

Effects of Low Intraperitoneal Pressure on Quality of Postoperative Recovery after Laparoscopic Surgery for Genital Prolapse in Elderly Patients Aged 75 Years or Older

Sachiko Matsuzaki, Martine Bonnin, Aurelie Fournet-Fayard, Jean-Etienne Bazin, Revaz Botchorishvili

▶ To cite this version:

Sachiko Matsuzaki, Martine Bonnin, Aurelie Fournet-Fayard, Jean-Etienne Bazin, Revaz Botchorishvili. Effects of Low Intraperitoneal Pressure on Quality of Postoperative Recovery after Laparoscopic Surgery for Genital Prolapse in Elderly Patients Aged 75 Years or Older. Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology, 2020, 10.1016/j.jmig.2020.09.017. hal-03182760

HAL Id: hal-03182760

https://hal.science/hal-03182760

Submitted on 9 May 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



1	
2	Effects of low intraperitoneal pressure on quality of postoperative recovery after
3	laparoscopic surgery for genital prolapse in elderly patients age 75 years and older.
4	
5	Short title: laparoscopy and elderly patients age 75 years and older
6	
7	Sachiko Matsuzaki ^{1, 2} , MD, PhD
8	Martine Bonnin ³ , MD
9	Aurelie Fournet-Fayard ³ , MD
10	Jean-Etienne Bazin ^{3,} MD, PhD
11	Revaz Botchorishvili ^{1, 2,} MD
12	
13	¹ CHU Clermont-Ferrand, Service de Chirurgie Gynécologique, Clermont-Ferrand, France
14	² Université Clermont Auvergne, Institut Pascal, UMR6602, CNRS/UCA/SIGMA, Clermont-
15	Ferrand, France
16	³ CHU Clermont-Ferrand, Service d'Anesthésie et Pôle de Médecine Péri-Opératoire,
17	Clermont-Ferrand, France
18	
19	Disclosure of interests: There is no conflict of interest to declare.
20	
21	Prior presentation or publications and/or abstract/poster presentation: None
22	
23	Funding: This study was supported in part by KARL STORZ SE & Co. KG (Tuttlingen,
24	Germany).

25 26 *Corresponding Author: 27 Sachiko Matsuzaki, MD, PhD CHU Clermont-Ferrand, CHU Estaing, Service de Chirurgie Gynécologique, 1, Place Lucie et 28 Raymond Aubrac, 63003, Clermont-Ferrand, France (smatsuzaki@chu-clermontferrand.fr) 29 Clinical trial registry number: NCT03095716 30 31 Date and number of IRB: 32 Date: September 29, 2016, number: 2016/CE 57 33 34 Data availability: The data that support the findings of the study are available from the 35 corresponding author (SM), on reasonable request. 36 37

38 **Abstract**

39 STUDY OBJECTIVE

- 40 Previous clinical trials for laparoscopic surgery have included few elderly patients age ≥75
- 41 years. We aimed to evaluate the quality of postoperative recovery after laparoscopic surgery
- 42 using low intraperitoneal pressure (IPP) (6 mmHg) and warmed, humidified carbon dioxide
- 43 (CO₂) gas for genital prolapse in elderly patients age \geq 75 years.

44 **DESIGN**

45 Prospective consecutive case series.

46 **SETTING**

47 University hospital

48 **PATIENTS**

- 49 Consecutive patients (n=30) age ≥75 years planning to undergo laparoscopic surgery for
- 50 genital prolapse by the same surgeon were recruited from October 2016 to December 2019.

51 **INTERVENTIONS**

- 52 Laparoscopic promontofixation for the treatment of genital prolapse was performed using low
- IPP and warmed, humidified CO₂ gas. When a promontory could not be easily identified,
- 54 laparoscopic pectopexy was alternatively performed.

MEASUREMENTS

55

56

- 57 The primary outcome was the QoR-40 (Quality of Recovery–40) score at 24 hours
- 58 postoperatively. Secondary outcomes were postoperative pain using a 100-mm visual
- analogue scale (VAS) and length of hospital stay after surgery (LHSS).

60 MAIN RESULTS

61	For the global QoR-40 score and for the four dimensions of the QoR-40, "emotional state,"
62	"physical comfort," "psychological support," and "pain," no differences were observed
63	between the baseline score and at 24 hours. The score for the "physical independence"
64	dimension was significantly lower at 24 hours than the baseline score (p<.001). No patient
65	had VAS pain scores >30 of 100 at 12 hours or later. LHSS was <48 hours in 22 patients
66	(73.3%) and <72 hours in 8 patients (26.7%). Multivariable analysis showed that the odds of
67	a LHSS >48 hours were more than 8 times higher in patients who were discharged from the
68	operating room (OR) in the afternoon compared with those with a morning discharge.
69	CONCLUSION
6970	CONCLUSION The use of a low IPP is feasible, safe and has clinical benefits for elderly patients age ≥75
70	The use of a low IPP is feasible, safe and has clinical benefits for elderly patients age ≥75
70 71	The use of a low IPP is feasible, safe and has clinical benefits for elderly patients age ≥75
70 71 72	The use of a low IPP is feasible, safe and has clinical benefits for elderly patients age ≥75
70 71 72 73	The use of a low IPP is feasible, safe and has clinical benefits for elderly patients age ≥75 years who undergo laparoscopic surgery for genital prolapse.

Introduction

The chance of a woman experiencing a prolapse increases with age [1]. The lifetime risk of undergoing a single operation for prolapse or incontinence by age 80 is 11.1% [1]. A recent study demonstrated that the population undergoing surgery is aging at a faster rate than the general population [2]. By 2030, it is estimated that one-fifth of patients \geq 75 years will undergo surgery each year in England [2]. Therefore, the number of very elderly patients (age \geq 75 years) who require surgery for genital prolapse is also expected to rise [1, 2]. The elderly are often excluded from clinical trials [2, 3], particularly surgical trials [2]. Therefore, the best available evidence frequently does not apply to the elderly patient [2, 3].

Our previous randomized 2x2 factorial trial controlled trial (RCT) showed that low intraperitoneal pressure (IPP) (8 mmHg) and warmed, humidified carbon dioxide (CO₂) gas independently decreased postoperative pain in patients <75 years old who underwent laparoscopic surgery for genital prolapse [4]. In the present prospective study, we attempted to evaluate benefits and harms of low IPP and warmed, humidified CO₂ gas in very elderly patients (age ≥75 years) who underwent laparoscopic surgery for genital prolapse.

Materials and Methods

Methods

The study was designed as a prospective, single-center, observational trial.

Consecutive patients age ≥75 years who underwent laparoscopic surgery for genital prolapse by the same surgeon (RB) were recruited at CHU Clermont-Ferrand from October 2016 through December 2019. Informed written consent was obtained from each patient prior to surgery. The study protocol was approved on 29th September 2016 by the IRB (00008526) of the Committee for Protection of Persons in Biomedical Research (CPP SE VI) of the Auvergne (France) (2016 / CE 57) and registered on ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT03095716).

Anesthetic management was performed by staff anesthesiologists and anesthesiology residents or nurse anesthetists under their supervision. Standard ASA anesthetic monitors were placed in the operating room. All patients received the same general technique, as we previously reported [4]. After induction of anesthesia, all patients received forced-air warming blanket, which was maintained until the end of the operation. Intraoperative core temperatures were recorded at 15-minute intervals by a probe inserted into the distal esophagus throughout surgery. Paracetamol (1g) and ketoprofene (50mg) were injected 30-minutes before the end of the operation to prevent postoperative pain.

All operations were performed by the same surgeon (RB) with the assistance of a gynecological surgical resident. Insufflation of CO₂ gas was performed using a Storz electronic endoflator (KARL STORZ SE & Co. KG, Tuttlingen, Germany). All patients received warm (37 °C), humidified (98% relative humidity) CO₂ insufflation gas delivered by the Fisher & Paykel MR860 Laparoscopic Humidification System (HumiGard, Fisher & Paykel Healthcare, Auckland, New Zealand) [4]. When the IPP reached 15 mmHg, four trocars were inserted. Then, the IPP was immediately decreased to 6 mmHg, at which it was maintained for the duration of surgery. We used 6 mmHg instead of 8 mmHg for low IPP in the present study, according to the European Association for Endoscopic Surgery clinical practice guideline on pneumoperitoneum for laparoscopic surgery, which recommends using the lowest IPP possible that allows adequate exposure of the operative field rather than using a routine pressure [5].

For all patients, 5 mL ropivacaine hydrochloride solution (2 mg/mL) were infiltrated around the trocar wounds before incisions [1]. In addition, 20 mL ropivacaine solution (2 mg/mL) were infused under the right hemidiaphragm just after insertion of the four trocars [4]. We have been systematically performing infusion of ropivacaine solution under the right hemidiaphragm for over 10 years [6]. During this time, we have had no or few complaints about shoulder pain after laparoscopic surgery [1]. Laparoscopic promontofixation with or without (for patients who have already undergone hysterectomy) sub-total hysterectomy

using the same surgical technique as we previously described [7]. When a promontory as well as surrounding blood vessels and/or nerves could not be very easily identified, laparoscopic pectopexy (the iliopectineal ligament on both sides is used for the mesh fixation) [8] was alternatively performed to avoid potential surgical complications such as blood vessels and/or nerves injuries. A RCT showed that both surgical techniques are equally effective in treatment for genital prolapse [8]. We did not attempt to increase IPP prior to making the decision to proceed to pectopexy, because we know from our experiences that increased IPP does not enable us to identify a promontory and surrounding vessels and nerves more easily [4].

All patients were treated according to our standardised postoperative pain management as we previously reported [4]. After arrival of patients in the postanesthesia care unit (PACU), they were asked to rate intensity of their pain at rest using a 100-mm visual analogue scale (VAS) every 20 minutes. When the VAS pain score was >30 of 100 (clinically relevant moderate to severe pain), patients received an IV bolus of 2 to 3 mg morphine, and then 1 to 2 mg IV every 10 minutes to achieve a VAS pain score ≤30 of 100 (no or mild pain). After arrival of patients in the ward, they were asked to rate intensity of their pain at rest using a VAS, every 4 hours for the first 24 hours postoperatively, and then 3 times daily until discharge. The patients received intravenous paracetamol (1g) and ketoprofene (50 mg) every 6 hours for the first 24 hours postoperatively.

The quality of postoperative functional recovery was evaluated using the Quality of Recovery–40 questionnaire (QoR-40) [4, 9]. The QoR-40 the quality of postoperative recovery through five dimensions (emotional state, physical comfort, psychological support, physical independence, and pain) [9]. This tool has been confirmed as the most suitable one for perioperative clinical studies [10].

The day before surgery (between 7:00 and 8:00 pm), patients were asked to complete QoR-40 questionnaire as a measure of baseline score. The QoR-40 was then

administered three times, 24 hours and 48 hours postoperatively. Surgical complications were categorized according to the Clavien-Dindo classification [11].

The quality of the surgical conditions (the operative technical difficulty, working space, visibility, and pain experienced by the surgeon during surgery) was rated by the operating surgeon at the end of surgery using a 100-mm VAS [4].

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

157

158

159

160

161

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the STATA program version 13.1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). The power calculation of the present trial was based on our previous RCT [4].

VAS pain scores were categorized as either ≤30 of 100 or >30 of 100, providing a binary variable, as previously reported [4, 12]. Comparisons of the global QoR-40 scores and the dimensions of the QoR-40 questionnaire between the day before surgery (baseline) and at 24 hours were made using Wilcoxon's matched pairs test. To identify factors that influence the length of hospital stay after surgery (LHSS), the first set of analyses compared the characteristics of patients in the two length of stay groups (<48 hours versus <72 hours). Continuous variables were compared between groups using either the unpaired t-test, if found to be normally distributed, or the Mann-Whitney test or one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) otherwise. Categorical variables were compared between groups using Fisher's exact test. The second stage examined the joint association between patient factors and LHSS in a multivariable analysis. As there were only two different lengths of stay (<48 hours versus <72 hours), the analysis was performed using logistic regression. Due to the relatively small sample size, only variables showing some association with LHSS from the initial analyses (p<.1) were included in this stage of the analysis. A backwards selection procedure was used to retain only the statistically significant variables in the final model. This involved omitting non-significant variables, one at a time, until only significant variables remain.

Statistical significance was accepted at the .05 level.

Results

A total of 32 consecutive patients age ≥75 years underwent surgical treatment for genital prolapse by the same surgeon (RB) during the study period. Among them, 2 patients declined participation in completing the QoR-40 questionnaire. The present analysis therefore included 30 patients. Patient and surgical characteristics are presented in Table 1. A total of 27 patients underwent laparoscopic promontofixation and the remaining 3 patients underwent pectopexy. A total of 6 patients had previously undergone total hysterectomy. The surgery could be performed with 6 mmHg and the IPP was maintained for the duration of surgery in all the patients.

Quality of Recovery-40 score

Results are shown in Table 2. For the global QoR-40 score and for the four dimensions of the QoR-40, "emotional state," "physical comfort," "psychological support," and "pain," no differences were observed between the basal score (the day before surgery, between 7:00 and 8:00 pm) and at 24 hours (Table 2). The score for the "physical independence" dimension was significantly lower at 24 hours than the basal score (Table 2).

For further evaluation of the effects of a low IPP in elderly patients, we compared the present results with those of our previous RCT in elderly patients age ≥65 years [4] (Supplementary Table 1). In our previous RCT [4], we included only patients who underwent laparoscopic sub-total hysterectomy with promontofixation. Thus, we performed a subgroup analysis of patients underwent the same surgical procedure (n=21) for comparison (Supplementary Table 1). The operating time was significantly longer in our previous RCT compared with those of the present study (Supplementary Table 1). The results of the QoR40 scores (Supplementary Table 2) in these patients (n=21) were nearly identical to those in the full cohort of patients (Table 2). In the low IPP group (8 mmHg, n=17) of our

previous RCT study [4], the scores for the two dimensions of the QoR-40, "physical comfort," and "physical independence," were significantly lower at 24 hours than the basal score (Supplementary Table 2). In the standard IPP group (12 mmHg, n=20) of our previous RCT [4], the scores for the global QoR-40, and for the three dimensions of the QoR-40, "physical comfort," "physical independence," and "pain," were significantly lower at 24 hours than the basal score (Supplementary Table 2).

To evaluate the effects of additional surgical procedures (Burch colposuspension [Burch] or tension-free vaginal tape procedure [TVT]), we compared the QoR40 scores between patients with (n=18) versus without (n=12) additional surgical procedures (Supplementary Table 3). The operating time was significantly longer in patients with additional surgical procedures (Supplementary Table 3). There was no significant difference in the QoR40 scores between patients with versus without additional surgical procedures (Supplementary Table 4)

VAS pain score

No patients had a VAS pain score >30 the day before surgery. No patients had post-operative shoulder pain. In the PACU, 7 (23%) patients had VAS pain scores >30. Upon arrival in the ward, 4 patients (13%) had VAS pain scores >30. No patient had VAS pain scores >30 at 12 hours or later (Supplementary Table 5).

Intraoperative core temperature

Results are shown in Table 1. We observed that 15 of 30 (50.0%) patients were already hypothermic just before starting the surgical procedure. Of these, 10 patients remained hypothermic at the end of surgery. A total of 15 patients (50.0%) were hypothermic at the end of surgery.

Intraoperative and postoperative complications

One patient who had previously undergone laparoscopic total hysterectomy following radiation therapy and chemotherapy due to uterine adenocarcinoma experienced an intraoperative complication (bladder perforation). This was not related to low IPP, but rather due to postsurgical and/or radiation-related adhesion. The patient was discharged on postoperative day 2 with an indwelling urinary catheter after an uneventful postoperative recovery. No patients experienced postoperative complications. No patients required readmission.

Quality of surgical conditions

Scores rated by the surgeon for operative technical difficulty and working space for dissection and suturing, surgical field visibility, pain experienced by the surgeon, are shown in Supplementary Table 6.

Factors influencing length of hospital stay after surgery

LHSS was <48 hours in 22 patients (73.3%) and <72 hours in 8 patients (26.7%). The results of analyses comparing the characteristics of patients with a LHSS <48 hours versus those >48 hours are summarized in Table 3. Only operating room (OR) exit time significantly differed between patients with a LHSS <48 hours versus those >48 hours (Table 3). The majority (n=7, 88%) of patients with a LHSS >48 hours were discharged from the OR in the afternoon, whilst only one third of those (n=7, 32%) with a LHSS <48 hours were discharged in the afternoon (Table 3). In addition to this significant factor, some evidence suggested that operating time and QoR-40 scores at both baseline and 24 hours varied between the two discharge time groups (Table 3). However, the results for all these variables did not quite reach statistical significance.

Next, we examined the joint association between select factors and LHSS. A backwards selection procedure was used to retain only the significant variables in the final

analysis (Table 4). The results of the multivariable analysis showed that both OR exit time and QoR-40 score at baseline were associated with LHSS (Table 4). After adjusting for these two variables, no additional effects of either operating time or QoR-40 score at 24 hours were observed, both of which showed some association with LHSS in the initial analyses. OR exit time was again associated with an increased chance of a LHSS >48 hours. The odds of a LHSS >48 hours were more than 8 times higher in those with an afternoon discharge from the OR compared with those with a morning discharge (Table 4). Higher baseline QoR-40 scores were associated with a lower chance of a LHSS >48 hours (Table 4). A one-unit increase in this score was associated with a 20% reduction in the odds of a LHSS >48 hours (Table 4).

Discussion

The present study showed the feasibility, safety, and clinical benefits of use of a low IPP in very elderly patients who undergo laparoscopic surgery for genital prolapse, in agreement with the results of our previous RCT [4]. Laparoscopic surgery confers several benefits, including decreased postoperative pain, shorter LHSS, and a more rapid return to regular daily activities [13, 14]. However, previous clinical trials for laparoscopic surgery have included few very elderly patients age ≥75 years [15,16], who may benefit the most from laparoscopic surgery [13, 14]. The present clinical trial could provide useful information for very elderly population who require surgical treatment for genital prolapse.

Several limitations of the present study should be considered, and thus, the present findings may not be generalized. First, the present study included only a small number of patients and the same surgeon performed all procedures. Second, there were no agematched controls. Because our previous RCT [4] has already showed that a low IPP could result in better clinical outcomes, it was not ethically possible to include age-matched elderly patients who undergo laparoscopic surgery under a standard IPP (12mmHg). However,

compared with those of our previous RCT in elderly patients age ≥65 years, the present findings suggested that the use of a low IPP could result in better quality of postoperative recovery in elderly patients. Third, there may be biases associated with the heterogeneity of the present study population. However, we found no significant differences in the QoR 40 scores between patients with versus without additional surgical procedures. In a subgroup analysis of patients who underwent laparoscopic sub-total hysterectomy with promontofixation, results of the QoR40 scores were nearly identical to those in the full cohort of patients. Furthermore, the objective of the present study was not to identify factors that influence LHSS; thus, we did not calculate the required number of patients for this. A large, prospective, multicenter study is required to confirm the external validity of the present results.

Aging is associated with impaired thermoregulatory function [17-19]; thus, elderly people are at greater risk of perioperative hypothermia [17-19]. The present study showed no significant effect of warmed CO₂ gas on the prevention of intraoperative hypothermia, also consistent with our previous RCT [4]. Studies have suggested that extending systemic warming into the preoperative period could be more beneficial than limiting it to surgery alone [20-22]. Further studies are required to investigate whether the preoperative plus intraoperative systemic warming could be more effective for prevention of hypothermia in elderly patients.

LHSS after surgery can be influenced by many different factors, including medical and social factors [23-32]. The presence of comorbidity has been a well-known risk factor [28-30]. In the present study, no patients had ASA III-IV. Because genital prolapse is not a life-threatening disease, only selected elderly patients who are in relatively good condition might be referred to our hospital for surgical treatment. In the present study as well as in our previous RCT [4], all patients were informed of expected discharge on postoperative day 3. Social reasons requiring a longer hospital stay are more common in elderly patients [23, 24, 26, 27, 31]. Furthermore, studies have shown that older age can influence LHSS after

surgery [26, 31, 32]. Nevertheless, in the present study, 77.3% (22 of 30) patients were discharged within 48 hours after surgery, whereas among elderly patients age ≥65 years of our previous RCT [4], only 25.0% (5 of 20) patients in the 12-mmHg group and 23.5 % (4 of 17) in the 8 mmHg group were discharged within 48 hours (Supplementary Table 1). Shortening LHSS after surgery benefits patients who prefer to be at home [33]. Furthermore, in two 10-year age groups (68-77 years and 78-87 years), better functional outcomes were associated with short admissions [34]. Finally, shortening LHSS benefits the healthcare system in terms of cost and resource utilization [35, 36]. Hospital health care resource utilization and costs are disproportionately incurred within the first 3 days of hospitalization [35, 36]. It is therefore clinically important for both elderly patients and the healthcare system to identify factors influencing LHSS. In the present study population, the odds of a LHSS >48 hours were more than 8 times higher in patients with an afternoon discharge from the OR compared with those with a morning discharge. Furthermore, we observed approximately a 40-minute decrease both operation time and CO₂ pneumoperitoneum in the present t study compared to those in our previous RCT [4]. Previous studies suggested operation time [26, 32, 37, 38] and postoperative pain [28, 38, 39] as factors that influence LHSS. Thus, at least three modifiable factors exist at an institutional and/or individual surgeon level postoperative pain, operative time, and OR exit time—that may potentially influence LHSS in very elderly patients after laparoscopic surgical treatment for genital prolapse. To reduce postoperative pain, a lower IPP [4, 28, 40] could be used. To reduce operative time, improving surgeon efficiency is required: at an institutional level by improving coordination of the surgical team and limiting unnecessary delays [41-45]. At the individual surgeon level, this could be achieved by improving technical skill and operative efficiency [46, 47]. Achievement of a morning discharge from the OR is accomplished by a shorter operation time and by scheduling the procedure as the first case in the morning [45]. Because the number of very elderly patients who require surgical treatment is expected to rise [2], improving the operating schedule and OR efficiency are worthy of careful consideration for both patients and healthcare systems.

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

Acknowledgements

We are most grateful to all the patients who participated in the present study. We also
thank all the staff in the Department of Gynecology and operating room, CHU Clermont-
Ferrand. This study was supported in part by KARL STORZ SE & Co. KG (Tuttlingen,
Germany).

References

- 1. Olsen AL, Smith VJ, Bergstrom JO, Colling JC, Clark AL. Epidemiology of surgically
- managed pelvic organ prolapse and urinary incontinence. Obstet Gynecol. 1997;89:501–
- 356 506.

353

- 2. Søreide K, Wijnhoven BP. Surgery for an ageing population. *Br J Surg.* 2016;103:e7-9.
- 358 3. Cherubini A, Oristrell J, Pla X, et al. The persistent exclusion of older patients from
- ongoing clinical trials regarding heart failure. *Arch Intern Med.* 2011;171:550-556.
- 4. Matsuzaki S, Vernis L, Bonnin M, et al. Effects of low intraperitoneal pressure and a
- warmed, humidified carbon dioxide gas in laparoscopic surgery: a randomized clinical
- 362 trial. Sci Rep. 2017;7:11287.
- 5. Neudecker J, Sauerland S, Neugebauer E, et al. The European Association for
- Endoscopic Surgery clinical practice guideline on the pneumoperitoneum for laparoscopic
- 365 surgery. Surg Endosc. 2002;16:1121-1143.
- 366 6. Chou R, Gordon DB, de Leon-Casasola OA, et al. Management of Postoperative Pain: A
- 367 Clinical Practice Guideline From the American Pain Society, the American Society of
- Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, and the American Society of Anesthesiologists'
- 369 Committee on Regional Anesthesia, Executive Committee, and Administrative Council. J
- 370 *Pain.* 2016;17:131-157.
- 7. Rivoire C, Botchorishvili R, Canis M, et al. Complete laparoscopic treatment of genital
- prolapse with meshes including vaginal promontofixation and anterior repair: a series of
- 373 138 patients. *J Minim. Invasive Gynecol.* 2007;14:712-718.
- 8. Noé KG, Schiermeier S, Alkatout, Anapolski M. Laparoscopic pectopexy: a prospective,
- randomized, comparative clinical trial of standard laparoscopic sacral colpocervicopexy
- with the new laparoscopic pectopexy-postoperative results and intermediate-term follow-
- 377 up in a pilot study. *J Endourol*. 2015;29:210-215.
- 9. Myles PS, Weitkamp B, Jones K, Melick J, Hensen, S. Validity and reliability of a
- postoperative quality of recovery score: the QoR-40. *Br J Anaesth.* 2000;84:11-15.

- 10. Herrera FJ, Wong J, Chung F. A systematic review of postoperative recovery outcomes
- measurements after ambulatory surgery. *Anesth Analg.* 2007;105:63-69.
- 11. Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien PA. Classification of surgical complications: a new
- proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336 patients and results of a survey. *Ann Surg.*
- 384 *2004;240*:205-213.
- 12. Bodian CA, Freedman G, Hossain S, Eisenkraft, JB, Beilin Y. The visual analog scale for
- pain: clinical significance in postoperative patients. *Anesthesiology*. 2001;95:1356-1361.
- 13. Bates AT, Divino C. Laparoscopic surgery in the elderly: a review of the literature. *Aging*
- 388 *Dis.* 2015;6:149-155.
- 14. Manceau G, Karoui M, Werner A, Mortensen NJ, Hannoun L. Comparative outcomes of
- rectal cancer surgery between elderly and non-elderly patients: a systematic review.
- 391 *Lancet Oncol.* 2012;13:e525-36.
- 15. Schiphorst AH, Pronk A, Borel Rinkes IH, Hamaker ME. Representation of the elderly in
- trials of laparoscopic surgery for colorectal cancer. *Colorectal Dis.* 2014;16:976-983.
- 16. Weber DM. Laparoscopic surgery: an excellent approach in elderly patients. *Arch Surg*
- 395 2003;138:1083-8. Blatteis CM. Age-dependent changes in temperature regulation a
- 396 mini review. *Gerontology*. 2012;58:289-295.
- 17. Blatteis CM. Age-dependent changes in temperature regulation a mini review.
- 398 *Gerontology.* 2012;58:289-295.
- 18. Baquero GA, Rich MW. Perioperative care in older adults. *J Geriatr Cardiol.* 2015;12:465-
- 400 469.
- 401 19. Jin F, Chung F. Minimizing perioperative adverse events in the elderly. *Br J Anaesth.*
- 402 2001;87:608-624.
- 403 20. Wong PF, Kumar S, Bohra A, Whetter D, Leaper DJ. Randomized clinical trial of
- 404 perioperative systemic warming in major elective abdominal surgery. Br J Surg.
- 405 2007;94:421-426.
- 21. Lau A, Lowlaavar N, Cooke EM, et al. Effect of preoperative warming on intraoperative
- 407 hypothermia: a randomized-controlled trial. *Can J Anaesth*. 2018;65:1029-1040.

- 408 22. Becerra Á, Valencia L, Ferrando C, Villar J, Rodríguez-Pérez A. Prospective
- observational study of the effectiveness of prewarming on perioperative hypothermia in
- surgical patients submitted to spinal anesthesia. *Sci Rep.* 2019;9:16477.
- 23. Lim SC, Doshi V, Castasus B, Lim JK, Mamun K. Factors causing delay in discharge of
- elderly patients in an acute care hospital. *Ann Acad Med Singapore*. 2006;35:27-32.
- 24. Kelly M, Sharp L, Dwane F, Kelleher T, Comber H. Factors predicting hospital length-of-
- stay and readmission after colorectal resection: a population-based study of elective and
- emergency admissions. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2012;12:77.
- 25. Tan TW, Kalish JA, Hamburg NM, Rybin D, Doros G, Eberhardt RT, et al. Shorter
- duration of femoral-popliteal bypass is associated with decreased surgical site infection
- and shorter hospital length of stay. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2012;215:512-518.
- 26. Dickinson KJ, Taswell JB, Allen MS, et al. Factors influencing length of stay after surgery
- for benign foregut disease. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2016;50:124-129.
- 27. Toh HJ, Lim ZY, Yap P, Tang T. Factors associated with prolonged length of stay in older
- 422 patients. *Singapore Med J.* 2017;58:134-138.
- 28. Ko-lam W, Sandhu T, Paiboonworachat S, et al. Predictive Factors for a Long Hospital
- Stay in Patients Undergoing Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy. Int J Hepatol.
- 425 2017;2017:5497936.
- 426 29. Agrawal S, Chen L, Tergas AI, Hou JY, St Clair CM, Ananth CV, et al. Characteristics
- associated with prolonged length of stay after hysterectomy for benign gynecologic
- 428 conditions. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2018;219:89.e1-e15.
- 30. Stethen TW, Ghazi YA, Heidel RE, Daley BJ, Barnes L, McLoughlin JM, et al. Factors
- Influencing Length of Stay after Elective Bowel Resection within an Enhanced Recovery
- 431 Protocol. *Am Surg* 2018;84:1240-5.
- 432 31. Aarts MA, Okrainec A, Glicksman A, Pearsall E, Victor JC, McLeod RS. Adoption of
- enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) strategies for colorectal surgery at academic
- teaching hospitals and impact on total length of hospital stay. Surg Endosc. 2012;26:442-
- 435 450.

- 436 32. Chong JU, Lee JH, Yoon YC, et al. Influencing factors on postoperative hospital stay
- 437 after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Korean J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg. 2016;20:12-
- 438 16.
- 439 33. Admi H, Shadmi E, Baruch H, Zisberg A. From research to reality: minimizing the effects
- of hospitalization on older adults. *Rambam Maimonides Med J.* 2015;6:e0017.
- 34. van Vliet M, Huisman M, Deeg DJH. Decreasing Hospital Length of Stay: Effects on Daily
- Functioning in Older Adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2017;65:1214-1221.
- 35. Fine MJ, Pratt HM, Obrosky DS, et al. Relation between length of hospital stay and costs
- of care for patients with community-acquired pneumonia. *Am J Med.* 2000;109:378-385.
- 36. Taheri PA, Butz DA, Greenfield LJ. Length of stay has minimal impact on the cost of
- hospital admission. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2000;191:123-130.
- 37. Scheer A, Martel G, Moloo H, et al. Laparoscopic colon surgery: does operative time
- 448 matter? *Dis Colon Rectum.* 2009;52:1746-1752.
- 38. Hansen RN, Pham AT, Boing EA, Lovelace B, Wan GJ, Urman RD. Reduced length of
- stay and hospitalization costs among inpatient hysterectomy patients with postoperative
- pain management including IV versus oral acetaminophen. *PLoS One*.
- 452 2018;13:e0203746.
- 453 39. Butz DR, Shenaq DS, Rundell VL, et al. Postoperative Pain and Length of Stay Lowered
- by Use of Exparel in Immediate, Implant-Based Breast Reconstruction. *Plast Reconstr*
- 455 Surg Glob Open. 2015;3:e391.
- 456 40. Radosa JC, Radosa MP, Schweitzer PA, et al. Impact of different intraoperative CO2
- 457 pressure levels (8 and 15 mmHg) during laparoscopic hysterectomy performed due to
- benign uterine pathologies on postoperative pain and arterial pCO2 : a prospective
- randomised controlled clinical trial. *BJOG.* 2019;126:1276-1285.
- 460 41. Harders M, Malangoni MA, Weight S, Sidhu T. Improving operating room efficiency
- through process redesign. Surgery. 2006;140:509-514.
- 42. Lee BT, Tobias AM, Yueh JH, et al. Design and impact of an intraoperative pathway: a
- new operating room model for team-based practice. J Am Coll Surg. 2008;207:865–873.

43. Wolf FA, Way LW, Stewart L. The efficacy of medical team training: improved team 464 performance and decreased operating room delays: a detailed analysis of 4863 cases. 465 466 Ann Surg. 2010;252:477-483. 44. Russ S, Arora S, Wharton R, et al. Measuring safety and efficiency in the operating room: 467 development and validation of a metric for evaluating task execution in the operating 468 room. J Am Coll Surg. 2013;216:472-481. 469 470 45. Phieffer L, Hefner JL, Rahmanian A, et al. Improving Operating Room Efficiency: First Case On-Time Start Project. J Healthc Qual. 2017;39:e70-8. 471 46. Hu YY, Peyre SE, Arriaga AF, et al. Postgame analysis: using video-based coaching for 472 continuous professional development. J Am Coll Surg. 2012;214:115–124. 473 474 47. Hull L, Arora S, Aggarwal R, Darzi A, Vincent C, Sevdalis N. The impact of nontechnical skills on technical performance in surgery: a systematic review. J Am Coll Surg. 475 2012;214:214-230. 476 477 478

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics

Variable	6 mmHg warmed, humidified CO2 gas (n=30)
Age, mean (SD), y	80.4 (3.5)
Hight, mean (SD), cm	156.7 (19.4)
Body weight, Mean (SD), kg	59.3 (12.7)
Body mass index, mean (SD)	23.4 (3.7)
ASA status, No. (%) ASA 1	4 (13.3)
ASA 2	26 (86.7)
ACCI	3.6 (0.50)
Basal QoR 40, median [IQR]	191 [185, 197]
Additional surgical procedure, No. (%)	

None Burch TVT	12 (40.0) 15 (50.0) 3 (10.0)
Operating time, mean (SD), min Total operating time Pneumoperitoneum time	124.3 (21.7) 112.3 (23.2)
Volume CO2 used, median [IQR], L	160 [80, 240]
Trendelenburg position, mean (SD), degree	22.6 (3.6)
Intraoperative sufentanyl usage, mean (SD), µg	41.0 (6.8)
Core temperature,	
median [IQR], °C Start temperature	35.9 [35.2, 36.6]
Minimum temperature	35.7 [35.2, 36.2]
Maximum temperature	36.0 [35.4, 36.6]
Final temperature	35.9 [35.4, 36.4]
PACU LOS, mean (SD), min	118.6 (24.6)

Morphine requirement in the PACU

Patients requiring morphine, No. (%)	7 (23.3)
Morphine usage, median [IQR], mg	0 (1)
Patients requiring morphine in the ward, No. (%)	0 (0)
Hospital LOS after	
surgery, No. (%) <48h	22 (72 2)
	22 (73.3)
>48h <72h	8 (26.7)

Abbreviations

IPP: intraperitoneal pressure, IQR: interquartile range, ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists

ACCI: age-adjusted Charlson comorbidity index, QoR 40: Quality of Recovery-40

LOS: length of stay, PACU: postanesthesia care unit

Burch: Burch colposuspension, TVT: tension-free vaginal tape procedure

Table 2: QoR-40 Scores

Outcome	Baseline	24h	P-value
	(n=30)	(n=30)	
Global score	191 [185, 197]	190 [181, 194]	.07
C1: Emotional	42 [38, 43]	41.5 [38, 44]	.76
State			
C2: Physical Comfort	57.5 [54, 59]	58 [53, 58]	.33
C3: Psychological Support	35 [35, 35]	35 [35, 35]	.34
C4: Physical independence	25 [24, 25]	23.5 [22, 25]	<.001

Results are shown as median [inter-quartile range]

Abbreviations

QoR-40: Quality of Recovery-40

Table 3: Comparisons of patients with a LHSS <48 hours versus those >48 hours

Variable	Category	<48 hours (n=22)	>48h <72h (n=8)	P-value
Age	-	80.4 ± 3.8	80.4 ± 2.6	.98
ASA score	1 2	3 (14%) 19 (86%)	1 (12%) 7 (88%)	1.00
ACCI		3.5 ± 0.5	3.7 ± 0.5	.32
ВМІ	-	23.6 ± 3.6	24.0 ± 4.4	.80
CO2 duration (mins)	-	108 ± 19	120 ± 25	.18
Operating time (mins)	-	119 ± 20	134 ± 22	.09
Volume CO2 (L)	-	165 [115, 200]	154 [121, 198]	.98
Additional procedure	No Yes	10 (45%) 12 (55%)	1 (12%) 7 (88%)	.20
Surgical difficulty	-	35 ± 16	33 ± 16	.71
OR exit time	AM PM	15 (68%) 7 (32%)	1 (12%) 7 (88%)	.01
Weekend discharge	No Yes	14 (64) 8 (36%)	5 (62%) 3 (38%)	1.00
LOS in PACU	-	118 ± 24	120 ± 27	.83
Pain in PACU	≤ 30 > 30	15 (68%) 7 (32%)	5 (62%) 3 (38%)	.77

Temperature start	-	36.0 [35.6, 36.3]	36.1 [35.9, 36.2]	.83
Temperature end	-	36.0 [35.5, 36.2]	35.8 [35.5, 36.2]	.96
O-D40 hline		100 [100 107]	100 [177 100]	00
QoR40 score baseline	-	193 [189, 197]	182 [177, 190]	.06
QoR40 score 24 hrs	-	191 [182, 196]	184 [179, 190]	.08

Results are shown as mean ± standard deviation, median [inter-quartile range], or number (percentage)

Abbreviations

LHSS; Length of hospital stay after surgery, ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists, ACCI: age-adjusted Charlson comorbidity index,

BMI: body mass index, OR: operating room, QoR-40: Quality of Recovery-40, LOS: length of stay, PACU: postanesthesia care unit

Table 4: Multivariable analysis of factors associated with LHSS

Variable	Category	Odds Ratio (95% CI) (*)	P-value
OR exit time	AM PM	1 8.6 (1.7, 4461)	.03
QoR40 score baseline	-	0.80 (0.65, 0.99)	.04

^(*) Odds ratios represent the odds of a LHSS >48 hours.

Abbreviations

LHSS; Length of hospital stay after surgery, OR: Operating room, QoR-40: Quality of Recovery-40