

Remediation of soils contaminated by hydrophobic organic compounds: How to recover extracting agents from soil washing solutions?

Clément Trellu, Yoan Pechaud, Nihal Oturan, Emmanuel Mousset, Eric van Hullebusch, David Huguenot, Mehmet Oturan

► To cite this version:

Clément Trellu, Yoan Pechaud, Nihal Oturan, Emmanuel Mousset, Eric van Hullebusch, et al.. Remediation of soils contaminated by hydrophobic organic compounds: How to recover extracting agents from soil washing solutions?. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 2021, 404, Part A, pp.124137. 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.124137. hal-03168519

HAL Id: hal-03168519 https://hal.science/hal-03168519v1

Submitted on 18 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Remediation of soils contaminated by hydrophobic organic compounds: How to recover extracting agents from soil washing solutions?

Clément Trellu^{1,*}, Yoan Pechaud¹, Nihal Oturan¹, Emmanuel Mousset², Eric D. van Hullebusch¹, David Huguenot¹, Mehmet A. Oturan^{1,*}

¹Université Paris-Est, Laboratoire Géomatériaux et Environnement (EA 4508), UPEM, 77454 Marne-la-Vallée, France.

² Laboratoire Réactions et Génie des Procédés, Université de Lorraine, CNRS, LRGP, F-54000 Nancy, France

Accepted in Journal of Hazardous Materials

Corresponding authors Emails:

Mehmet.oturan@univ-eiffel.fr (Mehmet A. Oturan)

Clement.trellu@univ-eiffel.fr Clément Trellu)

1 Abbreviations

2 AOP: advanced oxidation process; BDD: boron-doped diamond; CD: cyclodextrin; CMC: 3 critical micelle concentration; EA: extracting agent; EF: electro-Fenton; HPCD: hydroxypropyl- β -cyclodextrin; HOC: hydrophobic organic compound; K_{CD}: complex stability 4 5 (or equilibrium) constant between organic compounds and cyclodextrin; Km: micellar phase / 6 aqueous phase partition coefficient; MEUF: micellar-enhanced ultrafiltration process; NOM: 7 natural organic matter; PAH: polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon; PHE: phenanthrene; SF soil flushing; SW: soil washing; TX100: Triton X-100; TW80: Tween[®] 80; VOC: volatile organic 8 9 compound.

10

11 Abstract

12 A lot of soil (particularly, former industrial and military sites) has been contaminated by various 13 highly toxic contaminants such as petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons 14 (PAHs), polychlorobiphenyls (PCBs) or chlorinated solvents. Soil remediation is now required 15 for their promotion into new industrial or real estate activities. Therefore, the soil washing (SW) 16 process enhanced by the use of extracting agents (EAs) such as surfactants or cyclodextrins 17 (CDs) has been developed for the removal of hydrophobic organic compounds (HOCs) from 18 contaminated soils. The use of extracting agents allows improving the transfer of HOCs from 19 the soil-sorbed fraction to the washing solution. However, using large amount of extracting 20 agents is also a critical drawback for cost-effectiveness of the SW process. The aim of this 21 review is to examine how extracting agents might be recovered from SW solutions for reuse. 22 Various separation processes are able to recover large amounts of extracting agents according 23 to the physicochemical characteristics of target pollutants and extracting agents. However, an 24 additional treatment step is required for the degradation of recovered pollutants. SW solutions may also undergo degradation processes such as advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) with *in situ* production of oxidants. Partial recovery of extracting agents can be achieved according to operating conditions and reaction kinetics between organic compounds and oxidant species. The suitability of each process is discussed according to the various physicochemical characteristics of SW solutions. A particular attention is paid to the anodic oxidation process, which allows either a selective degradation of the target pollutants or a complete removal of the organic load depending on the operating conditions.

32

33 Keywords

34 Soil washing; Reuse; Extracting agent; Separation; Degradation; Hydrophobic organic
35 pollutant

36

37 **Outline**

38 I – Introduction: why should we try to recover extracting agents?

39 II – Separation processes

- 40 II.1 Air stripping and related technologies
- 41 II.2 Liquid liquid extraction
- 42 II.3 Membrane processes
- 43 II.4 Adsorption
- 44 III Degradation processes
- 45 III.1 Biological processes
- 46 III.2 Chemical, photochemical and electrochemical advanced oxidation processes
- 47 III.2.1 Removal of target pollutants: generation of oxidant species and reaction
- 48 mechanisms
- 49 III.2.2 Effectiveness for the recovery of extracting agents

- 50 III.3 Soil washing solution reuse or total removal of the organic load? A focus on the
- 51 possibilities offered by the anodic oxidation process
- 52 IV Discussion
- 53 V Conclusions and Recommendations
- 54 Acknowledgements
- 55 References

56

57 I – Introduction: why should we try to recover extracting agents?

58 The development of industrial activities led to the release into the environment of 59 various hydrophobic organic compounds (HOCs) of environmental concern. Particularly, 60 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), petroleum hydrocarbons, chlorinated solvents and 61 polychlorobiphenyls (PCBs) are among the most widespread contaminants [1,2]. These 62 pollutants have a severe toxic, carcinogenic and mutagenic potential to higher organisms, 63 including humans [3]. Therefore, implementation of human activities at the vicinity of former 64 industrial sites requires the development of sustainable remediation techniques. This is a major 65 issue for both public authorities (healthcare risk management) and environmental engineering 66 companies (development of environmental-friendly and cost-efficient soil remediation 67 technologies). Conventional remediation techniques all present some major drawbacks such as 68 low effectiveness (bioremediation in case of aged contaminated soils), high costs (thermal 69 treatment or excavation) or selectivity towards target pollutants (e.g. volatile organic 70 compounds (VOCs) for venting) [1,4].

71 Soil is a porous and solid complex matrix made of a mixture of mineral particles and 72 organic matter [5,6]. The presence of various carbonaceous phases in soils can also be 73 associated to the specific history of each contaminated site and can lead to strong HOCs 74 sequestration [7-10]. Using extracting agents (EAs) appeared to be a promising technique in 75 order to improve the transfer of HOCs from the soil-sorbed fraction to the washing solution [11,12]. The pump and treat treatment technique enhanced by the use of extracting agents is 76 77 named as soil flushing (SF). However, this in-situ technique is more difficult to implement and 78 to control because of soil heterogeneities for several critical parameter such as permeability, 79 porosity, nature and concentration of pollutants [13]. Therefore, a preliminary excavation step 80 is often required. A step of granulometric separation is then carried out in order to perform the 81 chemically enhanced soil washing (SW) process on the most contaminated fraction. Compared to SF, homogenization of the soil allows for optimizing the contact between extracting agents
and soil pollutants. Thus, treatment efficacy is more easily monitored and the contact time is
reduced during SW [1,11].

85 A large range of extracting agents has been tested in the scientific literature. The use of 86 synthetic surfactants above their critical micelle concentration (CMC) constitutes the most 87 widespread technique [12,14]. The accumulation of HOCs in the SW solution originates from 88 the reduction of the interfacial tension between non-aqueous phase liquid (NAPL) and aqueous phase as well as the increase of solubility due to partitioning of HOCs inside the hydrophobic 89 90 space formed by micelles [15,16]. Synthetic surfactants are divided into four main categories, 91 including anionic (e.g., sodium dodecylsufate [17,18]), cationic (e.g., quaternary ammonium derivatives [18]), amphoteric (e.g., cocamidoproyl hydroxysultaïne [19]) and non-ionic 92 surfactants (e.g., Brij[®] 35 [20,21], Tween[®] 80 (TW80) [22–24], Triton[®] X 100 (TX100) [25]). 93 94 Surfactant solubilization of organic contaminants can be assessed by a micellar phase / aqueous 95 phase partition coefficient K_m (Eq. 1) [15]. The extraction capacity of extracting agents is not 96 the only parameter to consider for selecting a suitable compound. In fact, some surfactants can 97 have adverse effect on soil according to their chemical structure [26,27].

$$K_m = \frac{X_m}{X_a} \tag{Eq. 1}$$

98 where X_m and X_a are the mole fraction of target pollutants in the micellar pseudo-phase and 99 aqueous phase, respectively.

100 There is therefore an important challenge for chemical engineers to develop efficient, 101 low-cost and eco-friendly surfactants. By comparison to synthetic surfactants, biosurfactants 102 (from microbial origin) present several advantages such as high biodegradability, lower 103 toxicity, ecological compatibility and the possibility to be produced *in situ* [28,29]. However,

104 using biosurfactants at industrial scale is still impaired by high production cost and difficulties 105 to stimulate their *in situ* production at large scale [30,31]. Cyclodextrins (CDs) derivatives (with 106 higher solubility than native CDs) have also been tested as extracting agents [11,32]. They 107 allow increasing aqueous solubility of HOCs by forming inclusion complex according to their 108 respective complex stability (or equilibrium) constant (K_{CD}) with organic compounds [11]. 109 However, they are considered to be about ten times less effective than synthetic surfactants in 110 terms of pollutant removal from soil [11]. Other promising alternatives currently under 111 development include the use of gemini surfactant (made of two hydrophilic head groups and 112 two hydrophobic tails) [14,33] and surfactant foams [13,34].

113 Efficient removal of HOCs from soil by EA-enhanced SW depends on the nature of 114 target pollutants and soil characteristics [11,12]. This process results in the production of highly 115 concentrated effluents, containing target HOCs at toxic concentrations, natural organic matter 116 (NOM) from the soil as well as large amount of extracting agents [1]. Therefore, a suitable 117 strategy for disposal of the SW solution must be implemented [1]. The ecological footprint and 118 cost-efficiency of the whole process (i.e. soil excavation, SW, treatment of the SW solution, 119 Figure 1) depend strongly on both nature and amount of the surfactant consumed. As eco-120 friendly surfactants are usually more expensive, the use of large amounts constitute a major 121 drawback for further full-scale application of this treatment strategy [1].





Figure 1– The different steps involved in the treatment of contaminated soils by integrated soil washing
 processes.

125

126 Optimizing the SW process is the most direct way to reduce the consumption of 127 extracting agents. Decontamination of polluted soils by SW has already been widely reviewed 128 in the literature by using either surfactants [14–16,24] or CDs [11] as extracting agents. The 129 most important parameters to consider are: (i) the extraction effectiveness of the chosen EA, 130 (ii) its cost, (iii) its soil sorption capacity (which can lead to important loss of extracting agent 131 and adverse effects on soil quality) and (iv) the operating parameters, including concentration 132 of EA, soil/liquid ratio, contact time, mixing conditions and number of successive washing 133 steps [11]. Usually, optimal parameters are determined through preliminary tests. It is worth 134 noting that the characteristics of the contaminated soil and pollutants have to be carefully 135 investigated in order to assess the suitability of the SW process. According to the contamination 136 history, soil pollution ageing and pollutant sequestration can strongly affect the availability of target pollutants for extracting agents [8,10,35–38]. Organic matter and clay content might also 137

138 affect process effectiveness because of competitive solubilization of NOM, mobilization of 139 hardly settling particles or high adsorption of extracting agents on soil [15]. Finally, the 140 horizontal and vertical location of the contaminated soil has also to be carefully studied before 141 soil excavation. Excavation and treatment of non-polluted soil strongly increase the cost of the 142 process.

143 Once the SW has been optimized, it remains another way to further reduce the 144 consumption of extracting agents. Several research articles and one previous review paper 145 focused on the removal of the organic load from SW solutions [1]. However, a large amount of 146 extracting agents can be recovered by implementing a suitable treatment of the SW solution. 147 The objective is to improve the cost-efficiency and ecological footprint of soil remediation by 148 reusing the SW solution. However, it represents an additional treatment step requiring extra-149 costs [39,40]. For this reason, this article aims at critically reviewing how to recover extracting 150 agents during the treatment of SW solutions, including separation and degradation processes. 151 Different processes are presented and their effectiveness for selective separation or degradation 152 of target pollutants is discussed according to the specific characteristics of SW solutions.

153

154 **II – Separation processes**

155 II.1 – Air stripping and related technologies

The SW process is usually not applied for the treatment of soil contaminated by VOCs because of the important risk of accidental release. However, surfactant-enhanced SW can be applied to soils contaminated with pollutants such as trichloroethylene, tetrachlorethylene or perchloroethylene. In such case, air stripping and related technologies are relevant for the removal of such compounds from SW solutions [41–44]. Air stripping is based on the formation of a large air-water interfacial area in order to improve the mass transfer of semi-volatile

162 compounds from the aqueous phase to the gas phase. Volatile or semi-volatile pollutants are 163 readily partitioned into the gas phase in contrast to non-volatile extracting agents. However, 164 gas injection in the presence of extracting agents can lead to significant foam formation [45] 165 which is considered as one of the main drawback of this process [46]. The use of hollow fiber 166 membranes instead of usual packed towers and tray air strippers can reduce these foaming 167 issues [47,48]. A lower formation of foam has also been observed with CDs compared to 168 synthetic surfactants. Air stripping was successfully performed at field-scale for recycling 169 hydroxypropyl- β -cyclodextrin (HPCD) for several successive SF steps [32,49]. Then, 170 contaminated air was treated through a granular activated carbon reactor.

171 Solubilization of target pollutants inside surfactant micelles or inclusion complex with 172 CDs has an important impact on the efficiency of the process. While the higher interfacial 173 resistance is often considered to only slightly decrease the global mass transfer [45,50], 174 extracting agents greatly reduce the molar fraction of free organic compounds in the aqueous 175 phase. Extracting agents act as a competing pseudo-phase for partitioning of the organic 176 contaminant in the gas phase [51,52]. This adverse effect increases with the hydrophobicity of 177 target pollutants, solubilization capacity of extracting agents (high K_m/K_{CD}) [45] and 178 concentration of extracting agent [44]. For example, tetrachlorethylene removal rate was 83, 61 179 and 37% when performing air stripping with hexadecyldisulfonate as extracting agent at 0, 10 180 and 55 mM, respectively [45]. Thus, Lipe et al. (1996) have developed a surfactant air stripping 181 model (SASM) for assessing the efficiency of air stripping systems by taking into account the 182 effect of surfactants. It is based on the conventional non-equilibrium mass transfer model and 183 has been successfully validated by experiments at both bench and field scale [45,48].

Vacuum processes have been investigated in order to improve the effectiveness of air stripping [53]. Vacuum is used as the driving force for the transfer of target compounds from the liquid to the gas phase. The surfactant stream can also be heated in order to increase the 187 vapor pressure of contaminants. The two main advantages of this process are the higher
188 separation effectiveness for contaminants with a lower volatility and the reduction of foaming
189 issues [45].

190 Pervaporation has also been successfully applied to SW solutions [54] containing 191 tetrachlorethylene [55], trichloroethylene [56], 1,1,1-trichloroethane [54,57] and toluene [57]. 192 It is based on the vaporization of the SW solution through a selective non-porous polymeric 193 membrane. Field demonstration and pilot-scale experiments demonstrated the high 194 effectiveness of the process for the removal of these target compounds without affecting the 195 performance of the recovered solution [55,57]. Different configurations can be used such as 196 spiral wound modules [57] or hollow fiber membranes [56]. Similarly to previous processes, 197 the use of high concentrations of extracting agents can decrease the performances in terms of 198 selectivity and flux [54,55].

199

200 II.2 – Liquid - liquid extraction

201 An organic liquid can be used as the partitioning phase for the extraction of target HOCs 202 from SW solutions [44]. Liquid-liquid extraction is based on the mass transfer of target 203 pollutants from the SW solution to the organic solvent. This process has been successfully 204 applied at both bench and field scale for the removal of semi- and non-volatile organic 205 compounds having high Henry's constants [58,59]. Using surfactants as EA, it has been 206 reported a similar extraction capacity for the treated SW solution compared to the initial 207 solution [58,59]. However, the process can be further complicated by emulsification of the 208 solvent by the surfactant solution and solubilization of solvent molecules into the micellar 209 pseudo-phase. Such phenomenon can be reduced by properly selecting the solvent, particularly 210 by using highly hydrophobic solvents [58–60]. Hollow fiber membranes filled with the 211 extracting solvent have also been used in order to eliminate emulsification and reduce the

amount of solvent consumed [61]. Petitgirard et al. [62] developed different methods in order to reduce the amount of solvent used. It was based either on micro-emulsion or impregnation of an organic membrane with oil. Lower amount of solvent allows decreasing the loss of surfactant and facilitating the disposal of the contaminated solvent [44].

216 Hasegawa et al. [58] developed a model for liquid-liquid extraction in order to predict 217 the efficiency of this process for surfactant-containing solutions. The model depends on the 218 solvent / water partition coefficient (K_d), micellar phase / aqueous phase partition coefficient 219 (K_m) , solvent-water ratio and hydrodynamic conditions (column height, retention time, water velocity). Particularly, the partition of target pollutants in micelles (K_m) is a crucial parameter. 220 221 The increase of the surfactant concentration significantly decreases the efficiency of the process 222 due to the micellar pseudo-phase acting as a competing partitioning phase [58]. Moreover, it 223 has been observed that the higher the hydrophobicity of target pollutants (high K_m and K_{CD}), 224 the higher the adverse effects of extracting agents on removal efficiency is [58].

This process has also been applied to solutions containing CDs as extracting agents. For example, liquid-liquid extraction with rapeseed oil was used for regeneration of a SF solution containing methyl- β -cyclodextrin [62]. This lab-scale experiment allowed the regeneration of the methyl- β -cyclodextrin solution for continuous SF. After two days of SF, almost complete decontamination of the spiked sandy soil was achieved (96-98% of PAH removal), pollutants were concentrated in the organic phase and the loss of methyl- β -cyclodextrin was negligible.

It is worth noting that liquid-liquid extraction leads to the production of highly contaminated solvents, for which the disposal is a real challenge. Moreover, it also involves high risks of handling (leaking storage tanks, exposure of workers via skin contact or inhalation). Distillation systems have been applied for solvent/contaminant separation and reuse of the solvent for further contaminant/EA separation steps [43], but such process represents an important cost.

237

238 II.3 – Membrane processes

239 The use of membrane technologies has also been investigated for the treatment of 240 SW/SF solutions. Most of the studies did not report selective surfactant-contaminant separation. 241 However, during SF, are often diluted in recovery wells by groundwater. Therefore, membrane 242 processes can also be used for re-concentration of the SF solution (e.g. after air stripping) before 243 reinjection into the contaminated zone [48]. For example, by using ultrafiltration membranes, it was observed 93-99% of surfactant-contaminant retention from a SW solution containing 244 245 naphthalene and trichloroethylene as contaminants and linear alkyl diphenyloxide disulfonate 246 as surfactant [45]. The formation of micelles improves the retention of organic compounds [63]. 247 Such micellar-enhanced ultrafiltration process (MEUF) is based on the formation of colloid-248 sized surfactant micelles retained by the ultrafiltration membrane. Only one stud reported a selective removal of target pollutants by ultrafiltration: 67% of the surfactant was recovered in 249 250 the permeate, while more than 90% of polychlorobiphenyls and 83% of oils were retained [64]. 251 This result might be explained by the formation of oil droplets readily rejected by the membrane, 252 thus leading to a selective separation of surfactant monomers and pollutants.

253

II.4 – Adsorption

255 Adsorption is a widely used technology and has recently received great attention for the 256 treatment of SW solutions because of promising results obtained for the recovery of extracting 257 agents, especially with activated carbon [1,65] (Table 1). Several studies have observed much 258 higher removal rates of target pollutants than extracting agents because of the higher partition 259 coefficient, higher hydrophobicity and lower concentration of target pollutants [66,67]. 260 Recently, the use of organo-bentonite and organo-layered double hydroxide has also been 261 investigated [68,69]. The objective of such material is to provide a strong affinity between 262 target pollutants and the organic phase within bentonite or layered double hydroxide. Sorbent 263 materials, pollutants and extracting agents already studied are reported in Table 1.

264 The effectiveness of this process for the recovery of extracting agents can be assessed 265 in batch experiments by using the selectivity ratio (S) (Eq. 4) [67]. Values reported in the 266 literature for synthetic SW solutions usually range between 5 and 100, according to operating conditions (concentration/nature of EAs, target pollutant, sorbent material, dose, etc.). As 267 regards to the activated carbon dose in batch experiments, low amount (around 2 g L⁻¹) was 268 reported to be sufficient to achieve 60% removal of 100 mg L⁻¹ of PHE with a selectivity ratio 269 270 of 10 (initial concentration of TX100 was 5 g L⁻¹) [67]. Much higher effectiveness was also 271 reached with lower PHE concentration [67]. However, selectivity ratio measured in synthetic solutions cannot be used for prediction of the efficiency of the process with real SW solutions, 272 273 in which much more competition effects occur.

$$S = \frac{C_{SM,j}}{C_{l,j}} \times \frac{C_{l,SA}}{C_{SM,SA}}$$
(Eq. 4)

where $C_{SM,j}$ is the concentration of the target pollutant sorbed onto the sorbent material (mg g⁻¹), $C_{l,j}$ is the concentration of the target pollutant in the liquid phase (mg L⁻¹), $C_{l,SA}$ is the concentration of extracting agent in the liquid phase (g L⁻¹), $C_{SM,SA}$ is the concentration of extracting agent onto the sorbent material (g g⁻¹) and *S* is the selectivity ratio.

By using a configuration with a continuous flow through column, such adsorption process leads to a much shorter exhausting time of extracting agents compared to breakthrough time of target pollutants [70].

- 281
- 282

Table 1 – Adsorption processes for the recovery of extracting agents – an overview of existing studies.

Sorbent	Pollutants	Extracting agents	Configuration	References
Activated carbon	PHE ¹	TX100 ²	Batch	[66]

Activated carbon	PHE ¹ , FLUO ³ , BaA ⁴	TX100 ²	Column	[70]
Activated carbon	Trichloroethylene	HPCD ⁵	Batch	[71]
Activated carbon	Mineral oil	RAMEB ⁶	Column	[72]
Activated carbon	PHE ¹	Mixture SDS ⁷ - TX100 ²	Batch	[73]
Activated carbon	PHE ¹	SDS^7	Batch	[67]
Activated carbon	PHE ¹	TX100 ²	Column	[74]
Activated carbon	FLUO ²	TX100 ²	Batch	[75]
Activated carbon	Chlorinated solvents	TX100 ² , TX165 ⁸	Column	[76]
Activated carbon	PHE ¹	TW40 ⁹ , TW80 ¹⁰ , B30 ¹¹ , B35 ¹²	Batch	[77]
Organo- bentonite	Various PAH ¹³	TX114 ¹⁴ , TX100 ² , TX305 ¹⁵	Batch	[68]
Activated carbon	Hexachlorobenzene	Rhamnolipid	Column	[78]
Activated carbon	p-Cresol	TW80 ¹⁰	Batch	[79]
Biochar	PHE ¹ , FLUO ³ , PYR ¹⁶	TX100 ²	Batch	[80]
Activated carbon	PHE ¹	TW80 ¹⁰	Batch	[81]
Activated carbon	Organochlorine pesticide	TX100 ²	Batch	[82]
Macroporous resin SP850	PHE ¹	TX100 ² , SDBS ¹⁷	Batch	[83]
Organo- LDH ¹⁸	PHE ¹ , PYR ¹⁶	SDBS ¹⁷ , B35 ¹²	Batch	[69]

283

284 ¹ Phenanthrene; ^{2,8,14,15} Triton X 100, 165, 114, 305; ³ Fluoranthene; ⁴ Benzo(a)anthracene; ⁵ HPCD ⁶ Randomly 285 methylated β -cyclodextrin; ⁷ Sodium dodecylsulfate; ^{9,10} Tween[®] 40, 80; ^{11,12} Brij[®] 30, 35; ¹³ Polycyclic aromatic

286 hydrocarbon; ¹⁶ Pyrene; ¹⁷ Sodium dodecylbenzene sulfonate; ¹⁸ Layered double hydroxides.

287

288 The selectivity of adsorption processes tightly relies on the reduction of competition 289 phenomena for adsorption of target pollutants. Even if promising results have been obtained, 290 further investigations are necessary [71]. Particularly, it is required to better understand 291 competition mechanisms between partitioning of target pollutants inside micelles or inclusion 292 complex and adsorption on the sorbent material [71]. K_m and K_{CD} are important parameters to 293 take into consideration for the availability of pollutants for adsorption [84]. Besides, other 294 compounds from real SW solutions such as NOM could have strong adverse effects on the 295 selectivity ratio. For example, selectivity ratio was divided by 2 in a fluoranthene/TX100 mixture when adding 0.10 g L⁻¹ of fulvic acids because these compounds participate to 296 297 additional pore blocking [75]. Besides, sand filtration is a required pre-treatment for real SW 298 solutions in order to prevent too much rapid fouling of the activated carbon filter by particulate 299 materials. The influence of the nature of EAs, target pollutants and sorbent materials (particle 300 size, pore size distribution, etc.) should also be further investigated. The extracting agent and 301 the sorbent material have to be carefully chosen in order to limit the phenomenon of pore 302 blocking, which can lead to a reduction of active sites available for target pollutant adsorption. 303 For example, it has been observed that the reduction of surface area of activated carbon after 304 TX100 adsorption was mainly ascribed to the adsorption on micropores [67]. Pore size 305 distribution could therefore be optimized in order to favour adsorption of target pollutants 306 instead of extracting agents.

The regeneration of sorbent materials is also a crucial issue for the sustainability of adsorption processes. Thermal processes are currently widely used for activated carbon regeneration. When performing thermal desorption, a large amount of energy is consumed and a post-treatment of the contaminated gas stream is still required. Degradation of target pollutants can be achieved by heating at high temperature under oxidizing conditions, but such treatment usually reduce the potential for AC reuse because of a strong decrease of specific surface area and adsorption capacity [85,86]. Therefore, alternative regeneration processes are currently investigated, such as biological treatments [87,88], microwave irradiation [70,89], ozone [86] or electro-Fenton (EF) processes [85,90,91]. The latter process seems to be a promising technique based on the use of activated carbon as cathode, which allows for both mineralization of organic pollutants and regeneration of the adsorption capacity of the spent activated carbon [91].

319

320 III – Degradation processes

321 III.1 – Biological processes

322 Biological treatment (mainly aerobic processes) is one of the most popular processes in 323 water treatment for the removal of biodegradable organic compounds. It is based on the 324 consumption of organic compounds by a large range of microorganisms. Recent progress 325 allows the application of this kind of treatment to various effluents in terms of nature and 326 organic load. For a specific compound, removal rates and pathways depend on several physical, 327 chemical and microbiological aspects [1]. Some organic compounds can be recalcitrant to 328 biodegradation for different reasons such as the absence of microorganism able to use them as 329 substrate, the presence of toxic concentrations of organic compounds or the lower 330 bioavailability due to the physicochemical parameters of the environment [92]. Therefore, the 331 development of an adapted biomass to a specific SW solution and the influence of extracting 332 agents on the bioavailability of target pollutants are two key parameters [93–96].

As the uptake of organic compounds by microorganisms occur mainly via the aqueous phase, partition of HOC in the CD inclusion complex or in the surfactant micellar pseudo-phase can strongly influence biodegradation kinetics [97–99]. Different mechanisms are actually involved in the biodegradation of target pollutants in surfactant-containing solutions [92] 337 (Figure 2). First, bacteria can directly utilize aqueous extra-micellar pollutants as substrate. 338 Then, pollutants diffusing from the micellar (or inclusion complex) to the aqueous phase 339 (kinetics in the range of microseconds to milliseconds) according to the micellar phase / 340 aqueous phase partition coefficient (K_m) becomes also bioavailable. Biodegradation of 341 pollutants solubilized in micelles can also occur due to the formation of a hemi-micellar layer 342 around the bacteria cells (step I, Figure 2) and transfer of pollutants from the micellar phase to 343 this hemi-micellar layer (step II, Figure 2) [92]. However, these two steps might be kinetically 344 limiting for biodegradation [92].

345



346

Figure 2 – Organic pollutant uptake by bacteria in soil washing solutions. *K_m* is the micellar phase /
aqueous phase partition coefficient of the target pollutant. I: equilibrium between the hemi-micellar layer
around the bacteria cells and micelles in the aqueous phase. II: equilibrium between pollutants
solubilized in hemi-micelles around the bacteria cells and micelles in the aqueous phase.

- 351
- 352

The complexity of such system often led to contradictory results [92]. On the one hand, the enhancement of HOC biodegradation in solutions containing extracting agents has been mainly attributed to the increase of the solubility and bioavailability of HOCs [94,95,100,101]. On the other hand, adverse effects have been often ascribed to the inhibition of the direct contact between microbial cells and target pollutants [97,99,102], toxicity of extracting agents towards microorganisms [102–104] or preferential uptake of extracting agents as substrate
[103,105,106]. Overall, the following trend is often observed the presence of low concentration
of extracting agent (below or close to the CMC for surfactants) enhances HOC biodegradation,
while bioavailability and biodegradability are reduced at high concentration of extracting agent
[92,96,98,99].

363 As extracting agents are usually used at high concentration during SW processes, it is 364 very difficult to achieve a selective biodegradation of target pollutants in SW solutions because 365 of the protective environment formed by extracting agents. Therefore, only few authors have 366 investigated SW solution recirculation after biological treatment. Navarro et al. [96] observed 367 a selective biodegradation of PYR from a SW solution containing deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) as extracting agent. The removal of PYR reached 99% after 1 d, while more than 98% of 368 369 deoxyribonucleic acid was recovered. This result was explained by the stability of DNA against 370 the aerobic bacteria (Sphingomonas sp.) used in this study for degradation of PYR. Thus, the 371 treated solution was re-used for four SW cycles with synthetic contaminated soil, with a high 372 extraction capacity. Recently, it was also reported that the use of a bacterial consortium could 373 allow the reuse of a biologically-treated SW solution containing PHE and TW80 as extracting 374 agent [107].

375

376 III.2 – Chemical, photochemical and electrochemical advanced oxidation processes

377

378 III.2.1 – Removal of target pollutants: generation of oxidant species and reaction

379 mechanisms

Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) have been largely studied for the treatment of SW solutions. AOPs are well-known for the removal of recalcitrant organic pollutants [108]. They are based on the *in situ* production of strong oxidants, mainly hydroxyl radicals, the 383 second most powerful oxidizing agent known ($E^{\circ}(OH/H_2O) = 2.8 \text{ V/SHE}$) [109]. Hydroxyl 384 radicals are characterized by a very short lifetime (in the range of nanoseconds) and a non-385 selective feature towards organic compounds [110]. There are four main reaction pathways for 386 the reaction of hydroxyl radical with organic compounds, including hydrogen atom abstraction 387 (dehydrogenation), addition to an unsaturated bond (hydroxylation), ipso substitution 388 (hydroxylation with release of halogen ion) and electron transfer (redox reactions) [110,111]. 389 Aromatic compounds are the most reactive species with hydroxyl radicals since hydroxylation is typical for these kinds of compounds with rate constants in the range $10^8 - 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, while 390 lower values are reported $(10^6 - 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$ for alkanes and alcohols for which reaction occurs 391 392 through dehydrogenation [110]. Different methods are used for the production of hydroxyl 393 radicals. Mainly photochemical, electrochemical, and Fenton-based processes have been 394 applied to the treatment of SW solutions [1,18,25,112–118].

Heterogeneous photocatalysis is based on photoactivation of a semiconductor (e.g. TiO₂), leading to the production of an electron in the conduction band and a positive hole in the valence band [119,120]. These materials have a void energy region avoiding the recombination of electrons and holes. Thus, the reaction of electrons and positive holes with O_2 , H_2O , and $OH^$ leads to the production of oxidant species on the surface of the photocatalyst and in the bulk, including 'OH, H_2O_2 , O_2^{-} [121].

The production of hydroxyl radicals during Fenton-based processes comes from the catalytic decomposition of hydrogen peroxide by ferrous iron [108,110]. The effectiveness of the process can be enhanced by using UV irradiation (photo-Fenton based processes) [122,123], allowing a better regeneration of ferrous iron and the production of additional hydroxyl radicals from the photolysis of $Fe(OH)^{2+}$ [124]. The development of iron-containing solids catalysts is also a promising way for reducing the production of iron sludge and allowing the application of the process at circumneutral pH [125,126]. 408 Electrochemical processes can lead to the production of hydroxyl radicals from (i) 409 anodic oxidation by water discharge on the surface of anode with high oxygen evolution 410 overpotential (such as boron-doped diamond, BDD) [127,128], (ii) EF process through in situ 411 production of the Fenton's reagent [110]: H₂O₂ is produced from the two electron reduction of 412 oxygen at a suitable cathode (such as gas diffusion or three-dimensional electrodes using 413 carbon-based porous materials combined with injection of compressed air in the solution), 414 while only a catalytic amount of ferrous iron is supplied and continuously regenerated by 415 reduction of ferric iron at the cathode [129]. The EF process allows increasing the efficiency of 416 the Fenton's process by avoiding the addition of chemical reagents, reducing waste reactions 417 and avoiding sludge formation [1,130]. Oxidation of inorganic anions in the solution (SO₄²⁻, 418 Cl⁻, etc.) can also lead to the homogeneous production of oxidant species in the bulk such as 419 active chlorine, persulfate, sulphate radical, which also participate to the oxidation of organics 420 ("mediated oxidation") [131,132].

421 Recently, a great attention has also been given to the development of AOPs based on 422 persulfate activation, due to several inherent advantages of sulphate radicals such as (i) the 423 convenience of storage and transportation of solid persulfate, (ii) the different way to activate 424 persulfate (e.g. thermal or electrochemical processes, Fe^{2+}) and (iii) the longer lifetime of 425 sulphate radicals compared to hydroxyl radicals [133–137].

Total degradation of target pollutants as well as high mineralization rate of SW solutions is usually achieved when using such AOPs. Some examples of degradation kinetic rates are reported in Table 2. When optimized operating conditions are used, the apparent degradation kinetic rates of target pollutants in SW solutions are usually in the range 0.5 - 5 h⁻¹.

430

Table 2 – Degradation kinetic rates of target pollutants in soil washing solution by advanced
 oxidation processes

Process	Pollutants (mM)	Extracting agent (mM)	Maximum degradation kinetic rate (h ⁻¹)	Reference
Photocatalysis (TiO ₂)	NAP ¹ (0.03)	B35 ² (1.2)	2.04	[138]
Photocatalysis (TiO ₂)	PCP ³ (0.2)	β -CD ⁴ , MCD ⁵ , HPCD ⁶ (0 – 5)	5.3	[139]
Solar- Photocatalysis (TiO ₂)	$NAP^{1}(0.2)$	TX100 ⁷ (0.04 – 0.13)	4.2	[140]
Photocatalysis (TiO ₂)	Aromatic compounds	B35 ² (25)	0.12 - 3.48	[141]
Photocatalysis (TiO ₂)	PCP ³ (0.018)	TX100 ⁷ (0.023 – 1.01)	3.3	[89]
Solar- Photocatalysis (TiO ₂)	Alkylphenols	$\begin{array}{c} \text{B35}^2, \text{C}_{12}\text{E}_8, \\ \text{SDS}^8, \text{mixtures} \\ (1-15) \end{array}$	9.3	[20]
		None (water)	4.86	
Photo-Fenton	TNT ⁹ (0.5)	MCD ⁵ (5)	10.05	[142]
EF	PCP ³ (0.1)	$\mathrm{HPCD}^{6}(5)$	0.546	[143]
	PHE ¹⁰ (0.1)	HPCD ⁶ (8.8)	1.56	[144]
EF		TW80 ¹¹ (0.6)	0.78	
F F	PAHs	$\mathrm{HPCD}^{6}(6)$	0.83 - 2.61	51.463
EF		TW80 ¹¹ (5.6)	0.20 - 0.72	[143]
Anodic	NAP ¹ (0.83)		0.9	
oxidation	PYR ¹² (0.27)	$CAS^{13}(9.1)$	0.66	[146]

433

434 ¹ Naphthalene; ² Brij[®] 35; ³ Pentachlorophenol; ⁴ β -cyclodextrin; ⁵ Methyl- β -cyclodextrin; ⁶ Hydroxypropyl- β -

435 cyclodextrin; ⁷ Triton X 100; ⁸ Sodium dodecylsulfate; ⁹ Trinitrotoluene; ¹⁰ Phenanthrene; ¹¹ Tween[®] 80; ¹²

436 Pyrene; ¹³ Cocamidopropylhydroxysultaine

437

438 The specificity of SW solutions requires giving a special attention for the understanding 439 of oxidation mechanisms, according to the process used, operating conditions, target pollutant 440 and extracting agent used. Target pollutant removal usually requires high energy and/or reagent 441 consumption, due to the high organic load of SW solutions. Concentrations of extracting agents are usually in the range of 1-100 g L^{-1} [1,11]. Moreover, a large amount of soil organic matter 442 443 is also mobilized in the SW solution [1]. Since hydroxyl radicals act in a non-selective way, 444 there is therefore a huge competition for oxidation [112,147–149] and wasting reactions from 445 hydroxyl radical scavengers strongly reduce the kinetics of target pollutant degradation. This 446 high oxidant demand is the main issue for the treatment of SW solution by AOPs. For example, 49 g L⁻¹ (using sequential addition) and 30 g L⁻¹ of H₂O₂ was necessary to achieve 95% and 447 448 96% COD removal from a SW solution [25,146]. As regards to the EF process, the treatment 449 of a SW solution containing PAH and TW80 as EA, required 0.69 kWh per gram of TOC 450 removed [145].

451 Besides, solubilization of target pollutants inside surfactant micelles and inclusion 452 complex with CDs reduces the effectiveness of oxidation processes by decreasing the 453 availability of target pollutants towards oxidant species in the aqueous phase because of 454 formation of a protective environment [132,144,150,151]. However, different mechanisms 455 occur when CDs are used as extracting agents during Fenton-based processes. The formation of a ternary complex CD-pollutant-Fe²⁺ has been observed [152,153]. This phenomenon allows 456 457 improving the effectiveness of Fenton-based processes thanks to the production of hydroxyl 458 radicals close to target pollutants [142,144,154].

As regards to anodic oxidation, hydroxyl radicals are only present in a thin layer (few nm) on the anode surface. Therefore, reaction with organic compounds strongly depends on their mass transport from the bulk to the anode surface [128]. Formation of large size micelles was reported to prevent oxidation on the anode surface, due to a phenomenon of steric hindrance 463 [155]. However, production of oxidant species in the bulk through mediated oxidation
464 (favoured at high current density) can still participate in the degradation of micelles during
465 electro-oxidation of SW solutions [132] (Figure 3).



467 Figure 3 – Schematic view of oxidation mechanisms occurring during the treatment of a soil
468 washing solution (containing phenanthrene (PHE) as hydrophobic organic compound (HOC)) by anodic
469 oxidation using boron-doped (BDD) anode. Reprinted from [23].

470

466

471 Mechanisms of organic compounds removal from SW solutions during photocatalytic 472 processes also strongly depend on extracting agent characteristics and concentration. Extracting 473 agents influence the adsorption of pollutants onto photocatalysts and thus, the availability of 474 pollutants for oxidant species [1]. Improved photocatalytic degradation of HOCs was observed 475 when using concentrations of surfactant below or close to the CMC [150]. Combination of 476 surfactant monomers with the surface of TiO₂ by hydrophobic (non-ionic surfactants) or 477 electrostatic (cationic surfactants) interactions was reported to form a superficial hydrophobic 478 reactive monolayer in which HOCs have high affinity [150,151,156]. Less favourable effects

479 were observed for photocatalytic degradation of more hydrophilic compounds such as oxidation 480 by-products [151]. It was attributed to the decrease of their availability for oxidant species 481 because of the lower fraction of organic compounds implanted in the superficial hydrophobic 482 reactive monolayer (lower K_m) and/or a different locus of implementation of these organic 483 compounds inside this monolayer (closer to the hydrophilic heads of surfactants) (Figure 4). At 484 high surfactant concentration, photocatalytic degradation of HOCs strongly decreases due to 485 the hydroxyl radical scavengers as well as competitive partition of HOCs within micelles in the 486 bulk (that prevent reaction at the surface of the photocatalyst). Competition between surfactants 487 and HOCs for the occupation of active sites on the catalyst surface may also have strong adverse 488 effects [150,151,157]. Similar effects of concentration-dependant inhibition have been 489 observed when using CDs as extracting agent. Low concentration of CDs promotes the 490 photocatalytic degradation of HOC having high inclusion constant with CDs [158–160], while 491 high CD concentration hinders target pollutant degradation [62,139]. Unfortunately, SW 492 solutions usually contain high concentration of extracting agent. Interestingly, a lower 493 inhibitory effect was observed when using surfactants with bigger hydrated polar head, due to 494 the reduction of surfactant adsorption at the surface of the catalyst [18,112].

495



496

497

Figure 4 –Partition equilibria of target pollutants during the treatment of a SW solution by a TiO₂-based photocatalytic process. Reprinted from [1].

499

498

500 Some other non-AOPs chemical oxidation processes have also been applied to the 501 treatment of SW solution, particularly ozone, activated persulfate [135,136,161,162], and 502 electrochemical processes using active electrodes that do not produce hydroxyl radicals 503 [128,163]. Lower effectiveness for the removal of target pollutants has been reported because 504 of the generation of weaker oxidant species [1]. For example, degradation kinetic rates observed 505 for the removal of PAH from SW solutions by electro-oxidation using graphite electrodes were 506 in the range 0.02-0.2 h⁻¹ [21,164]. As regards to ozone, it was observed significant removal of 507 PAH and chlorophenols from SW solutions (45-65% removal) only when using high doses (> 508 500 mg O_3 L⁻¹) [165,166]. Besides, it was reported that high concentration of surfactant 509 decreases gas-liquid mass transfer for both (semi)-volatile pollutants and ozone, thus reducing 510 the effectiveness of the ozone process [167].

511

512 III.2.2 – Effectiveness for the recovery of extracting agents

SW solution reuse by implementing a degradation process means that the aim is to achieve both maximum removal of target pollutants and minimum degradation of extracting agents [1]. Thus, the treated SW solution might keep similar extraction capacity than a fresh SW solution without addition of extracting agents. However, two main issues are involved: (i) the protective environment for target pollutants formed by surfactant micelles and CDs, which usually decreases the availability of target pollutants for degradation (K_m and K_{CD} are crucial parameters), (ii) the degradation of organic compounds in a non-selective way [1].

520 Several authors have also investigated the possibility to recover extracting agents by 521 optimizing operating conditions of AOPs in order to promote the degradation of target 522 pollutants and decrease the degradation rate of extracting agents. Even if hydroxyl radicals are 523 considered as non-selective oxidants, different reaction kinetics with target pollutants and other 524 extracting agents are obtained according to their chemical structure. For example, 525 perfluorinated surfactants present the interesting characteristic to be highly recalcitrant to 526 oxidation by hydroxyl radicals, however, they are considered as toxic persistent organic 527 pollutants and they cannot be used for soil remediation by SW [168]. Aromatic compounds are 528 also considered as highly reactive species [110]. A slower degradation rate of extracting agents 529 compared to aromatic target pollutants can be used for partial recovery of extracting agents. As 530 complete mineralization of target pollutants involves longer treatment time and higher 531 degradation rate of extracting agents [1], only degradation of pollutants is usually considered. 532 Therefore, the toxicity of degradation by-products [169,170] should also be assessed. Mousset 533 et al. [145] observed that a second SW step with a partially oxidized SW solution (containing 534 PAHs and TW80 as EA) by the EF process did not affect negatively the soil microbial activity, compared to the use of a fresh SW solution. This result was ascribed to the production of 535 536 hydroxylated by-products with higher biodegradability and solubility than initial compounds 537 [145,171].

As regards to Fenton-based processes, promising results have been observed by using CDs as extracting agents. The formation of a ternary complex CD-pollutant-Fe²⁺ allows improving the availability of target pollutants towards hydroxyl radicals and higher degradation kinetics of target pollutants were achieved compared to CDs [144,154]. By using the EF process, better selective degradation was also achieved when using HPCD instead of TW80 as extracting agent [144].

544 During photocatalytic processes, the formation of micelles in the bulk reduces the 545 availability of surfactants towards oxidant species generated on the photocatalyst surface 546 [150,151,157] (Figure 4). Even if it might be considered as an interesting behaviour for 547 minimizing the degradation rates of EAs, it was observed to do not improve the selectivity of 548 the process. In fact, much lower degradation kinetic of target pollutants is usually also observed 549 because of the partitioning within micelles. Thus, it was actually reported higher rate of 550 recovery of TX100 after full removal of a target pollutant (pentachlorophenol) when a low 551 concentration of TX100 was used [150].

Processes based on the activation of persulfates using iron ions or thermal activation have also been recently developed for the treatment of SW solution. Compared to hydroxyl radicals, sulfate radicals present some more selective features that might be useful for achieving selective degradation of target pollutants [136,172].

556 During anodic oxidation processes, it has been reported that low current density strongly 557 hinders degradation of extracting agents due to a steric hindrance phenomenon for oxidation of 558 micelles on the anode surface. Lower degradation of extracting agents also results from the 559 decrease of mediated oxidation in the bulk at low current density [23,155]. Thus, free extra-560 micellar target pollutants, continuously released in the solution (according to K_m) can be more 561 selectively degraded [23] (Figure 3).

562

Table 3 – Promising results reported in the literature on the recovery of extracting agents by using

564

563

Process	Pollutants (P) (mg L ⁻¹)	Extracting agent (EA) (g L ⁻¹)	Effectiveness	Ref
Photocatalysis	Dibenzothiophene (0.3-1.3)	TX100 ¹ (0.14 – 0.25)	Full degradation of P / none degradation of EA	[173]
EF	PHE ² (17.8)	HPCD ³ (10)	Full degradation of P / 10% degradation of EA	[144]
Electro- oxidation (graphite electrodes)	PHE ² (35)	TW80 ⁴ (10)	95% removal of P / Similar extraction capacity of the treated solution	[174]
Electro- oxidation (graphite electrodes)	PHE ²	$\begin{array}{c} \text{HPCD}^3\\ (1.0) \end{array}$	Full removal of P / Similar extraction capacity of the treated solution	[164]
Fenton	p-Cresol (20)	TW80 ⁴ (0.86)	Full degradation of P / 10% degradation of EA	[175]
Activated persulfate	Nitrobenzene	SDBS ⁵ (8.2)	Better selective degradation than with the Fenton process	[161]
Anodic oxidation (BDD ⁶ anode)	PAHs from real SW solution $(1-5)$	TW80 ⁴ (6.6)	75-90% removal of P / Similar extraction capacity of the treated solution	[23]
Activated persulfate	PHE ² (10)	TW80 ⁴ (10)	96% removal of P / 20% removal of EA	[176]
Fenton	COCs ⁷ from real SF solution (3700)	E-Mulse 3 [®] (11)	>80% removal of P / Similar extraction capacity of the treated solution	[177]

mising results reported in the interature on the recovery of extracting

degradation processes

565 ¹ Triton X 100; ² Phenanthrene; ³ Hydroxypropyl- β -cyclodextrin; ⁴ Tween[®] 80; ⁵ Sodium

566 dodecybenzenesulfonate; ⁶ Boron-doped diamond; ⁷ Chlorinated organic compounds

567

However, contradictory results are also observed and the details of mechanisms leading to the selective removal of pollutants solubilized within micelles are not fully understood. Further development of selective degradation processes depends on the better understanding and control of degradation mechanisms and kinetics, for which the nature and concentration of EAs, target pollutants, and oxidant species have a crucial effect [172]. 573 It is also worth noting that the critical parameter is not the amount of extracting agent 574 recovered but the extraction power of the treated solution. Important differences are usually 575 observed because of analysis artefacts of extracting agents, generation of degradation by-576 products still solubilized in micelles and production of extracting agent by-products with 577 extracting properties [1]. For example, it was observed that a partially oxidized solution (by 578 using the EF process) containing 11% less of HPCD than the initial solution achieved slightly 579 higher PAH removal from soil than a fresh HPCD solution [145]. This result was ascribed to 580 the extraction capacity of hydroxylated HPCD (degradation by-products) with an internal 581 hydrophobic space that remained intact. Interestingly, Dominguez et al. [177] also reported that 582 Fenton oxidation of a SF solution led to >99% degradation of an additive of a commercial extracting agent (E-Mulse 3[®]), however, the equivalent surfactant concentration was only 583 584 decreased by 40-50%, the interfacial tension of the solution was not altered and the extraction 585 capacity of the solution remained constant. On the contrary, the reduction of 21% of the TW80 586 concentration during the EF treatment of a SW solution strongly decreased the extraction power 587 of the partially oxidized solution compared to a fresh TW80 solution [145]. Such results might 588 be ascribed to the artefacts in analysis of extracting agents (detection of degradation by-589 products identified as TW80 while they have lower extraction capacity).

590

591 III.3 - Soil washing solution reuse or total removal of the organic load? The example of 592 the anodic oxidation process

Recently, two different treatment strategies have been identified for the treatment of SW solutions by anodic oxidation [22,23]. First, it was observed that treating a real SW solution (with TW80 as EA) by anodic oxidation at low current density (1.8 mA cm⁻²) during a long treatment time (23 h) lead to the selective degradation of PAHs as target pollutants and allow the recovery of the extraction capacity of the treated SW solution [23]. It was also emphasized 598 that a high surfactant concentration improves the selective degradation of target pollutants with 599 only a slight decrease of degradation kinetics of PAHs. Therefore, high concentration of 600 surfactant might be used in order to increase the transfer of PAHs from the soil-sorbed fraction 601 to the washing solution. In fact, the consumption of an additional amount of surfactant might 602 be compensated by the high rate of recovery of extracting agents during the treatment by anodic 603 oxidation at low current density. A second treatment strategy has been reported for the removal 604 of the organic load of a synthetic SW solution containing PHE as target pollutant and TW80 as 605 extracting agent [22]. It is based on the combination of anodic oxidation with a biological 606 treatment.

607 It might be actually interesting to use these two different treatment strategies in a 608 complementary way. A crucial advantage of anodic oxidation is the possibility to easily manage 609 the operating conditions, particularly the current density [178]. Thus, an anodic oxidation 610 treatment system might be able to implement both strategies (Figure 5). First, low current 611 density might be initially used for the reuse of the SW solution. Then, when sufficient pollutant 612 removal rate from soil would be achieved, the same system might treat the SW solution at high 613 current density in order to remove the organic load with a possible combination with a 614 biological treatment.

615 However, a systematic analysis taking into consideration all the different parameters of 616 such complex environmental engineering issue would be required for concluding on the best 617 treatment strategy (e.g. using life cycle assessment). From data obtained, only a short cost-618 benefit analysis can be performed on energy consumption, which is a critical parameter during 619 anodic oxidation. It was reported that SW solution treatment at low current density only required 13.2 kWh m⁻³ for the reuse of the treated solution [23]. By considering the price of the 620 electricity as $0.12 \in kWh^{-1}$ (in France), it corresponds to an energy cost of $1.6 \in m^{-3}$ of SW 621 solution treated. Considering a price for TW80 at $4.0 \notin kg^{-1}$, it means that 1 m³ of a solution at 622

5.0 mM of TW80 (6.6 g L⁻¹) would cost 26 €. Therefore, the saving arising from the reuse of 623 624 the SW solution may compensate costs related to energy consumption of anodic oxidation. For 625 comparison, the energy consumption was 39 kWh per kg of COD removed when using anodic 626 oxidation at high current density for the removal of the organic load. By considering 3 h of pre-627 treatment (29% COD removal of an initial solution at 2.7 g L⁻¹), it corresponds to a consumption of 30.5 kWh m⁻³ (3.7 \in m⁻³) [22]. This value is much higher than the energy consumption 628 629 required for SW solution reuse. Moreover, the energy consumption of the post-biological 630 treatment are not considered. However, a final step for the removal of the organic load from 631 SW solutions would be always required prior discharge in order to avoid any contamination of 632 the aquatic environment.

633



634

Figure 5 - Treatment strategy for soil remediation using soil washing and anodic oxidation processes.

636 Anodic oxidation at low current intensity allows the reuse of the soil washing solution for n soil washing

- 637steps. Anodic oxidation at high current intensity combined with a biological treatment allows the removal638of the organic load for final disposal of the soil washing solution.
- 639
- 640

641 IV – Discussion

642 Several technologies have been critically reviewed in this article (Figure 6). The choice 643 of the most suitable technique depends strongly on characteristics of the SW solution (Table 3). 644 Air stripping and related technologies (such as vacuum extraction or pervaporation) allows the 645 effective and selective removal of compounds such as trichloroethylene or perchloroethylene 646 from SW solutions. The choice of an adapted organic solvent for a liquid-liquid extraction can 647 lead to an effective extraction of HOCs in the organic phase while a large amount of extracting 648 agents is also recovered. The first drawback is that foaming issues have to be managed. The 649 disposal of the contaminated solvent also represents a critical drawback for the sustainability 650 of the process. Besides, the adsorption process appeared as a promising process for the selective 651 separation of target pollutants from SW solutions. Whatever the separation process used, high 652 concentrations of extracting agent usually reduce separation effectiveness due to the partition 653 of target pollutants in the micellar pseudo-phase or inclusion complex with CDs (K_m or K_{CD} are 654 crucial parameters). Therefore, the optimal conditions for SW (high concentration of extracting 655 agent and high K_m or K_{CD}) have adverse effects on selective separation processes. Furthermore, 656 a post-treatment of the contaminated air/sorbent/solvent is required, thus increasing the global 657 cost of the whole treatment strategy.

Optimization of operating parameters for degradation processes can also lead to higher degradation rates of target pollutants compared to extracting agents. These results are mainly ascribed to the different reactivity of target pollutants and extracting agents with oxidant species. The heterogeneous production of hydroxyl radicals at the anode surface during the anodic 662 oxidation process was also reported to promote selective oxidation of target pollutants when 663 using low current density. Compared to separation process, a lower amount of extracting agents 664 is usually recovered by using degradation processes but the great advantage lies in the 665 simultaneous degradation of target pollutants. In case of partial recovery of EAs, periodic re-666 injection of fresh extracting agents might be considered for maintaining the extraction capacity 667 of the reused solution.

668



669

676

Figure 6 – Treatment strategies for the recovery of extracting agents from contaminated soil washing solutions. Table 3 – Important operating conditions, target pollutant and extracting agent characteristics to consider according to the choice of the process used. CMC: critical micelle concentration; K_m: micellar

- 675 phase / aqueous phase partition coefficient; K_{CD}: complex stability (or equilibrium) constant between
 - organic compounds and cyclodextrins

Process	Important target pollutant characteristics (optimal*)	Important extracting agent characteristics (optimal*)	Important operating conditions
Air stripping	Henry constant (low), saturated vapor pressure (high), K_m/K_{CD} (low)	Henry constant (high), concentration (low), <i>K</i> _m / <i>K</i> _{CD} (low)	Limitation of foaming (hollow fiber membranes, vacuum processes)
Liquid-liquid extraction	Solvent-water partition coefficient (high), <i>K</i> _m / <i>K</i> _{CD} (low)	Solvent-water partition coefficient (low), concentration (low), K_m/K_{CD} (low)	Limitation of emulsification and amount of solvent used (hollow fiber membranes), highly hydrophobic solvent
Membrane processes	Oil-containing mixture (for selective removal), <i>K</i> _m	Concentration, K_m , micelle size, CMC, effect on concentration polarization	Ultrafiltration (for micellar- enhanced ultrafiltration), fouling control (depending on fouling materials)
Adsorption processes	Partition coefficient on the sorbent material (high), K_m/K_{CD} (low)	Partition coefficient on the sorbent material (low), concentration, K_m/K_{CD} (low)	Sorbent material (pore size distribution) and dose
Degradation processes	Reactivity (high), K_m/K_{CD}	Reactivity (low), concentration, nature, K_m/K_{CD}	Optimized for selective degradation of target pollutants

677 * Optimal conditions for the selective removal of target pollutants from SW solutions (different from optimal
 678 conditions for the SW step)

679

680 Further studies on real contaminated soils are required in order to assess the efficiency 681 of these processes at real field scale. The complex mixture of compounds in real SW solutions 682 involves strong competition mechanisms, which often reduce separation and degradation 683 effectiveness. Several studies are performed on synthetic SW solutions, without taking into 684 consideration the influence of clay and silt particles. Additional studies would be required in 685 order to a better understand the impact of these compounds on selective separation or 686 degradation processes. The extraction capacity of fresh and recovered SW solutions should also 687 be always assessed with real contaminated soils. The use of artificial or spiked soils usually 688 leads to a strong overestimation of the efficiency due to a lower sequestration of pollutants. For example, it has been observed that ageing and weathering of tar oil-contaminated soil leads to the formation of resinated materials which strongly reduce mass transfer of PAHs from soil to the washing solution [10,189]. Finally, there is an important lack of data in the scientific literature on pilot or field scale applications in this context of most of processes (particularly selective degradation and adsorption processes). These data will be crucial for assessing the real potential of these treatment strategies.

695 Nature and concentration of the extracting agent used during the SW step are also 696 critical parameters for the treatment of SW solutions (Table 3). Thus, the implementation of 697 optimal operating conditions for SW should also take into consideration the impact of the nature 698 and concentration of the extracting agent chosen on the treatment of the SW solution. 699 Particularly, its capacity to be recovered and reused for several SW steps should be assessed in 700 order to improve the sustainability of the whole process. Optimizing the recovery of extracting 701 agents requires taking into consideration all the steps involved in the soil remediation process 702 (Figure 1).

703

704 V – Conclusions and Recommendations

705 EA-enhanced SW is an effective treatment strategy for the removal of organic pollutants 706 when it is applied to a suitable contaminated soil. The optimization of operating parameters 707 (nature of the EA, concentration and other operating conditions) is the most direct way to save 708 EAs, improve extraction effectiveness and implement a cost-effective process. However, an 709 appropriate treatment strategy of the SW solution allowing the recovery of extracting agents 710 and the reuse of the SW solution is also a crucial step in order to save large amounts of 711 extracting agents and to improve the sustainability and ecological footprint of the whole 712 remediation process.

Promising results have been reported for the recovery of extracting agents from SW solutions but further studies are necessary in order to assess the robustness of each process and the possibility for scaling up. Then, the choice of the most suitable technology (and operating conditions) depends on the physicochemical characteristics of each specific SW solution. It is therefore crucial to adapt the process to the specificity of each kind of SW solution (nature and concentrations of target pollutants, extracting agents and other compounds mobilized during the SW step).

720 Finally, the choice of the most suitable strategy for the treatment of a SW solution 721 should be based on a cost-benefit analysis and life cycle analysis should be performed for 722 selecting the most suitable strategy. Selective separation/degradation of target pollutants 723 involve the implementation of costly processes (in terms of reagents and/or energy 724 consumption). Therefore, these additional costs have to be compared to the savings coming 725 from the reuse of the SW solution. The development of effective processes for recovery of 726 extracting agents could also promote (i) the use of more expensive extracting agents with both 727 higher extraction capacity and lower soil toxicity and (ii) the implementation of several 728 successive SW steps in order to achieve higher removal rates of HOCs from real contaminated 729 soils.

730

731 Acknowledgements:

Clément Trellu would like to acknowledge the Education, Audiovisual and Culture Executive Agency
of the European Commission for financial support. Clément Trellu was a Doctoral research fellow of
the Erasmus Mundus Joint Doctorate programme ETeCoS³ (Environmental Technologies for
Contaminated Solids, Soils and Sediments) under the grant agreement FPA no. 2010-0009.

736

737 References

- C. Trellu, E. Mousset, Y. Pechaud, D. Huguenot, E.D. van Hullebusch, G. Esposito,
 M.A. Oturan, Removal of hydrophobic organic pollutants from soil washing/flushing
 solutions: A critical review, J. Hazard. Mater. 306 (2016) 149–174.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2015.12.008.
- 742 [2] BASOL Ministère de l'Écologie, du Développement Durable et de l'Énergie, (n.d.).
 743 http://basol.developpement-durable.gouv.fr/ (accessed July 1, 2016).
- 744 [3] Martin. Alexander, How Toxic Are Toxic Chemicals in Soil?, Environ. Sci. Technol. 29
 745 (1995) 2713–2717. https://doi.org/10.1021/es00011a003.
- P. Roudier, Techniques de réhabilitation des sites et sols pollués, www.techniques ingenieurs.fr, 2005.
- F.J. Stevenson, Humus Chemistry: Genesis, Composition, Reactions, Wiley
 Interscience, New York, 1982.
- 750 [6] M. Schnitzer, S.U. Khan, Soil Organic Matter, Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., 1978.
- [7] G. Cornelissen, Ö. Gustafsson, T.D. Bucheli, M.T.O. Jonker, A.A. Koelmans, P.C.M.
 van Noort, Extensive Sorption of Organic Compounds to Black Carbon, Coal, and
 Kerogen in Sediments and Soils: Mechanisms and Consequences for Distribution,
 Bioaccumulation, and Biodegradation, Environ. Sci. Technol. 39 (2005) 6881–6895.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/es050191b.
- J.C. White, J.W. Kelsey, P.B. Hatzinger, M. Alexander, Factors affecting sequestration and bioavailability of phenanthrene in soils, Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 16 (1997) 2040– 2045. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620161008.
- [9] L. Hong, U. Ghosh, T. Mahajan, R.N. Zare, R.G. Luthy, PAH Sorption Mechanism and
 Partitioning Behavior in Lampblack-Impacted Soils from Former Oil-Gas Plant Sites,
 Environ. Sci. Technol. 37 (2003) 3625–3634. https://doi.org/10.1021/es0262683.
- [10] C. Trellu, A. Miltner, R. Gallo, D. Huguenot, E.D. van Hullebusch, G. Esposito, M.A.
 Oturan, M. Kästner, Characteristics of PAH tar oil contaminated soils—Black particles,
 resins and implications for treatment strategies, J. Hazard. Mater. 327 (2017) 206–215.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.12.062.
- [11] E. Mousset, M.A. Oturan, E.D. van Hullebusch, G. Guibaud, G. Esposito, Soil
 washing/flushing treatments of organic pollutants enhanced by cyclodextrins and
 integrated treatments: State of the art, Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol. 44 (2014) 705–
 769 795. https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2012.741307.
- [12] C.N. Mulligan, R.N. Yong, B.F. Gibbs, Surfactant-enhanced remediation of
 contaminated soil: A review, Eng. Geol. 60 (2001) 371–380.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0013-7952(00)00117-4.
- [13] O. Atteia, E.D.C. Estrada, H. Bertin, Soil flushing: A review of the origin of efficiency variability, Rev. Environ. Sci. Biotechnol. 12 (2013) 379–389.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11157-013-9316-0.
- [14] X. Mao, R. Jiang, W. Xiao, J. Yu, Use of surfactants for the remediation of
 contaminated soils: A review, J. Hazard. Mater. 285 (2015) 419–435.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2014.12.009.
- [15] S. Laha, B. Tansel, A. Ussawarujikulchai, Surfactant-soil interactions during surfactant amended remediation of contaminated soils by hydrophobic organic compounds: A
 review, J. Environ. Manage. 90 (2009) 95–100.

- 782 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2008.08.006.
- [16] S. Paria, Surfactant-enhanced remediation of organic contaminated soil and water, Adv.
 Colloid Interface Sci. 138 (2008) 24–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2007.11.001.
- [17] E.V. dos Santos, C. Sáez, P. Cañizares, D.R. da Silva, C.A. Martínez-Huitle, M.A.
 Rodrigo, Treatment of ex-situ soil-washing fluids polluted with petroleum by anodic
 oxidation, photolysis, sonolysis and combined approaches, Chem. Eng. J. (n.d.).
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.05.015.
- [18] D. Fabbri, A. Crime, M. Davezza, C. Medana, C. Baiocchi, A.B. Prevot, E. Pramauro,
 Surfactant-assisted removal of swep residues from soil and photocatalytic treatment of
 the washing wastes, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 92 (2009) 318–325.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2009.08.010.
- [19] L.H. Tran, P. Drogui, G. Mercier, J.F. Blais, Electrochemical degradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in creosote solution using ruthenium oxide on titanium expanded mesh anode, J. Hazard. Mater. 164 (2009) 1118–1129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.09.012.
- M. Davezza, D. Fabbri, A.B. Prevot, E. Pramauro, Removal of alkylphenols from
 polluted sites using surfactant-assisted soil washing and photocatalysis, Environ. Sci.
 Pollut. Res. 18 (2011) 783–789. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-010-0427-7.
- 800 [21] M.T. Alcántara, J. Gómez, M. Pazos, M.A. Sanromán, PAHs soil decontamination in
 801 two steps: Desorption and electrochemical treatment, J. Hazard. Mater. 166 (2009) 462–
 802 468. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.11.050.
- [22] C. Trellu, O. Ganzenko, S. Papirio, Y. Pechaud, N. Oturan, D. Huguenot, E.D. van
 Hullebusch, G. Esposito, M.A. Oturan, Combination of anodic oxidation and biological
 treatment for the removal of phenanthrene and Tween 80 from soil washing solution,
 Chem. Eng. J. 306 (2016) 588–596. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.07.108.
- [23] C. Trellu, N. Oturan, Y. Pechaud, E.D. van Hullebusch, G. Esposito, M.A. Oturan, Anodic oxidation of surfactants and organic compounds entrapped in micelles – Selective degradation mechanisms and soil washing solution reuse, Water Res. 118
 (2017) 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.04.013.
- [24] M. Cheng, G. Zeng, D. Huang, C. Yang, C. Lai, C. Zhang, Y. Liu, Advantages and
 challenges of Tween 80 surfactant-enhanced technologies for the remediation of soils
 contaminated with hydrophobic organic compounds, Chem. Eng. J. 314 (2017) 98–113.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.12.135.
- R.D. Villa, A.G. Trovó, R.F.P. Nogueira, Soil remediation using a coupled process: Soil
 washing with surfactant followed by photo-Fenton oxidation, J. Hazard. Mater. 174
 (2010) 770–775. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.09.118.
- 818 [26] M. Lechuga, M. Fernández-Serrano, E. Jurado, J. Núñez-Olea, F. Ríos, Acute toxicity
 819 of anionic and non-ionic surfactants to aquatic organisms, Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 125
 820 (2016) 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2015.11.027.
- [27] T. Ivanković, J. Hrenović, Surfactants in the environment, Arh. Hig. Rada Toksikol. 61
 (2010) 95–110. https://doi.org/10.2478/10004-1254-61-2010-1943.
- 823 [28] S.S. Cameotra, J.M. Bollag, Biosurfactant-enhanced bioremediation of polycyclic
 824 aromatic hydrocarbons, Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol. 33 (2003) 111–126.
 825 https://doi.org/10.1080/10643380390814505.

- [29] C.C. Lai, Y.C. Huang, Y.H. Wei, J.S. Chang, Biosurfactant-enhanced removal of total
 petroleum hydrocarbons from contaminated soil, J. Hazard. Mater. 167 (2009) 609–614.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.01.017.
- [30] M. Bustamante, N. Durán, M.C. Diez, Biosurfactants are useful tools for the
 bioremediation of contaminated soil: A review, J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 12 (2012).
- [31] C.N. Mulligan, Environmental applications for biosurfactants, Environ. Pollut. 133
 (2005) 183–198. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2004.06.009.
- [32] G.R. Tick, F. Lourenso, A.L. Wood, M.L. Brusseau, Pilot-scale demonstration of
 cyclodextrin as a solubility-enhancement agent for remediation of a tetrachloroethenecontaminated aquifer, Environ. Sci. Technol. 37 (2003) 5829–5834.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/es030417f.
- [33] F.M. Menger, C.A. Littau, Gemini surfactants: a new class of self-assembling molecules, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 115 (1993) 10083–10090.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00075a025.
- [34] J. Maire, A. Coyer, N. Fatin-Rouge, Surfactant foam technology for in situ removal of heavy chlorinated compounds-DNAPLs, J. Hazard. Mater. 299 (2015) 630–638.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2015.07.071.
- [35] R.G. Luthy, D.A. Dzombak, C.A. Peters, S.B. Roy, A. Ramaswami, D.V. Nakles, B.R.
 Nott, Remediating tar-contaminated soils at manufactured gas plant sites, Environ. Sci.
 Technol. 28 (1994) 266–276. https://doi.org/10.1021/es00055a002.
- 846 [36] U. Ghosh, J.W. Talley, R.G. Luthy, Particle-Scale Investigation of PAH Desorption
 847 Kinetics and Thermodynamics from Sediment, Environ. Sci. Technol. 35 (2001) 3468–
 848 3475. https://doi.org/10.1021/es0105820.
- [37] U. Ghosh, J.R. Zimmerman, R.G. Luthy, PCB and PAH Speciation among Particle
 Types in Contaminated Harbor Sediments and Effects on PAH Bioavailability, Environ.
 Sci. Technol. 37 (2003) 2209–2217. https://doi.org/10.1021/es020833k.
- [38] D. Huguenot, E. Mousset, E.D. van Hullebusch, M.A. Oturan, Combination of
 surfactant enhanced soil washing and electro-Fenton process for the treatment of soils
 contaminated by petroleum hydrocarbons, J. Environ. Manage. 153 (2015) 40–47.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.01.037.
- [39] X. Liang, C. Guo, C. Liao, S. Liu, L.Y. Wick, D. Peng, X. Yi, G. Lu, H. Yin, Z. Lin, Z.
 Dang, Drivers and applications of integrated clean-up technologies for surfactantenhanced remediation of environments contaminated with polycyclic aromatic
 hydrocarbons (PAHs), Environ. Pollut. 225 (2017) 129–140.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.03.045.
- [40] N. Fatin-Rouge, Contaminant Mobilization from Polluted Soils: Behavior and Reuse of
 Leaching Solutions, in: E.D. van Hullebusch, D. Huguenot, Y. Pechaud, M.-O.
 Simonnot, S. Colombano (Eds.), Environ. Soil Remediat. Rehabil. Exist. Innov. Solut.,
 Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2020: pp. 1–59. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3030-40348-5_1.
- [41] L.M. Abriola, C.D. Drummond, E.J. Hahn, K.F. Hayes, T.C.G. Kibbey, L.D. Lemke,
 K.D. Pennell, E.A. Petrovskis, C.A. Ramsburg, K.M. Rathfelder, Pilot-scale
 demonstration of surfactant-enhanced PCE solubilization at the Bachman road site. 1.
 Site characterization and test design, Environ. Sci. Technol. 39 (2005) 1778–1790.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/es0495819.

- [42] C.A. Ramsburg, K.D. Pennell, L.M. Abriola, G. Daniels, C.D. Drummond, M.
 Gamache, H.L. Hsu, E.A. Petrovskis, K.M. Rathfelder, J.L. Ryder, T.P. Yavaraski,
 Pilot-scale demonstration of surfactant-enhanced PCE solubilization at the Bachman
 road site. 2. System operation and evaluation, Environ. Sci. Technol. 39 (2005) 1791–
 1801. https://doi.org/10.1021/es049563r.
- [43] K. Oma, A. Clarke, M. Megehee, D. Wilson, Soil Cleanup by Surfactant Washing .3.
 Design and Evaluation of the Integrated Pilot-Scale Surfactant Recycle System, Sep.
 Sci. Technol. 28 (1993) 2319–2349. https://doi.org/10.1080/01496399308019741.
- [44] H. Cheng, D.A. Sabatini, Separation of organic compounds from surfactant solutions: A
 review, Sep. Sci. Technol. 42 (2007) 453–475.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/01496390601120664.
- [45] K.M. Lipe, D.A. Sabatini, M.A. Hasegawa, J.H. Harwell, Micellarenhanced ultrafiltration and air stripping for surfactant-contaminant separation and surfactant reuse, Ground Water Monit. 16 (1996) 85–92.
- [46] T.C.G. Kibbey, K.D. Pennell, K.F. Hayes, Application of sieve-tray air strippers to the
 treatment of surfactant-containing wastewaters, Aiche J. 47 (2001) 1461–1470.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.690470621.
- [47] J.H. O'Haver, R. Walk, B. Kitiyanan, J.H. Harwell, D.A. Sabatini, Packed column and hollow fiber air stripping of a contaminant-surfactant stream, J. Environ. Eng.-Asce.
 [47] J.H. O'Haver, R. Walk, B. Kitiyanan, J.H. Harwell, D.A. Sabatini, Packed column and hollow fiber air stripping of a contaminant-surfactant stream, J. Environ. Eng.-Asce.
 [47] J.H. O'Haver, R. Walk, B. Kitiyanan, J.H. Harwell, D.A. Sabatini, Packed column and hollow fiber air stripping of a contaminant-surfactant stream, J. Environ. Eng.-Asce.
 [47] J.H. O'Haver, R. Walk, B. Kitiyanan, J.H. Harwell, D.A. Sabatini, Packed column and hollow fiber air stripping of a contaminant-surfactant stream, J. Environ. Eng.-Asce.
 [47] J.H. O'Haver, R. Walk, B. Kitiyanan, J.H. Harwell, D.A. Sabatini, Packed column and hollow fiber air stripping of a contaminant-surfactant stream, J. Environ. Eng.-Asce.
 [47] J.H. O'Haver, R. Walk, B. Kitiyanan, J.H. Harwell, D.A. Sabatini, Packed column and hollow fiber air stripping of a contaminant-surfactant stream, J. Environ. Eng.-Asce.
 [47] J.H. O'Haver, R. Walk, B. Kitiyanan, J.H. Harwell, D.A. Sabatini, Packed column and hollow fiber air stripping of a contaminant-surfactant stream, J. Environ. Eng.-Asce.
 [47] Harwell, D.A. Sabatini, Packed column and hollow fiber air stripping of a contaminant-surfactant stream, J. Environ. Eng.-Asce.
 [47] Harwell, D.A. Sabatini, Packed column and hollow fiber air stripping of a contaminant-surfactant stream, J. Environ. Eng.-Asce.
 [47] Harwell, D.A. Sabatini, Packed column and hollow fiber air stripping of a contaminant-surfactant stream, J. Environ. Eng.-Asce.
 [47] Harwell, D.A. Sabatini, Packed column and hollow fiber air stripping of a contaminant-surfactant stream, J. Environ. Eng.-Asce.
 [47] Harwell, D.A. Sabatini, Packed column and hollow fiber air stripping of a contaminant-surfactant stream, J. Environ. Eng.-Asce.
- [48] D.A. Sabatini, J.H. Harwell, M. Hasegawa, R. Knox, Membrane processes and surfactant-enhanced subsurface remediation: Results of a field demonstration, J.
 Membr. Sci. 151 (1998) 87–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0376-7388(98)00170-7.
- [49] W.J. Blanford, M.L. Barackman, T.B. Boving, E.J. Klingel, G.R. Johnson, M.L.
 Brusseau, Cyclodextrin-enhanced vertical flushing of a trichloroethene contaminated aquifer, Ground Water Monit. Remediat. 21 (2001) 58–66.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6592.2001.tb00631.x.
- L.H. Chen, Y.L. Lee, The effects of a surfactant on the mass transfer in spray-tower
 extraction column, Chem. Eng. J. 73 (1999) 77–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/S13858947(99)00028-5.
- 901 [51] E. Tucker, S. Christian, Vapor-pressure studies of benzene-cyclodextrin inclusion
 902 complexes in aqueous-solution, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 1942–1945.
 903 https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00319a007.
- 904 [52] E. Tucker, S. Christian, Precise vapor-pressure measurements of the solubilization of
 905 benzene by aqueous sodium octylsulfate solutions, Faraday Symp. Chem. Soc. (1982)
 906 11–24.
- 907 [53] U.N. Choori, J.F. Scamehorn, J.H. O'Haver, J.H. Harwell, Removal of volatile organic
 908 compounds horn surfactant solutions by flash vacuum stripping in a packed column,
 909 Ground Water Monit. Remediat. 18 (1998) 157–165. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745910 6592.1998.tb00175.x.
- 911 [54] J.-S. Jiang, L.M. Vane, S.K. Sikdar, Recovery of VOCs from surfactant solutions by
 912 pervaporation, J. Membr. Sci. 136 (1997) 233–247. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0376913 7388(97)00169-5.
- [55] L.M. Vane, L. Hitchens, F.R. Alvarez, E.L. Giroux, Field demonstration of
 pervaporation for the separation of volatile organic compounds from a surfactant-based

- 916soil remediation fluid, J. Hazard. Mater. 81 (2001) 141–166.917https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3894(00)00337-X.
- [56] I. Abou-Nemeh, A. Das, A. Saraf, K.K. Sirkar, A composite hollow fiber membranebased pervaporation process for separation of VOCs from aqueous surfactant solutions,
 J. Membr. Sci. 158 (1999) 187–209. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0376-7388(99)00011-3.
- [57] L. Hitchens, L.M. Vane, F.R. Alvarez, VOC removal from water and surfactant solutions by pervaporation: a pilot study, Sep. Purif. Technol. 24 (2001) 67–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5866(00)00214-8.
- M.A. Hasegawa, D.A. Sabatini, J.H. Harwell, Liquid-liquid, extraction for surfactantcontaminant separation and surfactant reuse, J. Environ. Eng.-Asce. 123 (1997) 691– 697. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9372(1997)123:7(691).
- 927 [59] A. Clarke, K. Oma, M. Megehee, D. Wilson, Soil Cleanup by Surfactant Washing .2.
 928 Design and Evaluation of the Components of the Pilot-Scale Surfactant Recycle
 929 System, Sep. Sci. Technol. 28 (1993) 2103–2135.
 930 https://doi.org/10.1080/01496399308016738.
- [60] H.F. Cheng, D.A. Sabatini, T.C.G. Kibbey, Solvent extraction for separating micellarsolubilized contaminants and anionic surfactants, Environ. Sci. Technol. 35 (2001)
 2995–3001. https://doi.org/10.1021/es002057r.
- 934 [61] J.H. O'Haver, B. Kitiyanan, J.H. Harwell, D.A. Sabatini, The use of liquid-liquid
 935 extraction for the separation of organic contaminants from groundwater-surfactant
 936 streams., Abstr. Pap. Am. Chem. Soc. 215 (1998) U672–U673.
- A. Petitgirard, M. Djehiche, J. Persello, P. Fievet, N. Fatin-Rouge, PAH contaminated
 soil remediation by reusing an aqueous solution of cyclodextrins, Chemosphere. 75
 (2009) 714–718. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.01.072.
- 940 [63] M.K. Purkait, S. DasGupta, S. De, Removal of dye from wastewater using micellar941 enhanced ultrafiltration and recovery of surfactant, Sep. Purif. Technol. 37 (2004) 81–
 942 92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2003.08.005.
- [64] C.C. Ang, A.S. Abdul, Evaluation of an Ultrafiltration Method for Surfactant Recovery
 and Reuse During In Situ Washing of Contaminated Sites: Laboratory and Field
 Studies, Ground Water Monit. Remediat. 14 (1994) 160–171.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6592.1994.tb00477.x.
- [65] S. Tian, C. Zhang, D. Huang, R. Wang, G. Zeng, M. Yan, W. Xiong, C. Zhou, M.
 Cheng, W. Xue, Y. Yang, W. Wang, Recent progress in sustainable technologies for adsorptive and reactive removal of sulfonamides, Chem. Eng. J. 389 (2020) 123423.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.123423.
- [66] C.K. Ahn, S.H. Woo, J.M. Park, Selective adsorption of phenanthrene in nonionicanionic surfactant mixtures using activated carbon, Chem. Eng. J. 158 (2010) 115–119.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2009.12.014.
- [67] C.K. Ahn, Y.M. Kim, S.H. Woo, J.M. Park, Selective adsorption of phenanthrene
 dissolved in surfactant solution using activated carbon, Chemosphere. 69 (2007) 1681–
 1688. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.06.018.
- [68] W. Zhou, X. Wang, C. Chen, L. Zhu, Removal of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
 from surfactant solutions by selective sorption with organo-bentonite, Chem. Eng. J.
 233 (2013) 251–257. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2013.08.040.

- 960 [69] M. Zhang, C. Zhao, J. Li, L. Xu, F. Wei, D. Hou, B. Sarkar, Y.S. Ok, Organo-layered
 961 double hydroxides for the removal of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from soil
 962 washing effluents containing high concentrations of surfactants, J. Hazard. Mater. 373
 963 (2019) 678–686. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.03.126.
- 964 [70] J. Liu, J. Chen, L. Jiang, X. Yin, Adsorption of mixed polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
 965 in surfactant solutions by activated carbon, J. Ind. Eng. Chem. 20 (2014) 616–623.
 966 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2013.05.024.
- 967 [71] H. Gao, W.J. Blanford, Partitioning behavior of trichloroethlyene in cyclodextrin–
 968 water–granular-activated carbon systems, Environ. Eng. Sci. 29 (2012) 533–539.
 969 https://doi.org/10.1089/ees.2011.0451.
- [72] K. Sniegowski, M. Vanhecke, P.J. D'Huys, L. Braeken, Potential of activated carbon to
 recover randomly-methylated-β-cyclodextrin solution from washing water originating
 from in situ soil flushing, Sci. Total Environ. (2014).
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.11.112.
- 974 [73] J. Liu, W. Chen, Remediation of phenanthrene contaminated soils by nonionic-anionic
 975 surfactant washing coupled with activated carbon adsorption, Water Sci. Technol. J. Int.
 976 Assoc. Water Pollut. Res. 72 (2015) 1552–1560. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2015.357.
- 977 [74] W. Zhou, Q. Yang, C. Chen, Q. Wu, L. Zhu, Fixed-bed study and modeling of selective
 978 phenanthrene removal from surfactant solutions, Colloids Surf. Physicochem. Eng. Asp.
 979 470 (2015) 100–107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2015.01.077.
- [75] J. Liu, J. Chen, L. Jiang, X. Wang, Adsorption of fluoranthene in surfactant solution on activated carbon: equilibrium, thermodynamic, kinetic studies, Environ. Sci. Pollut.
 [75] Res. Int. 21 (2014) 1809–1818. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-013-2075-1.
- [76] J.-S. Yang, K. Baek, T.-S. Kwon, J.-W. Yang, Adsorption of chlorinated solvents in nonionic surfactant solutions with activated carbon in a fixed bed, J. Ind. Eng. Chem. 15
 (2009) 777–779. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2009.09.027.
- [77] C.K. Ahn, Y.M. Kim, S.H. Woo, J.M. Park, Soil washing using various nonionic
 surfactants and their recovery by selective adsorption with activated carbon, J. Hazard.
 Mater. 154 (2008) 153–160. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.10.006.
- [78] J. Wan, L. Chai, X. Lu, Y. Lin, S. Zhang, Remediation of hexachlorobenzene
 contaminated soils by rhamnolipid enhanced soil washing coupled with activated
 carbon selective adsorption, J. Hazard. Mater. 189 (2011) 458–464.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.02.055.
- 993 [79] J.M. Rosas, A. Santos, A. Romero, Soil-Washing Effluent Treatment by Selective
 994 Adsorption of Toxic Organic Contaminants on Activated Carbon, Water. Air. Soil
 995 Pollut. 224 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-013-1506-4.
- [80] H. Li, R. Qu, C. Li, W. Guo, X. Han, F. He, Y. Ma, B. Xing, Selective removal of
 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) from soil washing effluents using biochars
 produced at different pyrolytic temperatures, Bioresour. Technol. 163 (2014) 193–198.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.042.
- [81] X. Zheng, H. Lin, Y. Tao, H. Zhang, Selective adsorption of phenanthrene dissolved in Tween 80 solution using activated carbon derived from walnut shells, Chemosphere.
 208 (2018) 951–959. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.06.025.
- 1003 [82] S. Zhang, Y. He, L. Wu, J. Wan, M. Ye, T. Long, Z. Yan, X. Jiang, Y. Lin, X. Lu,
 1004 Remediation of Organochlorine Pesticide-Contaminated Soils by Surfactant-Enhanced

1005 Washing Combined with Activated Carbon Selective Adsorption, Pedosphere. 29 1006 (2019) 400-408. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(17)60328-X. [83] Y. Zeng, M. Zhang, D. Lin, K. Yang, Selective removal of phenanthrene from SDBS or 1007 1008 TX100 solution by sorption of resin SP850, Chem. Eng. J. 388 (2020) 124191. 1009 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.124191. 1010 [84] C.K. Ahn, M.W. Lee, D.S. Lee, S.H. Woo, J.M. Park, Mathematical evaluation of 1011 activated carbon adsorption for surfactant recovery in a soil washing process, J. Hazard. 1012 Mater. 160 (2008) 13-19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.02.074. 1013 [85] J.A. Banuelos, O. Garcia-Rodriguez, F.J. Rodriguez-Valadez, J. Manriquez, E. Bustos, 1014 A. Rodriguez, L.A. Godinez, Cathodic polarization effect on the electro-Fenton 1015 regeneration of activated carbon, J. Appl. Electrochem. 45 (2015) 523-531. 1016 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10800-015-0815-2. 1017 [86] P.M. Alvarez, F.J. Beltran, V. Gomez-Serrano, J. Jaramillo, E.M. Rodriguez, Comparison between thermal and ozone regenerations of spent activated carbon 1018 1019 exhausted with phenol, Water Res. 38 (2004) 2155-2165. 1020 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2004.01.030. 1021 [87] M.I. Bautista-Toledo, J. Rivera-Utrilla, J.D. Méndez-Díaz, M. Sánchez-Polo, F. 1022 Carrasco-Marín, Removal of the surfactant sodium dodecylbenzenesulfonate from water 1023 by processes based on adsorption/bioadsorption and biodegradation, J. Colloid Interface 1024 Sci. (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2013.12.001. 1025 [88] G. Marchal, K.E.C. Smith, A. Rein, A. Winding, S. Trapp, U.G. Karlson, Comparing 1026 the desorption and biodegradation of low concentrations of phenanthrene sorbed to activated carbon, biochar and compost, Chemosphere. 90 (2013) 1767-1778. 1027 1028 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.07.048. 1029 [89] X. Liu, X. Quan, L. Bo, S. Chen, Y. Zhao, Simultaneous pentachlorophenol 1030 decomposition and granular activated carbon regeneration assisted by microwave 1031 irradiation, Carbon. 42 (2004) 415–422. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2003.12.032. 1032 [90] J.A. Bañuelos, F.J. Rodríguez, J. Manríquez Rocha, E. Bustos, A. Rodríguez, J.C. Cruz, 1033 L.G. Arriaga, L.A. Godínez, Novel electro-Fenton approach for regeneration of 1034 activated carbon, Environ. Sci. Technol. 47 (2013) 7927-7933. 1035 https://doi.org/10.1021/es401320e. [91] C. Trellu, N. Oturan, F.K. Keita, C. Fourdrin, Y. Pechaud, M.A. Oturan, Regeneration 1036 1037 of Activated Carbon Fiber by the Electro-Fenton Process, Environ. Sci. Technol. 52 1038 (2018) 7450-7457. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b01554. 1039 [92] J.L. Li, B.H. Chen, Surfactant-mediated biodegradation of polycyclic aromatic 1040 hydrocarbons, Materials. 2 (2009) 76-94. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma2010076. 1041 [93] S. Berselli, G. Milone, P. Canepa, D. Di Gioia, F. Fava, Effects of cyclodextrins, humic 1042 substances, and rhamnolipids on the washing of a historically contaminated soil and on the aerobic bioremediation of the resulting effluents, Biotechnol. Bioeng. 88 (2004) 1043 1044 111-120. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.20218. 1045 [94] J.M. Wang, R.M. Maier, M.L. Brusseau, Influence of hydroxypropyl-beta-cyclodextrin 1046 (HPCD) on the bioavailability and biodegradation of pyrene, Chemosphere. 60 (2005) 725-728. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2005.03.031. 1047 1048 [95] J.L. Li, B.H. Chen, Effect of nonionic surfactants on biodegradation of phenanthrene by 1049 a marine bacteria of Neptunomonas naphthovorans, J. Hazard. Mater. 162 (2009) 66-

- 1050 73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.05.019.
- [96] R.R. Navarro, Y. Iimura, H. Ichikawa, K. Tatsumi, Treatment of PAHs in contaminated soil by extraction with aqueous DNA followed by biodegradation with a pure culture of Sphingomonas sp., Chemosphere. 73 (2008) 1414–1419.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.08.004.
- 1055 [97] S. Guha, P.R. Jaffe, Biodegradation kinetics of phenanthrene partitioned into the
 1056 micellar phase of nonionic surfactants, Environ. Sci. Technol. 30 (1996) 605–611.
 1057 https://doi.org/10.1021/es950385z.
- 1058 [98] S. Guha, P.R. Jaffe, Bioavailability of hydrophobic compounds partitioned into the
 1059 micellar phase of nonionic surfactants, Environ. Sci. Technol. 30 (1996) 1382–1391.
 1060 https://doi.org/10.1021/es950694p.
- 1061 [99] Y.M. Zhang, W.J. Maier, R.M. Miller, Effect of rhamnolipids on the dissolution,
 1062 bioavailability and biodegradation of phenanthrene, Environ. Sci. Technol. 31 (1997)
 1063 2211–2217. https://doi.org/10.1021/es960687g.
- 1064 [100] S. Bury, C. Miller, Effect of micellar solubilization on biodegradation rates of
 1065 hydrocarbons, Environ. Sci. Technol. 27 (1993) 104–110.
 1066 https://doi.org/10.1021/es00038a010.
- [101] F. Volkering, A. Breure, J. Vanandel, W. Rulkens, Influence of nonionic surfactants on
 bioavailability and biodegradation, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 61 (1995) 1699–1705.
- [102] Y. Liu, G. Zeng, H. Zhong, Z. Wang, Z. Liu, M. Cheng, G. Liu, X. Yang, S. Liu, Effect
 of rhamnolipid solubilization on hexadecane bioavailability: enhancement or
 reduction?, J. Hazard. Mater. 322 (2017) 394–401.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.10.025.
- [103] A. Tiehm, Degradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the presence of synthetic
 surfactants, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 60 (1994) 258–263.
- 1075 [104] D. Jin, X. Jiang, X. Jing, Z. Ou, Effects of concentration, head group, and structure of
 surfactants on the degradation of phenanthrene, J. Hazard. Mater. 144 (2007) 215–221.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.10.012.
- 1078 [105] S. Laha, R. Luthy, Effects of nonionic surfactants on the solubilization and
 1079 mineralization of phenanthrene in soil-water systems, Biotechnol. Bioeng. 40 (1992)
 1080 1367–1380. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.260401111.
- 1081 [106] C. Vipulanandan, X.P. Ren, Enhanced solubility and biodegradation of naphthalene
 1082 with biosurfactant, J. Environ. Eng.-Asce. 126 (2000) 629–634.
 1083 https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9372(2000)126:7(629).
- [107] F. Gharibzadeh, R. Rezaei Kalantary, S. Nasseri, A. Esrafili, A. Azari, Reuse of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) contaminated soil washing effluent by bioaugmentation/biostimulation process, Sep. Purif. Technol. 168 (2016) 248–256.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2016.05.022.
- [108] M.A. Oturan, J.J. Aaron, Advanced oxidation processes in water/wastewater treatment:
 principles and applications. A review, Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol. 44 (2014)
 2577–2641. https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2013.829765.
- 1091 [109] W.M. Latimer, Oxidation Potentials, Prentice-Hall, 1952.
- [110] E. Brillas, I. Sirés, M.A. Oturan, Electro-Fenton process and related electrochemical
 technologies based on Fenton's reaction chemistry, Chem. Rev. 109 (2009) 6570–6631.

- 1094 https://doi.org/10.1021/cr900136g.
- [111] E. Mousset, N. Oturan, M.A. Oturan, An unprecedented route of OH radical reactivity
 evidenced by an electrocatalytical process: Ipso-substitution with perhalogenocarbon
 compounds, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 226 (2018) 135–146.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.12.028.
- 1099 [112] M. Davezza, D. Fabbri, E. Pramauro, A.B. Prevot, Photocatalytic degradation of
 1100 bentazone in soil washing wastes containing alkylpolyoxyethylene surfactants,
 1101 Chemosphere. 86 (2012) 335–340. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.09.011.
- [113] E.V. dos Santos, C. Sáez, P. Cañizares, C.A. Martínez-Huitle, M.A. Rodrigo, UV
 assisted electrochemical technologies for the removal of oxyfluorfen from soil washing
 wastes, Chem. Eng. J. 318 (2017) 2–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.03.015.
- [114] P.T. Almazán-Sánchez, S. Cotillas, C. Sáez, M.J. Solache-Ríos, V. Martínez-Miranda,
 P. Cañizares, I. Linares-Hernández, M.A. Rodrigo, Removal of pendimethalin from soil
 washing effluents using electrolytic and electro-irradiated technologies based on
 diamond anodes, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 213 (2017) 190–197.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.05.008.
- [115] M. Muñoz-Morales, M. Braojos, C. Sáez, P. Cañizares, M.A. Rodrigo, Remediation of soils polluted with lindane using surfactant-aided soil washing and electrochemical oxidation, J. Hazard. Mater. 339 (2017) 232–238.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.06.021.
- [116] M. Muñoz-Morales, C. Sáez, P. Cañizares, M.A. Rodrigo, Anodic oxidation for the
 remediation of soils polluted with perchloroethylene, J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 94
 (2019) 288–294. https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.5774.
- [117] Y. Liu, M. Cheng, Z. Liu, G. Zeng, H. Zhong, M. Chen, C. Zhou, W. Xiong, B. Shao,
 B. Song, Heterogeneous Fenton-like catalyst for treatment of rhamnolipid-solubilized
 hexadecane wastewater, Chemosphere. 236 (2019) 124387.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.124387.
- [112] [118] F. Liu, N. Oturan, H. Zhang, M.A. Oturan, Soil washing in combination with
 electrochemical advanced oxidation for the remediation of synthetic soil heavily
 contaminated with diesel, Chemosphere. 249 (2020) 126176.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.126176.
- [119] M. Pera-Titus, V. Garcia-Molina, M.A. Banos, J. Gimenez, S. Esplugas, Degradation of
 chlorophenols by means of advanced oxidation processes: A general review, Appl.
 Catal. B-Environ. 47 (2004) 219–256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2003.09.010.
- [120] J.M. Herrmann, Heterogeneous photocatalysis: Fundamentals and applications to the removal of various types of aqueous pollutants, Catal. Today. 53 (1999) 115–129. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0920-5861(99)00107-8.
- [121] K. Kabra, R. Chaudhary, R.L. Sawhney, Treatment of hazardous organic and inorganic
 compounds through aqueous-phase photocatalysis: A review, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 43
 (2004) 7683–7696. https://doi.org/10.1021/ie0498551.
- [122] M.A. Oturan, N. Oturan, M.C. Edelahi, F.I. Podvorica, K.E. Kacemi, Oxidative degradation of herbicide diuron in aqueous medium by Fenton's reaction based advanced oxidation processes, Chem. Eng. J. 171 (2011) 127–135.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2011.03.072.
- 1138 [123] E.J. Ruiz, A. Hernández-Ramírez, J.M. Peralta-Hernández, C. Arias, E. Brillas,

- 1139Application of solar photoelectro-Fenton technology to azo dyes mineralization: Effect1140of current density, Fe2+ and dye concentrations, Chem. Eng. J. 171 (2011) 385–392.1141https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2011.03.004.
- [124] J.J. Pignatello, Dark and photoassisted iron(3+)-catalyzed degradation of
 chlorophenoxy herbicides by hydrogen peroxide, Environ. Sci. Technol. 26 (1992) 944–
 951. https://doi.org/10.1021/es00029a012.
- [125] S. Ammar, M.A. Oturan, L. Labiadh, A. Guersalli, R. Abdelhedi, N. Oturan, E. Brillas,
 Degradation of tyrosol by a novel electro-Fenton process using pyrite as heterogeneous
 source of iron catalyst, Water Res. 74 (2015) 77–87.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.02.006.
- [126] R. Matta, K. Hanna, S. Chiron, Fenton-like oxidation of 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene using
 different iron minerals, Sci. Total Environ. 385 (2007) 242–251.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2007.06.030.
- [127] C. Comninellis, Electrocatalysis in the electrochemical conversion/combustion of
 organic pollutants for waste-water treatment, Electrochimica Acta. 39 (1994) 1857–
 1154 1862. https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4686(94)85175-1.
- [128] M. Panizza, G. Cerisola, Direct and mediated anodic oxidation of organic pollutants,
 Chem. Rev. 109 (2009) 6541–6569. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr9001319.
- [129] M.A. Oturan, An ecologically effective water treatment technique using
 electrochemically generated hydroxyl radicals for in situ destruction of organic
 pollutants: Application to herbicide 2,4-D, J. Appl. Electrochem. 30 (2000) 475–482.
 https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1003994428571.
- [130] I. Sirés, E. Brillas, M.A. Oturan, M.A. Rodrigo, M. Panizza, Electrochemical advanced oxidation processes: today and tomorrow. A review, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 21 (2014) 8336–8367. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-2783-1.
- [131] C. Comninellis, A. Nerini, Anodic oxidation of phenol in the presence of NaCl for
 waste-water treatment, J. Appl. Electrochem. 25 (1995) 23–28.
- [132] E.V. Dos Santos, C. Sáez, C.A. Martínez-Huitle, P. Cañizares, M.A. Rodrigo,
 Combined soil washing and CDEO for the removal of atrazine from soils, J. Hazard.
 Mater. 300 (2015) 129–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2015.06.064.
- [133] S. Liu, C. Lai, B. Li, C. Zhang, M. Zhang, D. Huang, L. Qin, H. Yi, X. Liu, F. Huang,
 X. Zhou, L. Chen, Role of radical and non-radical pathway in activating persulfate for
 degradation of p-nitrophenol by sulfur-doped ordered mesoporous carbon, Chem. Eng.
 J. 384 (2020) 123304. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.123304.
- [134] F. Qin, Y. Peng, G. Song, Q. Fang, R. Wang, C. Zhang, G. Zeng, D. Huang, C. Lai, Y.
 Zhou, X. Tan, M. Cheng, S. Liu, Degradation of sulfamethazine by biochar-supported
 bimetallic oxide/persulfate system in natural water: Performance and reaction
 mechanism, J. Hazard. Mater. 398 (2020) 122816.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.122816.
- [135] A. Shan, U. Farooq, S. Lyu, W.Q. Zaman, Z. Abbas, M. Ali, A. Idrees, P. Tang, M. Li,
 Y. Sun, Q. Sui, Efficient removal of trichloroethylene in surfactant amended solution by
 nano Fe0-Nickel bimetallic composite activated sodium persulfate process, Chem. Eng.
 J. 386 (2020) 123995. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.123995.
- [136] Y. Qiu, M. Xu, Z. Sun, H. Li, Remediation of PAH-Contaminated Soil by Combining
 Surfactant Enhanced Soil Washing and Iron-Activated Persulfate Oxidation Process,

1184 Int. J. Environ. Res. Public. Health. 16 (2019). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16030441. 1185 [137] F. Chen, Z. Luo, G. Liu, Y. Yang, S. Zhang, J. Ma, Remediation of electronic waste 1186 polluted soil using a combination of persulfate oxidation and chemical washing, J. 1187 Environ. Manage. 204 (2017) 170-178. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.08.050. 1188 [138] E. Pramauro, A.B. Prevot, M. Vincenti, R. Gamberini, Photocatalytic degradation of 1189 naphthalene in aqueous TiO2 dispersions: Effect of nonionic surfactants, Chemosphere. 1190 36 (1998) 1523-1542. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(97)10051-0. 1191 [139] K. Hanna, C. de Brauer, P. Germain, J.M. Chovelon, C. Ferronato, Degradation of 1192 pentachlorophenol in cyclodextrin extraction effluent using a photocatalytic process, 1193 Sci. Total Environ. 332 (2004) 51–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2004.04.022. 1194 [140] N. Barrios, P. Sivov, D. D'Andrea, O. Nunez, Conditions for selective photocatalytic 1195 degradation of naphthalene in triton X-100 water solutions, Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 37 1196 (2005) 414-419. https://doi.org/10.1002/kin.20094. 1197 [141] D. Fabbri, A.B. Prevot, V. Zelano, M. Ginepro, E. Pramauro, Removal and degradation 1198 of aromatic compounds from a highly polluted site by coupling soil washing with 1199 photocatalysis, Chemosphere. 71 (2008) 59-65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.10.028. 1200 1201 [142] G. Yardin, S. Chiron, Photo-Fenton treatment of TNT contaminated soil extract 1202 solutions obtained by soil flushing with cyclodextrin, Chemosphere. 62 (2006) 1395-1402. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2005.05.019. 1203 [143] K. Hanna, S. Chiron, M.A. Oturan, Coupling enhanced water solubilization with 1204 1205 cvclodextrin to indirect electrochemical treatment for pentachlorophenol contaminated soil remediation, Water Res. 39 (2005) 2763-2773. 1206 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2005.04.057. 1207 1208 [144] E. Mousset, N. Oturan, E.D. van Hullebusch, G. Guibaud, G. Esposito, M.A. Oturan, 1209 Influence of solubilizing agents (cyclodextrin or surfactant) on phenanthrene degradation by electro-Fenton process – study of soil washing recycling possibilities 1210 1211 and environmental impact, Water Res. 48 (2014) 306-316. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.09.044. 1212 1213 [145] E. Mousset, D. Huguenot, E.D. van Hullebusch, N. Oturan, G. Guibaud, G. Esposito, M.A. Oturan, Impact of electrochemical treatment of soil washing solution on PAH 1214 1215 degradation efficiency and soil respirometry, Environ. Pollut. 211 (2016) 354-362. 1216 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.01.021. 1217 [146] L.H. Tran, P. Drogui, G. Mercier, J.F. Blais, Comparison between Fenton oxidation 1218 process and electrochemical oxidation for PAH removal from an amphoteric surfactant 1219 solution, J. Appl. Electrochem. 40 (2010) 1493-1510. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10800-010-0128-4. 1220 1221 [147] P. Westerhoff, G. Aiken, G. Amy, J. Debroux, Relationships between the structure of natural organic matter and its reactivity towards molecular ozone and hydroxyl radicals, 1222 1223 Water Res. 33 (1999) 2265–2276. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(98)00447-3. 1224 [148] M.E. Lindsey, M.A. Tarr, Inhibition of hydroxyl radical reaction with aromatics by 1225 dissolved natural organic matter, Environ. Sci. Technol. 34 (2000) 444-449. 1226 https://doi.org/10.1021/es990457c. 1227 [149] M.E. Lindsey, M.A. Tarr, Inhibited hydroxyl radical degradation of aromatic 1228 hydrocarbons in the presence of dissolved fulvic acid, Water Res. 34 (2000) 2385-2389.

- 1229 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(99)00391-7.
- [150] J.W. Liu, R. Han, H.T. Wang, Y. Zhao, Z. Chu, H.Y. Wu, Photoassisted degradation of pentachlorophenol in a simulated soil washing system containing nonionic surfactant Triton X-100 with La–B codoped TiO2 under visible and solar light irradiation, Appl.
 Catal. B Environ. 103 (2011) 470–478. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2011.02.013.
- [151] D. Fabbri, A.B. Prevot, E. Pramauro, Effect of surfactant microstructures on photocatalytic degradation of phenol and chlorophenols, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 62 (2006) 21–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2005.06.011.
- [152] W. Zheng, M.A. Tarr, Evidence for the existence of ternary complexes of iron,
 cyclodextrin, and hydrophobic guests in aqueous solution, J. Phys. Chem. B. 108 (2004)
 10172–10176. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp0373806.
- [153] W. Zheng, M.A. Tarr, Assessment of ternary iron-cyclodextrin-2-naphthol complexes
 using NMR and fluorescence spectroscopies, Spectrochim. Acta. A. Mol. Biomol.
 Spectrosc. 65 (2006) 1098–1103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2006.02.010.
- [154] M.E. Lindsey, G.X. Xu, J. Lu, M.A. Tarr, Enhanced Fenton degradation of hydrophobic
 organics by simultaneous iron and pollutant complexation with cyclodextrins, Sci. Total
 Environ. 307 (2003) 215–229. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(02)00544-2.
- [155] E.V. Dos Santos, C. Sáez, C.A. Martínez-Huitle, P. Cañizares, M.A. Rodrigo, The role
 of particle size on the conductive diamond electrochemical oxidation of soil-washing
 effluent polluted with atrazine, Electrochem. Commun. 55 (2015) 26–29.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2015.03.003.
- [156] Y. Zhang, H. Wu, J. Zhang, H. Wang, W. Lu, Enhanced photodegradation of
 pentachlorophenol by single and mixed cationic and nonionic surfactants, J. Hazard.
 Mater. 221–222 (2012) 92–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2012.04.005.
- [157] S. Sinha, N.G. Tapia Orozco, D.S. Acosta Ramirez, R. Rodriguez-Vazquez, Effect of
 surfactant on TiO2/UV mediated heterogeneous photocatalytic degradation of DDT in
 contaminated water, Crc Press-Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton, 2009.
- [158] P. Lu, F. Wu, N. Deng, Enhancement of TiO2 photocatalytic redox ability by β cyclodextrin in suspended solutions, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 53 (2004) 87–93.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2004.04.016.
- [159] G. Wang, F. Wu, X. Zhang, M. Luo, N. Deng, Enhanced TiO2 photocatalytic
 degradation of bisphenol E by β-cyclodextrin in suspended solutions, J. Hazard. Mater.
 133 (2006) 85–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.09.058.
- [160] X. Zhang, F. Wu, Z. Wang, Y. Guo, N. Deng, Photocatalytic degradation of 4,4 'biphenol in TiO2 suspension in the presence of cyclodextrins: a trinity integrated
 mechanism, J. Mol. Catal. -Chem. 301 (2009) 134–139.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcata.2008.11.022.
- [161] J. Yan, W. Gao, L. Qian, L. Han, Y. Chen, M. Chen, Remediation of Nitrobenzene
 Contaminated Soil by Combining Surfactant Enhanced Soil Washing and Effluent
 Oxidation with Persulfate, PLOS ONE. 10 (2015) e0132878.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0132878.
- [162] Y. Tao, M. Brigante, H. Zhang, G. Mailhot, Phenanthrene degradation using Fe(III) EDDS photoactivation under simulated solar light: A model for soil washing effluent
 treatment, Chemosphere. 236 (2019) 124366.
- 1273 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.124366.

- [163] A. Karaçali, M. Muñoz-Morales, S. Kalkan, B.K. Körbahti, C. Saez, P. Cañizares, M.A.
 Rodrigo, A comparison of the electrolysis of soil washing wastes with active and nonactive electrodes, Chemosphere. 225 (2019) 19–26.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.02.175.
- [164] J. Gómez, M.T. Alcántara, M. Pazos, M. Angeles Sanroman, Soil washing using
 cyclodextrins and their recovery by application of electrochemical technology, Chem.
 Eng. J. 159 (2010) 53–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2010.02.025.
- [165] P. Haapea, T. Tuhkanen, Integrated treatment of PAH contaminated soil by soil
 washing, ozonation and biological treatment, J. Hazard. Mater. 136 (2006) 244–250.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.12.033.
- [166] P. Haapea, T. Tuhkanen, Aged chlorophenol contaminated soil's integrated treatment
 by ozonation, soil washing and biological methods, Environ. Technol. 26 (2005) 811–
 819. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593332608618517.
- [167] C.Y. Chiu, Y.H. Chen, Y.H. Huang, Removal of naphthalene in Brij 30-containing
 solution by ozonation using rotating packed bed, J. Hazard. Mater. 147 (2007) 732–737.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.01.068.
- [168] J.M. Quiroga, A. Riaza, M.A. Manzano, Chemical degradation of PCB in the
 contaminated soils slurry: Direct Fenton oxidation and desorption combined with the
 photo-Fenton process, J. Environ. Sci. Health Part -ToxicHazardous Subst. Environ.
 Eng. 44 (2009) 1120–1126. https://doi.org/10.1080/10934520903005145.
- [169] A. Dirany, I. Sirés, N. Oturan, A. Özcan, M.A. Oturan, Electrochemical treatment of the
 antibiotic sulfachloropyridazine: Kinetics, reaction pathways, and toxicity evolution,
 Environ. Sci. Technol. 46 (2012) 4074–4082. https://doi.org/10.1021/es204621q.
- [170] N. Oturan, S. Trajkovska, M.A. Oturan, M. Couderchet, J.J. Aaron, Study of the toxicity
 of diuron and its metabolites formed in aqueous medium during application of the
 electrochemical advanced oxidation process "electro-Fenton," Chemosphere. 73 (2008)
 1550–1556. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.07.082.
- [171] C. Trellu, Y. Péchaud, N. Oturan, E. Mousset, D. Huguenot, E.D. van Hullebusch, G.
 Esposito, M.A. Oturan, Comparative study on the removal of humic acids from drinking
 water by anodic oxidation and electro-Fenton processes: Mineralization efficiency and
 modelling, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 194 (2016) 32–41.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2016.04.039.
- [172] I. Bouzid, J. Maire, E. Brunol, S. Caradec, N. Fatin-Rouge, Compatibility of surfactants
 with activated-persulfate for the selective oxidation of PAH in groundwater
 remediation, J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 5 (2017) 6098–6106.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2017.11.038.
- [173] R. Vargas, O. Núñez, The photocatalytic oxidation of dibenzothiophene (DBT), J. Mol.
 Catal. Chem. 294 (2008) 74–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcata.2008.08.001.
- [174] J. Gómez, M.T. Alcántara, M. Pazos, M.A. Sanromán, Remediation of polluted soil by a
 two-stage treatment system: Desorption of phenanthrene in soil and electrochemical
 treatment to recover the extraction agent, J. Hazard. Mater. 173 (2010) 794–798.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.08.103.
- [175] J.M. Rosas, F. Vicente, A. Santos, A. Romero, Soil remediation using soil washing
 followed by Fenton oxidation, Chem. Eng. J. 220 (2013) 125–132.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2012.11.137.

- [176] X. Bai, Y. Wang, X. Zheng, K. Zhu, A. Long, X. Wu, H. Zhang, Remediation of phenanthrene contaminated soil by coupling soil washing with Tween 80, oxidation using the UV/S2O82- process and recycling of the surfactant, Chem. Eng. J. (2019).
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.03.116.
- [177] C.M. Dominguez, A. Romero, A. Santos, Selective removal of chlorinated organic
 compounds from lindane wastes by combination of nonionic surfactant soil flushing and
 Fenton oxidation, Chem. Eng. J. 376 (2019) 120009.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2018.09.170.
- [178] J. Radjenovic, D.L. Sedlak, Challenges and Opportunities for Electrochemical
 Processes as Next-Generation Technologies for the Treatment of Contaminated Water,
 Environ. Sci. Technol. 49 (2015) 11292–11302.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b02414.
- [179] E. Lacasa, S. Cotillas, C. Saez, J. Lobato, P. Cañizares, M.A. Rodrigo, Environmental
 applications of electrochemical technology. What is needed to enable full-scale
 applications?, Curr. Opin. Electrochem. 16 (2019) 149–156.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coelec.2019.07.002.
- [180] C.A. Martínez-Huitle, M.A. Rodrigo, I. Sirés, O. Scialdone, Single and Coupled
 Electrochemical Processes and Reactors for the Abatement of Organic Water Pollutants:
 A Critical Review, Chem. Rev. 115 (2015) 13362–13407.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00361.
- [181] L. Guo, Y. Jing, B.P. Chaplin, Development and Characterization of Ultrafiltration
 TiO2 Magnéli Phase Reactive Electrochemical Membranes, Environ. Sci. Technol. 50
 (2016) 1428–1436. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b04366.
- [182] C. Trellu, B.P. Chaplin, C. Coetsier, R. Esmilaire, S. Cerneaux, C. Causserand, M.
 Cretin, Electro-oxidation of organic pollutants by reactive electrochemical membranes,
 Chemosphere. 208 (2018) 159–175.
- 1345 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.05.026.
- [183] C. Trellu, C. Coetsier, J.-C. Rouch, R. Esmilaire, M. Rivallin, M. Cretin, C. Causserand,
 Mineralization of organic pollutants by anodic oxidation using reactive electrochemical
 membrane synthesized from carbothermal reduction of TiO2, Water Res. 131 (2018)
 310–319. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.12.070.
- [184] E. Mousset, M. Puce, M.-N. Pons, Advanced Electro-Oxidation with Boron-Doped
 Diamond for Acetaminophen Removal from Real Wastewater in a Microfluidic
 Reactor: Kinetics and Mass-Transfer Studies, ChemElectroChem. 6 (2019) 2908–2916.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/celc.201900182.
- [185] M. Panizza, P.A. Michaud, G. Cerisola, Ch. Comninellis, Anodic oxidation of 2naphthol at boron-doped diamond electrodes, J. Electroanal. Chem. 507 (2001) 206– 214. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0728(01)00398-9.
- [186] A.M. Zaky, B.P. Chaplin, Porous Substoichiometric TiO2 Anodes as Reactive
 Electrochemical Membranes for Water Treatment, Environ. Sci. Technol. 47 (2013)
 6554–6563. https://doi.org/10.1021/es401287e.
- [187] S.O. Ganiyu, N. Oturan, S. Raffy, M. Cretin, R. Esmilaire, E. van Hullebusch, G.
 Esposito, M.A. Oturan, Sub-stoichiometric titanium oxide (Ti4O7) as a suitable ceramic anode for electrooxidation of organic pollutants: A case study of kinetics, mineralization and toxicity assessment of amoxicillin, Water Res. 106 (2016) 171–182.

- 1364 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.09.056.
- [188] S.O. Ganiyu, N. Oturan, S. Raffy, G. Esposito, E.D. van Hullebusch, M. Cretin, M.A.
 Oturan, Use of Sub-stoichiometric Titanium Oxide as a Ceramic Electrode in Anodic
 Oxidation and Electro-Fenton Degradation of the Beta-blocker Propranolol:
- 1368 Degradation Kinetics and Mineralization Pathway, Electrochimica Acta. 242 (2017)
- 1369 344–354. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2017.05.047.
- [189] K. Benhabib, M.-O. Simonnot, M. Sardin, PAHs and Organic Matter Partitioning and
 Mass Transfer from Coal Tar Particles to Water, Environ. Sci. Technol. 40 (2006)
- Mass Transfer from Coal Tar Particles to Water, Environ. Sci. Technol. 40 (2006)
 6038–6043. https://doi.org/10.1021/es0600431.
- 1373