

Controlled Radical Polymerization of Vinyl Acetate with Cyclopenta dienyl Chromium β -Diketiminate Complexes: ATRP vs OMRP

Yohan Champouret, K. Cory Cory Macleod, Kevin M Smith, Brian O Patrick, Rinaldo Poli

► To cite this version:

Yohan Champouret, K. Cory Cory Macleod, Kevin M
 Smith, Brian O Patrick, Rinaldo Poli. Controlled Radical Polymerization of Vinyl Acetate with Cyclopenta
dienyl Chromium β -Diketiminate Complexes: ATRP vs OMRP. Organo
metallics, 2010, 29 (14), pp.3125-3132. 10.1021/om1002649 . hal-03177600

HAL Id: hal-03177600 https://hal.science/hal-03177600

Submitted on 23 Mar 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Controlled Radical Polymerization of Vinyl Acetate with Cyclopentadienyl Chromium β-Diketiminate Complexes: ATRP vs. OMRP

Yohan Champouret,^a K. Cory MacLeod,^b Kevin M. Smith,^b Brian O. Patrick,^c Rinaldo

Poli^{a,d}*

^aCNRS; LCC (Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination); Université de Toulouse; UPS, INPT; 205, route de Narbonne, F-31077 Toulouse, France
^bDepartment of Chemistry, University of British Columbia Okanagan, 3333
University Way, Kelowna, BC, Canada V1V 1V7
^cDepartment of Chemistry, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British
Columbia, Canada V6T 1Z1
^dInstitut Universitaire de France, 103, bd Saint-Michel, 75005 Paris, France

Abstract

The ability of compounds $CpCr^{II}(nacnac^{Ar,Ar})$ (Ar = Dipp, 1; Xyl, 2) to catalyze the atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) of vinyl acetate has been explored using methyl 2-chloropropionate as initiator. Polymerizations were also carried out under reverse ATRP conditions with thermal initiation by 2,2'-azobis(4-methoxy-2,4-dimethylvaleronitrile) (V-70) in the presence of compounds CpCr^{III}Cl(nacnac^{Ar,Ar}) (Ar = Dipp, 3; Xyl, 4). All data suggest that these processes are in fact proceeding by organometallic mediated radical polymerization (OMRP). Stoichiometric reactions between complex 2 and the chlorinated compounds $CH_3CH(Cl)COOCH_3$, ClCH₂COPh, CH₃CHClOOCCH₃, ClCH₂OOCtBu, and ClCH₂tBu in the absence of monomer aid in the interpretation of the polymerization results. The first two substrates show rapid 1-electron oxidative additions to generate 4 and O-bonded Cr^{III} products, whereas the 3rd and 4th substrate add much more slowly to generate **4** and C-bonded Cr^{III} products and ClCH₂tBu does not react. DFT calculations on the competitive radical trapping processes (activation barriers and relative stability of the products) confirms the kinetic and thermodynamic preference for metal-carbon bond formation to generate the dormant species of OMRP.

Introduction

There has been considerable interest in recent years in the controlled polymerization of vinyl acetate (VAc), since the resulting polymers as well as statistical and block copolymers have many different applications, related to the subsequent conversion of the hydrophobic acetate functions to hydrophilic alcohol functions. This monomer can only be polymerized by the radical pathway but controlled chain growth is difficult due to the relatively high reactivity of the propagating radical species compared to more tractable substrates such as styrene or acrylates.¹ Among various methods, comprising degenerate transfer with dithiocarbamates,^{2, 3} xanthates,^{4, 5} and organotellurium and –antimony compounds,⁶ the use of cobalt complexes either in a degenerative transfer mode^{7, 8} or in a reversible termination mode^{9, 10} (organometallic mediated radical polymerization, or OMRP) has proven to afford the best level of control, with polydispersity indexes below 1.1 in certain cases.¹¹ In the OMRP mechanism, unwanted bimolecular radical coupling reactions are effectively prevented by the reversible formation and homolytic cleavage of a metal-alkyl bond (Scheme 1).¹²



Scheme 1

On the basis of the well established ability of Cr^{II} complexes such as $[Cr(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$ or solvated CrCl₂ to effectively trap organic radicals at close to diffusion controlled rates to form Cr^{III}-alkyl species,¹³ we have recently tested a few high spin organometallic Cr^{II} complexes of type CpCr(nacnac^{Ar,Ar'}), where nacnac^{Ar,Ar'} is a bulky aryl-substituted β-diketiminato ligand, ArNC(CH₃)CHC(CH₃)NAr', as moderating agents in VAc polymerization and have shown that they can indeed trap the growing PVAc radical chains effectively and reversibly.^{14, 15} The polymerization at 30°C initiated by the thermally labile diazo compound 2,2'-azobis(4-methoxy-2,4-dimethylvaleronitrile) (V-70, $t_{1/2} = 10$ h) in the presence of the more sterically hindered complex with $Ar = Ar' = C_6H_3iPr_2-2,6$ or Dipp, **1**, was relatively fast (70%) conversion in 46 h using VAc/V-70/1=500/0.8/1), albeit much slower than the control experiment carried out without Cr complex, but poorly controlled $(M_w/M_n = 1.8)$ consistent with a relatively slow trapping rate. The less sterically hindered system with $Ar = Ar' = C_6H_3Me_2-2,6$ or Xyl, **2**, on the other hand, revealed a much less reversible OMRP trapping process, since polymerization stopped at a conversion of ca. 12% at 50°C and did not progress further even at 90°C.¹⁴ Further work using the neopentyl compound CpCr^{III}(nacnac^{Xyl,Xyl})(CH₂CMe₃) as a single-molecule initiator, however, showed activation and sustained (albeit very slow) polymerization at room temperature with better control $(M_w/M_n = 1.46 \text{ at } 14\% \text{ conversion after } 400 \text{ h})$, but complete deactivation occurred at higher temperatures (> 50°C), this being traced to a decomposition process with formation of the acetate complex CpCr^{III}(nacnac^{Xyl,Xyl})(O₂CCH₃).¹⁵

We are also interested in the interplay between ATRP and OMRP mechanisms for controlled chain growth.¹² When the metal complex in the reduced state is able to abstract a halogen atom from a halogen-terminated dormant chain, yielding the chain carrying radical and an oxidized metal complex, a moderating equilibrium based on this atom transfer process can also be installed. For the half-sandwich CpCr(nacnac) system, this ATRP activation/deactivation equilibrium is possible since the Cr^{III} known,¹⁵⁻¹⁷ complexes of are corresponding chloro notably $CpCr^{III}(nacnac^{Ar,Ar'})Cl$ with $Ar = Ar' = Dipp (3)^{16}$ or Xyl (4).¹⁵ The first such interplay was reported for the polymerization of styrene mediated by half-sandwich Mo complexes, CpMo^{III}Cl₂L₂ (L₂ = (PMe₃)₂, dppe, or η^4 -C₄H₆).¹⁸

The interplay of the two trapping processes is schematically shown in the free energy diagram of Figure 1. When the polymerization is carried out under OMRP conditions (either from the reduced complex Mt^n and an external radical source such as V-70, or from an organometallic initiator Mt^{n+1} -R₀), no interplay with ATRP can occur if the metal complex is devoid of halogen ligand. This is the case for the above mentioned VAc polymerization mediated by complexes 1 and 2. On the other hand, when the polymerization is carried out under ATRP conditions (Mtⁿ + R₀-X), or the so-called "reverse" ATRP conditions (Mtⁿ⁺¹-X and an external source of R₀* such as V-70), then the active chain may be trapped both by the Mtⁿ⁺¹-X complex generated during the activation (under ATRP conditions) or initially present (under reverse ATRP conditions), giving rise to the ATRP equilibrium, or by Mtⁿ initially present (under ATRP conditions) or generated by the initial ATRP trapping (under reverse ATRP conditions), giving rise to the OMRP equilibrium. For each type of activation/deactivation equilibrium, three energetic parameters are of importance: a thermodynamic parameter ΔG and the two activation barriers $\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{act}$ and $\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{deact}$ for the activation and deactivation process ($\Delta G = \Delta G^{\ddagger}_{act} - \Delta G^{\ddagger}_{deact}$), as shown in Figure 1*a*.



Figure 1. Schematic energy diagrams for the interplay of ATRP and OMRP trapping. (*a*) Case of dominant ATRP. (*b*) Case of dominant OMRP.

When ATRP trapping is thermodynamically more favorable (case of Figure 1*a*), the dormant chain prevalently contains halogenated chain ends. Different situations have been described, where $\Delta G_{\text{ATRP}} >>> \Delta G_{\text{OMRP}}$, as in the case of copper systems where control is essentially only insured by ATRP,¹⁹ or $\Delta G_{\text{ATRP}} > \Delta G_{\text{OMRP}}$ (ATRP dormant species marginally more stable than the OMRP dormant species), as in the case of some molybdenum¹⁸ and osmium systems.^{20, 21} In the latter cases, the two trapping equilibria cooperate during the ATRP process and a more or less pronounced degree of control can also be observed under pure OMRP conditions.

It is also possible to envisage, however, a case where OMRP trapping dominates $(\Delta G_{\text{ATRP}} < \Delta G_{\text{OMRP}})$, as shown in Figure 1*b*. This situation has not yet been highlighted, to the best of our knowledge. We would like to present here the first example of this situation. Chromium(II) complexes are known to possess a strong reducing power, thus the Cr^{III}-Cl bond may be expected to be rather strong in a homolytic sense, compared for instance to a Fe^{III}-Cl or Cu^{II}-Cl bond. In turn, this is expected to reduce the ΔG_{ATRP} value for a given R-X system, since $\Delta H_{\text{ATRP}} = [\text{BDE}(\text{R-X})-\text{BDE}(\text{Mt}^{n+1}-\text{X})]^{12}$ while ΔS_{ATRP} should be small. Vinyl acetate is a monomer that leads to rather strong C-X bonds for X = Cl or Br.²² In order to activate dormant chains with strong C-X bonds, metal complexes capable of yielding stronger Mtⁿ⁺¹-X bonds are necessary. Under these circumstances, stronger Mtⁿ⁺¹-C bonds should also be formed, likely leading to irreversible OMRP trapping, unless the Mtⁿ⁺¹-C bond strength is attenuated by steric interactions and this is indeed the case for the CpCr^{II}(nacnac) system, as demonstrated in our previous contributions.^{14, 15}

Experimental Section

Materials. All procedures, unless otherwise stated, were carried out under dry, oxygen-free argon or nitrogen, using standard Schlenk and glove box techniques.

Solvents were dried by using the method of Grubbs²³ or distilled under argon from appropriate drying agents and degassed by three freeze-vacuum-thaw cycles prior to use.²⁴ Celite (Aldrich) was dried overnight at 110 °C before being evacuated and then stored under argon or nitrogen. Vinyl acetate (VOAc, 99 %, Alfa Aesar) was passed through a neutral alumina column to remove the stabilizer, dried over calcium hydride, distilled at 90 °C, degassed by three freeze-vacuum-thaw cycles and stored under argon or nitrogen at -20 °C. 2,2'-azobis(4-methoxy-2,4-dimethylvaleronitrile) (V-70, 96%, Wako) was used as received. Acetophenone, methyl 2-chloropropionate, chloromethyl pivalate and neopentyl chloride were purchased from Aldrich, distilled under reduced pressure and/or degassed by three freeze-vacuum-thaw cycles before being used. Methyl propionate, phenacyl chloride and KN(SiMe₃)₂ were purchased from Aldrich and used as received. Complexes $CpCr^{II}(nacnac^{Ar,Ar})$ (Ar = Dipp, 1; Xyl, 2),^{14, 16} $CpCr^{III}(nacnac^{Ar,Ar})Cl$ (Ar = Dipp, 3; Xyl, 4),^{15, 16} $CpCr^{III}(nacnac^{Xyl,Xyl})I$,¹⁷ and CH₃CH(Cl)OOCCH₃²⁵ were prepared according to literature procedures. K[OC(Ph)=CH₂] and K[OC(OCH₃)=CHCH₃] were prepared according to literature procedures outlining the preparation of similar potassium enolate compounds.²⁶

Characterizations. A Varian Cary 100 Bio UV–visible spectrophotometer was used to conduct measurements using a specially constructed cell for air-sensitive samples: a Kontes Hi-Vac Valve with PTFE plug was attached by a professional glassblower to a Hellma 10 mm path length quartz absorption cell with a quartz-to-glass graded seal. Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) of poly (vinyl acetate) was carried out in filtered THF (flow rate: 1 ml/min) at 35°C on a 300x7,5 mm PL gel 5 micrometer mixed-D column (polymer laboratories), equipped with multiangle light scattering (minidawn Tristar, Wyatt Technology Corporation) and refractive index (RI2000, Sopares) detectors, with a waters column pack (300x7,5 mm, ultrastyragel 104,103,100 Å), equipped with multiangle light scattering (miniDawn Tristar,Wyatt Technology Corp.) and refractive index (waters 410) detectors or at 30° C on a Polymer Labs PL-GPC 50 plus (two PLgel mixC columns in series) with a PL-AS RT autosampler and PL-RI detector. The isolated polymer samples were dissolved in THF and the polymer solutions were filtered (pore size = 0.45 µm) before chromatographic analysis. The columns were calibrated against linear polystyrene standards (Polymer Laboratories).

General procedures for the radical polymerization of vinyl acetate. (a) ATRP procedure: Cr^{II} + methyl 2-chloropropionate. All polymerizations were conducted following the same experimental protocol. As a representative example, the Schlenk tube was charged with complex 1 (36 mg, 0.086 mmol, 1 equiv) and methyl 2-chloropropionate (5 μ L, 0.043 mmol, 0.5 equiv), followed by the addition of degassed vinyl acetate (4 mL, 43 mmol, 500 equiv). The Schlenk tube was degassed by three freeze-vacuum-thaw cycles and then immersed in an oil bath preheated at 50°C. At the desired time, the Schlenk flask was rapidly cooled to room temperature by immersion into iced water before sample withdrawal. The monomer conversion was determined gravimetrically after removal of the unconverted monomer under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was used for SEC characterization.

(b) Reverse ATRP procedure: Cr^{III}Cl + V-70. All polymerizations were

conducted following the same experimental protocol. A typical experiment is described here as a representative example with complex **4**, VAc and 0.5 eq. of V-70 (Cr:V-70:VAc=1:0.5:500). All operations were carried out under a protective argon atmosphere. Complex **4** (39.3 mg, 0.086 mmol, 1 equiv) and V-70 (13.2 mg 0.043 mmol, 0.5 eq.) were introduced in a Schlenk tube, followed by the addition of degassed vinyl acetate (4 mL, 43 mmol, 500 equiv). The Schlenk tube was degassed by three freeze-vacuum-thaw cycles and then immersed in an oil bath preheated at 50 °C. At the desired time, the Schlenk flask was rapidly cooled to room temperature by immersion into iced water before sample withdrawal. The monomer conversion was determined gravimetrically after removal of the unconverted monomer under reduced pressure, and the resulting residue was used for SEC characterization.

(c) From a Cr^{III}-enolate complex. Compounds CpCr^{III}(nacnac^{XyI,XyI})I (151 mg, 0.275 mmol) and K[OC(OCH₃)=CHCH₃] (42.3 mg, 0.335 mmol, 1.22 equiv) were placed in a Schlenk flask followed by the addition of toluene (15 mL). The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature at which point the solvent was evaporated *in vacuo*. The residue was extracted with hexanes (10 mL) and filtered through Celite (UV/vis: $\lambda_{max} = 397$ nm, 635 nm). The green solution was cooled to -35 °C to yield 25.1 mg of compound CpCr^{III}(nacnac^{XyI,XyI})[OC(OCH₃)=CHCH₃] (18%). This batch was then dissolved in VAc (2 mL). Stirring at room temperature for 54 h and then warming to 50°C for 21.5 h did not result in any polymerization process.

Synthesis of compound CpCr(nacnac^{Xy1,Xy1})[OC(Ph)=CH₂], 5. A toluene (1 mL) suspension of K[OC(Ph)=CH₂] (16.9 mg, 0.107 mmol, 1.11 equiv) was reacted

with CpCr^{III}(nacnac^{XyI,XyI})I (52.9 mg, 0.0963 mmol) dissolved in toluene (4 mL) in a Schlenk flask overnight at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated *in vacuo*, the residue was extracted with hexanes (2 mL), filtered through Celite and cooled to -35 °C for 3 days to yield crystals of **5** (5.6 mg, 11 %) suitable for x-ray crystallographic analysis. Due to the presence of co-crystallized iodide starting material, satisfactory elemental analysis for **5** was not obtained. UV/vis (hexanes; λ_{max} , nm (ϵ , M⁻¹cm⁻¹)): 407 (7600), 607 (500).

X-ray characterization of compound 5. A single crystal of compound 5 was mounted on a glass fiber and centered on the optical path of a Bruker X8 APEX II diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo Ka radiation. The data were collected at a temperature of -100.0 \pm 0.1 °C in a series of ϕ and ω scans in 0.5° oscillations. Data were collected and integrated using the Bruker SAINT software package²⁷ and were corrected for absorption effects using the multiscan technique (TWINABS)²⁸ and for Lorentz and polarization effects. The structure was solved by direct SIR92.²⁹ Compound 5 methods with crystallizes with 10 % CpCr^{III}(nacnac^{Xyl,Xyl})I starting material in the crystal lattice. The material crystallizes as a two component split crystal with the two components related by a 33° rotation about the (1 0 0) real axis. Data were integrated for both twin components, including both overlapped and non-overlapped reflections. The structure was solved using non-overlapped data from the major twin component. Subsequent refinements of 5 were carried out using an HKLF 5 format data set containing complete from component 1 and all overlapped reflections from component 2. The batch scale

refinement showed a roughly 82:18 ratio between the major and minor twin components. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. All hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions but were not refined. All refinements were performed using the SHELXTL crystallographic software package of Bruker-AXS.³⁰ The molecular drawing was generated by the use of ORTEP-3.³¹

Computational details. QM/MM calculations were carried out by use of the Gaussian03 suite of programs³² with use of the B3LYP functional³³ within the DFT methodology for the QM part and of the UFF³⁴ for the MM part. The basis set chosen for the QM calculations comprised the 6-31G* set for the H, C, N, O and Cl atoms, and the SDD set, which includes a pseudopotential, augmented by an f polarization function with the optimized³⁵ 1.941 coefficient for the Cr atom. The cutoff between the QM and MM parts was placed at the level of the Ar-N bonds, with the NC(Me)CHC(Me)N diketiminato moiety being treated quantomechanically together with the Cr atom, the Cp ring, the Cl ligand and the CH(OAc)CH₃ fragment, while the entire aryl substituents were handled at the MM level. The input geometry of compound **3** was obtained from the crystallographically characterized compound¹⁵ and those of the related systems with Ar = Ph or Dipp were adapted from it. For the Cl-atom transfer transition states, the input geometries were constructed following an initial scan of the Cr-Cl distance with the Cl-CH(OAc)CH₃ molecule being initially placed next to the CpCr^{II}(nacnac^{Ar,Ar}) system in a direction suitable for the eventual formation of the Cr-Cl bond, with a linear Cr...Cl-C angle. Spin contamination was negligible, all calculations converging with $\langle S^2 \rangle$ close to the expected values (3.75)

for the quartet state of Cr^{III}, 6.00 for the quintet state of the atom transfer transition states). The maximum deviations were 3.7966 for the quartet states (Ar = Dipp) and 6.0848 for the quintet state (Ar = Dipp). The calculations on the Cr^{II} complexes CpCr^{III}(nacnac^{Ar,Ar}), on the organometallic dormant complexes CpCr^{III}(nacnac^{Ar,Ar})-(CHMeOAc), and on the transition states between them for (CHMeOAc) trapping (Ar = Ph, Xyl, Dipp) have previously been described at the same level of theory.¹⁵ Frequency calculations confirmed the nature of the optimized geometries as global energy minima. The electronic energies were corrected by the ZPVE term and converted to enthalpy and to Gibbs free energies at 25°C on the basis of the ideal gas and harmonic oscillator approximations.

Results

(a) ATRP of VAc mediated by complexes 1 and 2

Polymerizations of VAc were carried out in the presence of compounds **1** or **2** as catalysts under standard ATRP conditions with CH₃CH(Cl)COOCH₃ as initiator. Compound CH₃CH(Cl)COOCH₃ was chosen as an initiator because its C-Cl bond is weaker than that of the ATRP PVAc-Cl dormant chain,²² thus ensuring a fast consumption of initiator. Although bromide compounds are typically preferred as initiators for ATRP, we selected a reactive chloro derivative in this case because the expected product of atom transfer, the Cr^{III} chloride complexes **3** and **4**, also exist and can been independently used to install the same atom transfer equilibrium by reverse

ATRP (*vide infra*). The operating conditions and conversion results are given in Figure 2. Note that these reactions were carried out at 50°C. In the presence of 1 equiv of initiator per Cr^{II} atom, monomer consumption was initially rapid but subsequently reached a plateau at 26% and 16% conversion with compounds **1** and **2**, respectively. The efficiency factor is very low (ca. 0.11 in both experiments) and the polymer obtained has a relatively narrow polydispersity (M_w/M_n in the range 1.44-1.56 for **1**, 1.34-1.69 for **2**). Lowering the amount of initiator to 0.5 equiv per Cr^{II} yields a similar trend with lower plateau conversion values and lower initiator efficiency (ca. 0.08 in both cases). This final conversion is again higher with compound **1** (7%) than with compound **2** (5.4%). Note that the maximum conversion is reached after approximately the same time (ca. 3.5 h) for all four experiments.



Figure 2. Conversion as a function of time for the VAc polymerization initiated by $CH_3CH(Cl)COOCH_3$ (In-Cl) in the presence of compounds 1 and 2. Conditions: $VAc/Cr^{II}/CH_3CH(Cl)COOCH_3 = 500:1:(1 \text{ or } 0.5)$. T = 50°C.

Polymerizations were also carried out with compounds **1** and **2** under similar conditions with 0.5 equiv of initiator per Cr^{II} but now working at room temperature. For both compounds greater conversions were attained relative to the experiments at

50°C. As shown in Figure 3 the monomer consumption is initially rapid but slows over time, although polymerization does not stop, whereas no sustained polymerization had been observed at 50°C beyond 3.5 h (Figure 2). These results suggest the occurrence of a thermal decomposition pathway at 50°C, which is not prevalent at room temperature. This behavior parallels that of the same polymerization carried out under OMRP conditions and hence suggests that a switch to OMRP control occurs (see Discussion). Like at 50°C, the conversion attained with 1 are greater than with 2. The M_n deviated little from the theoretical values (M_n/M_{n,th} up to a maximum of 2) and the M_w/M_n gradually increased throughout the duration of the reaction to a final value of 2.4 with 1 and 1.75 with 2.



Figure 3. Conversion as a function of time for the VAc polymerization initiated by $CH_3CH(Cl)COOCH_3$ (In-Cl) in the presence of compound 1 (diamonds) or 2 (squares). Conditions: $VAc/Cr^{II}/CH_3CH(Cl)COOCH_3 = 500:1:0.5$. T = room temp.

(b) Reverse ATRP of VAc mediated by complexes 3 and 4

Polymerization experiments of VAc were also carried out under reverse ATRP

conditions (in the presence of complexes **3** or **4** and variable amounts of the azo-compound V-70 as a radical source). The conditions used for the polymerizations and the conversion results are summarized in Figure 4. Polymerizations occurred although, like for the ATRP processes described in the previous section, they reached a plateau and stopped at low monomer conversion. Note that these experiments were also conducted at 50°C. The polymerizations stopped within the time interval between 5 and 20 h (the initiator half life at this temperature is ca. 38 min) and essentially identical conversions were reached with compounds **3** and **4** under identical conditions (respectively 32% and 31% when using 0.5 equiv of V-70; 50% and 46% when using 1.5 equiv). The polymer characteristics are quite similar to those obtained by the ATRP method (section a): the M_w/M_n values are generally in the 1.4-1.6 range and efficiency factors are low (0.2-0.6, calculated on the basis of Cr).



Figure 4. Conversion as a function of time for the VAc polymerization initiated by V-70 in the presence of compound **3** (a) or **4** (b). Conditions: VAc/Cr/V-70

$$= 500:1:(0.5-4)$$
. T $= 50^{\circ}$ C.

(c) Stoichiometric reactions of CpCr(nacnac^{Xyl,Xyl})

Spectroscopic analysis of the VAc polymerization reaction at room temperature in the presence of 2 and 0.5 equiv of the ATRP initiator CH₃CH(Cl)COOCH₃ showed the complete disappearance of the absorption bands of 2 at 427 nm and 573 nm and development of new strong absorption bands at 420 nm and 581 nm within 10 min at room temp. Compound 2 was also reacted with the initiator in the absence of VAc (THF used as solvent) resulting in identical changes in the UV/Vis spectrum within the same timescale. Complex 2 also reacts rapidly with phenacyl chloride, ClCH₂COPh (quantitative conversion upon mixing at room temp), consistent with low C–Cl BDE for substrates with α -C(O)R substituents.²² Cl atom abstraction from these two Cl-substituted species by 2 would result in the formation of 0.5 equiv of CpCr(nacnac^{Xyl,Xyl})Cl and a CH₃CHCOOCH₃ or CH₂COPh radical which will then react with the remaining Cr^{II} to form a Cr^{III} species. These reactions could potentially form either a Cr-C bonded (Cr-CH(CH₃)COOCH₃ or Cr-CH₂COPh) or a Cr-O bonded (Cr–OC(OCH₃)=CHCH₃ or Cr-OC(Ph)=CH₂) product. The UV/Vis spectra from these reactions lacked the distinctive absorption band between 530 and 560 nm characteristic of CpCr(nacnac)(alkyl) complexes,¹⁵⁻¹⁷ suggesting the formation of a Cr–O bond (Scheme 2). In order to independently synthesize one of these complexes in the absence of 4, methyl propionate was deprotonated with KN(SiMe₃)₂,²⁶ and the isolated potassium enolate was reacted with CpCr(nacnac^{Xyl,Xyl})I.¹⁷ Although X-ray

Cr^{III} quality of the resulting enolate complex crystals CpCr(nacnac^{Xyl,Xyl})[OC(OCH₃)=CHCH₃] could not be obtained, the corresponding reaction sequence with acetophenone provided suitable crystals of $CpCr(nacnac^{Xyl,Xyl})[OC(=CH_2)Ph]$, 5. Complex 5 co-crystallized with ~10% of unreacted Cr(III) iodide starting material, a problem that was also observed in the structure of CpCr(nacnac^{Dep,Dep})(CH₃).¹⁷ However, the structure confirmed the bonding mode of the organic fragment as an enolate bound through oxygen. The large Cr–O–C bond angle of 5 (Figure 5) is consistent with an O-bound enolate ligand as opposed to a C-bound ligand which would be expected to have a considerably smaller bond angle. In addition, the two hydrogen atoms bound to C27 were clearly visible during structural refinements of the crystallographic data for compound 5 and consistent with sp² hybridization at the carbon atom. As the UV/Vis spectra of both enolate complexes are very similar, we propose that the CpCr(nacnac^{Xyl,Xyl})[OC(OCH₃)=CHCH₃] complex also has a Cr–O bond. Isolated CpCr(nacnac^{Xyl,Xyl})[OC(OCH₃)=CHCH₃] did not initiate the polymerization of vinyl acetate, at room temp or when heated to 50°C.



Figure 5. Thermal ellipsoid diagram (50 %) of compound 5. Compound 5 co-crystallizes with approx. 10 % iodide starting material; the I atom of the co-crystallized starting material and all H atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å): Cr(1)–N(1), 2.007(3); Cr(1)–N(2), 2.023(3); Cr(1)–CNT, 1.925; Cr(1)–O(1), 1.878(4); O(1)–C(28), 1.367(18); C(27)–C(28), 1.37(3). Selected bond angles (deg): N(1)–Cr(1)–N(2), 89.61(13); N(1)–Cr(1)–O(1), 90.26(15); N(2)–Cr(1)–O(1), 91.07(15); CNT–Cr(1)–O(1), 126.17; Cr(1)–O(1)–C(28), 145.9(12).



Scheme 2

In contrast with the above fast reactions, compound **2** reacted much more slowly with one equiv of either 1-chloroethyl acetate or chloromethyl pivalate at room temperature (significant conversion after 24 h), and no reaction of **2** with neopentyl chloride was observed at room temp or at 50°C (UV/Vis). In these cases, the UV/Vis monitoring gave clear evidence of the formation of **4** and a Cr^{III} alkyl product (broad band at ~550 nm). Interestingly, whereas the absorption assigned to the putative CpCr(nacnac^{XyI,XyI})[CH(OOCCH₃)CH₃] product decreased in intensity at extended reaction times, that assigned to the putative CpCr(nacnac^{XyI,XyI})[CH₂OOC*t*Bu] product maintained its intensity unaltered, suggesting greater stability for the alkyl compound with the primary alkyl group.

(d) DFT studies

We have decided to recur to DFT calculations in order to address several issues. A first issue is the relative stabilities of the ATRP and OMRP dormant states with respect to the active radical. The 1-chloroethyl acetate molecule was chosen as a suitable model for the PVAc-Cl dormant chain of the ATRP process, whereas the CpCr(nacnac^{Ar,Ar})(CHMeOAc) was considered suitable model to the CpCr(nacnac^{Ar,Ar})(PVAc) dormant chain of the OMRP process. The relative energy of the latter and the steric effect of the Ar group (Ph, Xyl, Dipp) on the Cr-C bond dissociation energy has already been calculated and presented in our previous contribution.¹⁵ The previous study also included the calculation of the transition state for the OMRP trapping process. Therefore, the new calculations of the chloride complexes and of the transition states for the ATRP activation/deactivation process for the three aryl systems were conducted at the same level of theory (see Experimental Section for details). The energetic results are summarized in Figure 6 and the transition state geometries are shown in Figure 7.



Figure 6. Energy diagram for the ATRP and OMRP activation/deactivation involving the CpCr^{II}(nacnac^{Ar,Ar}) and CH₃CH(Cl)OAc systems (Ar = Ph, red; Xyl, blue; Dipp, purple). For each localized energy minimum or transition state, the three given numbers indicate respectively the change of electronic energy (ΔE_{el}), enthalpy (ΔH) and Gibbs free energy (ΔG) in kcal/mol. The data are plotted on the ΔE_{el} scale.



Figure 7. Geometries of the transition states for the ATRP activation/deactivation process involving the Ph (above), Xyl (middle) and Dipp (below) systems.

The calculations indicate that the ATRP dormant state is less favored than the OMRP dormant state for all Ar substituents at the ΔE_{el} and ΔH levels. Only when introducing the gas-phase (-TS) term, *i.e.* going to the ΔG level, the stability order is inverted (only for Xyl and Dipp systems). In solution, however, the major portion of the entropy term (translational + rotational) is partially quenched by the solvent lattice and the actual change of G is expected to differ from the gas-phase value and to be closer to the ΔH value. The calculations also indicate that the steric effect operated by the Ar substituents is more pronounced on the OMRP activation/deactivation equilibrium (difference between the Ph and Dipp systems of 7.3, 8.6 and 10.8 kcal mol⁻¹ at the ΔE_{el} , ΔH , and ΔG levels) than on the ATRP equilibrium (corresponding differences of 1.3, 6.2 and 6.9 kcal mol⁻¹), because the smaller size of the Cl atom relative to the CH(CH₃)OAc fragment induces a less severe rearrangement of the coordination sphere on going from Cr^{II} to Cr^{III}. A greater steric hindrance disfavors the active state for the ATRP equilibrium and the dormant state for the OMRP equilibrium, so ΔG_{ATRP} increases in the order Ph < Xyl < Dipp (the same holds for ΔH_{ATRP} , whereas an inversion is predicted at the $\Delta E_{\text{el,ATRP}}$ level, see Figure 6) and ΔG_{OMRP} increases in the opposite order and by a greater extent. Finally, the calculations indicate that the energetic barrier leading from the active radical to the ATRP dormant state is much greater than that leading to the OMRP dormant state. Whereas the latter is almost non existent at the ΔE^{\ddagger}_{el} and ΔH^{\ddagger} levels (negative values are obtained after the PV correction for the Ph and Dipp systems) and in the 10-15 kcal mol⁻¹ range at the gas-phase ΔG^{\ddagger} level, the former is ca. 10 kcal mol⁻¹ at the ΔE^{\ddagger}_{el} and ΔH^{\ddagger} levels and > 20 kcal mol⁻¹ at the ΔG^{\ddagger} level. The geometries obtained for the ATRP transition states are very similar for the three systems and little dependent on the aryl steric requirements. As the steric bulk increases, the transition state is *earlier* along the Cl atom transfer coordinate, with a shorter Cr-Cl and a longer Cl-C distance, because of the greater steric push exerted by the nacnac ligand while regaining its more relaxed conformation in the 2-legged piano stool configuration of the Cr^{II} complex.

The difference between OMRP and ATRP trapping barriers at the ΔH^{\ddagger} level can be easily rationalized since OMRP trapping involves a simple bond making process and is therefore only requiring a small coordination sphere reorganization to allow the accommodation of the new ligand, whereas ATRP trapping involves simultaneous bond breaking and making processes. The PVAc[•] growing chains are therefore predicted to be trapped much more rapidly by the Cr^{II} complex than by the Cr^{III}-Cl complex. Note that, although the (–TS) term has nearly no effect on the thermodynamics of the ATRP equilibrium ($\Delta H_{\text{ATRP}} \approx \Delta G_{\text{ATRP}}$) because the reaction conserves the number of molecules, the activation process is very much affected by the entropy term because of the associative character of the atom transfer process. In conclusion, OMRP trapping is both thermodynamically and kinetically favored over ATRP trapping.

We have also explored the activation of compound CH₃CH(Cl)COOCH₃ by complex **2**, as well as the relative stability of the C-bonded and O-bonded Cr^{III} complexes formed by addition of the CH₃CHCOOCH₃ radical to 2, namely CpCr(nacnac^{Xyl,Xyl})(CH(COOCH₃)CH₃) CpCr(nacnac^{Xyl,Xyl})compounds and (OC(OCH₃)=CHCH₃). We limited these calculations to the thermodynamics, without optimization of the relevant transition states. The relative energy of 2 + 2 $CH_3CH(Cl)COOCH_3$ with respect to $4 + CH_3CHCOOCH_3$, is +1.4, -0.8 and -1.6 kcal mol⁻¹ at the ΔE , ΔH , and ΔG levels, respectively. The more favorable activation of CH₃CH(Cl)COOCH₃ relative to CH₃CH(Cl)OOCCH₃ (Figure 6) is caused by its weaker C-Cl bond (BDE of -68.4 for the former vs. -79.0 for the latter). Even though the transition state for the Cl atom transfer process was not optimized in this case, the activation energy can be easily predicted to be lower than for the methyl 2-cloroproprionate, because the C-Cl bond breaking process requires less energy while the Cr-Cl bond making process provides the same thermodynamic drive. This result is in line with the experimental observations: the stoichiometric reaction of 2 with CH₃CH(Cl)COOCH₃ is rapid whereas that with CH₃CH(Cl)OOCCH₃ is slow. The adduct formation between the CH₃CHCOOCH₃ radical and complex 2 provides a stabilization energy of -15.8 kcal mol⁻¹ for the C bonded form and -17.6 kcal mol⁻¹ for the O-bonded (α -methoxy enolate) form. On the ΔH scale, these bond energies become -9.4 and -14.3 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively. Hence, the enolate form is calculated as the more stable one. Both isomers, however, have a smaller bond dissociation energy than the OMRP dormant species of the PVAc model system (CH₃CHOOCCH₃ radical).

Discussion

The polymerization results show that the ATRP initiator CH₃CH(Cl)COOCH₃ is activated by CpCr^{II}(nacnac) (compounds 1 and 2) to start a slow polymerization of VAc. The initiator is also found to react with 2 in the absence of monomer, to presumably yield mixture of 3 and the enolate complex a CpCr(nacnac^{Xyl,Xyl})[OC(OCH₃)=CHCH₃] (Scheme 2). Indeed, the DFT calculations show that the enolate is more stable than the C-bonded form of the CH₃CHCOOCH₃ radical. In the presence of VAc, the primary CH₃(COOCH₃)CH[•] radical has the choice to either react with a molecule of CpCrII(nacnac) to irreversibly yield the CrIII enolate, or add to monomer to start the PVAc chain growth. The very low initiator efficiency observed for the process is likely related to the competitive trapping by chromium and indeed complex CpCr(nacnac^{Xyl,Xyl})[OC(OCH₃)=CHCH₃] was shown to be incapable to reinitiate polymerization. This low initiator efficiency factor could not be caused by extensive radical coupling termination during initiation, because a significant build-up of Cr^{II}, which would necessarily accompany such irreversible terminations, was not observed for these reactions.

Once the primary radical has started a PVAc chain, reversible trapping of this chain occurs as indicated by the sustained polymerization, even though the level of control is poor. Thus, a dormant species is formed. Of the two possible trapping processes, namely ATRP and OMRP, the latter must be preferentially operating, as indicated by a combination of experimental and theoretical evidence. On the experimental side, the reaction between compound **2** and CH₃CH(Cl)OAc, which has a C-Cl bond strength very close to that of the putative PVAc-Cl dormant species, was shown to be relatively slow. The evolution of the polymerization rate at 25°C (Figure 3) is in fact quite similar to that of the corresponding polymerization initiated by CpCr^{III}(nacnac^{Xy1,Xy1})(CH₂CMe₃) under the same conditions, which is necessarily controlled by the OMRP equilibrium.¹⁵ The decrease of the polymerization rate with time and the deactivation at higher temperature have been completely rationalized in the previous OMRP study as resulting from occasional head-to-head monomer insertions followed by acetate group transfer, leading to the inactive CpCr(nacnac^{Xy1,Xy1})(OAc) compound.¹⁵ Hence, the trend of polymerization rate is additional persuasive evidence that the VAc polymerization is moderated by the OMRP equilibrium also when it is initiated by the ATRP initiator. It is not surprising that similar results are also obtained under reverse ATRP conditions.

The computational results confirm the above conclusion and allow other interesting considerations. Faster activation of the more active methyl 2-chloropropionate relative to 1-chloroethyl acetate is also indicated by the DFT study. ATRP reactivation of the dormant PVAc-Cl chains (modeled by 1-chloroethyl acetate) is predicted to require a barrier of 30.4 and 31.3 kcal mol⁻¹ on the ΔG^{\ddagger} scale, or 16.4 and 19.8 kcal mol⁻¹ on the ΔH^{\ddagger} scale, for compounds **1** and **2**, respectively. These values are greater than those of the OMRP activation (12.5 and 8.8 kcal mol⁻¹ on the ΔG^{\ddagger} scale, or 16.0 and 12.0 kcal mol⁻¹ on the ΔH^{\ddagger} scale, for the Xyl and Dipp systems, respectively) and consistent with the relatively slow reaction between complex **2** and compound CH₃CH(Cl)OAc. At any rate, the most important parameter determining the nature of the moderating mechanism is the trapping rate, which is strongly in favor of the OMRP process.

The dynamics of this polymerization process can be understood as follows (refer to Scheme 3). When the polymerization is initiated by CH₃CH(Cl)COOMe in the presence of 1 or 2, Cl atom transfer occurs to generate the Cr^{III} chloro complex (3 or 4) and the stabilized CH₃(COOMe)CH[•] radical, which is either trapped by a second molecule of the CrII complex to yield an inactive CrIII enolate or adds to the VAc monomer. The non-stabilized PVAc[•] radical is then trapped more rapidly by additional CrII complex than by the CrIII chloro complex and subsequent chain growth is regulated by the OMRP equilibrium. Under reverse ATRP conditions (initiation by V-70 in the presence of complex 3 or 4), the primary radical adds to VAc and the resulting PVAc[•] radical can only be trapped by Cr^{III}–Cl, since no Cr^{II} complex is initially present. However, slow Cl atom transfer yields irreversibly PVAc-Cl and Cr^{II}. While PVAc-Cl is only very slowly reactivated according to an ATRP mechanism, compound 2 is now available to trap additional PVAc' chains and install the OMRP equilibrium. The reaction stops before complete monomer conversion when carried out at 50°C, because of a decomposition process of the OMRP dormant species to yield an acetate complex CpCr^{III}(nacnac)(OAc), as detailed in our previous contribution.¹⁵



Scheme 3

Conclusions

This contribution has served to illustrate the general principle that interplay between atom transfer and metal-carbon bond formation for the radical trapping in controlled radical polymerization may thermodynamically favor formation of the organometallic dormant species and has shown the first reported example where this is proven to occur. The contribution also shows, by computation of the activation barriers of the two competitive radical trapping processes, that the metal-carbon bond formation is greatly preferred for the kinetic standpoint. Although this is intuitively obvious, a clear statement to this effect and the explicit calculation of the two competitive barriers had not yet been reported to the best of our knowledge.

The system investigated here has no significant value for the practical development of efficient controlled polymerization protocols for vinyl acetate, a challenging monomer, because of a variety of problems. The low initiator efficiency factors related to the competitive formation of Cr^{III} enolates might be easily eliminated through the use of other sources of stabilized radicals devoid of conjugated

O atoms, for instance PhCH(Cl)CH₃. Sustained polymerization is however hampered by acetate transfer to the oxophilic metal center. This process has so far not been observed for cobalt systems, which appear so far the best ones for controlling the radical polymerization of this monomer. However, the half-sandwich Cr system reported here has been useful to better appreciate the scope of the ATRP-OMRP interplay.

Acknowledgement. We are grateful to the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (contract ANR No. NT05-2_42140) for financial support. RP also thanks the Institut Universitaire de France for additional support and the Centre Interuniversitaire de Calcul de Toulouse (CICT, project CALMIP) and the Centre Informatique National de l'Enseignement Supérieur (CINES) for granting free computational time. KMS thanks the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), the Canadian Foundation for Innovation, and the University of British Columbia for financial support.

Supporting Information Available. Tables of M_n and PDI vs. conversion for all polymerizations; key geometrical parameters for the optimized geometries; Cartesian coordinates for all new optimized geometries; complete crystallographic data for complex **5** (16 pages).

References

- ¹ Satoh, K.; Kamigaito, M., *Chem. Rev.* **2009**, 109, 5120–5156.
- ² Destarac, M.; Charmot, D.; Franck, X.; Zard, S. Z., *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2000**, 21, 1035-1039.
- ³ Rizzardo, E.; Chiefari, J.; Mayadunne, R.; Moad, G.; Thang, S., *Macromol. Symp.* **2001**, 174, 209-212.
- ⁴ Rizzardo, E.; Chiefari, J.; Mayadunne, R. T. A.; Moad, G.; Thang, S. H., ACS Symp. Ser. 2000, 768, 278-296.
- ⁵ Stenzel, M. H.; Cummins, L.; Roberts, G. E.; Davis, T. P.; Vana, P.; Barner-Kowollik, C., *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* 2003, 204, 1160-1168.
- ⁶ Yamago, S., Proc. Jpn. Acad. Ser. B-Phys. Biol. Sci. **2005**, 81, 117-128.
- ⁷ Debuigne, A.; Caille, J. R.; Jérôme, R., *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Eng.* **2005,** 44, 1101-1104.
- ⁸ Maria, S.; Kaneyoshi, H.; Matyjaszewski, K.; Poli, R., *Chem. Eur. J.* **2007**, 13, 2480-2492.
- ⁹ Debuigne, A.; Champouret, Y.; Jérôme, R.; Poli, R.; Detrembleur, C., *Chem. Eur. J.* **2008**, 14, 4046-4059.
- ¹⁰ Debuigne, A.; Poli, R.; Jérôme, R.; Jérôme, C.; Detrembleur, C., ACS Symp. Ser. 2009, 1024, 131-148.
- ¹¹ Debuigne, A.; Poli, R.; Jérôme, C.; Jérome, R.; Detrembleur, C., *Prog. Polym. Sci.* **2009**, 34, 211-239.
- ¹² Poli, R., Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **2006**, 45, 5058–5070.
- ¹³ Smith, K. M., *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2006**, 250, 1023-1031.
- ¹⁴ Champouret, Y.; Baisch, U.; Poli, R.; Tang, L.; Conway, J. L.; Smith, K. M., *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **2008**, 47, 6069-6072.
- ¹⁵ Champouret, Y.; Macleod, K. C.; Baisch, U.; Patrick, B. O.; Smith, K. M.; Poli, R., *Organometallics* **2010**, 29, 167–176.
- ¹⁶ Doherty, J. C.; Ballem, K. H. D.; Patrick, B. O.; Smith, K. M., *Organometallics* **2004**, 23, 1487-1489.
- ¹⁷ Macleod, K. C.; Conway, J. L.; Tang, L. M.; Smith, J. J.; Corcoran, L. D.; Ballem, K. H. D.; Patrick, B. O.; Smith, K. M., *Organometallics* **2009**, 28, 6798-6806.
- ¹⁸ Le Grognec, E.; Claverie, J.; Poli, R., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, 123, 9513-9524.
- ¹⁹ Matyjaszewski, K.; Woodworth, B. E., *Macromolecules* **1998**, 31, 4718-4723.
- ²⁰ Braunecker, W. A.; Itami, Y.; Matyjaszewski, K., *Macromolecules* **2005**, 38, 9402-9404.
- ²¹ Braunecker, W. A.; Brown, W. C.; Morelli, B.; Tang, W.; Poli, R.; Matyjaszewski, K., *Macromolecules* **2007**, 40, 8576-8585.
- ²² Gillies, M. B.; Matyjaszewski, K.; Norrby, P.-O.; Pintauer, T.; Poli, R.; Richard, P., *Macromolecules* **2003**, 36, 8551-8559.
- ²³ Pangborn, A. B.; Giardello, M. A.; Grubbs, R. H.; Rosen, R. K.; Timmers, F. J., *Organometallics* **1996**, 15, 1518-1520.
- ²⁴ Armarego, W. L. F.; Perrin, D. D., *Purification of Laboratory Chemicals*. ed.; Butterworth Heinemann, 4th ed.: 1996.
- ²⁵ Zakrzewski, J., *Chromatographia* **2004**, 59, 775-777.
- ²⁶ Culkin, D. A.; Hartwig, J. F., J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2001**, 123, 5816-5817.
- ²⁷ SAINT, version 7.03A. ed.; Bruker Analytical X-ray System: Madison, WI, USA, 1997-2003.
- ²⁸ TWINABS, Bruker Nonius scaling and absoption for twinned crystals V2008/2. ed.; Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, Wisconsin, 2008.

- ²⁹ Altomare, A.; Cascarano, G.; Giacovazzo, C.; Guagliardi, A., J. Appl. Cryst. **1993**, 26, 343-350.
- ³⁰ Sheldrick, G. M., *SHELXTL, version 5.1.* ed.; Bruker AXS, Inc.: Madison WI, 1997.
- ³¹ Farrugia, L. J., J. Appl. Crystallogr. **1997**, 32, 565.
- ³² Frisch, M. J., *et al.*, *Gaussian 03, Revision D.01*. ed.; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford CT, 2004.
- ³³ Becke, A. D., *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, 98, 5648-5652.
- ³⁴ Rappé, A. K.; Casewit, C. J.; Colwell, K. S.; Goddard Iii, W. A.; Skiff, W. M., *J. Am. Chem Soc.* **1992**, 114, 10024-10035.
- ³⁵ Ehlers, A. W.; Boehme, M.; Dapprich, S.; Gobbi, A.; Hoellwarth, A.; Jonas, V.; Koehler, K. F.; Stegmann, R.; Veldkamp, A.; Frenking, G., *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1993**, 208, 111-114.

Table of Contents Graphics



Table of Contents Text

Use of a half-sandwich Cr^{II}/Cr^{III} system to control the radical polymerization of vinyl acetate has revealed for the first time that the interplay between two moderating mechanism, atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) and organometallic mediated radical polymerization (OMRP), may lie in favor of the latter, both kinetically and thermodynamically.