

## Measurement of the Posterior Tibial Slope Depends on Ethnicity, Sex, and Lower Limb Alignment: A Computed Tomography Analysis of 378 Healthy Participants

Corentin Pangaud, Pierre Laumonerie, Louis Dagneaux, Sally Liarno, Peter Wellings, Ahmad Faizan, Akash Sharma, Matthieu Ollivier

### ▶ To cite this version:

Corentin Pangaud, Pierre Laumonerie, Louis Dagneaux, Sally Liarno, Peter Wellings, et al.. Measurement of the Posterior Tibial Slope Depends on Ethnicity, Sex, and Lower Limb Alignment: A Computed Tomography Analysis of 378 Healthy Participants. Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine, 2020, 8 (1), pp.232596711989525. 10.1177/2325967119895258 . hal-03176989

## HAL Id: hal-03176989 https://hal.science/hal-03176989

Submitted on 23 Mar 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

# Measurement of the Posterior Tibial Slope Depends on Ethnicity, Sex, and Lower Limb Alignment

## A Computed Tomography Analysis of 378 Healthy Participants

Corentin Pangaud,\*<sup>†‡</sup> MD, Pierre Laumonerie,<sup>§||</sup> MD, Louis Dagneaux,<sup>†‡</sup> MD, Sally LiArno,<sup>¶</sup> PhD, Peter Wellings,<sup>¶</sup> MSc, Ahmad Faizan,<sup>¶</sup> PhD, Akash Sharma,<sup>†‡</sup> MBBS, FRCS, and Matthieu Ollivier,<sup>†‡</sup> MD, PhD

Investigation performed at St Marguerite Hospital, Marseille, France

Background: There is no general consensus on the normal and pathological values for the posterior tibial slope (PTS).

**Purpose/Hypothesis:** The primary aim of this study was to determine standard values for the PTS in healthy participants using 3dimensional (3D) computed tomography (CT). A secondary aim was to determine the effect of demographic factors and coronalplane lower limb alignment on the PTS measurement. The hypothesis was that the PTS would be significantly influenced by demographic factors and coronal-plane lower limb alignment.

Study Design: Cross-sectional study; Level of evidence, 3.

**Methods:** A CT-based modeling and analytics system was used to examine and measure lower limb alignment and the PTS in 378 patients (193 male and 185 female; mean age,  $58.3 \pm 16.4$  years [range, 18-92 years]; mean body mass index,  $25.0 \pm 4.4$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>). The lateral, medial, and global PTS were measured for each patient. All measurements were constructed using algorithm-calculated landmarks, resulting in reproducible and consistent constructs for each specimen. The results were then evaluated based on ethnicity, sex, and hip-knee-ankle (HKA) angle.

**Results:** The study population comprised 219 white and 159 Asian participants. The mean global, medial, and lateral PTS were 6.3° (range,  $-5.5^{\circ}$  to 14.7°; 1% with  $\ge 12^{\circ}$ ), 6.2° (range,  $-4.1^{\circ}$  to 17.2°; 3% with  $\ge 12^{\circ}$ ), and 5.3° (range,  $-4.7^{\circ}$  to 16.2°; 2% with  $\ge 12^{\circ}$ ), respectively. The lateral ( $\Delta = -1.0^{\circ}$  [95% Cl, 0.6°-1.6°]; P < .0001) and global ( $\Delta = -0.5^{\circ}$  [95% Cl, 0.0°-0.8°]; P = .0332) PTS were smaller in the female subpopulation. The global PTS was greater ( $\Delta = 1.9^{\circ}$  [95% Cl, 1.5°-2.3°]; P < .0001) in the Asian subpopulation. The mean HKA angle was 179.6° (range, 170°-190°). The HKA angle was significantly correlated with the medial and global PTS. Specimens with a genu varum knee exhibited a significantly greater global ( $\Delta = 1.2^{\circ}$  [95% Cl, 0.8°-1.7°]; P < .0001) and medial ( $\Delta = 1.9^{\circ}$  [95% Cl, 1.3°-2.5°]; P < .0001) PTS.

**Conclusion:** The present study gives a benchmark for the physiological values of the PTS in a healthy population and highlights several factors influencing the PTS, such as ethnicity, sex, and alignment. Anatomic variants with a PTS  $\geq 12^{\circ}$  were very uncommon ( $\leq 3\%$ ) in our Asian and white groups and thus could be considered as pathological. The PTS is a crucial anatomic factor for anterior cruciate ligament injuries and reconstruction. A general consensus is lacking regarding the cutoff for abnormal values, thus guiding standard of care. This study investigated the dispersion of global, medial, and lateral posterior plateau tibial angles in a large population representing a range of demographic diversity.

Keywords: tibial slope; anatomy; ACL injury; total knee replacement; morphometric study

The Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine, 8(1), 2325967119895258 DOI: 10.1177/2325967119895258 © The Author(s) 2020 The measurement of the posterior tibial slope (PTS) has been widely studied for its causal relationship to tibial translation,<sup>8</sup> knee joint stability,<sup>20</sup> and anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries.<sup>30</sup> While considerable research has been devoted toward exploring the former anatomic morphological characteristics,<sup>29</sup> the PTS has only recently

This open-access article is published and distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - No Derivatives License (https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits the noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction of the article in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. You may not alter, transform, or build upon this article without the permission of the Author(s). For article reuse guidelines, please visit SAGE's website at http://www.sagepub.com/journals-permissions.

gained attention as an anatomic predictor of ACL tears. An increased PTS ( $\geq 12^{\circ}$ ) has been shown to be a risk factor for ACL ruptures<sup>2</sup> and failure of ACL reconstruction<sup>31</sup>; because of this, some authors<sup>7,28</sup> advocate performing osteotomy to correct the PTS after several failures of ACL reconstruction. However, data currently remain limited to support this approach.<sup>19,20,33,36</sup>

Numerous methods have been described for the PTS estimation,<sup>14,17</sup> taking into account a number of different anatomic axes.<sup>3,9,35</sup> Previous studies evaluated PTS values using radiography,<sup>32</sup> computed tomography (CT),<sup>37</sup> and magnetic resonance imaging.<sup>12</sup> Despite the current availability of imaging modalities, the reliability and accuracy of PTS measurements reported in the literature are limited by the use of ex vivo analysis, inadequate sample sizes, or bias related to rotation of the lower limb on radiographic evaluations.<sup>14</sup>

Moreover, normative population statistics and demographics regarding the PTS have been sparsely documented. Weinberg et al,<sup>32</sup> using a 3-dimensional (3D) digitizer apparatus, reported that the mean medial PTS was  $6.9^{\circ} \pm 3.7^{\circ}$ , which was greater than the mean lateral PTS of  $4.7^{\circ} \pm 3.6^{\circ}$  (P < .001), in 545 cadaveric specimens. Important sexand race-based differences existing in the PTS were equally shown by their results.<sup>32</sup> Women had higher values of the PTS compared with men: the medial slope was  $7.5^{\circ} \pm 3.8^{\circ}$  in the female group compared with  $6.8^{\circ} \pm 3.7^{\circ}$  in the male group, and the lateral slope was  $5.2^{\circ} \pm 3.5^{\circ}$  in the female group. The group including black specimens had a greater mean medial slope of  $8.7^{\circ} \pm 3.6^{\circ}$  versus  $5.8^{\circ} \pm 3.3^{\circ}$  and a lateral slope of  $5.9^{\circ} \pm 3.3^{\circ}$  versus  $3.8^{\circ} \pm 3.5^{\circ}$  than white specimens.

The principal aim of this study was to determine standard values for the PTS in healthy participants using a reliable 3D CT method. The secondary aim was to determine the effect of demographic disparity and coronal-plane lower limb alignment. The hypothesis was that the PTS would be significantly influenced by demographic factors and lower limb alignment.

#### **METHODS**

A CT-based modeling and analytics system (SOMA; Stryker) composed of scans of more than 19,000 bone segments was used for this institutional review board-approved study. These CT scans were originally obtained to explore vascular diseases or polytrauma in patients without orthopaedic clinical data. Using this system, the pelvis, bilateral

TABLE 1Population Characteristics<sup>a</sup>

Side, n (%)	
Right knee	378 (50.0)
Left knee	378(50.0)
Ethnicity, n (%)	
White	438 (57.9)
Asian	318(42.1)
Sex, n (%)	
Male	386(51.1)
Female	370 (48.9)
Age, y	58.3(18-92)
Height, cm	166.5 (140-189)
Weight, kg	69.7 (39-110)
Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	25.0 (15-41)
HKA angle, deg	179.6 (170-190)

 $^a \rm Values$  are reported as mean (range) unless otherwise indicated. HKA, hip-knee-ankle.

femora, bilateral tibiae, and patellae of 378 patients (193 male and 185 female; mean age,  $58.3 \pm 16.4$  years [range, 18-92 years]; mean body mass index,  $25.0 \pm 4.4$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>) representing white (n = 219) and Asian (n = 159) participants were examined. The population characteristics are displayed in Table 1. CT scan selection was performed after radiographic inspection to rule out bone and joint anomalies, specimens with signs of osteoarthritis, bone deformities, or evidence of previous surgery. Patients with any of these anomalies were excluded from the study.

The posterior plateau tibial angle (PPTA) and hip-kneeankle (HKA) angle<sup>4</sup> were calculated for each patient and each knee. A total of 3 different estimations of the PTS were performed: the lateral PPTA (LPPTA), the medial PPTA (MPPTA), and the global PPTA (GPPTA)<sup>9</sup> (Figure 1). All measurements were constructed using algorithmcalculated landmarks on the corresponding bone, which were then mapped to each chosen bone from the database. This resulted in reproducible and consistent constructs for each specimen. Previous accuracy and reproducibility analysis estimated that this system allows automated measurements of upper femoral anatomy with a margin of error of <2 mm and <1°.<sup>26</sup>

To define the HKA angle, the femoral and tibial mechanical axis landmarks were selected from the predefined landmarks available. These axes were defined from the knee center to the femoral head center and ankle center, respectively. The mechanical axis was then projected onto the coronal plane, and the angle between both axes was

<sup>\*</sup>Address correspondence to Corentin Pangaud, MD, Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Institute of Movement and Locomotion, St Marguerite Hospital, 270 Boulevard de Sainte-Marguerite, BP 29 13274 Marseille, France (email: Corentinpangaud@gmail.com) (Twitter: @Corentin\_Pgd). <sup>†</sup>Aix-Marseille Université, Marseille, France.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Institute of Movement and Locomotion, St Marguerite Hospital, Marseille, France.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup>Department of Orthopedics, Pierre-Paul Riquet Hospital, Toulouse, France.

Anatomy Laboratory, Faculty of Medicine, University Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, France.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>¶</sup>Stryker, Mahwah, New Jersey, USA.

Final revision submitted September 23, 2019; accepted October 1, 2019.

One or more of the authors declared the following potential conflict of interest or source of funding: S.L., P.W., and A.F. are employees of Stryker. M.O. has received consulting fees from Arthrex, Newclip Technics, and Stryker. AOSSM checks author disclosures against the Open Payments Database (OPD). AOSSM has not conducted an independent investigation on the OPD and disclaims any liability or responsibility relating thereto.

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Marseille (approval No. 2018-12-234).



**Figure 1.** Measurement method of the posterior tibial slope. (A) Mapping of the lateral plateau in the axial view. (B) Mapping of the lateral plateau in the coronal view. (C) Measurement of the global posterior tibial slope.

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm TABLE~2}\\ {\rm Posterior~Tibial~Slope~According~to~Sex~and~Ethnicity}^a \end{array}$ 

	Sex			Ethnicity			
	Overall	Female	Male	P Value	White	Asian	P Value
GPPTA MPPTA LPPTA	6.3 (-5.5 to 14.7) 6.2 (-4.1 to 17.2) 5.3 (-4.7 to 16.2)	6.0 (5.8 to 6.3) 6.3 (6.0 to 6.7) 4.8 (4.5 to 5.1)	6.5 (6.2 to 6.8) 6.0 (5.6 to 6.4) 5.8 (5.4 to 6.1)	<b>.0332</b> .27 < <b>.0001</b>	5.4 (5.2 to 5.6) 4.8 (4.5 to 5.1) 5.1 (4.8 to 5.4)	7.3 (7.0 to 7.6) 8.0 (7.6 to 8.4) 5.4 (5.0 to 5.7)	< <b>.0001</b> .0001 .2

<sup>a</sup>Values are reported as mean (range) in degrees. Bolded *P* values indicate a statistically significant difference. GPPTA, global posterior plateau tibial angle; LPPTA, lateral posterior plateau tibial angle; MPPTA, medial posterior plateau tibial angle.

determined. Genu varum was considered for an HKA angle  ${<}178^{\circ},$  and genu valgum was considered for an HKA angle  ${>}182^{\circ}.$ 

A global proximal tibial plane was then created by fitting 35 points over the medial and lateral tibial plateaus. The intersection of the global proximal tibial plane and the sagittal plane defined the global sagittal tibial axis. The posterior angle between the global sagittal tibial axis and the tibial mechanical axis in the sagittal plane was determined as the GPPTA. Similarly, the lateral proximal tibial plane and medial proximal tibial plane were created by fitting 15 points over the lateral and medial articular surfaces, respectively. The intersection of each plane with the sagittal axis was established to determine the medial sagittal tibial axis and the lateral sagittal tibial axis. The posterior angle between each axis and the tibial mechanical axis in the sagittal plane was determined as the MPPTA and LPPTA, respectively.

#### Statistical Analysis

Means, standard deviations, and ranges were determined for each of the measurements made for the population as a whole and for various subpopulations based on sex, ethnicity, and mechanical axis values. Normal (Gaussian) distributions of different parameters of interest were determined. Univariate analysis was performed using t tests. Pearson product moment correlation coefficients were calculated to examine correlations among specimen demographic data and radiographic measurements. Multiple linear regression models were developed to establish the determinants for each of the variables that defined a difference between groups and subgroups. For each model, variables with a *P* value <.1 were kept in the final model. The sample size was calculated for a required level of statistical significance of  $\alpha = .05$  and a power of  $1 - \beta = 0.95$ ; in addition, 46 tibias would be required to detect  $>2^{\circ} \pm 3.5^{\circ}$  between groups and/or subgroups, as Yoo et al<sup>35</sup> examined 90 knees and found an average PTS of  $10.6^{\circ} \pm 3.5^{\circ}$ . A trained statistician performed statistical analysis using SPSS software (Version 22; IBM). All calculations assumed 2-tailed distributions.

#### RESULTS

The mean global, medial, and lateral PTS were 6.3° (range,  $-5.5^{\circ}$  to 14.7°), 6.2° (range,  $-4.1^{\circ}$  to 17.2°), and 5.3° (range,  $-4.7^{\circ}$  to 16.2°), respectively. Only a small percentage of measurements were  $\geq 12^{\circ}$ : 1% of global PTS, 3% of medial PTS, and 2% of lateral PTS. The mean MPPTA was significantly higher than the mean LPPTA ( $\Delta = 0.9^{\circ}$  [95% CI, 0.5° to 1.2°]; P < .0001). Results of the mean angles are displayed in Table 2 and depicted in Figure 2.

The white group exhibited a significantly lower GPPTA compared with the Asian group ( $\Delta = -1.9^{\circ}$  [95% CI, 1.5° to 2.3°]; P < .0001). While the MPPTA was significantly lower in the white versus Asian group ( $\Delta = -3.2^{\circ}$  [95% CI, 2.7° to 3.7°]; P < .0001), no significant difference related to the LPPTA ( $\Delta = -0.3^{\circ}$  [95% CI,  $-0.2^{\circ}$  to  $0.8^{\circ}$ ]; P = .2) was found. The male group showed a significantly greater GPPTA ( $\Delta = 0.5^{\circ}$  [95% CI,  $0.0^{\circ}$  to  $0.8^{\circ}$ ]; P = .0332) and LPPTA ( $\Delta = 1.0^{\circ}$  [95% CI,  $0.6^{\circ}$  to  $1.6^{\circ}$ ]; P < .0001) related to the female



Figure 2. Dispersion of posterior tibial slope values. (A) Global posterior plateau tibial angle. (B) Medial posterior plateau tibial angle. (C) Lateral posterior plateau tibial angle.

TABLE 3Posterior Tibial Slope According to Alignment<sup>a</sup>

	Genu Neutral	Genu Varum	Р	Genu Valgum	Р
GPPTA	6.1 (5.8-6.3)	7.3 (6.9-7.7)	<.0001	5.4 (4.9-5.8)	.0067
MPPTA	6.0(5.7-6.4)	7.9 (7.4-8.4)	<.0001	4.4(3.9-4.9)	<.0001
LPPTA	5.1(4.7-5.4)	$5.5\ (5.1-5.9)$	.105	$5.6\ (5.1-6.0)$	.0742

<sup>a</sup>Values are reported as mean (range) in degrees. Bolded *P* values indicate a statistical significance compared with genu neutral. GPPTA, global posterior plateau tibial angle; LPPTA, lateral posterior plateau tibial angle; MPPTA, medial posterior plateau tibial angle.

group. Laterality did not show any significant difference between the left and right knees: MPPTA ( $\Delta = 0.0^{\circ}$  [95% CI,  $-0.5^{\circ}$  to  $0.6^{\circ}$ ]; P = .895) and LPPTA ( $\Delta = 0.4^{\circ}$  [95% CI,  $-0.1^{\circ}$  to  $0.8^{\circ}$ ]; P = .09).

The HKA angle significantly influenced the GPPTA and MPPTA (Table 3). While genu varum knees (HKA angle  $<\!178^\circ$ ) were associated with a significant increase in the GPPTA ( $\Delta$  = 1.2° [95% CI, 0.8° to 1.7°]; P < .0001) and MPPTA ( $\Delta$  = 1.9° [95% CI, 1.3° to 2.5°]; P < .0001), genu valgum knees (HKA angle  $>\!182^\circ$ ) were associated with a decrease in the GPPTA ( $\Delta$  =  $-0.7^\circ$  [95% CI, 0.2° to 1.2°]; P = .0067) and MPPTA ( $\Delta$  =  $-1.6^\circ$  [95% CI, 1.0° to 2.3°]; P < .0001) (both compared with neutrally aligned knees).

The multivariate analysis found that the GPPTA was correlated significantly with ethnicity ( $R^2 = 0.330$  [95% CI, 0.266 to 0.392]; P < .0001), sex ( $R^2 = 0.077$  [95% CI, 0.006 to 0.146]; P = .0332), and lower limb alignment ( $R^2 = -0.277$  [95% CI, -0.341 to -0.277]; P < .0001).

This analysis confirmed that the MPPTA was correlated with ethnicity ( $R^2 = 0.395$  [95% CI, 0.334 to 0.452]; P < .0001) and lower limb alignment ( $R^2 = -0.368$  [95% CI, -0.427 to 0.306]; P < .0001), while the LPPTA was correlated with ethnicity ( $R^2 = 0.111$  [95% CI, 0.041 to 0.179]; P = .002) and sex ( $R^2 = 0.156$  [95% CI, 0.087 to 0.224]; P < .0001). Results of the multivariate analysis are displayed in Table 4.

#### DISCUSSION

The present study confirmed our initial hypothesis and demonstrated that the PTS is significantly influenced by

 
 TABLE 4

 Multivariate Analysis Concerning Ethnicity, Sex, Alignment, and Posterior Tibial Slope<sup>a</sup>

	Correlation Coefficient $(R^2)$	95% CI	Р
GPPTA			
Ethnicity	0.330	0.266 to 0.392	<.0001
Sex	0.077	0.006 to 0.146	.0332
HKA angle	-0.277	-0.341 to -0.277	<.0001
MPPTA			
Ethnicity	0.395	0.334 to 0.452	<.0001
Sex	-0.0390	-0.109 to 0.031	.2769
HKA angle	-0.368	-0.427 to 0.306	<.0001
LPPTA			
Ethnicity	0.111	0.041 to 0.179	.002
Sex	0.156	0.087 to 0.224	<.0001
HKA angle	-0.0018	-0.072 to $0.068$	.9603

<sup>*a*</sup>Bolded *P* values indicate a statistical significance. GPPTA, global posterior plateau tibial angle; HKA, hip-knee-ankle; LPPTA, lateral posterior plateau tibial angle; MPPTA, medial posterior plateau tibial angle.

sex, ethnicity, and lower limb alignment. An estimation of the PTS was performed for a healthy population representing a range of demographic parameters (ethnicity, sex, and age) and lower limb alignment specificities. The mean GPPTA was 6.3° (range,  $-5.5^{\circ}$  to  $14.7^{\circ}$ ). The mean MPPTA (6.2° [range,  $-4.1^{\circ}$  to  $17.2^{\circ}$ ]) was significantly greater than the mean LPPTA (5.3° [range,  $-4.7^{\circ}$  to  $16.2^{\circ}$ ]) ( $\Delta = 1.1^{\circ}$  [95% CI, 0.5 to 1.2]; P < .0001). Our mean values for the global, medial, and lateral PTS were similar to those in previously published series<sup>32</sup>; we also noticed some important differences in comparison with other published series<sup>17</sup> (Table 5). The explanations for these can be summarized as follows.

First, the PTS is deeply influenced by degenerative changes within the measured knees: Han et al<sup>11</sup> reported greater PTS values with a mean MPPTA and LPPTA of  $10.0^{\circ}$  (range,  $6.7^{\circ}-13.3^{\circ}$ ) and  $8.4^{\circ}$  (range,  $4.6^{\circ}-12.2^{\circ}$ ), respectively. The authors<sup>11</sup> evaluated 133 knees using preoperative CT for patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty (TKA) for osteoarthritis and concluded that PTS measurements were deeply influenced by degenerative changes.

Author (Year)	Population	Knee Disorder	Average Age, y	Imaging Technology	No. of Knees	Average MPPTA, deg	Average LPPTA, deg	Average GPPTA, deg	Tibial Reference Axis
Current study (2020)	White, Asian	None	58	СТ	756	$6.2\pm3.7$	$5.3 \pm 3.2$	$6.3\pm2.9$	Anatomic axis
Weinberg <sup>32</sup> (2017)	Cadaveric: black, white	None	NR	3D digitizer apparatus	1090	$6.9\pm3.7$	$4.7\pm3.6$	NR	Center of the first third of the tibial shaft
Zhang <sup>37</sup> (2014)	Chinese	None	31	СТ	80	$8.4\pm3.1$	$7.6\pm2.5$	NR	Proximal long axis, anterior cortices, posterior cortices
Mohanty <sup>23</sup> (2013)	Indian	OA	62	Radiography	100	NR	NR	$11.6\pm4.5$	Anatomic axis
Haddad <sup>10</sup> (2012)	White, African, Asian	Soft tissue injury	42	MRI	148	$5.7\pm3.8$	$5.6\pm4.2$	NR	Tibial proximal anatomic axis
de Boer (2009) <sup>6</sup>	Cadaveric: African, white, mixed	None	56	Handmade measurements	105	NR	NR	$8.4\pm3.7$	Tibial shaft anatomic axis
Yoo <sup>35</sup> (2008)	Korean (female)	OA	67	Radiography	90	NR	NR	$10.6\pm3.5$	Anterior cortical line, proximal anatomic axis, central anatomic axis, posterior cortical line, fibular shaft axis
Kuwano <sup>17</sup> (2005)	Japanese	OA	71	СТ	50	$9.0\pm5.0$	$8.1\pm4.0$	NR	Longitudinal axis of the tibial shaft
Chiu <sup>5</sup> (2000)	Cadaveric: Chinese	Healthy/ OA	68	Radiography	50	$14.8\pm4.2$	$11.8\pm3.8$	NR	Anterior cortex

TABLE 5Posterior Tibial Slope Reported in the Literature

<sup>a</sup>3D, 3-dimensional; CT, computed tomography; GPPTA, global posterior plateau tibial angle; LPPTA, lateral posterior plateau tibial angle; MPPTA, medial posterior plateau tibial angle; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; NR, not reported; OA, osteoarthritis.

Mohanty et al<sup>23</sup> evaluated the PTS value in a cohort of 100 Indian patients undergoing TKA. The global PTS was 11.6° (range,  $2^{\circ}$ - $25^{\circ}$ ). In another study, Yoo et al<sup>35</sup> described several ways of measuring the PTS in 90 knees (66 females) with osteoarthritis. The average global PTS in their series was  $10.6^{\circ}$  (range,  $1.9^{\circ}$ -19.6°). The values reported by Kuwano et al<sup>17</sup> were similar to the ones reported by Han et al<sup>11</sup>: in a study including 50 knees for 32 patients, they found a mean MPPTA of  $9.0^{\circ} \pm 5.0^{\circ}$  and a mean LPPTA of  $8.1^{\circ} \pm 4.0^{\circ}$ . To clarify the difference between the slopes of healthy patients and the ones with osteoarthritis, Chiu et al<sup>5</sup> compared 25 pairs of knees from Chinese cadaveric specimens. They found an average PTS of  $13.1^{\circ} \pm 4.0^{\circ}$  in the osteoarthritis group and  $10.8^{\circ} \pm 3.5^{\circ}$  in the nonosteoarthritis group. Another study performed by Meric et al<sup>22</sup> included 13,546 CT scans of patients undergoing TKA, for whom they measured the global PTS. Their average finding was  $7.2^{\circ} \pm 3.7^{\circ}$ ; this value comes close to our results, but 35% of their patients were outliers: 11.6% with  $<4^{\circ}$  and 19.1% with  $>10^{\circ}$ . This dispersion is different in our results and is most probably due to osteoarthritic changes in the knees before TKA.

Second, the radiographic evaluation of the PTS is biased by tibial and femoral positioning. Kiapour et al<sup>16</sup> explained in their study that the radiography-based PTS estimation must account for knee flexion and thus the corresponding tibial rotation that occurs during the "screw-home" mechanism. Kessler et al<sup>14,15</sup> showed that any rotation decreases horizontal displacement without influencing vertical displacement. Only perfect neutral axial rotation allows a reliable PTS value to be measured.<sup>29</sup> The radiographic evaluation of the PTS, especially when the degrees of knee flexion and tilt are not considered, does not provide accurate and reproducible results.<sup>34</sup>

All these observations suggest that only anatomic landmarks, positioned over 3D bone models from a healthy population (without degenerative changes), are able to provide accurate and reliable PTS values. This position is confirmed by Amirtharaj et al,<sup>1</sup> who performed measurements of the PTS on a new 3D model based on CT. They found an intraclass correlation coefficient of 0.999 for intraobserver reliability and 0.998 for interobserver reliability.

We found that the lateral and global PTS were significantly influenced by sex according to multivariate statistical analysis, with a decreased LPPTA and GPPTA in the female group. This is in line with trends observed in a previous study.<sup>13</sup> Although Todd et al<sup>30</sup> found an increased global PTS in female specimens with ACL ruptures,  $9.8^{\circ} \pm$  $2.6^{\circ}$  compared with  $8.2^{\circ} \pm 2.4^{\circ}$  in the noninjury group, they found no significant differences when comparing healthy men and women. This is probably because of the young age of their population:  $24.9 \pm 7.9$  years. Weinberg et al<sup>32</sup> demonstrated that women had a greater medial and lateral PTS when compared with men. The difference with our study could be that their measures were based on cadaveric bones. In the present study, univariate comparative analyses found a difference of  $<2^{\circ}$  for lateral  $(1.0^{\circ})$  and global  $(0.5^{\circ})$  PTS according to sex.

The present study also showed that ethnicity was an independent predictor of the PTS. We confirmed that the white group exhibited a significantly decreased medial (P = .0001) and global (P < .0001) PTS compared with the Asian group.<sup>10</sup> However, while the mean values reported in the literature demonstrated not so dissimilar medial PTS values for our Asian and white groups, other authors have reported a wide range of values for the lateral PTS, especially in the Asian population.<sup>17,35,37</sup>

Coronal-plane lower limb alignment was an independent factor of medial and global PTS values (P < .0001). The medial PTS was significantly decreased in genu valgum knees  $(4.4^{\circ} \text{ MPPTA})$ , while they were significantly increased in genu varum knees  $(7.9^{\circ} \text{ MPPTA and } 7.3^{\circ}$ GPPTA) when compared with neutrally aligned knees. However, a difference of  $<2^{\circ}$  in the medial or global PTS was reported according to coronal-plane lower limb alignment (genu varum or valgum). Puthumanapully et al<sup>24</sup> reported a significant increase in the global PTS  $(3.5^{\circ})$  in genu valgum (P = .001) when considering 46 patients, even though their measurements might have been influenced by degenerative changes. Matsuda et al<sup>21</sup> in 1999 compared 30 normally aligned lower limbs and 30 genu varum samples. They found an average medial PTS of  $10.7^{\circ}$  (range, 5°- $15.5^{\circ}$ ) in healthy knees and  $9.9^{\circ}$  (range,  $1.5^{\circ}$ - $19^{\circ}$ ) in genu varum knees. The lateral PTS was  $7.2^{\circ}$  (range,  $0^{\circ}$ -14.5°) in healthy knees and  $6^{\circ}$  (range,  $1^{\circ}$ - $13^{\circ}$ ) in genu varum knees. Unfortunately, these differences were not statistically significant probably because of the lack of power in their statistical analysis.

This study has several limitations: foremost, its retrospective design did not allow us to assess limb dominance and activity level, factors that could potentially be correlated with the PTS. We only included participants without clear radiographic evidence of bony abnormalities, and our population did not represent the majority of patients requiring knee surgery, such as TKA or high tibial osteotomy. On the other hand, patients with knees with bony deformities, disease, previous surgery, or surgical hardware were excluded, as minor osteoarthritic or dysplastic abnormalities may have altered the bony anatomy. Methods of estimating the PTS are controversial and not consistent. The long anatomic axis of the tibia was used in our study,<sup>3,37</sup> as in the studies of Kuwano et al<sup>17</sup> and Mohanty et al.<sup>23</sup> However, Weinberg et al<sup>32</sup> took for reference the center of the first third of the tibial shaft, and Han et al<sup>11</sup> published results with 4 different axes: mechanical axis, anatomic axis, anterior cortices, and fibular shaft axis. Yoo et al<sup>35</sup> highlighted the wide difference of the PTS according to the chosen axis: anterior cortices  $(13.8^{\circ})$ , proximal anatomic axis (10.8°), central anatomic axis (12.9°), posterior cortices  $(7.8^\circ)$ , and fibular shaft  $(9.5^\circ)$ . A comparison with other series needs to consider the fact that CT measurements could not be compared with radiographic measurements. Furthermore, we cannot comment on potential clinical consequences of PTS differences related to demographic factors and/or lower limb alignment because the risk of ACL injuries is a multifactorial issue and a detailed risk model incorporating other risk factors (eg, ligamentous laxity, hormone levels, quadriceps strength, and femoral notch anatomy) is most appropriate to determine the clinical importance of anatomic variations among race, sex, and mechanical axis deviation of the lower limbs.<sup>27</sup> Finally, in our study, only white and Asian populations were studied; it might be interesting to enlarge this study to other ethnic groups.

Taking into account the limitations previously stated, the clinical relevance of our results should be considered in the controversy of the influence of the PTS on reruptures after ACL reconstruction. Lee et al<sup>18</sup> recently reported on a series of 64 ACL reruptures at 37 months of follow-up compared with a control group of 64 ACL reconstruction procedures without reruptures. The average PTS in the rerupture group was  $13.2^{\circ} \pm 2.5^{\circ}$  (range,  $8.5^{\circ}$ - $18.2^{\circ}$ ) compared with  $10.9^{\circ} \pm 3.1^{\circ}$  (range,  $4.9^{\circ}$ - $13.6^{\circ}$ ) in the control group. The odds ratio of an ACL rerupture was 4.52 when the PTS was  $>12^{\circ}$ . These values lead to the question of whether to perform high tibial osteotomy to correct the PTS in cases of reruptures, as made by Sonnery-Cottet et al<sup>28</sup> and Dejour et al.<sup>7</sup> To perform corrective slope-changing surgery, a cutoff value defining an abnormal PTS is needed. Schillhammer,<sup>25</sup> in his most recent editorial commentary, challenged the "12° rule" recently proposed by some authors.<sup>1,18,35</sup> In our series, only 1% (GPPTA) to 3%(MPPTA) of our participants exhibited a PTS value  $\geq 12^{\circ}$ , illustrating that this anatomic variant is very uncommon in the Asian and white populations.

#### CONCLUSION

The present study gives a benchmark for the physiological values of the PTS in a healthy population and highlights several factors influencing the PTS, such as ethnicity, sex, and alignment. Anatomic variants with a PTS  $\geq 12^{\circ}$  were very uncommon ( $\leq 3\%$ ) in our Asian and white groups and thus could be considered as pathological.

#### REFERENCES

- Amirtharaj MJ, Hardy BM, Kent RN, et al. Automated, accurate, and three-dimensional method for calculating sagittal slope of the tibial plateau. J Biomech. 2018;79:212-217.
- Brandon ML, Haynes PT, Bonamo JR, Flynn MI, Barrett GR, Sherman MF. The association between posterior-inferior tibial slope and anterior cruciate ligament insufficiency. *Arthroscopy*. 2006;22(8):894-899.
- Brazier J, Migaud H, Gougeon F, Cotten A, Fontaine C, Duquennoy A. [Evaluation of methods for radiographic measurement of the tibial slope: a study of 83 healthy knees]. *Rev Chir Orthop Reparatrice Appar Mot.* 1996;82(3):195-200.
- Chao EY, Neluheni EV, Hsu RW, Paley D. Biomechanics of malalignment. Orthop Clin North Am. 1994;25(3):379-386.
- Chiu KY, Zhang SD, Zhang GH. Posterior slope of tibial plateau in Chinese. J Arthroplasty. 2000;15(2):224-227.

- de Boer JJ, Blankevoort L, Kingma I, Vorster W. In vitro study of interindividual variation in posterior slope in the knee joint. *Clin Biomech* (*Bristol Avon*). 2009;24(6):488-492.
- Dejour D, Saffarini M, Demey G, Baverel L. Tibial slope correction combined with second revision ACL produces good knee stability and prevents graft rupture. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2015; 23(10):2846-2852.
- Dejour H, Bonnin M. Tibial translation after anterior cruciate ligament rupture: two radiological tests compared. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1994; 76(5):745-749.
- Genin P, Weill G, Julliard R. [The tibial slope: proposal for a measurement method]. J Radiol. 1993;74(1):27-33.
- Haddad B, Konan S, Mannan K, Scott G. Evaluation of the posterior tibial slope on MR images in different population groups using the tibial proximal anatomical axis. *Acta Orthop Belg.* 2012;78(6): 757-763.
- 11. Han HS, Chang CB, Seong SC, Lee S, Lee MC. Evaluation of anatomic references for tibial sagittal alignment in total knee arthroplasty. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2008;16(4):373-377.
- Hashemi J, Chandrashekar N, Gill B, et al. The geometry of the tibial plateau and its influence on the biomechanics of the tibiofemoral joint. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2008;90(12):2724-2734.
- Hudek R, Schmutz S, Regenfelder F, Fuchs B, Koch PP. Novel measurement technique of the tibial slope on conventional MRI. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2009;467(8):2066-2072.
- Kessler MA, Burkart A, Martinek V, Beer A, Imhoff AB. [Development of a 3-dimensional method to determine the tibial slope with multislice-CT]. Z Orthop Ihre Grenzgeb. 2003;141(2):143-147.
- Kessler OC, Jacob HAC, Romero J. Avoidance of medial cortical fracture in high tibial osteotomy: improved technique. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2002;(395):180-185.
- Kiapour AM, Kiapour A, Goel VK, et al. Uni-directional coupling between tibiofemoral frontal and axial plane rotation supports valgus collapse mechanism of ACL injury. *J Biomech*. 2015;48(10): 1745-1751.
- Kuwano T, Urabe K, Miura H, et al. Importance of the lateral anatomic tibial slope as a guide to the tibial cut in total knee arthroplasty in Japanese patients. *J Orthop Sci.* 2005;10(1):42-47.
- Lee CC, Youm YS, Cho SD, et al. Does posterior tibial slope affect graft rupture following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction? *Arthroscopy*. 2018;34(7):2152-2155.
- Lee YS, Moon GH. Comparative analysis of osteotomy accuracy between the conventional and devised technique using a protective cutting system in medial open-wedge high tibial osteotomy. *J Orthop Sci.* 2015;20(1):129-136.
- Marriott K, Birmingham TB, Kean CO, Hui C, Jenkyn TR, Giffin JR. Five-year changes in gait biomechanics after concomitant high tibial osteotomy and ACL reconstruction in patients with medial knee osteoarthritis. *Am J Sports Med.* 2015;43(9):2277-2285.
- 21. Matsuda S, Miura H, Nagamine R, et al. Posterior tibial slope in the normal and varus knee. *Am J Knee Surg.* 1999;12(3):165-168.

- Meric G, Gracitelli GC, Aram L, Swank M, Bugbee WD. Tibial slope is highly variable in patients undergoing primary total knee arthroplasty: analysis of 13,546 computed tomography scans. *J Arthroplasty*. 2015;30(7):1228-1232.
- Mohanty SS, Rao NN, Dash KK, Bhosale SK. Correlation of posterior tibial slope with metaphysio-diaphyseal angle in total knee arthroplasty: a radiological study. *Indian J Orthop.* 2013;47(1):67-71.
- Puthumanapully PK, Harris SJ, Leong A, Cobb JP, Amis AA, Jeffers J. A morphometric study of normal and varus knees. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2014;22(12):2891-2899.
- Schillhammer C. Editorial commentary. Managing excessive posterior slope in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: where do we draw the line? *Arthroscopy*. 2018;34(7):2156-2157.
- Schmidt W, LiArno S, Khlopas A, Petersik A, Mont MA. Stryker Orthopaedic Modeling and Analytics (SOMA): a review. *Surg Technol Int*. 2018;32:315-324.
- Smith HC, Vacek P, Johnson RJ, et al. Risk factors for anterior cruciate ligament injury: a review of the literature. Part 1: neuromuscular and anatomic risk. Sports Health. 2012;4(1):69-78.
- Sonnery-Cottet B, Mogos S, Thaunat M, et al. Proximal tibial anterior closing wedge osteotomy in repeat revision of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med.* 2014;42(8):1873-1880.
- Sturnick DR, Vacek PM, DeSarno MJ, et al. Combined anatomic factors predicting risk of anterior cruciate ligament injury for males and females. *Am J Sports Med*. 2015;43(4):839-847.
- Todd MS, Lalliss S, Garcia E, DeBerardino TM, Cameron KL. The relationship between posterior tibial slope and anterior cruciate ligament injuries. *Am J Sports Med.* 2010;38(1):63-67.
- Webb JM, Salmon LJ, Leclerc E, Pinczewski LA, Roe JP. Posterior tibial slope and further anterior cruciate ligament injuries in the anterior cruciate ligament-reconstructed patient. *Am J Sports Med*. 2013; 41(12):2800-2804.
- Weinberg DS, Williamson DFK, Gebhart JJ, Knapik DM, Voos JE. Differences in medial and lateral posterior tibial slope: an osteological review of 1090 tibiae comparing age, sex, and race. *Am J Sports Med*. 2017;45(1):106-113.
- Westermann RW, DeBerardino T, Amendola A. Minimizing alteration of posterior tibial slope during opening wedge high tibial osteotomy: a protocol with experimental validation in paired cadaveric knees. *Iowa Orthop J.* 2014;34:16-23.
- Yochum TR, Rowe LJ. Essentials of skeletal radiology. *Radiology*. 1987;243(2):348.
- Yoo JH, Chang CB, Shin KS, Seong SC, Kim TK. Anatomical references to assess the posterior tibial slope in total knee arthroplasty: a comparison of 5 anatomical axes. *J Arthroplasty*. 2008;23(4): 586-592.
- Zeng C, Yang T, Wu S, et al. Is posterior tibial slope associated with noncontact anterior cruciate ligament injury? *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2016;24(3):830-837.
- Zhang Y, Wang J, Xiao J, et al. Measurement and comparison of tibial posterior slope angle in different methods based on threedimensional reconstruction. *Knee*. 2014;21(3):694-698.