

Pediatric Eye Injuries by Hydroalcoholic Gel in the Context of the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic

Gilles C. Martin, Gaël Le Roux, Damien Guindolet, Etienne Boulanger, Denis Hasle, Emilie Morin, Dominique Vodovar, Catherine Vignal, Eric Gabison, Alexis Descatha

► To cite this version:

Gilles C. Martin, Gaël Le Roux, Damien Guindolet, Etienne Boulanger, Denis Hasle, et al.. Pediatric Eye Injuries by Hydroalcoholic Gel in the Context of the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic. JAMA Ophthalmology, 2021, 139 (3), pp.348-351. 10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2020.6346 . hal-03163662

HAL Id: hal-03163662 https://hal.science/hal-03163662

Submitted on 30 Mar 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	<u>Title</u> : Pediatric eye injuries by hydroalcoholic gel in the context of coronavirus Disease
2	2019 pandemic
3	
4	Authors :
5	Gilles C Martin ¹ *, Gael Le Roux ^{2,3} *, Damien Guindolet ^{1,4} , Etienne Boulanger ¹ , Denis Hasle ⁵ , Emilie
6	Morin ² , Dominique Vodovar ^{6,7,8} , Catherine Vignal ¹ , French PCC Research Group †, Eric Gabison ^{1,4}
7	**, Alexis Descatha ^{2,3} **
8	
9	<u>* Co-first authors</u>
10	** Co-last authors
11	
12	Affiliations :
13	1. Rothschild Foundation Hospital, Ophthalmology Department, Paris, France
14	2. CHU Angers, Poison Control Center of West France, Angers, France
15	3. UNIV Angers, CHU Angers, Univ Rennes, Inserm, EHESP, Irset (Institut de recherche en santé,
16	environnement et travail) - UMR_S1085, F-49000 Angers, France
17	4. Paris University, Paris, France
18	5. Cornouailles Hospital, Ophthalmology Department, Quimper, France
19	6. Centre antipoison et de Toxicovigilance de Paris, Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Paris -
20	Hôpital Fernand Widal, Paris, France.
21	7. Université de Paris, UFR de médecine, Paris, France.
22	8. INSERM UMRS 1144, Faculté de Pharmacie de Paris, Paris, France.
23	
24	† Investigator list of French Poison Control Centers: Christine Tournoud (East PCC), Jérôme Langrand and Hervé
25	Laborde-Casterot (Paris PCC), Nicolas Franchitto (Toulouse PCC), Nicolas Simon (Marseille PCC), Camille
26	Paradis (Bordeaux PCC), Anne-Marie Patat (Lyon PCC), Patrick Nisse and Ramy Azzouz (Lille PCC), Marie
27	Deguigne and Marion Legeay (Grand Ouest PCC).
28	

- 29 <u>Corresponding author</u> : Gilles C. MARTIN
- 30 Rothschild Foundation Hospital, Ophthalmology Department
- 31 25-29 Rue Manin, 75019 Paris, France.
- 32 E-Mails : <u>gmartin@for.paris</u>
- 33 Phone : +33148036565
- 34
- 35 <u>Words</u>: 1194/ 1200
- 36 Date of Revision: 11/11/2020
- 37

38 Key points:

- **39 Question:** Is there an increase in severe ocular lesions related to alcohol-based hand sanitizer
- 40 exposures in children since March 2020 ?
- 41 Findings: A national retrospective review from the French Poison Control Centers found a 7-fold
- 42 increase of alcohol-based hand sanitizer-related ocular exposures in children in comparison with 2019,
- 43 and a pediatric ophthalmology center reported 13% of patients requiring surgery for severe lesions.
- 44 Meaning: These findings support that despite the importance of alcohol-based hand sanitizers for
- 45 controlling the spread of COVID-19, these agents should be used with caution, and likely kept away
- 46 from young children.

47

48 Abstract

49 Importance: The COVID-19 pandemic has made alcohol-based hand sanitizers (ABHS) widely 50 available in public places. This may warrant determining if cases of accidental ocular exposure are 51 increasing, especially in children. **Objective:** To describe the epidemiological trend of pediatric eye 52 exposures to ABHS, and to report the severity of the ocular lesions. Design: Retrospective case series 53 from April 1st 2020 to August 24th 2020. Setting: Cases were retrieved from the national database of the 54 French Poison Control Centers (PCC) and from a pediatric ophthalmology referral hospital in Paris. 55 Participants: Cases of ocular exposure to chemical agents in children under 18 during the study period 56 were reviewed. Cases of ABHS exposure were included. **Exposures:** The following data were collected: 57 age, sex, circumstances of exposure, symptoms, size of the epithelial defect at first exam, time between 58 the accident and re-epithelialization, medical and/or surgical management. Main outcome and 59 measure: Comparison of the number of eye exposure to ABHS in children between April-August 2020 60 and April-August 2019. Results: Between April 1st and August, 24th 2020, there were seven times more 61 pediatric cases of ABHS eye exposures reported in the PCC database compared to the same period in 62 2019 (9.9% of pediatric eye exposures in 2020 versus 1.3% in 2019, difference: 8.6% [IC95:7.4;9.9], 63 p < 0.0001). The number of cases occurring in public places increased in 2020 (from 16.4% in May to 64 52.4% in August). Similarly, admissions to our eye hospital for ABHS exposure increased at the same 65 period (16 children in 2020 including 10 boys, mean age: 3.5 +/- 1.4 years, vs one boy of 16 months of 66 age in 2019). Eight of them presented with a corneal and/or conjunctival ulcer, involving more than 50% 67 of the corneal surface for six of them. Two cases required amniotic membrane transplantation. 68 Conclusions and Relevance: These data support the likelihood of an increasing number of accidental 69 ocular exposures to ABHS in the pediatric population. In order to maintain good public compliance with 70 hand disinfection, these findings support that health authorities should ensure the safe use of these 71 devices, and warn the parents and caregivers about their potential danger for children.

72

73 Introduction

Regular hand disinfection with alcohol-based hand sanitizer (ABHS) is one of the main barrier gestures to limit the spread of COVID-19.^{1,2} The recent widespread use of ABHS has been associated with an increase in accidental exposures since March 2020, especially in children.³ ABHS can be involved in eye injuries even though it is not a caustic solution but usually considered as irritant.^{3–5}. While cases of ABHS ingestion have been recently reported and detailed^{3,6}, data is lacking regarding ABHS-related eye injuries.

Using the French Poison Control Centers (PCCs) database and a case series from a tertiary ophthalmologic referral center, the aims of this study are to assess the alarming increase in pediatric cases of ABHS ocular exposure and their circumstances, and to describe the ocular lesions and their management.

84

85 Methods

86 National epidemiological data

Cases of ocular exposure to chemical agents in children under 18 were reviewed using data from the French National Database of Poisonings (FNDP) between April 2020 and August 24th 2020, and between April 2019 and August 24th 2019. In France, eight PCCs manage toxic exposures reported by the public, caregivers and health professionals. Chemical agents are referenced in the national database of products with compositions, and classified by use. Cases of ocular exposure to ABHS were included in the study. For each case, the following data were collected: age, sex, circumstances of exposure, symptoms and severity of the lesion (according to the poisoning severity score⁷).

94

95 Case series

Electronic records of all children under 18 consulting the emergency department of a referral center in
pediatric ophthalmology for ocular exposure to a chemical agent between April 2019 and August 24th
2019, and between April 2020 and August 24th 2020 were reviewed using the Query® software⁸. Cases
exposed to ABHS were included and the following data were collected: age, sex, context of exposure,

size of the epithelial defect at first exam, time between the accident and complete re-epithelialization,
medical and/or surgical management.

Both parts of the study followed the tenets of the declaration of Helsinki and the French regulation on
consents and sharing (*Commission Nationale Informatique et Libertés*), and received the approval of
our institutional committee. Statistical analysis was performed with the R software, using Chi² test or
Fischer's exact test. Differences are given with a 95% confidence interval (IC95).

106

107 **Results**

108 National epidemiological data

Between April 1st and August, 24th 2020, the proportion of calls to the PCCs related to chemical eye 109 110 splatter in children was significantly lower compared to the same period in 2019: 2336 cases (2.2% of 111 pediatric calls) in 2020 versus 2553 cases (4.2% of pediatric calls) in 2019 (difference:2.0%, 112 [IC95:1.9;2.2], p<0,0001). The proportion of ABHS eye exposures significantly increased from 1.3% 113 in 2019 (33 cases, mean age: 3.4 years old +/- 3.8) to 9.9% in 2020 (232 cases, mean age 4.5 years old 114 +/- 3.5)(difference:8.6% [IC95:7.4:9.9], p<0.0001). The proportion of ABHS eye splatters significantly 115 increased during the study period from 5% in April 2020 to 9%, 10%, 11% and 15% in May, June, July 116 and August 2020 (highest p value = 0.02)(Figure 1). Most cases were of null or mild severity (n=269, 117 97.8%), where the symptoms reported were pain, tingling sensation or conjunctival hyperemia. Six cases 118 of moderate severity were reported, with limited keratitis.

119 In 2020, 63 cases of ABHS exposure occurred in a public place, while none was reported in 2019. The 120 locations frequently found were stores and malls (n=47), restaurants (5 cases), open public places (5 121 cases), sports arena (1 case), movie theater (1 case), swimming pool (1 case), and other in the last 3 122 cases. Cases of ABHS exposures in public places are continuously increasing, from 5 in May (1.0% of 123 eye exposure, 12.2% of ABHS), 9 in June (1.8% of eye exposure and 16.4% of ABHS), 16 in July, to 124 33 in August (up to 24th, 8.0% of eye exposure and 52.4% of ABHS). All cases were related to ABHS 125 devices made available to the public in the context of COVID-19 (automatic or foot-controlled 126 dispensers).

127

128 Case series

129 Between April 1st and August, 24th 2020, 1657 children were admitted to the ophthalmology emergency 130 department of our hospital, including 80 (5%) for a chemical eye splatter. During the same time-period 131 in 2019, 98 cases of eye splatter were registered among 2469 pediatric consultations (4%). There was 132 no difference between the two periods regarding the proportion of chemical eye exposures among total 133 pediatric consultations (difference:0.9% [IC95:-0.4;2.1], p=0.18). In 2019, only one boy (16 months 134 old) was admitted for an exposure to ABHS (1% of chemical eye exposures). Comparatively, 16 cases 135 of ABHS eye splatter (10 boys, mean age: 3.5 +/- 1.4 years) were admitted in 2020 (20% of chemical 136 eye exposures)(difference:19% [IC95:8.9;29.1], p<0.0001). Eight children had a corneal and/or 137 conjunctival ulcer, involving more than 50% of the corneal surface for six of them. Two of these children 138 (12%) required amniotic membrane transplantation (AMT) under general anesthesia after a median time 139 of three days of medical treatment, because of delayed re-epithelialization. One case required this 140 procedure twice because of an incomplete re-epithelialization ten days after the first AMT (Figure 2). 141 The median time between exposure and complete re-epithelialization for children with corneal ulcers 142 was 13 days.

143

144 **Discussion**

This joint study of ophthalmologists and toxicologists illustrates collateral damages of the wide use of ABHS during the COVID-19 pandemic and led to a National Public Heath alert.⁹ Using two complementary datasets, we showed a 7-fold increase in the number of ABHS eye exposures in children with several cases of serious corneal lesions, while such exposures were anecdotal before. This increase was especially noted after the end of the lockdown in France and related to an increasing number of freely available ABHS devices in public places.

151 The pediatric specificity of this outbreak is most likely due to the emplacement of the gel dispenser in 152 the proximity of children's faces. Dispensers, often pressure-operated via a pedal, allow the delivery of 153 unit doses of ABHS. However, these devices are usually around 3-foot high, delivering ABHS at the

154 level of small children's eyes. In addition, the delay in washing the eyes, due to a lack of access to a 155 water supply or to the viscosity of certain preparations, is very detrimental to the ocular surface.

156 The composition of ABHS is highly variable. Usually, it follows World Health Organization 157 recommendations and contain 80% ethanol or 75% isopropanol,¹⁰ which are irritating products. Ethanol 158 is widely used in corneal and refractive surgery to facilitate epithelial debridement. However, the 159 protocol generally used by ophthalmologists involves a 20% diluted ethanol solution applied for 30 160 seconds maximum. A study has shown that ethanol not only has an immediate cytotoxic effect on 161 corneal epithelial cells, but also reduces proliferation and induces apoptosis.¹¹ Moreover, other irritant 162 additives, including hydrogen peroxide, polyethylene glycol (to increase viscosity), perfumes or 163 essential oils may increase the ABHS ocular toxicity.

In order to maintain good public compliance with hand disinfection, these findings support that health authorities should ensure the safe use of ABHS. Caution in positioning the dispensers in public places, in addition to informative illustrations emphasizing the risk of accidental exposures in children are required in all countries in order to prevent further severe ocular injury.

168

169 <u>References</u>

- Berardi A, Perinelli DR, Merchant HA, et al. Hand sanitisers amid CoViD-19: A critical
 review of alcohol-based products on the market and formulation approaches to respond to increasing
 demand. *Int J Pharm.* 2020;584:119431. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2020.119431
- Golin AP, Choi D, Ghahary A. Hand sanitizers: A review of ingredients, mechanisms of
 action, modes of delivery, and efficacy against coronaviruses. *Am J Infect Control*. 2020;48(9):10621067. doi:10.1016/j.ajic.2020.06.182
- McCulley L, Cheng C, Mentari E, Diak I-L, Michele T. Alcohol-based hand sanitizer
 exposures and effects on young children in the U.S. during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Clin Toxicol* (*Phila*). Published online August 27, 2020:1-2. doi:10.1080/15563650.2020.1811298
- Mahmood A, Eqan M, Pervez S, et al. COVID-19 and frequent use of hand sanitizers; human
 health and environmental hazards by exposure pathways. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;742:140561.
 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140561
- 182 5. Emami A, Javanmardi F, Keshavarzi A, Pirbonyeh N. Hidden threat lurking behind the 183 alcohol sanitizers in COVID-19 outbreak. *Dermatol Ther*. Published online June 7, 2020.
- 184 doi:10.1111/dth.13627
- 185 6. Le Roux G, Sinno-Tellier S, French Poison Control Centre members, Descatha A. COVID-19:
- home poisoning throughout the containment period. *Lancet Public Health*. 2020;5(6):e314.
 doi:10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30095-5
- 188 7. Persson HE, Sjöberg GK, Haines JA, Pronczuk de Garbino J. Poisoning severity score.
- 189 Grading of acute poisoning. *J Toxicol Clin Toxicol*. 1998;36(3):205-213.
- 190 doi:10.3109/15563659809028940
- 191 8. Saad S, Abdelmassih Y, Saad R, et al. Neurotrophic keratitis: Frequency, etiologies, clinical
 192 management and outcomes. *Ocul Surf.* 2020;18(2):231-236.
- 193 9. [Beware of children eyes and ABSH] French Agency for Food, Environmental and
 194 Occupational Health & Safety. Accessed September 2, 2020.
- https://www.anses.fr/fr/content/solutions-hydro-alcooliques-attention-aux-projections-accidentelles dans-les-yeux-des-jeun-0
- 10. Guide to Local Production:WHO recommended Handrub Formulations. Accessed September
 2, 2020. https://www.who.int/gpsc/5may/Guide_to_Local_Production.pdf
- 199 11. Oh JY, Yu JM, Ko JH. Analysis of ethanol effects on corneal epithelium. *Invest Ophthalmol*
- 200 Vis Sci. 2013;54(6):3852-3856. doi:10.1167/iovs.13-11717
- 201

- 202 <u>Acknowledgements:</u> 203
- All of the authors contributed equally to this work.
- 205 The authors confirm that these patients have not been reported elsewhere.
- 206 Gilles C. MARTIN and Alexis DESCATHA had full access to all the data in the study and take
- 207 responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.
- 208
- 209 No funding was used for this work.
- 210 Conflict of interest: All authors are paid by their institution. AD is paid by Elsevier (Editor in chief of
- Archives des maladies professionnelles et de l'environnement), and received regional fees (Pays de la
 Loire Région, Angers Loire Métropole, TEC-TOP project).
- 213
- 214 We thank Dr Melvin Gerardy, Dr Georges Caputo, Dr Pascal Dureau, Pr Isabelle Cochereau, Sarah
- 215 Partouche, Mathilde Grosselin and Rizlène Ettayeb, for their help in data collection and review of the
- 216 manuscript. We thank Dr Suzanne Menashi for reviewing the manuscript.
- 217 218

219 <u>Figure 1:</u> 220

Title : Proportion of ocular exposures related to ABHS among pediatric chemical eye splatters reported
 to the French Poison Control Centers between April 1st and August 24th 2019 and April 1st and August
 24th 2020.

Legend : While there were less calls for chemical eye splatters (whole bars) in 2020 compared to 2019,
 the proportion of ABHS exposures was more important in 2020 (black shadings).

227 228

230

232

229 <u>Figure 2:</u>

231 Title: Severe ocular lesions and delayed re-epithelialization following ABHS eye exposure

233 Legend:

Two days after the accident, pain can be absent and eye redness can be the sole sign of corneal and conjunctival lesions (a), revealed during ophthalmological examination with fluorescein staining of the burnt surface (b). Twelve days after the splatter, despite an amniotic membrane transplantation performed at day 2, the cornea is not completely re-epithelialized (c), requiring another amniotic membrane suture under general anesthesia.

- 239
- 240
- 241
- 242





