

Soil quality and fertility in sustainable agriculture, with a contribution to the biological classification of agricultural soils

Adriano Sofo, Augusto Zanella, Jean-François Ponge

► To cite this version:

Adriano Sofo, Augusto Zanella, Jean-François Ponge. Soil quality and fertility in sustainable agriculture, with a contribution to the biological classification of agricultural soils. Soil Use and Management, inPress, 38 (2), pp.1085-1112. 10.1111/sum.12702 . hal-03180887v2

HAL Id: hal-03180887 https://hal.science/hal-03180887v2

Submitted on 12 Apr 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Public Domain

1 Commentary paper

2

Soil quality and fertility in sustainable agriculture, with a biological classification of agricultural soils

5

Adriano Sofo^{1,*}, Augusto Zanella^{2,*}, Jean-François Ponge³

6 7

8 ¹ Department of European and Mediterranean Cultures: Architecture, Environment, Cultural

9 Heritage (DiCEM), Università degli Studi della Basilicata, Via Lanera 20, 75100 Matera,

- 10 Italy
- ² Department of Land, Environment, Agriculture and Forestry, Università degli Studi di
 Padova, Viale dell'Università 16, 35020 Legnaro (PD), Italy
- 13 ³ Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, CNRS UMR 7179, 4 avenue du Petit Château, 91800
- 14 Brunoy, France
- 15
- 16
- 17 Corresponding authors: Prof. Adriano Sofo, <u>adriano.sofo@unibas.it;</u> Prof. Augusto Zanella,
- 18 <u>augusto.zanella@unipd.it</u>

19

20 Abstract

21

Soils and crops are nowadays particularly vulnerable to climate change and environmental stresses. In many agrosystems, soil biodiversity and the ecosystem services soil provides are under threat from a range of natural and manmade drivers. Agricultural soils are often subjected to agronomic practices that disrupt soil trophic networks to a large extent, and make soils less and less productive in the long term. In this scenario, sustainable soil uses aimed at improving plant/root status, growth and development play a crucial role, as they have been found to enhance the biological capacity of agricultural soils.

- 29 This commentary paper is divided into four main sections:
- 30 a) The contentious nature of soil organic matter.
- 31 b) Soil biological quality/fertility.
- 32 c) Soil classification.
- d) Which agricultural practices can be defined as sustainable?

The published literature was analyzed within a holistic framework, with agrosystems considered as living systems where soil, vegetation, fauna and microorganisms co-evolve and are reciprocally influenced. Ultimately, this article will suggest a better stewardship of agricultural soils as a natural capital.

38

Keywords: conservation and sustainable agriculture; humus; plant-microorganisms-soil
interactions; soil classification; soil ecology; soil organic matter; soil quality and fertility.

- 41
- 42

43 Highlights

44

- A crucial question: what really is a sustainable agricultural soil?
- Is it possible to adopt a different paradigm of soil classification?
- The decline in soil fertility is mainly due to the reduction of soil biodiversity and
 organic matter content.
- Biodiversity and fertility are two sides of the modern concept multifunctional
 agricultural soils.
- A better stewardship of agricultural soils as a natural capital is urgent and necessary.
- 52

Sofo, Zanella, Ponge

53 1. Introduction

54

55 Soils are one of our most valuable resources and are fundamental natural capitals at the base of all trophic chains. In agricultural systems, management practices that ensure high 56 production often have negative repercussions on soil health, quality and fertility (Fig. 1). A 57 58 high number of physicochemical, microbiological and biochemical parameters are responsible 59 for the fertility of a soil (Cheik & Jouquet, 2020; Jiang et al., 2020). However, because of the 60 challenge of considering them altogether, only the most informative and reliable ones can be selected. Soil biological properties are very sensitive to small-scale changes occurring in a 61 62 soil compared to soil physicochemical parameters. To ensure the long-term sustainability of cropping systems, both the status of soil organisms and crops need to be taken into account 63 (de Vries and Wallenstein, 2017; Pelosi and Römbke, 2018). In this commentary paper, the 64 specific biological indicators of soil health will be discussed together with soil 65 physicochemical parameters and suggestions for soil classification. The core assumption is 66 67 that healthy soils provide an optimal environment for soil organisms that stimulate plant physiological and biochemical responses to stress (Pinstrup-Andersen and Pandya-Lorch, 68 69 1998; Soussana et al., 2019).

70 The overall message is the urgency of better understanding the effects of soil 71 management practices on the structure of soil microbial and animal communities, and on plant 72 health and production. A high number of physicochemical, biological and biochemical 73 parameters are responsible for the fertility of a soil. However, due to the impossibility of 74 considering all of them, it is inevitable to select the most informative and reliable ones (Gil-75 Sotres et al., 2005). Generally, the physicochemical parameters are of scarce utility as 76 indicators, as they are altered often when soils are subjected to drastic disturbances (Filip, 77 2002). On the other side, soil biochemical properties are more sensitive to smaller changes 78 occurring in a soil (Yakovchenko et al., 1996; Wallenstein & Vilgalys, 2005; Muscolo et al., 79 2015). The selection of biological indicators closely related to soil microbial dynamics is 80 essential for the quantification of soil quality and its resilience to stresses, two basic requisites 81 of soil fertility (Duru et al., 2015; Hopkin, 2008; Schloter et al., 2018; Vogel et al., 2018; 82 Veen et al., 2019). The indicators adopted could provide reliable and easy-to-interpret 83 information on soil and plant status, as they are little affected by the fluctuations related to the 84 season and topographic effects.

Carrying out a representative soil sampling, measuring soil biological indicators, proposing a correct soil classification, and integrating all data in a holistic framework can facilitate the inclusion of soil health, quality and fertility in management decisions made by farmers, land managers, and crop advisers. Understanding the effects of agricultural management practices on soil health, soil microorganisms/animals, and plants is important, but soil health should be included as a factor when management decisions are made by farmers, land managers, and crop advisers.

92



93

94 [Figure 1. HERE]

95

Despite significant data gaps, there is growing evidence that unsustainable agricultural practices not only negatively affect the health and quality of the soils needed to sustain healthy crops and provide nutrient-rich foods, but they can also significantly affect the

99 integrity and resilience of the ecosystem as a whole. To 'break' the above-cited vicious circle, 100 sustainable solutions are required to facilitate the conservation of soils. But, in order to understand which soils are or can be sustainably managed, soil classification criteria are 101 102 essential. The founders of the soil classification linked the different units to precise 103 pedogenetic processes (FAO-UNESCO, 1974) to be able to define the functioning of each 104 unit. The advantages of knowing the position of a cultivated field on the map of the 105 distribution of the world's soils remain minimal for the farmer. Scale and micromorphological or purely local factors intervene and affect the functionality of the soil map. 106 107 Generally, farmers do not know the name of the soils they are cultivating. Not even many 108 scientists who study natural ecosystems know the name of the soils in which they grow plants 109 or raise livestock.

This article is focused on the most advanced and updated research on the processes occurring at the interface between soil physicochemical aspects, plant roots and soil microorganisms/animals in sustainable agrosystems, and on practices to establish sustainable soils and preserve their fertility. An examination of the published literature has revealed that:

- 114
- a) soil humus formation, soil compaction and degradation, soil-plant-atmosphere
 interactions, root development and rhizosphere processes, vegetation types and
 phytosociology, signaling among plants and organisms, and plant nutrient balance
 have all crucial roles in making a soil really sustainable;
- b) a conspicuous part of soil physical, chemical and biological fertility in
 agroecosystems can be attributed to the action of soil microorganisms, with a
 substantial contribution of soil animals;
- c) a new paradigm for soil classification is necessary for creating the conditions for
 soils to be sustainable and able to provide ecosystem services.
- 124

In this article, all these aspects are considered in a holistic view, with agrosystems considered as living systems where soil, vegetation, fauna and microorganisms co-evolve and are reciprocally influenced (paragraph 6). In living soils, the key role of microorganisms in agrosystems should be seriously taken into account in land management strategies, focusing not exclusively on crop yield and quality, but also on soil fertility restoration and environmental safety. Moreover, the role of soil fauna, especially considering their interactions with microorganisms and plant roots, can surely contribute to the long-termsustainability of agricultural soils.

133 The aim of this commentary paper is therefore to reveal the complex interactions between 134 soil physical and chemical properties (on which classification is mainly based), soil microbial 135 diversity, and plant health in sustainable agroecosystems. Nowadays, agricultural activity, 136 rather than considering just productivity, is focused on the quality of products, natural 137 resource stewardship and environmental aspects, moving toward sustainable management techniques. The sustainable, judicious and efficient use of soils is thus essential to support 138 139 continued agricultural production and quality. Focusing on sustainable soil use management 140 and food production, this new type of agriculture can perfectly fit within the background of 141 natural resources challenge, where positive mutual interactions between soil microorganisms 142 and cultivated plants play a key role.

- 143
- 144

145 **2.** The contentious nature of soil organic matter

146

147 Lehmann and Kleber (2015) reject the concept of humus and claim that: "Government-148 funded research programs must therefore preferentially support science that bridges the gap 149 between detailed and fine-scale mechanistic research at the plant-soil interface and field-150 scale research relevant to those who manage soils for their multiple ecosystem services". In 151 their "Soil continuum model - Consolidated view" (Fig. 2) they replaced "humification" and 152 "mineralization" with the less precise terms of "formation" and " destruction". Stevenson 153 (1994) founded the organic matter science accurately describing large to small molecules and 154 their specific properties in a model very similar to the one presented as a novelty in 2015 by 155 Lehman and Kleber. With the intention of strengthening our position in defense of humus, we 156 report in Appendix 1 the thought of "The first major critique of the humification concept" (Lehmann and Kleber, 2015). It seems to us that Waksman (1936) predicted soil depletion as 157 a consequence of denying the concept of "Humus as an organic system". The recent 158 159 acknowledgement of the supramolecular nature of soil humus substances (Drosos et al., 2018; 160 Piccolo, 2002; Pinton et al., 2007) allows to devise a fractionation procedure, called 161 Humeomics, that enables a detailed characterization of the structure of humic molecules in 162 soil. The molecular mass of humic molecules can be increased with repercussions on the 163 amount of carbon stored in the soil (Piccolo et al., 2019).

164



165

166 [Figure 2. HERE]

167

168 As for "fine-scale mechanistic research", it reminds us of those who would like to eat the 169 pills that astronauts carry into space, instead of real legumes or cooked meats. It may even 170 happen that they do not understand why they do not feel good in the long term. The concept 171 gets closer when we consider the mass of neurons composing our brains. Via the process of categorization, the brain transforms only some sensory stimulation into information. Only 172 173 some of the wavelengths of light striking our retinas are transformed into seen objects, and only some of the changes in air pressure registered in our ears are heard as words or music. To 174 175 categorize something is to render it meaningful (Barrett, 2009). The same neurons produce 176 different thoughts in response to a specific evolution of the entire brain system, that becomes 177 increasingly rich in interconnexions and information. In figurative terms, the functioning of 178 the soil is similar to that of a brain, but instead of generating thought in a living net of 179 neurons, it builds a real complex ecosystem of interconnected living beings in continuous co-180 evolution.

181

182 **3.** Soil physicochemical quality and changes in soil organic matter

183

184 One of the main worldwide agricultural problems is the decline in soil fertility, mainly 185 due to the reduction of soil biodiversity, and of nutrient and water content. Agricultural soils

are particularly susceptible to this problem, as they are based on the purposeful simplification 186 187 of the relationships between the plant and other components of the natural habitat. This simplification should make agricultural ecosystems easier to control, but instead it creates 188 189 conditions of extreme weakness for plant life. Many studies have shown that the conversion 190 of natural land to agriculture, together with the agricultural intensification that enhances soil 191 organic matter (SOM) depletion, makes the greatest contribution to soil biodiversity loss 192 (Giller et al., 1997; Six et al., 2004; Sofo et al., 2014a; Vitti et al., 2015; Sofo et al., 2020a). 193 The large-scale use of pesticides may also have direct or indirect effects on soil biodiversity, 194 but the lack of data has resulted in contradictory research results (EASAC - European 195 Academies Science Advisory Council, 2018; Silva et al., 2018). SOM, especially its stabilized 196 fraction (humus in chemical sense), plays a crucial role in climate change mitigation and 197 adaptation (Shukla et al., 2020). Amount and types of SOM are principally determined by the 198 continuous physical and chemical action of soil organisms. Soil fauna and microbes are 199 crucial for breaking down, transforming and decomposing SOM (Filser et al., 2016). For this 200 reason, studies focused on understanding soil microorganism-SOM and macrofauna-SOM 201 interactions are needed, and guidelines for future experimentation and best regenerative 202 practices to exploit soil multifunctionality have to be developed, tested and validated.

203 Soils and crops are vulnerable to climate change and environmental stress, and will be 204 more and more in the near future. Many crops are endangered by increasing water shortage, 205 often due to changes in rainfall frequency, and rise of soil aridity and desertification, 206 eventually resulting in deteriorated soil structure and critically low levels of SOM, macro- and 207 micronutrients, all of which essential for water provision and plant growth (Matson et al., 208 1997; Sofo et al., 2019a). The frequent and strong soil tillage, typical of intensive agriculture, 209 significantly affects the stability of soil microaggregates, that have a key role in SOM 210 stabilization and support long term carbon sequestration, being more stable than 211 macroaggregates (Filser et al., 2016). This triggers a detrimental vicious circle which 212 ultimately leads to an increase in the use of mineral fertilizers and pesticides, and needs 213 continuous and strong soil tillage to replace the burrowing and aggregating activity of soil 214 animals, again increasing SOM loss (Silva et al., 2019). In brief, such soils become mere 215 "containers" for plant roots and function as a carbon source, worsening the greenhouse effect 216 even further.

Healthy, fertile soils are rich in SOM built of carbon that living plants remove from the atmosphere through photosynthesis. SOM fuels the soil organisms which improve soil 219 structure and recycle mineral elements that plants take up as nutrients (FAO and ITPS, 2015; 220 Mechri et al., 2017). But soils release carbon, too. The frequent use of tillage and fertilizers, 221 characteristic of modern conventional agriculture, has accelerated SOM degradation, releasing 222 more carbon into the atmosphere. The last IPCC report (Shukla et al., 2020) concludes that, 223 globally, cropland soils have lost 20-60% of their original SOM content. On top of those 224 losses, modern agriculture consumes a lot of fossil fuels to pull plows and manufacture the 225 synthetic nitrogen fertilizers that farmers rely on to coax large harvests from degraded soils. 226 Additionally, restoring soil health would help mitigate the effects of climate change. 227 Increasing the amount of SOM enhances its ability to hold water. Improving soil structure 228 would reduce erosion and retain more rainfall, where it can better sustain crops - especially during drought-stressed years (Sofo et al., 2019b). In addition to benefiting the climate, less 229 230 fertilizer use will reduce off-farm water pollution (Silva et al., 2019). Land management 231 choices also affect the amount of carbon stored in trees, plants and soil (FAO, 2020). The last 232 IPCC report (Shukla et al., 2020) estimates that serious changes in forestry and agriculture to 233 curtail deforestation and improve soil management could reduce global emissions by 5 to 20 234 percent. While this won't solve the climate problem, it would represent a significant down 235 payment on a global solution.

236 What is it really important to monitor in a soil? Certainly, a comprehensive and in-depth 237 analysis of soil characteristics is required. To do this, correct soil sampling (e.g., by analyzing 238 composite soil samples taken at different soil depths in specific soil horizons) and/or an 239 appropriate pedological excavation are essential. This can allow the definition of physical soil 240 properties (accumulation of salts, soil compaction, reduction of macropores, soil hydraulic 241 conductivity - vertical and horizontal water infiltration), soil macroporosity (with macropores 242 analysis and their shape and size, both relevant for water infiltration), soil moisture, 243 preferably measured by sensors in different soil horizons during the year. Other important 244 parameters for defining soil fertility are the root status, evaluation of the healthy status of the 245 roots and of root morphology (root density, root diameter, amount of white, suberized and dead roots, etc.), microscopic analysis of the roots to observe eventual physical damage of the 246 247 roots (e.g., necrotic parts, increased lignification, etc.). Among chemical parameters, a key role for the fertility of agricultural soils is played by soil nutrients (particularly nitrogen and 248 249 phosphorus) and the presence/absence of soil contaminants, such as heavy metals, pesticides 250 and hydrocarbons. Soil gas analyses are also relevant, as the determination of carbon dioxide, 251 nitrogen oxides and methane (and oxygen too, even if it cannot be easily detected) by means of gas chromatographic techniques or portable laser-based trace gas analyzers will allow us to distinguish between anaerobic and aerobic micro-environments, with the latter able to promote several plant diseases due to pathogenic microbial attacks.

255

256 **4.** Soil biological quality/fertility

257

258 Soils host a quarter of our planet's biodiversity, but most of it remains unknown (Sofo et 259 al., 2010; Wall et al., 2010; Fierer, 2017; Sofo et al., 2020a). One gram of soil may contain up 260 to one billion bacterial cells, tens of thousands of taxa, up to 200 million of fungal hyphae, 261 and a wide range of invertebrates like earthworms, springtails and nematodes (Wagg et al., 2014), that are all part of a complex and interconnected food web (Lavelle et al., 2014; Wall 262 et al., 2015; Williamson et al., 2017). The health of all multicellular organisms (including 263 264 plants, animals, and humans) and their surrounding ecosystems are interconnected through a 265 subset of microbial organisms found in the plant and soil compartments, particularly in the 266 rhizosphere. Plants nurture an entire world of soil organisms that feed and protect the plants according to aboveground-belowground, plant-soil feedbacks (PSFs) that have different 267 268 spatio-temporal scales and are greatly affected by climate-related factors (Ponge, 2013; Veen 269 et al., 2019).

270 The diverse communities of telluric bacteria and fungi keep the soil healthy and fertile 271 and determine the main biogeochemical processes that make life possible on Earth (Dastgerdi 272 et al., 2020; Sweeney et al., 2020; Wilpiszeski et al., 2019). They play fundamental roles in 273 driving many ecosystem processes on which the functioning of terrestrial ecosystems 274 depends, including soil formation, nutrient and water cycling, climate regulation, carbon 275 storage, production of food, medicine and fibre, disease and pest control, and greater 276 resilience to global change (Bardgett & Van der Putten, 2014; Lavelle et al., 2014). While soil 277 biodiversity represents an important biological and genetic resource for biotechnological 278 innovation with benefits to society, it is increasingly threatened by different forms of land 279 degradation (FAO, 2020; FAO and ITPS, 2015). Soil biodiversity is vulnerable to many 280 human disturbances, including intensive and non-sustainable agricultural practices, land use, 281 climate change, nitrogen-enrichment, soil pollution, invasive species, and sealing of soil 282 (Orgiazzi et al., 2015). Soil microorganisms' dynamics (e.g., mobility, growth, nutrient 283 absorption and respiration), mainly responsible for soil fertility and quality (Bünemann et al., 284 2018), are strongly affected by the soil management (Enwall et al., 2007; Jeanbille et al., 285 2016; Sofo et al., 2020a, 2020 b). When the soil microbial biocenosis is significantly altered, 286 cultivated plants are more susceptible to diseases and display stunted growth. In this respect, 287 correct agronomic techniques (fertilisation, irrigation, soil tillage, etc.) become instruments to 288 recover the disrupted equilibrium. However, the functionality and metabolism of soil 289 microorganisms are related to soil quality and fertility, as they influence and, at the same 290 time, are influenced by the soil carbon and nitrogen contents, bacteria being an essential part 291 of carbon and nitrogen cycling processes (de Vries and Shade, 2013; Mooshammer et al., 292 2014; de Vries & Wallenstein, 2017; Li et al., 2018). Microbial interactions play a critical role 293 not only in regulating ecological functions and processes but ultimately in determining the 294 health of plants, animals and humans as components of terrestrial ecosystems (Fierer, 2017; 295 Sofo et al., 2020b; Stevenson et al., 2020). Having co-evolved with a plethora of 296 microorganisms, plants benefit from microbial symbiosis, while simultaneously facing 297 challenges from pathogens.

298 Microbial communities are regulated by the activity of soil animals, some of which play 299 an essential role as ecosystem engineers (Lavelle, 2002). Earthworms, ants and termites (in 300 arid tropical countries) contribute significantly to the creation of an interconnected pore 301 network (Pagenkemper et al., 2015) into which air and water are circulating (Pla et al., 2017), 302 and which are hot spots of microbial activity (Hoang et al., 2016). They also contribute to the 303 creation of soil aggregates (Lavelle et al., 2020), offering a dynamic habitat to microbial 304 colonies (Gupta & Germida, 2015) and preventing loss of carbon, water and nutrients 305 (Pulleman and Marinissen, 2004). Soil engineers and their associated microbiome produce 306 metabolites which exert a hormonal effect on plants (Muscolo et al., 1996) and act as signals 307 which stimulate the defence metabolism of plants (Blouin et al., 2005). Soil animals of 308 smaller size, although not directly involved in the physical transformation of the soil, are the 309 main regulating agents of the microbial compartment. By feeding on fungi and bacteria they 310 contribute to maintaining the microbial biomass in an active state (Kaneda and Kaneko, 311 2008), and decrease competition among microbial strains by feeding preferentially on those 312 growing faster (Newell, 1984). Although still in need of research, it can be expected that 313 selective grazing of microbial colonies by tiny soil animals (nematodes, protozoa, 314 microarthropods, enchytraeids) increases soil microbial biodiversity locally, as this has been 315 shown to occur with grassland vegetation under moderate herbivore grazing. It has even been 316 suggested that some soil animals would consume preferentially microbial pathogens and thus 317 could contribute to decrease soil pathogenicity (Friberg et al., 2005). Soil food webs, from 318 microbes to top predators, include trophic chains (Pollierer et al., 2019) by which fresh 319 organic matter is transformed in humus (Lehmann and Kleber, 2015) at a rate increasing with 320 the number and complementarity of functional niches of soil animals (Heemsbergen et al., 321 2004).

322 It is essential to link biodiversity measures with specific soil functions and plant status 323 under particular environmental contexts, particularly in agrosystems (Ramirez et al., 2015). 324 For instance, while some soil functions are driven by a diverse set of organisms that 325 contribute to functional resilience (e.g., decomposition), other soil functions involve a more specific set of organisms (e.g., nitrifiers, bio-control agents) which make them more 326 327 vulnerable to biodiversity loss. Wagg et al. (2014) showed that soil biodiversity loss or simplification of soil community composition can impair multiple ecosystem functions, 328 329 including plant diversity, decomposition, nutrient retention, and nutrient cycling. A better 330 understanding of the pivotal roles of soil organisms in mediating soil-based ecosystem 331 services, as affected by ecosystem management approaches and practices adapted to socio-332 ecological contexts, is also central to guiding biodiversity-friendly agricultural intensification 333 (Lal, 2015; FAO, 2020).

- 334
- 335

336 **5. Soil classification**

337

338 5.1. Crucial historical legacies

339

In this section we summarize the historical legacies of two masters of soil classification,
Dukuchaev Vasily Vasilyevich (1846-1903), considered the founder of the soil classification
in Europe, and Hans Jenny (1899–1992), one of the greatest American pedologists, a Swiss
who ended up working in California at Berkeley University.

344

345 1) From "Zones verticales des sols, zones agricoles, sols du Caucase" (Dokuchaev, 1900), 346 cited in "A l'aube de la Science du sol" (Legros, 2019):

- 347
- a) Climate, biological agents, rock, topography and duration are the factors of soil
 differentiation, or the factors of pedogenesis.

- b) Climate and corresponding vegetation are the main factors responsible for theorganization of soils on a global scale.
- 352 c) At the field scale, climatic variability does not have to be considered, while
 353 topography and variability of the geological substrate can still modify soils.
- d) Dokuchaev and his students also observed that there were exceptions to climate zonality. Local environmental factors play a predominant role and mask the role of climate. It will take many years to conceptually resolve this problem, as evidenced by the changes in vocabulary introduced on the subject over time. Currently we distinguish between "zonal" soils, that are part of the climatic zonality, "azonal" soils, whenever the rock outcrops directly, and "intra-zonal" soils, whose characteristics are linked to special conditions, such as excess water or salt.
- 361

363

362 2) In "Factors of soil formation - A System of Quantitative Pedology" (Jenny, 1941):

- a) The soil system is an open system; substances may be added to or removed from it.
 Every system is characterized by properties that we may designate by symbols, such
 as s1, s2, s3, s4, s5, etc. For example, s1 may indicate nitrogen content, s2 acidity,
 s3 apparent density, s4 amount of calcium, s5 pressure of carbon dioxide, etc. Any
 system is defined when its properties are stated.
- b) The initial state of the soil system has been designated as parent material. Climate
 (*cl*), Organisms (*o*), Topography (*r*), Parent material (*p*), and Time (*t*) completely
 describe the soil system. The total change of any soil property depends on all the
 changes of the soil-forming factors following a fundamental equation:
- 373

374 (1) $s = f(cl, o, r, p, t, \dots)$, where: *s* stands for "soil property", *f* for "function of," or 375 "dependent on", dots show that, besides the variables listed, additional soil formers may 376 have to be included. In a more precise differential mathematical formula, the equation (1) 377 becomes:

378

$$(2) \quad ds = \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial cl}\right)_{o, r, p, t} dcl + \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial o}\right)_{cl, r, p, t} do + \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial r}\right)_{cl, o, p, t} dr + \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial p}\right)_{cl, o, r, t} dp + \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial t}\right)_{cl, o, r, p} dt$$

380 381

382

379

c) In selecting *cl*, *o*, *r*, *p*, and *t* as the independent variables of the soil system, we do not assert that these factors never enter functional relationships among themselves.

383 We emphasize the fact that the soil formers may vary independently and may be 384 present in a great variety of combinations, either in nature or under experimental 385 conditions. To find out the role played by each soil-forming factor, it is necessary 386 that all the remaining factors be kept constant. A serious practical difficulty in 387 solving Equation (1) in the field arises from the requirement of keeping the soil 388 formers constant. In laboratory experiments on soil formation, we can exercise rigid 389 control of the conditioning variables (e.g., temperature, moisture, etc.) and thus 390 obtain sets of data that leave no doubt as to the functional relationship between 391 them. Under field conditions, considerable variation in the magnitude of the 392 variables cannot be avoided, in consequence of which we arrive at scatter diagrams rather than perfect functions. Statistical considerations must be introduced, and the 393 394 resulting equations possess the character of general trends only. Even so, the gain in 395 scientific knowledge fully justifies the mode of approach.

396

397 5.2. Soil and vegetation

398

A major problem with the current system of soil classification is that it is difficult for non-specialists to use and understand. Perhaps it is possible to take an example from botanists and the synthetic methods they have prepared. There are several aspects that vegetation and soil coverings have in common:

- a) both are "covers", both correspond to a continuous layer that shows changes inside
 and outside perceptible to the human eye. If there is more water in the system, for
 example, the vegetation changes and also the underlying soil; external change can
 also be seen from satellite; the internal change (structure) is noticed by the naked
 eye with some training. Of course, the more the water (or other main ecological
 factors) balance differs, the more the change is visible;
- b) in both, the whole cover can be broken down into sub-layers. For example, for
 vegetation we speak of arboreal, shrubby and herbaceous layers; for the soil of
 organic, organic-mineral and mineral horizons;
- c) in both cases, circumscribing spatial sub-units (horizontal or vertical) is not so
 simple, because the transition from one to the other unit is rarely abrupt; very often
 it is gradual and nuanced. It is normal for this to happen, because in the two cases

415 416 the factors involved in the distribution of the plant and animal species that inhabit these two coverages are interdependent and evolving.

417 We know that using the characteristic species of the phytosociological units to map the 418 forest vegetation, the part of the forest occupied by undefined vegetation types becomes larger 419 than that occupied by known vegetation types (Zanella and Géhu (Directeur), 1994). In fact, 420 to define vegetation units, lists of particular species are needed; these characteristic species 421 are not the most common in each vegetation unit (if they were the most common, they would 422 also be present elsewhere). Thus, by definition, a large part of an area covered by 423 phytosociological units lacks the characteristic species. This prevents phytosociology from 424 best expressing its operationality. A map of the distribution of vegetation units is always ambiguous and nuanced in many transitional places (Bartoli, 1966; Susmel, 1980, 1959). The 425 426 founding principle (Braun-Blanquet, 1964; Clements, 1936) says that the species lists are 427 repetitive in the space, and therefore it is possible to put a name on each list and produce 428 vegetation maps. We know, however, that for ecological reasons, plant lists can only be 429 partially repetitive. The species respond to the environment and form an unlimited number of 430 combinations that are constantly evolving in space and time (Tansley, 1935). To make an 431 ecosystem survey, in which a given group of plants and animals coevolve, people have to place themselves in the center of an ecologically homogeneous environment. Unfortunately, 432 433 detecting such ecological homogeneity remains a subjective matter, also because the success of this coexistence can only be discovered in the future, during the succession of an 434 435 ecosystem.

436

437 5.3. Classical soil classification

438

439 Foresters considered mainly the organic surface part of the soil, calling it the "humus 440 form", P.E. Müller in Jabiol et al. (2005), whilst agronomists concentrated mostly on the 441 mineral part of the soil (which also contained the organic part buried with the cultivation) 442 which they called "soil". While foresters selected morpho-functional traits, agronomists 443 focused on climate (USDA) and physical-chemical composition, texture, structure, thickness 444 of various diagnostic horizons (USDA and other National and International classifications), 445 with the scope to provide mineral elements and nutrients for crops. Foresters tried to link 446 humus forms to the floristic composition or soil animal lists (Hartmann, 1965; Hartmann and 447 Marcuzzi, 1970; Klinka et al., 1981) and the ability to regenerate the forest (Toutain, 1981;

Sofo, Zanella, Ponge

Bernier and Ponge, 1994; Camaret et al., 2000; Ponge, 2009; Bernier, 2018). Agronomists, on
the other hand, linked soil types to the specific climate and needs of crops (Jenny, 1941;
Birkeland, 1999; Beaudette et al., 2013; Brenna and Tabaglio, 2013; Berdugo et al., 2020).
Each country built its own classifications.

452 For the soil, the need for unification was necessary when international organizations 453 wanted to map soils at planet level. A summary of the history of soil classification can be 454 found in the FAO-website portal. The FAO-Unesco Soil Map of the World (1974) is structured in 10 Volumes composed of a common Legend (Volume 1) and nine sections 455 456 corresponding to different areas of our planet (http://www.fao.org/soils-portal/data-hub/soil-457 maps-and-databases/faounesco-soil-map-of-the-world/en/). The authors of the cartography 458 tried to respect the principles mentioned above (5.1. Crucial historical legacies). In FAO-459 UNESCO (1974) we can read: "The number of soil units which compose the legend of the Soil Map of the World is 106. The legend sheets present these soil units in an order which reflects 460 461 the general processes of soil formation. The basic principles which underlie the separation of 462 these soil units and their definitions are discussed in Chapter 3. Areas of "non soil" are 463 shown on the map as miscellaneous land units." It sounded very promising. Unfortunately, 464 the agreement was only apparent. It hid strong differences of thought that later revealed 465 themselves in the construction of different national operational classification systems. The USA built their own classification (Soil Survey Staff, 1975, 1999, 2003, 2010, 2014, 2015; 466 Soil Science Division Staff, 2017), FAO and IUSS another (Jahn et al., 2006; WRB, 2006; 467 468 IUSS Working Group WRB, 2007; IUSS Working Group WRB, 2010; IUSS Working Group 469 WRB, 2015; Charzyński et al., 2017), and many states operated independently in their 470 countries, often agronomists on one side and foresters on the other, for example in France 471 (Afes, 2009). In Italy, for historical reasons, the classification of soils depends on the region 472 in which it is practiced: in the North West, the French system prevails, even for humus forms, 473 in the North East, the German or USDA systems are adopted but an ancient Austrian system 474 (Hartmann and Marcuzzi, 1970) was until recently used for humus forms, and in the Central-475 South often the USDA is preferred sometimes that of IUSS Working Group, while for humus 476 it is not uncommon to refer to a Canadian manual (Klinka et al., 1981).

Even worse, when there are more than three variables interacting, and in the soil there are dozens, a natural system ends up in chaotic and unpredictable movement (Mayr, 1942; Lorenz, 1963). Soil profiles, as other natural systems, are all different and impossible to classify through a list of characters subdivided in categories as foreseen by Jenny (1941). It is thus impossible to give a name to the soil by fixing the position of a diagnostic horizon in the profile, or the color of this horizon using a panel of reference colors (Munsell), or the content of clay of this horizon. Each variable depends of so many other variables that, in a given point in the field, the combination of them is very large and unpredictable (Equation 1 or 2). However, soil scientists decided to separately improve existing classifications. The result was that the national classifications diverged over time, making it impossible to merge them into a single reference.

488 For some years now, the specialists of the different classification schools have been 489 organizing joint outings, such as soil classification IUSS activities. The best attempt to make 490 the classification easier to understand to other natural science specialists is that of the Soil 491 Survey Staff (Staff Soil Survey, 2015), which proposed a beautiful Illustrated Guide to Soil 492 Taxonomy (version 2) based on the American system. The corresponding model of the World 493 Reference Base Working Group was published in 2018 (Blum et al., 2018). What is most 494 surprising in these manuals is the almost absence of information on soil biology, zero pages in 495 the Staff Soil Survey (2015), four pages in the Blum et al. (2018), even if the "fathers" of soil 496 science put soil organisms in second position, after the climate. And we know how much the 497 climate itself is connected to the living beings of our planet, which have modified it to make it 498 suitable for their development (Lenton et al., 2016).

499

500 5.4. Is there a way to classify soil that is useful and also accessible to non-specialists?

501

502 To classify the soil in a simple way, it is necessary to define from the outset the purpose 503 of the classification and the "limits of the boxes" in which it is useful to put the soils. The 504 Unified Soil Classification System (USCS), for example, is a standardized method used in 505 engineering and geology to describe the texture and grain size of a soil. It can be applied to most unconsolidated materials and is represented by a two-letter symbol. A similar "logical" 506 507 model is already used for agricultural soils. The owner of a crop sends the soil sample to the 508 laboratory which does the analysis and classifies that soil for that specific crop, and reports 509 how much nutrients are missing to optimize its production. Although you may disagree on the 510 principle that knowing what plants are made of makes possible to calculate what needs to be 511 put into the soil (Fusaro, 2015; Lowenfels, 2017), with adjustments of doses and nutrients 512 distributed over time, and crop rotation, the method works. There is now an important amount 513 of research results on the biological quality of the soil. Some of the thousands of articles on the subject include historical context (Magdoff and Weil, 2004; Wander et al., 2019);
European references (Parisi, 1974, 2001; Chaussod, 1996; Balbo et al., 2006; Ponge et al.,
2013) and climate change implications (Brussaard et al., 2007; Bispo et al., 2018; Yin et al.,
2020).

In few words, a QBS index (Biological Quality of the Soil) is calculated by sampling soil animals, especially arthropods and worms. These animals are sorted into functional groups and studied in different types of soils. It can be seen if one soil is richer in functional biodiversity (which guarantees the functioning of the soil, with the right proportion between mites and springtails, for example) than another (Angelini et al., 2002; Ruiz-Camacho, 2011; Nuria et al., 2011). Similarly, soil microorganisms are classified into functional groups designed to reflect soil management (Torsvik and Øvreås, 2002; Nesme et al., 2016).

In summary, there are many useful ways to classify the soil, but none which satisfy what the founders of the soil science wished, that is to understand the soil on a planetary level and to appreciate how this system was distributed/developed on the planet in harmony with life. There is perhaps a way to get to this; not to attempt to circumscribe the infinite final soil types, but to identify a small number of soil-forming processes to which more soil types are arbitrarily assigned.

531

532 *5.5. Soil and humus*

533

534 When an artificial crop system is compared to a forest, things change. We think of a 535 whole system composed of species that have historically come together to collaborate and 536 optimize energy resources. Forest managers call the attempt to mathematically imitate the 537 achievement of a balanced forest ecosystem in terms of age, number and size of trees as 538 "normalization" (Susmel, 1980; Phillips et al., 2004; Pan et al., 2011; Oldeman, 2012; 539 Reinmann and Hutyra, 2017; Hasan et al., 2017; Mahdavi et al., 2019). The cycle concerns a 540 part of the forest surface, a mosaic piece that regenerates when an old tree die and leads to the 541 stability of the forest mosaic as a whole. The number of trees on the surface under renewal 542 must decrease exponentially following a known curve with parameters related to the species 543 and called the "norm". Soil plays a fundamental role in the cycle, and it is the superficial part 544 of the soil profile composed of organic (O or H) and organo-mineral (A) horizons that 545 changes most rapidly, the whole profile following within a much longer time (Achat et al.,

546 2015; Baldrian, 2017; Bernier and Ponge, 1994; Osman, 2013; Poeplau et al., 2020;
547 Takahashi et al., 2019; Zanella et al., 2001, 2018a).

548 The need to have one international system for classification of all humus forms is quite 549 recent. Unification began in July 2003, with a meeting in Trento (Italy) of 26 European 550 specialists with the specific aim of arriving at a European proposal for the classification of 551 humus forms. The need for unification arose from the necessity to accurately calculate the 552 carbon cycle at the level of several European countries. The profile of a natural soil is very complex, and it is not enough to take samples at predefined depths to know the amount of 553 554 carbon that it stores. It is better to subdivide the soil into horizons and then take a sample in each of them. With ¹/₂ or more of the carbon being concentrated in the organic and organo-555 556 mineral horizons, which correspond to the humus forms, it was decided to try to classify them in a standardized way. In 2018, the summary of the work (Fig. 3) was collected in threes 557 558 Applied Soil Ecology special issues (Zanella and Ascher-Jenull, 2018a, 2018b, 2018c).

559



560

561 [Figure 3. HERE]

562

The soil develops and changes with the system that contains it, and depending on the space-time scale with which one looks at it (Zanella et al., 2018e, 2018b). It seems like a trivial matter; in reality it changes the perspective with which one has to look at the soil when wanting to classify it. One way to classify soil taking into account its dynamics is to break it down into three layers which are evolving and influencing each other but which remain and can be classified independently (Fig. 4).

569



570 571

[Figure 4. HERE]

572

573 Living organisms organize the superficial part of the Earth's crust into layers that are 574 visible to the naked eye. If we consider the soil as a living ecosystem, it begins with the 575 colonization process of the rock by microorganisms (time 1 on Fig. 4). In time 2, we can see 576 an organic matter that begins to bond with the mineral one and form aggregates (OA horizon), 577 and the rock fragments generating an initial Lithopedon (C). In time 3, the organo-mineral 578 aggregates become well amalgamated and form a structured A horizon. In time 4, a new layer 579 called Copedon begins to form between well-developed Humipedon and Lithopedon; it arises 580 from the interaction of them. In time 5, Copedon (horizon B) increases in volume and takes 581 on a mature form. In time 6, we arrive at a complete, mature soil profile, where the Copedon 582 can also present an E horizon.

It is possible to break down the soil profile into three main sections: Humipedon (organic 583 O and/or organic-mineral A horizons); Copedon (mineral horizons E and/or B); Lithopedon 584 585 (mineral horizons C and R). The advantage is that of being able to study these parts of the soil 586 as if they were relatively independent (Zanella et al., 2018d). In the natural environment, the 587 formation of the soil profile can stop at the Humipedon stage (in films of microorganisms on 588 bare rock, or in soil crust), or also comprise a Lithopedon (sometimes very thick in soils at 589 high altitude, such as Rendzina or Rakers, for example), or form an additional Copedon (as in 590 the most common mature soils) which may be very deep in tropical soils (Fig. 4, time 5 and 591 6); eroded soils often lost their whole Humipedon.

592 Moving on to classification, morpho-functional diagnostic horizons were chosen for each 593 Humipedon. As an example, the Mull system contains those humus forms that are able to 594 integrate into an organic-mineral horizon all the litter annually produced by the ecosystem in 595 which they develop. As consequence, all the humus forms belonging to a Mull system are 596 characterized by 1. the absence of the last stage of litter transformation (corresponding to a 597 diagnostic horizon called OH, made of organic thin fragments, mixed with small animal 598 droppings) and 2. the presence of an organic-mineral A horizons made of zoogenic large 599 aggregates. In the same way, other morpho-functional, organic and organo-mineral, zoogenic 600 and non-zoogenic horizons were defined to classify each Humipedon in five Terrestrial 601 humus systems (Mull, Moder, Mor, Amphi and Tangel). In each humus system the relative thickness of the diagnostic horizons allows to individuate 3-4 finer humus forms. 602

603 TerrHum, a free available Android app, can help to remember the diagnostic characters 604 and horizons (https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=fr.inra.terrhum) corresponding to 605 each humus system and forms. The same reasoning was done for submerged (Histic) tidalic 606 seaside (Aqueous) and initial, or man-modified, or artificial (Para) humus systems. The 607 classification method is very similar to that described in the FAO-UNICEF map legend: to 608 circumscribe a soil ecosystem at the top of the Earth crust, that grows over time and evolves following a pedogenetic process, dependent on those same soil forming-factors reported in the 609 610 preceding Crucial historical legacies. The recognition of morpho-functional elements then 611 makes it possible to identify humus systems and forms.

612 To obtain a classification of the whole soil profile, it would be enough to do the same 613 proceeding for the Copedon and Lithopedon, and to identify some morpho-functional 614 diagnostic horizons corresponding to given processes of pedogenesis. This work is already 615 present and explicitly reported in the FAO-UNICEF first world soil maps. As if the soil could 616 not correspond to a real ecosystem, recent classifications have given up looking for a purpose 617 in the evolution of the soil.. We think it is a serious fundamental error, which generates 618 confusion and misunderstanding. We suggest assigning the classic pedogenesis processes to 619 Copedon, in order to recover much of the classification work that is also found in recent soil classifications (examples: Eluviation/Illuviation, with the formation of an E horizon in 620 621 missing the finished in Bt clay and genesis of a Luvisol profile; Podzolization, with formation 622 of Bhs or/and Bs horizons and genesis of a profile of Podzol; Brunification/weathering, with 623 formation of Bw horizons and genesis of a profile of Cambisols, and so on). It is a question of

624 returning to the origin of Pedology, where pedogenesis processes were established, and which 625 implies that the soil is a real evolving ecosystem.

626 A classification of the Lithopedon would help to distinguish parent material from 627 substrate. In addition, Lithopedon physical and chemical qualities certainly influence the 628 cycles of nutrients, carbon, air and water in the soil (Cremeens et al., 1994; Gupta et al., 629 2008). (Juilleret et al., 2014; Moragues-Quiroga et al., 2017) Juilleret et al. (2014) suggest to 630 implement four "subsolum materials" in the WRB as qualifiers; Moragues-Quiroga et al. (2017) suggest to survey potential geochemical tracers of exchange processes at the water-631 632 mineral interface, suggest to differentiate surface organic compartment, upper horizons with 633 presence of atmosphere-derived particles, lower horizons characterized by a mineralogical 634 composition pointing to ancient events.

In Figure 5, the subdivisions (which are rarely abrupt) within the three soil layers 635 636 (Humipedon, Copedon and Lithopedon) are expressed with colors. The arrows correspond to the interrelationships between the subsystems (Humi- Co- and Litho-pedon) of the soil. These 637 638 have their own dynamics which are partly independent of the whole, but which can be detected with the naked eye by looking for signs of co-evolution (pedological processes), 639 640 which ultimately generate each particular soil profile.



Examples of PEDOGENETIC PROCESSES

642

641

643

644

645 The forms of humus are directly linked to particular groups of soil animals (Ponge, 2003, 646 2013; Zanella et al., 2018b) and agents of biodegradation which are in turn linked to soil microorganisms (Sofo et al., 2014, 2019; Bispo et al., 2018; Bayat et al., 2018; Karimi et al., 647 648 2018, 2019; Liang et al., 2019). This connection could have very important consequences on 649 the management of forest and agricultural soils to stop the climate from warming. The 650 ultimate goal could be to integrate in the new classification all those data relating to biological 651 functioning that are now collected by people who already practice a non-specialized soil 652 classification.

653 From another point of view, which is the one concerning agricultural soil, soil that 654 produces food for humans and domesticated animals, it was thought that to transform/modify/till such type of soil was an economically very profitable action. At the time 655 656 of maximum expansion of the technological revolution of agriculture, Hans Jenny became aware of the possible misunderstanding, and in 1980 defined soil as follows: "Soil is more 657 658 than farmer's dirt, or a pile of good topsoil, or engineering material; it is a body of nature that 659 has its own internal organization and history of genesis" (Jenny, 1980). In a letter to Science 660 of the same year, he even wrote: "Because of a possible climatic warm-up, we do not wish 661 accelerate humus oxidation and the concomitant flux of carbon dioxide from soil into the 662 atmosphere... The humus capital, which is substantial, deserves to be maintained because 663 good soils are a national asset".

- 664
- 665

666 6. Which agricultural practices can be defined sustainable?

667

668 6.1. Conventional or sustainable agriculture?

669

670 Nowadays, agricultural production is at risk due to many adverse abiotic and biotic factors. Furthermore, climate change can potentially decrease the effectiveness of plant 671 672 defence mechanisms and increase the risk of diseases through excess growth and physiological alteration of cultivated plants (Vitti et al., 2016). In terms of increased 673 674 temperature and extreme precipitation regimes, whether aridity or flooding, climate change 675 will have detrimental agricultural consequences due to the interrelations between climate, 676 land and water use, soil degradation and landscape changes (Dale, 1997; Tsiafouli et al., 677 2015). Nowadays, food security is an increasing concern in a growing number of countries.

This situation calls for a relevant appraisal of factors that could affect crop production. One of the factors promoting a sustainable food production system is soil biodiversity (Sofo et al., 2020b). Unfortunately, despite the promotion of sustainable soil management by the Global Soil Partnership since 2012, in many cases soil management is still focused on directly managing soil fertility, rather than on protecting soil biodiversity as a whole or single species individually, although soil biodiversity is known to be a main agent of soil fertility (Altieri et al., 2015).

The application of pesticides, herbicides and mineral fertilizers, typical of conventional 685 686 agriculture, cannot be considered an eco-friendly approach for crop production and defence, 687 as their overuse can provoke water contamination, air and water pollution, and release of 688 harmful residues and by-products into the soils (Blouin 2018; Korkina and Vorobeichik 2018; 689 Van Groenigen et al., 2019). A decrease in soil quality due to conventional soil management negatively influences important ecosystem processes, like nutrient cycling and carbon 690 691 sequestration (Blouin et al., 2005; Bampa et al., 2019). On the other side, sustainable 692 agriculture offers new chances to mitigate the effects of climate change. In sustainable 693 agroforestry systems, management practices are able to increase carbon inputs into the soil 694 and possibly reduce greenhouse gases (GHGs) emissions due to some revised field 695 operations, e.g. by irrigation, use of recycled water, pest and disease management, 696 fertilization, and soil and plant farming systems (Mutuo et al., 2005). In turn, carbon 697 enrichment increases biological activity by improving soil structure, as well as soil moisture 698 and nutrient content that are beneficial to plant growth and production (Marinari et al., 2000). 699 As Lago et al. (2020) recently indicated, there is clear evidence that more environmentally 700 friendly land management represents a promising strategy to increase soil carbon 701 sequestration.

702

703 6.2. Soil and vegetation coevolve

704

Soil functions as a living system. Climate, organisms, topography, parent material and
time completely describe the soil system. Soil and vegetation co-evolve implementing other
living beings and creating the well-known zonation of biomes of planet Earth. In recent years,
soil quality has been recognized to play a double role in the entire agroecosystem: it is
important for a good production as well as for a healthy environment (Doran and Zeiss, 2000).
In conventional agriculture, still adopted by most farmers, frequent soil tillage strongly

711 reduces the complexity and diversity of soil microbiota (Adl et al., 2006). For this reason, 712 conventional, non-sustainable agronomic practices should evolve into a more sustainable 713 management addressed to ameliorate the ecological networks and nutrient cycles, in which 714 soil microorganisms are involved. The adoption of sustainable soil management practices and 715 organic agriculture can be eco-friendly and include safe methods to ameliorate plant 716 physiological status, reduce plant disease incidence, and increase yield and quality without 717 side damages to the environment and human health. For instance, it would be possible to 718 adopt a sustainable approach that enriches the soil with biocontrol microorganisms with 719 action against pests (El-Tarabily and Sivasithamparam, 2006), plant-growth-promoting 720 microorganisms able to promote plant growth and development (Abhilash et al., 2016), and microorganisms able to increase the availability and uptake of essential nutrients in plants, 721 722 e.g. mycorrhiza for phosphorus and nitrogen-fixing bacteria for nitrogen (Bolan, 1991).

723 In this scenario, the advantages of the adoption of a sustainable management (or 724 conservation and regeneration agriculture), that includes no/minimum tillage, cover crop 725 application, incorporation of grass and crop residues into the soil, and endogenous and 726 exogenous soil carbon inputs (Palm et al., 2014) can be a key factor to enhance soil 727 quality/fertility and production in a sustainable way, preserving natural resources and 728 avoiding detrimental effects on the environment. Such benefits include a high level of soil 729 microbial genetic/functional diversity and complexity both in the soil (bulk soil and 730 rhizosphere) and in the plant (phyllosphere, carposphere, and endosphere), a faster carbon and 731 nitrogen turnover, higher levels of SOM and soil water content, and better soil physical and 732 chemical characteristics (Fausto et al., 2018). Inappropriate or exploitative crop 733 agroecosystems represent a key threat for soil degradation through erosion, nutrient depletion 734 or structural collapse (Didden et al., 1994). Increasing our knowledge on biochemical 735 processes of soil microorganisms and animals involved in carbon and nitrogen dynamics, that 736 influence in turn their availability for plants (Shaffer et al., 2001), will lead to optimized 737 management strategies for a multifunctional concept of agriculture.

- 738
- 739 6.3. Sustainable agriculture application and monitoring
- 740

Addressing knowledge gaps of sustainable practices is of fundamental importance as an entry point to improve growing techniques and for understanding wider soil processes, such as consequences of land use or climatic change on both biodiversity and soil ecosystem 744 services. The research should be focused on developing an ecological and holistic approach 745 that combines traditional soil health assessment with sensitive indicators of the effects of the 746 soil environment on soil microbial and faunal communities and cultivated plants, such as 747 community dynamics (taxonomic, genetic, functional and metabolic) and plant stress 748 physiology, mostly assessed by growth/yield, hormone levels and photosynthetic capacity 749 (e.g., photosystem II activity). The sustainable approach will lead to a better understanding of 750 the effects of management practices on soil organisms and plants. In the long-term, soil health 751 should be included as a factor when management decisions are made by farmers, land 752 managers and crop advisers. The studies should not be designed for a systematic monitoring 753 of the differential effects of each component of sustainable practices such as soil cover, 754 manuring, reduced pesticide use or reduced tillage (Hobbs et al., 2008), but with these 755 practices in combination, in order to have an overall vision of the agrosystem, and how to 756 manage it sustainably (Fig. 6). In this view, the metagenomics (that is the microbial identities 757 and functional gene information) and the metaphenomics (that is the product of the combined 758 genetic potential of the microbiome and resources) of bacteria or fungi (Fierer, 2017; Jansson 759 and Hofmockel, 2018) would allow to define the microbial communities living in the different 760 soil layers. The DNA/RNA-based identification of specific alive and active bacterial/fungal 761 taxa, according to their functional distinction (aerobic/anaerobic, 762 saprophytic/parasitic/pathogenic, autotrophic/heterotrophic) can be of key importance for 763 defining the microbiological fertility of a soil and its response to agricultural practices 764 (Crecchio et al., 2004; Badagliacca et al., 2020). Identification by DNA fingerprinting, 765 originally developed for the identification of poorly culturable microbial strains, has become a 766 rapid and cost-effective method of current use in agricultural soils. It has been extended to the 767 current assessment of nematode communities (Wang et al., 2008) and its application to other 768 soil fauna is promising, although still not in current use (Orgiazzi et al., 2015). Finally, 769 eventual pathogenic organisms and mycorrhization index should be monitored, identifying 770 probable microbial pathogens and/or anaerobic microorganisms by a culture based-approach 771 (Phytophthora, Clostridium, Bacillus, etc.) and presence and types of mycorrhiza, including 772 DNA analysis of eventual pathogenic microorganisms during the growing season.

The application of endogenous and exogenous carbon inputs would be necessary for improving soil status. Using specific commercial products containing biostimulants and biocontrol agents to improve physicochemical and microbiological properties of the soils, could increase resistance against eventual pathogens and enrich soil microbial communities. 777 The application of compost, manure, soil management techniques for facilitating water 778 horizontal movement in the soil, use of decompacting plants (e.g., Raphanus spp. or other 779 Brassicaceae) would facilitate water vertical movement in the soil, both directly (Whelan et 780 al., 2013) and through a favorable influence on earthworms (Pérès et al., 1998). On this basis, 781 sustainable management is a key factor for increasing the functionality and diversity of soil 782 biota that enhance soil biological fertility. This amelioration leads to a higher soil quality, 783 stability and multifunctionality, positively affecting plant physiological status and crop 784 productivity.

786 [Figure 6. HERE]

787

785

788 Over the last decades, intensive agricultural practices (e.g., continuous soil tillage, high 789 inputs of mineral fertilizers, application of low-quality irrigation water, removal of pruning 790 residues) have determined the loss of soil fertility and the depletion of soil organic matter 791 (SOM), with negative effects on both productivity and soil conservation (Bonanomi et al., 792 2011). Many conventional agronomic practices have a negative impact on SOM (Arrouays 793 and Pelissier, 1994), the soil microbiome (Lupatini et al., 2017) and soil trophic networks 794 (Tsiafouli et al., 2015), causing a decline in fertility: soil leveling (with consequent 795 elimination of the organic horizon in many areas of the plant), deep tillage with a surface 796 carryover of mineral horizons (non-organic), soil sterilization with destruction of 797 microbiological diversity, the continuous and massive use of herbicides, mineral fertilizers 798 and pesticides with biocidal action, and so on. Sustainable agronomic practices foresee a 799 reworking of agronomic management which includes the reduction of the use of mineral 800 fertilizers and pesticides (including cupric products), the rationalization of irrigation, the 801 management - and not the elimination - of spontaneous vegetation and the contribution of 802 different types of organic matter to restore the ecosystem complexity and heterogeneity, 803 without leaving aside a careful analysis of the fields and the state of the plants, of the 804 environmental conditions (pedological, microbiological, orographic and microclimatic), and, 805 above all, of the agronomic and ecological history of the fields. The correct use of formulations of materials of natural origin, specially designed and processed according to the 806 807 different cultivation and business conditions, can quickly re-establish the trophic balance and 808 soil-plant compatibility, reactivating the nourishment of the crops and increasing their 809 resistance to pathogens and parasites. Thus, soils rich in organic matter, or regularly fertilized 810 organically with compost and vegetable residues (possibly not coming from the same crop), 811 have a greater microbiological biodiversity. Crop rotation with phylogenetically distant 812 species, the practice of fallow and crop associations make it possible to prevent or eliminate 813 the decrease in soil fertility, and the use of graft carriers with tree crops can be helpful to 814 mitigate problems of replanting of the same species. The crop succession, the inter-cropping 815 of different species, the use of rootstocks phylogenetically distant from the cultivated variety 816 make it possible to overcome the problems related to the decline in soil fertility. A very 817 effective sustainable technique appears to be the use of compost tea, infused or more 818 commonly fermented with compost, which has already been the subject of growing scientific 819 and applicative interest for several years (Scheuerell and Mahaffee, 2002; St. Martin et al., 820 2020; Villecco et al., 2020). The technique is based on the use of different compost, specially 821 selected (and sometimes, according to the needs of the plant, combined with non-composted 822 organic substance), placed in infusion under aerobic conditions.

823

824 6.4. Soil functions as a living system

825

Nowadays, there is evidence that sustainable management practices (e.g. no-tillage, supply of organic fertilizers, mulching of pruning residuals and cover crops, reduction or even suppression of pesticide use) can contribute to re-carbonize soils and reduce soil CO₂ 829 emissions, recover soil fertility, and increase yield. In sustainable agrosystems, because of the 830 composition of the recycled biomass (pruning residuals, leaf fall, cover crops) and of newly supplied (e.g. compost, manure), a huge amount of nutrients is released if external supply of 831 832 mineral fertilizers could successfully be replaced. However, considering processes 833 determining nitrogen availability (organic matter mineralization, leaching, cover crops uptake, 834 etc.), interactions among nutrients (e.g., antagonistic effects), variability of soil moisture, and 835 mineral nutrition, particular attention is required. Sustainable agriculture can give benefits to plant growth, such as increased biomass and productivity, enhanced photosynthesis and 836 837 carbohydrate allocation, better regulation of root respiration and higher defenses against pests 838 and diseases, with more soil microorganisms and fauna, and thus more efficient trophic 839 networks. Mycorrhizal fungi, being involved in many ecosystem services (Stevenson et al., 840 2020), are important in many types of soil. Besides well-known negative effects of 841 conventional practices (Verbruggen et al., 2010), in over-exploited, conventionally managed 842 agricultural landscapes, habitat loss and fragmentation prevent dispersal and are major threats 843 to mycorrhizas (Longo et al., 2016).

844 Human societies benefit from a multitude of ecosystem services from both natural and 845 managed ecosystems, to which soil organisms make a crucial and distinctive (Stevenson et al., 846 2020). Unfortunately, it is well recognized that humans are changing the global environment 847 at an unprecedented rate. An increasing proportion of the world's population is urban or 848 suburban. For this reason, the demand to extend cultivated areas in cities is increasing, 849 prompting to establish, restore and sustain urban ecosystems. In these new anthropogenic 850 environments, the application of organic inputs and bio-products, included in sustainable 851 agricultural practices, can be crucial for plants' survival. Sustainable agriculture can cause 852 recreational, human health, economic and environmental benefits. The latter also includes 853 lower GHGs emissions because of reduced use of synthetic fertilizers and pesticides, lower 854 leaching losses to groundwater (e.g., nitrates and nitrites), and no eutrophication of ponds and 855 streams because of excess phosphorus and nitrogen. Comparisons of soil biota across wild, 856 rural and urban habitats have revealed dramatic differences between sustainably managed and 857 conventionally managed areas, with the lowest biodiversity in the latter (Stevenson et al., 858 2020).

Regardless of practical challenges, there is untapped potential for sustainable agricultural practices to influence environmental outcomes, citizens' consciousness and market's trends soon (Scotti et al., 2015; Stevenson et al., 2020). The results of many recent studies encourage

the use of sustainable agricultural practices able to enhance soil fertility (Diacono and 862 Montemurro, 2010; Kassie et al., 2013; Scotti et al., 2015). The ultimate goal is to convince 863 864 farmers to adopt a sustainable farming system as a whole, and not just as individual 865 elements/practices, in order to promote good-quality production without negative effects on 866 the environment. For achieving this, the approaches should not be 'top down', but they must 867 be 'bottom up', where farmers and citizens help to co-design and co-deliver soil management 868 and food production systems (Ajavi, 2007; Kassie et al., 2013). It is time to switch to a 869 modern and multifunctional concept of agriculture based not only on the production but above 870 all on product quality, environmental protection, resource saving and promotion of human 871 health.

- 872
- 873 6.5. Soil is organized into layers
- 874

875 The complexity is such that the time distinguishes at least three groups of layers in the 876 soil: Humipedon, Copedon and Lithopedon. Microorganisms and plants condition the Humipedon evolution by generating a known series of systems that starts on mineral substrate 877 878 and divides in two series, in submerged environment (Archeo, Anaero, Histo and Aqueous 879 systems) and out of water in aerated sites (Crusto, Bryo, Rhizo, main Terrestrial systems) 880 (Zanella et al., 2018d). Animals interact with microrganisms and plants in each system. Each 881 system is structured around particular organisms and which have coevolved for millions of 882 years.

883 Microorganisms and plants condition the Humipedon. Mineralogical aspects and more 884 related to soil physics are more decisive in Copedon. The geological history of the soil and 885 the cycles of some minerals are much more important in Lithopedon. The time separates the 886 studies of these three soil layers, handing them over to specialists who meet periodically to 887 make the right synthesis. The soil is a single body that reacts as if it were composed of 888 superimposed organs. Soil classification must take this crucial aspect into account. 889 Humipedon reacts over the years and up to a few decades; Copedon takes from decades to 890 hundreds of years; the Lithopedon centuries to millennia.

We must no longer think we can move, manipulate, destroy, create soil as if it were an inanimate object. Soil has its own internal, historical and precious organization: what we need to do is discover it and use it well. It is necessary to understand these processes well before intervening with means that risk destroying the "superimposed organs" of the soil. 895 Agrosystems (crop soils) correspond to artificially simplified natural systems (in general 896 Mull Humipedons). When artificialization is extreme, we talk about Techno systems 897 (hydroponic or compost are examples of that). These phrases hide an underestimated truth of 898 intrinsic value. Agro-systems are not alternative systems, but old systems reorganized by man 899 (Zanella et al., 2018f). The soil system incessantly tries to return to its original organization, 900 made of different overlapping layers, but the work of using its stored energy takes it back 901 annually in time. At the end of a conventional exploitation process there is a soil poor in 902 organic matter and life, an original mother rock of the soil. We must no longer think we can 903 move, manipulate, destroy, create soil as if it were an object and then expect it to work as a 904 living system to render service to us.

905 If the purpose of conventional agriculture is to build new soils, then we need to think 906 about building Copedons between Humipedons and Lithopedons, not destroying this 907 historical organization. Ask us what these layers really are (studying natural references) and 908 how they can be built in compliance with natural and biological laws. It is perhaps possible to 909 build new Humipedons. This is what we try to do with composts or hydroponic solutions. We 910 can try to make them better, more like existing Humipedons. The other possibility is organic 911 farming. The right method should be very similar to the one proposed by Masanobu Fukuoka 912 in his famous book "Natural Way of Farming" (Fukuoka, 1985) to intervene as little as 913 possible. Understand how it works and accompany the movement, changing the cards in the 914 game as little as possible. It is difficult and, for this reason, it is the right way, and it needs a 915 scientific preparation of high naturalistic/biological level.

- 916
- 917 6.6. Agriculture, human health and environment
- 918

919 We offer the propositions that:

920

a) Intensive agriculture should be abandoned;

b) agriculture should produce enough food for an increasing number of humans.

922 Is it possible to produce enough food and to stay in good health all over planet Earth? For923 knowing this, other two parameters should be considered:

a) the need for a global management of Earth resources, like a United Nations
Organization that would decide democratically;

b) the regulation of birth rate might be achieved with a calculation of Earth's bearing
capacity (shared ecological footprint) within the framework of a humanity that
shares resources better than today.

929

930 6.7. Agroforestry

931

This is also an important point, not detached from the previous one. The agro-forestry use of the lands of our planet is often presented as an ecological and sustainable method of exploitation both internationally and nationally. The concept is acceptable. It is known how trees increase the volume of the ecosystem, with helpful implications in the air and soil parts of the ecosystem (Jose, 2009; Altieri et al., 2015; Marsden et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020).

What must be avoided is that it becomes a disguised method of stealing more land from the forest. Since the part already taken (1/2) does no longer satisfy a humanity in search of food, we operate on the part that remains, transforming it into an agricultural forest. If the process is conceivable for the ecological exploitation of those parts of the forest (equatorial and otherwise) which have already been converted into cultivated fields and then abandoned, it should be banned in the still forests, whether they are treated with tall trees or coppices.

- 943
- 944

945 **7.** Conclusions and future insights

946

947 In this commentary paper, we presented cross-disciplinary and holistic approaches applied to agricultural soils. Converting the conventional management systems of agricultural 948 949 land into more sustainable and environment-preserving systems has become urgent. 950 Conventional vegetable and fruit production, because of the unavoidable lack of resources 951 (particularly soil and water), is going to be economically and environmentally 952 disadvantageous, while, on the other side, organic farming, whose benefits and costs are 953 controversial, is not always self-sustaining and durable, and it cannot cover the enormous and 954 increasing world demand. For avoiding this dilemma, the productive systems should be 955 directed towards the principles of an innovative, sustainable, regeneration and conservative 956 agriculture, which includes rationally the existing and innovative agro-technological practices, 957 such as no- or minimum soil tillage, on-site nutrient input and recycling, adequate irrigation 958 and rational management of crop residues. This innovative approach, aimed to keep 959 production at a high level and cultivating lastingly, can render a wide range of benefits to 960 farmers and the environment. In addition, better understanding the role of soil fauna in such 961 systems has a key role to adapt management strategies and mitigating GHGs emissions. 962 Furthermore, the role of soil organisms (both microbes and animals) to ecosystem services 963 and their close relationship with soil organic matter has been often overlooked, while it 964 should be seriously taken into account in future land management strategies.

965 The world's soils are rapidly deteriorating because of soil erosion, nutrient depletion and other threats, but sustainable practices and technologies can reverse this trend. One key point 966 967 from the new IPCC report (Shukla et al., 2020) is that conventionally tilled soils erode over 968 100 times faster than they form and that land degradation represents "one of the biggest and most urgent challenges" that humanity faces. Humans have degraded roughly one-third of the 969 970 world's topsoil, and about 3.2 billion people - more than a third of humanity - already suffer 971 from the effects of degraded land. Continuing down this path does not bode well for feeding a 972 growing world population. Barriers to adopting regenerative farming systems include force of 973 habit, lack of knowledge about new practices and real and perceived economic risk during the 974 transition. The benefits of rebuilding healthy, fertile soil are clear. Economic benefits of land 975 restoration average 10 times the costs. Thus, sustainable agriculture appears to have a big 976 economic, social and political impact (Baggaley et al., 2020). We trust that sustainable 977 agriculture will contribute to understand how important the soil as a living matrix is for both 978 climate regulation and plant production. A better grasp of how soil organisms interact with 979 organic matter turnover and stabilization will open novel ways for the sustainable 980 management of soils. It is time to take soil seriously in consideration and to rethink 981 humanity's relationship to the environment and particularly to soil. In their article, Kopnina et 982 al. (2018) hope for change from an anthropocentric mentality based on human-centered values 983 and things to a more comprehensive vision that includes non-human living beings and things, 984 such as environmental life-support systems. Thus, it would be necessary to promote a general 985 law of soil protection, as soil produces food and sustains all ecosystems, independently from 986 human need to economically grow. People need to change agriculture and land use, and we all 987 wish to have 'more sustainable' soils, the only basis for a healthier world.

- 988
- 989
- 990 **References**
- 991

- Abhilash, P.C., Dubey, R.K., Tripathi, V., Gupta, V.K., Singh, H.B., 2016. Plant GrowthPromoting Microorganisms for Environmental Sustainability. Trends Biotechnol.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2016.05.005
- Achat, D.L., Fortin, M., Landmann, G., Ringeval, B., Augusto, L., 2015. Forest soil carbon is
 threatened by intensive biomass harvesting. Sci. Rep. 5, 15991.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep15991
- Adl, S.M., Coleman, D.C., Read, F., 2006. Slow recovery of soil biodiversity in sandy loam
 soils of Georgia after 25 years of no-tillage management. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 114,
 323–334. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2005.11.019
- 1001 Afes, 2009. Référentiel Pédologique 2008, Savoir-fai. ed. Quae, Versailles.
- Ajayi, O.C., 2007. User acceptability of sustainable soil fertility technologies: Lessons from
 farmers' knowledge, attitude and practice in Southern Africa. J. Sustain. Agric. 30, 21–
 40. https://doi.org/10.1300/J064v30n03_04
- Altieri, M.A., Nicholls, C.I., Henao, A., Lana, M.A., 2015. Agroecology and the design of
 climate change-resilient farming systems. Agron. Sustain. Dev.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-015-0285-2
- Angelini, P., Fenoglio, S., Isaia, M., Jacomini, C., Migliorini, M., Morisi, A., 2002. Tecniche
 di biomonitoraggio della qualità del suolo. .ARPA Piemonte. Area tematica
 Conservazione della natura., PINEROLO (TO).
- Arrouays, D., Pelissier, P., 1994. Changes in carbon storage in temperate humic loamy soils
 after forest clearing and continuous corn cropping in France. Plant Soil 160, 215–223.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00010147
- Badagliacca, G., Petrovičovà, B., Pathan, S.I., Roccotelli, A., Romeo, M., Monti, M.,
 Gelsomino, A., 2020. Use of solid anaerobic digestate and no-tillage practice for
 restoring the fertility status of two Mediterranean orchard soils with contrasting
 properties. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 300, 107010.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2020.107010
- Baggaley, N., Lilly, A., Blackstock, K., Dobbie, K., Carson, A., Leith, F., 2020. Soil risk
 maps Interpreting soils data for policy makers, agencies and industry. Soil Use Manag.
 36, 19–26. https://doi.org/10.1111/sum.12541
- Balbo, A., Benedetti, A., Biagini, B., Bloem, J., Bouraoui, F., Bozzaro, S., Brenna, S., Cenci,
 R.M., Citterio, S., Cluzeau, D., Dilly, O., Ekschmitt, K., Filippi, C., Gardi, A., La Terza,
- 1024 A., Menta, C., Montanarella, L., Musmeci, L., Parisi, V., Peres, G., Pompili, L.,

- 1025 Schmuck, G., Sena, F., Gorbati, S., Viarengo, A., Zullini, A., Grasserbauer, M., 2006.
- 1026 Bio-Bio Project, in: Cenci, R.M., Sena, F. (Eds.), BIODIVERSITY BIOINDICATION
- 1027 TO EVALUATE SOIL HEALTH ISPRA 22 JUNE 2006. EUR 22245 EN European
 1028 Commission Directorate-General Joint Research Centre, Ispra (VA) Italy, p. 133.
- Baldrian, P., 2017. Forest microbiome: diversity, complexity and dynamics. FEMS Microbiol.
 Rev. 41, 109–130. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuw040
- 1031 Bampa, F., O'Sullivan, L., Madena, K., Sandén, T., Spiegel, H., Henriksen, C.B., Ghaley,
- B.B., Jones, A., Staes, J., Sturel, S., Trajanov, A., Creamer, R.E., Debeljak, M., 2019.
 Harvesting European knowledge on soil functions and land management using
 multi- criteria decision analysis. Soil Use Manag. 35, 6–20.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/sum.12506
- Bardgett, R.D., Van der Putten, W.H., 2014. Belowground biodiversity and ecosystem
 functioning. Nature 515, 505–511. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13855
- Barrett, L.F., 2009. The Future of Psychology: Connecting Mind to Brain. Perspect. Psychol.
 Sci. 4, 326–339. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6924.2009.01134.x
- Bartoli, C., 1966. Études écologiques sur les associations forestières de la Haute Maurienne.
 Ann. des Sci. For. INRA/EDP Sci. 23, 429–751.
- Bayat, O., Karimzadeh, H., Eghbal, M.K., Karimi, A., Amundson, R., 2018. Calcic soils as
 indicators of profound Quaternary climate change in eastern Isfahan, Iran. Geoderma
 315, 220–230. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2017.11.007
- Beaudette, D.E., Roudier, P., O'Geen, A.T., 2013. Algorithms for quantitative pedology: A
 toolkit for soil scientists. Comput. Geosci. 52, 258–268.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2012.10.020
- 1048 Berdugo, M., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Soliveres, S., Hernández-Clemente, R., Zhao, Y.,
- 1049 Gaitán, J.J., Gross, N., Saiz, H., Maire, V., Lehmann, A., Rillig, M.C., Solé, R. V.,
- Maestre, F.T., 2020. Global ecosystem thresholds driven by aridity. Science (80-.). 367,
 787–790. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aay5958
- Bernier, N., 2018. Hotspots of biodiversity in the underground: A matter of humus form?
 Appl. Soil Ecol. 123, 305–312. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.09.002
- Bernier, N., Ponge, J.F., 1994. Humus form dynamics during the sylvogenetic cycle in a
 mountain spruce forest. Soil Biol. Biochem. 26, 183–220. https://doi.org/10.1016/00380717(94)90161-9
- 1057 Birkeland, P.J., 1999. Soils and geomorphology, 3 edition. ed, null. Oxford University Press.

- Bispo, A., Bougon, N., Eglin, T., Gascuel, C., Gelin, S., Jaillard, B., Ranjard, L., Schnebelen,
 N., (EDITORS), 2018. Carrefour de l'innovation agronomique 18 octobre 2018 Conseil
 Régional Bourgogn-Franche Comté Dijon INRA, in: Bispo, A., Bougon, N., Eglin, T.,
 Gascuel, C., Gelin, S., Jaillard, B., Ranjard, L., Schnebelen, N. (Eds.), De La
 Connaissance de La Biologie Des Sols et de Ses Fonctions, À Son Pilotage. Creative
 Common CC BY-NC-ND 3.0, p. 106.
- Blouin, M., 2018. Chemical communication: An evidence for co-evolution between plants
 and soil organisms. Appl. Soil Ecol. 123, 409–415.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.10.028
- Blouin, M., Zuily-Fodil, Y., Pham-Thi, A.-T., Laffray, D., Reversat, G., Pando, A., Tondoh,
 J., Lavelle, P., 2005. Belowground organism activities affect plant aboveground
 phenotype, inducing plant tolerance to parasites. Ecol. Lett. 8, 202–208.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2004.00711.x
- Blum, W., Schad, P., Nortclif, S., 2018. Essentials of Soil Science Soil formation, functions,
 use and classification (World Reference Base, WRB).
- Bolan, N.S., 1991. A critical review on the role of mycorrhizal fungi in the uptake of
 phosphorus by plants. Plant Soil. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00012037
- Bonanomi, G., D'Ascoli, R., Antignani, V., Capodilupo, M., Cozzolino, L., Marzaioli, R.,
 Puopolo, G., Rutigliano, F.A., Scelza, R., Scotti, R., Rao, M.A., Zoina, A., 2011.
 Assessing soil quality under intensive cultivation and tree orchards in Southern Italy.
 Appl. Soil Ecol. 47, 184–194. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2010.12.007
- 1079 Braun-Blanquet, J., 1964. Pflanzensoziologie. Wien, Austria.
- Brenna, S., Tabaglio, V., 2013. Guidelines for Conservation Agriculture application and
 dissemination. STUDIO CHIESA For information on the project and the guidelines:
 www.lifehelpsoil.eu info@lifehelpsoil.eu.
- Brussaard, L., De Ruiter, P.C., Brown, G.G., 2007. Soil biodiversity for agricultural
 sustainability. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 121, 233–244.
- Bünemann, E.K., Bongiorno, G., Bai, Z., Creamer, R.E., De Deyn, G., de Goede, R.,
 Fleskens, L., Geissen, V., Kuyper, T.W., Mäder, P., Pulleman, M., Sukkel, W., van
 Groenigen, J.W., Brussaard, L., 2018. Soil quality A critical review. Soil Biol.
 Biochem. 120, 105–125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.01.030
- 1089 Camaret, S., Bernier, N., Eynard-Machet, R., Dobremez, J.-F., Fay, J., Gauquelin, X., Khelifa,
- 1090 J., Lancon, M.F., Leclerc, D., Marrouche, A., Zanella, A., 2000. Distribution spatiale et

- 1091 évolution temporelle de la végétation et de sa diversité : relations avec l'hétérogénéité
 1092 des structures des peuplements en pessière d'altitude. Université de Chambery (France);
 1093 laboratoire de Dynamique des Ecosystèmes d'Altitude: 285 p.
- Charzyński, P., Galbraith, J.M., Kabała, C., Kühn, D., Prokofeva, T.V., V.I., V., 2017.
 Classification of urban soils, in: Maxine J., L., John Kim, K.-H., Jean Louis, M.,
 Wolfgang, B., Przemyslaw, C., Ahaw K., R., IUSS Working Group SUITMA 2017
 (Eds.), Soils within Cities.
- 1098 Chaussod, R., 1996. La qualité biologique des sols : Évaluation et implications. Forum «Le
 1099 sol, un patrimoine menacé ? » Paris, 24 octobre 1996. Etude Gest. des Sols 3, 261–278.
- Cheik, S., Jouquet, P., 2020. Integrating local knowledge into soil science to improve soil
 fertility. Soil Use Manag. 36, 561–564. https://doi.org/10.1111/sum.12656
- 1102 Clements, F.E., 1936. Nature and Structure of the Climax. J. Ecol. 24, 252–284.
- Crecchio, C., Gelsomino, A., Ambrosoli, R., Minati, J.L., Ruggiero, P., 2004. Functional and
 molecular responses of soil microbial communities under differing soil management
 practices. Soil Biol. Biochem. 36, 1873–1883.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.05.008
- Cremeens, D.L., Brown, R.B., Huddleston, J.H. (Eds.), 1994. Whole Regolith Pedology,
 SSSA Special Publications. Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, USA.
 https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaspecpub34
- Dale, V.H., 1997. The relationship between land-use change and climate change. Ecol. Appl.
 https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761(1997)007[0753:TRBLUC]2.0.CO;2
- Dastgerdi, A.S., Sargolini, M., Pierantoni, I., Stimilli, F., 2020. Toward An Innovative
 Strategic Approach For Sustainable Management Of Natural Protected Areas In Italy.
 Geogr. Environ. Sustain. 13, 68–75. https://doi.org/10.24057/2071-9388-2019-143
- de Vries, F.T., Shade, A., 2013. Controls on soil microbial community stability under climate
 change. Front. Microbiol. 4, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00265
- de Vries, F.T., Wallenstein, M.D., 2017. Below-ground connections underlying above-ground
 food production: a framework for optimising ecological connections in the rhizosphere.
 J. Ecol. 105, 913–920. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12783
- Diacono, M., Montemurro, F., 2010. Long-term effects of organic amendments on soil
 fertility. A review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 30, 401–422.
 https://doi.org/10.1051/agro/2009040
- 1123 Didden, W.A.M., Marinissen, J.C.Y., Vreeken-Buijs, M.J., Burgers, S.L.G.E., de Fluiter, R.,

1124	Geurs, M., Brussaard, L., 1994. Soil meso- and macrofauna in two agricultural systems:
1125	factors affecting population dynamics and evaluation of their role in carbon and nitrogen
1126	dynamics. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 51, 171-186. https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-
1127	8809(94)90042-6
1128	Dokuchaev, V. V., 1900. Zones verticales des sols, zones agricoles, sols du Caucase.
1129	Collection pédologique, Exposition Universelle, Ed. Ministère des Finances de St-
1130	Péterbourg.
1131	Doran, J.W., Zeiss, M.R., 2000. Soil health and sustainability: managing the biotic component
1132	of soil quality. Appl. Soil Ecol. 15, 3-11. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0929-1393(00)00067-
1133	6
1134	Drosos, M., Nebbioso, A., Piccolo, A., 2018. Humeomics: A key to unravel the humusic
1135	pentagram. Appl. Soil Ecol. 123, 513–516. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.07.027
1136	Duru, M., Therond, O., Martin, G., Martin-Clouaire, R., Magne, MA., Justes, E., Journet, E
1137	P., Aubertot, JN., Savary, S., Bergez, JE., Sarthou, J.P., 2015. How to implement
1138	biodiversity-based agriculture to enhance ecosystem services: a review. Agron. Sustain.
1139	Dev. 35, 1259–1281. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-015-0306-1
1140	EASAC - European Academies Science Advisory Council, 2018. Opportunities for soil
1141	sustainability in Europe. EASAC policy report 36. German National Academy of
1142	Sciences Leopoldina 2018.
1143	El-Tarabily, K.A., Sivasithamparam, K., 2006. Non-streptomycete actinomycetes as
1144	biocontrol agents of soil-borne fungal plant pathogens and as plant growth promoters.
1145	Soil Biol. Biochem. 38, 1505–1520. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.12.017
1146	Enwall, K., Nyberg, K., Bertilsson, S., Cederlund, H., Stenström, J., Hallin, S., 2007. Long-
1147	term impact of fertilization on activity and composition of bacterial communities and
1148	metabolic guilds in agricultural soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 39, 106-115.
1149	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2006.06.015
1150	FAO-UNESCO, 1974. Soil map of the world 1:5 000 000. Vol. 1. UNESCO, Paris.
1151	FAO, 2020. Technical specifications and country guidelines for Global Soil Organic Carbon
1152	Sequestration Potential Map GSOCseq. Rome, Italy.

- 1153 FAO and ITPS, 2015. Status of the World's Soil Resources (SWSR) Technical Summary.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and IntergovernmentalTechnical Panel on Soils, FAO. ed. Rome, Italy.
- 1156 Fausto, C., Mininni, A.N., Sofo, A., Crecchio, C., Scagliola, M., Dichio, B., Xiloyannis, C.,

- 2018. Olive orchard microbiome: characterisation of bacterial communities in soil-plant
 compartments and their comparison between sustainable and conventional soil
 management systems. Plant Ecol. Divers. 11, 597–610.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/17550874.2019.1596172
- Fierer, N., 2017. Embracing the unknown: Disentangling the complexities of the soil
 microbiome. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2017.87
- Filip, Z., 2002. International approach to assessing soil quality by ecologically-related
 biological parameters, in: Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment. Elsevier, pp. 169–
 174. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8809(01)00254-7
- Filser, J., Faber, J.H., Tiunov, A. V., Brussaard, L., Frouz, J., De Deyn, G., Uvarov, A. V.,
 Berg, M.P., Lavelle, P., Loreau, M., Wall, D.H., Querner, P., Eijsackers, H., Jiménez,
 J.J., 2016. Soil fauna: key to new carbon models. SOIL 2, 565–582.
- 1169 https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-2-565-2016
- Friberg, H., Lagerlöf, J., Rämert, B., 2005. Influence of soil fauna on fungal plant pathogens
 in agricultural and horticultural systems. Biocontrol Sci. Technol.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/09583150500086979
- Fukuoka, M., 1985. The naturam way of farming. The theory and practice of greenphilosophy, Reprint 20. ed. Madras, India.
- Fusaro, S., 2015. Evaluation, maintenance and improvement of biodiversity for environmental
 protection and crop, in: Squartini, A., Paoletti, G.M. (Eds.), Doctorate Thesis. Università
 degli Studi di Padova (Italia), p. 255.
- Gil-Sotres, F., Trasar-Cepeda, C., Leirós, M.C., Seoane, S., 2005. Different approaches to
 evaluating soil quality using biochemical properties. Soil Biol. Biochem. 37, 877–887.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.10.003
- Giller, K.E., Beare, M.H., Lavelle, P., Izac, A.M.N., Swift, M.J., 1997. Agricultural
 intensification, soil biodiversity and agroecosystem function. Appl. Soil Ecol. 6, 3–16.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0929-1393(96)00149-7
- Gupta, R.K., Abrol, I.P., Finkl, C.W., 2008. Encyclopedia of Soil Science, Encyclopedia of
 Earth Sciences Series. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-14020-3995-9
- 1187Gupta, V.V.S.R., Germida, J.J., 2015. Soil aggregation: Influence on microbial biomass and1188implicationsforbiologicalprocesses.SoilBiol.Biochem.1189https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.09.002

- Hartmann, F., 1965. Waldhumusdiagnose auf Biomorphologischer Grundlage, Auflage: S. ed.
 Springer Verlag, Wien, Austria.
- Hartmann, F., Marcuzzi, G., 1970. Diagnosi degli humus forestali su basi biomorfologiche.
 CEDAM Padova (Italy), Padova.
- Hasan, H.M.M., Jochheim, H., Schultz, A., 2017. Optimization of selected parameters of the
 forest growth model Biome-BGC (version ZALF) using HOPSPACK, in: 2017 20th
 International Conference of Computer and Information Technology (ICCIT). IEEE, pp.
 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCITECHN.2017.8281845
- Heemsbergen, D.A., Berg, M.P., Loreau, M., Van Hal, J.R., Faber, J.H., Verhoef, H.A., 2004.
 Biodiversity effects on soil processes explained by interspecific functional dissimilarity.
 Science (80-.). 306, 1019–1020. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1101865
- 1201 Hoang, D.T.T., Pausch, J., Razavi, B.S., Kuzyakova, I., Banfield, C.C., Kuzyakov, Y., 2016. Hotspots of microbial activity induced by earthworm burrows, old root channels, and 1202 1203 combination 52, 1105–1119. their in subsoil. Biol. Fertil. Soils 1204 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-016-1148-y
- Hobbs, P.R., Sayre, K., Gupta, R., 2008. The role of conservation agriculture in sustainable
 agriculture. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 363, 543–555.
 https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2169
- Hopkin, S., 2008. Biological Indicators of Soil Health. Ed. by C. E. PANKHURST, B. M.
 DOUBE and V. V. S. R. GUPTA. 23×15 cm. Pp. xii+451 with 70 text-figures.
 Wallingford, U.K.: CAB International, 1997. Price h/b: £60.00, ISBN 0 85199 158 0.
 New Phytol. 139, 389–392. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1998.194-3.x
- IUSS Working Group WRB, 2015. World Reference Base for Soil Resources 2014, update
 2015 International soil classification system for naming soils and creating legends for
 soil maps., World Soil Resources Reports No. 106. Food and Agriculture Organization
 of the United Nations, Rome, Italy. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0014479706394902
- 1216 IUSS Working Group WRB 2007, 2007. World Reference Base for Soil Resources 2006,
 1217 First Update 2007. FAO, Rome.
- 1218 IUSS Working Group WRB 2010, 2010. Guidelines for constructing small-scale map legends1219 using the WRB. Rome.
- Jabiol, B., Feller, C., Grève, M.H., 2005. Quand l'humus est à l'origine de la pédologie. 2.
 Avant et après P.E. Müller: évolution des conceptions sur la description et la typologie
 « des humus ». Etudes Gest. des Sols 12, 123–134.

- Jahn, R., Blume, H.-P., Asio, V.B., Spaargaren, O., Schad, P., Langohr, R., Brinkman, R.,
 Nachtergaele, F.O., Pavel Krasilnikov, R., 2006. Guidelines for Soil Description Fourth
 Edition, FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION OF THE UNITED
 NATIONS. FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION OF THE UNITED
 NATIONS, Rome, Italy.
- Jansson, J.K., Hofmockel, K.S., 2018. The soil microbiome from metagenomics to
 metaphenomics. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2018.01.013
- Jeanbille, M., Buée, M., Bach, C., Cébron, A., Frey-Klett, P., Turpault, M.P., Uroz, S., 2016.
 Soil Parameters Drive the Structure, Diversity and Metabolic Potentials of the Bacterial
 Communities Across Temperate Beech Forest Soil Sequences. Microb. Ecol. 71, 482–
 493. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-015-0669-5
- 1234 Jenny, H., 1980. The Soil Resource, Origin and Behaviour. Springler-Verlag, New-York.
- Jenny, H., 1941. Factors of Soil Formation : A System of Quantitative Pedology, null. DoverPublications Inc., New York, United States.
- Jiang, N., Tang, C., Hata, T., Courcelles, B., Dawoud, O., Singh, D.N., 2020. Bio- mediated
 soil improvement: The way forward. Soil Use Manag. 36, 185–188.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/sum.12571
- Jose, S., 2009. Agroforestry for ecosystem services and environmental benefits: an overview.
 Agrofor. Syst. 76, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10457-009-9229-7
- Juilleret, J., Dondeyne, S., Hissler, C., 2014. What about the regolith, the saprolite and the
 bedrock? Proposals for classifying the subsolum in WRB, in: Conference: European
 Geosciences Union 2014. Volume: Geophysical Research Abstract 16. Vienna Austria.
- Kaneda, S., Kaneko, N., 2008. Collembolans feeding on soil affect carbon and nitrogen
 mineralization by their influence on microbial and nematode activities. Biol. Fertil. Soils
 44, 435–442. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-007-0222-x
- Karimi, B., Dequiedt, S., Terrat, S., Jolivet, C., Arrouays, D., Wincker, P., Cruaud, C., Bispo,
 A., Chemidlin Prévost-Bouré, N., Ranjard, L., 2019. Biogeography of Soil Bacterial
 Networks along a Gradient of Cropping Intensity. Sci. Rep. 9, 3812.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-40422-y
- 1252 Karimi, B., Terrat, S., Dequiedt, S., Saby, N.P.A., Horrigue, W., Lelièvre, M., Nowak, V.,
- 1253 Jolivet, C., Arrouays, D., Wincker, P., Cruaud, C., Bispo, A., Maron, P.-A., Bouré,
- 1254 N.C.P., Ranjard, L., 2018. Biogeography of soil bacteria and archaea across France. Sci.
- 1255 Adv. 4, eaat1808. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aat1808

- Kassie, M., Jaleta, M., Shiferaw, B., Mmbando, F., Mekuria, M., 2013. Adoption of
 interrelated sustainable agricultural practices in smallholder systems: Evidence from
 rural Tanzania. Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change 80, 525–540.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2012.08.007
- Klinka, K., Green, R.N., Trowbridge R.L., L.E., L., 1981. Taxonomic classification of humus
 forms in ecosystems of British Columbia. First approximation., Report 8. ed, Land and
 Management. Ministry of Forests, Province of British Columbia.
- Kopnina, H., Washington, H., Taylor, B., J Piccolo, J., 2018. Anthropocentrism: More than
 Just a Misunderstood Problem. J. Agric. Environ. Ethics 31, 109–127.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10806-018-9711-1
- Korkina, I.N., Vorobeichik, E.L., 2018. Humus Index as an indicator of the topsoil response
 to the impacts of industrial pollution. Appl. Soil Ecol. 123, 455–463.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.09.025
- Lago, M. del C.F., Barreal, M.E., Gallego, P.P., Briones, M.J.I., 2020. Legacy Effects of
 Agricultural Practices Override Earthworm Control on C Dynamics in Kiwifruit
 Orchards. Front. Environ. Sci. 8, 545609. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2020.545609
- Lal, R., 2015. Restoring Soil Quality to Mitigate Soil Degradation. Sustainability 7, 5875–
 5895. https://doi.org/10.3390/su7055875
- 1274 Lavelle, P., 2002. Functional domains in soils. Ecol. Res. 17, 441–450.
 1275 https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1440-1703.2002.00509.x
- Lavelle, P., Moreira, F., Spain, A., 2014. Biodiversity: Conserving Biodiversity in
 Agroecosystems, in: Encyclopedia of Agriculture and Food Systems. Elsevier, pp. 41–
 60. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-52512-3.00019-X
- Lavelle, P., Spain, A., Fonte, S., Bedano, J.C., Blanchart, E., Galindo, V., Grimaldi, M.,
 Jimenez, J.J., Velasquez, E., Zangerlé, A., 2020. Soil aggregation, ecosystem engineers
 and the C cycle. Acta Oecologica 105, 103561.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actao.2020.103561
- Legros, J.-P., 2019. A l'aube de la Science du sol. Bull. l'Academie des Sci. Lettres
 Montpellier 42, 381–383.
- Lehmann, J., Kleber, M., 2015. The contentious nature of soil organic matter. Nature 528, 60–
 68. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16069
- 1287 Lenton, T.M., Dahl, T.W., Daines, S.J., Mills, B.J.W., Ozaki, K., Saltzman, M.R., Porada, P.,
- 1288 2016. Earliest land plants created modern levels of atmospheric oxygen. Proc. Natl.

1289 Acad. Sci. 113, 9704–9709. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1604787113

- 1290 Li, Z., Zhao, B., Olk, D.C., Jia, Z., Mao, J., Cai, Y., Zhang, J., 2018. Contributions of residue-
- 1291C and -N to plant growth and soil organic matter pools under planted and unplanted1292conditions.SoilBiol.Biochem.120,91–104.1293https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.02.005
- Liang, C., Amelung, W., Lehmann, J., Kästner, M., 2019. Quantitative assessment of
 microbial necromass contribution to soil organic matter. Glob. Chang. Biol. 25, 3578–
 3590. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14781
- Longo, S., Cofré, N., Soteras, F., Grilli, G., Lugo, M., Urcelay, C., 2016. Taxonomic and
 Functional Response of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi to Land Use Change in Central
 Argentina. pp. 81–90. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-24355-9_7
- 1300 Lorenz, E.N., 1963. Deterministic Nonperiodic Flow. J. Atmos. Sci. 20, 130–141.
 1301 https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1963)020<0130:DNF>2.0.CO;2
- 1302 Lowenfels, J., 2017. Teaming with Fungi: The Organic Grower's Guide to Mycorrhizae.1303 Timber Press portland London.
- Lupatini, M., Korthals, G.W., de Hollander, M., Janssens, T.K.S., Kuramae, E.E., 2017. Soil
 Microbiome Is More Heterogeneous in Organic Than in Conventional Farming System.
 Front. Microbiol. 7, 2064. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.02064
- 1307 Magdoff, F., Weil, R.R., 2004. Soil Organic Matter in Sustainable Agriculture. CRC Press.
- Mahdavi, A., Wunder, S., Mirzaeizadeh, V., Omidi, M., 2019. A hidden harvest from semiarid forests: landscape-level livelihood contributions in Zagros, Iran. For. Trees
 Livelihoods 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1080/14728028.2019.1571447
- Marinari, S., Masciandaro, G., Ceccanti, B., Grego, S., 2000. Influence of organic and mineral
 fertilisers on soil biological and physical properties. Bioresour. Technol. 72, 9–17.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(99)00094-2
- Marsden, C., Martin-Chave, A., Cortet, J., Hedde, M., Capowiez, Y., 2019. How agroforestry
 systems influence soil fauna and their functions a review. Plant Soil
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-019-04322-4. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-019-043224
- Matson, P.A., Parton, W.J., Power, A.G., Swift, M.J., 1997. Agricultural intensification and
 ecosystem properties. Science (80-.). 277, 504–509.
 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.277.5325.504
- 1321 Mayr, E., 1942. Systematics and the origin of species from the viewpoint of a zoologist,

1322 Harvard Un. ed. Columbia University Press., New York, NY.

- Mechri, A., Lys, P., Cachia, F., 2017. Productivity and Efficiency Measurement in
 Agriculture. Literature Review and Gaps Analysis. Publication prepared in the
 framework of the Global Strategy to improve Agricultural and Rural Statistics. FAO.
- Mooshammer, M., Wanek, W., Hämmerle, I., Fuchslueger, L., Hofhansl, F., Knoltsch, A.,
 Schnecker, J., Takriti, M., Watzka, M., Wild, B., Keiblinger, K.M., ZechmeisterBoltenstern, S., Richter, A., 2014. Adjustment of microbial nitrogen use efficiency to
 carbon:nitrogen imbalances regulates soil nitrogen cycling. Nat. Commun. 5, 3694.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4694
- Moragues-Quiroga, C., Juilleret, J., Gourdol, L., Pelt, E., Perrone, T., Aubert, A., Morvan, G.,
 Chabaux, F., Legout, A., Stille, P., Hissler, C., 2017. Genesis and evolution of regoliths:
 Evidence from trace and major elements and Sr-Nd-Pb-U isotopes. Catena 149, 185–
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2016.09.015
- Muscolo, A., Panuccio, M.R., Abenavoli, M.R., Concheri, G., Nardi, S., 1996. Effect of
 molecular complexity and acidity of earthworm faeces humic fractions on glutamate
 dehydrogenase, glutamine synthetase, and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase in Daucus
 carota α II cells. Biol. Fertil. Soils 22, 83–88. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00384437
- Muscolo, A., Settineri, G., Attinà, E., 2015. Early warning indicators of changes in soil
 ecosystem functioning. Ecol. Indic. 48, 542–549.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2014.09.017
- 1342 Mutuo, P.K., Cadisch, G., Albrecht, A., Palm, C.A., Verchot, L., 2005. Potential of 1343 agroforestry for carbon sequestration and mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions from 1344 soils tropics. Cycl. Agroecosystems 71. in the Nutr. 43-54. 1345 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-004-5285-6
- 1346 Nesme, J., Achouak, W., Agathos, S.N., Bailey, M., Baldrian, P., Brunel, D., Frostegård, Å., 1347 Heulin, T., Jansson, J.K., Jurkevitch, E., Kruus, K.L., Kowalchuk, G.A., Lagares, A., 1348 Lappin-Scott, H.M., Lemanceau, P., Le Paslier, D., Mandic-Mulec, I., Murrell, J.C., 1349 Myrold, D.D., Nalin, R., Nannipieri, P., Neufeld, J.D., O'Gara, F., Parnell, J.J., Pühler, 1350 A., Pylro, V., Ramos, J.L., Roesch, L.F.W., Schloter, M., Schleper, C., Sczyrba, A., 1351 Sessitsch, A., Sjöling, S., Sørensen, J., Sørensen, S.J., Tebbe, C.C., Topp, E., Tsiamis, 1352 G., van Elsas, J.D., van Keulen, G., Widmer, F., Wagner, M., Zhang, T., Zhang, X., 1353 Zhao, L., Zhu, Y.-G., Vogel, T.M., Simonet, P., 2016. Back to the Future of Soil 1354 Metagenomics. Front. Microbiol. 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00073

- Newell, K., 1984. Interaction between two decomposer basidiomycetes and a collembolan
 under Sitka spruce: Distribution, abundance and selective grazing. Soil Biol. Biochem.
 16, 227–233. https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(84)90006-3
- Nuria, R., Jérôme, M., Léonide, C., Christine, R., Gérard, H., Etienne, I., Patrick, L., 2011.
 IBQS: A synthetic index of soil quality based on soil macro-invertebrate communities.
 Soil Biol. Biochem. 43, 2023–2045. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.05.019
- 1361 Oldeman, R.A.A.A., 2012. Forests: Elements of Silvology, illustrate. ed. Springer Verlag.
 1362 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-75211-7
- Orgiazzi, A., Dunbar, M.B., Panagos, P., de Groot, G.A., Lemanceau, P., 2015. Soil
 biodiversity and DNA barcodes: opportunities and challenges. Soil Biol. Biochem. 80,
 244–250. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.10.014
- Osman, K.T., 2013. Nutrient Dynamics in Forest Soil, in: Forest Soils. Springer International
 Publishing, Cham, pp. 97–121. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-02541-4_6
- Pagenkemper, S.K., Athmann, M., Uteau, D., Kautz, T., Peth, S., Horn, R., 2015. The effect
 of earthworm activity on soil bioporosity Investigated with X-ray computed
 tomography and endoscopy. SOIL TILLAGE Res. 146, 79–88.
- Palm, C., Blanco-Canqui, H., DeClerck, F., Gatere, L., Grace, P., 2014. Conservation
 agriculture and ecosystem services: An overview. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 187, 87–105.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2013.10.010
- Pan, Y., Birdsey, R.A., Fang, J., Houghton, R., Kauppi, P.E., Kurz, W.A., Phillips, O.L.,
 Shvidenko, A., Lewis, S.L., Canadell, J.G., Ciais, P., Jackson, R.B., Pacala, S.W.,
 McGuire, A.D., Piao, S., Rautiainen, A., Sitch, S., Hayes, D., 2011. A Large and
 Persistent Carbon Sink in the World's Forests. Science (80-.). 333, 988–993.
 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1201609
- 1379 Parisi, V., 2001. La qualità biologica del suolo. Un metodo basato sui microartropodi, Acta
 1380 Naturalia de l'Ateneo Parmense.
- Parisi, V., 1974. Biologie e ecologia del suolo Tecniche di ricerca. Manuale del Laboratorio
 di Biologia. Boringhieri, Istituto di Biologia del Mare, Venezia.
- Pelosi, C., Römbke, J., 2018. Enchytraeids as bioindicators of land use and management.
 Appl. Soil Ecol. 123, 775–779. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.05.014
- Pérès, G., Cluzeau, D., Curmi, P., Hallaire, V., 1998. Earthworm activity and soil structure
 changes due to organic enrichments in vineyard systems. Biol. Fertil. Soils 27, 417–424.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s003740050452

- 1388 Phillips, O.L., Baker, T.R., Arroyo, L., Higuchi, N., Killeen, T.J., Laurance, W.F., Lewis, 1389 S.L., Lloyd, J., Malhi, Y., Monteagudo, A., Neill, D.A., Núñez Vargas, P., Silva, J.N.M., 1390 Terborgh, J., Vásquez Martínez, R., Alexiades, M., Almeida, S., Brown, S., Chave, J., 1391 Comiskey, J.A., Czimczik, C.I., Di Fiore, A., Erwin, T., Kuebler, C., Laurance, S.G., 1392 Nascimento, H.E.M., Olivier, J., Palacios, W., Patiño, S., Pitman, N.C.A., Quesada, 1393 C.A., Saldias, M., Torres Lezama, A., Vinceti, B., 2004. Pattern and process in Amazon 1394 tree turnover, 1976–2001. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London. Ser. B Biol. Sci. 359, 381–407. 1395 https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2003.1438
- Piccolo, A., 2002. The supramolecular structure of humic substances: A novel understanding
 of humus chemistry and implications in soil science 75, 57–134.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2113(02)75003-7
- Piccolo, A., Spaccini, R., Savy, D., Drosos, M., Cozzolino, V., 2019. The Soil Humeome:
 Chemical Structure, Functions and Technological Perspectives. Chapter 7., in: S. Vaz. Jr
- 1401 (Ed.), Sustainable Agrochemistry. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 183–
 1402 222. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-17891-8_7
- Pinstrup-Andersen, P., Pandya-Lorch, R., 1998. Food security and sustainable use of natural
 resources: A 2020 vision. Ecol. Econ. 26, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/S09218009(97)00067-0
- Pinton, R., Varanini, Z., Nannipieri, P., 2007. The Rhizosphere: Biochemistry and Organic
 Substances at the Soil-Plant Interface, Second Edition, Books in Soils, Plants, and the
 Environment. CRC Press.
- Pla, C., Cuezva, S., Martinez-Martinez, J., Fernandez-Cortes, A., Garcia-Anton, E., Fusi, N.,
 Crosta, G.B., Cuevas-Gonzalez, J., Cañaveras, J.C., Sanchez-Moral, S., Benavente, D.,
 2017. Role of soil pore structure in water infiltration and CO2 exchange between the
- 1412atmosphere and underground air in the vadose zone: A combined laboratory and field1413approach. Catena 149, 402–416. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2016.10.018
- Poeplau, C., Sigurðsson, P., Sigurdsson, B.D., 2020. Depletion of soil carbon and aggregation
 after strong warming of a subarctic Andosol under forest and grassland cover. SOIL 6,
 115–129. https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-6-115-2020
- Pollierer, M.M., Larsen, T., Potapov, A., Brückner, A., Heethoff, M., Dyckmans, J., Scheu,
 S., 2019. Compound- specific isotope analysis of amino acids as a new tool to uncover
- 1419 trophic chains in soil food webs. Ecol. Monogr. 89. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecm.1384
- 1+17 uopine enams in son 1000 webs. Ecol. Monogr. 89. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecm.1384
- 1420 Ponge, J.-F., 2009. Effets des amendements sur le fonctionnement biologique des sols

- forestiers: mieux comprendre le rôle de la méso- et de la macrofaune dans l'évolution
 des humus (in French, with English summary). Rev. For. Française 61, 217–222.
 https://doi.org/10.4267/2042/30098
- Ponge, J.-F.F., 2013. Plant–soil feedbacks mediated by humus forms: A review. Soil Biol.
 Biochem. 57, 1048–1060. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.07.019
- 1426 Ponge, J.-F.J.F., Pérès, G., Guernion, M., Ruiz-Camacho, N., Cortet, J., Pernin, C., Villenave,
- C., Chaussod, R., Martin-Laurent, F., Bispo, A., Cluzeau, D., 2013. The impact of
 agricultural practices on soil biota: A regional study. Soil Biol. Biochem. 67, 271–284.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.08.026
- Ponge, J.F., 2003. Humus forms in terrestrial ecosystems: A framework to biodiversity. Soil
 Biol. Biochem. 35, 935–945. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(03)00149-4
- Pulleman, M.M., Marinissen, J.C.Y., 2004. Physical protection of mineralizable C in
 aggregates from long-term pasture and arable soil. Geoderma 120, 273–282.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2003.09.009
- Ramirez, K.S., Döring, M., Eisenhauer, N., Gardi, C., Ladau, J., Leff, J.W., Lentendu, G.,
 Lindo, Z., Rillig, M.C., Russell, D., Scheu, S., St. John, M.G., de Vries, F.T., Wubet, T.,
 van der Putten, W.H., Wall, D.H., 2015. Toward a global platform for linking soil
 biodiversity data. Front. Ecol. Evol. 3, 91. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2015.00091
- Reinmann, A.B., Hutyra, L.R., 2017. Edge effects enhance carbon uptake and its vulnerability
 to climate change in temperate broadleaf forests. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 114, 107–112.
 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1612369114
- 1442Ruiz-Camacho, N., 2011. INDICE BIOLOGIQUE DE LA QUALITE DES SOLS (IBQS)1443Bio-indicateur de la qualité des sols basés sur l'étude des peuplements de macro-1444invertébrés [WWW Document]. https://horizon.documentation.ird.fr/exl-1445doc/pleins_textes/divers12-11/010057480.pdf.URL
- 1446 https://horizon.documentation.ird.fr/exl-doc/pleins_textes/divers12-11/010057480.pdf
- 1447Scheuerell, S., Mahaffee, W., 2002. Compost Tea: Principles and Prospects For Plant Disease1448Control.CompostSci.Util.10,313–338.1449https://doi.org/10.1080/1065657X.2002.10702095
- Schloter, M., Nannipieri, P., Sørensen, S.J., van Elsas, J.D., 2018. Microbial indicators for
 soil quality. Biol. Fertil. Soils 54. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-017-1248-3
- Scotti, R., Bonanomi, G., Scelza, R., Zoina, A., Rao, M.A., 2015. Organic amendments as
 sustainable tool to recovery fertility in intensive agricultural systems. J. Soil Sci. Plant

1454 Nutr. https://doi.org/10.4067/s0718-95162015005000031

- Shaffer, M.J., Ma, L., Hansen, S., Ma, L., Hansen, S., 2001. Modeling Carbon and Nitrogen
 Dynamics for Soil Management. https://doi.org/10.1201/9780367801373
- 1457 Shukla, P.R., Skea, J., Calvo Buendia, E., Masson-Delmotte, V., Pörtner, H.-O., Roberts,
- 1458 D.C., Zhai, P., Slade, R., Connors, S., R. van, D., Ferrat, M., Haughey, E., Luz, S.,
- 1459 Neogi, S., Pathak, M., Petzold, J., Portugal Pereira, J., Vyas, P., Huntley, E., Kissick, K.,
- Belkacemi, M., Malley, J., 2020. IPCC Summary for Policymakers. In: Climate Change
 and Land: an IPCC special report on climate change, desertification, land degradation,
 sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial
 ecosystems. Revised by the IPCC. © 2020 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
 Electronic copies of this Summary for Policymakers are available from the IPCC website
- 1465 www.ipcc.ch.
- Silva, V., Mol, H.G.J., Zomer, P., Tienstra, M., Ritsema, C.J., Geissen, V., 2019. Pesticide
 residues in European agricultural soils A hidden reality unfolded. Sci. Total Environ.
 653, 1532–1545. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.10.441
- Silva, V., Montanarella, L., Jones, A., Fernández-Ugalde, O., Mol, H.G.J., Ritsema, C.J.,
 Geissen, V., 2018. Distribution of glyphosate and aminomethylphosphonic acid (AMPA)
 in agricultural topsoils of the European Union. Sci. Total Environ. 621, 1352–1359.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.10.093
- Six, J., Bossuyt, H., Degryze, S., Denef, K., 2004. A history of research on the link between
 (micro)aggregates, soil biota, and soil organic matter dynamics. Soil Tillage Res. 79, 7–
 31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2004.03.008
- Sofo, A., Ciarfaglia, A., Scopa, A., Camele, I., Curci, M., Crecchio, C., Xiloyannis, C.,
 Palese, A.M., 2014. Soil microbial diversity and activity in a Mediterranean olive
 orchard using sustainable agricultural practices. Soil Use Manag. 30, 160–167.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/sum.12097
- Sofo, A., Milella, L., Tataranni, G., 2010. Effects of Trichoderma harzianum strain T-22 on
 the growth of two Prunus rootstocks during the rooting phase. J. Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol.
 85, 497–502. https://doi.org/10.1080/14620316.2010.11512704
- Sofo, A., Mininni, A.N., Ricciuti, P., 2020a. Soil Macrofauna: A key Factor for Increasing
 Soil Fertility and Promoting Sustainable Soil Use in Fruit Orchard Agrosystems.
 Agronomy 10, 456. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10040456
- 1486 Sofo, A., Nicoletta Mininni, A., Ricciuti, P., 2020b. Comparing the effects of soil fauna on

- 1487litter decomposition and organic matter turnover in sustainably and conventionally1488managed olive orchards. Geoderma. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114393
- 1489 Sofo, A., Ricciuti, P., Fausto, C., Mininni, A.N., Crecchio, C., Scagliola, M., Malerba, A.D.,
- 1490 Xiloyannis, C., Dichio, B., 2019a. The metabolic and genetic diversity of soil bacterial
 1491 communities depends on the soil management system and C/N dynamics: The case of
 1492 sustainable and conventional olive groves. Appl. Soil Ecol. 137, 21–28.
 1493 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2018.12.022
- 1494 Sofo, A., Ricciuti, P., Fausto, C., Mininni, A.N., Crecchio, C., Scagliola, M., Malerba, A.D., 1495 Xiloyannis, C., Dichio, B., 2019b. The metabolic and genetic diversity of soil bacterial 1496 communities depends on the soil management system and C/N dynamics: The case of 1497 sustainable and conventional olive groves. Appl. Soil Ecol. 1-8. 1498 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2018.12.022
- Soil Science Division Staff, 2017. Soil Survey Manual Updated. Agriculture Handbook No.
 1500 18. United States Department of Agriculture.
- Soil Survey Staff, 2015. Illustrated guide to soil taxanomy, version 2. U.S. Department of
 Agriculture, natural resources Conservation Service, National Soil Survey Center,
 Luncoln, Nebraska.
- https://doi.org/https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/survey/class/taxon
 omy/?cid=nrcs142p2_053580
- Soil Survey Staff, 2014. Keys to Soil Taxonomy by Soil Survey Staff, 12th edition., 12th ed,
 Soil Conservation Service. United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources
 Conservation Service, Washington, DC.
- Soil Survey Staff, 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th Edition. Natural ResourcesConservation Service; United States Department of Agriculture.
- Soil Survey Staff, 2003. Keys to soil taxonomy. 9th edition. Natural Resources Conservation
 Service, USDA, Washington, DC.
- Soil Survey Staff, 1999. Soil Taxonomy. A basic system of soil classification for making and
 interpreting soil surveys, 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, USDA,
 Washington DC, USA.
- Soil Survey Staff, 1975. Soil taxonomy. Agricultural Handbook No. 436. United States
 Department of Agriculture (USDA), Washington, DC.
- 1518 Soussana, J.-F., Lutfalla, S., Ehrhardt, F., Rosenstock, T., Lamanna, C., Havlík, P., Richards,
- 1519 M., Wollenberg, E. (Lini), Chotte, J.-L., Torquebiau, E., Ciais, P., Smith, P., Lal, R.,

- 1520 2019. Matching policy and science: Rationale for the '4 per 1000 soils for food security
 1521 and climate' initiative. Soil Tillage Res. 188, 3–15.
 1522 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2017.12.002
- St. Martin, C.C.G., Rouse-Miller, J., Barry, G.T., Vilpigue, P., 2020. Compost and Compost
 Tea Microbiology: The "-Omics" Era. Springer, Cham, pp. 3–30.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-39173-7
- Staff Soil Survey, 2015. Iluustrated Guide to Soil Taxonomy, version 2. U.S. Department of
 Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, National Soil Survey Center,
 Lincoln, Nebraska, USA.
- 1529 State of the World's Plants and Fungi | Kew [WWW Document], n.d.
- 1530 Stevenson, F.J., 1994. Humus chemistry: Genesis, composition, reactions, Organic1531 Geochemistry. John Wiley & Sons, 1994.
- 1532 Stevenson, P.C., Bidartondo, M.I., Blackhall- Miles, R., Cavagnaro, T.R., Cooper, A., Geslin,
- 1533 B., Koch, H., Lee, M.A., Moat, J., O'Hanlon, R., Sjöman, H., Sofo, A., Stara, K., Suz,
- L.M., 2020. The state of the world's urban ecosystems: What can we learn from trees,
 fungi, and bees? PLANTS, PEOPLE, PLANET 2, 482–498.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp3.10143
- Susmel, L., 1980. Normalizzazione delle foreste Alpine: basi ecosistemiche, equilibrio,
 modelli colturali, produttività: con applicazione alle foreste del Trentino. Liviana,
 Padova, Italy.
- Susmel, L., 1959. Saggio critico-sperimentale sulla applicabilita del metodo fitosociologico in
 selvicoltura. Ann. del Cent. di Econ. Mont. delle Venezie. Padova. 1, 3–137.
- Sweeney, C.J., de Vries, F.T., van Dongen, B.E., Bardgett, R.D., 2020. Root traits explain
 rhizosphere fungal community composition among temperate grassland plant species.
 New Phytol. nph.16976. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16976
- 1545 Takahashi, M., Hirai, K., Marod, D., Anusontpornperm, S., Limtong, P., Leaungvutivirog, C., Panuthai, S., 2019. Atypical Pattern of Soil Carbon Stocks along the Slope Position in a 1546 1547 106. Seasonally Dry Tropical Forest in Thailand. Forests 10, https://doi.org/10.3390/f10020106 1548
- Tansley, A.G., 1935. The use and abuse of vegetational terms and concepts. Ecology 16, 284–
 307. https://doi.org/10.2307/1930070
- 1551Torsvik, V., Øvreås, L., 2002. Microbial diversity and function in soil: from genes to1552ecosystems.Curr.Opin.Microbiol.5,240–245.

1553 https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1369-5274(02)00324-7

- 1554 Toutain, F., 1981. Les humus forestiers. R.F.F. 6, 449–477.
- 1555 Tsiafouli, M.A., Thébault, E., Sgardelis, S.P., de Ruiter, P.C., van der Putten, W.H.,
- 1556 Birkhofer, K., Hemerik, L., de Vries, F.T., Bardgett, R.D., Brady, M.V., Bjornlund, L.,

1557 Jørgensen, H.B., Christensen, S., Hertefeldt, T.D., Hotes, S., Gera Hol, W.H., Frouz, J.,

- 1558 Liiri, M., Mortimer, S.R., Setälä, H., Tzanopoulos, J., Uteseny, K., Pižl, V., Stary, J.,
- Wolters, V., Hedlund, K., 2015. Intensive agriculture reduces soil biodiversity across
 Europe. Glob. Chang. Biol. 21, 973–985. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12752
- Van Groenigen, J.W., Van Groenigen, K.J., Koopmans, G.F., Stokkermans, L., Vos, H.M.J.,
 Lubbers, I.M., 2019. How fertile are earthworm casts? A meta-analysis. Geoderma.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.11.001
- Veen, G.F., Jasper Wubs, E.R., Bardgett, R.D., Barrios, E., Bradford, M.A., Carvalho, S., De
 Deyn, G.B., de Vries, F.T., Giller, K.E., Kleijn, D., Landis, D.A., Rossing, W.A.H.,
- 1566 Schrama, M., Six, J., Struik, P.C., van Gils, S., Wiskerke, J.S.C., van der Putten, W.H.,
- Vet, L.E.M., 2019. Applying the aboveground-belowground interaction concept in
 agriculture: Spatio-temporal scales matter. Front. Ecol. Evol. 7.
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2019.00300
- 1570 Verbruggen, E., Röling, W.F.M., Gamper, H.A., Kowalchuk, G.A., Verhoef, H.A., van der
 1571 Heijden, M.G.A., 2010. Positive effects of organic farming on below-ground mutualists:
 1572 Large-scale comparison of mycorrhizal fungal communities in agricultural soils. New
- 1573 Phytol. 186, 968–979. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03230.x
- Villecco, D., Pane, C., Ronga, D., Zaccardelli, M., 2020. Enhancing Sustainability of Tomato,
 Pepper and Melon Nursery Production Systems by Using Compost Tea Spray
 Applications. Agronomy 10, 1336. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10091336
- Vitti, A., La Monaca, E., Sofo, A., Scopa, A., Cuypers, A., Nuzzaci, M., 2015. Beneficial
 effects of Trichoderma harzianum T-22 in tomato seedlings infected by Cucumber
 mosaic virus (CMV). BioControl 60, 135–147. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526-0149626-3
- Vitti, A., Pellegrini, E., Nali, C., Lovelli, S., Sofo, A., Valerio, M., Scopa, A., Nuzzaci, M.,
 2016. Trichoderma harzianum T-22 Induces Systemic Resistance in Tomato Infected by
 Cucumber mosaic virus. Front. Plant Sci. 7, 1520.
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01520
- 1585 Vogel, H.-J., Bartke, S., Daedlow, K., Helming, K., Kögel-Knabner, I., Lang, B., Rabot, E.,

- Russell, D., Stößel, B., Weller, U., Wiesmeier, M., Wollschläger, U., 2018. A systemic
 approach for modeling soil functions. SOIL 4, 83–92. https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-4-832018
- Wagg, C., Bender, S.F., Widmer, F., van der Heijden, M.G.A., 2014. Soil biodiversity and
 soil community composition determine ecosystem multifunctionality. Proc. Natl. Acad.
 Sci. 111, 5266–5270. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1320054111
- Waksman, S.A., 1936. HUMUS. Origin, chemical composition and importance in nature. TheWilliams & Wilkins Company, Baltimore, USA.
- Wall, D.H., Bardgett, R.D., Kelly, E., 2010. Biodiversity in the dark. Nat. Geosci.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo860
- Wall, D.H., Nielsen, U.N., Six, J., 2015. Soil biodiversity and human health. Nature 528, 69–
 76. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15744
- Wallenstein, M.D., Vilgalys, R.J., 2005. Quantitative analyses of nitrogen cycling genes in
 soils, in: Pedobiologia. Elsevier GmbH, pp. 665–672.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedobi.2005.05.005
- Wander, M.M., Cihacek, L.J., Coyne, M., Drijber, R.A., Grossman, J.M., Gutknecht, J.L.M.,
 Horwath, W.R., Jagadamma, S., Olk, D.C., Ruark, M., Snapp, S.S., Tiemann, L.K.,
 Weil, R., Turco, R.F., 2019. Developments in Agricultural Soil Quality and Health:
 Reflections by the Research Committee on Soil Organic Matter Management. Front.
 Environ. Sci. 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2019.00109
- Wang, S. Bin, Li, Q., Liang, W.J., Jiang, Y., Jiang, S.W., 2008. PCR-DGGE Analysis of
 Nematode Diversity in Cu-Contaminated Soil Project supported by the National Natural
 Science Foundation of China (No. 30600087) and the Knowledge Innovation Program of
 Institute of Applied Ecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (No. 06L. Pedosphere 18,
 621–627. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(08)60056-9
- Wang, J., Zou, Y., Di Gioia, D., Singh, B.K., Li, Q., 2020. Impacts of forest conversion to
 plantations on the soil carbon and nitrogen dynamics, and microbial communities. Soil
 Biol. Biochem. 147, 107849. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107849
- Whelan, A., Kechavarzi, C., Coulon, F., Sakrabani, R., Lord, R., 2013. Influence of compost
 amendments on the hydraulic functioning of brownfield soils. Soil Use Manag. 29, 260–
 270. https://doi.org/10.1111/sum.12028
- Williamson, K.E., Fuhrmann, J.J., Wommack, K.E., Radosevich, M., 2017. Viruses in Soil
 Ecosystems: An Unknown Quantity Within an Unexplored Territory. Annu. Rev. Virol.

- 1619 4, 201–219. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-virology-101416-041639
- 1620 Wilpiszeski, R.L., Aufrecht, J.A., Retterer, S.T., Sullivan, M.B., Graham, D.E., Pierce, E.M.,
- 1621 Zablocki, O.D., Palumbo, A. V., Elias, D.A., 2019. Soil Aggregate Microbial
 1622 Communities: Towards Understanding Microbiome Interactions at Biologically Relevant
 1623 Scales. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 85. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00324-19
- WRB, I.W.G., 2006. World Reference Base for Soil Resources 2006. World Soil Resources
 Report No. 103. FAO, Rome.
- Yakovchenko, V., Sikora, L.J., Kaufman, D.D., 1996. A biologically based indicator of soil
 quality. Biol. Fertil. Soils 21, 245–251. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00334899
- Yin, R., Kardol, P., Thakur, M.P., Gruss, I., Wu, G.-L., Eisenhauer, N., Schädler, M., 2020.
 Soil functional biodiversity and biological quality under threat: Intensive land use
 outweighs climate change. Soil Biol. Biochem. 147, 107847.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107847
- Zanella, A., Ascher-Jenull, J., 2018a. Editorial. Humusica 1 Terrestrial Natural Humipedons.
 Appl. Soil Ecol. 122, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APSOIL.2017.11.029
- 1634 Zanella, A., Ascher-Jenull, J., 2018b. Editorial. Humusica 2 Histic, Para, Techno, Agro
 1635 Humipedons. Appl. Soil Ecol. 122, 139–147.
 1636 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.12.006
- 1637 Zanella, A., Ascher-Jenull, J., 2018c. Editorial. Humusica 3 Reviews, Applications, Tools.
 1638 Appl. Soil Ecol. 123, 297–298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2018.05.016
- Zanella, A., Berg, B., Ponge, J.-F., Kemmers, R.H., 2018a. Humusica 1, article 2: Essential
 bases Functional considerations. Appl. Soil Ecol. 122, 22–41.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.07.010
- Zanella, A., Géhu, J.-M., 1994. Proposition por une typologie forestière intégrée. Exemples
 d'application aux forêts de la Flandre française intérieure / Proposal for an integrated
 forest typology. Application examples in French Flanders inland forests. Université de
 Paris Sud (11), Orsay, France.
- Zanella, A., Ponge, J.-F., Briones, M.J.I., 2018b. Humusica 1, article 8: Terrestrial humus
 systems and forms Biological activity and soil aggregates, space-time dynamics. Appl.
 Soil Ecol. 122, 103–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.07.020
- Zanella, A., Ponge, J.-F., Fritz, I., Pietrasiak, N., Matteodo, M., Nadporozhskaya, M.,
 Juilleret, J., Tatti, D., Le Bayon, R.-C., Rothschild, L., Mancinelli, R., 2018c. Humusica
- 1651 2, article 13: Para humus systems and forms. Appl. Soil Ecol. 122, 181–199.

1652 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.09.043

- 1653 Zanella, A., Ponge, J.-F., Gobat, J.-M., Juilleret, J., Blouin, M., Aubert, M., Chertov, O.,
- 1654 Rubio, J.L., 2018d. Humusica 1, article 1: Essential bases Vocabulary. Appl. Soil Ecol.
 1655 122, 10–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.07.004
- Zanella, A., Ponge, J.-F., Matteodo, M., 2018e. Humusica 1, article 7: Terrestrial humus
 systems and forms Field practice and sampling problems. Appl. Soil Ecol. 122, 92–
 102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.05.028
- 1659 Zanella, A., Ponge, J.-F., Topoliantz, S., Bernier, N., Juilleret, J., 2018f. Humusica 2, article forms. 1660 15: Agro humus systems and Appl. Soil Ecol. 122, 204-219. 1661 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.10.011
- Zanella, A., Tomasi, M., Cesare, D.S., Frizzera, L., Jabiol, B., Nicolini, G., Sartori, G.,
 Calabrese, M.S., Manacabelli, A., Nardi, S., Pizzeghello, D., Maurizio, O., 2001. Humus
 Forestali Manuale di ecologia per il riconoscimento e l'interpretazione Applicazione
 alle faggete, CEA. ed. Centro Ecologia Alpina, Fondazione Edmund Mach, San Michele
 all'Adige, Trento (Italia): 321 p.
- 1667
- ----
- 1668
- 1669
- 1670
- 1671
- 1672
- 1673
- 1674
- 1675
- 1676 **Tables**
- 1677

1678 **Table 1.** Historical legacies.

Historical legacies					
	Climate, biological agents, rock, topography and duration are the factors of soil differentiation, or the factors of pedogenesis.				
Dokuchaev (1900)	Climate and corresponding vegetation are the main ones responsible for the organization of soils on a global scale.				
	At the field scale, climatic variability does not have to be considered while topography and variability of the geological substrate can still modify soils.				

	There were exceptions to the climate zonality. Locally, this or that environmental factor plays a preponderant role and masks the role of climate. "Zonal" soils, that are part of the climatic zonality; "azonal" soils, whenever the rock outcrops directly; and "intra-zonal" soils, whose characteristics are linked to special conditions, such as excess water or salt.
	The soil system is an open system.
Jenny (1941)	In selecting climate (cl), biological agents (o), rock (r), topography (p) and duration (t) as the independent variables of the soil system, we do not assert that these factors never enter functional relationships among themselves.
	Soil and vegetation correspond to a continuous layer that shows changes inside and outside perceptible to the human eye.
	Both soil and vegetation covers can be broken down into sub-layers.
Soil and	Circumscribing spatial sub-units (horizontal or vertical soil and vegetation sub-units) is not so simple, because the transition from one to the other unit is rarely abrupt; very often it is gradual and nuanced.
vegetation	We know that using the characteristic species of the phytosociological units to map the forest vegetation, the part of the forest occupied by undefined vegetation types becomes larger than that occupied by known vegetation types.
	It is crucial to choose carefully the environment in which you are taking the survey, it must be as homogeneous as possible considering the purposes of your work; work objectives define the size of your survey.
	The need for unification is necessary when international organizations wanted to map soils at planet level.
Need of	The number of soil units which compose the legend of the Soil Map of the World is 106. The legend sheets present these soil units in an order which reflects the general processes of soil formation. The basic principles which underlie the separation of these soil units and their definitions are discussed in Chapter 3. Areas of "non soil" are shown on the map as miscellaneous land units.
unification	The disagreement among soil scientists produced a scientific impasse.
	When there are over three variables interacting, and in the soil there are dozens, a natural system end up in a chaotic and unpredictable movement.
	Illustrated Guide to Soil Taxonomy (2015). USDA attempt to approach a larger audience (ecologists, environmental scientists, etc.).
	Essentials of Soil Science - Soil formation, functions, use and classification (2018). WRB attempt to open to other disciplines.
	Living organisms organize the superficial part of the Earth's crust into layers that are visible to the naked eye.
Soil as living system	Each soil horizon gets its own relative independence: since it is built by living beings and develops by its-own, it can also be considered a subsystem contained in a larger complete soil system.
	To classify the soil means to circumscribe a surface volume of the earth's crust that grows over time and evolves as a system (called pedogenetic processes by soil scientists), dependent on those same soil forming-factors.

		Topsoils are directly linked to particular groups of soil animals. This connection could have very important consequences on the management of forest and agricultural soils to stop climate from warming.		
	Jenny (1980)	Because of a possible climatic warm-up, we do not wish accelerate humus oxidation and the concomitant flux of carbon dioxide from soil into the atmosphere The humus capital, which is substantial, deserves to be maintained because good soils are a national asset.		
	Solution?	A biological classification of the soil on a DNA basis could probably clarify the living essence of the soil.		
1679				
1680				
1681				
1682				
1683				
1684				
1685				
1686				
1687				
1688				
1689				
1690				
1691				
1692				
1693				
1694				
1695				
1697	Figure legends			
1698	rigure regenus			
1699				
1700	Figure 1. The three	key attributes of soil, considered as a living system.		
1701	0			
1702	Figure 2. From	"The contentious nature of soil organic matter". Figure entitled:		
1703	Reconciliation of current conceptual models for the fate of organic debris into a consolidated			
1704	view of organic matter cycles and ecosystem controls in soil (Lehmann and Kleber, 2015).			

1705

Figure 3. The FAO-Unesco Soil Map of the World, a first immense effort to synthetize the distribution of the soil on our planet. On the right the cover of Volume I, which is identical in the other volumes, only changes the squared that frame the described section. Notice the design, which recalls the horizons of the soil, but also soil, vegetation and atmosphere, or an interlocking of concentric circles typical of a modern ecological vision. Just beautiful, with the maps scattered as if in a vacuum.

1712

Figure 4. The 20 humus systems of the classification published by the Humus Group are divided into: 6 young or very particular natural systems (Para); 2 systems closely linked to man, one semi-natural (Agro) and one completely built by man (Techno); 5 terrestrial systems containing 17 humus forms; 5 Histic systems containing 16 humus forms; 2 Aqueous systems containing 3 humus forms. In total, the forms of humus described are 36 (not reported in the figure).

1719

Figure 5. On the left, the "layers" that can be identified in the soil profile. On the right, thephases of formation of the soil profile.

1722

Figure 6. Soil is more complex than we think. It is almost as if it were an underground forest, much more concentrated and with less air than that which lives above the ground. We can imagine it composed of three layers in which it evolves without being perceptible in its dynamic state. Perhaps the blue arrows can represent the real processes of soil forming generating the large groups of soils (corresponding to theoretical main pedogenetic processes) described by the modern IUSS Working Group.

1729

Figure 7. Major benefits of the adoption of sustainable agricultural practices. Examples of thesustainable agricultural practices explained in the text.

- 1732
- 1733

1734 Appendices

1735

Appendix 1. Quotation from Waksman, S. A. (1936). *HUMUS. Origin, chemical composition and importance in nature.* The Williams & Wilkins Company. The whole "outlook" of the
authors can be found here: https://soilcarboncoalition.org/files/Waksman-Humus.pdf,

1739 particularly at pages 397-398.