

Repellency and toxicity of azadirachtin against granary weevil Sitophilus granarius L. (Coleoptera: Curculionidae)

Salima Guettal, Samir Samir Bio Tine, Fouzia Tine-Djebbar, Noureddine Soltani

► To cite this version:

Salima Guettal, Samir Samir Bio Tine, Fouzia Tine-Djebbar, Noureddine Soltani. Repellency and toxicity of azadirachtin against granary weevil Sitophilus granarius L. (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Agriculture International, 2021. hal-03169471

HAL Id: hal-03169471 https://hal.science/hal-03169471v1

Submitted on 15 Mar 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Public Domain

Repellency and toxicity of azadirachtin against granary weevil *Sitophilus granarius* L. (Coleoptera: Curculionidae)

Salima GUETTAL^{1.2}, Samir TINE^{1.2}, Fouzia TINE-DJEBBAR^{1.2*}, & Noureddine SOLTAN²

¹Laboratory of water and Environment, Larbi Tebessi University, Tébessa, Algeria 5

² Laboratory of Applied Animal Biology, University Badji Mokhtar, Annaba, Algeria

6

Email: samir.tine@univ-tebessa.dz

9 Abstract: The granary weevil, Sitophilus granarius (L.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), is 10 known as a primary pest; and is able to feed on whole and undamaged cereal grains. This pest is probably one of the most destructive stored-product insect pests throughout the world 11 affecting the quantity as well as quality of the grains. We have evaluated the fumigant and 12 contact toxicity and the repellent property of azadirachtin a neem-based insecticide against S. 13 granarius adults. Azadirachtin was found to exhibit fumigant and contact toxicity and the 14 15 mortality increased as function the concentration and exposure time. In addition, the obtained results revealed an increase in the percent repellency as a function of concentration. 16 Biomarker measurements in treated adult (LC25 and LC50) revealed, activation of 17 detoxification system as showed by an increase in CAT and GST activity and also a decrease 18 in GSH rate. Moreover, nutrition depletion index was found to be concentration dependent 19 depicting maximum reduction at LC₅₀ concentration. The biochemical compositions show that 20 azadirachtin affected the energy reserves of adult of S. granarius. The results of persistence 21 testing of azadirachtin applied by fumigation showed that their toxicity decrease as function 22 23 the time. This study has highlighted the bioinsecticide activity of azadirachtin against granary 24 weevil.

25

7 8

Keywords: *Sitophylus granarius*, Azadirachtin, Toxicity, Repellent activity, Biomarkers, Nutrition
 index.

28

29 Introduction

Insects are considered as the basis of problems in agricultural products storage since they 30 affect the quality and quantity of the products. Due to the high potential and wide host range 31 of products such as wheat, barley, rice and oats, granary weevil, Sitophilus granarius (L.) is 32 ranked among the important stored grain pests. It was a primary pest in the past.^[1] Insect pest 33 control in stored grain products heavily relies on the use of gaseous fumigants and residual 34 contact insecticides.^[2] Moreover, the use of potentially toxic synthetic insecticides lead to 35 serious problems such as residue threats and health hazard.^[3,4] Protection of agricultural 36 products from pest infestations is in the concern of scientists and the agrochemical industries 37 worldwide. Plant products are being used to control many insect pests in the field and also in 38 storage.^[5,6] This highlights the importance to develop eco-friendly materials and methods with 39 slight adverse effects on the environment and on consumers.^[7,8] 40

- 41 Among the bioactive plant compounds, azadirachtin, abundantly found in Azadirachta indica
- 42 A. Juss (Meliaceae) (a plant commonly known as neem), is the most studied and used plant
- 43 species due to its high efficacy and very low toxicity to humans and antifeedant properties.^[9,10]

- 44 It is demonstrated high potential for use against pests of agricultural importance in different
- 45 production systems due to its high insecticide and acaricide activities and rapid degradation in
- 46 the environment.^[11–13]
- 47 In recent decades, A. indica has been extensively studied because it contains terpenoids with
- 48 powerful insecticidal activity.^[14] Azadirachtin, a limonoid with different modes of action, acts
- 49 mainly in numerous species of economic pests such as antifeedancy, growth regulation,
- 50 fecundity suppression and sterilization, oviposition repellency or attractancy, and changes in
- biological fitness.^[15–17] Azadirachtin acts as a growth regulator with an antagonistic action of (HD) and (HD) an
- 52 both juvenile hormone (JH) and moulting hormone (ecdysteroids)^[10,18,19] but the mechanism of
- action of this pesticide remains unknown.^[20]
- 54 In order to determine the action of the AZ on oxidative stress and to confirm the intervention
- of GST in the mechanism of its detoxication of azadirachtin^[21], we have chosen to follow the
- 56 enzyme activities of two enzymes, CAT and GSTs and GSH rate.
- 57 Glutathione S-transferases (GST, EC 2.5.1.18) are multifunctional enzymes involved in many
- 58 cellular physiological activities, such as detoxification of endogenous and xenobiotic
- 59 compounds, biosynthesis of hormones and protection against oxidative stress.^[22] In insects,
- 60 three classes of GSTs have been identified namely delta, sigma, and epsilon classes^[23], and
- have GSH-dependent peroxidase activities, for the detoxification metabolism of insecticide.^[24]
- 62 Catalase (CAT, EC 1.11.1.6) plays a vital role in reducing reactive oxygen-free radicals and 63 maintaining cellular homeostasis in organisms^[25]. It is the initial line of defense in antioxidant
- 64 systems due to their significant function against oxidative stress.^[26]
- The aim of this study was to examine the insecticidal activity of azadirachtin and its repellency against *S. granarius* adults. Then, we investigated its effects on nutritional and biochemical profile of *S. granarius* adults and tested its residual activity. In order to give additional information on its mode of action, selected biomarkers (CAT, GST, GSH) were
- 69 also measured.
- 70

71 Materials and methods

73 Insects rearing

The insect species used in this study i.e. granary weevil *S. granarius* was procured from a farmer (Tébessa, Algeria). The insects were not affected by any material primarily. Cube containers (60x60x60cm) covered by a fine mesh cloth were used for insect rearing. The rearing was conducted as described by Aref & Valizadegan^[27], at 27 ± 1 °C and 65 ± 5% relative humidity. Experiments were done between January and May 2018, and adult insects aged as 7 to 14 old days were used.

80

81 Azadirachtin

Neem Azal-TS, a commercial formulation of azadirachtin (1% EC; Trifolio-M GmbH, Lahnau, Germany) was used in all experiments. Azadirachtin (AZ) is a triterpenoid isolated

- 84 from the kernels of the neem tree, *Azadirachta indica* A. Juss.
- 85
- 86 Fumigant bioassay

- 87 The fumigant toxicity of azadirachtin on *S. granarius* adults was tested in glass vials (60 mL).
- In each of them 10 adults (both sexes, male or female, 7-14 days old) were released. No.2
- 89 Whatman filter paper disks were cut to 2.5 cm in diameter and attached to the undersurface of
- 90 glass vial screw caps. Filter papers were impregnated with series of pure concentrations of
- essential oil: 20, 40, 80, 100, 200 and 400 μ l/l air. Control insects were kept under the same conditions without essential oil. Each dose was replicated five times. After 24, 48 and 72
- 93 hours from the beginning of exposure, numbers of dead and alive insects were counted. In
- 94 these experiments, those insects incapable of moving their heads, antennae and body were
- 95 considered as dead. Lethal concentrations (LC $_{10}$, LC $_{25}$ and LC $_{50}$) with their respective
- 96 confidence limits (95% FL) were determined by a non-linear regression.
- 97

98 Contact toxicity

99 Azadirachtin dissolved in acetone has been tested at different concentrations (4, 8, 16, 20, 30 and 60 μ l/ml) on *S. granarius* adults in plastic vials with a capacity of 60 ml and containing 10 g of wheat. Five replicates were run for each concentration and for the control. Numbers of dead insects were also counted after 12 and 24 hours from the start of exposure treatment. Control insects were kept under the same conditions with acetone. The lethal concentrations (LC₁₀, LC₂₅ and LC₅₀) were determined together with their corresponding 95% fiducial limits (95% FL) by a non-linear regression.

106

107 Repellent activity

The repellent effect of azadirachtin against adults of S. granarius was evaluated using the 108 method of the preferred area on filter papers as described by Jilani & Saxena^[28] Thus, the 109 110 filter paper discs of 9 cm in diameter used for this purpose have been cut into two equal parts. Four doses were prepared (1, 2, 4 and 8 μ l/ml) and diluted with ethanol. Then, 0.5 mL of each 111 solution thus prepared was spread evenly over one-half of the disc. After 15 min, the two 112 halves of the discs were glued together using adhesive tape. The filter paper disc was restored 113 114 and placed in a box and kneaded a batch of 10 adult insects was placed in the center of each disk. The percentages of insects present on treated (P) and control (G) areas were recorded 115 after 30 min. The repulsion percentage (RP) was calculated using Mc Donald et al.^[29] 116 formula: $RP = [(P-G) / (P+G)] \times 100$ 117

- 118 The average values were calculated and assigned as ranked by McDonald et al.^[29] by a
- repulsive different classes varying from 0 to V [Class 0 (RP < 0.1%), class I (RP = 0.1% -
- 120 20.0%), class II (RP = 20.1% 40.0%), class III (RP = 40.1% 60.0%), class IV (RP = 60.1%
- 121 80.0%) and class V (RP =80.1% 100.0%)].
- 122

123 Biomarker assays

- 124 The LC₂₅ (15.26 μ l/ml) and LC₅₀ (74.83 μ l/ml) at 72h, were applied by fumigation on adult of 125 *S. granarius* and its effects examined on CAT and GST activities and GSH concentration 126 measured at various times (24, 48 and 72 h) following treatment.
- 127 CAT activity was measured by determining the decomposition of its substrate H_2O_2 as
- 128 described by Claiborne.^[30] Each sample (3 pools each containing 10 individuals) was
- conserved in buffer phosphate (100 mM; pH 7.4). After sonication and centrifugation (15 000
- rpm for 10 min), the supernatant was collected and used for the determination of the CAT

- 131 activity. The protein amount in the total homogenate was quantified according to Bradford.^[31]
- The absorbance was red at 240 nm. The assay was conducted with 6–8 repeats and dataexpressed as mmol/min/mg protein.
- 134 The assay of GST was carried out according to Habig et al.^[32] previously described^[33] with
- use of GSH (5 mM). Larvae decapitated body was homogenized in 1ml phosphate buffer (0.1
- 136 M, pH 6). The homogenate was centrifuged (14000 rpm for 30 min). 200µl of the resulting
- supernatant was added to 1.2 ml of the mixture GSH-CDNB in phosphate buffer (0.1, pH 7).
- 138 Changes in absorbance were measured at 340 nm every minute for a period of 5 min.
- 139 The assay of GSH was conducted according to the method of^[34] previouly used.^[35] Larvae
- bodies were homogenized in 1ml of EDTA (0.02 M, pH 6). The homogenate was subjected to
- 141 a deprote inisation with sulfosalysilic acid (SSA) at 0.25 %. The optical density was measured
- **142** at 412 nm.

143 Extraction and estimation of energy reserves

Proteins, carbohydrates and lipids were extracted following the procedure of^[36] and quantified 144 as previously described.^[37] Briefly, for body biochemical analyses, newly molted adults from 145 were collected. Pooled samples (10 individuals per pool) were weighed and extracted in 1 ml 146 of trichloracetic acid (20%). In brief, quantification of proteins was carried following the 147 Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250 dye-binding method^[31] with bovine serum albumin as a 148 standard. The absorbance was measured at 595 nm. Carbohydrates were determined following 149 the anthrone method^[38] using glucose as standard. Lipids were measured by the vanillin 150 method.^[39] Data were expressed in µg per individual. The amount of carbohydrate, lipid and 151 protein in each sample was calculated in µg per adult by using standard graphs. The values of 152

- 153 carbohydrate, lipid and protein in μ g were converted into joules.^[40]
- 154 Where: 1mg of carbohydrate or protein has an energy value = 16.74 J
- 155 1mg of lipid has an energy value = 37.65 J
- 156

157 *Nutrition depletion index*

158 The total nutrition (carbohydrates + lipids) depletion index (NDI) was calculated as follows:

159

$NDI = [(C-T) / (C+T)] \times 100$

160 Where: **C** is the control total energy reserve and **T** is the total energy reserves present in 161 treated adult. The NDI is considered important when it is greater than 75%, moderate when it 162 is between 50 and 75%, and low when it is less than 50%.

163

164 Evaluation of the residual activity

165 Persistence of insecticidal activity of AZAD was evaluated as described by Ngamo et al.^[41]

166 The fumigation LC_{50} values of essential oils were pipetted onto filter paper discs (2.5 cm 167 diameter) in plastic vials. Six hours later, 10 adults were introduced separately into vial and 168 then numbers of dead insects were recorded 24h after commencement of the exposure. This 169 procedure was also conducted at 6 h intervals (i.e. 12, 18, 24, 30h). For each interval, separate 170 series were set up with ten replications.

- 171
- 172 173

174 Statistical analysis175

176Data are presented as the mean \pm SEM. Repetitions and numbers of individuals were also177cited. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA at P \leq 0.05) followed by a post-hoc honestly178significant difference (HSD) Tukey's test were used to compare between the different series.

- 179
- 180 **Results and discussion**
- 181

182 Insecticidal activity

Azadirachtin, produced as secondary metabolite, is the principal active constituent in neem 183 extracts.^[18] As reported by published reviews^[18,42], it is able to induce multiple effects in 184 numerous species of economic pests such as antifeedancy, growth regulation, fecundity 185 suppression and sterilization, oviposition repellency or attractancy, and changes in biological 186 fitness. However, its effects depend on the species, stages of the insect, concentration and the 187 method of application (contact, ingestion and injection.^[43,44] Azadirachtin has been shown to 188 exhibit insecticidal activity against >400 insect species such as Helicoverpa armigera, 189 Spodoptera litura, Plutella xylostella, Sitophilus oryzae, Sitophilus zeamis, Earis vitella, 190 Aphis gossypii, Bemicia tabaci, Pectiniphora gossypiella, nematodes like Cosmopilitisn 191 sordidus etc.^[45] The toxicity of this growth regulator is related to its high retention and 192 stability.^[46] 193

Figure 1 shows the percent mortality of *S. granarius* after exposure to different concentrations of azadirachtin applied by fumigation method. The highest percentage mortality was seen at 100 μ l/liter air concentrations of AZAD. We calculated LC₂₅ and LC₅₀ values of azadirachtin and their fudicial limits (Table 2). Otherwise, application of azadirachtin by contact with the highest dose induces a 100% mortality rate at 12h (Fig. 1). Indeed, the LC₂₅ and LC₅₀ values decrease as a function of time (Table 1).

Our results indicate that azadirachtin exhibit an interesting insecticidal activity with dose-200 response relationship against S. granarius adults. Similar results were found with the same 201 insecticide applied against Drosophila melanogaster^[47,48] and Ceraeochrysa claveri^[49,50] 202 reported that this compound presented fumigant toxicity against Rhyzopertha dominica. 203 However, the lethal concentrations (LC_{50} and CL_{90}) recorded in our study are higher to those 204 found in this work (LC₂₅ =7.41 μ l/liter air and LC₅₀=21.33 μ l/liter air). Azadirachta indica 205 showed high toxicity (35.61%, 29.31% and 34.48%) when applied by contact on *R. dominica*, 206 Trogoderma granarium and Tribolium castaneum, respectively^[51] Various studies 207 demonstrated the lethal effects of azadirachtin on different insect species.^[43,52,53] Topical 208 application of azadirachtin on G. mellonella induced lethal concentrations of 16,564 and 209 3191,307 ppm corresponding to the LC₅₀ and LC₉₀, respectively.^[52,54] The toxicity of 210 Azadirachtin (NeemAzal®) has been reported in different species of mosquito, Culex 211 pipiens^[55-57], Aedes aegypti, Culex quinquefasciatus and Anopheles stephensi.^{[58] [19]} showed 212 the efficacy of Azadirachtin against Lepidoptera, such as Shistocerca gregaria, where the 213 LC₅₀ has a very low value (0.007 ppm), whereas in the Hemiptera and Coleoptera species, the 214 LC₅₀ is 100 ppm. The obtained results by Zhong et al.^[59] indicated that AZ had a strong 215 stomach and contact toxicity to Tirathaba rufivena (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) larvae, and that 216 the contact toxicity was greater than the stomach toxicity. 217

219 Repellent Activity

The repellent activity is a physiological phenomenon that occurs in insects as a defense mechanism against toxins secreted by plants.^[50] An insect repellent has been defined as a chemical substance that causes the insect to make oriented movements away from the source.^[60] The strong repellency of azadirachtin and neem concentrates in Xie et al.^[61] study was reflected by reduced numbers of insects on treated wheat. This reduction is presumably caused by chemosensory effects of these products, either olfactory or gustatory.

In this study, this test was applied on *S. granarius* adult. The percent repellency of *R. dominica* adult after 30min of treatment with AZ (1, 2, 4 and 8 μ l/ml) are presented in table 2. The obtained results revealed an increase in the repellency percentage as a function the concentration. The maximum repellency rate is 60% recorded with a dose of 8 μ l/ml. According to Mc Donald et al. ^[29], this product belongs to the repellent class III.

These pesticides have shown contact, fumigant, antifeedant, repellent activity and growth regulating properties against insects.^[62] AZ is a powerful behavior-modifying agent for a number of phytophagous insect species.^[19,43,63] Various azadirachtin-based commercial formulations, applied at different concentrations, caused strong repellent and oviposition deterrent effects *on T. urticae* females.^[64–67] Hanif et al.^[51] reported a repellent activity of azadirachtin against *T. castaneum* and *Rhyzopertha dominica* with maximum of 77.66% and 81.48% repulsive potential, respectively.

238

239 Biomarker assays

The lethal concentrations (LC₂₅: 15.26 μ l/ml and LC₅₀: 74.83 μ l/ml) of azadirachtin at 72h 240 241 were applied by fumigation on adult of S. granarius and its effects examined on CAT and GST activities, and on GSH rate measured at various times (24, 48 and 72 h) following 242 treatment (Table 6). Results show a significant increase in CAT activity for the two tested 243 concentrations only at 72h (control vs LC_{25} p= 0.0412 and control vs LC_{50} p=0.0153) (Fig. 244 245 2A, B and C), while GST activity measurements revealed a significant increase in the treated series (LC₂₅ and LC₅₀) respectively compared to control at 48 (p=0.0196 and p=0.0015) and 246 72 h (p=0.0178 and p=0.0032) without dose-response relationship. Finally, a significant 247 decrease of glutathione rate was observed in treated series (LC₂₅ and LC₅₀) (p=0.0133 and 248 0.0035) respectively at 72h as compared to control series. 249 250

The present results revealed a significant induction in glutathione S- transferase activity in *S. granarius* adult treated with AZ. This is in accordance with the literature as reported in *Choristoneura rasaceana*^[68], in *Xanthogaleruca luteola* (Müller) (Coleoptera)^[69], in *Helicoverpa armigera* larvae (Hübner) (Lepidoptera)^[70] and in *Drosophila melanogaster* ^[71]; Or various insecticides such as neem oil in *Xanthogaleruca luteola*.^[69]

- Sometimes, the GST activities could be not affected by azadirachtin in *Choristoneura rasaceana*.^[72] But the results of^[73] have confirmed the intervention of GST in the mechanism of the detoxication of azadirachtin. Increased GST activity results in the detoxification process, is a form of insect defense against pesticide.^[74]
- Glutathione (GSH) plays an important role in the detoxification and excretion of xenobiotics.^[75] In our study, AZ induces a significant greater decrease in GSH rate in S.

granarius adult. Similar effects observed by Kiran et al.^[76] who mentioned that Boswellia 262 carterii essential oil on Callosobruchus chinensis and C. maculatus increased significantly the 263 concentration of GSH. This cofactor in S. oryzae and R. dominica was also increased after 264 treatment (CL₅₀) with Gaultheria procumbens essential oil^[77], and in C. pipiens with T. 265 vulgaris.^[78] In contrast, the adult of S. oryzae treated with anhydride 2,3-diméthylmaléique 266 displayed an increase in the GSH activity. The decrease of glutathione could be explained by 267 268 an increased consumption of this cofactor by the GSTs in order to detoxify the organism and a reduction of the non-enzymatic antioxidant system. 269

270

Our finding shows a significant increase of CAT activity. Similar results were found with 271 azadirachtin applied in Drosophila melanogaster.^{[47] [76]}was found also an increase in CAT 272 levels of 30.29% and 38.82% after 24 h exposure to the LC₅₀ of Boswellia carterii essential 273 oil on C. chinensis and C. maculatus respectively. The increase in activity of CAT reflects an 274 establishment of the process of detoxification, which is a form of defense of the insect against 275 the pesticide.^[79] In contrast, a decrease in CAT activity was observed in S. oryzae and R. 276 277 dominica treated with Gaultheria procumbens^[77], which could be explained by an increased production of the radical superoxide anion.^[80,81] This decrease in CAT activity results 278 accumulation of toxic H₂O₂ in the cell, leading to peroxidation of membrane lipids.^[82] The 279 induction of the GST system in D. melanogaster is correlated with an increase in specific 280 CAT activity after treatment with Neem Azal.^[47] This oxidative stress could be explained by 281 the antagonist action of azadirachtin on endogenous 20E and its antioxidant activity.^[47] 282

283

284 *Estimation of energy reserves and protein content* 285

Changes in main biochemical components (carbohydrates, lipids and proteins) were estimated in the whole body of the control and treated adult of *S. granarius* at different times following treatment (Table 3). Results show a significant decrease (p < 0.001) in the protein content in treated series (LC₂₅ and LC₅₀) as compared to controls during the tested period: 24 (control vs LC₂₅: p<0.001; control vs LC₅₀: p<0.001; LC₂₅ vs LC₅₀: p=0.006), 48 (control vs LC₂₅: <0.001; control vs LC₅₀: <0.001) and 72 hours (control vs LC₂₅: <0.001; control vs LC₅₀: <0.001; LC₂₅ vs LC₅₀: p=0.008).

Concerning the total energy (Table 3), the results revealed a significant decrease in the treated series (LC₂₅ and LC₅₀) respectively compared to control at 24h (control vs LC₂₅: p<0.001; control vs LC₅₀: p<0.001; LC₂₅ vs LC₅₀: p=0.001), 48 (control vs LC₂₅: <0.001; control vs LC₅₀: <0.001; LC₂₅ vs LC₅₀: p<0.001) and 72 hours (control vs LC₂₅: <0.001; control vs LC₅₀: <0.001; LC₂₅ vs LC₅₀: p=0.02).

299 Nutrition depletion index

Nutrition depletion index (NDI %) in treated adult was determined in order to investigate the effectiveness of azadirachtin (Table 4). The decrease was concentration-dependent with a maximum depletion in LC₅₀ treated series at different periods after treatment: 24 (p=0.003), 48 (p<0.001) and 72hours (p=0.022). Azadirachtin induced a moderate nutritional depletion.

304

All types of insecticides have some negative impact on the growth and development of the insect, and also affect the metabolic and biochemical processes.^[83] This investigation shows that after treatment of azadirachtin, the protein level and energy reserves of *S. granarius*larvae decreased during the tested period.

- Protein synthesis is necessary particularly for the maintenance of body growth and 309 reproduction. They enter in various reactions such as the hormonal regulation and they 310 integrated in the cell as a structural element at the same time as the carbohydrates and the 311 lipids.^[84,85] In the present investigation, after treatment of S. granarius adults with AZ, an 312 inhibitory action on proteins was generally exhibited.^[86] reported that stress due to insecticide 313 exposure might interfere with insect physiology, consequently resulting in a decrease in total 314 protein leading to low amino acids formation in Krebs cycle. This further leads to insufficient 315 fatty acid required for synthesis of Adenosine Triphosphate (ATP) energy, thus reduction in 316 ATP energy triggers stress in insects leading to death.^[72] Nevertheless, Ebadollahi et al.^[87] 317 reported a decrease in the carbohydrates, proteins, and lipids content in T. castaneum larvae 318 treated with Agastache foeniculum EO. The same observations were reported by Tarigan and 319 Harahap^[88] after treatment of *Tribolium castaneum* with *Cinnamomum aromaticum*, *Elettaria* 320 321 cardamomum and Myristica fragrans EOs, with efficacy of the cinnamon oil. This depletion might be due to their degradation for metabolic purposes or to an impaired incorporation of 322 amino acids into polypeptide chains or inhibition of protein synthesis^[83] or to the breakdown 323 of these proteins into amino acids used in the compensatory mechanism as energy source to 324 compensate stress.^[89] 325
- Neem extract contains azadirachtin that has been known to affect protein amount and 326 expression. For instance, azadirachtin have been known to interfere with protein synthesis in 327 Schistocerca gregaria^[90] and Spodoptera litura.^[91] Further, it is reported that protein 328 expression in S. litura was significantly lowered under azadirachtin treatment.^[44] Rao & 329 330 Subrahmanyam^[92] found disturbance in the hormone that regulates protein synthesis due to azadirachtin in Schistocerca gregaria. The decrease in total protein in the adult of S. 331 granarius was postulated as an indicator of toxic exposure to insecticides. According to 332 Mordue et al.^[18], AZ alters or prevents the formation of new assemblages of organelles or 333 334 cytoskeleton resulting in the disruption of cell division, blocked transport and release of neurosecretory peptides. It also inhibits protein synthesis in cells that are metabolically active. 335 336
- The carbohydrates are considered as important energy elements playing a crucial role in the insect physiology, such as the molt process and the reproduction.^[93] In the present study, AZ decreases the carbohydrate contents in *S. granarius* adults. Glucose level of the larvae treated with *A. annua* extract was decreased by 24.65%. The reduction in glucose content was more significant in larvae exposed to *Az. indica* extract by 58.96% decline over control. This depletion in glucose content may be due to utilization of the reserved glucose sources of larval tissues as a result of insecticidal stress.
- AZAD derivatives also lead to a decrease in the concentration of carbohydrates in *Ctenoparyngodon idella*.^[94] Tine et al.^[95] show a significant decrease in ovarian protein, lipid and carbohydrate contents in *B. orientalis* treated by azadirachtin. Treatment may have caused possible disturbance in the vitellogenesis process via the nervous, neuroendocrine and/or endocrine system. In another study, Tine et al.^[50] found that azadirachtin induced negative effects on energy contents compared with control in *Ryzopertha dominica*. The carbohydrate content was reduced in larvae of *Spodoptera littoralis* after treatment with essential oil of *A*.

indica and *Citrullus colocynthis* methylene chloride extract and was increased with garlic and
 lemon Eos.^[96]

353

Lipids are also an important source of acetyl groups needed to synthesize the enzymes from constitutive amino acids.^[97] This reduction in lipid profile indicates a negative effect of the extract on lipid metabolism and peroxidation. This observation is similar to the findings of Lohar & Wright^[98], who found that *Tenebrio molitor* suffered lipid depletion in haemolymph, fat bodies and oocytes when exposed to malathion. Sak et al.^[99] reported the decline in lipid content due to shift in energy metabolism to lipid catabolism due to insecticidal stress induced by *Pimpala turionellae*.

- 361
- 362

Residual activity of azadirachtin

363
364 During the 30h treatment periods; the results of persistence testing of azadirachtin applied by
365 fumigation showed that their toxicity decrease as function the time. The toxicity of AZAD
366 decreased with time; after 6h its toxicity was 32 % and decreased to 6 % after 24 h to
367 disappear after 30h of exposure (Fig. 3).

368

The biopotency is negatively correlated with time. Ngamo et al.^[41] and Heydarzade & 369 Moravvej^[100] reported that the persistency of *Lippia rugosa* Hochs (Lamiales: Verbenaceae) 370 and Satureja hortensis (L.) (Lamiales: Laminaceae) EOs were probably the result of its high 371 content in oxygenated monoterpenes which attribute more stability in the biological activity 372 of EOs. Securidaca longepedunculata has preserved toxicity while for B. grandifolia plant 373 powder, the toxicity decreases rapidly.^[101] This decrease is similar to this obtained with 374 Xylopia aethiopica against Callosobruchus maculatus.^[102] These results can be explained 375 through chemical active component of the species plant used. Investigations on the EO of 376 several aromatics plants in Northern Cameroon^[41,103] had proven that plant species has more 377 378 persistence toxic effect when they contained higher proportion of oxygenated molecules such as oxygenated monoterpens and sesquiterpens. The persistence of insecticidal activity was in 379 relationship with the sensitivity of the major target pest to active compound.^[41,103,104] In the 380 experiment of Akami et al.^[105], when tested individually, none of the isolated major 381 constituents had produced as higher effects as the crude EO not even their complex mixture. 382 The crude EO is the most persistent. This situation could be the result of many factors: the 383 high volatility of the compounds, the rapid degradation of low single compounds, and the 384 potential oxidation of Sesquiterpene hydrocarbons.^[105] Regnault-Roger et al.^[106] showed the 385 lower volatility of oxygenated molecules because of their higher molecular weight. 386

387 388

389 Conclusion

Azadirachtin exhibited fumigant toxicity against *S. granarius* adults confirming its potential as a natural alternative to synthetic insecticides for the control of stored-product pests. In addition, a strong repellent activity. Moreover, azadirachtin was found to exhibit a residual toxicity on *S. granarius*. The bioinsecticide caused the activation of the system of detoxification, traduced by an increase of the specific activity of GST and Catalase and a decrease of GSH rate. Our results provide an interesting opportunity to developbioinsecticides and repellent formulations.

397

398 Acknowledgement

399

This work was supported by the National Fund for Scientific Research to Pr. N. Soltani
(Laboratory of Applied Animal Biology) and the Ministry of High Education and Scientific
Research of Algeria (PRFU Project to Dr. S. Tine).

403 **References**

- [1] Kučerová, Z.; Aulickỳ, R.; Stejskal, V. Accumulation of pest-arthropods in grain residues
 found in an empty store. Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection. 2003, 110 (5), 499–
 504.
- 407 [2] Udo, I. O. Evaluation of the potential of some local spices as stored grain protectants
 408 against the maize weevil *Sitophilus zeamais* Mots (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Journal
 409 of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management. **2005**, 9 (1), 165 168.
- [3] Ntonifor, N. N.; Forbanka, D. N.; Mbuh, J. V. Potency of chenopodium ambrosioides
 powders and its combinations with wood ash on *Sitophilus zeamais* in stored maize. J
 Entomol. 2011, 8 (4), 375–383.
- [4] Zikankuba, V. L.; Mwanyika, G.; Ntwenya, J. E.; James, A. Pesticide regulations and their
 malpractice implications on food and environment safety. Cogent Food & Agriculture.
 2019, 5 (1), 1601544.
- 416 [5] Yohannes, A.; Asayew, G.; Melaku, G.; Derbew, M.; Kedir, S.; Raja, N. Evaluation of
 417 certain plant leaf powders and aqueous extracts against maize weevil, *Sitophilus*418 *zeamais* Motsch.(Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Asian Journal of Agricultural Sciences.
 419 2014, 6 (3), 83–88.
- [6] Campolo, O.; Giunti, G.; Russo, A.; Palmeri, V.; Zappalà, L. Essential oils in stored
 product insect pest control. Journal of Food Quality. 2018, 2018, 1–18
- 422 [7] Ebadollahi, A.; Jalali Sendi, J. A Review on recent research results on bio-effects of plant
 423 essential oils against major coleopteran insect pests. Toxin Reviews. 2015, 34 (2), 76–
 424 91.
- [8] Ebadollahi, A.; Ziaee, M.; Palla, F. Essential Oils extracted from different species of the
 lamiaceae plant family as prospective bioagents against several detrimental pests.
 Molecules. 2020, 25 (7), 1556.
- 428 [9] Souza Martinez, S. O Nim (Azadirachta Indica) Natureza, Usos Multiplos, ProduÇâo.;
 429 Instituto agronômico do Paraná (IAPAR). 2002.

- [10] Chaudhary, S.; Kanwar, R. K.; Sehgal, A.; Cahill, D. M.; Barrow, C. J.; Sehgal, R.;
 Kanwar, J. R. Progress on *Azadirachta indica* based biopesticides in replacing synthetic
 toxic pesticides. Frontiers in plant science. 2017, 8,1–13.
- [11] Charbonneau, C.; Côté, R.; Charpentier, G. Effects of azadirachtin and of simpler epoxyalcohols on survival and behaviour of *Galleria mellonella* (Lepidoptera). Journal of
 applied entomology. 2007, 131 (7), 447–452.
- 436 [12] Grimalt, S.; Thompson, D.; Chartrand, D.; McFarlane, J.; Helson, B.; Lyons, B.;
 437 Meating, J.; Scarr, T. Foliar residue dynamics of azadirachtins following direct stem
 438 injection into white and green ash trees for control of emerald ash borer. Pest
 439 management science. 2011, 67 (10), 1277–1284.
- [13] Biondi, A.; Desneux, N.; Siscaro, G.; Zappalà, L. Using organic-certified rather than
 synthetic pesticides may not be safer for biological control agents: Selectivity and side
 effects of 14 pesticides on the predator *Orius laevigatus*. Chemosphere. 2012, 87 (7),
 803–812.
- [14] Schmutterer, H. Properties and Potential of Natural Pesticides from the Neem Tree,
 Azadirachta Indica. Annual review of entomology. **1990**, 35 (1), 271–297.
- [15] Weathersbee, A. A.; McKenzie, C. L. Effect of a neem biopesticide on repellency,
 mortality, oviposition, and development of *Diaphorina citri* (Homoptera: Psyllidae). *Florida Entomologist.* 2005, 88 (4), 401–408.
- [16] Abedi, Z.; Saber, M.; Gharekhani, G.; Mehrvar, A.; Kamita, S. G. Lethal and sublethal
 effects of azadirachtin and cypermethrin on *Habrobracon hebetor* (Hymenoptera:
 Braconidae). Journal of economic entomology. 2014, 107 (2), 638–645.
- 452 [17] Sánchez-Ramos, I.; Pascual, S.; Marcotegui, A.; Fernández, C. E.; González-Núñez, M.
 453 Laboratory evaluation of alternative control methods against the false tiger, *Monosteira*454 *unicostata* (Hemiptera: Tingidae). Pest management science. 2014, 70 (3), 454–461.
- [18] Mordue, A. J.; Morgan, E. D.; Nisbet, A. J. Azadirachtin, azadirachtin, a natural product in
 insect control. In: Gilbert, L.I. & Gill, S.S. (Eds).Comprensive Molecular Insect ScienceControl. Elsevier, Oxford, United Kingdom. 2005, 6, 117–135.
- [19] Mordue, A. J.; Morgan, E. D.; Nisbet, A. J. Azadirachtin, ddendum: Azadirachtin, a natural
 product in insect control: An update. In: Gilbert, L.I. & Gill, S.S. (Eds) Insect Control. Elsevier,
 Oxford, United Kingdom. 2010, 4, 204–206.
- [20] Wang, X.; Li, Q.; Shen, L.; Yang, J.; Cheng, H.; Jiang, S.; Jiang, C.; Wang, H. Fumigant,
 contact, and repellent activities of essential oils against the darkling beetle, *Alphitobius diaperinus*. J Insect Sci. 2014, 14 (1), 1–11.

- 464 [21] Wang, D.; Qiu, X.; Ren, X.; Zhang, W.; Wang, K. Effects of spinosad on helicoverpa
 465 armigera (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) from China: Tolerance status, synergism and
 466 enzymatic responses. Pest Management Science: formerly Pesticide Science. 2009, 65
 467 (9), 1040–1046.
- [22] Enayati, A. A.; Ranson, H.; Hemingway, J. Insect glutathione transferases and insecticide
 resistance. Insect molecular biology. 2005, 14 (1), 3–8.
- [23] Sawicki, R.; Singh, S. P.; Mondal, A. K.; BENEŠ, H.; Zimniak, P. Cloning, expression
 and biochemical characterization of one epsilon-class (GST-3) and Ten delta-class
 (GST-1) glutathione s-transferases from *Drosophila melanogaster*, and identification of
 additional nine members of the epsilon class. Biochemical Journal. 2003, 370 (2), 661–
 669.
- 475 [24] Hemingway, J. The molecular basis of two contrasting metabolic mechanisms of
 476 insecticide resistance. Insect biochemistry and molecular biology. 2000, 30 (11), 1009–
 477 1015.
- [25] Nandi, A.; Yan, L.-J.; Jana, C. K.; Das, N. Role of catalase in oxidative stress-and ageassociated degenerative diseases. Oxidative medicine and cellular longevity. 2019,
 2019, 1–19.
- [26] Ugokwe, C. U.; Okafor, F. C.; Okeke, P. C.; Ezewudo, B. I.; Olagunju, T. E. Induction of
 genetic alterations and oxidative stress in giant african land snail (*Limicolaria aurora*)
- 483 Exposed to Municipal Waste Leachate. Revista de toxicología. **2020**, 37 (1), 19–25.
- 484 [27] Aref, S. P.; Valizadegan, O. Fumigant toxicity and repellent effect of three iranian
 485 eucalyptus species against the lesser grain beetle, *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F.)(Col.:
 486 Bostrichidae). J Entomol Zool Stud. **2015**, 3 (2), 198–202.
- 487
- [28] Jilani, G.; Saxena, R. C. Repellent and feeding deterrent effects of turmeric oil, sweetflag
 oil, neem oil, and a neem-based insecticide against lesser grain borer (Coleoptera:
 Bostrychidae). Journal of Economic Entomology. 1990, 83 (2), 629–634.
- [29] McDonald, L. L.; Guy, R. H.; Speirs, R. D. Preliminary evaluation of new candidate
 materials as toxicants, repellents, and attractants against stored-product insects. USDA
 Marketing Research Report. 1970.
- 494 [30] Claiborne, A. Handbook of methods for oxygen radical research. Florida: CRC Press,
 495 Boca Raton. 1985, 283-284.

- 496 [31] Bradford, M. M. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram
 497 quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Analytical
 498 biochemistry. 1976, 72, 248–254.
- [32] Habig, W. H.; Pabst, M. J.; Jakoby, W. B. Glutathione s-transferases the first enzymatic
 step in mercapturic acid formation. Journal of biological Chemistry. 1974, 249 (22),
 7130–7139.
- 502 [33] Dris, D.; Tine-Djebbar, F.; Bouabida, H.; Soltani, N. Chemical composition and activity
 503 of an *Ocimum basilicum* essential oil on *Culex pipiens* larvae: Toxicological,
 504 biometrical and biochemical aspects. South African Journal of Botany. 2017, 113, 362–
 505 369.
- 506 [34] Weckbecker, G.; Cory, J. G. Ribonucleotide reductase activity and growth of glutathione507 depleted mouse leukemia L1210 cells in vitro. Cancer letters. **1988**, 40 (3), 257–264.
- [35] Maiza, A.; Aribi, N.; Smagghe, G.; Kilani-Morakchi, S.; Bendjedid, M.; Soltani, N.
 Sublethal effects on reproduction and biomarkers by spinosad and indoxacarb in cockroaches *Blattella germanica*. Bulletin of Insectology. **2013**, 66 (1), 11–20.
- [36] Shibko, S.; Koivistoinen, P.; Tratnyek, C. A.; Newhall, A. R.; Friedman, L. A method for
 sequential quantitative separation and determination of protein, RNA, DNA, lipid, and
 glycogen from a single rat liver homogenate or from a subcellular fraction. Analytical
 biochemistry. 1967, 19 (3), 514–528.
- 515 [37] Soltani-Mazouni, N.; Hami, M.; Gramdi, H. Sublethal effects of methoxyfenozide on
 516 reproduction of the mediterranean flour moth, *Ephestia kuehniella* Zeller. Invertebrate
 517 reproduction & development. 2012, 56 (2), 157–163.
- 518 [38] Duvh\a ateau, G.; Florkin, M. Sur la tréhalosémie des insectes et sa signification. archives
 519 internationales de physiologie et de biochimie. 1959, 67 (2), 306–314.
- [39] Goldsworthy, G. J.; Mordue, W.; Guthkelch, J. Studies on insect adipokinetic hormones.
 General and Comparative Endocrinology. 1972, 18 (3), 545–551.
- 522 [40] Clements, A. N. The biology of mosquitoes. Volume 1: Development, Nutrition and
 523 Reproduction.; (Chapman & Hall). 1992.
- [41] Ngamo, T. S. L.; Ngatanko, I.; Ngassoum, M. B.; Mapongmestsem, P. M.; Hance, T.
 Persistence of insecticidal activities of crude essential oils of three aromatic plants
 towards four major stored product insect pests. African Journal of Agricultural
 Research. 2007, 2 (4), 173–177.

- 528 [42] Su, M.; Mulla, M. S. Activity and biological effects of neem products against arthropods
 529 of medical and veterinary importance. Journal of the American Mosquito Control
 530 Association. 1999, 15 (2), 133–152.
- [43] Mordue, A. J.; Blackwell, A. Azadirachtin: An Update. Journal of insect physiology. **1993**, 39 (11), 903–924.
- [44] Huang, Z.; Shi, P.; Dai, J.; Du, J. Protein metabolism in *Spodoptera litura* (F.) Is
 influenced by the botanical insecticide azadirachtin. Pesticide Biochemistry and
 Physiology. 2004, 80 (2), 85–93.
- 536 [45] Schmutterer, H.; Singh, R. P. List of Insect pests susceptible to neem products. 2005,
 537 326–365.
- 538 [46] Schneider, M. I.; Smagghe, G.; Pineda, S.; Vinuela, E. Action of insect growth regulator
 539 insecticides and spinosad on life history parameters and absorption in third-instar larvae
 540 of the endoparasitoid *Hyposoter didymator*. Biological Control. 2004, 31 (2), 189–198.
- [47] Boulahbel, B.; Aribi, N.; Kilani-Morakchi, S.; Soltani, N. Insecticidal activity of
 azadirachtin on *Drosophila melanogaster* and recovery of normal status by exogenous
 20-hydroxyecdysone. African Entomology. 2015, 23 (1), 224–234.
- [48] Anjum, S. I.; Yousf, M. J.; Ayaz, S.; Siddiqui, B. S. Toxicological evaluation of
 chlorpyrifos and neem extract (Biosal B) against 3RD instars larvae of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences. 2010, 20 (1), 9–12.
- 547 [49] Scudeler, E. L.; dos Santos, D. C. Effects of neem oil (*Azadirachta indica* A. Juss) on
 548 midgut cells of predatory larvae *Ceraeochrysa claveri* (Navás, 1911)(Neuroptera:
 549 Chrysopidae). Micron. 2013, 44, 125–132.
- [50] tine, s.; halaimia, a.; chechoui, j.; tine-djebbar, f. fumigant toxicity and repellent effect of
 azadirachtin against the lesser grain beetle, *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F.)(Col.:
 Bostrichidae). In Euro-Mediterranean Conference for Environmental Integration;
 Springer. 2017, 399–401.
- [51] Hanif, C. M. S.; Ul-Hasan, M.; Sagheer, M.; Saleem, S.; Akhtar, S.; Ijaz, M. Insecticidal
 and repellent activities of essential oils of three medicinal plants towards insect pests of
 stored wheat. Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science. 2016, 22 (3), 470–476.
- [52] Dere, B.; Altuntaş, H.; Nurullahoğlu, Z. U. Insecticidal and oxidative effects of
 azadirachtin on the model organism *Galleria mellonella* L.(Lepidoptera: Pyralidae).
 Archives of insect biochemistry and physiology. 2015, 89 (3), 138–152.

- 560 [53] Tomé, H. V. V.; Martins, J. C.; Corrêa, A. S.; Galdino, T. V. S.; Picanço, M. C.; Guedes,
- R. N. C. Azadirachtin Avoidance by larvae and adult females of the tomato leafminer *Tuta absoluta*. Crop Protection. 2013, 46, 63–69.
- [54] Izhar-ul-Haq, M.; Saleem, M.; Ahmed, S. Effect of neem (*Azadirachta indica* A. Juss)
 seed extracts against greater wax moth (*Galleria mellonella* L.) Larvae. Pak Entomol.
 2008, 30, 137–40.
- [55] Rehimi, N.; Alouani, A.; Soltani, N. Efficacy of azadirachtin against mosquito larvae *Culex pipiens* (Diptera: Culicidae) under laboratory conditions. European Journal of
 Scientific Research. 2011, 57 (2), 223–229.
- [56] Alouani, A.; Rehimi, N.; Soltani, N. Larvicidal activity of a neem tree extract
 (Azadirachtin) against mosquito larvae in the Republic of Algeria. Jordan Journal of
 Biological Sciences. 2009, 2 (1), 15–22.
- 572 [57] Alouani, A.; Nassima, R.; Soltani, N. Ovicidal activity of Azadirachtin and *Bacillus* 573 *thuringiensis israelensis* against eggs of mosquito *Culex pipiens*. Mintage Journal of
 574 Pharmaceutical & Medical Sciences. 2018, 7(4), 8-12
- 575 [58] Gunasekaran, K.; Vijayakumar, T.; Kalyanasundaram, M. Larvicidal & emergence
 576 inhibitory activities of neemazal T/S 1.2 per cent EC against vectors of malaria,
 577 filariasis & dengue. Indian Journal of Medical Research. 2009, 130 (2), 138–146.
- [59] Zhong, B.; Lv, C.; Qin, W. Effectiveness of the botanical insecticide azadirachtin against *Tirathaba rufivena* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). Florida Entomologist. 2017, 100 (2), 215–
 218.
- [60] Dethier, V. G.; Browne, B. L.; Smith, C. N. The designation of chemicals in terms of the
 responses they elicit from insects. Journal of economic entomology. 1960, 53 (1), 134–
 136.
- [61] Xie, Y. S.; Fields, P. G.; Isman, M. B. Repellency and toxicity of azadirachtin and neem
 concentrates to three stored-product beetles. Journal of Economic Entomology. 1995,
 88 (4), 1024–1031.
- 587 [62] Sankari, S. A.; Narayanasamy, P. Bio-efficacy of flyash-based herbal pesticides against
 588 pests of rice and vegetables. Current science. 2007, 811–816.
- [63] Schmutterer, H. Properties and potential of natural pesticides from the neem tree,
 Azadirachta indica. Annual review of entomology. **1990**, 35 (1), 271–297.
- [64] Dimetry, N. Z.; Amer, S. A. A.; Saber, S. A. Laboratory evaluation of neem formulations
 with and without additive against the two spotted spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae*Koch. Acarologia. 2008, 48 (3–4), 171–176.

- 594 [65] Sundaram, K. M. S.; Sloane, L. Effects of pure and formulated azadirachtin, a neem595 based biopesticide, on the phytophagous spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae* Koch.
 596 Journal of Environmental Science & Health Part B. **1995**, 30 (6), 801–814.
- 597 [66] Kashenge, S. S.; Makundi, R. H. Comparative efficacy of neem (*Azadirachta indica*)
 598 formulations and amitraz (Mitac) against the two-spotted spider mites (*Tetranychus*599 *urticae*) on Tomatoes: Mortality, repellence, feeding deterrence and effects on
 600 predatory mites, *Phytoseiulus persimilis*. Archives of Phytopathology und Plant
 601 Protection. 2001, 34, 265–273.
- [67] Knapp, M.; Kashenge, S. S. Effects of different neem formulations on the two spotted
 spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae* Koch, on Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.).
 International Journal of Tropical Insect Science .2003, 23 (1), 1–7.
- [68] Lowery, D. T.; Smirle, M. J. Toxicity of insecticides to obliquebanded leafroller,
 Choristoneura rosaceana, larvae and adults exposed previously to neem seed oil.
 Entomologia experimentalis and applicata. 2000, 95 (2), 201–207.
- [69] Valizadeh, B.; JALALI, S. J.; ZIBAEI, A.; Oftadeh, M. Effect of neem based insecticide
 achook® on mortality, biological and biochemical parameters of elm leaf beetle *Xanthogaleruca luteola* Mull (Col.: Chrysomelidae). J. Crop Prot. 2013, 2 (3), 319–
 330.
- [70] War, A. R.; Paulraj, M. G.; Hussain, B.; Ahmad, T.; War, M. Y.; Ignacimuthu, S.
 Efficacy of a combined treatment of neem oil formulation and endosulfan against *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hub.)(Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). International Journal of Insect
 Science. 2014, 6, 1–7.
- [71] Boulahbel, B.; Aribi, N.; Kilani-Morakchi, S.; Soltani, N. Insecticidal activity of
 azadirachtin on *Drosophila melanogaster* and recovery of normal status by exogenous
 20-hydroxyecdysone. African Entomology. 2015, 23 (1), 224–233.
- [72] Smirle, M. J.; Lowery, D. T.; Zurowski, C. L. Influence of neem oil on detoxication
 enzyme activity in the obliquebanded leafroller, *Choristoneura rosaceana*. Pesticide
 Biochemistry and Physiology. **1996**, 56 (3), 220–230.
- [73] Walters, K. B.; Grant, P.; Johnson, D. L. Evolution of the GST omega gene family in 12
 Drosophila species. Journal of Heredity. 2009, 100 (6), 742–753.
- [74] Clark, A. G.; Dick, G. L.; Smith, J. N. Kinetic studies on a glutathione s-transferase from
 the larvae of *Costelytra zealandica*. Biochemical Journal. **1984**, 217 (1), 51–58.

- [75] Palanikumar, L.; Kumaraguru, A. K.; Ramakritinan, C. M.; Anand, M. Biochemical
 response of anthracene and benzo [a] pyrene in milkfish *Chanos chanos*. Ecotoxicology
 and environmental safety. 2012, 75, 187–197.
- [76] Kiran, S.; Kujur, A.; Patel, L.; Ramalakshmi, K.; Prakash, B. Assessment of toxicity and
 biochemical mechanisms underlying the insecticidal activity of chemically
 characterized *Boswellia carterii* essential oil against insect pest of legume seeds.
 Pesticide biochemistry and physiology. 2017, 139, 17–23.
- [77] Kiran, S.; Prakash, B. Assessment of toxicity, antifeedant activity, and biochemical
 responses in stored-grain insects exposed to lethal and sublethal doses of *Gaultheria procumbens* L. Essential Oil. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 2015, 63
 (48), 10518–10524.
- [78] Bouguerra, N.; Tine-Djebbar, F.; Soltani, N. Effect of *Thymus vulgaris* L. (Lamiales:
 Lamiaceae) essential oil on energy reserves and biomarkers in *Culex pipiens* L.
 (Diptera: Culicidae) from Tebessa (Algeria). Journal of essential oil bearing plants. **2018**, 21 (4), 1082–1095.
- [79] Clark, A. G. The comparative enzymology of the glutathione s-transferases from nonvertebrate organisms. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Comparative
 Biochemistry. 1989, 92 (3), 419–446.
- [80] Kurutaş, E. B.; Şahan, A.; Altun, T. Oxidative stress biomarkers in liver and gill tissues
 of spotted barb (*Capoeta barroisi* Lortet, 1894) living in Ceyhan river, Adana-Turkey.
 Turkish Journal of Biology. 2009, 33 (4), 275–282.
- [81] Sreejai, R.; Jaya, D. S. Studies on the changes in lipid peroxidation and antioxidants in
 fishes exposed to hydrogen sulfide. Toxicology international. 2010, 17 (2), 71–77.
- [82] Sharma, P.; Jha, A. B.; Dubey, R. S.; Pessarakli, M. Reactive oxygen species, oxidative
 damage, and antioxidative defense mechanism in plants under stressful conditions.
 Journal of botany. 2012, 2012, 1–26.
- [83] Sharma, P.; Mohan, L.; Dua, K. K.; Srivastava, C. N. Status of carbohydrate, protein and
 lipid profile in the mosquito larvae treated with certain phytoextracts. Asian Pac J Trop
 Med. 2011, 4 (4), 301–304.
- [84] Cohen, E. Chapter 2 Chitin Biochemistry: Synthesis, Hydrolysis and Inhibition. In
 Advances in Insect Physiology; Casas, J., Simpson, S. J., Eds.; Advances in Insect
 Physiology: Insect Integument and Colour; Academic Press. 2010, 38, 5–74.
- [85] Sugumaran, M. Chapter 5 Chemistry of cuticular sclerotization. In Advances in Insect
 Physiology, S.J. Simpson, ed. (Academic Press). 2010, 39, 151–209.

- [86] Nath, B. S.; Suresh, A.; Varma, B. M.; Kumar, R. S. Changes in protein metabolism in
 hemolymph and fat body of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori* (Lepidoptera: Bombycidae) in
 response to organophosphorus insecticides toxicity. Ecotoxicology and environmental
 safety. 1997, 36 (2), 169–173.
- [87] Ebadollahi, A.; Khosravi, R.; Sendi, J. J.; Honarmand, P.; Amini, R. M. Toxicity and
 physiological effects of essential oil from *Agastache foeniculum* (Pursh) Kuntze
 against *Tribolium castaneum* herbst (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) Larvae. Annual
 Research & Review in Biology. 2013, 3 (4), 649–658.
- [88] Tarigan, S. I.; Harahap, I. S. Toxicological and physiological effects of essential oils
 against *Tribolium castaneum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) and *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). Journal of Biopesticides. 2016, 9 (2), 135.
- [89] Ali, N. S.; Ali, S. S.; Shakoori, A. R. biochemical response of malathion-resistant andsusceptible adults of *Rhyzopertha dominica* to the sublethal doses of deltamethrin.
 Pakistan Journal of Zoology. 2014, 46 (2), 853–861.
- [90] Annadurai, R. S.; Rembold, H. Azadirachtin a modulates the tissue-specific 2d
 polypeptide patterns of the desert locust, *Schistocerca gregaria*. Naturwissenschaften. **1993**, 80 (3), 127–130.
- [91] Li, X. D.; Chen, W. K.; Hu, M. Y. Studies on the effects and mechanisms of azadirachtin
 and rhodojaponin-on *Spodoptera litura* (F.). J. South China Agric. Uni. **1995**, 16 (2),
 80–85.
- [92] Rao, P. J.; Subrahmanyam, B. Azadirachtin induced changes in development, food
 utilization and haemolymph constituents of *Schistocerca gregaria* Forskal. Journal of
 Applied Entomology. **1986**, 102 (1–5), 217–224.
- [93] Kaufmann, C.; Brown, M. R. Regulation of carbohydrate metabolism and flight
 performance by a hypertrehalosaemic hormone in the mosquito *Anopheles gambiae*.
 Journal of insect physiology. 2008, 54 (2), 367–377.
- [94] Hassanein, H.; Okail, H. A. Toxicity determination and hypoglycaemic effect of neem
 biopesticide on the grass carp *"Ctenopharyngodon idella*. Egyptian Academic Journal
 of Biological Sciences. A, Entomology. 2008, 1 (2), 37–49.
- [95] Tine, S.; Aribi, N.; Soltani, N. Laboratory evaluation of azadirachtin against the oriental
 cockroach, *Blatta orientalis* L.(Dictyoptera, Blattellidae): Insecticidal activity and
 reproductive effects. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2011, 10 (85), 19816–19824.
- [96] Ali, A. M.; Mohamed, D. S.; Shaurub, E.-S. H.; Elsayed, A. M. Antifeedant activity and
 some biochemical effects of garlic and lemon essential oils on *Spodoptera littoralis*

- 694 (Boisduval)(Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies.
 695 2017, 3, 1476–1482.
- [97] Rivero, A.; Magaud, A.; Nicot, A.; Vézilier, J. Energetic cost of insecticide resistance in
 Culex pipiens mosquitoes. J. Med. Entomol. 2011, 48 (3), 694–700.
- [98] Lohar, M. K.; Wright, D. J. Changes in the lipid content in haemolymph, fat body and
 oocytes of malathion treated *Tenebrio molitor* L. Adult Females. Pakistan Journal of
 Zoology. 1993, 25, 57–57.
- [99] Sak, O.; Uçkan, F.; Ergin, E. Effects of cypermethrin on total body weight, glycogen,
 protein, and lipid contents of *Pimpla turionellae* (L.)(Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae).
 Belgium J Zool. 2006, 136 (1), 53–58.
- [100] Heydarzade, A.; Moravvej, G. Contact toxicity and persistence of essential oils from
 Foeniculum vulgare, Teucrium polium and *Satureja hortensis* against *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Fabricius)(Coleoptera: Bruchidae) Adults. J. Entomol. 2012, 36, 507–518.
- [101] Habiba, K.; Romain-Rolland, M.; Nukenine, E. Insecticidal properties and persistence of
 Berlinia grandifolia (J. Vahl) and *Securidaca longepedunculata* Fres., two aromatic
 plants from the far-north region of cameroon alone or in combination against *Sitophilus oryzae* L. (Coleoptera : Curculionidae). 2015, 4, 635–642.
- [102] Habiba, K.; Thierry, H.; Jules, D.; Félicité, N.; Georges, L.; Franccedil, M.; Benoit, N.
 M.; Marie, M. P.; Leonard, N. T.; Eric, H. Persistent effect of a preparation of essential
 oil from *Xylopia aethiopica* against *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Coleoptera,
 Bruchidae). African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2010, 5 (14), 1881–1888.
- [103] Goudoum, A.; Tinkeu, L. S. N.; Ngassoum, M. B.; Mbofung, C. M. Persistence of active
 compounds of essential oils of *Clausena anisata* (Rutaceae) and *Plectranthus glandulosus* (Labiateae) used as insecticides on maize grains and flour. African Journal
 of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development. 2013, 13 (1), 7325–7338.
- [104] Obeng-Ofori, D.; Reichmuth, C. H.; Bekele, J.; Hassanali, A. Biological activity of 1, 8
 cineole, a major component of essential oil of *Ocimum kenyense* (Ayobangira) against
 stored product beetles. Journal of Applied Entomology. **1997**, 121 (1–5), 237–243.
- [105] Akami, M.; Niu, C.; Chakira, H.; Chen, Z.; Vi, T.; Nukenine, E. N. Persistence and comparative pesticidal potentials of some constituents of *Lippia adoensis* (Hochst. Ex Walp.)(Lamiales: Verbenaceae) essential oil against three life stages of *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Fab.)(Coleoptera: Bruchidae). British Biotechnology Journal. 2016, 13 (4), 1–16.

[106] Regnault-Roger, C.; Philogène, B. J.; Vincent, C. Biopesticides d'origine végétale.
ath.crealis.be.2002.

729

730 Legends of Table and Figures

Table 1. Fumigant (μ l/l air) and Contact (μ l/ml) toxicity of azadirachtin against the adult of *S. granarius*: Determination of lethal concentrations and their confidence intervals (95%), LCL - Lower confidence Limit, UCL - Upper confidence Limit)

734

Toxicity	Times (hours)	R ²	Lethal concentrations (LCL-UCL)	
			LC ₂₅ (95% FL)	LC ₅₀ (95% FL)
Fumigation (µl/I air)	24	0.93	113.70 (74.10 - 164.60)	549.10 (343.30 - 1480)
	48	0.91	57.71 (27.34 - 92.94)	335.70 (213.20 - 852.90)
	72	0.75	17.29 (0.02 - 55.15)	72.01 (12.52 - 185.90)
Contact (µl/ml)	12	0.96	5.84 (4.15 - 7.60)	30.35 (22.72 - 48.07)
	24	0.94	1.04 (0.36 - 1.83)	3.16 (1.92 - 4.38)

735

Table 2. Repellent Percentage (RP) of azadirachtin against *S. granarius* adults at different
 concentrations.

Concentrations	RP (%)	Class
- 1μl/ml	25	II
2μl/ml	35	II
4µl/ml	50	III
8µl/ml	60	III

738

739 740 741

742

743

Table 3. Effect of azadirachtin (LC₂₅ and LC₅₀) on proteins content and total energy (joule /individual) in *S. granarius* adults at different time after treatment (mean \pm SE, n = 3 pools

each containing 10 individuals).

745

Times (hours)	Components	Control	LC_{25}	-LC ₅₀
24	Proteins	$3.61 \pm 0.04 \text{ a}$	$0.92\pm0.02\;b$	$0.74\pm0.02~c$
	Total energy	8.30 ± 0.17 a	$4.54\pm0.13\ b$	$3.53\pm0.05~c$
48	Proteins	$3.61 \pm 0.05 a$	$0.83\pm0.01~b$	$0.73\pm0.04\ b$
	Total energy	8.18 ± 0.11 a	$4.31\pm0.08\ b$	$2.95\pm0.00\ c$
72	Proteins	$3.61 \pm 0.00 \text{ a}$	$0.78\pm0.02~b$	$0.65 \pm 0.03 \text{ c}$
	Total energy	7.87 ± 0.27 a	$3.19\pm0.06~b$	$2.39\pm0.19~\mathrm{c}$

746 *The different lowercase letters indicate significant differences at the same time based on Tukey's HSD test (p* < 0.05). **747**

748

749

Table 4. Nutrition Depletion Index (%) of *S. granarius* adult after treatment with AZAD at different time after treatment. Data represented as mean \pm SE (n =3 pools each containing 10 individuals).

Times (hours)	LC ₂₅	LC ₅₀	P value
24	29.26 ± 2.07^{a}	$40.31\pm0.93^{\text{b}}$	0.003
48	$30.98\pm0.58^{\rm a}$	$46.93\pm0.59^{\text{b}}$	< 0.001
72	42.21 ± 2.21^{a}	53.36 ± 3.32^{b}	0.022



Figure 1. Efficacy of azadirachtin applied by fumigation (A) and by contact (B) on adult as function the exposure time (hours) (mean \pm SEM, n = 5 replicates each containing 10 adults).





769

Figure 2. Effect of azadirachtin (LC₂₅ and LC₅₀) on CAT (**A**) and GST (**B**) activities (μ M/min/mg of protein) and GSH (**C**) rate (C) (μ M/mg of protein) in *S. granarius* adults at different time after treatment (mean ± **SEM**, n= 3 pools each containing 10 individuals). *The different lowercase letters indicate significant differences at the same time based on Tukey's HSD test (p <0.05).*

- 774
- 775
- 776



777

- Figure 3. Residual activity of azadirachtin (LC₅₀) by fumigation against *S. granarius* adults for a delay of 30h (mean ± SD, n = 4 pools each containing 10 individuals)
 781
 782
 783
 784
- 785