

Hydrogen, trace, and ultra-trace element distribution in natural olivines

Sylvie Demouchy, Olivier Alard

To cite this version:

Sylvie Demouchy, Olivier Alard. Hydrogen, trace, and ultra-trace element distribution in natural olivines. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 2021 , 176 (4), $10.1007/\text{s}00410-021-01778-5$. hal-03176191

HAL Id: hal-03176191 <https://hal.science/hal-03176191v1>

Submitted on 22 Mar 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Abstract

 We investigate the coupling between H, minor, trace, and ultra-trace element incorporations in 17 olivines from ten different locations covering various petrological origins: magmatic, hydrothermal and mantle-derived context. Concentrations in major element are determined by micro X-ray fluorescence. Minor, trace, and ultra-trace element are determined by laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. Hydrogen concentrations are quantified using unpolarized and polarized Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). Forsterite contents (83.2 to 94.1 %) reflect the petrogenetic diversity. Hydrogen concentrations range from 0 to 54 33 ppm H₂O wt. Minor element concentrations $(Ni + Mn)$ range from 3072 to 4333 ppm, and impurities are dominated by Ni, Mn, Ca or B. Total trace element concentrations range from 8.2 to 1473 ppm. Total rare Earth and extended ultra-trace elements concentrations are very low (< 0.5 ppm). Magmatic and hydrothermal olivines show the most and least amount of impurities, respectively, and mantle-derived olivines have concentrations between these two extremes. Combined with minor, trace, and ultra-trace element concentrations, the hydrogen concentrations and FTIR OH bands reflect the point defect diversity imposed by different geological settings. Hydrogen concentrations are inversely correlated with divalent impurities, indicating their competition for vacancies. However, a broad positive correlation is also found 42 between OH bands at and 3525 cm⁻¹ and Ti, confirming the existence of Ti-clinohumite- like point defect in mantle olivines. Nonetheless, Ti does not exclusively control hydrogen incorporation in olivine due to the co-existence with other mechanisms, and its effect appears diluted. Our results confirm that hydrogen behaves as a peculiar incompatible element, and furthermore as an opportunistic impurity in olivine.

- **Key words:** Olivine, hydrogen, minor elements, trace elements, FTIR, LA-ICP-MS.
-

1. Introduction

 Above absolute zero temperature, perfect minerals do not exist, all minerals contain assorted atomic-scale defects in various amounts, and mostly ionic vacancies. The concentration and mobility of these defects increases significantly with increasing temperature (e.g., Schmalzried, 1981; Philibert, 1995; Schwartz and Lang, 2016). Furthermore, the vacancies in olivine can incorporate a large range of atomic impurities covering the entire chemical classification from heavy (U, Th) to light elements (H, Li, He) in ppb- to ppm-level concentrations (e.g., Bell and Rossman 1992; Sykes et al. 1994; Ingrin and Skogby 2000; Kent and Rosmann 2002; Burnard et al. 2015; Tollan et al. 2018; Delon et al. 2018, 201; Bussweiler et al. 2019, Rasmussen et al., 2020). The atomic impurities are embedded in the crystalline structure of olivine following the substitution rules from Goldschmidt's (Goldschmidt 1926; Ringwood 1955; Kröger and Vink 1956), and more complex coupled substitutions can occur with increasing nonstoichiometry (e.g., Nakamura and Schmalzried 1983; Jurewicz and Watson 1988a, b; Berry et al., 2007a; Padrón-Navarta et al. 2014; Tollan et al. 2018). Olivine is the most depleted upper mantle mineral in trace elements, thus containing significantly less trace elements than in co-existing pyroxenes or garnets (e.g., Bodinier et al. 1987; Bodinier and Godard 2014; Satsukawa et al. 2017; Garrido et al. 2000), therefore olivine is not the most important trace element reservoir in the Earth's upper mantle. However, olivine is the most abundant (>60%) and the only interconnected mineral in the uppermost mantle, implying that its rheological properties control both the viscosity of lithospheric plates and the underlying convecting asthenosphere. As the viscosity of olivine-rich rock might decrease with increasing incorporation of impurities such as H, Fe or Ti (e.g., Mackwell et al. 1985; Mei and Kohlstedt 2000; Demouchy et al. 2012; Faul et al. 2016; Tielke et al. 2017), the mechanisms of hydrogen incorporation in olivine has been the focus of many experimental studies (e.g., Mackwell and Kohlstedt 1990; Bai and Kohlstedt 1992; Kohlstedt et al. 1996; Kohlstedt and Mackwell 1998;

 Mosenfelder et al. 2006; Withers and Hirschmann 2008; Férot and Bolfan-Casanova 2012; Padrón-Navarta and Hermann 2017) for various thermodynamic conditions (e.g., pressure, temperature, *f*O2, *f*H2O, *a*SiO2, e.g., Kohlstedt et al. 1996; Gaetani et al. 2014) and chemical composition (e.g, Ti, Al, Cr, etc; Berry et al. 2007a, Tollan et al. 2019). The most recent experimental approaches include doping olivine with a high amount of atomic impurity before or synkinetic with hydration (e.g., Tollan et al. 2019). While these experiments highlight the individual mechanism of H incorporation, these results are not always directedly applicable to Earth's upper mantle.

 The experiments on hydrogen incorporation were completed by studies of natural mantle-derived olivine specimens (e.g., Beran and Zemman 1983; Bell and Rossman 1992; Berry et al. 2005, 2007b; Beran and Libowitzky 2006; Skogby 2006; Peslier 2010; Demouchy and Bolfan-Casanova 2016; Xia et al. 2017) and several seminal publications have provided valuable spectral databases (Miller et al. 1987; Mosenfelder et al. 2006; G.R. Rossmann, http://minerals.gps.caltech.edu, or the PULI database, http://puli.mfgi.hu).

 Despite these advances, data available on trace element incorporation in mantle-derived olivine are scarce and hinders our understanding and thus prediction ability on the mobility of trace elements in olivine (e.g., Demouchy et al. 2006; Mosenfelder et al. 2006; Padrón-Navarta et al. 2014; Thoraval and Demouchy 2014; Satsukawa et al. 2017; Thoraval et al. 2019). Indeed, the coupling of co-existing atomic impurities in olivine were often neglected, principally due to technical limitations (e.g., sample preparation and mass spectroscopy detection limits; see Batanova et al. 2015, 2019; Zhang et al. 2016; Bussweiler et al. 2019). However, recent analytical breakthroughs have sparked and renewed interest in coupled interactions between trace elements in olivine (e.g., De Hoog et al. 2010; Spandler and O'Neill 2010; Foley et al. 2013; Bussweiler et al. 2015; 2019; Veter et al. 2017; 2019; Neave et al. 2018). Here we assess the complex point defect diversity in natural olivines by characterizing the coexistence of hydrogen with minor, trace, and ultra-trace elements. To explore a broad range of chemical compositions, we selected olivines from various geological settings.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Olivine specimens and sample preparation

 We selected 17 single-crystal olivines from ten different geological settings; most specimens are from peridotite xenoliths that originated in the uppermost mantle (Table 1). Three samples (harzburgite SAS20, high temperature (HT) harzburgite SAS21, lherzolite SAS41) are spinel-bearing peridotites from Malaita (Ontong-Java Plateau; Demouchy et al. 2015) which contain olivines with low Al and different Ca contents. Samples SC and SC2018 are both San Carlos olivines (Arizona, USA), and LAZ is from Lanzarote (Canary Islands); they are all from spinel-bearing peridotites. DMP2 and DMP4 are olivines from spinel-bearing peridotites from Damaping (China). Specimen PA3 was extracted from a garnet-bearing lherzolite from Pali-Aike (Chile; Demouchy, 2004) and OLM is from a very coarse-granular peridotite from Olmani (Tanzania; OL10 from Baptiste et al. 2015). We have selected one magmatic olivine (LR) from La Réunion (Indian Ocean). SAP is a large hydrothermal gem- quality olivine from Sapat (Pakistan; see Göse et al. 2010), and samples HS and PP are from the same locality but were not produced by hydrothermal processes; they are of mantle origin. MYA is a hydrothermal olivine gem from Myanmar (Harlow et al. 2014). We completed the data set with two centimetric gem-quality crystals (NW1 and NW2) from a metamorphosed alpine-type peridotite massif in Norway; based on their chemical compositions, they are hydrothermal specimens from Sandvika (see Medaris 1984) or Almoklovdalen (see Kent and Rosmann 2002; Mosenfelder et al. 2006; Göse et al. 2008; their sample CIT15089 has Fo% =

 93.51 – 93.76; Mosenfelder, person. comm. 14/01/2019). Samples NW1, NW2, SAP, and MYA result from hydrothermal recrystallization in dunitic domains.

 Samples were optically inspected to discard crystal sections containing macroscopic and mesoscopic imperfections (e.g., spinel inclusions, lily pads, cracks, or milky or brownish zonations; e.g., Mosenfelder et al. 2006). Each olivine was then cut into a millimetric right prism with a rectangular base prior to *in-situ* chemical analyses. All faces of each prism were hand-polished using a polishing jig and diamond-lapping films with grit sizes ranging from 30 to 1 µm. The final prepared size of each olivine prism is reported in Table 1 and photographs are provided in Figure S1.

 The distribution of major and a few minor elements were determined by micro X-ray fluorescence (µXRF), hydrogen concentrations by polarized and unpolarized Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), and minor, trace/ultra-trace element concentrations by laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS). Since LA-ICP-MS is a destructive method, FTIR analyses were performed first, µXRF mapping second, and LA-ICP- MS last. The analytical procedures are detailed in the same order in the following subsections. The olivine crystals were free of any glue for FTIR analyses, then were fixed on a glass section 141 with crystal bond for both μ XRF and LA-ICP-MS measurements.

 We emphasize that all analyses per sample were performed on the same olivine prism, and not on different olivine crystals co-existing in the same xenolith/hydrothermal vein, as commonly done in other studies. Past practices were constrained by analytical requirements and sample preparation and lead to none negligible scatter in the data. Our approach is designed to investigate consistently the control of olivine crystal chemistry on hydrogen incorporation.

2.2 Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy

 We analyzed hydroxyl groups in olivine using transmission FTIR spectroscopy at the Laboratoire Charles Coulomb (University of Montpellier, France). Unpolarized and polarized infrared spectra were acquired using a Bruker IFS66v equipped with a liquid nitrogen-cooled MCT detector (Mercatel alloy, HgCdTe), a KBr/Ge beam splitter, and coupled to a Bruker HYPERION microscope. The infrared beam was polarized with a KRS-5 polarizer (thallium- bromide-iodide) placed between the mid-IR source and the sample. Unpolarized IR measurements were performed following the protocol of Denis et al. (2015). Optically clean 157 areas were chosen for analyses, and 256 scans were accumulated at a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ using 158 a square aperture (100 \times 100 μ m). Each spectrum was baseline corrected and the absorbance normalized to 1 cm thickness. Each sample was washed several times in high purity acetone prior to FTIR analyses and placed on a CaF2 plate when necessary (i.e., for the smallest 161 samples). A minute amount of Fluorolube (ThermoScientificTM) was used to eliminate thickness fringes if needed. The olivine prisms were not crystallographically oriented (except PA3). Still we acquired polarized and unpolarized FTIR spectra along three orthogonal directions, compiling two triplets of polarized spectra and one triplet of unpolarized spectra for each olivine prism (all spectra triplets are provided in supplementary material in Figure S2). Since NW1 and SAS20 olivine specimens were large, FTIR profile were also acquired and are provided in Figure S3.

 Two types of IR calibrations were used to calculate hydrogen concentrations: the frequency-dependent calibration of Paterson (1982) and the mineral-dependent calibration for olivine of Withers et al. (2012). Hydrogen concentrations were calculated by integrating each 171 spectrum over the $3620 - 3050$ cm⁻¹ wavenumber range. This calibration allows a detection limit of about 1 ppm of H2O by weight (wt) for a 1mm-thick olivine sample, although the estimated error from the empirical calibration of Paterson (1982) is around 30 % of the resulting H concentration (e.g., Paterson 1982; Rauch 2000). Reported hydrogen concentrations are the

 average of the three orthogonal unpolarized spectra or the three polarized spectra of each orthogonal triplet from each olivine prism. Following the recommendations of Withers et al. (2012) for unpolarized FTIR measurements of olivine, the maximum linear absorption of non- normalized spectra did not exceed 0.3. Hydrogen concentrations are given in ppm H2O wt., in 179 ppm of H (equals to molar concentration), and in $H/10^6$ Si to ease comparison with past studies. Finally, the normalized integrated absorption area for use in future mineral-dependent IR calibrations are provided in Table S1. Several spectra necessitated deconvolution to obtain the integrated absorption area per peak. The best deconvolution results (i.e., the lowest residue 183 obtained for input peak positions as listed in Table 1 with \pm 20 cm⁻¹, and using a gaussian function) are provided in Figure S4 for samples LR, LAZ, PA3, SAS20 and SAS41. Corresponding results of the deconvolution per mechanism of hydrogen incorporation (i.e., integrated normalized absorptions and relative % compare to bulk hydrogen) are compiled in Table S2.

2.3 X-ray fluorescence

 Major and minor element distributions within each olivine prism were measured by µXRF at the Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences (Macquarie University, Australia) using a Bruker M4 Tornado. Because µXRF does not require extensive sample preparation or coating, this technique was preferred over electron microprobe measurements. Furthermore, the 194 polish quality required for FTIR analyses (final mirror polish with ≤ 0.5 µm grid) is more than sufficient for such µXRF measurements. Analyses were performed at 50 kV and 200 µA under low vacuum (18 mbar). To detect compositional variability, all maps were acquired over the 197 entire sample with spot and step sizes of 20 μ m. Acquisition durations ranged from 4 to 32 min depending on the size of the crystal. Twelve elements were analyzed: Si, Ti, Al, Cr, Fe, Mn, Mg, Ca, Na, Ni, Co and Zn. To obtain quantitative estimates, the spectra were calibrated against 200 an in-house standard (SCO-2 San Carlos olivine). The μ XRF data compare well (i.e., within errors) with electron microprobe measurements (see Table S3).

2.4 Laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry

 Trace element analyses were performed on olivine samples by LA-ICP-MS at Macquarie GeoAnalytical (Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Macquarie University, Australia) using an Agilent 7700cs quadrupole ICP-MS system. The ICP-MS is coupled with an automated laser ablation platform (Analyte G2, Photon Teledyne) equipped with a 2-volume HelEx cell and housing an ArF 193 nm laser. Measurements were conducted in a He 210 atmosphere (total He flow of ~ 0.8 L min⁻¹), which enhances sensitivity and reduces inter- element fractionation. Numerous studies have investigated the use of additional trace gases such as H2, N2, or CH4 (e.g., Guillong and Heinrich 2007; Hu et al. 2008; Scheffler and Pozebon 2014). Here, our in-house experiments agree with Guillong and Heinrich (2007) that the 214 addition of a few mL min⁻¹ of H₂ (not N₂) increases the sensitivity for most elements by a factor of 2 to 4 (see also Veter et al. 2019). Thus, given the expected low trace element concentrations 216 of olivine, 5 mL min⁻¹ of H₂ was added to the central line (i.e., between the ablation cell and the plasma torch). The H-bearing He gas stream and particles from the sample were finally 218 mixed with Ar $(\sim 0.90 \pm 0.02 \text{ L min}^{-1})$ before entering the plasma with a final 30 mL mixed volume. Signals were measured in time-resolved acquisition mode and each analysis lasted 300 s, separated by 40 s pauses between analyses. Analysis times comprised 220 s for background (i.e., with the laser off), 60 s for mineral analysis (i.e., with the laser on), and 20 s for wash-out 222 monitoring. The laser was fired at an energy density of 7.2 J cm⁻², a frequency of 10 Hz, and using a spot size of 110 µm. Oxide levels were kept to a minimum (below 1%) and were monitored using the ThO/Th ratio.

 The reference glasses BHVO2-G and BCR2-G from the USGS and an in-house standard SCO-1 (San Carlos olivine) were included during analytical runs to minimize matrix effects (e.g., Bussweiler et al. 2019), and our measurements are within errors of the published values for these international reference materials (see Table S4, e.g., Jochum et al. 2005; Bussweiler et al. 2019). Elemental concentrations were calibrated against the glass standard NIST612 using the values compiled by Jochum et al. (2005). Data were subsequently reduced using the GLITTER software (Griffin et al. 2008) by carefully inspecting the time-resolved analyses to 232 exclude heterogeneity in the analyzed volume. Silica content $(SiO₂ wt. %$ as determined by µXRF) was used as an internal standard for both reference materials and olivine samples.

 Local backgrounds were used instead of the interpolated or averaged session background. This yielded variable detection limits for the various analyses, which were occasionally either lower or higher than the typical detection limit; the best, worst, and typical detection limits are provided in Table S4 while standard deviations are given together with the LA-ICP-MS data. Reported trace and ultra-trace elemental concentrations in olivine correspond to averages of at least four ablations per olivine grain. All elements were measured in a single acquisition. Due to the formation of polyatomic interferences during LA-ICP-MS analysis, several isotopes were measured for key elements. Olivine matrices are rich in Mg and the 242 formation of ²⁵Mg⁴⁰Ar overlaps with ⁶⁵Cu. Therefore, ⁶³Cu was used instead, given that ²³Na in olivine is only a trace element. Furthermore, the excess on mass 65 is used to correct the other Mg-argides on mass 64 and 66 corresponding to Zn. Similarly, the effect of oxide 245 formation on ⁴⁵Sc (¹⁶O²⁹Si, natural ²⁹Si abundance is ca 4.68%) is corrected by deconvolving 246 the ⁴⁴M/⁴³M ratio (⁴⁴M/⁴³M=(⁴⁴Ca+¹⁶O²⁸Si)/⁴³Ca, ²⁷Al is a trace element in olivine and thus is 247 neglected, 28 Si natural abundance is *ca*. 92.23%) and subtracting the weighed excess from ⁴⁵M. The accuracy of these corrections is ultimately assessed against certified reference glasses (e.g.

 BHVO2-G and BCR2-G) and the in-house SCO-1 olivine standard. The measured isotopes selected for this study are given in Table S4.

3. Results

 We first present concentration and distribution of the major and minor elements, then hydrogen concentrations followed by the other trace and finally ultra-traces elements. The same color code is used throughout the set of figures and indicates the petrogenetic origin of the olivine specimens: hydrogen-rich hydrothermal olivine (SAP, NW1, NW2) in dark-blue; the hydrogen-poor hydrothermal olivine (MYA) in pale-blue; the magmatic olivine (LR) in black; dark-green for the garnet-bearing peridotitic olivine (PA3); high temperature spinel-bearing peridotitic olivine (SAS21) in brown-green, and at last the spinel-bearing peridotitic olivines (DMP2, DMP4, SC, SC2018, SAS41, SAS20, OLM, PP, HS1, LAZ) in bright green.

3.1 Major and minor element concentrations from X-ray fluorescence

265 Olivine major and a few minor element concentrations determined by μXRF are reported in Table 2. FeO contents range from 5.77 to a maximum 15.58 wt.% FeO in the 267 magmatic olivine LR. Fo% (= $100 \times (Mg/Mg + Fe)$ a.p.f.u.) range from 83.2 (LR) to 94.1% (MYA) as displayed in Figure 1. Nickel (0.23–0.47 wt.% NiO) and manganese contents (0.09– 0.18 wt.% MnO) are typical for olivine. An additional compilation of typical Fo% vs NiO values are reported in Figure S5. Calcium concentrations range from 0.02 to 0.24 wt.% CaO.

271 NaO, TiO_2 , Al_2O_3 , and Cr_2O_3 contents are low, and fall mostly below the detection 272 limits of the uXRF. Yet, uXRF permits rapid determination of potential spatial heterogeneous major element concentrations before FTIR and LA-ICP-MS analyses. We did not observe any major or minor edge-to-edge zonings or micro-mineral inclusions or abnormal composition areas in the µXRF maps of the studied prisms of olivines.

-
-

3.2 FTIR spectra and hydrogen concentrations

 Unpolarized spectra obtained on crystallographically unoriented olivines are shown in Figure 2. Additional polarized spectra acquired on the crystallographically oriented olivine PA3 are shown in Figure 3. The entire set of unpolarized and polarized FTIR spectra are given in Figure S2. The FTIR spectra display several OH bands of various intensities for only 11 of the 17 olivines analyzed; samples from San Carlos (SC and SC2018), Damaping (DMP2 and DMP4), and two of the gem olivines (HS and PP) are hydrogen-free. The wavenumbers of the OH bands are reported for each sample in Table 1 and as previously reported for iron-bearing olivines, for two ranges of wavenumbers (e.g., Miller et al. 1987; Kohlstedt et al. 1996; Göse et al. 2010; Demouchy and Bolfan-Casanova 2016; Thoraval et al. 2019). Range 1 includes 288 wavenumbers between 3650 and 3500 cm⁻¹ and Range 2 from 3500 to 3000 cm⁻¹. The polarized FTIR spectra of PA3 shown in Figure 3 are typical of garnet-bearing mantle peridotites (e.g., Miller et al., 1987).

 Hydrogen concentrations derived from FTIR spectra are reported in Table 3. We report hydrogen concentrations determined using the calibration of Paterson (1982) for unpolarized IR spectra and using the more recent mineral-dependent calibration of Withers et al. (2012) for polarized IR spectra acquired along three orthogonal directions of the olivine prisms. The later discussion refers only to hydrogen concentrations obtained using polarized spectra and the calibration of Withers et al. (2012) ('[OH] po W' in Table 3). Hydrogen concentrations in 297 hydrogen-bearing olivines range from 4 (LAZ) to 54 ppm H_2O wt (NW1), spanning a large

 range of concentrations typical of olivines in off-craton settings (e.g., Ingrin and Skogby 2000; Peslier 2010; Demouchy and Bolfan-Casanova 2016). We also measured profiles, and they did not reveal special heterogeneous concentrations expect for PA3 (e.g., Figure S3), with very limited edge depletion after removal of the original grain rim as illustrated in Figure 4. This agrees with previous reports for olivine from this locality (Demouchy 2004; Demouchy et al. 2006).

 Overall, and despite significant scatter, mantle olivines constitute a broad positive array of hydrogen concentrations as a function of Fo% shown in Figure 5. Hydrothermal MYA, NW1, 306 and NW2 olivines have the highest Fo% (>93.6) but MYA has low hydrogen concentration (~ 6) ppm H₂O wt, respectively) despite its high Fo%. The high hydrogen concentrations observed 308 at high Fo% contrast strongly with the H-free to low hydrogen concentrations $\left($ <10 ppm H₂O wt) of the low-Fo% (<90), which can be called "fertile" olivines (Fig. 5).

3.3 Minor, trace, and ultra-trace element concentrations

 As the aim of this study is the decipher atomic impurities as extrinsic defect populations, we have chosen to sort and group the elements first by concentration level, and second by cationic valences.

 LA-ICP-MS analyses permit the quantification of a large range of minor, trace, and ultra-trace element concentrations, reported in Table 4 (see Table S4 for detection limits), which vary enormously between 3306 ppm Ni (LAZ) down to 0.04 – 0.05 ppb (e.g., Tb in MYA or 320 Th in DMP4, this is equivalent to $4 - 5 \times 10^{-11}$ g g⁻¹). Here we have considered that a *minor element* has a concentration above 1000 ppm, *trace elements* between 1000 and 10 ppm, and we introduce the *low trace elements* with concentrations between 10 and 0.1 ppm (i.e., two

 orders of magnitude for each group) and finally below 0.1 ppm for the *ultra-trace elements*. Several elements have very variable concentrations in olivine (e.g., Al, between 0.9 and 224 ppm, Cu between 0.005 and 4.24 ppm), in agreement with the different petrogeneses. Furthermore, we have summarized in Table S5 the sorting and ranking of the element as a function of their abundance level, which is later used for the sum of concentrations displayed in Figure 6. The rare earth elements (REEs) in olivine all show concentrations below 0.1 ppm and are therefore here referred to as ultra-trace elements.

3.3.1 Minor elements

 The only elements present at minor levels within the entire olivine set are Ni and Mn, their sum ranges from 3071 (NW1 and NW2) to 4333 ppm LAZ (Table 4). One sample has also a high Ca concentration (1437 ppm Ca in LR olivine). The sums of minor elements are inversely correlated with hydrogen concentrations (Figure 6a). Ni dominates the sum of the minor elements in each sample, followed by Mn (706 – 1390 ppm) and to some extent Ca (31 – 1437 ppm). Three olivines (NW1, NW2, and SAP) have high B concentrations with values between 61 and 110 ppm (Table 4), thus significantly higher than the relatively constant B 340 concentrations of the other olivines $(1.58 \pm 0.50 \text{ ppm})$, but not reaching a minor element concentration level. These three relatively B-rich hydrothermal olivines are characterized by 342 lower Ni (1697 – 2676 ppm) and Ca concentrations $(30 - 40$ ppm) than other olivines and have extremely low Al concentrations (<1 ppm).

 The different petrological groups (color coded) are well recognized in Figure 6, but we can further define groups I, II, and III, notably in Figure 6b (i.e., area surrounded by the grey dashed lines). Group-I includes hydrogen-rich hydrothermal olivines (NW1, NW2, and SAP), and poor in minor elements. Group-II comprises the minor-element-rich olivines with moderate

 to high H contents (PA3, SAS20, SAS21, and LR). Finally, group-III with the H-poor to H-free olivines (DMP, OLM, LAZ, SC, and the H-poor hydrothermal olivine MYA).

3.3.2 Trace, low-trace and ultra-trace elements

 LA-ICP-MS analyses yield elements at low (ppm level) to very low concentrations (ppb level) in olivine (Table 4). The total combined concentration of trace, low trace and ultra-trace impurities as a function of hydrogen concentration per sample ranges from 312 to 1496 ppm (presented by level of concentration in Fig. 6b, c and d). There is an inverse relationship between the sum of trace element and H concentration in olivine as illustrated in Fig. 6b, within each group.

 One can notice graphically that groups-I and II are in prolongation of each other and that group III is shifted down in H concentration compared to groups I and II. The low-H hydrothermal olivine (MYA) also aligns with the sp-lherzolite olivine of group III. The olivine most enriched in trace elements is magmatic olivine LR (Table 4), and those with the lowest trace element contents are the hydrothermal samples (SAP, NW1, NW2, MYA). The groups I, II and III are further confirmed by trace to trace element concentrations (H excluded, B versus Li, Cr versus B; Ti versus Cr/Na; Ti versus V; Cr versus V, Cr versus Al, Li versus P) as illustrated in Figures S6 and S7.

 The total concentration of ultra-trace impurities per sample ranges from 0.07 to 0.45 ppm (Table 4) and are shown in Figure 6d. While we previously identified three groups (I, II, and III), the REE concentrations for all samples are extremely low and the distribution according to those groups is not as clear as in Fig. 6b; the sum of REE contents per sample varies between 4 and 140 ppb (Table 4). However, if the four hydrothermal B-rich olivines and the magmatic olivine LR are discarded, this range is reduced to 4 - 31 ppb. Although MYA 372 (highest Fo% = 94.11) shows the lowest REE content and $(Yb)_{PM}$ value (here, the subscript 'PM' indicating normalization to the primitive mantle value; McDonough and Sun, 1995). Overall, the summed REE concentrations in the olivines appear uncorrelated to Fo% and other divalent impurities.

 REE patterns and Th concentrations are reported normalized to primitive mantle values (McDonough and Sun, 1995) in Figure 7. Except for four samples, the olivine REE patterns are broadly similar. These four exceptions are the three H-rich hydrothermal olivines (NW1, NW2, SAP) and the magmatic olivine LR. These peculiar olivines are characterized by much higher 380 heavy-REE contents ($(Yb)_{PM} = 0.16$ for SAP) than the other olivines (e.g., $(Yb)_{PM} = 0.011$ for SC2018). Furthermore, the REE patterns of SAP and LAZ are similar in shape, i.e., less 382 depleted in light-REEs (Ce/Yb = 0.778 for LAZ) than the other olivines (on average, Ce/Yb = 0.085 \pm 0.090). The remaining olivines have REE patterns characterized by a steady, negatively 384 sloped heavy-REEs to middle REE patterns $(Yb/Gd)_{PM} = 0.81$ and enrichments of Th and La 385 relative to Ce $((La/Ce)_{PM} = 0.387$ for SC2018). Large ion lithophile elements (LILEs: Sr, Rb, Ba, U), Ce, and Th show relatively similar patterns and abundances in all olivines, including the four anomalous specimens mentioned above. For instance, U contents are relatively high $((U/Th)_{PM} > 1)$, whereas Rb is systematically enriched relative to Ba $((Rb/Ba) > 1.4$ for SAS20). All olivines show negative Sr anomalies relative to Pr or Nd. The behavior of high field strength elements (HFSEs: Ti, Zr, Hf, Nb, Ta) relative to the REEs is much more variable. Overall, HFSEs do not form negative anomalies relative to their neighboring REEs, except occasionally for Zr, and either follow the continuity of the REE pattern or produce marked positive anomalies. Although REEs occur in very low concentrations in olivine, hydrogen is not as depleted as La and Ce. Also seen in Figure 7, the ultra-trace element patterns of mantle-derived olivines (i.e., excluding those of hydrothermal and magmatic origins) are quite similar among the analyzed specimens, and are mostly irrespective of petrological contexts (e.g., melting and metasomatic indexes). The relative consistency of ultra-trace element concentrations and fractionations in olivine, whatever the geological setting and trace element concentration of the coexisting phases (e.g., pyroxenes), have been previously observed by Bedini and Bodinier (1999) and Garrido et al. (2000). Our results further confirm that the incorporation of these elements (REEs, HFSEs, \pm LILEs) into mantle olivine is governed by olivine crystallography. Thus, incorporation is limited by vacancy population and concentrations, and not by the chemical compositions of coexisting phases or past percolating melts and fluids.

3.4 Distribution by valence and relationship with H

 Point defect theory accounts for mass conservation, the respect of crystallography (i.e., site balance) and electroneutrality (i.e., charge balance) to minimize defect energy. Therefore, atomic impurities are 'aware' of each other and should further be decrypted as a function of their valence. In the past, mechanisms of hydrogen incorporation were successfully decrypted 411 as a function of the occupied vacancies (V), which is limited to V'''_{si} and V''_{mg} (using Kröger-412 Vink notation, Kröger and Vink, 1956), and to possible mere interstitial proton (h_i) . In addition, two mechanisms of coupled (or associated) point defect were identified between 414 trivalent and hydrogen (e.g., Fe^{3+} , Cr^{3+} , Mackwell and Kohlstedt 1990; Tollan et al. 2018) and between titanium and hydrogen (the so call Ti-clinohumite-like point defect, e.g., Berry et al. 2005). The established hydrogenated point defect and their matching IR bands are already given 417 in Table 1. Occupancies of H in $V_{si}^{\prime\prime\prime}$ is attributed to IR bands at 3598, 3562, 3542, and 3485 418 cm⁻¹, without information on the number of H inside the tetrahedron. Occupancies of H in V''_{Mg} 419 is attributed to 3230 cm⁻¹, again without information on the number of H inside the octahedron (e.g., Berry et al., 2007). Hydrogen coupled to trivalent impurities yields IR bands at 3355 and 3327 cm-1 (e.g., Berry et al. 2007, Blanchard et al. 2017; Tollan et al. 2018). At last, hydrogen 422 in $V_{si}^{\prime\prime\prime}$ balanced by Ti in $V_{Mg}^{\prime\prime}$ yields IR bands at 3572 and 3525 cm⁻¹. Therefore, hydrogen

 mechanisms of incorporation can be inferred by 'reading' an infrared spectrum with this framework of four mechanisms. Nevertheless, in the experimental studies, hydrogenation under water saturation was preceded by doping of the olivine lattice with large amount of one type of atomic impurity (Berry et al. 2005, 2007, Padrón-Navarta et al. 2014) and for a given restricted set of thermodynamic conditions (*f*O2, *f*H2O, *a*SiO2), which is not necessarily relevant for the entire upper mantle but for a specific context only (e.g., for subduction, Padròn-Navarta and Hermann, 2017).

 Below we report the distribution of hydrogen as a function of the valence of co-existing impurities for concentration levels found in natural olivine specimens. From now on, element concentrations are presented in molar concentration (in micromol/g) to better assess associated point defects. The sum of monovalent, divalent, trivalent, tetravalent and pentavalent as a function of hydrogen concentration in ppm of hydrogen by weight are also provided in Figure S8.

 Monovalent distribution is dominated by Li and Na, they are presented individually and as a sum in Figure 8. No major Li variation as a function of hydrogen is observed in most of the olivines, except for the hydrogen-rich hydrothermal samples (NW1 or NW2), which display high Li and low Na concentrations. In the contrary, Na distribution is more variable from very poor (0.08 ppm Na in NW2) to high (58.1 ppm Na in LR and 63.7 ppm Na in PA3).

 Divalent distribution is dominated by Ni, Mn, Ca and was already identified in Figure 6a and 6b. Since the concentration level of Ni is very high compared to other divalent impurities, the negative correlation observed on Figure 6a is not perturbated by the addition of other metal-like divalent impurities. However, if nickel is excluded, the sum of divalent elements is not strikingly negatively correlated (Fig. S8).

 The sum of trace trivalent distribution (B, Al, Cr, Sc and V) is very different if we compare the hydrothermal olivines from the others olivines as shown in Figure 9. The mantle

 olivines and LR olivine are presented together in Figure 9a and b and the hydrothermal olivines in Figure 9c. If the IR bands attributed to hydrogen - trivalent complex defects (3355 and 3327 450 cm⁻¹) are only considered, the mantle-derived olivines display a positive correlation ($R^2 = 0.83$). A similar distribution is observed for most of the trivalent impurities, including the sum of REEs as shown in Figure 9b. Note that B (1.16 – 2.18 ppm or 0.11 – 0.2 micromol/g) and Sc $(5.83 - 9.5 \text{ ppm or } 0.13 - 0.21 \text{ micromol/g})$ do not show such a clear relationship, as they have a limited range of concentrations when compared to other trivalent elements (one or more order of magnitude for Cr, Al and V). The magmatic olivine (LR) is singled out by its high concentration in trivalent elements, which was also observed for the other atomic impurities.

 The sum of the concentration of main trivalent elements (B+Al+Sc+Cr+V) as a function of bulk hydrogen concentration for the hydrothermal olivines is shown in Figure 9c and displays 459 positive correlation ($R^2 = 0.98$), which is also visible if only B is considered, even if the correlation is not as good as for the sum of trivalent elements. For the hydrothermal olivines, 461 the mechanism of coupling is likely to involve B siting into $V_{si}^{\prime\prime\prime}$ and two H in $V_{Mg}^{\prime\prime}$ as 462 previously suggested by Sykes and Rossmann (1994) to lead to $\left\{2H_{Mg}^{\times}B_{Si}'O_{O}^{\times}\right\}^{\prime}$ complex defect, but which is not electronically balanced. It can also lead to a simplified defect suggested 464 by e.g., Christ (1965) with $\left\{2Mg_{Mg}^{\times}B'_{Si}(OH)_0\right\}^{\times}$ even if the complete substitution (for every Si atomic) is not realistic in these olivines. Unfortunately, our hydrothermal olivines do not permit to make a distinction between these two different complex point defects.

467 Lastly, the quantification of $Fe³⁺$ in olivine remains a true challenge (below Mössbauer spectroscopy detection limits, e.g., 100 ppm e.g., Duba et al. 1973), and we could regrettably 469 not discuss the distribution of Fe^{3+} as a function of hydrogen in this study.

 Tetravalent impurities, and in particular Ti, were the subject of specific attention as Ti 471 is responsible for the striking high intensity bands at and 3525 cm⁻¹ (e.g., Berry et al. 2005), in a majority of mantle-derived olivines (Fig. 2). The titanium clinohumite-like point 473 defect is defined as a Ti^{4+} ion occupying a Mg vacancy and two H^+ ions occupying a Si vacancy (Berry et al. 2005, 2007b; Walker et al. 2007; Padrón-Navarta et al. 2014; Padrón-Navarta and 475 Hermann 2017). It is noted $\{Ti^{\dots}_{Mg}(2H)^{\prime\prime}_{Si}O^{\times}_{O}\}^{\times}$ in Kröger-Vink notation or abbreviated as [Ti- 2H]. Nevertheless, for our data set there is no striking positive correlation between the bulk mineral hydrogen concentration and Ti concentrations in the studied olivines (Fig. S8). Only six of the analyzed olivine samples (OLM, SAS20, SAS41, Pa3, LR and LAZ, see Table 1) 479 displayed the typical OH bands attributed to the [Ti-2H] defect (3575 and 3525 cm⁻¹). However, if we only consider the hydrogen associated with these IR bands, a slightly positive correlation 481 is seen in Figure 10a (\mathbb{R}^2 =0.65) (i.e., deconvolution results are given in Fig. S4; integrated normalized absorptions and % relative to bulk hydrogen concentrations are detailed in Table S2). We added in Figure 10b typical Ti range of concentrations reported in mantle-derived olivines (see also Fig. 2 in Foley et al., 2013). We compare our results with FTIR results from doping experiments (Faul et al. 2016; Padròn-Navarta and Hermann 2017, Tollan et al. 2018) and from mantle-derived olivines (Schmaedicke et al. 2013; Demouchy et al. 2015; Denis et al. 2018), which were all reprocessed accordingly to the methodology used here to obtain the normalized integrated absorbance for the [Ti-2H] defect only. We converted the concentration in micromole/g to better evaluate the potential coupling between hydrogen and titanium in Figure 10c. The results are far from the expected 1:2 line (at saturation), but closer to a 1:1 coupling. Note that error bars from Padròn-Navarta et al. (2014) are very large since titanium concentrations were obtained by electron microprobe and not LA-ICP-MS; We also wish to point out the non-negligible impact of the choice of the IR calibration (Bell et al. 2003 versus Withers et al. 2012) as illustrated by Figure 10c. We reiterate that such a positive correlation does not hold when considering the bulk (total) hydrogen contents. This discrepancy shows that even if the [Ti-2H] defect is a significant mechanism for hydrogen incorporation in mantle olivine, it is not dominant enough to overcome the other mechanisms (see Table S2). We thus

 conclude that the diversity of hydrogen-decorated point defects dilutes the effect of complex defect with Ti.

 To assess how our olivines match current Ti data, we further compare our results with mantle and magmatic compositions from the literature for Ti and Ca in Figure 11 as these trace elements are commonly used together as a petrogenetic indicator (e.g., O'Reilly et al., 1997; Foley et al., 2013). This figure demonstrates that mantle-derived olivines have low Ti (<100 ppm) and Ca concentrations (<1000 ppm). Conversely, Ti- and Ca-rich compositions are typical of magmatic olivines (e.g., sample LR). Hence, Figure 11 provides a framework for further doping experiments to properly assess the potential impact of non-divalent minor elements such as Ti when co-existing with various divalent cations (e.g., Ni, Ca, Mn) in lithospheric olivines. The most abundant pentavalent impurity (phosphorous, Fig. S8d) has a similar concentration level to monovalent and titanium, but a distribution similar to trivalent elements, except for the high temperature (HT) harzburgitic olivine, which is one of the poorest olivine in P.

4. Discussion

 Only one of the selected olivine samples originally displays a heterogeneous hydrogen distribution (PA3, Fig. 4), which was interpreted as resulting from partial dehydration by diffusion during magma ascent towards the surface (Demouchy et al. 2006). Other studies have reported similar dehydration profiles in olivines and pyroxenes (Peslier and Luhr 2006; Wade et al. 2008; Lloyd et al. 2012, 2017; Denis et al. 2013; Peslier et al. 2015; Tian et al. 2017; Denis et al. 2018). However, after more than a decade, we must face the paucity of olivines and pyroxenes presenting such heterogeneous hydrogen distributions, which are also never coupled with other minor/trace element spatial heterogeneities. Furthermore, despite the fast hydrogen diffusivities obtained experimentally (Mackwell and Kohlstedt 1990; Demouchy and Mackwell 2006), natural olivine crystals ubiquitously contain remnant traces of hydrogen. In this study, we assume that the remnant hydrogen accurately represents the energetically favorable long- term hydrogen-decorated point defects and their diversity. We emphasize that additional hydrogen-decorated defects may be present in the olivine lattice at depth, but not preserved during magma ascent (e.g., Kempf and Hermman 2018; Tollan et al. 2018), hence we do not consider them as reliable long-term mechanisms of hydrogen storage.

4.2 Effects of temperature and pressure

 Because the incorporation of minor elements in mantle minerals is a function of thermodynamic parameters (mostly temperature, pressure, and possibly oxygen fugacity) several minor elements have been used as reliable geothermobarometers to estimate equilibrium conditions in upper mantle rocks. For example, Ca exchange between ortho- and clino-pyroxene or Ca in orthopyroxene (Ca-in-opx) is a function of temperature (e.g., Wells 1977; Boyd and Nixon 1978; Ellis and Green 1979; Brey and Kohler 1990) and aluminum incorporation in olivine or pyroxene is a function of pressure (e.g., Stalder 2004; Férot and Bolfan-Casanova 2012; Coogan et al. 2014; Bussweiler et al. 2017; D'Souza et al. 2020). In particular, the Ca-in-opx geothermometer (Brey and Kohler 1990) has been widely used to constrain the equilibrium temperature of mantle xenoliths (based on the fact that Ca concentration increases in orthopyroxenes and decreasesin olivine with increasing temperature; e.g., O'Reilly et al. 1997), and to help constrain the pressure/depth of origin. Nevertheless, the 546 uncertainties on pressure remains most of the time very high for spinel-bearing peridotites (± 1) 547 GPa and \pm 100 °C; Brey and Kohler 1990). Nonetheless, in the absence of a better (absolute) geothermobarometer, its usage quickly became the norm until recently (e.g., Wan et al. 2008; Bussweiler et al. 2017; D'Souza et al. 2020). Combined with this geothermobarometer, the maximum and minimum hydrogen concentrations in mantle-derived olivines display a striking increase with increasing temperature as seen along a geotherm (Costa et al. 2010; Peslier et al. 2010; Demouchy and Bolfan-Casanova 2016; Peslier et al. 2017). This agrees with the experimentally-determined control of water fugacity on hydrogen incorporation with increasing pressure under water-saturation (Kohlstedt et al. 1996; Hirschmann et al. 2005; Mosenfelder et al. 2006; Bali et al. 2008; Férot and Bolfan-Casanova 2012; Gaetani et al. 2014; Padrón-Navarta and Hermann 2017). However, in naturally hydrated mantle olivines, this positive correlation might not be that straightforward and could be indirect. Here, we examine the possible correlation between hydrogen and Ca concentrations from this study and from mantle-derived olivines in Figure 12 (Demouchy 2004; Moselfelder et al. 2006; Grant et al. 2007; Falus et al. 2008; Li et al. 2008; Yang et al. 2008; Peslier et al. 2010, 2012; Denis et al. 2013, 2015, 2018; Soustelle et al. 2013; Demouchy et al. 2015, 2019; Satsukawa et al. 2017). Hydrogen concentration broadly increases with decreasing Ca concentration in olivine in group III confirming that the two species compete for M-site vacancies with increasing temperature (or depth). The three hydrothermal olivines of Group I do not show the same trend. The olivines from Group II do not show a well-defined correlation as for group III, and the horizontal spread is dragged by the composition of the magmatic olivine LR. We recall here that magmatic olivines (e.g. LR) are highly defective as the Fe-enrichment, resulting from crystallization in a Fe-rich magma, enhances nonstoichiometry (e.g, Nakamura and Schmalzried 1983), thus vacancy concentration, and consequently incorporation of atomic impuritiessuch as Ca is easier. Nevertheless, if the magma is far to be water-undersaturated (e.g., water-fugacity is low at shallow depth), it cannot lead to a concomitant high incorporation of hydrogen, thus hydrogen will be at odd with the other atomic impurities in magmatic olivines. To resume, while

 magmatic olivines will have a high capacity to host H, ultimately the concentration of H in these same olivines will depend on the water fugacity in the magmatic system.

4.3 Co-existence of complex hydrogenated point defects

 The identification of a dominant and unique mechanism of hydrogen incorporation in olivine relevant to Earth's mantle conditions has been the subject of important consideration. Early experimental studies established the important role of M-site vacancies in hydrogen incorporation (Beran and Putnis 1983; Kohlstedt et al. 1996; Bali et al. 2008; Férot and Bolfan- Casanova 2012; Gaetani et al. 2014). Experimental studies have now clearly documented increased hydrogen incorporation in minor- and trace-element-doped crystals. Furthermore, the strategic role of Ti and its association with H incorporation into Si vacancies (the titanium clinohumite-like point defect) is now well established. Here, the Figures 2, 6a, 9, 10 and Table S4 clearly show that the integrated OH bands for [Ti-2H] are the most intense OH bands in FTIR spectra for mantle olivines. Despite this, integrated OH bands for [Ti-2H] do not exclusively control the bulk H concentration and thus cannot be used a distinctive proxy for the bulk hydrogen concentration in olivine for water under-saturated conditions compared to the three other mechanisms of hydrogen incorporation $(V_{si}''', V_{Mg}''$ and coupling with trivalent impurities). Nevertheless, the sum of the hydrogen concentration linked to the four mechanisms of incorporation seem to hold true as shown in Figure 13. Indeed, excluding three olivines from group II (magmatic LR, garnet-bearing lherzolite and the HT harzburgite), olivine forms a linear positive correlation parallel to the 1:1 line. Furthermore, if we take into consideration the entire population of atomic impurities in mole per gram of olivine the sum of trivalent impurities (B+Al+Cr+Sc+V= 1.14 to 17.2 µmol/g) dominates the sum of monovalent (H+Li+Na =1.07 to 6.86 micromol/g), which is above pentavalent (e.g., phosphorous, 0.35 to 597 2.31 micromol/g) and the most abundant tetravalents elements (Ti+Ge = 0.09 to 1.14) as shown in Figure S9. Then, hydrogen would mostly likely form complex associate-defect with trivalent in natural olivines.

5. Conclusions

 The incorporation of minor, trace, and ultra-trace elements into atomic-scale defects has been used as a petrogenetic indicator of magmatic and mantle processes (e.g., Foley et al. 2013). Here, based on our study of olivine crystals from ten different geological localities, we draw the following conclusions. (1) The diversity of hydrogen-decorated point defects is associated with a large range of minor and trace elements. Nevertheless, no single impurity seems to control the bulk incorporation of hydrogen in olivine. Furthermore, the effect of titanium clinohumite-like point defects appears diluted once bulk hydrogen concentrations are 610 considered in mantle-derived olivines. (2) Incorporation of these elements (REEs, HFSEs, \pm LILEs) into mantle olivine appears to be governed by olivine crystallography. This is limited by vacancy population and concentrations for a given temperature, and not by the chemical compositions of coexisting phases or percolating melts and fluids. (3) Although REEs occur in very low concentrations in olivine, olivine is not as depleted in H as in La and Ce, probably due to difference in their valence.

 The geochemical affinity of hydrogen appears inconsistent, a peculiarity which is probably related to its small ionic radius, the increasing vacancy population in olivine with increasing temperature (and then depth), the diversity of complex defects, and the very fast diffusivity of hydrogen in olivine relative to other minor/trace elements (e.g., fast to reach new equilibrium). This observed behavior could be explained by a late incorporation of hydrogen into olivine, with hydrogen filling all persisting vacancies in the olivine lattice (e.g., post-partial melting, post-metasomatism). Thus, H is offered a large range of sites of storage, and can be

 categorized as a versatile and opportunistic atom; H can be seen as a cuckoo element using whatever atomic spaces which remain available.

 As a consequence, targeting a single controlling mechanism of hydrogen incorporation into olivine using natural specimens might be in vain. Solubility laws based on high-pressure and high-temperature effects seem to remain the best approach, despite an inclination toward over-doped and over-simplified incorporations of atomic impurities in experimental charges. Furthermore, in 'cold' geological domains (e.g., subducted slabs, crustal and metamorphic settings), the mechanisms of hydrogen incorporation might be overall different and more complex than in mantle-derived contexts. This necessitates new and complex experimental approaches to understand the role of co-existing atomic impurities in the mechanisms of hydrogen incorporation and mobility in olivine as a function of the miscellaneous sites of storage.

Acknowledgements

 CNRS supported this study through INSU Tellus program 2019 awarded to S. Demouchy and a Future Fellowship (FT150100115) from Australian Research Council awarded to O. Alard. The authors thank Dr. D. Mainprice and Dr. A. Ishikawa for the re-use of selected olivine crystals. S.D. thanks J.-A. Padròn-Navarta and C. Thoraval for constructive discussions, Dr. T.D. Murphy and Dr. Y. Gréau at Macquarie University for their help and precious time on the Bruker M4 Tornado and L. Gorojovsky who improved the spelling and grammar of this manuscript. The IR-Raman technological Platform of University of Montpellier is acknowledged for the vibrational experiments. This is publication XXX of CCFS - Macquarie University.

Availability of data and material

All raw data are available upon request to the corresponding author.

References

- Aines R. D. and Rossman G. R. (1984) Water in minerals? A peak in the infrared. *J. Geophys. Res.* **89** (B6), 4059–4071.
- Bai Q. and Kohlstedt D. L. (1992) Substantial hydrogen solubility in olivine and implications for water storage in the mantle. *Nature* **357**, 672–674.
- Bali E., Bolfan-Casanova N. and Koga, K. (2008) Pressure and temperature dependence of H solubility in forsterite: an implication to water activity in the Earth interior. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **268**, 354–363.
- Baptiste V., Tommasi A., Vauchez A., Demouchy S. and Rudnick, R.L., (2015) Deformation,
- hydration, and anisotropy of the lithospheric mantle in an active rift: constraints from mantle xenoliths from the North Tanzanian Divergence of the East African Rift. *Tectonophysics* **639**, 34–55.
- Basch V., Rampone E., Crispini L., Ferrando C., Ildefonse, B. and Godard, M., (2018). From mantle
- peridotites to hybrid troctolites: Textural and chemical evolution during melt-rock interaction

history (Mt. Maggiore, Corsica, France). *Lithos* **323**, 4–23. doi:10.1016/j.lithos.2018.02.025

- Batanova V.G., Sobolev A.V. and Kuzmin D.V., (2015) Trace element analysis of olivine: High
- precision analytical method for JEOL JXA-8230 electron probe microanalyser, *Chem. Geol*. **419**, 149-157.
- Batanova, V.G., Thompson, J.M., Danyushevsky, L.V., Portnyagin, M.V., Garbe Schönberg, D.,
- Hauri, E., Kimura, J.I., Chang, Q., Senda, R., Goemann, K., Chauvel, C., Campillo, S., Ionov,
- D.A. and Sobolev, A.V. (2019). New Olivine Reference Material for In SituMicroanalysis.
- *Geostand Geoanal Res* **419**, 149–21. doi:10.1111/ggr.12266
- Bell D.R. and Rossman G.R., (1992) Water in Earth's mantle: The role of nominally anhydrous minerals. *Science* **255**, 1391–1397.

- Bell D.R., Rossman G.R., Maldener J., Endisch D. and Rauch, F. (2003) Hydroxide in olivine: a quantitative determination of the absolute amount and calibration of the IR spectrum. *J. Geophys. Res.* **108**.
- Bell D.R., Rossman G.R., and Moore R.O. (2004) Abundance and Partitioning of OH in a High-
- pressure Magmatic System: Megacrysts from the Monastery Kimberlite, South Africa. *J. Petrol* **45**, 1539–1564.
- Bedini M.R. and Bodinier J.L., 1999. Distribution of incompatible trace elements between the
- constituents of spinel peridotite xenoliths: ICP-MS data from the East African Rift. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **63**, 3883–3900.
- Beran A. and Libowitzky E. (2006) Water in Natural Mantle Minerals II: Olivine, Garnet and Accessory Minerals. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry* **62**, 169–191.
- Beran A. and Putnis A., (1983) A model of the OH position in olivine, derived from infrared-spectroscopy investigations. *Phys. Chem. Min.* **9**, 57–60.
- Beran A. and Zemman J., (1969) Üder OH-gruppen in Olivin. *Österreich Akademie des Wissenschaften* **3**, 73–74.
- Berry A., Hermann, J., O'Neill, H.S.C. and Foran G.J., (2005) Fringerprinting the water site in mantle olivine. *Geology* **33**, 869–872.
- Berry A., O'Neill H.S. Hermann and J., Scott, D.R., (2007a) The infrared signature of water associated with trivalent cations in olivine. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **1-2**, 134–142.
- Berry A., Walker A.M. ,Hermann J., O'Neill H.S., Foran, G.J. and Gale, J., (2007b) Titanium
- substitution mechanisms in forsterite. *Chem. Geol.* **242**, 176–186.
- Bodinier J.-L., and Godard M. (2014) Orogenic, Ophiolitic, and Abyssal Peridotites. In: Holland H.D.
- and Turekian K.K. (eds.) Treatise on Geochemistry, 2nd Edition, v3, **103-167**. Oxford: Elsevier.
- Bodinier J-L, Guiraud M, Fabrie`s J, Dostal J, and Dupuy C (1987) Petrogenesis of layered
- pyroxenites from the Lherz, Freychinède and Prades ultramafic bodies (Ariège, French
- Pyrénées). *Geochim. Cosmochim Acta* **51**, 279–290
- Boyd F. R., and Nixon P. H., (1978) Ultramafic nodules from the Kimberley pipes, South Africa.
- *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **42**, 1367-82.
- Brett R.C., Russell J.K. and Moss, S., (2009) Origin of olivine in kimberlite: Phenocryst or impostor? *Lithos* **112**, 201–212.
- Brey G.P. and Köhler, T., (1990) Geothermobarometery in four-phase lherzolith II. New
- thermobarometrers, and practical assessment of existing thremobarometers. *J. Petrol* **31**, 1353– 1378.
- Burnard P.G., Demouchy S., Delon R., Arnaud N.O., Marrocchi Y., Cordier P. and Addad, A. (2015)
- The role of grain boundaries in the storage and transport of noble gases in the mantle. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett* **430**, 260–270.
- Bussweiler Y., Foley S.F., Prelevic D. and Jacob D.E. (2015) The olivine macrocryst problem: New
- insights from minor and trace element compositions of olivine from Lac de Gras kimberlites,
- Canada. *Lithos*, **220-223**, 238–252.
- Bussweiler Y., Brey G.P., Pearson D.G., Stachel T., Stern R.A., Hardman M.F., Kjarsgaard B.A. and
- Jackson, S.E.,(2017). The aluminum-in-olivine thermometer for mantle peridotites —
- Experimental versus empirical calibration and potential applications. *Lithos,* **272-273**, 301–314. doi:10.1016/j.lithos.2016.12.015
- Bussweiler Y., Giuliani A., Greig A., Kjarsgaard B.A., Petts D., Jackson S.E., Barrett N., Luo Y.,
- Pearson D.G. (2019) Trace element analysis of high-Mg olivine by LA-ICP-MS –
- Characterization of natural olivine standards for matrix-matched calibration and application to mantle peridotites. *Chemical Geology* **524**, 136–157.
- Coogan L.A., Saunders A.D. and Wilson, R.N. (2014) Aluminum-in-olivine thermometry of primitive basalts: Evidence of an anomalously hot mantle source for large igneous provinces. *Chemical Geology* **368**, 1–10. doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2014.01.004
-
- Costa Rodriguez F., Dohmen R. and Demouchy S. (2010) Modeling the dehydrogenation of mantle
- olivine with implications for the water content of the Earth's upper mantle, and ascent rates of
- kimberlite and alkali basaltic magmas *Eos Trans*. *AGU, Fall Meet.* Abstract V24C-06.
- De Hoog J.C.M., Gall L. and Cornell D.H. (2010) Trace-element geochemistry of mantle olivine and
- application to mantle petrogenesis and geothermobarometry. *Chem. Geol.* **270**, 196–215.
- De Hoog J.C.M., Hattori K and Jung H. (2014) Titanium- and water-rich metamorphic olivine in high-pressure serpentinites from the Voltri Massif (Ligurian Alps, Italy): evidence for deep
- subduction of high-field strength and fluid-mobile elements. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.* **167**, 990.

Delon R., Demouchy S., Marrocchi Y., Bouhifd M.A., Barou F., Cordier P., Addad A. and Burnard,

- P.G. (2018) Helium incorporation and diffusion in polycrystalline olivine. *Chem. Geol.* **488**, 105–124.
- Delon R.M., Demouchy S., Marrocchi Y., Bouhifd M.A., Cordier P., Addad A. and Burnard P.G.
- (2019) Argon storage and diffusion in Earth's upper mantle. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **253**, 1–18.
- Demouchy S., (2004) Thermodynamics and kinetics of hydrogen incorporation in olivine and
- wadsleyite, PhD thesis, Bayerisches Geoinstitut, Universität Bayreuth, Bayreuth, Germany. 130 p.
- Demouchy S. and Bolfan-Casanova N. (2016) Distribution and transport of hydrogen in the lithospheric mantle: A review. *Lithos* **240-243**, 402–425.
- Demouchy S., and Mackwell, S. (2006). Mechanisms of hydrogen incorporation and diffusion in iron-bearing olivine. *Phys. Chem. Minerals.* **33**, 347–355.
- Demouchy S., Jacobsen S.D., Gaillard F. and Stern, C.R. (2006) Rapid magma ascent recorded by water diffusion profiles in mantle olivine. *Geology* **34**, 429–432.
- Demouchy S., Tommasi A., Barou F., Mainprice D. and Cordier, P. (2012) Deformation of olivine in torsion under hydrous conditions. *Phys. Earth Planet. Int.* **202-203**, 57–70.
- Demouchy S., Ishikawa A., Tommasi A., Alard O., and Keshav, S. (2015) Characterization of
- hydration in the mantle lithosphere: Peridotite xenoliths from the Ontong Java Plateau as an example. *Lithos*, **212-215,** 189–201.
- Demouchy S., Tommasi A., Ionov D., Higgie K. and Carlson R.W. (2019) Microstructures, Water
- Contents, and Seismic Properties of the Mantle Lithosphere beneath the Northern limit of the Hangay Dome, Mongolia. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst*. 2018GC007931.
- Denis C.M.M., Demouchy S. and Shaw C. (2013) Evidence of dehydration in peridotites from Eifel
- Volcanic Field and estimates of magma ascent rates. *J. Volc. Geoth. Res.* **258**, 85–99.
- Denis C.M.M, Alard O., and Demouchy, S. (2015) Water content and hydrogen behavior during metasomatism in the uppermost mantle beneath Ray Pic volcano (Massif Central, France). *Lithos*. **237**, 256-274.
- Denis C.M.M., Demouchy S. and Alard O., (2018) Heterogeneous hydrogen distribution in
- orthopyroxene from veined mantle peridotite (San Carlos, Arizona): Impact of melt-rock
- interactions. *Lithos* **302-303**, 298–311.
- D'Souza, R.J., Canil, D., Coogan, L.A., 2020. Geobarometry for spinel peridotites using Ca and Al in olivine. *Contrib. Miner. Petrol.* **175**, 241–13. doi:10.1007/s00410-019-1647-6
- Ellis D. J., and Green D. H., (1979) An experimental study of the effect of Ca upon garnet-

clinopyroxene Fe-Mg exchange equilibria. *Contrib. Miner. Petrol.* **71**, 13-22

- Falus G., Tommasi A., Ingrin J. and Szabo, C. (2008) Deformation and seismic anisotropy of the lithospheric mantle in the Southeastern Carpathians inferred from the study of mantle xenoliths.
- *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 272, **50**–64.
- Faul U.H., Cline C.J., II, David E.C., Berry A.J. and Jackson I. (2016) Titanium-hydroxyl defect-
- controlled rheology of the Earth's upper mantle. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **452**, 227–237.
- Férot A. and Bolfan-Casanova N. (2012) Water storage capacity in olivine and pyroxene to 14 GPa:
- Implications for the water content of the Earth'supper mantle and nature of seismic
- discontinuities*. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **349**-350, 218–230.
- Foley S.F., Prelevic D. Rehfeldt, T. and Jacob, D.E. (2013) Minor and trace elements in olivine as probe into early igneous and mantle melting processes*. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **363**, 181–191.
- Gaetani G.A., O'Leary J.A., Koga K.T., Hauri E.H., Rose-Koga E.F. and Monteleone B.D. (2014).
- Hydration of mantle olivine under variable water and oxygen fugacity conditions. *Contrib*
- *Mineral Petrol* **167**, 965. Doi:10.1007/s00410-014-0965-y
- Garrido C.J., Bodinier, J.L. and Alard, O. (2000) Incompatible trace element partitioning and
- residence in anhydrous spinel peridotites and websterites from Ronda orogenic peridotite. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 181, 314–358.
- Goldschmidt V.M. (1926) The laws of crystal chemistry. *Naturewissenschaften* 1', 477–485.
- Göse J., Reichart P., Dollinger G. and Schmädicke, E. (2008) Water in natural olivine--determined by proton-proton scattering analysis. *Am. Min*. **93**, 1613–1619.
- Göse J., Schmädicke E., Markowitz M. and Beran, A. (2010) OH point defects in olivine from Pakistan. *Miner Petrol* **99**, 105–111. doi:10.1007/s00710-009-0095-9
- Grant K., Ingrin J., Lorand J.P., and Dumas P. (2007) Water partitioning betweenmantle minerals from peridotite xenoliths. *Contrib. Miner. Petrol.* **154**, 15–34.
- Griffin W.L., Powell W., Pearson N.J. and O'Reilly S.Y., (2008) GLITTER: data reduction software
- for laser ablation ICP-MS. Laser Ablation-ICP-MS in the Earth Sciences vol. 40. Mineralogical Association of Canada Short Course Series, pp. 204–207 (Appendix 2).
- Guillong M. and Heinrich C.A. (2007) Sensitivity enhancement in laser ablation ICP-MS using small amounts of hydrogen in the carrier gas. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **22**, 1488.
- Harlow G.E., Kyaw T., Silbert Z., and Martin al., C. (2014) Hydrothermal re-crystalisation of dunitic olivine: Peridot from Pyaung-Gaung, Myanmar and other examples. 21rst General Meeting of the International Mineralogical Association, abs volume 1-5, 198.
- Hirschmann M., Aubaud C. and Withers A.C. (2005) Storage capacity of H2O in nominally anhydrous minerals in the upper mantle. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **236**, 167–181.
- Hu Z., Gao S., Liu Y., Hu S., Chen H. and Yuan H. (2008) Signal enhancement in laser ablation ICP-MS by addition of nitrogen in the central channel gas. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom*. **23**, 1093.
-
- Ingrin J. and Skogby H. (2000) Hydrogen in nominally anhydrous upper-mantle minerals:

concentration levels and implications. *Eur. J. Minerals* **12**, 543–570.

- Jacquet E., Alard O. and Gounelle, M. (2012) Chondrule trace element geochemistry at the mineral scale. *Meteoritics & Planet. Sci.* **47**, 1695–1714.
- Jean M.M., Taylor L.A., Howarth G.H., Peslier A.H., Fedele L., Bodnar R.J., Guan Y., Doucet L.S.,
- 807 Ionov D.A., Logvinova A.M., Golovin A.V. and Sobolev N.V. (2016) Olivine inclusions in
- Siberian diamonds and mantle xenoliths: Contrasting water and trace-element contents. *Lithos* **265**, 31–41.
- Jochum K.P., Nohl U., Herwig K., Lammel E., Stoll B. and Hofmann A.W. (2005) GeoReM: A New
- *Geochemical Database for Reference Materials and Isotopic Standards,* **29** (3), 333-338.
- Jollands M.C., Padrón-Navarta J.A., Hermann J., and O'Neill A.H.S.C. (2016) Hydrogen diffusion in
- Ti-doped forsterite and the preservation of metastable point defects, *J. Petrol*. doi:10.2138/am-2016-5568
- Jurewicz A.J.G. and Watson E.B. (1988a) Cations in olivine, Part 1: Calcium partitioning and
- calcium-magnesium distribution between olivines and coexisting melts, with petrologic
- applications, *Contrib Mineral Petrol* **99**, 176-85
- Jurewicz A.J.G., and Watson, E.B. (1988b) Cations in olivine, Part 2: Diffusion in olivine xenocrysts, with application to petrology and mineral physics. *Contrib. Miner. Petrol*. **99**, 186–201.
- Kelle, J., Zaitsev A.N. and Wiedenmann, D. (2006) Primary magmas at Oldoinyo Lengai: the role of olivine melilitites. *Lithos* **91**, 150–172.
- Kempf E.D. and Hermann J., (2018) Hydrogen incorporation and retention in metamorphic olivine during subduction: Implications for the deep water cycle. *Geology* **46**, 571–574.
- Kent A.J.R and Rossman G.R. (2002) Hydrogen, lithium, and boron in mantle-derived olivine: the role of coupled subtitutions. *Am. Min.* **87**, 1432–1436.
- 826 Kohlstedt D.L. and Mackwell S.J. (1998) Diffusion of hydrogen and intrinsic point defects in olivine. *Z. Phys. Chem.* **207**, 147–162.
- 828 Kohlstedt D.L., Keppler H. and Rubie, D.C. (1996) Solubility of water in the α , β and γ phases of 829 **(Mg,Fe)**₂SiO₄. *Contrib Mineral Petrol* **123**, 345–357.
- Kröger F.A. and Vink H.J. (1956) Relation between the concentration of imperfections in crystalline
- solids. In: *Solid State Physics* **3**, eds. F. Seitz, D. Turnhall, Academic Press, New York, pp 367- 435.
- Le Roux V, Bodinier J.L., Tommasi A., Alard O., Dautria J.M., Vauchez A. and Riches A.J.V. (2007)
- The Lherz spinel lherzolite: refertilized rather than pristine mantle. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **259**, 599–612.
- Li Z.X.A., Lee C.T.A., Peslier A., Lenardic A. and Mackwell S.J. (2008) Water contents in mantle
- xenoliths from the Colorado Plateau and vicinity: implications for the mantle rheology and
- hydration-induced thining of continental lithosphere*. J. Geophys. Res*. **113**, doi: 10.1029–
- 2007JB005540.
- Lloyd A.S., Plank T., Ruprecht P., Hauri E.H. and Rose, W. (2012) Volatile loss from melt inclusions in pyroclasts of differing sizes. *Contrib Mineral Petrol* **165**, 129–153.
- Lloyd A.S., Ferriss E., Ruprecht P., Hauri E.H., Jicha B.R. and Plank, T. (2017) An Assessment of Clinopyroxene as a Recorder of Magmatic Water and Magma Ascent Rate. *J. Petrol.* **57**, 1865–
- 1886.
- Mackwell S.J. and Kohlstedt D.L. (1990) Diffusion of hydrogen in olivine: Implications for water in the mantle. *J. Geophys. Res.* **95**, 5079–5088.
- Mackwell, S.J., and Kohlstedt D.L. and Paterson M.S. (1985) The role of water in the deformation of olivine single crystals. *J. Geophys. Res*. **90**, 11–319–11–333.
- McDonough W.F. and Sun, S.-s. (1995) The composition of the Earth. *Chem. Geol.* **120**, 223**–**253.
- Medaris L.G. Jr. (1984) A geothermobarometry investigation of garnet peridotites in the western

Gneiss Ragion of Norway. *Contrib Mineral Petrol* **87**, 72-86.

- Mei S., and Kohlstedt D.L. (2000) Influence of water on plastic deformation of olivine aggregates: 2. Dislocation creep regime. *J Geophys Res* **105**, 21471-21481
- Miller G.H., Rossman G.R. and Harlow G.E. (1987) The Natural Occurrence of Hydroxide in Olivine. *Phys Chem Minerals* **14**, 461–472.
- Mosenfelder J.L., Sharp T.G. Asimow, P.D. and Rossman, G.R. (2006) Hydrogen incorporation in
- natural olivine, in: Earth's Deep Water Cycle. AGU, Washington DC, pp. 45–56.
- Nakamura A. and Schmalzried H. (1983) On the nonstoichiometry and point defects of olivine. *Phys Chem Minerals* **10**, 27–37.
- Neave D.A., Shorttle O., Oeser M., Weyer S. and Kobayashi K. (2018) Mantle-derived trace element variability in olivines and their melt inclusions. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **483**, 90–104.
- O'Reilly S.Y., Chen D., Griffin W.L. and Ryan C. G. (1997) Minor elements in olivine from spinel
- lherzolite xenoliths: Implication for thermobarometry. *Min. Mag.,* **61**, 257-269.
- Padrón-Navarta J.A., and Hermann J., (2017) A Subsolidus Olivine Water Solubility Equation for the Earth's Upper Mantle. *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth* 122, 9862–9880.
- 866 Padrón-Navarta J.A., Hermann J., and O'Neill H.S.C., (2014) Site-specific hydrogen diffusion rates in
- forsterite. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett* **392**, 100–112. Paterson, M.S., (1982) The determination of
- hydroxyl by infrared absorption in quartz, silicate glasses and similar materials. *Bull. Minéral,* **105**, 20–29.
- Peslier A.H. (2010) A review of water contents of nominally anhydrous minerals in the mantles of Earth, Mars and the Moon. *J. Volc. Geoth. Res.* **197**, 239–258.
- Peslier A. and Luhr, J.F., (2006) Hydrogen loss form olivines in mantle xenoliths from Simcoe (USA)
- and Mexico: mafic alkalic magma ascent rate and water budget of the sub-continental
- lithosphere. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. **242**, 302–314.
- Peslier, A.H., Woodland, A.B., Bell, D.R., and Lazarov, M., (2010) Olivine water contents in the continental lithosphere and the longevity of cratons. *Nature* **467**, 78–83.
- Peslier A.H., Woodland A.B., Bell D.R., Lazarote M. and Lapen, T.J. (2012) Metasomatic control of water contents in the kaapvaal cratonic mantle. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **97**, 213–246.
- Peslier A.H., Bizimis M. and Matney M. (2015). Water disequilibrium in olivines from Hawaiian
- peridotites: Recent metasomatism, H diffusion and magma ascent rates. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **154**, 98-117.
- Peslier A.H., Schönbächler M., Busemann H. and Karato S.-I. (2017) Water in the Earth's Interior:

Distribution and Origin. *Space Sci Rev*, DOI 10.1007/s11214-017-0387-z

- Philibert J. (1995) Atom movement, diffusion and mass transport in solids. Eds. *Editions de physique Sciences*. **602** ISBN: 978-2-86883-161-3
- Prelevic D. and Foley S. (2007) Accretion of arc-oceanic lithospheric mantle in the Mediterranean:
- Evidence from extremely high-Mg olivines and Cr-rich spinel inclusions in lamproites. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.***256**, 120–135.
- Rasmussen, M.B., Halldórsson, S.A., Gibson, S.A., and Guðfinnsson, G.H., 2020. Olivine chemistry
- reveals compositional source heterogeneities within a tilted mantle plume beneath Iceland.
- *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **531**, 116008. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2019.116008
- Rauch M., (2000) Der Einbau von Wasser in Pyroxene. PhD thesis, Bayerisches Geoinstitut.
- Universität Bayreuth, Bayreuth, Germany. 141 p.
- Ringwood A. E. (1955) the principle governing trace element distribution during magmatic
- crystallization, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **7**, 189-202.

- Scheffler G.L. and Pozebon D. (2014) Advantages, drawbacks and applications of mixed Ar–N
- 2sources in inductively coupled plasma-based techniques: an overview. Anal. Methods 6, 6170– 6182.
- Schmalzried H., (1981) Solid State Reactions, 2nd ed. Verlag Chemie, Weinheim.
- Schwartz K. and Lang M. (2016) Mineral Defects. In: White W. (eds) Encyclopedia of Geochemistry. Encyclopedia of Earth Sciences Series. Springer.
- Skogby, H., 2006. Water in Natural Mantle Minerals I: Pyroxenes. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry* **62**, 154-167
- Sobolev A.V., Kuzmin D.V., Yaxley G.M., Arndt N.T., Chung S.L., Danyushevsky L.V., Elliott
- T., Frey F.A., Garcia M.O., Gurenko A.A., Kamenetsky V.S., Kerr A.C., Krivolutskaya
- N.A., Matvienkov V.V., Nikogosian I.K., Rocholl A., Sigurdsson I.A., Sushchevskaya
- N.M., and Teklay M., (2007) The Amount of Recycled Crust in Sources of Mantle-Derived
- Melts, *Science*, **5823**, 412-417.
- Soustelle V., Tommasi A., Demouchy S. and Franz L., (2013) Melt-rock interactions, deformation,
- hydration and seismic properties in the sub-arc lithospheric mantle inferred from xenoliths from
- seamounts near Lihir, Papua New Guinea. *Tectonophysics* **608**, 330–345.
- Spandler C. and O'Neill H.S.C. (2010) Diffusion and partition coefficients of minor and traces
- elements in San carlos olivine at 1,300°C with some geochemical implications. *Contrib Mineral*
- *Petrol* doi: 10.1007/s00410-009-0456-8.
- Stalder R. (2004) Influence of Fe, Cr, and Al on hydrogen incorporation in orthopyroxene. *Eur. J. Mineral.* **16**, 703–711.
- Sykes D., Rossman G.R., Veblen D.R. and Grew E.S. (1994) Enhanced H and F incorporation in
- borian olivine. *Am. Min.* **79**, 904–908.
- Tian Z.-Z., Liu J., Xia Q.-K., Ingrin J., Hao Y.-T., and Depecker C. (2017) Water concentration
- profiles in natural mantle orthopyroxenes: A geochronometer for long annealing of xenoliths within magma. *Geology*, doi:10.1130/G38620.1
- Thoraval C. and Demouchy S., (2014) Numerical models of ionic diffusion in one and three
- dimensions: application to dehydration of mantle olivine. *Phys. Chem. Minerals* **41**, 709–723.
- Thoraval C., Demouchy S. and Padrón-Navarta, J.-A. (2019) Relative diffusivities of hydrous defects
- from a partially dehydrated natural olivine. *Phys Chem Minerals*,
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s00269-018-0982-x
- Tielke J.A., Zimmerman,M.E. and Kohlstedt, D.L., (2017) Hydrolytic weakening in olivine single crystals. *J Geophys. Res.* **122**, 3465–3479. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2017JB014004.
- Tollan P.M.E., O'Neill H., S., C., and Hermann J., (2018) The role of trace elements in controlling H incorporation in San Carlos olivine. *Contrib Mineral Petrol* doi: 10.1007/s00410-018-1517-7
- Veter M., Foley S.F., Mertz-Kraus, R. and Groschopf, N., (2017) Trace elements in olivine of
- ultramafic lamprophyres controlled by phlogopite-rich mineral assemblages in the mantle
- source. *Lithos* **292-293**, 81–95.
- Veter M., Foley and Alard, O. (2019) Improved LA-ICP-MS Analytical Routine for Low-
- Concentration Chalcophile and Siderophile Elements in Olivine and Orthopyroxene,
- *Goldschmidt Abstracts* (03e/50/We).
- https://goldschmidt.info/2019/abstracts/abstractView?id=2019002975
- Wade J., Plank T., Hauri E.H., Roggensack K. and Zimmer M. (2008) Prediction of magmatic water contents measurements of H2O in clinopyroxene phenocryst. *Geology* **36**, 799–802.
- Walker A.M., Hermann J., Berry A. and O'neill, H.S. (2007) Three water sites in the upper mantle
- olivine and the role of titanium in the water weakening mechanism. *J. Geophys. Res.*
- 946 doi:10.1029-2006JB004620.
- Wan Z., Coogan L.A., and Canil D. (2008) Experimental calibration of aluminum partitioning between olivine and spinel as a geothermometer. *Am. Min.* **93**, 1142**–**1147.
- Wells P. R. A. (1977) Pyroxene thermometry in simple and complex systems. *Contrib. Miner. Petrol.*
- **62**, 129-39.

 Withers A.C. and Hirschmann M.M., (2008) Influence of Temperature, composition, slica activity and oxygen fugacity on the H2O storage capacity of olivine at 8 GPa. *Contrib Mineral Petrol,* doi–

10:007–s00410–008–0303–3.

- Withers A.C., Bureau H., Raepsaet C. and Hirschmann M.M. (2012) Calibration of infrared
- spectroscopy by elastic recoil detection analysis of H in synthetic olivine. *Chem. Geol*. **334**, 92– 98.
- Xia Q.-K., Liu J., Kovács I., Hao Y.-T., Li P., Yang X.-Z., Chen H. and Sheng, Y.-M. (2019) Water in the upper mantle and deep crust of eastern China: concentration, distribution and implications. *National Science Review*. doi: 10.1093/nsr/nwx016
- Yang X.-Z., Xia Q.-K., Deloule E., Dallai L., Fan Q.-C., and Feng, M. (2008) Water in minerals of the continental lithospheric mantle and overlying lower crust: A comparative study of peridotite and granulite xenoliths from the North China Craton. *Chem. Geol.* **256**, 33–45.
- Zamboni D., Trela J., Gazel E., Sobolev A.V., Cannatelli C., Lucchi F., Batanova V.G. and De Vivo
- B., (2017) New insights into the Aeolian Islands and other arc source compositions from high-
- precision olivine chemistry. *Lithos* **272-273**, 185–191. doi:10.1016/j.lithos.2016.12.004
- Zhang LY., Li N. and Prelevic D., (2016) The research of olivine trances in-situ analyses and perspectives of its application. *Acta Petrol. Sinica*, **32**(6), 1877-1890.

Figure captions

Fig. 1 Forsterite contents (Fo% = $100 \times Mg/(Mg + Fe)$) of each analyzed olivine. Color coding: Dark-blue for the hydrogen-rich hydrothermal olivine (SAP, NW1, NW2); pale-blue for the hydrogen-poor hydrothermal olivine (MYA); black for the magmatic olivine (LR); dark-green for the garnet-bearing peridotitic olivine (PA3); brown-green for high temperature spinel-bearing peridotitic olivine (SAS21), and green for the spinel-bearing peridotitic olivines (DMP2, DMP4, SC, SC2018, SAS41, SAS20, OLM, PP, HS1, LAZ).

 Fig. 2 Representative unpolarized infrared spectra for each olivine. All spectra are normalized to 1 cm thickness, and off-set vertically for clarity. Polarized IR spectra triplets for each sample are provided in Fig. S2. Color coding identical to Fig. 1 caption.

- **Fig. 3** Representative polarized IR spectra for olivine PA3. All spectra are normalized to 1 cm thickness, and off-set vertically for clarity.
- **Fig. 4** Variations of the integrated absorbance (normalized to 1 cm of thickness and proportional to hydrogen concentration) from polarized FTIR profiles of olivine PA3 along the different crystallographic directions and for the three directions of polarization for the di-electric vector.
- 985 **Fig. 5** Variations of hydrogen concentration as a function of forsterite content (Fo% = $100 \times$ 986 Mg/(Mg+Fe) a.p.f.u.). The primitive mantle value is ca. $F_0\% = 89.0$ (McDonough and Sun, 1995). Color coding identical to Fig. 1 caption.
- **Fig. 6** Variations of (a) hydrogen concentration in ppm H as a function of the sums of (a) minor, elements, solid symbol Ni+Mn, hollow symbols Ni+Mn+Ca ; (b) Relationships between the sum of trace elements contents and H concentration., with the three groups I, II, III, (c) low trace elements and (d) ultra-trace element concentrations in ppm. Minor (ME), Trace (TE), low -trace (LTE) and ultra-trace (UTE) elements are defined as follow: [ME] 993 ≥ 1000 ppm, $10 \leq [TE] < 1000$ ppm, $0.1 \leq [LTE] < 10$, [UTE]<0.1 ppm, see text for further detail and Table S5 for the listing of elements belonging to each set. Color coding identical to Fig. 1 caption.
- **Fig. 7** Selected trace and ultra-trace element concentration patterns normalized to the primitive mantle composition (McDonough and Sun, 1995) for the studied olivines. For clarity, the patterns are separated in two panels: (a) hydrothermal olivines (SAP, NW1, NW2, MYA) and the magmatic olivine (LR). (b) all mantle derived olivines (OLM, SC, SC2018, PA3, SAS20, SAS21, SAS41, LAZ, HS1, PP, DMP2, DMP4). We added the in-house standard

 SCO1 as well. Note that the arrows are indicating that the concentrations for these elements are below detection limits (See Table S4 for detection limits).

- **Fig. 8** Variations of hydrogen concentrations as a function of monovalent elements: Li (cross symbol), Na (multiplication symbol) and both the sum of Li+Na (circle symbol). Color coding identical to Fig. 1 caption.
- 1006 **Fig. 9** (a) Variations of hydrogen concentration linked to the 3355 and 3327 cm⁻¹ IR bands as a function of the sums of trivalent element in all olivines. (b) Variations of hydrogen concentration as a function of Cr, Al, B, Sc, V and sum of REE in mantle-derived olivine 1009 and magmatic olivine displaying the and 3327 cm⁻¹ IR bands. (c) Variations of bulk hydrogen concentration in the hydrothermal olivines (SAP, NMW1, NW2, MYA) as a function of the sum of trivalent elements (B+Al+Sc+Cr+V) and of B concentration (all 1012 in μ mol•g⁻¹). Color coding identical to Fig. 1 caption.
- **Fig. 10** (a) Ti concentrations in ppm determined by LA-ICP-MS as a function of the normalized integrated absorbance from the two IR bands attributed to the titanium clinohumite-like 1015 point defects $(3575 \text{ and } 3525 \text{ cm}^{-1})$. Only samples displaying these OH bands are included here (see Table 1). For comparison, results from other studies are also reported (Demouchy et al., 2015; Faul et al., 2016; Padrón-Navarta and Hermann, 2017; Denis et al., 2018; Tollan et al., 2018). (b) Histogram of Ti concentrations observed in mantle- derived spinel-bearing and garnet-bearing peridotites (data from O'Reilly et al., 1997; De Hoog et al., 2010; Peslier, 2010; Peslier et al., 2012; Satsukawa et al., 2017). (c) Ti molar concentration as a function of H molar concentration in olivine from this study and from Padrón-Navarta and Hermann (2017) and Tollan et al., (2018) calculated from two different IR calibrations (B03: Bell et al., 2003) and W12 (Withers et al., 2012).
- **Fig. 11** Ti concentrations in ppm (logarithmic scale) as a function of Ca concentration in ppm in olivine from this study and from various lithology and/or geological settings:

 from left to right, Norway, Sapat, San Carlos#1, #2, #3, La Réunion ; (b) Olivine specimens glue on glass section prior to X-ray fluorescence mapping and Laser ablation-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry. One square is 5 mm.

Fig. S2 Sets of FTIR spectra of olivines not presented in the main text: (a) SAP, (b) MYA, (c)

LR, (d) OLM, (e) NW1 and NW2, (f) SAS41, SAS20, and SAS21, (g) LAZ, (h) SC2018

and SC, and (i) HS1, PP, and DMP. All spectra are normalized to 1 cm thickness.

Fig. S3 Integrated absorbance distributions across (a) SAS20 and (b) NW1 as representative of

the homogeneous distributions observed across all olivine specimens except PA3

Fig. S4 Deconvolution details for the samples LR, LAZ, PA3, SAS20, SAS41, performed

1070 with the multipeak fitting package of IGOR PRO^{TM}

(https://www.wavemetrics.com/products/igorpro)

Fig. S5 Variations of Ni content (at. ppm) as a function of forsterite content (Fo% = 100 \times Mg/(Mg+Fe) a.p.f.u.) in the olivines studied herein and in previous studies: garnet peridotites (Peslier et al., 2010, 2012), spinel-bearing peridotites (O'Reilly et al., 1997; Satsukawa et al., 2017), olivine phenocrysts from Aeolian island arc lavas (Zamboni et 1076 al., 2017; *NB*, the field of arc lavas extends down to 200 ppm Ni and $F_0\% = 60$, olivine in various types of troctolites (Basch et al., 2018), and MORBs, OIBs (Hawaii), and

Komatiites (Sobolev et al., 2007)

- **Fig. S6** Selected plots of trace elements as a function of trace element concentrations in μ mol•g-1080 1 (B vs Li; Ti vs Cr/Na; Cr vs B; B vs H)
- **Fig. S7** Selected plots of trace elements as a function of trace element concentrations in 1082 μ mol•g⁻¹ (Ti vs V; Cr vs V; Cr vs Al; Li vs B)
- **Fig. S8** Sum of mono-, di-, tri-, tetra and penta-valent elements as a function of hydrogen concentration in ppm by weight.
- **Fig. S9.** (a) Sum of trivalent elements vs. sum of the monovalent elements; (b) Sum of trivalent
- elements vs. sum of the tetravalent elements; (c) Sum of tetravalent elements vs. sum of
- the monovalent elements; (d) Sum of pentavalent elements vs. sum of the monovalent elements.
-

Supplementary material – Table captions

-
- **Table S1** Average integrated absorbances normalized to sample thickness in the studied 1093 olivines from unpolarized and polarized FTIR analyses in the $3650-3100$ cm⁻¹ wavenumber range
- **Table S2** Summary of the deconvolution results for unpolarized average spectra of olivines LR,
- LAZ, PA3, SAS20, SAS41, performed with the multipeak fitting package of IGOR PRO
- (https://www.wavemetrics.com/products/igorpro)
- **Table S3** Major and selected minor element concentrations for in-house standard SCO-1 as 1099 determined by μ XRF, electron microprobe, and LA-ICP-MS analyses

- **Table S4** Minor, trace, and ultra-trace element concentrations determined by LA-ICP-MS for
- the standards used in this study (BCR2-G and BHVO2-G). Typical, best, and worst
- detection limits per element are also listed.
- **Table S5** Abundance and thus classification of the atomic impurities in each olivine used in the
- main text.

Demouchy and Alard, Fig. 1 Revised

Demouchy and Alard, Fig. 4

Demouchy and Alard, Fig. 7 Revised

Demouchy and Alard, Fig. 8, Revised

Demouchy and Alard, Fig. 10, Revised

		SAP	MYA	LR	OLM	NW1	NW2	SAS41	SAS20	SAS21	LAZ	PA3	DMP ₂	DMP4	HS1	PP	\mathbf{SC}	SC2018	
Petrogenesis		Hydrothermal	Hydrotherma	Magmatic	Peridotite	Hydrothermal	Hydrothermal	HT Peridotite	Peridotite	Peridotite	Peridotite	Grt Peridotite	Peridotite	Peridotite	Peridotite	Peridotite	Peridotite	Peridotite	
Sample size			Samples with detectable OH										No detectable OH						
Length 1	(mm)	1.865	1.164	0.738	1.01	1.176	1.16	1.116	1.336	0.722	1.178	0.883	0.646	1.054	1.599	1.328	1.035	0.476	
Length 2	(mm)	3.154	1.565	1.17	1.411	1.482	1.485	1.794	1.485	0.803	1.731	0.98	1.126	1.91	1.627	1.398	1.825	2.457	
Length 3	(mm)	4.542	1.979	1.267	1.919	1.764	1.755	2.835	1.541	1.592	1.913	1.09	1.138	2.032	1.686	1.72	2.26	2.505	
Wavenumber ^{1,2} (cm ⁻¹)																			Band assignment ³
	3698	$\mathbf x$	\circ	\circ	\circ	$\mathbf x$	$\mathbf x$	\circ	\mathbf{o}	\mathbf{o}	\mathbf{o}	$\mathbf 0$	\circ	\circ	\mathbf{o}	\circ	\circ	$\mathbf 0$	Serpentine lamellae
	3650	\circ	\mathbf{o}	\circ	\mathbf{o}	W	W	Ω	Ω	\mathbf{o}	$\,$ 0	$\mathbf 0$	\circ	Ω	\mathbf{o}	Ω	\mathbf{o}	$\mathbf 0$	Serpentine lamellae
	3598	$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{x}}$	$\mathbf x$	\circ	\mathbf{o}	X	$\mathbf x$	\circ	X	\mathbf{o}	3612	$\mathbf x$	\mathbf{o}	\circ	\mathbf{o}	\circ	\mathbf{o}	\mathbf{o}	Si vacancies
Range I	3572	\circ	$\mathbf 0$	\circ	$\ensuremath{\text{W}}$	\circ	\mathbf{o}	$\mathbf x$	X	\mathbf{o}	\circ	$\mathbf x$	\circ	\circ	\mathbf{o}	\circ	\circ	$\mathbf 0$	TiChu point defect
	3562	\circ	$\mathbf x$	$\mathbf x$	\mathbf{o}	\circ	\mathbf{o}	Ω	Ω	\mathbf{o}	3568	\circ	\circ	\circ	\mathbf{o}	\circ	\mathbf{o}	\mathbf{o}	Si vacancies ⁴
	3542	\circ	\mathbf{x}	\circ	\circ	\circ	\mathbf{o}	\circ	\bf{x}	\mathbf{o}	$\mathbf x$	$\mathbf x$	\circ	\circ	\mathbf{o}	\circ	\circ	\mathbf{o}	Si vacancies
	3525	Ω	\mathbf{o}	$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{x}}$	\circ	\circ	\circ	W	\bf{x}	\mathbf{o}	\mathbf{x}	$\mathbf x$	\circ	\circ	\mathbf{o}	\circ	\circ	$\mathbf 0$	TiChu point defect
	3485	$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{x}}$	3477	$\mathbf x$	\circ	$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{x}}$	$\mathbf x$	\circ	$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{x}}$	\mathbf{o}	\circ	$\mathbf x$	\circ	\mathbf{o}	\circ	\circ	\circ	\mathbf{o}	Si vacancies
	3402	\circ	\mathbf{o}	\circ	\mathbf{o}	\circ	\mathbf{o}	\circ	\mathbf{o}	\mathbf{o}	\mathbf{o}	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	$\,$ O	TiChu lamellae
	3387	\circ	\mathbf{o}	\mathbf{o}	\mathbf{o}	\circ	\mathbf{o}	\mathbf{o}	\mathbf{o}	$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{x}}$	$\,$ 0	\circ	\circ	\circ	\mathbf{o}	\circ	\mathbf{o}	$\,$ O	
Range II	3355	\circ	\mathbf{o}	$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{x}}$	$\mathbf x$	\circ	\mathbf{o}	W	\bf{x}	$\mathbf x$	$\mathbf x$	$\mathbf x$	\circ	\circ	\mathbf{o}	\circ	\mathbf{o}	$\mathbf 0$	Trivalent defects
	3327	\circ	\circ	$\mathbf x$	x	\circ	\mathbf{o}	$\ensuremath{\text{W}}$	X	\mathbf{x}	$\mathbf x$	$\mathbf x$	\mathbf{o}	\circ	\mathbf{o}	\circ	\circ	\mathbf{o}	Trivalent defects
	3230	\circ	\circ	$\ensuremath{\text{W}}$	\circ	\mathbf{o}	\circ	$\ensuremath{\text{W}}$	\circ	\circ	\circ	$\mathbf x$	\mathbf{o}	\circ	\mathbf{o}	\circ	\circ	\mathbf{o}	Mg vacancies
Wavenumber resolution ± 4 cm ⁻¹ .			2 OH band presence is indicated as $x =$ present, $w =$ weak, or $o =$ absent. When the expected band is offset but corresponds to the same defect, the wavenumber is indicated directly. ³ This compilation of OH band assignments in olivine is identical to Thoraval et al. (2019), and was previously established by Beran and Libowitzky (2006), Padrón-Navarta et al. (2014), and Jollands et al. (2015).																
titanium clinohumite-like point defect (Berry et al., 2005). TiChu lamellae = titanium clinohumite lamellae.			Trivalent defect bands occur at 3353 cm ⁻¹ for Fe ³⁺ , and 3358, 3328, and 3323 cm ⁻¹ for Cr ³⁺ (Jollands et al., 2016). TiChu point defect' indicates the Ti and H associated defect $\{Ti_{M_0} \bullet \bullet$, $(2H)_{S_0} \bullet \bullet \bullet \mathbb{$																

Table 1. Olivine prism sizes after sample preparation and OH band positions for each olivine specimen as identified by unpolarized FTIR.

 4 Overlapping with 3553 cm⁻¹ from TiChu point defects.

$wt\%$	SAP	MYA	LR	OLM	NW1	NW ₂	SAS41	SAS20	SAS ₂₁	LAZ	PA3	DMP2	DMP4	HS1	PP	SC		SC2018 SCO-2 $(n=5)^{1}$
SiO ₂	40.47	41.40	37.90	41.13	41.30	41.29	40.22	40.51	40.52	40.31	40.09	40.03	40.10	40.04	39.96	39.90	40.02	40.62
TiO ₂	$\overline{}$		0.01					0.01		\blacksquare	0.01				0.00			0.00
Al_2O_3																		0.00
Cr ₂ O ₃	$\overline{}$								$\overline{}$	0.02	$\overline{}$	0.01	0.02					$\overline{}$
FeO	9.11	5.77	15.58	6.74	6.03	6.30	10.44	8.88	8.82	9.93	11.14	10.65	9.93	10.55	10.20	10.64	10.55	9.83
MnO	0.14	0.13	0.17	0.09	0.17	0.18	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.14	0.18	0.15	0.15	0.11
MgO	48.94	51.64	43.28	50.90	51.53	51.33	47.57	49.07	49.04	48.09	46.91	47.53	48.33	47.54	48.10	47.56	47.59	48.76
CaO	0.02	0.07	0.24	0.05	0.13	0.02	0.11	0.04	0.13	0.07	0.08	0.10	0.07	0.19	0.09	0.20	0.14	0.11
Na ₂ O																		
NiO	0.36	0.42	0.38	0.42	0.23	0.24	0.43	0.43	0.45	0.43	0.47	0.42	0.41	0.43	0.38	0.43	0.44	0.43
Total	99.05	99.43	97.56	99.32	99.40	99.37	98.90	99.08	99.09	98.97	98.83	98.89	98.97	98.89	98.93	98.88	98.89	99.96*
$\mathbf 0$	4.000	4.000	4.000	4.000	4.000	4.000	4.000	4.000	4.000	4.000	4.000	4.000	4.000	4.000	4.000	4.000	4.000	4.000
Si	1.000	1.003	0.979	1.002	1.002	1.003	1.001	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.002	0.998	0.996	0.998	0.995	0.996	0.998	0.998
Ti	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Al	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001 0.000
\mathbf{C} r Fe	0.000 0.188	0.000 0.117	0.000 0.337	0.000 0.137	0.000 0.122	0.000 0.128	0.000 0.217	0.000 0.183	0.000 0.182	0.000 0.206	0.000 0.233	0.000 0.222	0.000 0.206	0.000 0.220	0.000 0.212	0.000 0.222	0.000 0.220	0.204
Mn	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.002	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.002
	1.802	1.865	1.667	1.848	1.863	1.858	1.766	1.805	1.804	1.779	1.748	1.767	1.789	1.767	1.785	1.769	1.769	1.786
Mg Ca	0.001	0.002	0.007	0.001	0.003	0.001	0.003	0.001	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.005	0.002	0.005	0.004	0.003
N _a	0.000	0.000	0.019	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Ni	0.007	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.004	0.005	0.009	0.008	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.008	0.009	0.008	0.009	0.009	0.009
Sum cations	3.000	2.997	3.021	2.998	2.998	2.997	2.999	3.000	3.000	2.999	2.998	3.002	3.004	3.002	3.005	3.004	3.002	3.002
$\mathrm{Fo\%}^2$	90.54	94.11	83.20	93.09	93.84	93.56	89.04	90.78	90.83	89.62	88.25	88.83	89.67	88.93	89.37	88.85	88.94	89.75

Table 2. Average major and minor element concentrations determined by semi-quantitative μ XRF for each olivine.

¹ In-house Standard, San Carlos Olivine, Mcquarie University (see Table S1).

 2 Fo% = 100 x Mg/(Fe+Mg).

* average of the 5 totals

Sample #	[OH] unpo1Pat	[OH] po2Pat	[OH] $po 3W$		[OH] po triplet_1 4W [OH] po triplet_2 5W		
				Concentration in ppm H by weight $($ = molar concentration* in micro mole $/g$ of olivine)			
SAP	3.1	3.3	4.5	4.5	4.5		
MYA	0.6	0.7	1.0	1.2	1.2		
LR	0.6	0.7	1.4	1.5	1.3		
OLM	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.9	0.6		
NW1	3.2	4.3	6.0	5.5	6.4		
NW2	3.6	4.0	5.6	5.5	5.6		
SAS41	0.2	0.3	$\mathbf{0.8}$	0.8	0.8		
SAS20	1.4	1.3	2.3	2.5	2.5		
SAS21	0.6	0.7	1.8	1.9	1.7		
LAZ	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.4		
PA3	0.8	1.0	1.7	1.7	1.7		
	Concentration in ppm H_2O by weight						
SAP	28	30	41	41	40		
MYA	5	6	9	$10\,$	10		
LR1	5	6	12	13	12		
OLM	3	3	6	8	6		
NW1	29	39	54	49	58		
NW ₂	32	36	50	50	51		
SAS41	\overline{c}	3	τ	7	$\overline{7}$		
SAS20	13	12	21	$22\,$	$22\,$		
SAS21	5	6	16	17	15		
LAZ	$\mathbf{1}$	3	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	$\overline{4}$	3		
Pa3	$\overline{7}$	9	15	15	15		
	Concentration in $H/10^6$ Si (= ppm H/Si)						
SAP	456	489	663	667	659		
MYA	80	96	137	168	168		
LR1	84	101	210	224	198		
OLM	48	48	98	125	92		
NW1	466	627	860	793	927		
NW ₂	515	579	804	796	813		
SAS41	33	49	117	113	123		
SAS20	212	195	343	364	366		
SAS21	81	98	267	279	252		
LAZ	16	49	64	72	56		
Pa3	115	148	253	249	255		

Table 3. Hydrogen concentration in olivine specimens with detectable O-H only, and obtained by unpolarized ('unpo') and polarized ('po') FTIR using the frequency-dependent calibration of Paterson (1982; 'Pat') and the mineral-dependent calibration of Withers et al. (2012; 'W'). The average integrated absorbances normalized to sample thickness are compiled in Table S3, and integrations were performed in the 3650–3050 cm–1 wavenumber range.

1: Calculated from the average of 3 perpendicular unpolarized spectra, and the application of the calibration of Paterson (1982).

2: Calculated from the average of all six polarized spectra, acquired from 3 faces perpendicular to each other, and then times by a factor 3, followed by the application of the Paterson's calibration (1982)

3: Calculated from the average of all six polarized spectra, acquired from 3 faces perpendicular to each other, and then times by a factor 3, followed by the application of the calibration of Withers et al., (2012)

4: Calculated from the summ of 3 polarized spectra perpendicular to each other (triplet 1) followed by the application of the calibration of Withers et al., (2012)

5: Calculated from the summ of 3 polarized spectra perpendicular to each other (triplet 2) followed by the application of the calibration of Withers et al., (2012)

* Since the molar weight of hydrogen equals 1, this is also giving the molar weight

Table 4. Minor, trace, and ultra-trace element concentrations (ppm) measured by LA-ICP-MS analyses of the olivine specimens (n is the number of the measurements and ±ISD the standard deviation; typical detection limits for

	SAP	$n = 5$	MYA	$n = 5$	LR.	$n = 5$	OLM	$n = 5$	NW1	$n = 5$	NW2	$n = 5$	SAS41	$n = 5$	SAS20	$n = 5$	SAS21	$n = 5$
ppm	Avg	$\pm ISD$	Avg	$\pm ISD$	Avg	$\pm ISD$	Avg	$\pm ISD$	Avg	$\pm ISD$	Avg	$\pm ISD$	Avg	$\pm ISD$	Avg	$\pm ISD$	Avg	$\pm ISD$
Li	12.61	1.06	0.71	0.06	1.89	0.05	1.32	0.11	1.73	0.39	9.02	0.45	1.61	0.05	2.32	0.08	1.34	0.04
Be	0.0067	0.0069	0.0015	0.0007	BDL	BDL	0.0037	0.0000	0.0030	\sim	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.0018	0.0002
B	61.26	6.64	2.96	0.18	1.29	0.06	1.31	0.07	101.38	3.95	109.45	7.89	1.22	0.04	1.44	0.09	1.24	0.03
Na	0.30	0.22	3.55	0.48	58.19	0.99	51.79	4.56	0.16	0.11	0.08	0.04	11.61	0.12	51.91	0.67	17.68	0.37
Al	0.92	0.33	13.00	0.61	224.90	8.70	8.24	0.34	0.66	0.03	0.66	0.03	21.00	0.34	52.22	0.71	155.92	4.85
P	17.09	1.20	10.72	1.04	71.52	1.26	12.22	0.98	15.92	0.87	16.00	2.08	18.29	0.48	27.62	1.41	13.65	0.60
Ca	40	$\mathbf{3}$	79	$\overline{7}$	1437	61	128	15	31	\overline{c}	33	\overline{c}	137	10 ²	216	6	633	37
Sc	6.38	0.60	2.39	0.01	2.58	0.05	1.96	0.03	8.71	0.93	6.00	0.99	1.94	0.02	2.20	0.02	2.34	0.03
Ti	4.63	0.23	3.83	0.11	68.21	1.37	4.48	0.29	6.66	0.29	3.46	0.29	10.80	0.29	56.60	0.29	4.14	0.29
V	0.81	0.08	0.55	0.02	6.86	0.10	0.92	0.06	0.14	0.01	0.15	0.04	1.33	0.03	2.79	0.05	6.16	0.10
$_{\rm Cr}$	74.0	12.6	11.3	0.3	440.8	6.4	94.8	14.4	26.0	1.2	30.7	8.6	21.3	0.3	127.8	1.1	456.1	6.0
Mn	1119	16	937	16	1390	20	706	\overline{c}	1375	22	1377	50	1084	16	979	8	997	8
Co	139.6	1.9	138.4	3.2	170.6	3.0	124.7	1.0	157.3	2.0	159.1	2.4	146.8	1.2	139.9	2.5	144.4	2.4
Ni	2676	16	3228	76	2742	52	3078	26	1697	30 ₂	1699	37	3091	12	3133	26	3229	40
Cu	0.13	0.07	0.54	0.01	4.24	0.11	0.39	0.02	0.011	0.007	0.005	0.002	0.47	0.01	0.46	0.05	2.13	0.02
Zn	21.00	0.54	38.88	0.96	91.51	2.19	34.68	0.40	15.52	0.35	16.08	0.26	38.86	0.44	50.80	0.63	48.73	0.66
Ga	0.0102	0.0031	0.0075	0.0012	0.1708	0.0131	0.0117	0.0019	0.0095	0.0019	0.0096	0.0021	0.0146	0.0028	0.0343	0.0066	0.0446	0.0046
Ge	1.38	0.05	0.96	0.07	1.75	0.06	0.91	0.03	0.98	0.06	1.04	0.05	1.35	0.05	1.13	0.03	1.17	0.05
Rb	0.0133	0.0080	0.0020	0.0004	BDL	BDL	0.0024	0.0004	0.0034	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.0024	0.0006	0.0032	0.0011
Sr	0.0019	0.0016	0.0006	0.0003	0.0046	0.0015	0.0100	0.0072	0.0010	0.0013	0.0005	BDL	0.0013	0.0009	0.0006	0.0004	0.0474	0.0011
Y	0.0424	0.0050	0.0012	0.0004	0.1055	0.0056	0.0353	0.0043	0.0484	0.0019	0.0472	0.0180	0.0080	0.0011	0.0093	0.0014	0.0014	0.0003
Zr	0.0073	0.0025	0.0053	0.0023	0.0562	0.0036	0.0191	0.0049	0.0028	0.0010	0.0006	0.0003	0.0007	0.0004	0.3390	0.0210	0.0070	0.0009
Nb	0.0017	0.0010	0.0010	0.0007	0.0003	0.0002	0.0286	0.0156	0.0004	0.0002	BDL	BDL	0.0002	\sim	0.0235	0.0032	0.0086	0.0022
Mo	0.0216	0.0079	0.0107	0.0021	0.0156	0.0031	0.0065	0.0034	0.0158	0.0035	0.0158	0.0049	0.0156	0.0074	0.0149	0.0043	0.0126	0.0024
$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{s}$	0.0056	0.0042	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.0007	0.0001	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL
Ba	0.0156	0.0099	0.0023	0.0013	0.0004	0.0001	0.0039	0.0035	0.0019	0.0015	0.0015	0.0006	0.0035	0.0034	0.0017	0.0013	0.0028	0.0014
La	0.00125	0.00095	0.00019	0.00017	0.00019	0.00009	0.00119	0.00120	0.00057	0.00060	BDL	BDL	0.00013	0.00008	0.00011	0.00004	0.00013	0.00012
Ce	0.0034	0.0010	0.0004	0.0003	0.0003	0.0002	0.0020	0.0021	0.0001	0.0000	0.0001	0.0000	0.0001	0.0001	0.0003	0.0001	0.0003	0.0001
Pr	0.00943	0.00912	BDL	BDL	0.00008	0.00002	0.00028	0.00025	0.00010	0.00009	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL
Nd	0.00560	0.00315	BDL	BDL	0.00061	0.00023	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.00034	0.00014	0.00053	0.00039
Sm	0.00133	0.00064	BDL	BDL	0.00065	0.00022	0.00039	0.00026	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL
Eu	0.00169	0.00184	BDL	BDL	0.00055	0.00021	0.00023	0.00015	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.00010	0.00003	0.00008	0.00003
Gd	0.00397	0.00263	0.00029	0.00011	0.00316	0.00030	0.00093	0.00042	BDL	BDL	0.00025	0.00008	BDL	BDL	0.00048	0.00029	0.00033	0.00017
Tb	0.00040	0.00009	0.00005	0.00003	0.00104	0.00028	0.00035	0.00016	0.00010	0.00007	0.00006	0.00003	BDL	BDL	0.00012	0.00004	0.00005	0.00003
Dy	0.00428	0.00117	0.00024	0.00008	0.01210	0.00202	0.00443	0.00069	0.00172	0.00073	0.00046	0.00016	0.00036	0.00022	0.00105	0.00055	0.00044	0.00040
Ho	0.00251	0.00066	0.00013	0.00010	0.00358	0.00042	0.00119	0.00050	0.00132	0.00028	0.00117	0.00023	0.00021	0.00014	0.00026	0.00008	0.00017	0.00011
Er	0.0153	0.0023	0.0006	0.0006	0.0138	0.0020	0.0055	0.0009	0.0135	0.0030	0.0145	0.0046	0.0012	0.0003	0.0013	0.0003	0.0005	0.0004
Tm	0.00620	0.00085	0.00007	0.00002	0.00260	0.00049	0.00124	0.00013	0.00515	0.00068	0.00532	0.00117	0.00041	0.00005	0.00031	0.00016	0.00010	0.00005
Yb	0.0710	0.0130	0.0014	0.0010	0.0277	0.0039	0.0114	0.0019	0.0583	0.0076	0.0576	0.0057	0.0054	0.0015	0.0030	0.0004	0.0011	0.0003
Lu	0.01333	0.00356	0.00044	0.00014	0.00540	0.00111	0.00222	0.00024	0.01473	0.00362	0.01288	0.00149	0.00223	0.00050	0.00045	0.00012	0.00025	0.00009
Hf	0.00222	0.00214	0.00048	0.00026	0.00145	0.00089	0.00029	0.00016	0.00037	0.00027	BDL	BDL	0.00027	0.00015	0.00553	0.00159	0.00045	0.00043
Ta	0.00280	0.00180	0.00008	0.00008	0.00146	0.00049	0.00252	0.00219	0.00128	0.00104	0.00037	0.00025	0.00835	0.00766	0.00162	0.00064	0.00727	0.00658
Pb	0.0313	0.0395	0.0130	0.0137	0.0039	0.0017	0.0032	0.0014	0.0041	0.0018	0.0087	0.0071	0.0061	0.0033	0.0043	0.0019	0.0044	0.0031
Bi	0.01501	0.00789	0.00067	0.00031	0.00060	0.00024	0.00199	0.00154	0.00180	0.00104	0.00140	0.00052	0.00127	0.00117	0.00157	0.00137	0.00042	0.00041
Th	0.00162	0.00129	0.00030	0.00010	BDL	BDL	0.00085	0.00105	0.00018	0.00018	0.00021	0.00023	0.00013	0.00007	0.00029	0.00029	0.00025	0.00018
\mathbf{U}	0.00526	0.00408	0.00028	0.00023	0.00013	0.00006	0.00060	0.00077	0.00028	0.00043	0.00013	0.00009	0.00009	0.00002	0.00014	0.00008	0.00016	0.00011

Table 4 (continued)

Hydrogen, trace and ultra-trace elements

distribution in natural olivines

Sylvie DEMOUCHY^{1,*} & Olivier ALARD^{1,2}

¹Géosciences Montpellier, Université de Montpellier & CNRS, 34095 Montpellier, France.

² Department of Earth and Planetary Science, Macquarie University, Sydney NSW 2109, Australia.

Supplementary materials

Fig. 1S. (a) Photography of olivine specimens during cutting and polishing preparations step, from left to right, Norway, Sapat, San Carlos#1, #2, #3, La Réunion ; (b) Olivine specimens glue on glass section prior to X-ray fluorescence mapping and Laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. One square is 5 mm.

Fig. S2. Sets of FTIR spectra of olivines not presented in the main text: (a) SAP, (b) MYA, (c) LR, (d) OLM, (e) NW1 and NW2, (f) SAS41, SAS20, and SAS21, (g) LAZ, (h) SC2018 and SC, and (i) HS1, PP, and DMP. All spectra are normalized to 1 cm thickness.

Demouchy and Alard Fig. S1 (continued)

Fig. S3. Integrated absorbance distributions across (a) SAS20 and (b) NW1 as representative of the homogeneous distributions observed across all olivine specimens except Pa3.

Fig. S4. Deconvolution details for the samples LR, LAZ, PA3, SAS20, SAS41, performed with the multipeak fitting package of IGOR $\mathsf{PRO^{TM}}$ (https://www.wavemetrics.com/products/igorpro).

Fig. S5. Variations of Ni content (at. ppm) as a function of forsterite content (Fo% = $100 \times$ Mg/(Mg+Fe)) in the olivines studied herein and in previous studies: garnet peridotites (Peslier et al., 2010, 2012), spinel-bearing peridotites (O'Reilly et al., 1997; Satsukawa et al., 2017), olivine phenocrysts from Aeolian island arc lavas (Zamboni et al., 2017; *NB*, the field of arc lavas extends down to 200 ppm Ni and $F_0\% = 60$, olivine in various types of troctolites (Basch et al., 2018), and MORBs, OIBs (Hawaii), and Komatiites (Sobolev et al., 2007).

Fig. S6. Selected plots of trace element as a function of trace element concentration in micromole/g (B vs Li; Ti vs Cr/Na; Cr vs B; B vs H).

Fig. S7. Selected plots of trace element as a function of trace element concentration in micromole/g (Ti vs V; Cr vs V; Cr vs Al; Li vs B).

Ti molar concentration (micromol/g)

Fig. S8. Sum of mono-, di-, tri-, tetra and penta-valent elements as a function of hydrogen concentration in ppm by weight.

Demouchy and Alard Fig. S8 Revised

Fig. S9. (a) Sum of trivalent elements vs. sum of the monovalent elements; (b) Sum of trivalent elements vs. sum of the tetravalent elements; (c) Sum of tetravalent elements vs. sum of the monovalent elements; (d) Sum of pentavalent elements vs. sum of the monovalent elements.

