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# On strong and almost sure local limit theorems for a probabilistic model of the Dickman distribution 

Régis de la Bretèche \& Gérald Tenenbaum<br>To the memory of Jonas Kubilius, who stood on the bridge and invited us all.


#### Abstract

. Let $\left\{Z_{k}\right\}_{k \geqslant 1}$ denote a sequence of independent Bernoulli random variables defined by $\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{k}=1\right)=1 / k=1-\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{k}=0\right)(k \geqslant 1)$ and put $T_{n}:=\sum_{1 \leqslant k \leqslant n} k Z_{k}$. It is then known that $T_{n} / n$ converges weakly to a real random variable $D$ with density proportional to the Dickman function, defined by the delay-differential equation $u \varrho^{\prime}(u)+\varrho(u-1)=0(u>1)$ with initial condition $\varrho(u)=1(0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1)$. Improving on earlier work, we propose asymptotic formulae with remainders for the corresponding local and almost sure limit theorems, namely


$$
\sum_{m \geqslant 0}\left|\mathbb{P}\left(T_{n}=m\right)-\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma}}{n} \varrho\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)\right|=\frac{2 \log n}{\pi^{2} n}\left\{1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log _{2} n}\right)\right\} \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)
$$

and

$$
(\forall u>0) \sum_{\substack{n \leqslant N \\ T_{n}=\lfloor u n\rfloor}} 1=\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma} \varrho(u) \log N+O\left((\log N)^{2 / 3+o(1)}\right) \quad \text { a.s. } \quad(N \rightarrow \infty),
$$

where $\gamma$ denotes Euler's constant.
Keywords: almost sure limit theorems, almost sure local limit theorems, Dickman function, Dickman distribution, quickselect algorithm, friable integers, random permutations.
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## 1. Introduction and statement of results

Dickman's function is defined on $[0, \infty[$ as the continuous solution to the delay-differential equation $u \varrho^{\prime}(u)+\varrho(u-1)=0(u>1)$ with initial condition $\varrho(u)=1(0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1)$. It is known (see, e.g., [24; th. III.5.10]) that $\int_{0}^{\infty} \varrho(u) \mathrm{d} u=\mathrm{e}^{\gamma}$, where $\gamma$ denotes Euler's constant. The Dickman distribution is defined as the law of a random variable $D$ on $[0, \infty[$ with density

$$
\varrho_{0}(u):=\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma} \varrho(u) \quad(u \geqslant 0) .
$$

This law appears in a large variety of mathematical topics, such as (the following list being non limiting):

Number theory, in the context of friable integers, ${ }^{(1)}$ after the seminal paper of Dickman [10] : see [24] for a expositary account;
Random polynomials over finite fields : see, e.g., Car [6], Manstavičius [18], Arratia, Barbour \& Tavaré [1], Knopfmacher \& Manstavičius [17];
Random permutations: see in particular, Shepp \& Lloyd [21], Kingman [16], Arratia, Barbour \& Tavaré [3], Manstavičius \& Petuchovas [19].
In number theory, the Dickman function also appears in Billingsley's model [5] for the vector distribution of large prime factors of integers (see [23] for an effective version) and in Kubilius' model ${ }^{(2)}$ : see Elliott [11], Arratia, Barbour \& Tavaré [2], Tenenbaum [22], and [24; § III.6.5] for an expositary account.

[^0]A simple probabilistic description of $D$ is provided by the almost surely convergent series

$$
\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \prod_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n} X_{j}
$$

where the $X_{j}$ are independent and uniform on [0, 1]: see Goldie \& Grübel [14], Fill \& Huber [12], Devroye [8].
There is a vast bibliography on the various probabilistic models of the Dickman distribution: see, e.g., Chen \& Hwang [7], Devroye \& Fawzi [9], Pinsky [20].
In 2002, Hwang \& Tsai [15] used a simple model to show that, suitably normalized, the cost of Hoare's quickselect algorithm converges weakly to $D$. This model may be described as follows: if $\left\{Z_{k}\right\}_{k \geqslant 1}$ denotes a sequence of independent Bernoulli random variables such that $\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{k}=1\right)=1 / k=1-\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{k}=0\right)(k \geqslant 1)$ and if $T_{n}:=\sum_{1 \leqslant k \leqslant n} k Z_{k}$, then $T_{n} / n$ converges weakly to $D$, viz.

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(T_{n} \leqslant n u\right)=\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma} \int_{0}^{u} \varrho(v) \mathrm{d} v \quad(u \geqslant 0)
$$

A strong local limit theorem was then obtained by Giuliano, Szewczak \& Weber [13], in the form

$$
v_{n}:=\sum_{m \geqslant 0}\left|\mathbb{P}\left(T_{n}=m\right)-\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma}}{n} \varrho\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)\right|=o(1) \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)
$$

We propose a sharp estimate of the speed of convergence. Here and in the sequel, we let $\log _{k}$ denote the $k$-fold iterated logarithm.
Theorem 1.1. We have

$$
v_{n}=\frac{2 \log n}{\pi^{2} n}\left\{1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log _{2} n}\right)\right\} \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)
$$

This estimate may be put in perspective with the following result of Manstavičius [18]. Let $\left\{X_{k}\right\}_{k \geqslant 1}$ denote a sequence of independent Poisson variables such that $\mathbb{E}\left(X_{k}\right)=1 / k$, and put $Y_{n}:=\sum_{1 \leqslant k \leqslant n} k X_{k}$. Then [18; cor. 2] readily yields the strong local limit theorem

$$
\sum_{m \geqslant 0}\left|\mathbb{P}\left(Y_{n}=m\right)-\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma}}{n} \varrho\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)\right| \ll \frac{1}{n} \quad(n \geqslant 1)
$$

Thus, as may be expected, Poissonian approximations to the Bernoulli random variables $Z_{k}$ provide a closer model of the Dickman distribution. As a byproduct of (1.2) and (1.3), we get an estimate of the total variation distance between $T_{n}$ and $Y_{n}$, viz.

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{T V}\left(T_{n}, Y_{n}\right) & :=\sum_{m \geqslant 0}\left|\mathbb{P}\left(T_{n}=m\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(Y_{n}=m\right)\right|=v_{n}+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\
& =\frac{2 \log n}{\pi^{2} n}\left\{1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log _{2} n}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also point, without details, to a recent estimate of Bhattacharjee \& Goldstein [4; th.1.1], which provides a bound $\leqslant 3 /(4 n)$ for a smooth Wasserstein-type distance between $T_{n} / n$ and $D$.
For $u>0$, let $\varepsilon_{n}$ denote a non-negative sequence tending to 0 at infinity, and let $\left\{m_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ denote a non-decreasing integer sequence such that $m_{n}=u n+O\left(\varepsilon_{n} n\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We may then define a sequence of random variables $\left\{L_{N}(u)\right\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ by the formula

$$
L_{N}(u):=\sum_{n \leqslant N, T_{n}=m_{n}} 1
$$

By a complicated proof resting on a general correlation inequality, an almost sure local limit theorem is established in [13] assuming furthermore that $\left\{m_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ is strictly increasing: for any $u \geqslant 1$, the asymptotic formula $L_{N}(u) \sim \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma} \varrho(u) \log N$ holds almost surely as $N \rightarrow \infty$. ${ }^{(3)}$

The following result, proved by a simple, direct method, provides an effective version.
Theorem 1.2. Let $u \geqslant 1, \varepsilon_{n}=o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and let $\left\{m_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ denote a strictly increasing sequence of integers such that $m_{n}=u n+O\left(\varepsilon_{n} n\right)(n \geqslant 1)$. We have, almost surely,

$$
L_{N}(u)=\left\{1+O\left(\eta_{N}+\frac{\left(\log _{2} N\right)^{1 / 2+o(1)}}{(\log N)^{1 / 3}}\right)\right\} \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma} \varrho(u) \log N
$$

where $\eta_{N}:=(1 / \log N) \sum_{1 \leqslant N} \varepsilon_{n} / n=o(1)$.
Furthermore, for any $u>0$, the formula $L_{N}(u) \sim \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma} \varrho(u) \log N$ holds almost surely provided

$$
\vartheta_{m}:=\left|\left\{n \geqslant 1: m_{n}=m\right\}\right|=o(\log m) \quad(m \rightarrow \infty)
$$

and assuming only that the sequence $\left\{m_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ is non-decreasing. If $\vartheta_{m} \ll(\log m)^{\alpha}$ with $0 \leqslant \alpha<1$, the estimate (1-4) holds with remainder $\ll \eta_{N}+1 /(\log N)^{(1-\alpha) / 3+o(1)}$.

We note that, for all $u>0$, the case $m_{n}:=\lfloor u n\rfloor$ is covered by the second part of the statement with $\alpha=0$.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $c$ be a large constant and put $M(n):=c n(\log n) /\left(\log _{2} 3 n\right)$. We first show that the contribution to $v_{n}$ of those $m>M(n)$ is negligible. Indeed, since $\varrho(v) \ll v^{-v}$, we first have

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{m>M(n)} \varrho\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) \ll \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m>M(n)} \mathrm{e}^{-m\left(\log _{2} n\right) / 2 n} \ll \frac{1}{n}
$$

Then, we have, for all $y \geqslant 0$

$$
\sum_{m>M(n)} \mathbb{P}\left(T_{n}=m\right) \leqslant \mathrm{e}^{-y M(n)} \mathbb{E}\left(\mathrm{e}^{y T_{n}}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{-y M(n)} \prod_{1 \leqslant k \leqslant n}\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{k y}-1}{k}\right) .
$$

Selecting $y=\left(\log _{2} n\right) / n$, we see that the last product is

$$
\ll \exp \left\{\int_{0}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{y v}-1}{v} \mathrm{~d} v\right\} \ll n^{2 / \log _{2} n}
$$

hence the left-hand side of $(2 \cdot 1)$ is also $\ll 1 / n$, and we infer that

$$
v_{n}=\sum_{1 \leqslant m \leqslant M(n)}\left|\mathbb{P}\left(T_{n}=m\right)-\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma}}{n} \varrho\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)\right|+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)
$$

Recall the definition $\varrho_{0}(u):=\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma} \varrho(u)(u \in \mathbb{R})$ and let $I(s):=\int_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{e}^{v s}-1\right) \mathrm{d} v / v(s \in \mathbb{C})$. From [24; th. III.5.10], we know that

$$
\widehat{\varrho_{0}}(\tau):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{i \tau u} \varrho_{0}(u) \mathrm{d} u=\mathrm{e}^{I(i \tau)} \quad(\tau \in \mathbb{R})
$$

[^1]Next, for $|\tau|<\pi$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left(\mathrm{e}^{i \tau T_{n}}\right) & =\prod_{1 \leqslant k \leqslant n}\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{i \tau k}-1}{k}\right) \\
& =\exp \left\{S_{n}(\tau)+U(\tau)+W_{n}(\tau)+O\left(\frac{\tau}{n(1+n|\tau|)}\right)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{n}(\tau) & :=\sum_{1 \leqslant k \leqslant n} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{i \tau k}-1}{k}, \quad W_{n}(\tau):=\sum_{k>n} \frac{\left(\mathrm{e}^{i \tau k}-1\right)^{2}}{2 k^{2}} \\
U(\tau) & :=\sum_{k \geqslant 1}\left\{\log \left(1+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{i \tau k}-1}{k}\right)-\frac{\mathrm{e}^{i \tau k}-1}{k}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $|\tau|<2 \pi$, we may write

$$
S_{n}(\tau)=\sum_{1 \leqslant k \leqslant n} \int_{0}^{i \tau} \mathrm{e}^{k v} \mathrm{~d} v=\int_{0}^{i \tau} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{n v}-1}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-v}} \mathrm{~d} v=\int_{0}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{i \tau v}-1}{v} \mathrm{~d} v+V_{n}(\tau)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{n}(\tau) & :=\int_{0}^{i \tau}\left(\mathrm{e}^{n v}-1\right)\left(\frac{1}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-v}}-\frac{1}{v}\right) \mathrm{d} v=\int_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{e}^{i n \tau v}-1\right) g_{\tau}(v) \mathrm{d} v \\
g_{\tau}(v) & :=\frac{i \tau}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-i \tau v}}-\frac{1}{v} \quad(0 \leqslant v \leqslant 1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $g_{\tau}(v)$ is twice continuously differentiable on $[0,1]$, partial integration yields

$$
V_{n}(\tau)=V(\tau)+\frac{a(\tau) \mathrm{e}^{i n \tau}-\frac{1}{2}}{n}+O\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right) \quad(|\tau| \leqslant \pi)
$$

with

$$
V(\tau):=-\int_{0}^{1} g_{\tau}(v) \mathrm{d} v, \quad a(\tau):=\frac{g_{\tau}(1)}{i \tau}=\frac{1}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-i \tau}}-\frac{1}{i \tau}
$$

We have $W_{n}(\tau) \ll \tau$ if $|\tau| \leqslant 1 / n$. When $1 / n \leqslant|\tau| \leqslant \pi$, we have

$$
W_{n}(\tau)=\sum_{k>n} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{2 i k \tau}-2 \mathrm{e}^{i k \tau}}{2 k^{2}}+\frac{1}{2 n}+O\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right)=\frac{1}{2 n}\left\{1+O\left(\frac{1}{1+n \min (|\tau|, \pi-|\tau|)}\right)\right\}
$$

by Abel's summation. This estimate is hence also valid for $|\tau| \leqslant 1 / n$, and so we deduce that

$$
V_{n}(\tau)+W_{n}(\tau)=V(\tau)+\frac{a(\tau) \mathrm{e}^{i n \tau}}{n}+O\left(\frac{\tau}{n(1+n|\tau|)}+\frac{1}{n+n^{2} \min (|\tau|, \pi-|\tau|)}\right)
$$

Put $F(\tau):=\mathrm{e}^{U(\tau)+V(\tau)}-1$, so that $F(0)=0$ and $F$ may be analytically continued to the $\operatorname{disc}\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<2 \pi\}$. We finally get

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\mathrm{e}^{i \tau T_{n}}\right)=\widehat{\varrho_{0}}(n \tau)\left\{1+F(\tau)+W_{n}^{*}(\tau)+O\left(\frac{\tau}{1+n^{2} \tau^{2}}\right)\right\} \quad(n \geqslant 1,|\tau| \leqslant \pi)
$$

with

$$
W_{n}^{*}(\tau):=\frac{a(\tau)\{1+F(\tau)\} \mathrm{e}^{i n \tau}}{n}+O\left(\frac{1}{n+n^{2} \min (|\tau|, \pi-|\tau|)}\right) .
$$

It follows that, for $m \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{P}\left(T_{n}=m\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathbb{E}\left(\mathrm{e}^{i \tau T_{n}}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-i m \tau} \mathrm{~d} \tau \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2 \pi n} \int_{-n \pi}^{n \pi} \widehat{\varrho_{0}}(\tau) \mathrm{e}^{-i \tau m / n}\left\{1+F\left(\frac{\tau}{n}\right)+W_{n}^{*}\left(\frac{\tau}{n}\right)+O\left(\frac{\tau}{n\left(1+\tau^{2}\right)}\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} \tau .
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.3) and, say, [24; lemma III.5.9], we have

$$
\widehat{\varrho_{0}}(\tau)=\frac{-1}{i \tau}+O\left(\frac{1}{\tau(1+|\tau|)}\right) \quad(\tau \neq 0), \quad \widehat{\varrho_{0}}(\tau) \asymp \frac{1}{1+|\tau|} \quad(\tau \in \mathbb{R})
$$

Therefore, the error term of $(2 \cdot 8)$ contributes $\ll 1 / n^{2}$ to the right-hand side. Summing over $m \leqslant M(n)$, we obtain that the corresponding contribution to the right-hand side of $(2 \cdot 2)$ is $\ll(\log n) /\left(n \log _{2} n\right)$, in accordance with (1-2).
We first evaluate

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi n} \int_{-n \pi}^{n \pi} \widehat{\varrho_{0}(\tau) \mathrm{e}^{-i \tau m / n} \mathrm{~d} \tau \quad(n \geqslant 1,1 \leqslant m \leqslant M(n)), ~(n)}
$$

by extending the integration range to $\mathbb{R}$ and inserting the first estimate $(2 \cdot 9)$ to bound the integral over $\mathbb{R} \backslash[-\pi n, \pi n]$. This yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi n} \int_{-n \pi}^{n \pi} \widehat{\varrho_{0}}(\tau) \mathrm{e}^{-i \tau m / n} \mathrm{~d} \tau-\frac{\varrho_{0}(m / n)}{n} & =\frac{-1}{\pi n} \int_{n \pi}^{\infty} \frac{\sin (\tau m / n)}{\tau} \mathrm{d} \tau+O\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{\pi^{2} m n}+O\left(\frac{1}{m^{2} n}+\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

In order to estimate the contributions from $F$ and $W_{n}^{*}$ to the main term of $(2 \cdot 8)$, we use the more precise formula

$$
\widehat{\varrho_{0}}(\tau)=\frac{i}{\tau}-\frac{\mathrm{e}^{i \tau}}{\tau^{2}}+O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^{3}}\right) \quad(|\tau| \geqslant 1)
$$

Writing $F(\tau)=\tau G(\tau)$, we indeed deduce from by (2•12) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-n \pi}^{n \pi} \widehat{\varrho}_{0}(\tau) \mathrm{e}^{-i \tau m / n} F\left(\frac{\tau}{n}\right) & \mathrm{d} \tau=\int_{-n \pi}^{n \pi} \tau \widehat{\varrho}_{0}(\tau) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-i \tau m / n}}{n} G\left(\frac{\tau}{n}\right) \mathrm{d} \tau \\
& =i \int_{I_{n}} \mathrm{e}^{-i \tau m} G(\tau)\left(1+i \frac{\mathrm{e}^{i \tau n}}{n \tau}\right) \mathrm{d} \tau+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $I_{n}:=[-\pi, \pi] \backslash[-1 / n, 1 / n]$. A standard computation furnishes $G(\pi)-G(-\pi)=-2 / \pi$. ${ }^{(4)}$ Integrating by parts, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
i \int_{I_{n}} \mathrm{e}^{-i \tau m} G(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau & =2 \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{\pi m}+\frac{1}{m} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{e}^{-i \tau m} G^{\prime}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\
& =2 \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{\pi m}+O\left(\frac{1}{m^{2}}+\frac{1}{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and, similarly,

$$
\frac{-1}{n} \int_{I_{n}} \mathrm{e}^{-i \tau m} G(\tau) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{i \tau n}}{\tau} \mathrm{~d} \tau=\frac{-1}{n} \int_{I_{n}} \frac{G(\tau)-G(0)}{\tau} \mathrm{e}^{i \tau(n-m)} \mathrm{d} \tau+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \ll \frac{1}{n} .
$$

4. $\quad V( \pm \pi)=\log (\pi / 2) \mp \frac{1}{2} i \pi, \mathrm{e}^{U( \pm \pi)}=-2 \mathrm{e}^{\gamma}, F( \pm \pi)=\mp i \pi \mathrm{e}^{\gamma}-1$.

We can thus state that, for $n \geqslant 1,1 \leqslant m \leqslant M(n)$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi n} \int_{-n \pi}^{n \pi} \widehat{\varrho_{0}}(\tau) \mathrm{e}^{-i \tau m / n} F\left(\frac{\tau}{n}\right) \mathrm{d} \tau=\frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{\pi^{2} m n}+O\left(\frac{1}{m^{2} n}+\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right)
$$

It remains to estimate the contribution to $(2 \cdot 8)$ involving $W_{n}^{*}(\tau / n)$. The error term of $(2 \cdot 7)$ clearly contributes $\ll 1 / n^{2}$. Arguing as for the proof of $(2 \cdot 11)$, we finally show that the contribution to $(2 \cdot 8)$ arising from $a(\tau / n)(1+F(\tau / n)) \mathrm{e}^{i \tau} / n$ is also $\ll 1 / n^{2}$.
The above estimates and $(2 \cdot 11)$ furnish together

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(T_{n}=m\right)-\frac{1}{n} \varrho_{0}\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)=(-1)^{m+1} \frac{2}{\pi^{2} m n}+O\left(\frac{1}{m^{2} n}+\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right) \quad(1 \leqslant m \leqslant M(n))
$$

Summing over $m \leqslant M(n)$ provides the required estimate (1-2).

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We first note that (2.14) yields

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(T_{n}=m\right)=\frac{1}{n} \varrho_{0}\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right) \quad(m \asymp n \geqslant 1)
$$

Hence, writing $L_{N}$ for $L_{N}(u)$ here and throughout,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(L_{N}\right)=\sum_{n \leqslant N} \frac{1}{n} \varrho_{0}\left(\frac{m_{n}}{n}\right)+O(1)=\varrho_{0}(u) \log N+O\left(\eta_{N} \log N+1\right) \quad(N \geqslant 1)
$$

The stated result will follow from an estimate of the variance $\mathbb{V}\left(L_{N}\right)$. We have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(L_{N}^{2}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(L_{N}\right)+2 \sum_{1 \leqslant \nu<n \leqslant N} \mathbb{P}\left(T_{\nu}=m_{\nu}\right) \alpha_{\nu n}
$$

with

$$
\alpha_{\nu n}:=\mathbb{P}\left(T_{n}-T_{\nu}=m_{n}-m_{\nu}\right) \quad(1 \leqslant \nu<n \leqslant N)
$$

Let us initially assume that $\left\{m_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ is strictly increasing and hence that $u \geqslant 1$. We note right away that, for large $n$ and $\nu>(u+1) n /(u+2)$, we have $\alpha_{\nu n}=0$, since the corresponding event is then impossible: either $T_{n}-T_{\nu}>\nu>(u+1)(n-\nu)>m_{n}-m_{\nu}$ or $T_{n}-T_{\nu}=0 \neq m_{n}-m_{\nu}$. Therefore, we may assume $\nu \leqslant n(u+1) /(u+2)$ in the sequel.

By $(2 \cdot 4)$, we have, writing $\varphi_{j}(\tau):=\mathbb{E}\left(\mathrm{e}^{i \tau T_{j}}\right)(j \geqslant 1)$,

$$
\alpha_{\nu n}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\varphi_{n}(\tau)}{\varphi_{\nu}(\tau)} \mathrm{e}^{-i\left(m_{n}-m_{\nu}\right) \tau} \mathrm{d} \tau=\beta_{\nu n}+\Delta_{\nu n}
$$

where $\beta_{\nu n}$ denotes the contribution of the interval $|\tau| \leqslant 1 / 2 \nu$, and $\Delta_{\nu n}$ that of the complementary range $1 / 2 \nu<|\tau| \leqslant \pi$.

Now we may derive from $(2 \cdot 6)$ that

$$
\beta_{\nu n}=\frac{1}{2 \pi n} \int_{-n / 2 \nu}^{n / 2 \nu} \frac{\widehat{\varrho_{0}}(\tau)}{\varphi_{\nu}(\tau / n)}\left\{1+F\left(\frac{\tau}{n}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right\} \mathrm{e}^{-i\left(m_{n}-m_{\nu}\right) \tau / n} \mathrm{~d} \tau
$$

Invoking (2.9) and (2.6)-(2.7) with $\nu$ in place of $n$ to estimate the contribution of the error term, we get

$$
\beta_{\nu n}=\beta_{\nu n}^{*}+O\left(\frac{\log n}{n^{2}}\right)
$$

with

$$
\beta_{\nu n}^{*}:=\frac{1}{2 \pi n} \int_{-n / 2 \nu}^{n / 2 \nu} \frac{\widehat{\varrho_{0}}(\tau)}{\varphi_{\nu}(\tau / n)}\left(1+F\left(\frac{\tau}{n}\right)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-i\left(m_{n}-m_{\nu}\right) \tau / n} \mathrm{~d} \tau
$$

Note that the remainder term of $(3 \cdot 5)$ in turn contributes $\ll 1$ to $(3 \cdot 3)$.
Still assuming that $\nu \leqslant(u+1) n /(u+2)$ and observing that

$$
\psi_{\nu}(z):=\prod_{k \leqslant \nu}\left\{1+\left(\mathrm{e}^{k z}-1\right) / k\right\}^{-1} \ll 1 \quad(z \in \mathbb{C},|z| \leqslant 2 / 3 \nu)
$$

we may write

$$
\frac{1+F(\tau / n)}{\varphi_{\nu}(\tau / n)}=1+\sum_{j \geqslant 1} \mu_{\nu j}\left(\frac{\tau}{n}\right)^{j} \quad(|\tau| \leqslant n / 2 \nu)
$$

with $\mu_{\nu j} \ll(3 \nu / 2)^{j}(j \geqslant 1)$. Hence, in view of (2.9), the contribution of the series to (3.6) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi n} \sum_{j \geqslant 1} \frac{\mu_{\nu j}}{n^{j}} \int_{-n / 2 \nu}^{n / 2 \nu}\left\{\tau^{j-1} \mathrm{e}^{i \tau\left(m_{n}-m_{\nu}\right) / n}+O\left(\frac{\tau^{j-1}}{1+|\tau|}\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} \tau \\
& \ll \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j \geqslant 1} \mu_{\nu j} \int_{-1 / 2 \nu}^{1 / 2 \nu}\left\{v^{j-1} \mathrm{e}^{i v\left(m_{n}-m_{\nu}\right)}+O\left(\frac{v^{j-1}}{1+n|v|}\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} v \\
& \ll \frac{\nu}{n(n-\nu)}+\frac{\nu}{n^{2}}+\frac{\nu \log (2 n / \nu)}{n^{2}} \ll \frac{\nu \log (2 n / \nu)}{n^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

since the assumption that $\left\{m_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is strictly increasing implies $m_{n}-m_{\nu} \geqslant n-\nu$.
Arguing as in the proof of $(2 \cdot 10)$ to evaluate the main term, we eventually get,

$$
\beta_{\nu n}^{*}=\frac{1}{n} \varrho_{0}\left(\frac{m_{n}-m_{\nu}}{n}\right)+O\left(\frac{\nu \log (2 n / \nu)}{n^{2}}\right) \quad(1 \leqslant \nu<n) .
$$

We now consider $\Delta_{\nu n}$. Let

$$
S_{\nu n}(\tau):=\sum_{\nu<k \leqslant n} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{i k \tau}}{k}
$$

and note right away that $S_{\nu n}(\tau)$ is bounded for $1 / 2 \nu<|\tau| \leqslant \pi$. For $\nu \geqslant 1$, we have

$$
\frac{\varphi_{n}(\tau)}{\varphi_{\nu}(\tau)}=\frac{\nu}{n} \prod_{\nu<k \leqslant n}\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{i k \tau}}{k-1}\right)=\frac{\nu}{n} \exp \left\{S_{\nu n}(\tau)+O\left(\frac{1}{\nu+|\tau| \nu^{2}}+\frac{1}{\nu+(\pi-|\tau|) \nu^{2}}\right)\right\}
$$

where we used the bounds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\nu<k \leqslant n} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{i k \tau}}{k(k-1)} \ll \frac{1}{\nu+|\tau| \nu^{2}}, \quad \sum_{\nu<k \leqslant n} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{2 i k \tau}}{(k-1)^{2}} \ll \frac{1}{\nu+|\tau| \nu^{2}}+\frac{1}{\nu+(\pi-|\tau|) \nu^{2}}, \\
& \sum_{\nu<k \leqslant n} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{i k j \tau}}{(k-1)^{j}} \ll \frac{1}{\nu^{j-1}} \quad(j>2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Carrying back into (3•4), we obtain

$$
\Delta_{\nu n}=\frac{\nu}{\pi n} \Re \int_{1 / 2 \nu}^{\pi} \mathrm{e}^{S_{\nu n}(\tau)-i\left(m_{n}-m_{\nu}\right) \tau} \mathrm{d} \tau+O\left(\frac{\log 2 \nu}{n \nu}\right)
$$

Now observe that $\left|S_{\nu n}^{\prime}(\tau)\right| \leqslant \pi /|\tau| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}(n-\nu) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(m_{n}-m_{\nu}\right)$ if, say $\nu \leqslant n / 15$ or $|\tau|>10(u+1) / \nu$. Hence, on this assumption, a standard estimate on trigonometric integrals such as [25; Lemma 4.2] furnishes the bound $\ll 1 /\left(m_{n}-m_{\nu}\right) \ll 1 /(n-\nu) \ll 1 / n$ for the last integral. Since, in the case $\nu>n / 15$, the contribution of the range $1 / 2 \nu<|\tau| \leqslant 10(u+1) / \nu$ to the same integral is trivially $\ll 1 / \nu$, we finally get

$$
\Delta_{\nu n} \ll \frac{\log 2 \nu}{n \nu}+\frac{\nu}{n^{2}} \quad(1 \leqslant \nu \leqslant(u+1) n /(u+2))
$$

Gathering our estimates and using the fact that $\varrho$ is Lipschitz on $[0, \infty[$, we obtain

$$
\alpha_{\nu n}=\frac{1}{n} \varrho_{0}\left(\frac{m_{n}}{n}\right)+O\left(\frac{\nu \log (2 n / \nu)}{n^{2}}+\frac{\log 2 \nu}{n \nu}\right) \quad(1 \leqslant \nu<n) .
$$

Carrying back into (3•3) and applying (3•1) for the pair ( $\nu, m_{\nu}$ ) yields

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(L_{N}^{2}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left(L_{N}\right)=2 \sum_{1 \leqslant \nu<n \leqslant N}\left\{\varrho_{0}\left(\frac{m_{n}}{n}\right) \varrho_{0}\left(\frac{m_{\nu}}{\nu}\right) \frac{1}{\nu n}+O\left(\frac{\log (2 n / \nu)}{n^{2}}+\frac{\log 2 \nu}{n \nu^{2}}\right)\right\}
$$

and hence

$$
\mathbb{V}\left(L_{N}\right) \ll \log N
$$

Selecting $N=N_{k}:=2^{k^{3}}$ for $k \geqslant 1$, we deduce from the Borel-Cantelli lemma that, given any $\varepsilon>0$, the estimate

$$
L_{N_{k}}-\mathbb{E}\left(L_{N_{k}}\right) \ll k^{2}(\log 2 k)^{1 / 2+\varepsilon}
$$

holds almost surely. In view of $(3 \cdot 2)$, this implies the stated result since $L_{N}$ is a non-decreasing function of $N$.

We next consider the case of a non-decreasing sequence $\left\{m_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$. Accordingly, we fix $u>0$. By hypothesis, for some integer $q=q_{N} \geqslant 2$ such that $q_{N}=o(\log N)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, we have $m_{n}>m_{\nu}$ whenever $n-\nu \geqslant q_{N}$.

Put

$$
L_{N}(u ; a):=\sum_{\substack{n \leqslant N \\ n \equiv a(\bmod q) \\ T_{n}=m_{n}}} 1 \quad(1 \leqslant a \leqslant q)
$$

By (3•1), we have, for all $a \in[1, q]$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(L_{N}(u ; a)\right)=\sum_{\substack{n \leqslant N \\ n \equiv a(\bmod q)}} \frac{1}{n} \varrho_{0}\left(\frac{m_{n}}{n}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{a^{2}}\right)
$$

and, by $(3 \cdot 10)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V}\left(L_{N}(u ; a)\right)-\mathbb{E}\left(L_{N}(u ; a)\right) & \ll \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant \nu<n \leqslant N \\
\nu, n \equiv a(\bmod q)}}\left\{\frac{\log 2 n / \nu}{n^{2}}+\frac{\log 2 \nu}{n \nu^{2}}\right\} \\
& \ll \sum_{\substack{q<n \leqslant N \\
n \equiv a(\bmod q)}}\left\{\frac{1}{n q}+\frac{\log 2 a}{a^{2} n}\right\} \ll \frac{\log N}{q}\left\{\frac{1}{q}+\frac{\log 2 a}{a^{2}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing over $a \in[1, q]$, we get

$$
\mathbb{V}\left(L_{N}(u)\right) \leqslant q \sum_{1 \leqslant a \leqslant q} \mathbb{V}\left(L_{N}(u ; a)\right) \ll q \mathbb{E}\left(L_{N}(u)\right)+\log N \ll q \log N
$$

This is all needed.
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[^0]:    1. That is integers free of large prime factors
    2. A probabilistic model for the uniform probability defined on the set of the first $N$ integers with $\sigma$-algebra comprising those events that can be defined by divisibility conditions involving solely small primes
[^1]:    3. The authors of [13] state that this almost sure asymptotic formula holds for all $u>0$. However, the requirement that $\left\{m_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ should be strictly increasing is incompatible with the assumption $m_{n} \sim u n$ if $u<1$.
