



HAL
open science

Astrocytes mediate the effect of oxytocin in the central amygdala on neuronal activity and affective states in rodents

Jérôme Wahis, Angel Baudon, Ferdinand Althammer, Damien Kerspern, Stéphanie Goyon, Daisuke Hagiwara, Arthur Lefevre, Lara Barteczko, Benjamin Boury-Jamot, Benjamin Bellanger, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

Jérôme Wahis, Angel Baudon, Ferdinand Althammer, Damien Kerspern, Stéphanie Goyon, et al.. Astrocytes mediate the effect of oxytocin in the central amygdala on neuronal activity and affective states in rodents. *Nature Neuroscience*, 2021, 10.1038/s41593-021-00800-0 . hal-03178553v2

HAL Id: hal-03178553

<https://hal.science/hal-03178553v2>

Submitted on 23 Mar 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Astrocytes mediate the effect of oxytocin in the central amygdala on neuronal activity and affective states in rodents

Jérôme Wahis^{1,16,19}, Angel Baudon^{1,19}, Ferdinand Althammer^{2,19}, Damien Kerspern^{1,19}, Stéphanie Goyon¹, Daisuke Hagiwara³, Arthur Lefevre^{1,3}, Lara Barteczko³, Benjamin Boury-Jamot⁴, Benjamin Bellanger¹, Marios Abatis⁴, Miriam Silva da Gouveia⁵, Diego Benusiglio^{1,3}, Marina Eliava³, Andrej Rozov⁶, Ivan Weinsanto¹, Hanna Sophie Knobloch-Bollmann^{7,17}, Matthew K. Kirchner^{1,2}, Ranjan K. Roy^{1,2}, Hong Wang^{8,18}, Marie Pertin⁹, Perrine Inquimbert^{1,10}, Claudia Pitzer¹⁰, Jan Siemens^{1,8}, Yannick Goumon¹, Benjamin Boutrel^{1,4}, Christophe Maurice Lamy^{1,11}, Isabelle Decosterd^{9,12}, Jean-Yves Chatton⁹, Nathalie Rouach^{1,13}, W. Scott Young^{1,14}, Javier E. Stern², Pierrick Poisbeau¹, Ron Stoop⁴, Pascal Darbon¹, Valery Grinevich^{1,3,20} and Alexandre Charlet^{1,15,20}✉

Oxytocin (OT) orchestrates social and emotional behaviors through modulation of neural circuits. In the central amygdala (CeA), the release of OT modulates inhibitory circuits and, thereby, suppresses fear responses and decreases anxiety levels. Using astrocyte-specific gain and loss of function and pharmacological approaches, we demonstrate that a morphologically distinct subpopulation of astrocytes expresses OT receptors and mediates anxiolytic and positive reinforcement effects of OT in the CeA of mice and rats. The involvement of astrocytes in OT signaling challenges the long-held dogma that OT acts exclusively on neurons and highlights astrocytes as essential components for modulation of emotional states under normal and chronic pain conditions.

Oxytocin (OT) is a neuropeptide that acts as both a peripheral neurohormone and a central neuromodulator to modulate key physiological functions, from ion homeostasis to complex social behaviors¹. OT is produced in hypothalamic magnocellular neurons that project further to virtually all forebrain regions. When activated, OTergic axons release OT both synaptically and extra-synaptically in the extra-cellular fluid^{2,3}. This last mode of OT release has the potential to activate virtually every cell type expressing OT receptors (OTRs) located in close proximity to the sites of axonal OT release^{4,5}.

A few studies using immunohistochemistry on central nervous system (CNS) sections or a knock-in mice model found that not

only neurons but also astrocytes express OTRs in various brain regions^{4,5}. Early studies indicated that locally released OT induces morphological and functional changes in both the astroglial and neuronal networks of the hypothalamic nuclei producing the neuropeptide⁶. Astrocytes are part of the tripartite synapse and capable to modulate neuronal activity as well as to sense the release of neuromodulators into the neuropil⁷. Astrocytes express receptors for various neuromodulators, and accumulating evidence demonstrates that they actually mediate part of their neurophysiological effects⁷, but such evidence is lacking for OT. Mapping of OTR expression in the rodent brain found that it was expressed at high levels in several

¹Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique and University of Strasbourg, UPR3212 Institute of Cellular and Integrative Neurosciences, Strasbourg, France. ²Center for Neuroinflammation and Cardiometabolic Diseases, Georgia State University, Atlanta GA, USA. ³Department of Neuropeptide Research for Psychiatry, Central Institute of Mental Health, University of Heidelberg, Mannheim, Germany. ⁴Center for Psychiatric Neurosciences, Hôpital de Cery, Lausanne University Hospital (CHUV), Lausanne, Switzerland. ⁵German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany. ⁶OpenLab of Neurobiology, Kazan Federal University, Kazan, Russia, Federal Center of Brain Research and Neurotechnologies, Moscow, Russia and Department of Physiology and Pathophysiology, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany. ⁷Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Center for Brain Science, Harvard University, Cambridge MA, USA. ⁸Department of Pharmacology, Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, Germany. ⁹Pain center, Department of Anesthesiology, Lausanne University Hospital (CHUV), Lausanne, Switzerland. ¹⁰Interdisciplinary Neurobehavioral Core (INBC), Ruprecht-Karls-Universität, Heidelberg, Germany. ¹¹Division of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland. ¹²Department of Fundamental Neurosciences, Faculty of Biology and Medicine (FBM), University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland. ¹³Neuroglial Interactions in Cerebral Physiopathology, Center for Interdisciplinary Research in Biology, Collège de France, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique UMR 7241, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale U1050, Labex Memolife, PSL Research University, Paris, France. ¹⁴Section on Neural Gene Expression, National Institute of Mental Health, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA. ¹⁵University of Strasbourg Institute for Advanced Study (USIAS), Strasbourg, France. ¹⁶Present address: Laboratory of Glia Biology, VIB-KU Leuven Center for Brain and Disease Research, Department of Neuroscience, KU Leuven Brain Institute, Leuven, Belgium. ¹⁷Present address: Group of Systemic and Cellular Neuroscience, Institute of Physiology, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany. ¹⁸Present address: The Brain Cognition and Brain Disease Institute of Shenzhen Institutes of Advanced Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China. ¹⁹These authors contributed equally: Jérôme Wahis, Angel Baudon, Ferdinand Althammer, Damien Kerspern. ²⁰These authors jointly supervised this work: Valery Grinevich, Alexandre Charlet. ✉e-mail: valery.grinevich@zi-mannheim.de; acharlet@unistra.fr

brain regions⁴ and, of interest in this study, particularly in the lateral and capsular part (CeL) of the CeA⁸, albeit the types of cells expressing the OTR in this brain region were never elucidated.

Functionally, the release of OT in the CeL leads to increased firing of GABA-expressing interneurons^{2,8}. These interneurons inhibit projection neurons in the medial CeA (CeM), which serve as CeA output. OT action in this circuit affects amygdala-related functions, including activity of the autonomous nervous system, fear expression and anxious behaviors^{2,9,10}. Furthermore, the CeA is involved in the pathophysiology of several neurological diseases, including neuropathic pain and anxiety^{11,12}, in which both astrocytes¹³ and the OT system¹⁴ are thought to play an important role. Therefore, it is crucial to understand how the OT system controls pain and its emotional comorbidities and if astrocytes are involved in these mechanisms.

Indeed, a role for astrocytes in the regulation of CeA circuits has already been proven in the CeM¹⁵, where astrocyte activity can reduce fear expression in a fear-conditioning paradigm—a role that is surprisingly similar to the effect of OTR signaling in the CeL². We, therefore, sought to investigate if CeL astrocytes could also play a role in the OT-mediated regulation of CeA circuits and some of their behavioral correlates.

Our study shows the expression of OTRs in CeL astrocytes and demonstrates that OT directly acts on CeL astrocytes to gate CeL neuron excitability through N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDAR) (co)activation. Furthermore, we found that the astrocyte-mediated OTR signaling underlies the anxiolytic and positive reinforcement effects of OT in the CeA. We, thus, provide the first evidence that OT drives astrocyte activity and that this effect is an essential part of the OTRergic modulation of amygdala neuronal circuits and the behaviors they regulate.

Results

CeL astrocytes express functional OTRs in rats and mice. To investigate whether CeA astrocytes express OTRs, we performed fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) combined with immunohistochemistry on rat CeA sections and found some overlap between OTR messenger RNA (mRNA) signal and an astrocyte marker, glutamine synthase (GS) (Fig. 1a,b and Extended Data Fig. 1a). Within the rat CeL, $18.6 \pm 1.8\%$ of astrocytes and $67.8 \pm 3.1\%$ of neurons expressed OTR mRNA (Fig. 1c), with similar results in mice (Extended Data Fig. 1b). We confirmed these results using other astrocyte markers, namely ALDH1L1 and GFAP (Extended Data Fig. 1c,d). Next, we employed immunohistochemistry-based three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction using the Imaris technique and a semi-automated pipeline¹⁶ by combining immunohistochemical staining for GS and GFAP with FISH for OTR mRNA in the rat CeL (Fig. 1d,e). This analysis confirmed the presence of OTR mRNA in astrocytes and revealed that OTR⁺ astrocytes have, on average, a significantly larger cell volume and surface area and a higher number and an increased length of processes compared to OTR⁻ astrocytes (Fig. 1e). Subsequent Sholl analysis revealed that OTR⁺ astrocytes bear more complex morphological features than OTR⁻ astrocytes (Fig. 1f), suggesting that OTR⁺ astrocytes represent a distinct subpopulation of astrocytes within the CeL.

To test whether CeL astrocytes respond to endogenous OT release, we expressed the ChR1/VChR1 chimera channel rhodopsin variant¹⁷ (referred to here as C1V1) in OTRergic neurons to optogenetically control CeA-innervating OT axons (POT-C1V1-mCherry; referred to as OxytOpto). To this end, we employed a previously characterized adeno-associated viral (AAV) vector equipped with the OT promoter², which was injected into the paraventricular (PVN), supraoptic and accessory nuclei of rat hypothalamus (Fig. 2a and Extended Data Fig. 2a,b). We then identified CeL astrocytes through sulforhodamine 101 (SR101) labeling and measured the relative changes in cytosolic calcium using the small organic

dye Oregon Green 488 BAPTA-1 (OGB1) (Fig. 2b and Extended Data Fig. 2c–e). We found that $61.9 \pm 8.7\%$ of recorded astrocytes responded to the optogenetic OT axon stimulation (Fig. 2c,d). To avoid the possibility that astrocyte activity was increased due to the increased spiking of CeL interneurons caused by optogenetically evoked OT release, tetrodotoxin (TTX) was added to the bath before the stimulation (Fig. 2c,d). Further calcium imaging experiments described below use TTX incubation (unless stated otherwise) for the same reason. We analyzed the area under the curve (AUC) and Ca²⁺ transient frequency normalized to the baseline of astrocyte responses (Method in Extended Data Fig. 2f) and found both parameters to be increased after stimulation of OT axons (Fig. 2d). Taken together, these results indicate that optogenetically evoked OT release from axons present in the CeL elicits an increase in activity of CeL astrocytes.

To ensure that the observed responses are exclusively due to OTR activation, we applied the selective agonist of OTR ([Thr⁴Gly⁷]-oxytocin, TGOT), which increased calcium transients in $60.1 \pm 9.2\%$ of the recorded astrocytes, a result unchanged when replicated with slices pre-incubated with TTX (Fig. 2e,f). Similar pre-incubation of the slices with the OTR antagonist [d(CH₂)⁵,Tyr(Me)²,Orn⁸]-vasotocin (dOVT) reduced the proportion, AUC and Ca²⁺ transient frequency of TGOT-evoked calcium responses in astrocytes (Fig. 2e,f), confirming that the activation of astrocytes by TGOT is indeed mediated by OTR.

To test whether these effects involve the direct stimulation of astrocytic OTR, we employed a transgenic mouse line with a *LoxP* sites flanking the OTR gene¹⁸ (OTR conditional knockout (cKO) mice). To specifically delete OTRs in astrocytes, we injected AAV PGFAP-Cre in the CeL of OTR cKO mice (Fig. 2g). This led to expression of Cre in $56.0 \pm 4.9\%$ of astrocytes within the injection site, with a specificity reaching $96.2 \pm 1.05\%$ (Extended Data Fig. 2g). PGFAP-Cre AAV injection in OTR cKO mice resulted in a drastic decrease in OTR mRNA signal in astrocytes (Fig. 2h) but not in neurons (Extended Data Fig. 2h). TGOT application in TTX-treated acute slices of the CeL from control mice led to responses in $43.9 \pm 7.2\%$ of astrocytes (Fig. 2i), whereas these responses were largely reduced in CeL astrocytes from PGFAP-Cre AAV-injected OTR cKO mice (GFAP OTR KO; Fig. 2i). These results confirm that astrocyte response to TGOT is a direct consequence of astrocytic OTR activation and not secondary to neuronal activity.

Activation of OTR⁺ astrocytes propagates through the astrocyte network. Because astrocytes are known to form extensive intercellular networks, we next studied the spatial connectivity of OTR⁺ astrocytes in the CeL (Fig. 3a and Extended Data Fig. 3a). We found that the distance between OTR⁺ astrocyte pairs ($96.0 \pm 4.0 \mu\text{m}$) was larger than the distance between OTR⁻ astrocyte pairs ($37.4 \pm 0.9 \mu\text{m}$; Fig. 3a). In addition, we found that OTR⁺ astrocytes had significantly more contacts with OTR⁻ astrocytes than with OTR⁺ astrocytes (Fig. 3a), whereas OTR⁻ astrocytes regularly contact other OTR⁻ astrocytes (3.7 ± 1.2 contacts).

These anatomical results suggest a specific distribution pattern of OTR⁺ astrocytes within the CeL, where OTR⁺ astrocytes are found distant from one another and exhibit many connections with their OTR⁻ counterparts. Interestingly, the proportion of rats and mice astrocytes responsive to OTR activation always exceeded 40% of recorded cells (Fig. 2) despite our FISH/Imaris results indicating that fewer than 20% of astrocytes expressed OTR mRNA (Fig. 1). This apparent discrepancy led us to test whether the sole activation of OTR⁺ astrocytes could drive the activity of the overall astrocyte network, including that of OTR⁻ astrocytes.

There are two common signaling pathways typical for astrocytes that could facilitate the spread of activation from OTR⁺ to OTR⁻ astrocytes. This could occur via 1) paracrine purinergic




Fig. 1 | Specific CeL astrocytes express OTRs. **a**, Overview of CeA FISH of OTR mRNA (red) and GS immunostaining (green). **b**, High-magnification images of cells positive for OTR mRNA and/or GS (double arrows); green arrows point to GS-positive cells; red arrows point to OTR mRNA-positive cells. Scale bars, 100 μm (**a**) and 10 μm (**b**). **c**, Proportion of CeL astrocytes (GS-positive cells, left) and neurons (NeuN-positive cells, right) positive for OTR mRNA (red) ($n_{\text{rats}} = 5$, $n_{\text{slices}} = 20$, $n_{\text{astrocytes}} = 1,354$, $n_{\text{neurons}} = 1,254$). **d**, Cells were reconstructed in 3D using Imaris, and morphological parameters were evaluated. Scale bar, 20 μm . **e**, OTR-expressing astrocytes are bigger and more complex, as indicated by several morphological parameters (cell volume, surface, number of processes, process length and domain volume). **f**, OTR-expressing astrocytes display a more complex morphology, as revealed by Sholl analysis ($n_{\text{rats}} = 5$, $n_{\text{slices}} = 20$). All data are expressed in mean \pm s.e.m., except for violin plots where the hatched line represents the median and the dot lines are the first and third quartiles. *** $P < 0.001$, ** $P < 0.01$, two-sided unpaired Student's *t*-test, ### $P < 0.001$, two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey post hoc test. Statistics are presented in Supplementary Table 1.

communication (for example, ATP release) or 2) spread of Ca^{2+} activity through a connexin gap junction network. To decipher the underlying mechanism, we first blocked purinergic receptors using pyridoxalphosphate-6-azophenyl-2,4'-disulfonic acid (PPADS)

and found that this blocker did not significantly affect the average proportion of astrocytes activated by TGOT (Fig. 3b) nor the properties of these responses (Fig. 3b), a result replicated using a set of purinergic receptor antagonists blocking most known puriner-




Fig. 2 | Astrocytic OTR activation evokes calcium transients in CeL astrocytes of rats and mice. **a**, Experimental strategy to express the ChR1/VChR1 chimera channel rhodopsin variant C1V1 in Otergic neurons (OxytOpto). **b**, Experimental scheme of the horizontal CeA slice preparation used, showing C1V1-expressing OT axons (yellow) arising from the PVN and projecting to the CeL. **c**, Typical traces of relative changes in intracellular calcium in astrocytes (ΔF) induced by the activation of C1V1 in OT axons located in the CeL through $\lambda 542$ -nm light pulses (10-ms width, 30 Hz, duration 20 s). **d**, Proportion of responding astrocytes (left), AUC of ΔF traces (middle) and Ca^{2+} transient frequency (right) normalized to baseline values after C1V1 activation in CeL OT axons, n slice (n_s) = 7, n astrocytes (n_a) = 36. **e**, Left, images of CeL astrocytes identified through SR101 (red, top) and corresponding pseudo-color images of OGB1 fluorescence during baseline and after drug application (middle, bottom, stacks of 50 images over 25 s of recording; n = 18). Scale bar, 10 μ m. Right, typical ΔF traces after TGOT + TTX (Ctrl) application. **f**, Proportion of responding astrocytes (left), AUC of ΔF traces (middle) and Ca^{2+} transient frequency (right) normalized to baseline values after application of TGOT (0.4 μ M) with TTX (1 μ M) (Ctrl, n_s = 18, n_a = 136), without TTX (No TTX, n_s = 7, n_a = 43) and with an OTR antagonist (dOVT, 1 μ M; n_s = 3, n_a = 24). Data are expressed as means across slices plus s.e.m. **g**, Experimental strategy for the specific deletion of OTRs in mice CeL astrocytes. **h**, Left, example pictures of OTR mRNA (red) and GS (green) labeling in mice injected with PGFAP-GFP-IRES-Cre (top) or PGFAP-GFP rAAV vector (bottom); right, proportion of CeL astrocytes (GS-positive cells) also positive for OTR mRNA (left, blue, PGFAP-GFP: $n_{\text{astrocytes}}$ = 897, n_{mice} = 4; right, red, PGFAP-GFP-IRES-Cre: $n_{\text{astrocytes}}$ = 940, n_{mice} = 4). Scale bar, 50 μ m. **i**, Proportion of responding astrocytes (left), AUC of ΔF traces (middle) and Ca^{2+} transient frequency (right) normalized to baseline values after application of TGOT + TTX in control (blue, n_s = 12, n_a = 237) or GFAP OTR KO mice (red, n_s = 5, n_a = 47; red) acute brain slices. Calcium imaging data are expressed as means across slices plus s.e.m., and white circles indicate averages across astrocytes per slice. * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001, two-sided unpaired t -test or Mann-Whitney U test. Statistics are presented in Supplementary Table 2.




Fig. 3 | OTR-expressing CeL astrocytes are positioned to recruit a CeL astrocyte network through gap junction. **a**, Left, the distance between two OTR⁺ astrocytes is larger than the distance between two OTR⁻ astrocytes. Right, OTR⁺ astrocytes predominantly form contacts with OTR⁻ astrocytes ($n_{\text{astrocytes}} = 1,354$, $n_{\text{OTR}^+ \text{ astrocytes}} = 212$, $n_{\text{rats}} = 4$). Contacts are defined by a proximity of less than $1\mu\text{m}$ between GFAP-positive fibers/endfeet from two different astrocytes. **b**, Left, typical ΔF traces after TGOT + TTX application in the presence of CBX ($100\mu\text{M}$, top) or PPADS ($50\mu\text{M}$, bottom). Right, proportion of responding astrocytes, AUC of ΔF traces and Ca²⁺ transient frequency normalized to baseline values after application of TGOT + TTX ($0.4\mu\text{M}$) in the presence of CBX ($n_s = 9$, $n_a = 75$) or PPADS ($n_s = 7$, $n_a = 53$). **c**, Left, typical ΔF traces after TGOT + TTX application in Cx30/Cx43 KO mouse brain slices. Right, proportion of responding astrocytes, AUC of ΔF traces and Ca²⁺ transient frequency normalized to baseline values after TGOT + TTX application in wild-type mice ($n_s = 19$, $n_a = 78$) and in Cx30/Cx43 KO mice acute brain slices ($n_s = 17$, $n_a = 100$). Calcium imaging data are expressed as means across slices plus s.e.m., and white circles indicate averages across astrocytes per slice. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$, two-sided unpaired t -test or Mann-Whitney U test. Statistics are presented in Supplementary Table 3. WT, wild type.

gic receptors (Extended Data Fig. 3b,c). However, the incubation with the gap junction blocker carbenoxolone (CBX) significantly reduced the number of astrocytes responsive to TGOT+TTX application (Fig. 3b). Given that astrocyte gap junctions are primarily composed of connexins 30 and 43, we assessed astrocyte Ca²⁺ activity in CeL slices obtained from Cx30/Cx43 double-KO mice¹⁹ and found that the number of astrocytes activated by TGOT was significantly reduced in these mice¹⁹ (Fig. 3c). These results indicate that gap junctions play a role in the propagation of responses after

OTR activation in the CeL astrocytes network, possibly from OTR⁺ to OTR⁻ astrocytes.

CeL astrocyte activity increases CeL neuron excitability. We next wanted to test if this direct astrocyte network response to OTR activation could be relevant to the known effect of OTR signaling on CeA neuronal networks^{2,8,9}. To manipulate astrocytic activity, we expressed the opsin C1V1 under the control of the GFAP promoter using recombinant AAV (rAAV) injections

(PGFAP-C1V1-mCherry, referred to as AstrOpto; Fig. 4a). After confirmation of vector expression in $62.5 \pm 3.1\%$ of CeL astrocytes, with a specificity reaching $98.8 \pm 0.7\%$ (Extended Data Fig. 4a), we evaluated the effect of C1V1 stimulation on astrocyte calcium activity (Fig. 4b). We observed responses in $60.8 \pm 9.0\%$ of astrocytes (Fig. 4c), a proportion similar to what we observed after OTR stimulation by TGOT+TTX (AstrOpto versus TGOT+TTX; $P=0.8265$, unpaired two-sided *t*-test). The removal of extracellular calcium prevented the C1V1-driven astrocyte activity (Extended Data Fig. 4b). This indicates that influx of extracellular calcium through the plasma membrane is required to generate calcium transient activity in astrocytes after C1V1 activation (Extended Data Fig. 4b).


Next, we measured the effect of evoked astrocyte activity, using AstrOpto or direct OTR stimulation through TGOT application, on CeL neuron inputs. Optical stimulation of C1V1 in astrocytes increased the frequency of miniature excitatory post-synaptic currents (mEPSCs) in CeL neurons (Fig. 4d), and bath-applied TGOT had a similar effect (Fig. 4f). To block the increase in calcium transients evoked by TGOT, we infused BAPTA specifically in astrocytes and found that this was sufficient to block TGOT effect on CeL neuron mEPSCs (Fig. 4e,f). This indicates that OTR activation and the ensuing increase in calcium transients in astrocytes is driving up excitatory inputs to CeL neurons.

To identify the intercellular communication pathway involved in astrocyte–neuron communication after OTR activation of astrocytes, we studied whether N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDAR), a well-known neuronal receptor that can be activated by astrocytic gliotransmitter D-serine^{20–22} and whose activation in the CeA relies on astrocytes²³, was involved. Thus, we applied an NMDAR antagonist (2R)-amino-5-phosphonovaleric acid (AP5) before TGOT application and found that it inhibited TGOT effect on mEPSC frequency (Fig. 4f). Notably, AP5 had no effect on astrocyte responses to TGOT (Extended Data Fig. 3d). Finally, we repeated the mEPSC measurements in control and GFAP OTR KO mice. TGOT application increased mEPSC frequency in control mice but not in GFAP OTR KO mice (Fig. 4g,h), confirming the role of astrocytic OTR in mediating the effects of OTR signaling on synaptic inputs in CeL neurons.

To investigate whether the increase in mEPSC frequency in CeL neurons after OTR activation was able to increase their firing frequency, we applied the same stimulation protocols as before and subsequently recorded spontaneous action potential (AP) firing (Fig. 5a,b). First, AstrOpto increased firing of CeL neurons, an effect that was blocked by previous infusion of BAPTA in the astroglial network, hence indicating that AstrOpto effect on neurons depends on astrocyte calcium signaling (Fig. 5c). Similarly, and in agreement with its effect on CeL neuron mEPSCs, TGOT also increased neuronal spiking activity (Fig. 5d), an effect that was abolished by BAPTA

infusion in the astroglial network or pre-incubation of AP5 in the recording bath and, crucially, in mice lacking OTR in astrocytes (Fig. 5d–f).

These results unequivocally demonstrate that OTR signaling in the CeL requires the activation of astrocytic OTR, astrocytic calcium activity and downstream NMDAR activation to increase excitability of CeL interneurons.

CeL astrocyte activity increases inhibitory inputs onto CeM projection neurons. Previous work showed that the activation of CeL OTRs leads to increased activity of GABAergic CeL neurons (replicated here (Fig. 5)), resulting in an increased frequency of GABA_A-mediated inhibitory post-synaptic currents (IPSCs) in CeM projection neurons^{2,8,9}. In agreement with these findings, we found that optogenetic activation of CeL astrocytes (through AstrOpto) evoked an increase in IPSC frequency in rat CeM neurons (Fig. 6a–c). This effect was also dependent on CeL astrocytic calcium signaling, as BAPTA infusion in CeL astrocytes abolished it (Fig. 6c). Furthermore, the effect of AstrOpto on CeM IPSC frequency was suppressed by application of AP5 in agreement with AP5 effects on CeL neurons (Fig. 4f). An important element of NMDAR activation is the binding of a co-agonist on the glycine site. D-serine can bind the glycine site in the CeA²³ and is a known gliotransmitter whose levels are increased after astrocytic GPCR activation²¹. We incubated CeA slices in D-amino acid oxidase²³ (DAAO) before measuring IPSCs and found that it also suppressed effects of AstrOpto (Extended Data Fig. 5a). Altogether, these results indicate that the effect of AstrOpto on IPSCs in CeM neurons is dependent on the activation of NMDARs in CeL neurons. TGOT application produced a similar effect on CeM neurons, also dependent on CeL astrocytic calcium signaling (Fig. 6d) and on NMDARs (Fig. 6d and Extended Data Fig. 5b) but not on AMPA receptors (Extended Data Fig. 5b). Initial incubation with DAAO similarly blocked the effect of TGOT on IPSC frequency (Extended Data Fig. 5c). Crucially, D-serine supplementation in the DAAO-treated slices after the first, effectless TGOT application rescued the effect of a second TGOT application on IPSC frequency (Extended Data Fig. 5c–e). This confirms the involvement of neuronal NMDARs and their co-agonist D-serine in OTR-mediated modulation of the CeA neuronal network. Another known mode of astrocyte-to-neuron communication relies on purinergic signaling, notably in the CeM¹⁵. To test if purinergic signaling was also involved here, we pre-incubated slices with the purinergic receptor antagonists PPADS, CPT or SCH 58261 and found that they had no effects either (Extended Data Fig. 5f). This confirms that the primary mode of astrocyte-to-neuron communication engaged after OTR signaling in CeA involves NMDARs, but not purinergic signaling in neurons, and is mediated by an increase in D-serine levels. 

TGOT application also led to an increased IPSC frequency in CeM neurons in brain slices from control mice but not in slices


Fig. 4 | CeL astrocyte activity promotes excitatory transmission into CeL neurons. **a**, Experimental strategy for the specific expression of C1V1 in mice CeL astrocytes (AstrOpto). **b**, Experimental scheme of the horizontal CeA slice preparation used, showing a C1V1 expressing astrocytes and a patched CeL neuron, recorded in whole-cell voltage-clamp configuration with bath-applied TTX and bicuculline to isolate mEPSCs. **c**, Left, proportion of responding astrocytes, AUC of ΔF traces and Ca^{2+} transient frequency after AstrOpto activation ($n_s = 12$, $n_a = 49$); right, typical ΔF traces after AstrOpto activation. **d**, Frequency of mEPSCs in CeL neurons before (basal), during (AstrOpto) and after (Wash) AstrOpto activation ($\lambda 542$ nm, 3 min long, 1-s width pulse at 0.5 Hz; $n = 17$). **e**, Example of an astrocyte in CeL patched with a BAPTA-biotin-filled capillary (white arrow). Biotin can be seen diffusing in neighboring astrocytes ($n = 3$). Scale bars, 400 μ m (top) and 50 μ m (bottom). **f**, Frequency of mEPSCs in CeL neurons before (basal), during (TGOT, 0.4 μ M) and after (Wash) TGOT application (left, $n = 16$). This effect can be blocked by loading BAPTA in the CeL astrocyte network (middle, BAPTAstro, $n = 11$) and by prior incubation of an NMDAR antagonist (right, AP5, 50 μ M, $n = 9$). **g**, Experimental strategy for the specific deletion of OTRs in mice CeL astrocytes. **h**, Frequency of mEPSCs in CeL neurons before (basal), during (TGOT, 0.4 μ M) and after (Wash) TGOT application in acute brain slices from wild-type mice (left, blue, $n = 10$) and from GFAP OTR KO mice (right, red, $n = 8$). Calcium imaging data are expressed as means across slices plus s.e.m., and white circles indicate averages across astrocytes per slice. Patch-clamp data are expressed as average frequency plus s.e.m. across cells; linked white circles indicate individual cell values; example traces of the various conditions are displayed on the right. $^{\#}P < 0.05$, $^{\#\#\#}P < 0.001$, one-way repeated-measures ANOVA and Holm–Sidak multiple comparisons or Friedman and Dunn multiple comparisons, $^*P < 0.05$, $^{**}P < 0.01$, Mann–Whitney U test. Statistics are presented in Supplementary Table 4. ITR, inverted terminal repeat; WT, wild type.

394 from GFAP OTR KO mice (Fig. 6e,f). These ex vivo results indicate that direct of OTR-mediated CeL astrocyte activation leads to
 395 an NMDAR-dependent increase in CeL neuron excitability, which
 396 an NMDAR-dependent increase in CeL neuron excitability, which
 397 further increases inhibitory inputs into CeM projection neurons.
 398

399 Our data so far demonstrate that, when OTR signaling is engaged
 400 in astrocytes, these cells increase the excitability of CeL neurons,
 401 directly leading to an increase in inhibitory inputs into CeM

projection neurons. Altogether, these results demonstrate that the
 effect of OT on neuronal activity is abolished when astrocyte func-
 tion is compromised, establishing, for the first time, that OT signal-
 ing in CeA circuitry is locally transduced by astrocytes.

OTR signaling effects on CeA behavioral correlates rely on astrocytes. The CeA is a key nucleus for the processing of emotional






Fig. 5 | CeL astrocyte activity promotes CeL neuron firing. **a**, Experimental strategy for the specific expression of C1V1 in mice CeL astrocytes (AstrOpto). **b**, Experimental scheme of the horizontal CeA slice preparation used, showing a C1V1 expressing astrocytes and a patched CeL neuron, recorded in whole-cell current-clamp configuration to record APs. **c**, Frequency of APs in CeL neurons before (basal), during (AstrOpto) and after (Wash) AstrOpto activation (λ 542 nm, 3 min long, 1-s width pulse at 0.5 Hz) in control condition (left, $n=10$) or after BAPTA loading in the CeL astrocyte network (right, BAPTAAstro, $n=12$). **d**, Frequency of APs in CeL neurons before (basal), during (TGOT, 0.4 μ M) and after (Wash) TGOT application (left, $n=9$). This effect can be blocked by loading BAPTA in the CeL astrocyte network (middle, BAPTAAstro, $n=9$) and by prior incubation of the NMDAR antagonist AP5 (right, AP5, 50 μ M, $n=7$). **e**, Experimental strategy for the specific deletion of OTRs in mice CeL astrocytes (GFAP OTR KO). **f**, Frequency of APs in CeL neurons before (basal), during (TGOT, 0.4 μ M) and after (Wash) TGOT application in acute brain slices from wild-type mice (left, blue, $n=7$) and GFAP OTR KO mice (right, red, $n=11$). Example traces of the various conditions are displayed on the right. Patch-clamp data are expressed as average frequency plus s.e.m. across cells; linked white circles indicate individual cell values. $\#P < 0.05$, $\#\#\#P < 0.01$, one-way repeated-measures ANOVA and Holm-Sidak multiple comparisons or Friedman and Dunn multiple comparisons, $*P < 0.05$, $***P < 0.001$, two-sided unpaired t -test or Mann-Whitney U test. Statistics are presented in Supplementary Table 5. ITR, inverted terminal repeat; WT, wild type.

information and, notably, plays an important role in pain-associated disorders¹¹, in which OT is a crucial regulator¹⁴. We, therefore, sought to test the involvement of astrocyte-mediated OTR signaling in modulating mechanical pain hypersensitivity, levels of anxiety and reinforcement behavior in rats and mice in conditions of

neuropathic pain after a spared nerve injury (SNI) surgery²⁴ (Extended Data Fig. 6a).

We found that SNI animals displayed a decreased mechanical threshold, but bilateral micro-injections of TGOT in the CeA had no clear effect on it, with only a mild anti-nociceptive effect only




Fig. 6 | Astrocyte-driven CeL neuron activity modifies amygdala output. **a**, Experimental strategy for the specific expression of C1V1 in mice CeL astrocytes (AstrOpto). **b**, Experimental scheme of the horizontal CeA slice preparation used, showing a C1V1 expressing astrocytes and a patched CeM neuron, recorded in whole-cell voltage-clamp configuration to record IPSCs. **c**, Frequency of IPSCs in CeL neurons before (basal), during (AstrOpto) and after (Wash) AstrOpto activation ($\lambda 542$ nm, 3 min long, 1-s width pulse at 0.5 Hz) in control condition (left, $n=19$) or after BAPTA loading in the CeL astrocyte network (right, BAPTAstro, $n=9$). **d**, Frequency of IPSCs in CeL neurons before (basal), during (TGOT, $0.4 \mu\text{M}$) and after (Wash) TGOT application (left, $n=17$). This effect can be blocked by loading BAPTA in the CeL astrocyte network (middle, BAPTAstro, $n=17$) and by prior incubation of the NMDAR antagonist AP5 (right, AP5, $50 \mu\text{M}$, $n=5$). **e**, Experimental strategy for the specific deletion of OTRs in mice CeL astrocytes (GFAP OTR KO). **f**, Frequency of APs in CeL neurons before (basal), during (TGOT, $0.4 \mu\text{M}$) and after (Wash) TGOT application in acute brain slices from wild-type mice (left, blue, $n=27$) and GFAP OTR KO mice (right, red, $n=15$). Example traces of the various conditions are displayed on the right. Patch-clamp data are expressed as averaged frequency plus s.e.m. across cells; linked white circles indicate individual cell values. $^{\#}P < 0.05$, $^{\#\#\#}P < 0.001$, one-way repeated-measures ANOVA and Holm-Sidak multiple comparisons or Friedman and Dunn multiple comparisons, $^*P < 0.05$, $^{**}P < 0.01$, $^{***}P < 0.001$, Mann-Whitney U test. Statistics are presented in Supplementary Table 6. ITR, inverted terminal repeat; WT, wild type.

in rats (Fig. 7b,f). Moreover, neither the bilateral optogenetic stimulation of rat CeL astrocytes (AstrOpto; Fig. 7a) nor the removal of OTR from mice astrocytes (Fig. 7e) had a noticeable effect on mechanical threshold (Fig. 7b,f and Extended Data Fig. 7b,f). Given that there is a proven link between chronic pain etiology and synap-

tic changes in the CeA²⁵, we tested whether the effect of TGOT on astrocyte calcium signaling and CeM neuron IPSC frequency was altered in SNI rats, but we did not find any differences compared to sham-operated animals (Extended Data Fig. 6b–d). These results indicate that the absence of powerful OTR-induced anti-nociceptive

592 action in neuropathic animals is not the consequence of an altered
593 OTR signaling in the CeA, at least at the level of the circuit studied
594 here.

595 To assess the effect of the neuropathic pain state on anxiety
596 behavior, we used the elevated plus maze and found that SNI ani-
597 mals spent significantly more time in the closed arm compared to
598 sham-operated ones, indicating that the SNI procedure induced a
599 state of elevated anxiety (Fig. 7c,g), as expected²⁶. When TGOT was
600 injected in the CeA, time spent in the closed arm was significantly
601 reduced to levels similar to those observed in sham animals, indi-
602 cating an anxiolytic effect of OTR signaling in both rats and mice
603 (Fig. 7c,g), in line with an earlier report²⁷. Crucially, AstrOpto in
604 rats had a similar effect (Fig. 7c), whereas the deletion of OTR from
605 CeL astrocytes in mice abolished the anxiolytic effects of TGOT
606 (Fig. 7g). Interestingly, sham mice lacking OTR in CeL astrocytes
607 displayed an anxiety-like behavior (Fig. 7g). Together, these data
608 indicate that astrocyte-mediated OT signaling in the CeA is cen-
609 tral to the regulation of anxiety-like behavior in pain-free condi-
610 tions and to the beneficial effect of OT signaling on neuropathic
611 pain-induced increased anxiety²⁸. Furthermore, in a conditioned
612 place preference (CPP) assay, both SNI and sham animals exhibited
613 a preference for the chamber paired with intra-CeA TGOT infu-
614 sion, in line with an earlier report²⁷. Strikingly, this effect was lost
615 by the deletion of OTR in astrocytes but recapitulated by bilateral
616 optogenetic stimulation of CeL astrocytes (Fig. 7d,h). These experi-
617 ments highlight the central role of astrocyte-mediated OT signaling
618 in the regulation of emotional states under normal and chronic pain
619 conditions. Notably, neither the treatments nor the SNI procedure
620 significantly affected the motor activity of animals in elevated plus
621 maze or CPP tests (Extended Data Fig. 7).

622 Collectively, our findings demonstrate, from the local circuit to
623 the behavioral levels, that OTR signaling through CeL astrocytes is a
624 novel and important mechanism involved in emotional state regula-
625 tion, under both normal and chronic pain conditions (Fig. 7i).

626 Discussion

627 We demonstrate here that OTR signaling through astrocytes is crucial
628 for the oxytocinergic modulation of the local CeA microcircuit
629 and its behavioral correlates. We further propose that this effect
630 relies on a morphologically defined subpopulation of OTR⁺ astro-
631 cytes (Figs. 1 and 2) that convey their activation by OT to other astro-
632 cytes through gap junctional communication (Fig. 3), leading to an
633 increase in activity in the overall CeL astrocyte network (Figs. 2 and
634 3). In turn, astrocytes increase the excitability of CeL interneurons
635 by gating the activation of NMDARs (Figs. 4 and 5), likely through
636

the release of D-serine, leading to an increase in GABAergic inhibi-
637 tory inputs into CeM neurons (Fig. 6). At the behavioral level, this
638 OTR-mediated modulation of CeA astro-neuronal network pro-
639 motes a positive emotional state, measured as clear anxiolytic and
640 positive reinforcement effects (Fig. 7).


641 Mechanistically, our results reinforce previous demonstrations of
642 a role of astrocytes in transforming neuromodulator signaling into
643 a change on the gain of neuronal circuits, notably by (co)activating
644 neuronal NMDAR^{20–22}. Despite the high proportion of OTR⁺ astro-
645 cytes (up to 70%) compared to the limited number of OTR⁺ astro-
646 cytes (~18%) in the CeL, the activation of OTRs in astrocytes was
647 required to gate CeL neuron responses to OTR activation by allow-
648 ing a sustained (co)activation of NMDARs. Other mechanisms are
649 probably at play: OTR activation in CeL neurons might inhibit K⁺
650 leak current, as has been shown in olfactory neuronal cells³⁹ and in
651 spinal cord³⁰. These mechanisms might act in synergy to induce an
652 elevation in firing rates of CeL neurons by increasing the gain of
653 excitatory inputs in these cells. Furthermore, similar mechanisms
654 of astrocyte-to-neuron communication through neuronal NMDAR
655 (co)activation have been proposed by other studies to favor a syn-
656 chronous increase in excitability across an ensemble of neurons^{31,32}.
657 This would allow a synchronized and long-lasting switch in the
658 gain of the CeA neuronal circuits, thereby amplifying the effect of
659 OT on CeA outputs in both the spatial and temporal domains. In
660 light of the predominantly non-synaptic mode of OT release from
661 axons en passant, which could lead to CeL-restricted micro-volume
662 transmission of the neuropeptide³, it seems, then, plausible that
663 astrocytes are required to relay and amplify OT signaling to CeL
664 neurons. Another mechanism that was repeatedly found to be
665 involved in neuromodulator signaling through astrocytes is the
666 activation of purinergic signaling in neurons^{33,34}. However, in the
667 case of OT signaling within the CeA, purinergic signaling was not
668 involved (Extended Data Fig. 5). It would, then, be interesting to test
669 if astrocytes are important to OT signaling in other brain regions,
670 and, if they are, if the same mechanisms of astrocyte-to-neuron
671 communication are at stake.

672 We found that CeA astrocytes can be divided into two defined
673 populations: OTR⁺ and OTR⁻ astrocytes. OTR⁺ astrocytes are mor-
674 phologically more complex and have more close contacts with neigh-
675 boring OTR⁻ astrocytes while being quite distant from their OTR⁺
676 counterparts, with which they have almost no contacts (Figs. 1 and
677 2). Thus, we hypothesize that these morphological peculiarities
678 allow the propagation of OTR-evoked calcium transients (Fig. 2) to
679 a much larger number of OTR⁻ astrocytes through, at least partially,
680 gap junctions (Fig. 3b,c). Therefore, only a few strategically posi-

639 **Fig. 7 | CeL astrocytes modulate CeA behavioral correlates of comfort and are required for their OTR-mediated modulation.** **a, e**, Experimental strategy
640 for the specific expression of C1V1 in mice CeL astrocytes (**a**, AstrOpto) or the specific deletion of OTRs in mice CeL astrocytes (**e**, GFAP OTR KO). The
641 treatments applied are color coded, as the legend key indicates. Control indicates a vehicle injection. **b, f**, Four weeks after the SNI surgery, mechanical
642 pain threshold was assessed on the neuropathic paw before (Ctrl) and at different time points after either TGOT injection or C1V1 activation of CeL
643 astrocytes (gray arrow) for sham (top) and SNI (bottom, gray box) animals. Rats (*n*): sham control *n*=12, TGOT *n*=11, C1V1 *n*=6, SNI control *n*=12, TGOT
644 *n*=10, C1V1 *n*=10. Mice (*n*): sham WT *n*=6, OTR cKO *n*=8; SNI WT *n*=5, OTR cKO *n*=13. **c, g**, Anxiety levels were assessed through measurements
645 of the time spent in the closed arms of the elevated plus maze after drug injections or C1V1 light-driven activation of CeL astrocytes for sham (top) and
646 SNI (bottom, gray box). Rats (*n*): sham Veh *n*=10, TGOT *n*=8, C1V1 *n*=9, SNI Veh *n*=20, TGOT *n*=9, C1V1 *n*=7. Mice (*n*): sham WT Veh *n*=7, WT
647 TGOT *n*=6, sham OTR cKO Veh *n*=5, OTR cKO TGOT *n*=7, SNI WT Veh *n*=7, WT TGOT *n*=8, SNI OTR cKO Veh *n*=5, OTR cKO TGOT *n*=7. **d, h**, CPP
648 was assessed through measurements of the Δ time spent in the paired chamber before and after pairing. Pairing was realized through drug injections
649 or C1V1 light-evoked activation of CeL astrocytes for sham (top) and SNI (bottom, gray box). Rats (*n*): sham Veh *n*=13, TGOT *n*=6, C1V1 *n*=5, SNI
650 Veh *n*=10, TGOT *n*=8, C1V1 *n*=8. Mice (*n*): sham WT Veh *n*=5, WT TGOT *n*=8, sham OTR cKO Veh *n*=5, OTR cKO TGOT *n*=4, SNI WT Veh *n*=5,
651 WT TGOT *n*=5, SNI OTR cKO Veh *n*=4, OTR cKO TGOT *n*=6. Data are expressed as averages across rats or mice plus s.e.m. (details and statistics in
652 Supplementary Table 7). **P*<0.001, ***P*<0.01, Wilcoxon signed-rank test; †*P*<0.05, ††*P*<0.01, †††*P*<0.001, ANOVA or mixed-design ANOVA followed
653 by post hoc Bonferroni test. Statistics are presented in Supplementary Table 7. **i**, OT-dependent cellular interactions in the CeA. We hypothesize that OT
654 released from axons of PVN neurons within the CeL activates OTR⁺ astrocytes (red), which, consequently, spread their activation to neighboring OTR⁻
655 astrocytes (green) through, at least partly, gap junctions. Subsequently, the CeL astrocytes release D-serine, which gates the activation of NMDAR on CeL
656 interneurons, ultimately increasing their firing rate. This, in turn, inhibits CeM output projection neurons, resulting in anxiolysis and the promotion of a
657 positive emotional state. ITR, inverted terminal repeat; WT, wild type.

tioned OTR⁺ astrocytes are sufficient to result in a network-wide effect of OT release in the CeL, despite the relatively moderate number of OT fibers found in this nucleus³. By describing a new

population of astrocytes, our work adds to the recent advances in describing molecular, morphological and functional heterogeneity in astrocyte populations^{35,36} and opens up new perspectives into



understanding how astrocyte subpopulations are functionally organized and communicate inside CNS circuits.

OT and its effects on brain circuits, and ultimately behavior, are under intense scrutiny, from fundamental research in animal models to behavioral and physiological studies in humans^{37,38}. Among other roles, OT is considered a powerful anxiolytic peptide through its action in the human amygdala³⁹. However, so far, it has been widely assumed that OT acts directly on neurons, despite the controversies regarding the cellular substrates of blood oxygenation level-dependent functional magnetic resonance imaging signals often used in human studies, with some results arguing for a major contribution of astrocyte activity to these signals^{40,41}. Furthermore, many studies demonstrated that astrocytes or their invertebrate counterparts are key, if not primary, targets of neuromodulators^{20–22,33,34,42} and that astrocytes might be the causal elements behind shifts in brain state transitions^{42–44}, a function usually attributed mainly to neuromodulator direct action on neurons. Neuromodulators are the targets of many, already available, treatments of psychiatric diseases using either small-molecule drugs or electrical stimulation protocols, such as deep brain⁴⁵ or transcranial stimulations⁴⁶, for which astrocytes also seem particularly involved^{45,46}. Taken together, this indicates a need for a more global and systematic consideration of astrocyte roles in brain circuits, notably regarding the effect of neuromodulators and, in particular, OT. We think that this is especially relevant for the development of better therapeutics in the field of chronic pain, which imposes a massive burden to society, affecting ~20% of the global population⁴⁷.

Indeed, considering that general anxiety and depression are frequent comorbidities of chronic pain⁴⁸, our finding that the activation of OT signaling in CeL astrocytes promotes a form of emotional comfort by alleviating anxious behavior and has positive reinforcement properties is promising: it demonstrates that activation of a particular astrocyte-mediated OTR pathway affects one of the most important aspects of the chronic pain sphere—the emotional one. This further highlights astrocytes as important cellular substrates of emotional regulation, in line with other studies (see ref. ⁴⁹ and the references therein). In this context, targeting the OT system and/or astrocytes specifically, in the amygdala or other CNS regions, might lead to the development of new therapeutic avenues to improve patient well-being.

Online content

Any methods, additional references, Nature Research reporting summaries, source data, extended data, supplementary information, acknowledgements, peer review information; details of author contributions and competing interests; and statements of data and code availability are available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-021-00800-0>.

Received: 28 January 2020; Accepted: 13 January 2021;

References

- Lee, H.-J., Macbeth, A. H., Pagani, J. H. & Young, W. S. Oxytocin: the great facilitator of life. *Prog. Neurobiol.* **88**, 127–151 (2009).
- Knobloch, H. S. et al. Evoked axonal oxytocin release in the central amygdala attenuates fear response. *Neuron* **73**, 553–566 (2012).
- Chini, B., Verhage, M. & Grinevich, V. The action radius of oxytocin release in the mammalian CNS: from single vesicles to behavior. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* **38**, 982–991 (2017).
- Mitre, M. et al. A distributed network for social cognition enriched for oxytocin receptors. *J. Neurosci.* **36**, 2517–2535 (2016).
- Yoshida, M. et al. Evidence that oxytocin exerts anxiolytic effects via oxytocin receptor expressed in serotonergic neurons in mice. *J. Neurosci.* **29**, 2259–2271 (2009).
- Theodosis, D. T. Oxytocin-secreting neurons: a physiological model of morphological neuronal and glial plasticity in the adult hypothalamus. *Front. Neuroendocrinol.* **23**, 101–135 (2002).

- Pacholko, A. G., Wotton, C. A. & Bekar, L. K. Astrocytes—the ultimate effectors of long-range neuromodulatory networks? *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* **14**, 1–12 (2020).
- Huber, D., Veinante, P. & Stoop, R. Vasopressin and oxytocin excite distinct neuronal populations in the central amygdala. *Science* **308**, 245–248 (2005).
- Viviani, D. et al. Oxytocin selectively gates fear responses through distinct outputs from the central amygdala. *Science* **333**, 104–107 (2011).
- Han, R. T. et al. Long-term isolation elicits depression and anxiety-related behaviors by reducing oxytocin-induced GABAergic transmission in central amygdala. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* **11**, 246 (2018).
- Neugebauer, V., Li, W., Bird, G. C. & Han, J. S. The amygdala and persistent pain. *Neuroscientist* **10**, 221–234 (2004).
- Tye, K. M. et al. Amygdala circuitry mediating reversible and bidirectional control of anxiety. *Nature* **471**, 358–362 (2011).
- Ji, R.-R., Donnelly, C. R. & Nedergaard, M. Astrocytes in chronic pain and itch. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **20**, 667–685 (2019).
- Poisbeau, P., Grinevich, V. & Charlet, A. Oxytocin signaling in pain: cellular, circuit, system, and behavioral levels. *Curr. Top. Behav. Neurosci.* **35**, 193–211 (2018).
- Martin-Fernandez, M. et al. Synapse-specific astrocyte gating of amygdala-related behavior. *Nat. Neurosci.* **20**, 1540–1548 (2017).
- Althammer, F. et al. Three-dimensional morphometric analysis reveals time-dependent structural changes in microglia and astrocytes in the central amygdala and hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus of heart failure rats. *J. Neuroinflammation* **17**, 221 (2020).
- Yizhar, O. et al. Neocortical excitation/inhibition balance in information processing and social dysfunction. *Nature* **477**, 171–178 (2011).
- Lee, H. J., Caldwell, H. K., Macbeth, A. H., Tolu, S. G. & Young, W. S. A conditional knockout mouse line of the oxytocin receptor. *Endocrinology* **149**, 3256–3263 (2008).
- Wallraff, A. et al. The impact of astrocytic gap junctional coupling on potassium buffering in the hippocampus. *J. Neurosci.* **26**, 5438–5447 (2006).
- Papouin, T., Dunphy, J. M., Tolman, M., Dineley, K. T. & Haydon, P. G. Septal cholinergic neuromodulation tunes the astrocyte-dependent gating of hippocampal NMDA receptors to wakefulness. *Neuron* **94**, 840–854 (2017).
- Robin, L. M. et al. Astroglial CB1 receptors determine synaptic D-serine availability to enable recognition memory. *Neuron* **98**, 935–944 (2018).
- Corkrum, M., Rothwell, P. E., Thomas, M. J., Kofuji, P. & Araque, A. Opioid-mediated astrocyte-neuron signaling in the nucleus accumbens. *Cells* **8**, 586 (2019).
- Li, Y. et al. Identity of endogenous NMDAR glycine site agonist in amygdala is determined by synaptic activity level. *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 1760 (2013).
- Decosterd, I. & Woolf, C. J. Spared nerve injury: an animal model of persistent peripheral neuropathic pain. *Pain* **87**, 149–158 (2000).
- Thompson, J. M. & Neugebauer, V. Amygdala plasticity and pain. *Pain Res. Manag.* **2017**, 8296501 (2017).
- Sieberg, C. B. et al. Neuropathic pain drives anxiety behavior in mice, results consistent with anxiety levels in diabetic neuropathy patients. *Pain Rep.* **3**, e651 (2018).
- László, K. et al. Positive reinforcing effect of oxytocin microinjection in the rat central nucleus of amygdala. *Behav. Brain Res.* **296**, 279–285 (2016).
- Goodin, B. R., Ness, T. J. & Robbins, M. T. Oxytocin - a multifunctional analgesic for chronic deep tissue pain. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* **21**, 906–913 (2015).
- Gravati, M. et al. Dual modulation of inward rectifier potassium currents in olfactory neuronal cells by promiscuous G protein coupling of the oxytocin receptor. *J. Neurochem.* **114**, 1424–1435 (2010).
- Breton, J.-D. et al. Oxytocin-induced antinociception in the spinal cord is mediated by a subpopulation of glutamatergic neurons in lamina I–II which amplify GABAergic inhibition. *Mol. Pain* **4**, 19 (2008).
- Angulo, M. C., Kozlov, A. S., Charpak, S. & Audinat, E. Glutamate released from glial cells synchronizes neuronal activity in the hippocampus. *J. Neurosci.* **24**, 6920–6927 (2004).
- Fellin, T. et al. Neuronal synchrony mediated by astrocytic glutamate through activation of extrasynaptic NMDA receptors. *Neuron* **43**, 729–743 (2004).
- Corkrum, M. et al. Dopamine-evoked synaptic regulation in the nucleus accumbens requires astrocyte activity. *Neuron* **105**, 1036–1047.e5 (2020).
- Ma, Z., Stork, T., Bergles, D. E. & Freeman, M. R. Neuromodulators signal through astrocytes to alter neural circuit activity and behaviour. *Nature* **539**, 428–432 (2016).
- Pestana, F., Edwards-Faret, G., Belgard, T. G., Martirosyan, A. & Holt, M. G. No longer underappreciated: the emerging concept of astrocyte heterogeneity in neuroscience. *Brain Sci.* **10**, 1–21 (2020).
- Khakh, B. S. & Deneen, B. The emerging nature of astrocyte diversity. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* **42**, 187–207 (2019).
- Grinevich, V. & Neumann, I. D. Brain oxytocin: how puzzle stones from animal studies translate into psychiatry. *Mol. Psychiatry* **26**, 265–279 (2021).
- Quintana, D. S. et al. Advances in the field of intranasal oxytocin research: lessons learned and future directions for clinical research. *Mol. Psychiatry* **26**, 80–91 (2021).

- 790 39. Kirsch, P. et al. Oxytocin modulates neural circuitry for social cognition and
791 fear in humans. *J. Neurosci.* **25**, 11489–11493 (2005).
- 792 40. Takata, N. et al. Optogenetic astrocyte activation evokes BOLD fMRI
793 response with oxygen consumption without neuronal activity modulation.
794 *Glia* **66**, 2013–2023 (2018).
- 795 41. Figley, C. R. & Stroman, P. W. The role(s) of astrocytes and astrocyte activity
796 in neurometabolism, neurovascular coupling, and the production of
797 functional neuroimaging signals. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **33**, 577–588 (2011).
- 798 42. Kastanenko, K. V. et al. A roadmap to integrate astrocytes into systems
799 neuroscience. *Glia* **68**, 5–26 (2019).
- 800 43. Poskanzer, K. E. & Yuste, R. Astrocytes regulate cortical state switching
801 in vivo. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **2016**, 1–10 (2016).
- 802 44. Kjaerby, C., Rasmussen, R., Andersen, M. & Nedergaard, M. Does
803 global astrocytic calcium signaling participate in awake brain state
804 transitions and neuronal circuit function? *Neurochem. Res.* **42**,
805 1810–1822 (2017).
- 806
- 807
- 808
- 809
- 810
- 811
- 812
- 813
- 814
- 815
- 816
- 817
- 818
- 819
- 820
- 821
- 822
- 823
- 824
- 825
- 826
- 827
- 828
- 829
- 830
- 831
- 832
- 833
- 834
- 835
- 836
- 837
- 838
- 839
- 840
- 841
- 842
- 843
- 844
- 845
- 846
- 847
- 848
- 849
- 850
- 851
- 852
- 853
- 854
- 855
45. Fenoy, A. J., Goetz, L., Chabardès, S. & Xia, Y. Deep brain stimulation: are
astrocytes a key driver behind the scene? *CNS Neurosci. Ther.* **20**, 191–201
(2014).
46. Monai, H. & Hirase, H. Astrocytes as a target of transcranial direct current
stimulation (tDCS) to treat depression. *Neurosci. Res.* **126**, 15–21 (2018).
47. Mills, S. E. E., Nicolson, K. P. & Smith, B. H. Chronic pain: a review of its
epidemiology and associated factors in population-based studies. *Br. J.
Anaesth.* **123**, e273–e283 (2019).
48. Woo, A. K. Depression and anxiety in pain. *Rev. Pain* **4**, 8–12 (2010).
49. Oliveira, J. F., Sardinha, V. M., Guerra-Gomes, S., Araque, A. & Sousa, N. Do
stars govern our actions? Astrocyte involvement in rodent behavior. *Trends
Neurosci.* **38**, 535–549 (2015).

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in
published maps and institutional affiliations.

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature America, Inc. 2021

856 Methods

857 **Animals.** Animals were housed under standard conditions with food and water
858 available ad libitum and maintained on a 12-h light/dark cycle, and all experiments
859 were conducted in accordance with European Union rules and approval from
860 the French Ministry of Research (01597.05). For *ex vivo* and *in vivo* experiments,
861 male and female Wistar rats or C5BL/6 mice were used. *Ex vivo* experiments
862 used animals between 18 and 25 d old, except in experiments where rAAVs were
863 injected, in which case animals were between 2 and 6 months old at the time of
864 sacrifice. In *in vivo* experiments, animals that were 2 months old at the time of the
865 first surgery were used. In total, 398 rats and mice were used in this study.

865 **Specific deletion of OTRs in CeL astrocytes.** To specifically ablate OTRs in CeA
866 astrocytes, transgenic cKO mice, in which *loxP* sites flank the OTR coding
867 sequence¹⁸, received bilateral injections (280 nl) of rAAV-GFAP-GFP-IRES-Cre.
868 After 4 weeks of expression of the viral proteins, mice were intracardially perfused
869 with 1× PBS and 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA). Brain sections were used for FISH
870 (OTR mRNA) and immunohistochemistry (GS) to verify the validity of the
871 approach. Representative images and quantifications are provided in Fig. 2h and
872 Extended Data Fig. 2g,h.

872 **Specific deletion of Cx30 and Cx43 in astrocytes.** To specifically impair gap junction
873 coupling, we used Cx30^{-/-}Cx43^{fl/fl}:hGFAP-Cre mice (Cx30/Cx43 double KO),
874 which were previously characterized^{19,50,51}, with conditional deletion of Cx43 in
875 astrocytes⁵² and additional deletion of Cx30 (ref. ⁵³).

876 **Cloning and production of rAAV vectors.** The generation of rAAVs allowing
877 for the specific expression of the protein of interest in OT cells was described in
878 our previous work². Briefly, the conserved promoter region of 2.6 kb was chosen
879 using the software BLAT from UCSC (<http://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgBlat>), was
880 amplified from BAC clone RP24-388N9 (RPCI-24 Mouse, BACPAC Resources,
881 CHORI) and was subcloned into an rAAV2 backbone carrying an ampicillin
882 resistance.

883 To construct the OTR-C1V1(t/t)-TS-mCherry AAV vector, we used previously
884 cloned OTRp-DIO-GFP-WRE plasmid³ equipped with the characterized 2.6-kb
885 OT promoter³. In this plasmid, the DIO-GFP sequence was replaced by C1V1(t/
886 t)-TS-mCherry from the rAAV CaMKIIa-C1V1(t/t)-TS-mCherry (Addgene,
887 plasmid no. 35500).

887 To generate GFAP-C1V1(t/t)-TS-mCherry AAV vector, we replaced the
888 CamKIIa promoter from the rAAV CaMKIIa-C1V1(t/t)-TS-mCherry by the Gfa
889 promoter from the pZac2.1 gfaABC1D-tdTomato (Addgene, plasmid no. 44332).
890 The cell type specificity of the rAAV carrying the Gfa promoter was confirmed³⁴.
891 In analogy, the generation of the GFAP-GFP-IRES-Cre vector was achieved using
892 pZac2.1 gfaABC1D-tdTomato (Addgene, plasmid no. 44332). First, the promoter
893 was cloned into an rAAV2 backbone, and sticky ends were blunted with EcoRI and
894 BamHI. Next, pAAV-CamKIIa-C1V1(t/t)-TS-mCherry was blunted using BamHI
895 and BsrGI. Finally, the pBS-ires cre construct was used, and IRES-Cre was inserted
896 into the GFAP-driven vector, resulting in the GFAP-GFP-IRES-Cre construct.

895 **Production of chimeric virions (rAAV 1 and rAAV2)** was described in ref.
896 ². Briefly, human embryonic kidney cells 293 (HEK293; Agilent no. 240073)
897 were calcium phosphate transfected with the recombinant AAV2 plasmid and a
898 three-helper system. rAAV genomic titers were determined with QuickTiter AAV
899 Quantitation Kit (Cell Biolabs) and are ~10¹³ genomic copies per ml for all rAAV
900 vectors used in this study.

901 **Surgeries. Neuropathic pain model: SNI procedure.** Animals were randomly
902 separated in two groups to undergo either posterior left hindpaw SNI or sham
903 procedure, with the right hindpaw untouched. Animals were anaesthetized using
904 isoflurane at 1.5–2.5%. Incision was made at mid-thigh level using the femur
905 as a landmark, and a section was made through the biceps femoris. The three
906 peripheral branches (sural, common peroneal and tibial nerves) of the sciatic nerve
907 were exposed. Both tibial and common peroneal nerves were ligated using a 5.0
908 silk suture and transected. The sural nerve was carefully preserved by avoiding
909 any nerve stretch or nerve contact²⁴. For animals undergoing sham surgery, the
910 same procedure was performed, but nerves remained untouched. Animals were
911 routinely observed daily for 7 d after surgery and daily tested by the experimenter
912 (Extended Data Fig. 6a). Besides observing weight and social and individual
913 behavior, the operated hindpaw was examined for signs of injury or autotomy.
914 In case of autotomy or suffering, the animal was euthanized in respect of the
915 ethical recommendations of the European Union. No analgesia was provided after
916 the surgery to avoid interference with chronic pain mechanisms, and this is in
917 accordance with our veterinary authorization. Suffering was minimized by careful
918 handling and increased bedding.

916 **Stereotaxic surgery: injection of rAAV vectors.** Stereotaxic surgery was performed
917 under deep ketamine–xylazine anesthesia, using the KOPF (model 955) stereotaxic
918 system. For specific control of rat CeA astroglial cells, 200 nl of rAAV serotype
919 1/2 (GFAPp-C1V1(t/t)-mCherry, cloned from plasmid nos. 35500 and 44332,
920 Addgene), was injected bilaterally at the coordinates corresponding to CeL:
921 rostro-caudal: –2.7 mm, medio-lateral: 4.2 mm, dorso-ventral: –8.0 mm (from

Paxinos and Watson Atlas). For specific control of OT neurons, 200 nl of rAAV
serotype 1/2 (OTp-C1V1(t/t)-mCherry or OTp-ChR2-mCherry) was injected
bilaterally at the coordinates corresponding to each hypothalamic OT nuclei. PVN:
rostro-caudal: –1.8 mm; medio-lateral: ±0.4 mm; dorso-ventral: –8.0 mm; SON:
rostro-caudal: –1.4 mm; medio-lateral: ±1.6 mm; dorso-ventral: –9.0 mm; AN:
rostro-caudal: –2 mm; medio-lateral: ±1.2 mm; dorso-ventral: –8.5 mm (from
Paxinos and Watson Atlas). For specific deletion of OTR in mice CeL astrocytes,
280 nl of rAAV serotype 1/2 (GFAPp-GFP-IRES-Cre) was injected bilaterally at
the coordinates corresponding to CeL: rostro-caudal: –1.4 mm, medio-lateral:
±2.6 mm, dorso-ventral: –4.3 mm (from Paxinos and Watson Atlas) in OTR cKO
mice.

Stereotaxic surgery: intra-CeL cannulae. Cannulae implantation. Animals were
bilaterally implanted with guide cannulae for direct intra-CeL infusions. As
guide cannulae, we used C313G/SpC guide metallic cannulae (Plastics One) cut
5.8 mm below the pedestal. For this purpose, animals were deeply anesthetized
with 4% isoflurane, and their heads were fixed in a stereotaxic frame. The skull
was exposed, and two holes were drilled according to coordinates that were
adapted from brain atlas (rats: 2.3 mm rostral-caudal, 4 mm lateral, 7.5 mm
dorso-ventral relative to bregma; mice: 1.4 mm rostral-caudal, 2.6 mm lateral,
4.3 mm dorso-ventral relative to bregma) by comparing the typical bregma–lambda
distance with the one measured in the experimental animal. Two screws were
fixed to the caudal part of the skull to have an anchor point for the dental cement.
Acrylic dental cement was finally used to fix the cannulae, and the skin was
sutured. In case of long-lasting experiments (neuropathy-induced anxiety) with a
cannula implantation at distance of the behavioral assay (>4 weeks), cannulae were
sometimes lost or cloaked, and animals of concern were, therefore, excluded from
testing.

Drug infusions. We used bilateral injections of 0.5 µl containing either vehicle
(NaCl 0.9%) or OTR agonist TGOT (1 µM) dissolved in NaCl 0.9%. For this
procedure, two injectors (cut to fit 5.8-mm guide cannulae protruding 2–2.5 mm
beyond the lower end of the cannula in older animals and 1.8 mm in 3–4-week-old
rats) were bilaterally lowered into the guide cannula and connected via polythene
tubing to two Hamilton syringes that were placed in an infusion pump, and
0.5 µl of liquid was injected in each hemisphere over a 2-min period. After the
injection procedure, the injectors were kept in place for an additional minute to
allow a complete diffusion of liquid throughout the tissue. Rats were subsequently
left in the home cage for 15 min to recover from the stress of the injection and
then handled for mechanical pain threshold or anxiety assessment. Animals
that received TGOT injections for the first experiment (mechanical sensitivity
assessment) were switched to the vehicle-injected groups for the elevated plus maze
experiment.

Stereotaxic surgery: intra-CeL optical fiber. Optical fiber implantation. Sham and
rAAV-injected animals both underwent a single surgical procedure in which,
after vector injection or no injection for sham, optical fibers designed to target the
CeL were implanted and firmly maintained on the skull using dental cement. See
'Cannulae implantation' for the surgical procedure. Implantable optical fibers were
homemade using optical fiber cut at appropriate length (FT200EMT, Thorlabs) and
inserted and glued using epoxy-based glue in ferrules (CFLC230-10, Thorlabs).

Horizontal and coronal slices. Slice preparations. In all cases, animals were
anaesthetized using ketamine (Imalgene 90 mg kg⁻¹) and xylazine (Rompun, 10 mg
kg⁻¹) administered intraperitoneally. Intracardiac perfusion was then performed
using one of the following artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) dissection solutions.
For animals between 18 and 25 d old, an ice-cold sucrose-based dissection aCSF
was used containing (in mM): sucrose (170), KCl (2.5), NaH₂PO₄ (1.25), NaHCO₃
(15), MgSO₄ (10), CaCl₂ (0.5), HEPES (20), D-glucose (20), L-ascorbic acid (5),
thiourea (2), sodium pyruvate (3), N-acetyl-L-cysteine (5) and kynurenic acid
(2). For animals between 2 and 6 months old, an ice-cold NMDG-based aCSF
was used containing (in mM): NMDG (93), KCl (2.5), NaH₂PO₄ (1.25), NaHCO₃
(30), MgSO₄ (10), CaCl₂ (0.5), HEPES (20), D-glucose (25), L-ascorbic acid (5),
thiourea (2), sodium pyruvate (3), N-acetyl-L-cysteine (10) and kynurenic acid
(2). In both cases, pH was adjusted to 7.4 using either NaOH or HCl, this after
bubbling in 95% O₂/5% CO₂ gas, bubbling which was maintained throughout the
duration of use of the various aCSFs. Those aCSF formulae were based on the
work in ref. ⁵⁵. After decapitation, brain was swiftly removed in the same ice-cold
dissection aCSFs as for intracardiac perfusion, and 350-µm-thick horizontal
slices containing the CeA was obtained using a Leica VT1000S vibratome. For
experiments in Extended Data Fig. 2a,b, coronal slices of the same thickness
containing the PVN were used. Upon slicing, brain slices were hemisected and
placed, for 1 h minimum before any experiments were conducted, in a holding
chamber at room temperature containing normal aCSFs. For 2–6-month-old
animals, slices were first let for 10 min in 35°C NMDG aCSF before placing them
in the holding chamber at room temperature. Normal aCSF, also used during all *ex
vivo* experiments, is composed of (in mM): NaCl (124), KCl (2.5), NaH₂PO₄ (1.25),
NaHCO₃ (26), MgSO₄ (2), CaCl₂ (2), D-glucose (15), adjusted for pH values of 7.4
with HCL or NaOH and continuously bubbled in 95% O₂/5% CO₂ gas. All aCSFs

were checked for osmolality and kept for values between 305 and 310 mOsm L⁻¹. In electrophysiology or calcium imaging experiments, slices were transferred from the holding chamber to an immersion recording chamber and superfused at a rate of 2 ml min⁻¹ with normal aCSFs, unless indicated otherwise.

Drug application. OTR agonists were bath applied through a 20-s-long pumping of agonist solution, corresponding to several times the volume of the recording chamber. Other drugs (antagonists and TTX) were applied for at least 20 min in the bath before performing any experiments. BAPTA (or BAPTA-free solution for controls) loading of CeL astrocytes was realized following⁵⁶ protocol. Two distant CeL astrocytes per slice (label with SR101, 1 μM) were patched in whole-cell configuration, and voltage steps were applied (2 Hz, Δ40 mV) to help loading the BAPTA contained in the patch pipette (in mM): MgCl₂ (1), NaCl (8), ATP Na₂ (2) GTP Na₂ (0.4) HEPES (10) and BAPTA (40), and osmolality was checked to be between 275 and 285 mOsm L⁻¹. The whole-cell configuration was maintained during 45 min to allow BAPTA diffusion into the astrocyte network⁵⁷.

Calcium imaging and identification of astrocytes. To identify astrocytes, SR101 (1 μM) was added to aCSF in a culture well, and slices were incubated for 20 min at 35 °C. The specificity of SR101 labeling to astrocytes of the CeL was verified through patch-clamp experiments, the results of which can be found in Extended Data Fig. 2c,d. The synthetic calcium indicator OGB1 or Rhod-2 was bulk loaded following an adapted version of the method described previously⁵⁸, reaching final concentrations of 0.0025% (~20 μM) for calcium indicators, 0.002% for Cremophor EL, 0.01% for Pluronic F-127 and 0.5% for DMSO in aCSF and incubated for 45–60 min at 38 °C. Upon incubation time, slices were washed in aCSF for at least 1 h before any recording was performed. Astrocytes recorded for this study were those co-labeled in rats for SR101 and OGB1 and in mice for GFP and Rhod2. The spinning disk confocal microscope used to perform astrocyte calcium imaging was composed of a Zeiss Axio examiner microscope with a ×40 water immersion objective (numerical aperture of 1.0), mounted with a X-Light Confocal Unit-CRESTOPT spinning disk. Images were acquired at 2 Hz with either a Rolera em-c² emCCD or an optiMOS sCMOS camera (Qimaging). Cells within a confocal plane were illuminated for 100–150 ms for each wavelength (SR101 and Rhod-2: 575 nm, OGB1 and GFP: 475 nm) using a Spectra 7 LUMENCOR. The different hardware elements were synchronized through the MetaFluor 7.8.8.0 software (Molecular Devices). Astrocytic calcium levels were measured in hand-drawn regions of interest (ROIs) comprising the cell body plus, when visible, proximal processes. In all recordings, the Fiji rolling ball algorithm was used to increase the signal-to-noise ratio. Further offline data analysis was performed using a custom-written Python-based script available on editorial website. Intracellular calcium variation was estimated as changes in fluorescence signals. To take into account micro-movements of the specimen on long-duration recordings, the fluorescence values were also calculated for SR101 (or GFP) and subsequently subtracted to the ones of OGB1 (or Rhod2), except in the case of Fig. 2a–d, where astrocytes were identified through SR101 fluorescence after the recordings, to avoid unwanted stimulation of the CIV1 opsin. On this last case, recordings in which movements and drifts were visible were discarded. Then, a linear regression and a median filter were applied to each trace. Calcium transients were detected using the find_peaks function of the SciPy library. More precisely, fluorescence variation was identified as a calcium peak if its prominence exceeds the s.d. (or two times the s.d. for recordings acquired with the sCMOS camera) and if the maximum peak value surpasses 50 fluorescence units (or 3 units for sCMOS recordings). ROIs with zero calcium variations were excluded from the analysis. The remaining ROIs were considered as living astrocytes, and the number of peaks was quantified before and after the drug application. All data were normalized according to the duration of the recording, and astrocytes were labeled as ‘responsive’ when their AUC or their calcium transient frequency was increased by at least 20% after drug application. Because the time after stimulation is longer than the baseline (10 min versus 5 min), the probability of observing a spontaneous calcium peak is stronger after stimulation. To avoid this bias, astrocytes with only one calcium peak during the whole recording were not considered as responsive. Finally, all data were averaged across astrocytes per slice, and this result was used as the statistical unit. All data were expressed as ratio (baseline/drug effect)—a ratio of 1 meaning neither an increase nor a decrease of the measured parameter. For inter-ratio comparison, parametric or non-parametric (depending on data distribution) unpaired statistical tests were used. Fiji software was also used on SR101/OGB1 pictures to produce illustrative pictures. All calcium imaging experiments were conducted at controlled room temperature (26 °C), and cells with an unstable baseline were discarded.

Electrophysiology. Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings of CeL neurons, CeL astrocytes and CeM neurons were visually guided by infrared oblique light visualization of neurons and completed by SR101 fluorescence observation for astrocytes. Patch-clamp recordings were obtained with an Axon MultiClamp 700B Amplifier coupled to a Digidata 1440A Digitizer (Molecular Devices). Borosilicate glass electrodes (R = 3.5–7 MΩ) with inner filament (OD 1.5 mm, ID 0.86 mm; Sutter Instrument) were pulled using a horizontal flaming/brown micropipette puller (P97; Sutter Instrument). Recordings were filtered at 2 kHz, digitized at 40 kHz and stored with the pClamp 10 software suite (Molecular Devices). Analysis

of patch-clamp data was performed using Clampfit 10.7 (Molecular Devices) and Mini Analysis 6 software (Synaptosoft) in a semi-automated fashion (automatic detection of events with chosen parameters followed by a visual validation). Cells with an unstable baseline were discarded.

Whole-cell recording of CeL neurons. Recording pipettes were filled with an intracellular solution containing (in mM): KMeSO₄ (125), CaCl₂ (2), EGTA (1), HEPES (10), ATP Na₂ (2) and GTP Na₂ (0.3). The pH was adjusted to 7.3 with KOH, and osmolality was checked to be between 290 and 295 mOsm L⁻¹, adjusted with sucrose if needed. For mEPSC recordings, neurons were recorded in voltage-clamp configuration and held at a membrane potential of -65 mV. For AP recordings, neurons were recorded in current-clamp configuration and held at I = 0. Series capacitances and resistances were compensated electronically throughout the experiments using the main amplifier. For mEPSC measurements in CeL neurons (Fig. 4), whole-cell recordings were conducted in an Mg²⁺-free aCSF, also containing bicucullin (10 μM) and TTX (1 μM) as in ref.⁵⁹. Average event frequencies per cell were calculated on 20-s windows, chosen for TGOT or photostimulation during maximal effect, as determined by the visually identified maximal slope of the cumulative plot of the number of events. CeM neurons were classified as TGOT responsive when the average event frequency was increased by at least 20% during 20 s after TGOT application when compared to baseline average frequency. Baseline and recovery frequencies were measured, respectively, at the beginning and end of each recording. All patch-clamp experiments were conducted at room temperature.

Biocytin filling of CeL astrocytes. In the lateral part of the CeA slices visualized with infrared differential contrast optics, astrocytes were identified by their morphological appearance revealed by SR101 and the absence of APs in response to depolarizing current injections. Cells were patched with pipettes filled with (in mM) 110 K-gluconate, 30 KCl, 4 ATP Na₂, 10 phosphocreatine, 0.3 GTP Na₂, 10 HEPES and 5 biocytin (pH 7.3; 310 mOsm). After obtaining whole-cell configuration, astrocytes were held at -80 mV, and typical filling time was 45 min. Then, the pipettes were carefully retracted, and slices were incubated for additional 20 min in the oxygenated aCSF before fixation. Only one cell was filled per slice. Slices with filled cells were immersion fixed at 4 °C for 5 d in 4% PFA-PBS solution. Next, the slices were flat embedded in 6% agar-PBS, and areas of interest were cut out of and re-embedded onto the agar block and vibratome cut into 80-μm-thick free-floating sections. Then, the sections were incubated with avidin conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 (1:1,000) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in 1% Triton-PBS at 4 °C, washed in PBS, mounted and cover slipped. The tissue was analyzed, and images were taken with a Leica TCS SP5 confocal microscope.

Whole-cell recording of CeM neurons. Pipettes were filled with an intracellular solution containing (in mM): KCl (150), HEPES (10), MgCl₂ (4), CaCl₂ (0.1), BAPTA (0.1), ATP Na₂ (2) and GTP Na₂ (0.3). pH was adjusted to 7.3 with KOH, and osmolality was checked to be between 290 and 295 mOsm L⁻¹, adjusted with sucrose if needed. All cells were held at a membrane potential of -70 mV. Series capacitances and resistances were compensated electronically throughout the experiments using the main amplifier. Average event frequencies per cell were calculated on 20-s windows, chosen for TGOT or photostimulation during maximal effect, as determined by the visually identified maximal slope of the cumulative plot of the number of events. CeM neurons were classified as TGOT responsive when the average IPSC frequency was increased by at least 20% during 20 s after TGOT application when compared to baseline average frequency. Baseline and recovery frequencies were measured, respectively, at the beginning and end of each recording. All patch-clamp experiments were conducted at room temperature.

Immunohistochemistry and in situ hybridization. *In situ hybridization for OTR mRNA in rat CeL.* The probe for OTR mRNA was in vitro transcribed from a 902-bp fragment containing 133–1,034 bases of the rat OTR complementary DNA (cDNA) (NCBI Reference Sequence: NM_012871.3) subcloned into pSP73 Vector (Promega). The digoxigenin (DIG)-labeled anti-sense and sense RNA probe from the linearized *oxtr* cDNA template was synthesized using DIG RNA Labeling Kit (SP6/T7) (Roche Diagnostics). Sections containing two consecutive sections of the CeL (corresponding to bregma: 2.5) were processed for FISH. Rats were transcardially perfused with PBS, followed by 4% PFA. Brains were dissected out and post-fixed overnight in 4% PFA at 4 °C with gentle agitation. Then, 50-μm vibratome sections were cut, collected and fixed in 4% PFA at 4 °C overnight. The free-floating sections were washed in RNase-free PBS, immersed in 0.75% glycine in PBS, treated with 0.5 μg ml⁻¹ of proteinase K for 30 min at 37 °C, acetylated with 0.25% acetic anhydride in 0.1 M triethanolamine and then hybridized with DIG-labeled RNA probe overnight at 65 °C. After RNase treatment and after intensive wash, the hybridized DIG-labeled probe was detected by incubation with Anti-Digoxigenin-POD (1:200, 11207733910, Roche Diagnostics) for 3 d at 4 °C. Signals were developed with the tyramid signal amplification method. Rhodamine-conjugated tyramide was synthesized by coupling NHS-Rhodamine (PharM Biotechnology, Thermo Fisher Scientific) to Tyramine HCl (Sigma-Aldrich) in dimethylformamide with triethylamine. For the quantification of OTR

mRNA-positive astrocytes, all confocal images were obtained using the same laser intensities and processed with the same brightness/contrast settings in Adobe Photoshop. Because the in situ signal for the OTR mRNA in astrocytes was weak, we first calculated the average intensity (signal intensity of all pixels divided by the total number of pixels) of the rhodamine-stained OTR mRNA signal for each individual section containing the CeL. Next, we calculated the s.d. for each individual confocal image based on the intensity of all pixels comprising the image. We defined the threshold for OTR mRNA-positive astrocytes: if more than 1/4 of all pixels comprising an astrocyte soma displayed a signal intensity exceeding the average background intensity by more than four times the s.d., the astrocytes were considered OTR mRNA positive.

Astrocyte markers. The aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 antibody is a commonly used marker for glial cells, including astrocytes. Therefore, we used the ALDH1L1 for immunohistochemistry in our initial experimental studies (Extended Data Fig. 1c and S4a). However, owing to inconsistencies in staining quality as a result of batch-dependent antibody properties, especially in combination with the OTR mRNA FISH, we decided to employ GS (Fig. 1). GS is a commonly used glial marker⁶⁰ that stains astrocyte cell bodies, faint processes and even astrocytes not expressing GFAP. Using GS, we achieved consistent results in combination with our OTR mRNA FISH.

GS and ALDH1L1 co-localization with OTR mRNA in rat CeL. After development and washing steps, the sections were stained with antibodies against GS (mouse monoclonal, 1:500, ref: MAB302, Merck Millipore) and ALDH1L1 (rabbit polyclonal, 1:500, ref: ab87117, Abcam) in PBS and kept at 4°C on a shaker in a dark room overnight. After intensive washing with PBS, sections were stained with the respective secondary antibodies, Alexa Fluor488 (goat anti-mouse, 1:1,000, ref: A11001, Life Technologies) and Alexa Fluor 680 (goat anti-mouse, 1:1,000, ref: A27042, Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 2 h at room temperature. After intensive washing with PBS, sections were mounted using Mowiol.

Double in situ hybridizations for OTR mRNA and GFAP mRNA in mice CeL. FISH in Extended Data Fig. 1d was performed on 25- μ m cryostat-cut coronal sections prepared from fresh-frozen mouse brain (male C57BL/6J, P22). After extraction, brains were immediately frozen in Tissue-Tek O.C.T. compound and stored at -80°C. FISH was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions (Advanced Cell Diagnostics) for Fresh Frozen RNAscope Multiplex Fluorescent Assay. Treatment of amygdala-containing sections were adjusted with the three-plex negative control, and then co-expression of OTR and GS was examined using ACD-designed target probes as well as the nuclear stain DAPI. Single-plan images were collected with an upright laser scanning microscope (LSM-710, Carl Zeiss) using a $\times 40$ objective, keeping acquisition parameters constant between control and probe-treated sections.

AAV-GFAP-C1V1(t/t)-mCherry specificity. After 3 weeks of vector expression in the brain, rats were transcardially perfused with 4% PFA solution. Tissue blocks containing CeA were dissected from the fixed brain and vibratome cut into 50- μ m-thick free-floating sections. After several rinse steps, sampled sections were blocked with 5% NGS in PBS and incubated for 48 h at 4°C with polyclonal rabbit anti-ALDH1L1 antibody (1:500, Abcam) in 1% Triton-PBS buffer, containing 0.1% NGS. Appropriate secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-rabbit (1:1,000, Life Technologies) was used for further antigen detection. Intrinsic mCherry fluorescence of vector-expressing cells was strong enough to detect them in the tissue without any additional antibody enhancement. The immunolabeled sections were mounted onto Superfrost slides, cover slipped with Mowiol, analyzed and documented using a Leica SP5 confocal microscope.

3D assessment of astrocyte complexity and interaction analysis using Imaris. For the 3D reconstruction of astrocytes, we took Z-stack images (50- μ m depth, 1- μ m steps, $\times 40$ magnification) of CeL using a Zeiss LSM 780 confocal microscope (1,024 \times 1,024 pixels, 16-bit depth, pixel size 0.63 microns, zoom 0.7). Raw CZI files were used for further analysis using Imaris software (version 9.31, Oxford Instruments). First, Imaris was used to reconstruct the astrocyte surface using the following custom settings: surfaces Detail 0.700 μ m (smooth); thresholding Background subtraction (Local Contrast), diameter of largest Sphere, which fits into the object: 2.00; Color: base, diffusion transparency: 65%. After surface reconstruction, we used the filter function to remove unspecific background signals: Filter: Volume max - 400 μ m³. It is important to note that these settings have to be adjusted for every new batch/immunohistochemistry staining to guarantee a reliable reconstruction. All astrocytes with incomplete somata (cut by the x, y or z plane) were manually removed and not included in further analysis. Fused astrocytes that were falsely recognized as one entity by the software were manually separated using the cut function or entirely removed from the sample if a separation was not feasible. The 'filter/area function' was used to remove small astrocytic segments that occurred during manual deletion. After deletion of all background signals, the 'mask all' function was used to create the final surface reconstruction. Next, the surface reconstruction was used as the template for the filament reconstruction using the following custom settings: detect new starting

points: largest Diameter 7.00 μ m, seed points 0.300 μ m; remove seed points around starting points: diameter of sphere regions: 15 μ m. Seed points were corrected for (either placed in or removed from the center of the somata) manually if the Imaris algorithm placed them incorrectly. All surface and filament parameters were exported into separate Excel files and used for data analysis. All images used for analysis were taken with the same confocal settings (pinhole, laser intensity, digital gain and digital offset). Sholl analysis was performed using Imaris in the filament reconstruction mode, and individual datasets were exported into separate Excel files for further analysis (each individual sphere) per individual astrocyte. For the nearest neighbor and interaction analysis, we used the 'Native Distance Measurements' function as depicted in this video: <https://imaris.oxinst.com/learning/view/article/imaris-9-5-native-distance-measurements>. In brief, we reconstructed astrocytic surfaces based on the GFAP fluorescence and OTR mRNA signal. Next, we manually labeled OTR⁺ and OTR⁻ astrocytes and performed the native distance measurement, allowing us to assess the shortest distance between GFAP-positive processes of different astrocytes. We defined 'astrocytic interaction' when GFAP-positive processes of two different astrocytes were no further than 1 μ m apart. It is important to note that this method does not allow the discrimination of different astrocytic entities so that several close contacts (contacts being defined as a distance of less than 1 μ m between GFAP-positive processes or endfeets from two different astrocytes) originating from the same astrocyte result in a higher number of total interactions. For the nearest neighbor analysis, we calculated the distance from the center of the soma to the nearest astrocyte neighbor using GS fluorescence and an artificially created sphere that was placed within the soma and measured the distance accordingly. Distribution plots and correlations, as well as all statistics, were performed using GraphPad Prism version 8.0.0 (GraphPad Software).

Optogenetics. *Ex vivo.* We opted for a ChR1/VChR1 chimera channel rhodopsin displaying a red-shifted absorption spectrum, referred to here as CIV1 (ref. 17). This choice was made over a classical channelrhodopsin-2 to avoid unwanted stimulation of OT axons while imaging our 488-nm light-sensitive calcium indicator (OGB1). Optogenetic green light stimulation of CIV1 in *ex vivo* experiments was performed using either the Spectra 7 LUMENCOR (7542 nm) or light source X-Cite 110LED from Excelitas Technologies through a Cy3 filter, controlled via MetaFluor or Clampex-driven TTL pulses, respectively.

In vivo. Animals were habituated to the fixation of an optical fiber on the ferrule without light stimulation for 1 week before the experiment. In all cases, optical fibers were attached to the ferrules using an adapter (ADAF2, Thorlabs), and animals were left free to move in a typical home cage for the duration of the stimulation. Implanted optical fibers were connected to two lasers (LRS-0532-GFM-00100-03 LaserGlow 532-nm DPSS Laser System), and the output power was adjusted to correspond to 20–30 mW measured at the tip of 200- μ m diameter fibers similar to the one implanted. Stimulation of 500-ms duration at a frequency of 0.5 Hz was given for 3 min.

Behavior. *Mechanical sensitivity assessment.* In experiments with rats, we used a calibrated forceps (Bioseb) previously developed in our laboratory to test the animal mechanical sensitivity⁶¹. Briefly, the habituated rat was loosely restrained with a towel masking the eyes to limit stress by environmental stimulations. The tips of the forceps were placed at each side of the paw and a graduate force applied. The pressure producing a withdrawal of the paw or, in some rare cases, vocalization was considered as the nociceptive threshold value. This manipulation was performed three times for each hindpaw, and the values were averaged as being the final nociceptive threshold value. In experiments with mice, we used von Frey filament tests. Mechanical allodynia (a symptom of neuropathic pain) was tested using von Frey hairs, and results were expressed in grams. Tests were performed during the morning starting at least 2 h after lights on. Mice were placed in clear Plexiglas boxes (7 cm \times 9 cm \times 7 cm) on an elevated mesh floor. Calibrated von Frey filaments (Bioseb) were applied to the plantar surface of each hindpaw until they just bent in a series of ascending forces up to the mechanical threshold. Filaments were tested five times per paw, and the paw withdrawal threshold was defined as the lower of two consecutive filaments for which three or more withdrawals out of the five trials were observed. Animals with cannulae/optic fiber issues were removed from the analysis.

Elevated plus maze. Following protocol from ref. 62, the arena is composed of four arms, two open (without walls) and two closed (with walls; rats 30 cm high; mice 15 cm high). Arms are 10 cm wide, 50 cm long and elevated 50 cm off the ground for rats and 5 cm wide, 30 cm long and elevated 40 cm off the ground for mice. Two lamps with intensity adjustable up to 50 W were positioned on the top of the maze, uniformly illuminating it. Animals were video tracked using a video-tracking system (Ethovision Pro 3.16, Noldus, and AnyMaze, Stoelting). After each trial, the maze was cleaned with 70% ethanol and dried with a paper towel. Twenty minutes after intracerebral injections or directly after optical stimulation, the animal was left free at the center of the plus maze, facing the open arm opposite to where the experimenter is, and was able to freely explore the entire apparatus for 6 min. Total time and time spent in closed and open arms were recorded in seconds, and the

percentage of time spent in closed arms was calculated as a measure of anxiety-like behavior. As internal control, the total distance travelled during the test period was quantified and compared among all different groups (Extended Data Fig. 7). Animals falling from the apparatus during the test, freezing more than 50% of the total time or with cannulae/optic fiber issues were removed from the analysis.

CPP. The device is composed of two opaque conditioning boxes (rats: 30 × 32 cm; mice: 22 × 22 cm) and one clear neutral box (30 × 20 cm). Animals were video tracked using a video-tracking system (Anymaze, Stoelting). After each trial, the device was cleaned with a disinfectant (SurfaSafe, Anios Laboratory). Based on ref.⁶⁵, all animals underwent a 3-d habituation period during which they were able to freely explore the entire apparatus for 30 min. On day 3, behavior was recorded for 15 min to verify the absence of pre-conditioning chamber preference. The time spent in the different compartments was measured, and the paired compartment was chosen as the compartment in which rat spent less time during the third day of habituation. On day 4, animals were placed in the morning in one compartment for 15 min with no stimulation (unpaired box). Four hours later, the animals were placed for 15 min in the opposite box (paired box), and CeL astrocyte-expressing CIV1 vector was optogenetically stimulated (3 min–1 s light pulse at 0.5 Hz–λ542 nm) or TGOT micro-infused through intracerebral cannulae. On day 5, the animals were placed in the CPP box and allowed to freely explore the entire apparatus for 15 min. As internal control, the total distance travelled during the test period was quantified and compared among all different groups (Extended Data Fig. 7). Animals spending more than 80% of the total time in a single chamber before the conditioning, or with cannulae/optic fiber issues, were removed from the analysis.

Quantification and statistical analysis. Randomization and blinding.

Randomization was used to assign cells and animals to experimental groups. All measurements were made using a machine and are not subject to operator bias. Data collection and analysis were not performed blinded to the conditions of the experiments.

Statistical analysis. No statistical methods were used to pre-determine sample sizes, but our sample sizes are similar to those reported in previous publications. All parametrical statistical tests presented in figure captions or the manuscript were performed following correct verification of the assumptions on the distribution of data, and, if not, non-parametric tests were used. Tests were performed using either GraphPad Prism software (version 8.0.0 for Windows) or the SciPy Python-based library⁶⁴. All values, group compositions and statistical tests for each experiment and figure panel are detailed in Supplementary Tables 1–7.

Technical limitations. We acknowledge several technical limitations in our study, which are discussed in detail below.

mRNA detection. It is surprising to find that the loss of OTR expression in astrocytes results in a loss of function of OTR signaling in the CeA–CeM projection, especially considering the high proportion of OTR⁺ neurons (up to 70%) compared to the lower fraction of OTR⁺ astrocytes (18%) in the CeL. One methodological limitation here is that we remained limited to measuring mRNA levels through FISH and could not measure OTR expression at the protein level, notably due to the poor availability of reliable OTR antibodies. It is also possible that a comparison between the OTR mRNA content of astrocytes and neurons is biased; indeed, astrocytes have a lower total mRNA content than neurons, as publicly available databases of single-cell RNA sequencing indicate^{65,66}. Interestingly, a similar discrepancy exists between low receptor levels observed in astrocytes compared to neurons, and, yet, a crucial functional relevance of the receptors of astrocytes in neuromodulation is also observed for another GPCR: the CB1 receptor (ref.⁶⁷ and references therein).

CIV1 red-shifted opsin to activate astrocytes. It has been recently demonstrated that the activation of such depolarizing channel rhodopsins in astrocytes or neurons can lead to a significant leak of potassium ions in the extracellular space, resulting in increased neuronal excitability⁶⁸. However, we provide results in which we buffered the astrocytes' intracellular calcium using BAPTA infusion before activating astrocytic CIV1 and found that it abolished its effects on CeA neurons (Figs. 4–6). Furthermore, we demonstrate that the AstrOpto effect on CeA neuronal circuit is dependent on NMDARs (Extended Data Fig. 5a). This indicates that the consequences of astrocytic CIV1 activation are dependent on the evoked astrocyte calcium signaling rather than on potassium leakage.

Bulk loading of organic calcium indicator dyes. It should also be noted that we used bulk loading of organic calcium indicator dyes. This means that we could not precisely measure cytosolic calcium changes in fine astrocyte processes⁶⁹, which might have revealed more complex activities of astrocytes. We note that it has been proven that endogenous or exogenous (designer receptor exclusively activated by designer drug (DREADD) receptors) GPCR signaling in astrocytes produces a global increase in calcium in both the cell body and processes (ref.⁶⁷ and references therein).

Reporting Summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data and code availability

Python code (used for ex vivo calcium imaging data analysis) can be found in Supplementary Software. All data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

References

- Rouach, N., Koulakoff, A., Abudara, V., Willecke, K. & Giaume, C. Astroglial metabolic networks sustain hippocampal synaptic transmission. *Science* **322**, 1551–1555 (2008).
- Pannasch, U. et al. Astroglial networks scale synaptic activity and plasticity. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **108**, 8467–8472 (2011).
- Theis, M. et al. Accelerated hippocampal spreading depression and enhanced locomotory activity in mice with astrocyte-directed inactivation of connexin43. *J. Neurosci.* **23**, 766–776 (2003).
- Teubner, B. et al. Connexin30 (Gjb6)-deficiency causes severe hearing impairment and lack of endocochlear potential. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **12**, 13–21 (2003).
- Shigetomi, E. et al. Imaging calcium microdomains within entire astrocyte territories and endfeet with GCaMPs expressed using adeno-associated viruses. *J. Gen. Physiol.* **141**, 633–647 (2013).
- Ting, J. T. et al. Preparation of acute brain slices using an optimized N-methyl-D-glucamine protective recovery method. *J. Vis. Exp.* (132), 53825 (2018).
- Serrano, A., Haddjeri, N., Lacaille, J., Robitaille, R. & Centre-ville, S. GABAergic network activation of glial cells underlies hippocampal heterosynaptic depression. *J. Neurosci.* **26**, 5370–5382 (2006).
- Jourdain, P. et al. Glutamate exocytosis from astrocytes controls synaptic strength. *Nat. Neurosci.* **10**, 331–339 (2007).
- Ikegaya, Y., Le Bon-Jego, M. & Yuste, R. Large-scale imaging of cortical network activity with calcium indicators. *Neurosci. Res.* **52**, 132–138 (2005).
- Shigetomi, E., Bowser, D. N., Sofroniew, M. V. & Khakh, B. S. Two forms of astrocyte calcium excitability have distinct effects on NMDA receptor-mediated slow inward currents in pyramidal neurons. *J. Neurosci.* **28**, 6659–6663 (2008).
- Anlauf, E. & Derouiche, A. Glutamine synthetase as an astrocytic marker: its cell type and vesicle localization. *Front. Endocrinol.* **4**, 144 (2013).
- Luis-Delgado, O. E. et al. Calibrated forceps: a sensitive and reliable tool for pain and analgesia studies. *J. Pain* **7**, 32–39 (2006).
- Wolf, A. A. & Frye, C. A. The use of the elevated plus maze as an assay of anxiety-related behavior in rodents. *Nat. Protoc.* **2**, 322–328 (2007).
- King, T. et al. Unmasking the tonic-aversive state in neuropathic pain. *Nat. Neurosci.* **12**, 1364–1366 (2009).
- Virtanen, P. et al. SciPy 1.0: fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python. *Nat. Methods* **17**, 261–272 (2020).
- Zeisel, A. et al. Cell types in the mouse cortex and hippocampus revealed by single-cell RNA-seq. *Science* **347**, 1138–1142 (2015).
- Batiuk, M. Y. et al. Identification of region-specific astrocyte subtypes at single cell resolution. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 1220 (2020).
- Kofuji, P. & Araque, A. G-protein-coupled receptors in astrocyte–neuron communication. *Neuroscience* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2020.03.025> (2020).
- Octeau, J. C. et al. Transient, consequential increases in extracellular potassium ions accompany channelrhodopsin2 excitation. *Cell Rep.* **27**, 2249–2261 (2019).
- Reeves, A. M. B., Shigetomi, E. & Khakh, B. S. Bulk loading of calcium indicator dyes to study astrocyte physiology: key limitations and improvements using morphological maps. *J. Neurosci.* **31**, 9353–9358 (2011).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by IASP Early Career Research grant 2012, FP7 Career Integration grant 334455, Initiative of Excellence (IDEX) Attractiveness grant 2013, IDEX Interdisciplinary grant 2015, University of Strasbourg Institute for Advanced Study (USIAS) fellowship 2014–15, Foundation Fyssen research grant 2015, NARSAD Young Investigator grant 24821, ANR JCJC grant (to A.C.), ANR-DFG grant GR 3619/701 (to A.C. and V.G.), Alexander von Humboldt fellowship (to D.H.), Seed grant from DFG within the Collaborative Center SFB 1158 and Fyssen Foundation fellowship (to A.L.); DFG grants GR 3619/13-1, GR 3619/15-1 and GR 3619/16-1 (to V.G.); SFB 1158 (to C.P., J.S. and V.G.), SNSF-DFG grant GR 3619/8-1 (to R.S. and V.G.), the Fritz Thyssen Foundation (to V.G.), DFG Postdoctoral Fellowship AL 2466/1-1 (to F.A.), the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation (to D.H.), the Fyssen Foundation and PROCOP grant and SFB1158 seed grant for young scientists (to A.L.), Research Foundation-Flanders fellowship (12V7519N) (to J.W.), Russian Science Foundation RSF (17-75-10061) and the subsidy allocated to the Kazan Federal University for the State Assignment, no. 0671-2020-0059 (to A.R.), the intramural research program of the NIMH (ZIAH002498) (to W.S.Y.), National Institutes of Health grants R01NS094640 and R01HL090948 (to J.E.S.),

the European Research Council (Consolidator Grant no. 683154) and the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Program (Marie Skłodowska-Curie Innovative Training Networks, grant no. 722053, EU-GliaPhD) (to N.R.). The authors thank V. Lelièvre for in situ hybridization advice; R. Goutagny for in vivo optogenetics assistance; F. Magara for anxiety behavior advice; B. Kurpiers and the Interdisciplinary Neurobehavioral Core Facility of Heidelberg University for experiments performed there; S. Reibel and the Chronobiotron UMS 3415 for all animal care; and T. Spletstoesser (www.scistyle.com) for help with the preparation of Fig. 7.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: A.C.; Methodology: A.C., B.Boutrel, C.M.L., C.P., D.K., F.A., I.D., J.E.S., J.W., J.Y.C., N.R., P.D., P.P., R.S., V.G., W.S.Y. and YG; Analysis: A.C., B.Bellanger, B.B.J., C.M.L., D.K., F.A., H.S.K.B., J.W. and S.G.; In situ hybridization: D.H., F.A., H.S.K.B., H.W., J.S. and M.E.; Immunohistochemistry: A.L., D.H., F.A., J.W., M.E. and M.S.d.G.; Imaris analysis: F.A., M.K.K. and R.K.R.; Ex vivo patch-clamp electrophysiology: A.B., A.C., J.W., S.G., D.K., I.W., B.Bellanger and M.A.; Ex vivo calcium imaging: A.B., C.M.L., D.K. and J.W.; Astrocyte characterization: A.B., A.R., B.Bellanger, D.K., I.W., M.E. and S.G.; Behavior: A.C., B.B.J., D.K. and J.W.; Mice line

validation: W.S.Y.; Viral vectors validation: D.B., L.B., M.S.d.G., M.E. and V.G.; Spared nerve injuries: P.I. and M.P.; Writing: A.B., A.C., D.K., F.A., J.W. and V.G.; Funding acquisition: A.C. and V.G.; Supervision: A.C. and V.G.; Project administration: A.C.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Q23 Q24

Additional information

Extended data is available for this paper at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-021-00800-0>.






Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-021-00800-0>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to V.G. or A.C.

Peer review information *Nature Neuroscience* thanks Thomas Papouin, Gertrudis Perea, and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.


Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

1120
1121
1122
1123
1124
1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146
1147
1148
1149
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184
1185




Extended Data Fig. 1 | a, Combination of FISH and IHC showing co-localization of GS (top left), NeuN (bottom left) and both markers (right) with OTR mRNA in rats. Scale bars are 100 μ m and 10 μ m. ($n_{rats} = 5$, $n_{slices} = 20$, $n_{astrocytes} = 1354$, $n_{neurons} = 1254$). **b**, proportion of OTR positive astrocytes and neurons in mice. $n_{astrocytes} = 897$; $n_{neurons} = 688$; $n_{rats} = 4$. **c**, (top left) FISH overview for OTR mRNA (green), counterstained with polyclonal anti-ALDH1L1 antibody (red) in CeA. (bottom left) High magnification image of cells positive for both OTR mRNA and ALDH1L1 (double arrows); green arrows point OTR mRNA-positive cells; red arrows point ALDH1L1-positive cells. Scale bars: 400 (Top) and 50 μ m (Bottom). (Right) Quantification of ALDH1L1-positive cells positive for OTR mRNA. $n_{astrocytes} = 450$; $n_{rats} = 4$. **d**, RNAscope *in situ* hybridization showing GFAP (red) and OTR (green) expressing cells in mice CeA. Merged images include DAPI stain (blue); $n = 3$. (Bottom) Negative control probe targeting the bacterial gene DapB; $n = 3$. Scale bar is 10 μ m. st: stria terminalis. Data are expressed as mean across slices plus SEM and white circles represent individual cell data. (Statistics and numbers in Supplementary Table 1).

1186
1187
1188
1189
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196
1197
1198
1199
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1230
1231
1232
1233
1234
1235
1236
1237
1238
1239
1240
1241
1242
1243
1244
1245
1246
1247
1248
1249
1250
1251




Extended Data Fig. 2 | See next page for caption.

1252 **Extended Data Fig. 2 | a**, (left) C1V1-mCherry (OxyOpto) expressing oxytocin neuron of the PVN. Scale bar is 20 μ m; n = 11. (right) Schematic
 1253 representation of the whole cell patch clamp of the OxytOpto-expressing cells of the PVN. **b**, (left) λ 542nm light exposure (yellow line) induce a
 1254 depolarizing current, (right) enabling precise spiking of OxytOpto-expressing cells. **c**, Typical confocal image of CeL astrocytes co-labeled with SR101
 1255 and OGB1; n = 46. Scale bar 20 μ m. **d**, (left) Pseudo-color pictures of an SR101 positive cell identified as an astrocyte compared to neurons identified
 1256 under oblique infrared light, Scale bar 10 μ m. (Middle) Electrophysiological properties of patched SR101+ (red, n = 82) and SR101- cells (grey, n = 20).
 1257 Medians, quartiles and whiskers can be found in Supplementary Table 2. (Right) Typical responses to 20pA current steps of a SR101+ (red) and a SR101-
 1258 cells (black). **e**, CeL SR101 positive cell filled with biocytin through whole cell patch-clamp (green) lacks NeuN signal (Blue); n = 3. Scale bar 50 μ m. **f**,
 1259 Illustration of calcium imaging data analysis method. (left) Fluorescence traces were splitted into a "baseline" and a "post-stimulation" trace. Calcium
 1260 transients were detected according to a prominence threshold and their frequency was quantified before and after the drug application. All data were
 1261 averaged across astrocytes per slice, and this results was used as statistical unit. All data were compared (before vs. after drug application) and the results
 1262 were expressed in ratio (baseline/drug effect), a ratio of 1 meaning neither an increase nor a decrease of the measured parameter. $n_s = 7$, $n_a = 36$. **g**, (top
 1263 left) Immunohistochemical staining for glutamine synthase (GS; blue), Cre-GFP (green) and Cre recombinase (red). (bottom left) Overview of the CeA,
 1264 displaying correct viral targeting of the CeL subdivision. (right) Quantification of efficiency and specificity of the transduction. Efficiency: Over 1001 GS
 1265 positive cells, 561 were also GFP positive, indicating an efficiency of $56 \pm 4.9\%$. Specificity: we counted a total of n = 977 GFP-positive cells, 940 of which
 1266 were positive for GS ($96.2 \pm 2.1\%$). None of the GFP or Cre signals were detected in NeuN positive cells (0 out of n = 850, 4 mice, not shown). Finally,
 1267 $99.82 \pm 0.2\%$ of GS-positive astrocytes containing GFP signal were Cre-positive (n = 1001). $n_{mice} = 4$. Scale bars: 400 (Bottom) and 20 μ m (Top). **h**,
 1268 Immunohistochemical analysis of OTR expression in NeuN-positive cells of the CeL revealed no difference in OTR levels between control and GFAP-Cre
 1269 injected animals; $85.0 \pm 1.6\%$ (n = 688, n = 4 mice) and $86.7 \pm 1.6\%$ (n = 660, n = 4 mice) respectively. Scale bars: 20 μ m. Data in f-h are expressed as
 1270 mean across slices plus SEM and white circles represent individual cell data. $***p < 0.001$, two-sided Mann-Whitney U test. (Statistics and numbers in
 1271 Supplementary Table 2).
 1272
 1273
 1274
 1275
 1276
 1277
 1278
 1279
 1280
 1281
 1282
 1283
 1284
 1285
 1286
 1287
 1288
 1289
 1290
 1291
 1292
 1293
 1294
 1295
 1296
 1297
 1298
 1299
 1300
 1301
 1302
 1303
 1304
 1305
 1306
 1307
 1308
 1309
 1310
 1311
 1312
 1313
 1314
 1315
 1316
 1317







$$\text{Number of contacts} = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$




Extended Data Fig. 3 | See next page for caption.

1384 **Extended Data Fig. 3 | a**, Illustration of the 3D reconstruction and the quantification of the number of contact between astrocytes. (left) Pictures of the
 1385 raw fluorescence obtained after anti-GFAP immunohistochemistry, (middle) based on this staining, we performed a 3D reconstruction of astrocytes
 1386 and (right) evaluate the number of astrocyte-astrocyte contact using the given formula. With $n =$ upper limit of summation, $i =$ index of summation, $x_i =$
 1387 typical element (*that is* astrocytic contacts with $1\mu\text{m}$ or less proximity). With this approach, we quantified all astrocyte contacts without discrimination
 1388 between individual astrocyte entities. **b**, (left) Typical ΔF traces following TGOT+TTX application in presence of PPADS ($50\mu\text{M}$) + Suramin ($75\mu\text{M}$) +
 1389 A438079 ($1\mu\text{M}$). (right) Proportion of responding astrocytes, AUC of ΔF traces and Ca^{2+} transients frequency normalized to baseline values following
 1390 application of TGOT+TTX ($0.4\mu\text{M}$) in presence of PPADS ($50\mu\text{M}$) + Suramin ($75\mu\text{M}$) + A438079 ($1\mu\text{M}$) ($n_s = 10$, $n_a = 112$). **c**, (left) Typical ΔF traces
 1391 following TGOT+TTX application in presence of CPT ($5\mu\text{M}$) or SCH 58261 (100nM). (right) Proportion of responding astrocytes, AUC of ΔF traces and
 1392 Ca^{2+} transients frequency normalized to baseline values following application of TGOT+TTX ($0.4\mu\text{M}$) in presence of CPT ($n_s = 7$, $n_a = 22$) or SCH ($n_s =$
 1393 8 , $n_a = 32$). **d**, (left) Typical ΔF traces following TGOT+TTX application in presence of AP5 ($50\mu\text{M}$). (right) Proportion of responding astrocytes, AUC of
 1394 ΔF traces and Ca^{2+} transients frequency normalized to baseline values following application of TGOT+TTX ($0.4\mu\text{M}$) in presence of AP5 ($n_s = 6$, $n_a = 55$).
 1395 Data are expressed as mean across slices plus SEM and white circles represent individual cell data. White circles indicate average across astrocytes per
 1396 slice. (Statistics and numbers in Supplementary Table 3).



1487 **Extended Data Fig. 4 | a**, (left) Immunohistochemistry image shows CeL cells transfected with rAAV-Gfap-C1V1(t/t)-mCherry (AstrOpto) with
1488 co-labeling for ALDH1L1. White arrow shows one cell expanded in insets. Scale bars are 25 and 10 μm (insets). (right) Quantification of the efficiency and
1489 specificity of transduction of C1V1 in CeL astrocytes. PGFAP- C1V1-mCherry rAAV vector was injected into rat CeA (bilaterally, 200nl). Specificity: Over
1490 1090 mCherry-positive cells, 98.8±0.7% were positive for ALDH1L1. None of the analyzed cells were positive for NeuN. Efficiency: Over 1090 ALDH1L1
1491 positive cells, 62.3±3.5% were also mCherry positive. n = 4 rats. **b**, (left) Typical ΔF traces following AstrOpto activation. λ542nm (1s @ 0.5Hz during
1492 3 minutes) with (top 3 traces) or without (bottom 3 traces) extracellular calcium. (right) Proportion of responding astrocytes, AUC of ΔF traces and
1493 Ca²⁺ transients frequency normalized to baseline values following AstrOpto stimulation with (n_s = 12, n_s = 49) or without Ca²⁺ in the ACSF (n_s = 12, n_s
1494 = 53). Data are expressed as mean across slices plus SEM. White circles indicate average across astrocytes per slice. **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, two-sided
1495 Mann-Whitney U test. (Statistics and numbers in Supplementary Table 4).




Extended Data Fig. 5 | See next page for caption.

1582 **Extended Data Fig. 5 |** Figure 5. **a**, DAAO (0.15 IU/ml, incubation time > 1h30; n = 7) and AP5 (50 μ M; n = 6) prevent the effect of photoactivation of
1583 astrocytes (AstrOpto) on IPSC frequency in CeM neurons. **b**, Two consecutive TGOT application effects on IPSCs frequencies in CeM neurons after DAAO
1584 (0.15 U/ml, incubation time > 1h30) followed by D-Serine (20 min, 100 μ M) incubation of the same cells (n = 7). **c**, dOVT (1 μ M; n = 6) prevents the
1585 effect of TGOT on IPSCs frequencies in CeM neurons. **d**, Effect of double (20 min apart) application of TGOT on IPSCs frequencies in CeM (0.4 μ M n =
1586 7). **e**, Effect of DCKA (10 μ M, n = 15) and DNQX (25 μ M; n = 10) on TGOT-induced increase in IPSC frequency in CeM neurons. **f**, Purinergic antagonists
1587 do not prevent TGOT effect on IPSC frequency in CeM neurons. PPADS (50 μ M; n = 9), CPT (5 μ M; n = 11), SCH (100 nM; n = 10). Data are expressed as
1588 averages plus SEM and white circles represent individual cell data. # p < 0.05, ## p < 0.01, ### p < 0.001, Friedman and Dunn's Multiple comparisons, ** p <
1589 0.01, *** p < 0.001, two-sided unpaired t-test or Mann-Whitney U test. (Statistics and numbers in Supplementary Table 5).

1590
1591
1592
1593
1594
1595
1596
1597
1598
1599
1600
1601
1602
1603
1604
1605
1606
1607
1608
1609
1610
1611
1612
1613
1614
1615
1616
1617
1618
1619
1620
1621
1622
1623
1624
1625
1626
1627
1628
1629
1630
1631
1632
1633
1634
1635
1636
1637
1638
1639
1640
1641
1642
1643
1644
1645
1646
1647

A

B




Extended Data Fig. 6 | See next page for caption.

1714 **Extended Data Fig. 6 | a**, 30 days post surgeries time course of mechanical pain threshold evolution across sham (n = 23) and SNI (n = 22) rats. Data
 1715 are expressed as mean across animals plus SEM. **b**, (left) Typical ΔF traces following TGOT+TTX application in SNI rats. (right) Proportion of responding
 1716 astrocytes, AUC of ΔF traces and Ca²⁺ transients frequency normalized to baseline values following application of TGOT+TTX (0.4 μ M) in sham (n_s
 1717 = 16, n_a = 74) or SNI (n_s = 18 et n_a = 136) rats. Data are expressed as mean across slices plus SEM. White circles indicate average across astrocytes per
 1718 slice. **c**, TGOT effect on CeM neurons IPSCs frequencies is unchanged between Sham (n = 9) or SNI (n = 9) rats. Data are expressed as average plus
 1719 SEM and white circles represent individual cell data. **d**, Two consecutive TGOT application effects on IPSCs frequencies in CeM neurons after DAAO
 1720 (0.15 U/ml, incubation time > 1h30) followed by D-Serine (20 min, 100 μ M) incubation of the same cells from acute brain slices of SNI animals (n = 11).
 1721 Data are expressed as averages plus SEM and white circles represent individual cell data. **e**, Verification of cannulae implantation sites. (left) Schematic
 1722 representation of the stereotaxic injection viewed in a coronal slice; n_{rats} = 67, n_{mice} = 52. (middle, right) Infrared and fluorescence microscopy picture
 1723 showing the injection site of fluorescent latex beads in the CeL on horizontal slices. Scale is 1mm. ** p<0.01, *** P<0.001, two-sided paired t-test or
 1724 Wilcoxon test, #p<0.05 Friedman test followed by Dunn's multiple comparison. (Statistics and numbers in Supplementary Table 6).
 1725
 1726
 1727
 1728
 1729
 1730
 1731
 1732
 1733
 1734
 1735
 1736
 1737
 1738
 1739
 1740
 1741
 1742
 1743
 1744
 1745
 1746
 1747
 1748
 1749
 1750
 1751
 1752
 1753
 1754
 1755
 1756
 1757
 1758
 1759
 1760
 1761
 1762
 1763
 1764
 1765
 1766
 1767
 1768
 1769
 1770
 1771
 1772
 1773
 1774
 1775
 1776
 1777
 1778
 1779

Uncorrected proofs

1780
1781
1782
1783
1784
1785
1786
1787
1788
1789
1790
1791
1792
1793
1794
1795
1796
1797
1798
1799
1800
1801
1802
1803
1804
1805
1806
1807
1808
1809
1810
1811
1812
1813
1814
1815
1816
1817
1818
1819
1820
1821
1822
1823
1824
1825
1826
1827
1828
1829
1830
1831
1832
1833
1834
1835
1836
1837
1838
1839
1840
1841
1842
1843
1844
1845



Extended Data Fig. 7 | See next page for caption.

1846 **Extended Data Fig. 7 | a,e**, Experimental strategy for the specific expression of CIV1 in mice CeL astrocytes (**a**, AstrOpto) or the specific deletion of OTRs
1847 in mice CeL astrocytes (**e**, GFAP OTR KO). The treatments applied are color coded as the legend key indicate. Control indicate a vehicle injection. **b,f**,
1848 Mechanical pain threshold was assessed on the non-injured paw of SNI (bottom, gray box) and its equivalent in Sham (top) groups. TGOT or its vehicle,
1849 or astrocytes light-evoked activation of CIV1, were administered in the CeL and mechanical pain threshold assessed again at different time points. Rats
1850 (n): Sham control n = 12, TGOT n = 10, CIV1 n = 6; SNI control n = 12, TGOT n = 10, CIV1, n = 9. Mice (n): Sham WT n = 6, OTR cKO n = 8; SNI WT n =
1851 5, OTR cKO n = 13. **c,g**, Locomotion was assessed through measurement of the distance travelled during the length of the elevated plus maze experiment,
1852 after administration of the different treatments. Rats (n): Sham control n = 10, TGOT n = 8, CIV1 n = 9; SNI control n = 20, TGOT n = 9, CIV1, n = 7. Mice
1853 (n): Sham WT Veh n = 7, WT TGOT n = 6; Sham OTR cKO Veh n = 5, OTR cKO TGOT n = 7; SNI WT Veh n = 7, WT TGOT n = 8; SNI OTR cKO Veh n = 5,
1854 OTR cKO TGOT n = 7. **d,h**, Locomotion was assessed through measurement of the distance travelled during the time of the conditioned place preference
1855 experiment, after administration of the different treatments. Rats (n): Sham control n = 13, TGOT n = 6, CIV1 n = 5; SNI control n = 10, TGOT n = 8, CIV1,
1856 n = 8. Mice (n): Sham WT Veh n = 5, WT TGOT n = 8; Sham OTR cKO Veh n = 5, OTR cKO TGOT n = 4; SNI WT Veh n = 5, WT TGOT n = 5; SNI OTR
1857 cKO Veh n = 4, OTR cKO TGOT n = 6. Data are expressed as mean across animals plus SEM. (Animals number and Statistics in Supplementary Table 7).
1858
1859
1860
1861
1862
1863
1864
1865
1866
1867
1868
1869
1870
1871
1872
1873
1874
1875
1876
1877
1878
1879
1880
1881
1882
1883
1884
1885
1886
1887
1888
1889
1890
1891
1892
1893
1894
1895
1896
1897
1898
1899
1900
1901
1902
1903
1904
1905
1906
1907
1908
1909
1910
1911

A

B

QUERY FORM

Nature Neuroscience	
Manuscript ID	[Art. Id: 800]
Author	Jérôme Wahis

AUTHOR:

The following queries have arisen during the editing of your manuscript. Please answer by making the requisite corrections directly in the e-proofing tool rather than marking them up on the PDF. This will ensure that your corrections are incorporated accurately and that your paper is published as quickly as possible.

Query No.	Nature of Query
Q1:	Please check your article carefully, coordinate with any co-authors and enter all final edits clearly in the eproof, remembering to save frequently. Once corrections are submitted, we cannot routinely make further changes to the article.
Q2:	Note that the eproof should be amended in only one browser window at any one time; otherwise changes will be overwritten.
Q3:	Author surnames have been highlighted. Please check these carefully and adjust if the first name or surname is marked up incorrectly. Note that changes here will affect indexing of your article in public repositories such as PubMed. Also, carefully check the spelling and numbering of all author names and affiliations, and the corresponding email address(es).
Q4:	You cannot alter accepted Supplementary Information files except for critical changes to scientific content. If you do resupply any files, please also provide a brief (but complete) list of changes. If these are not considered scientific changes, any altered Supplementary files will not be used, only the originally accepted version will be published.
Q5:	If applicable, please ensure that any accession codes and datasets whose DOIs or other identifiers are mentioned in the paper are scheduled for public release as soon as possible, we recommend within a few days of submitting your proof, and update the database record with publication details from this article once available.
Q6:	Your paper has been copy edited. Please review every sentence to ensure that it conveys your intended meaning; if changes are required, please provide further clarification rather than reverting to the original text. Please note that formatting (including hyphenation, Latin words, and any reference citations that might be mistaken for exponents) has been made consistent with our house style.
Q7:	Please ensure that genes are correctly distinguished from gene products: for genes, official gene symbols (e.g., NCBI Gene) for the relevant species should be used and italicized; gene products such as proteins and noncoding RNAs should not be italicized. Please check the figures, too.
Q8:	It seems like there are words missing in this sentence. Please check and correct: "In addition, we found that OTR+ astrocytes had significantly more contacts with OTR- than with OTR+ astrocytes (Fig. 3a), whereas OTR- regularly contact other OTR- astrocytes (3.7 ± 1.2 contacts)."
Q9:	Please check the edits here: "Another known mode of astrocyte-to-neuron communication relies on purinergic signaling, notably in the CeM15."

QUERY FORM

Nature Neuroscience	
Manuscript ID	[Art. Id: 800]
Author	Jérôme Wahis

AUTHOR:

The following queries have arisen during the editing of your manuscript. Please answer by making the requisite corrections directly in the e-proofing tool rather than marking them up on the PDF. This will ensure that your corrections are incorporated accurately and that your paper is published as quickly as possible.

<i>Query No.</i>	<i>Nature of Query</i>
Q10:	There seems to be a word missing here. Please check: "These ex vivo results indicate that direct of OTR-mediated CeL astrocyte activation"
Q11:	Please check the edits here: "Together, these data indicate that astrocyte-mediated OT signaling in the CeA is central to the regulation of anxiety-like behavior in pain-free conditions and to the beneficial effect of OT signaling on neuropathic pain-induced increased anxiety ²⁸ ."
Q12:	Is "astro-neuronal network" correct? "At the behavioral level, this OTR-mediated modulation of CeA astro-neuronal network promotes a positive emotional state, measured as clear anxiolytic and positive reinforcement effects (Fig. 7)." Please check and correct, if necessary.
Q13:	Please check the edits here: "Upon slicing, brain slices were hemisected and placed, for 1 h minimum before any experiments were conducted, in a holding chamber at room temperature containing normal aCSFs."
Q14:	Please check the edits here: " For mEPSC recordings, neurons were recorded in voltage-clamp configuration and held at a membrane potential of -65 mV."
Q15:	Please check the edits here: "For AP recordings, neurons were recorded in current-clamp configuration and held at $I=0$."
Q16:	Please clarify what S4a refers to. Supplementary Fig. 4a?
Q17:	Please check the edits here: "Four hours later, the animals were placed for 15 min in the opposite box (paired box), and CeL astrocyte-expressing C1V1 vector was optogenetically stimulated (3 min–1 s light pulse at 0.5 Hz– λ 542 nm) or TGOT micro-infused through intracerebral cannulae."
Q18:	Please check the edits here: "It is also possible that a comparison between the OTR mRNA content of astrocytes and neurons is biased"
Q19:	Please check the edits here: "Interestingly, a similar discrepancy exists between low receptor levels observed in astrocytes compared to neurons, and, yet, a crucial functional relevance of the receptors of astrocytes in neuro-modulation is also observed for another GPCR: the CB1 receptor (ref. 67 and references therein)"
Q20:	Please check the edits here: "However, we provide results in which we buffered the astrocytes' intracellular calcium using BAPTA infusion before activating astrocytic C1V1 and found that it abolished its effects on CeA neurons (Figs. 4–6)."
Q21:	Please check that all funders have been appropriately acknowledged and that all grant numbers are correct.

QUERY FORM

Nature Neuroscience	
Manuscript ID	[Art. Id: 800]
Author	Jérôme Wahis

AUTHOR:

The following queries have arisen during the editing of your manuscript. Please answer by making the requisite corrections directly in the e-proofing tool rather than marking them up on the PDF. This will ensure that your corrections are incorporated accurately and that your paper is published as quickly as possible.

Query No.	Nature of Query
Q22:	If applicable, please ensure accession codes are scheduled for release on or before this article's scheduled publication date, and update the database record with publication details from this article once available.
Q23:	Please check that the Competing Interests declaration is correct as stated. If you declare competing interests, please check the full text of the declaration for accuracy and completeness.
Q24:	Please provide a figure title for all extended data figures.

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see our [Editorial Policies](#) and the [Editorial Policy Checklist](#).

Statistics

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

n/a Confirmed

- The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
- A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
- The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided
Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
- A description of all covariates tested
- A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
- A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
- For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F , t , r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted
Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.
- For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
- For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
- Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d , Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated

Our web collection on [statistics for biologists](#) contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about [availability of computer code](#)

Data collection

Electrophysiological data were recorded using Clampex 10.7; Calcium imaging data were recorded using MetaFluor 7.8.8.0; Behavioral video acquisition were performed through Ethovision Pro 3.16 and Anymaze; Anatomical data were recorded using Imaris 9.31

Data analysis

Electrophysiological data were analysed using Mini analysis 6.0; Calcium imaging data were analysed with Fiji 1.47v and Python 3.7.6; Behavioral video analysis were performed through Ethovision Pro 3.16 and Anymaze; 3D reconstruction of astrocytes were performed using Imaris 9.31; statistical analysis were performed using GraphPad Prism 6

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research [guidelines for submitting code & software](#) for further information.

Data

Policy information about [availability of data](#)

All manuscripts must include a [data availability statement](#). This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

Python code (used for ex vivo calcium-imaging data analysis) can be found in Supplementary Software. All data that support the findings of the present study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

Life sciences Behavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see [nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf](https://www.nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf)

Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size	No statistical methods were used to pre-determine sample sizes but our sample sizes are similar to those reported in previous publications.
Data exclusions	For CPP test: animals spending more than 80% of the total time in a single chamber before the conditioning, or with cannulae/optic fiber issues, were removed from the analysis. For EPM test: Animals falling from the apparatus during the test, freezing more than 50% of the total time, or with cannulae/optic fiber issues, were removed from the analysis. For mechanical sensitivity test: animals with cannulae/optic fiber issues, were removed from the analysis.
Replication	All experimental results were successfully reproduced at least 3 times. In addition, all statistical analysis were performed using the experimental number and not the recording number (e.g. calcium imaging, statistical unit is the slice, not the cell).
Randomization	All animals were allocated randomly to the experimental groups.
Blinding	All analysis were conducted blindly and reproduced twice per two peoples at least.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems

Methods

n/a	Involved in the study	n/a	Involved in the study
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antibodies	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> ChIP-seq
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eukaryotic cell lines	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Flow cytometry
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Palaeontology and archaeology	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> MRI-based neuroimaging
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Animals and other organisms		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Human research participants		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Clinical data		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Dual use research of concern		

Antibodies

Antibodies used	Anti-GFAP, Abcam, ab-4674; anti-glutamine synthase, Merk Millipore, MAB302; Alexa 555 goat anti-chicken, Abcam, ab150170; Alexa 488 goat anti-rabbit, Abcam, ab150077; Anti-ALH1L1, Abcam, ab87117; Alexa 680 goat anti-rabbit, Abcam, ab175773; Anti-NeuN, Abcam, ab175773; Anti-Cre antibody, Abcam, ab104225.
Validation	All antibodies validations are available on the description files of the respective companies websites.

Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about [cell lines](#)

Cell line source(s)	Human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293T cell line was purchased from Addgene, USA (catalog number #240073).
Authentication	Cells authentication was done by microscopic inspection.
Mycoplasma contamination	All cell lines were tested negative for mycoplasma contamination.
Commonly misidentified lines (See ICLAC register)	No commonly misidentified cell lines were used in this study.

Animals and other organisms

Policy information about [studies involving animals](#); [ARRIVE guidelines](#) recommended for reporting animal research

Laboratory animals

Animals were housed under standard conditions with food and water available ad libitum and maintained on a 12-hour light/dark cycle and all experiments were conducted in accordance with EU rules and approbation from French Ministry of Research (O1597.05). For ex vivo and in vivo experiments, both male and female Wistar rats or C5BL/6 mice were used. Ex vivo experiments used animals between 18 and 25 days old, except in experiments where rAAVs were injected, in which case animals were between 2 and 6 months old at the time of sacrifice. In vivo experiments used 2-month-old animals at the time of the first surgery.

Specific deletion of OTRs in CeL astrocytes. To specifically ablate OTRs in CeA astrocytes, transgenic cKO mice, in which loxP sites flank the OTR coding sequence¹⁸, received bilateral injections (280 nl) of rAAV-GFAP-GFP-IRES-Cre. Following four weeks of expression of the viral proteins, mice were intracardially perfused with 1x PBS and 4% PFA. Brain sections were used for FISH (OTR mRNA) and IHC (GS) to verify the validity of the approach. Representative images and quantifications are provided in Figure 2H, Extended Data Fig. 2g-h.

Specific deletion of Cx30 and Cx43 in astrocytes. To specifically impair gap-junctions coupling, we used Cx30-/-Cx43fl/fl:hGFAP-Cre mice (Cx30/Cx43 double KO), which were previously characterized^{19,50,51}, with conditional deletion of Cx43 in astrocytes⁵² and additional deletion of Cx30⁵³.

Wild animals

No wild animals were used in this study

Field-collected samples

No field collected samples were used in this study

Ethics oversight

All the experiments and protocols were approved by both the French Ministry of Research.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.