insect. Funct.

Ecol. 25, 1241–1247. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2011.01911.x

- Walther, G.-R., Post, E., Convey, P., Menzel, A., Parmesan, C., Beebee, T.J.C., Fromentin, J.-
- 895 M., Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Bairlein, F., 2002. Ecological responses to recent climate
- change. Nature 416, 389–395. https://doi.org/10.1038/416389a
- Zhang, W., Chang, X.-Q., Hoffmann, A., Zhang, S., Ma, C.-S., 2015a. Impact of hot events at
- different developmental stages of a moth: the closer to adult stage, the less reproductive

output. Sci. Rep. 5, 10436. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep10436

- 200 Zhang, W., Rudolf, V.H.W., Ma, C.-S., 2015b. Stage-specific heat effects: timing and
- 901 duration of heat waves alter demographic rates of a global insect pest. Oecologia 179,

902 947–957. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-015-3409-0

- 203 Zhang, W., Zhao, F., Hoffmann, A.A., Ma, C.-S., 2013. A single hot event that does not affect
- 904 survival but decreases reproduction in the diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella*. PLoS
- 905 One 8, e75923. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0075923
- 206 Zhao, F., Xing, K., Hoffmann, A.A., Ma, C., 2019. The importance of timing of heat events
- 907 for predicting the dynamics of aphid pest populations. Pest Manag. Sci. 75, 1866–1874.
  908 https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.5344